

# **Accuracy of HBeAg to identify pregnant women at risk of transmitting hepatitis B virus to their neonates: a systematic review and meta-analysis**

Pauline Boucheron, Ying Lu, Kyoko Yoshida, Tianshuo Zhao, Anna L Funk, Françoise Lunel-Fabiani, Alice Guingané, Edouard Tuaillon, Judith van Holten, Roger Chou, et al.

## **To cite this version:**

Pauline Boucheron, Ying Lu, Kyoko Yoshida, Tianshuo Zhao, Anna L Funk, et al.. Accuracy of HBeAg to identify pregnant women at risk of transmitting hepatitis B virus to their neonates: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Infectious Diseases,  $2021$ ,  $21$  (1), pp.85 - 96.  $10.1016/S1473 3099(20)30593-4$ . hal-03493664

# **HAL Id: hal-03493664 <https://hal.science/hal-03493664v1>**

Submitted on 2 Jan 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Version of Record: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1473309920305934> Manuscript\_7565706735648b5a44323a9e8a80ba88

## **Title**

Accuracy of hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) to identify pregnant women at risk of transmitting hepatitis B virus to their neonates: a systematic review and meta-analysis

#### **Authors**

Pauline Boucheron, MD<sup>1</sup> Ying Lu, PhD<sup>2</sup> Kyoko Yoshida, MD candidate<sup>3</sup> Tianshuo Zhao,  $MSc<sup>4</sup>$  Anna L. Funk, PhD<sup>1,5</sup> Prof Françoise Lunel-Fabiani, MD<sup>6</sup> Alice Guingané, MD<sup>7</sup> Edouard Tuaillon,  $MD^8$  Judith van Holten, Ph $D^2$  Prof Roger Chou,  $MD^9$  Marc Bulterys, MD2,10 Yusuke Shimakawa, MD<sup>1</sup>

#### **Affiliations**

<sup>1</sup> Unité d'Épidémiologie des Maladies Émergentes, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup> Global Hepatitis Programme, World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Medicine, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>4</sup> School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China

<sup>5</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

<sup>6</sup> Laboratoire de Virologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire d'Angers, Angers, France

<sup>7</sup>Département d'Hépato-gastroentérologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Yalgado Ouédraogo, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

8 Département de Bactériologie-Virologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

<sup>9</sup> Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA

<sup>10</sup> U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Nairobi, Kenya

## **Corresponding author**

Yusuke Shimakawa, MD, PhD

Unité d'Épidémiologie des Maladies Émergentes, Institut Pasteur, 25-28 rue du Dr Roux,

75015 Paris, France

Phone: +33 (0) 1 40 61 38 87

Fax: +33 (0) 1 45 68 88 76

Email: yusuke.shimakawa@pasteur.fr

#### **Abstract**

#### Background

Prevention of mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) of hepatitis B virus (HBV) involves neonatal immunoprophylaxis, using birth dose of hepatitis B vaccine and immune globulin, and provision of peripartum antiviral prophylaxis in highly viremic women. However, access to assays to quantify HBV DNA levels remains severely limited in resource-poor settings. This systematic review, commissioned by the WHO, evaluated the HBV DNA threshold for MTCT and the sensitivity and specificity of maternal hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) for identifying pregnant women with HBV DNA levels above this threshold and for predicting MTCT.

#### Methods

Four English-language (PubMed/EMBASE/Scopus/CENTRAL) and two Chinese-language databases (CNKI/Wanfang) were searched for studies of HBV-infected pregnant women without concurrent antiviral therapy, published by Apr 3, 2019. This study was registered at PROSPERO (CRD42019138227).

#### Findings

Of 9007 articles identified, 67 articles (66 studies) met inclusion criteria. The risk of MTCT despite infant immunoprophylaxis was negligible (0·04%, 95% CI: 0·00-0·11) below a maternal HBV DNA level of 200,000 IU/mL, and increased above this threshold. The pooled sensitivity and specificity of HBeAg testing were 88·2% (83·9-91·5) and 92·6% (90·0-94·5), respectively, to identify HBV DNA levels  $\geq$ 200,000 IU/mL in pregnant women, and 99.5%  $(91.7-100)$  and  $62.2\%$   $(55.2-68.7)$ , respectively, to predict the MTCT despite infant immunoprophylaxis.

#### Interpretation

A maternal HBV DNA level ≥200,000 IU/mL appears to be the optimal threshold for MTCT. HBeAg is accurate for identifying women with HBV DNA levels above this threshold and has high sensitivity for predicting cases of immunoprophylaxis failure. In areas where HBV DNA assays are unavailable, HBeAg can be used as an alternative to assess eligibility for antiviral prophylaxis.

Funding

WHO

#### **RESEARCH IN CONTEXT**

#### **Evidence before this study**

Preventing mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) of hepatitis B virus (HBV) is key to the global elimination of HBV infection as a public health threat by 2030. There is accumulating evidence for the efficacy of peripartum antiviral prophylaxis in pregnant women with high viral load, in addition to infant immunoprophylaxis using hepatitis B vaccine birth dose (HepB-BD) and immune globulin (HBIG) (see companion systematic review). However, there is no consensus on the optimal HBV DNA threshold at which pregnant women should receive antivirals for preventing MTCT. Moreover, access to assays to quantify HBV DNA levels is highly limited in some low- and middle-income countries; in such settings, hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) might be an attractive alternative to select women for antiviral prophylaxis. We therefore searched PubMed for systematic reviews of studies assessing the performance of HBV markers (HBV DNA, HBeAg) to identify pregnant women at risk of MTCT, published up to 3<sup>rd</sup> Apr, 2019, using the following terms: "systematic review" AND "hepatitis B" AND "mother-to-child transmission". We identified one systematic review (Chen HL et al., 2018) that showed a linear association between maternal viral load and the risk of MTCT. However, this systematic review had limitations; it included only HBeAgpositive mothers, and pooled estimates from studies that were highly heterogeneous in terms of HBV preventive measures utilized and MTCT definitions. We did not identify any study evaluating the accuracy of HBeAg testing for detecting high viral load or predicting immunoprophylaxis failure.

#### **Added value of this study**

To our knowledge, our systematic review is the first to identify an HBV DNA threshold below which MTCT is rare, and to assess the performance of an HBeAg test to diagnose high HBV DNA levels in pregnant women and to predict the risk of MTCT. We found that the risk of passive-active immunoprophylaxis failure despite timely administration of HepB-BD and HBIG started increasing at viral loads of  $\geq$ 200,000 IU/mL in untreated pregnant women. The sensitivity and specificity of a maternal HBeAg testing during pregnancy were 88·2% (95% CI: 83·9-91·5) and 92·6% (90·0-94·5) to diagnose this viral load threshold, and 99·5% (91·7- 100) and 62·2 % (55·3-68·7) to predict passive-active immunoprophylaxis failure.

#### **Implications of all the available evidence**

Our meta-analyses indicate that HBV-infected women with viral loads of  $\geq$ 200,000 IU/mL will have infants at an increased risk of passive-active immunoprophylaxis failure, and could benefit from maternal peripartum antiviral therapy to reduce the residual risk. In areas with limited access to assays for HBV DNA quantification in pregnancy, HBeAg serology appears to have sufficient diagnostic accuracy to be considered as an alternative to identify high-risk pregnant women with HBV DNA  $\geq$ 200,000 IU/mL (high strength of evidence), and to predict infant immunoprophylaxis failure despite HepB-BD and HBIG (high strength of evidence). Findings from our review informed WHO recommendations on the use of peripartum anti-HBV prophylaxis and could help member states to develop evidence-based national policies to accelerate elimination of HBV MTCT.

#### **Introduction**

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a major global health issue. Worldwide, 257 million people have chronic HBV infection (CHB), of whom >95% live in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).1,2 An estimated 900,000 CHB-related deaths occur annually due to cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma  $(HCC)$ .<sup>2</sup> In 2016, the World Health Organization (WHO) adopted a global strategy to eliminate HBV infection as a public health threat by 2030, aiming to reduce incidence by 90% and mortality by  $65\%$ <sup>3</sup>

In order to achieve this goal, preventing mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) is crucial.<sup>4,5</sup> The risks of developing CHB and subsequent liver diseases are higher when infection occurs perinatally through MTCT rather than later in life through horizontal transmission.<sup>6,7</sup> Since 2009, the WHO recommends that all infants receive their first dose of hepatitis B vaccine immediately after birth, preferably within 24 hours, to prevent MTCT.<sup>8</sup> However, the birth dose of hepatitis B vaccine (HepB-BD), even with timely administration and combined hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG), does not prevent all MTCT, particularly in mothers with high viremia.<sup>9</sup> The risk of immunoprophylaxis failure is correlated with higher maternal HBV DNA levels, and can be decreased by reducing the viral load through antiviral prophylaxis during the third trimester, as reported in our companion systematic review (*Funk AL et al.*).10,11 Consequently, prenatal screening for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), followed by HBV DNA quantification to assess eligibility for antiviral prophylaxis, is now recommended by the WHO in addition to at least three doses of hepatitis B vaccine, including HepB-BD.<sup>12</sup>

Access to assays to quantify HBV DNA levels, however, is seriously limited in most LMICs, representing a significant impediment to widespread implementation of this new recommendation in such settings.<sup>13</sup> Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), a standard nucleic acid test (NAT) to quantify serum HBV DNA levels, is expensive (US\$ 20-130/assay) and requires sophisticated laboratories with highly trained staff.<sup>14</sup> Alternatively, the use of hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg), a classical serological marker for high HBV replication, may largely overcome these limitations. Rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) and laboratory-based immunoassays to detect HBeAg are often more available and affordable (US\$ 0.5-20/assay) than PCR testing in LMICs.

This systematic review, commissioned by the WHO to inform guidelines on maternal peripartum antiviral prophylaxis, was designed to achieve the following three objectives: Q1) identify the viral load threshold in HBV-infected pregnant women from which the risk of immunoprophylaxis failure increases, indicating that additional peripartum antiviral prophylaxis should be considered; Q2) examine the accuracy of an HBeAg test during pregnancy to diagnose the viral load threshold defined by Q1; and Q3) assess the performance of an HBeAg test during pregnancy to predict infant immunoprophylaxis failure.

### **Methods**

#### Search strategy and selection criteria

We followed a protocol pre-registered in PROSPERO (CRD42019138227), and reported according to the PRISMA guidelines.<sup>15</sup> We systematically searched four English-language (PubMed/EMBASE/Scopus/CENTRAL) and two Chinese-language databases (CNKI/Wanfang). We manually searched references of included studies and sought suggestions from experts to supplement the databases searches. We considered original articles and conference proceedings of any study design published in any language between 1<sup>st</sup> January 2000 and 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2019.

We used the following search terms and their variations: HBV, viral load, and pregnancy (see Appendix 1 for the full strategy). We defined specific eligibility criteria for each of the three questions. Q1 included studies evaluating mother-child pairs, in which child outcomes (MTCT events) could be stratified by different levels of maternal HBV DNA during pregnancy. In order to precisely define the viral load threshold associated with MTCT, we restricted inclusion to studies that reported viral load categories within a narrow range  $\leq 1.0$ log IU/mL), e.g. 4·0-4·9, 5·0-5·9, 6·0-6·9 log IU/mL. In addition, for each viral load level, there needed to be  $\geq 10$  infants assessed for MTCT. Q2 included studies of pregnant women whose HBeAg status could be stratified by HBV DNA level dichotomized into high and low at the threshold identified in Q1, in order to calculate sensitivity and specificity. Q3 included studies of mother-child pairs in which the MTCT status of children could be stratified by maternal HBeAg status during pregnancy, in order to calculate sensitivity and specificity. For all the key questions (Q1-3), pregnant women needed to be chronically infected with HBV, and HBV markers had to be measured in the absence of concomitant anti-HBV therapy. MTCT was defined as HBsAg or HBV DNA positivity in infants assessed between 6-12 months of age. However, if this was unavailable, an assessment of MTCT at 12-24 months of age was accepted as long as ≥3 doses of infant hepatitis B vaccine were given, because the risk of horizontal transmission is negligible in these children.<sup>16</sup> For studies of mother-infant pairs (Q1 and Q3), outcomes were defined in the absence of peripartum antiviral prophylaxis and further stratified by the administration of HepB-BD and/or HBIG. We excluded studies that selected pregnant women based on HBeAg sero-status or HBV DNA levels, in order to avoid spectrum bias.

Two authors (PB and KY for English-language, YL and TZ for Chinese-language databases) independently screened titles and abstracts of all publications identified through the literature search, reviewed potentially eligible full-text papers using the predefined criteria, extracted data from included studies using a pre-piloted form, and assessed methodological quality. Discrepancies were resolved by a third reviewer (YS), and duplicate studies were excluded. Whenever critical information was missing, or there was uncertainty about potential duplication, we contacted corresponding authors. The following data were extracted: study design, maternal/infant HBV markers (type and timing of sampling, assay type), administration of HepB-BD and/or HBIG, number of participants at enrolment, participant characteristics, and outcomes (i.e. the risk of MTCT at different maternal HBV DNA levels [Q1], and the sensitivity and specificity of the HBeAg test during pregnancy to diagnose the viral load threshold [Q2] and to predict MTCT [Q3]) (Appendix 2). Viral load given as "copies/mL" was converted to "IU/mL" by dividing by five.<sup>13</sup> We assessed the methodological quality of the studies using a list of "signalling" questions for risk of bias adapted from the Altman Framework for Q1, and the QUADAS-2 tool for Q2-3 (Appendices 3-4).<sup>17,18</sup> We assessed publication bias for O2-3 using Deeks' test.<sup>19</sup>

#### Data analysis

We used STATA 14.2 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX) for statistical analysis. For Q1, we first presented a scatter plot of the relationship between maternal HBV DNA levels (horizontal axis) and MTCT risk (vertical axis) reported at each viral load category in each study. In the plot, the size of the dot was proportional to the sample size. We then pooled MTCT risks at each maternal viral load category using the DerSimonian-Laird random-effects model after stabilising the variance of the proportions by Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation.<sup>20</sup>

As a primary analysis to pool sensitivity and specificity [Q2-3], we used the DerSimonian-Laird bivariate random-effects model to take into account correlation between sensitivity and specificity, and presented in a paired forest plot. As a secondary analysis, we performed univariate random-effects meta-analysis to include studies that only reported sensitivity or specificity. As reliable methods to assess statistical heterogeneity in pooled estimates of diagnostic accuracy studies are lacking, we visually assessed heterogeneity through the coupled forest plots and summary scatter plots.<sup>21</sup> We defined *a priori* study-level potential sources of heterogeneity as below: WHO region, type of HBeAg assay, type of NAT, and maternal characteristics (median age, co-infection with HIV/HCV/HDV, HBV genotypes). Subgroup analysis was performed whenever there were  $\geq 10$  studies in total.

For Q2-3, we rated the quality of evidence based on risk of bias, indirectness, imprecision, inconsistency, and reporting bias from high (further research is very unlikely to change the estimate) to very low (all estimates are very uncertain) using the GRADE scoring system (Appendix 5). $^{22}$ 

#### Role of the funding source

This project was funded by the WHO. The funder formulated the review questions, but had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, or report writing. The corresponding author had full access to all data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

#### **Results**

We identified 9007 records, of which 1347 potentially eligible articles were assessed fully. Of these, 67 articles (66 studies) ultimately met inclusion criteria (Figure 1). Study characteristics

are presented in Table 1. There were 13, 41, and 31 studies included for Q1, Q2, and Q3, respectively. Most studies were conducted in the WHO Western Pacific region (n=47, 71.2%), followed by Africa (n=9, 13.6%), Europe (n=5, 7.6%), the Americas (n=3, 4.5%), the Eastern Mediterranean (n=2,  $3.0\%$ ), and South-East Asia (n=1,  $1.5\%$ ). The majority of studies (78·8%, 52/66) were conducted in countries with a high HBV prevalence ( $\geq 5\%$ ), <sup>13,23</sup> and also the majority in LMICs (83.3%, 55/66). Fifty-three studies (80·3%) reported the type of HBsAg assay used to diagnose chronic HBV infection in pregnant women; all used laboratory immunoassay to confirm HBsAg positivity, and none solely used RDT. To detect HBeAg, enzyme-immunoassay (EIA) was the most frequently used (n=30, 48·4%), then chemiluminescent-immunoassay (CLIA) (n=21, 33·9%), CLIA or EIA (n=5, 8·1%), fluorescent-immunoassay (FIA) ( $n=3$ , 4·8%), and rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) ( $n=3$ , 4·8%). Except for one study using branched  $DNA<sub>1</sub><sup>24</sup>$  all of the studies used PCR to quantify HBV DNA. Thirty-one studies reported maternal HIV status, including three studies of HIV coinfected mothers only and 22 studies of HIV-uninfected mothers only. There were 27, 14, and 10 studies reporting maternal HCV, HDV, and HBV genotypes, respectively; however, none provided sufficient information to conduct subgroup analyses on these factors.

The risk of bias assessment, applicability to the review questions, and publication bias are summarised in Appendices 6-8. Most studies did not mention whether or not the examiners of the exposure/index test were blinded to the results of the outcome/reference test, and vice versa. The studies were assessed as having high applicability to the review questions. There was no evidence of publication bias.

Viral load threshold for immunoprophylaxis failure [Q1]

Q1 included 4198 mother-child pairs from 13 studies. All infants received both HepB-BD and HBIG. The risk of immunoprophylaxis failure was negligible when maternal viral load was <5·30 log IU/mL (<200,000 IU/mL) (0·04%, 1/2633, 95% CI: 0·00-0·11). However, the risk increased substantially at viral loads  $\geq$ 5.30 log IU/mL, with a dose-response relationship: 3·8% (95% CI: 0·3-10·1), 6·2% (2·2-11·6), and 8·3% (4·2-13·5), at viral loads of 5·30-6·29, 6·30-7·29, and ≥7·30 log IU/mL respectively (Figure 2). In 181 mothers with viral loads of 5·30-6·29 log IU/mL, there were nine cases of the passive-active immunoprophylaxis failure with the following maternal viral loads (log IU/mL):  $5.7$  (n=1);  $5.8$  (n=2);  $5.9$  (n=2);  $6.1$  $(n=2)$ ; and  $6·2$   $(n=2)$ .

HBeAg test to diagnose maternal viral load threshold [Q2]

Based on the findings from Q1, we defined the maternal HBV DNA threshold as  $\geq$ 5·30 log IU/mL (≥200,000 IU/mL). Consequently, Q2 included 41 studies of HBeAg for identifying women with HBV viral load above a threshold of 5·30-6·29 log IU/mL: 5·30 (n=26), 5·85  $(n=1)$ , and  $6.00$  log IU/mL  $(n=14)$ . All included studies allowed for estimation of both sensitivity and specificity. Heterogeneity did not appear to be high, as all studies except for two<sup>25,26</sup> reported sensitivities or specificities  $>80\%$  (Figure 3, Appendix 9). The pooled sensitivity and specificity of HBeAg to diagnose the HBV DNA threshold were 88·2% (95% CI: 83·9-91·5) and 92·6% (90·0-94·5), respectively; the strength of this evidence was high (Appendix 10). Based on a pre-test probability for a high viral load ( $\geq$ 5·30 log IU/mL) of 26.7%, the post-test probability was 76·3% and 3·4% after a positive and negative HBeAg test, respectively (Appendix 11).

Appendix 12 presents subgroup analyses. There was some variability in sensitivity and specificity estimates when studies were stratified according to the WHO region in which they

were conducted: 90·0% (95% CI: 85·0-93·5) and 89·1% (85·6-91·8), respectively in the Western Pacific (n=27), 82·0% (65·1-91·7) and 96·0% (94·4-97·1) in Africa (n=7), and 78·9% (67·3-87·1) and 98·0% (96·8-98·8) in Europe (n=5) (p<0·0001). Performance also varied by maternal age: the sensitivity and specificity were 94·2% (95% CI: 88·8-97·1) and 91.9% (87.8-94.8) in studies with median maternal age of  $\leq 28$  years (n=14), and 85.3% (79·0-90·0) and 95·6% (93·6-97·0) in those >28 years (n=14), respectively (p=0·02). There were no statistically significant differences in subgroups based on HBeAg assay, HBV DNA assay, or maternal HIV status. Only two studies used RDTs to detect  $HBeAg;^{27,28}$  in these, the pooled sensitivity to diagnose high viremia (70·1%, 95% CI: 58·2-79·9) tended to be lower than the laboratory-based immunoassays: 91·2% (84·2-95·2) using CLIA, and 84·6% (77·4- 89·8) using EIA. Nevertheless, their pooled specificities were similarly high >90%.

### HBeAg test to predict MTCT [Q3]

Thirty-one cohort studies included in Q3 provided a total of 34 distinct cohorts on accuracy of HBeAg for predicting MTCT. Use of immunoprophylaxis varied: HepB-BD and HBIG (25 studies); HepB-BD only (7 studies); HBIG only (1 study); and no neonatal prophylaxis (1 study). Bivariate meta-analysis included 24 studies; the pooled sensitivity and specificity were 99·5% (95% CI: 91·7-100) and 62·2% (55·2-68·7), respectively, in 18 studies in which children received both HepB-BD and HBIG, and  $97.9\%$  (41.8-100) and  $78.4\%$  (66.8-86.8) in five studies in which children received HepB-BD alone (Figure 4). Of 280 cases of the passive-active immunoprophylaxis failure despite timely administration of HepB-BD and HBIG (Figure 4), few (n=13) were born to HBeAg-negative mothers. Of those HBeAgnegative mothers who transmitted HBV to their infants, HBV DNA levels during pregnancy were available in nine; most (8/9, 92·6%, 95% CI: 58·5-100) had low viral loads <5·30 log IU/mL and only one (1/9, 7.4%, 95% CI: 00.0-41.5) had a high viral load  $\geq 5.3$  log IU/mL.26,29 Univariate analyses based on all 31 studies, including those that reported only sensitivity or specificity, provided results similar to the bivariate analyses (Appendix 13). The strength of evidence for the performance of HBeAg to predict MTCT was high when both HepB-BD and HBIG were administered, but very low when HepB-BD alone was given because of the small number of studies, with imprecision (Appendix 14). Post-test probabilities are presented in Appendix 15.

We performed subgroup analyses on studies in which children received both HepB-BD and HBIG (Appendix 16). These studies were all conducted in the Western Pacific region, using EIA or CLIA for HBeAg, and none included HIV co-infected women. Performance varied by maternal age: sensitivity and specificity were  $100.0\%$  (95% CI: 1.8-100.0) and 53.9% (95% CI:  $44.4-63.2$ ) for younger women (median age  $\leq 28$  years), and  $96.5\%$  (64.2-99.8) and 71·1% (62·9-78·3) in older women ( $\geq$ 28 years) (p=0·02), respectively.

#### **Discussion**

Our systematic review found that the risk of infant passive-active immunoprophylaxis failure starts increasing at a viral load of 5·3 log IU/mL (200,000 IU/mL) in untreated pregnant women. The pooled sensitivity and specificity of an HBeAg test were 88·2% (95% CI: 83·9- 91·5) and 92·6% (90·0-94·5), respectively, to diagnose women above this HBV DNA threshold; and 99.5% (91.7-100) and 62.2 % (55.2-68.7), respectively, for prediction of infant passive-active immunoprophylaxis failure.

Several professional societies recommended treating highly viremic pregnant women in order to prevent MTCT, though HBV DNA thresholds did vary between different guidelines. While the Asian Pacific and Chinese guidelines recommend 6·0-7·0 log IU/mL and 6·3 log IU/mL,

respectively,  $27.28$  the American and European guidelines recommend a 5.3 log IU/mL cutoff,25,26 which was supported by our findings.

Although our study suggested the use of an HBeAg test as a reliable alternative to an HBV DNA assay in order to identify high-risk pregnant women, there were some differences in performance in analyses stratified according to several factors. In some cases, this may be explained by a difference in the HBeAg prevalence between the subgroups. Younger maternal age was associated with higher sensitivity and lower specificity to diagnose viral load  $\geq$ 200,000 IU/mL. This could be because HBeAg loss occurs spontaneously with age, resulting in a higher HBeAg prevalence in young  $HBsAg-positive$  mothers.<sup>30</sup> Similarly, higher sensitivity and lower specificity observed in Asian studies may be due to slower HBeAg loss and therefore higher HBeAg prevalence in HBV-infected Asian women of childbearing age (30-40%) compared to African women  $(10-20\%)$ <sup>31,32</sup> These geographical differences are partly due to differences in circulating HBV genotypes across the continents.<sup>33</sup> The lower clinical sensitivity of RDTs might be related to their limited analytical sensitivity to detect HBeAg.<sup>34</sup> Of note, despite these subgroup effects, results were consistent in showing high accuracy across subgroups.

We also found that an HBeAg test in pregnant women was highly sensitive in predicting infant passive-active immunoprophylaxis failure (99·5%), implying that almost all pregnant women who would transmit the infection otherwise could be correctly identified using this test. By contrast, the specificity was moderate  $(62.2\%)$ ; about a third of women who would not transmit the infection might be unnecessarily given antiviral prophylaxis. However, such overtreatment may be less problematic than missing women at increased risk of MTCT, since peripartum antiviral prophylaxis is considered to have a good safety profile (see companion

review), and is relatively low-cost if using generics (US\$ 3-5/month).<sup>2</sup> Although the strength of evidence was very low given the limited number of included studies and imprecise estimates, we found similarly good performance of HBeAg to predict MTCT when HepB-BD alone was provided. This result is highly relevant in LMICs, where there is no access to HBV DNA quantification or HBIG. Field evaluation is ongoing in Laos and Thailand to understand the efficacy of an HBIG-free strategy (i.e. maternal peripartum antiviral therapy combined with infant HepB-BD) in HBeAg-positive women.<sup>35</sup>

This review has some limitations. First, this is a meta-analysis of aggregate data. Analysing individual participant data might have allowed additional refinement of the optimal HBV DNA threshold for MTCT (e.g., by constructing a receiver operating characteristic curve). Second, we could not examine whether the performance of HBeAg test varies by HBV genotype, or by HCV or HDV co-infection. We also could not assess the difference in the accuracy of HBV markers according to the precise timing of testing during pregnancy because this data was unavailable for most of the included studies. Nevertheless, we believe that its impact is minimal since HBV markers appear to remain stable during pregnancy in the absence of antiviral treatment.<sup>36,37</sup> Finally, although the use of RDTs is less expensive, faster, easier to perform, and thus more feasible in some LMIC settings, no HBeAg RDT has been prequalified by the WHO, and we identified only three studies evaluating the performance of RDTs during pregnancy. This highlights a critical unmet need for a formal evaluation of HBeAg RDT.

Findings from this review informed the 2020 WHO recommendation for the use of peripartum anti-HBV prophylaxis in women with an HBV DNA  $\geq 200,000$  IU/mL, in addition to administration of at least three doses of hepatitis B vaccine in infants, including HepB-BD.

The WHO also made a conditional recommendation for the use of HBeAg testing as an alternative to HBV DNA quantification to determine antiviral prophylaxis eligibility in settings where HBV DNA assays are unavailable. In order to achieve the elimination of HBV MTCT, further research is warranted to evaluate strategies such as use of an HBeAg test, as well as other promising assays such as hepatitis B core-related antigen  $(HBrAg)<sup>38</sup>$  during antenatal care to guide peripartum antiviral prophylaxis. This may be particularly relevant within the framework of the triple elimination of MTCT of HIV, syphilis, and HBV which has been initiated in some of the WHO regions.<sup>39</sup>

### **Contributors**

PB, JVH, RC, MB, and YS formulated the research questions. PB and YS developed the study protocol, analysed the data, and wrote the manuscript. PB, YL, KY, and TZ performed the systematic review. ALF, FLF, AG, ET, JVH, RC, and MB supported and supervised the work. All authors reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version.

#### **Declaration of interests**

We declare no competing interests.

### **Acknowledgments**

We thank the WHO for funding this work, the Guidelines Development Group for their critical review of this work, and Dr. Yvan Hutin for coordinating all these works.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1 Razavi-Shearer D, Gamkrelidze I, Nguyen MH, *et al.* Global prevalence, treatment, and prevention of hepatitis B virus infection in 2016: a modelling study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; **3**: 383–403.
- 2 WHO. Global hepatitis report, 2017. Geneva, Switzerland, 2017
- 3 WHO. Combating hepatitis B and C to reach elimination by 2030. Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.
- 4 Nayagam S, Thursz M, Sicuri E, *et al.* Requirements for global elimination of hepatitis B: a modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016; **16**: 1399–408.
- 5 Hutin Y, Desai S, Bulterys M. Preventing hepatitis B virus infection: milestones and targets. *Bull World Health Organ* 2018; **96**: 443-443A.
- 6 Shimakawa Y, Lemoine M, Njai HF, *et al.* Natural history of chronic HBV infection in West Africa: A longitudinal population-based study from The Gambia. *Gut* 2016; **65**: 2007–16.
- 7 Edmunds WJ, Medley GF, Nokes DJ, Hall AJ, Whittle HC. The influence of age on the development of the hepatitis B carrier state. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 1993; **253**: 197–201.
- 8 WHO. Hepatitis B vaccines. WHO position paper. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* 2009; **84**: 405–20.
- 9 Chen H-L, Lin L-H, Hu F-C, *et al.* Effects of maternal screening and universal immunization to prevent mother-to-infant transmission of HBV. *Gastroenterology* 2012; **142**: 773-781.e2.
- 10 Wen W-H, Chang M-H, Zhao L-L, *et al.* Mother-to-infant transmission of hepatitis B virus infection: Significance of maternal viral load and strategies for intervention. *J Hepatol* 2013; **59**: 24–30.
- 11 Chen H-L, Zha M-L, Cai J-Y, Qin G. Maternal viral load and hepatitis B virus mother-to-child transmission risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hepatol Res* 2018; **48**: 788–801.
- 12 WHO. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis B virus (HBV): Guidelines on antiviral prophylaxis in pregnancy. Geneva, Switzerland, 2020.
- 13 WHO. Guidelines on Hepatitis B and C Testing. Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
- 14 Tordrup D, Hutin Y, Stenberg K, *et al.* Additional resource needs for viral hepatitis elimination through universal health coverage: projections in 67 low-income and middle-income countries, 2016–30. *Lancet Glob Heal* 2019; **7**: e1180–8.
- 15 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 2009; **339**: b2535.
- 16 Mendy M, Peterson I, Hossin S, *et al.* Observational Study of Vaccine Efficacy 24 Years after the Start of Hepatitis B Vaccination in Two Gambian Villages: No Need for a Booster Dose. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e58029.
- 17 Altman DG. Systematic reviews of evaluations of prognostic variables. In: Egger M, Smith GD, Altman DG, eds. Systematic review in Health care: meta-analysis in context, 2nd edition. London: BMJ Publishing Group, 2001: 228–47.
- 18 Whiting PF, Rutjes AWS, Westwood ME, *et al.* QUADAS-2: A Revised Tool for the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2011; **155**: 529.
- 19 Macaskill P, Gatsonis C, Deeks J, Harbord R, Takwoingi Y. Analysing and Presenting Results. In: Deeks J, Bossuyt P, Gatsonis C, eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Version 1.0. The Cochrane Collaboration. 2010.
- 20 Nyaga VN, Arbyn M, Aerts M. Metaprop: A Stata command to perform meta-analysis of binomial data. *Arch Public Heal* 2014; **72**: 1–10.
- 21 Chou R, Easterbrook P, Hellard M. Methodological challenges in appraising evidence on diagnostic testing for WHO guidelines on hepatitis B and hepatitis C virus infection. *BMC Infect Dis* 2017; **17**: 694.
- 22 Atkins D, Best D, Briss PA, *et al.* Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2004; **328**: 1490.
- 23 Schweitzer A, Horn J, Mikolajczyk RT, Krause G, Ott JJ. Estimations of worldwide prevalence of chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a systematic review of data published between 1965 and 2013. *Lancet* 2015; **6736**: 1–10.
- 24 Rouet F, Chaix M-L, Inwoley A, *et al.* HBV and HCV prevalence and viraemia in HIVpositive and HIV-negative pregnant women in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire: The ANRS 1236 study. *J Med Virol* 2004; **74**: 34–40.
- 25 Wang M, Cai Q, Wan J. The expression of Toll-like receptor 4 and 7 in placental tissues of pregnant women infected with hepatitis B virus. *Matern Child Heal Care China* 2018; **33**:

5254–7.

- 26 Chen Z-X, Gu G-F, Bian Z-L, *et al.* Clinical course and perinatal transmission of chronic hepatitis B during pregnancy: A real-world prospective cohort study. *J Infect* 2017; **75**: 146– 54.
- 27 Guingané A, Meda N, Sombié R, Guiraud I, Bougouma A. Preliminary results of prevention of mother to child transmission of hepatitis B virus through treatment of mothers and vaccination of newborns at Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. In: The 9th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Science. 2017.
- 28 Segeral O, N'Diaye DS, Prak S, *et al.* Usefulness of a serial algorithm of HBsAg and HBeAg rapid diagnosis tests to detect pregnant women at risk of HBV mother-to-child transmission in Cambodia, the ANRS 12328 pilot study. *J Clin Virol* 2018; **109**: 29–34.
- 29 Lee LY, Aw M, Rauff M, Loh K-S, Lim SG, Lee GH. Hepatitis B immunoprophylaxis failure and the presence of hepatitis B surface gene mutants in the affected children. *J Med Virol* 2015; **87**: 1344–50.
- 30 Ott JJ, Stevens GA, Wiersma ST. The risk of perinatal hepatitis B virus transmission: hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) prevalence estimates for all world regions. *BMC Infect Dis* 2012; **12**: 131.
- 31 Lin H-H, Kao J-H, Chang T-C, Hsu H-Y, Chen D-S. Secular trend of age-specific prevalence of hepatitis B surface and e antigenemia in pregnant women in Taiwan. *J Med Virol* 2003; **69**: 466–70.
- 32 Keane E, Funk AL, Shimakawa Y. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the risk of motherto-child transmission of hepatitis B virus infection in sub-Saharan Africa. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2016; **44**: 1005–17.
- 33 Kramvis A. The clinical implications of hepatitis B virus genotypes and HBeAg in pediatrics. *Rev Med Virol* 2016; **26**: 285–303.
- 34 Seck A, Ndiaye F, Maylin S, *et al.* Poor Sensitivity of Commercial Rapid Diagnostic Tests for Hepatitis B e Antigen in Senegal, West Africa. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2018; **99**: 428–34.
- 35 Jourdain G. Antiviral Prophylaxis and Infant Vaccination to Prevent Perinatal Hepatitis B

Infection. ClinicalTrials.gov. 2019. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03343431 (accessed March 31, 2020).

- 36 Chang K, Chang M, Lee C, *et al.* Decreased Neonatal Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) Viremia by Maternal Tenofovir Treatment Predicts Reduced Chronic HBV Infection in Children Born to Highly Viremic Mothers. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2019; **50**: 306–16.
- 37 Giles M, Visvanathan K, Lewin S, *et al.* Clinical and virological predictors of hepatic flares in pregnant women with chronic hepatitis B. *Gut* 2015; **64**: 1810–5.
- 38 Yoshida K, Desbiolles A, Feldman SF, *et al.* Assay for Hepatitis B Core-related Antigen Identify Patients With High Viral Load: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Individual Participant Data. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020; published online April 29. DOI:10.1016/j.cgh.2020.04.045.
- 39 Zhang L, Tao Y, Woodring J, *et al.* Integrated approach for triple elimination of mother-tochild transmission of HIV, hepatitis B and syphilis is highly effective and cost-effective: an economic evaluation. *Int J Epidemiol* 2019; **48**: 1327–39.
- 40 Bai H, Yan H, Shang H, Sun J, Luo H. Clinical study on HBV infection and intrauterine vertical transmission. *J Foshan Univ* 2010; **28**: 76–8.
- 41 Carey I, Bruce M, Mcleod M, *et al.* A new utility of HBcrAg-a pan-genotypic predictor of mother to child HBV transmission. *J Hepatol* 2018; **68**: S490–1.
- 42 Chen T, Wang J, Qiu H, *et al.* Different interventional criteria for chronic hepatitis B pregnant women with HBeAg(+) or HBeAg(-). *Med* 2018; **97**: e11406.
- 43 Cheung KW, Seto MTY, Kan ASY, *et al.* Immunoprophylaxis Failure of Infants Born to Hepatitis B Carrier Mothers Following Routine Vaccination. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; **16**: 144–5.
- 44 Cheung KW, Seto MTY, So PL, *et al.* Optimal timing of hepatitis B virus DNA quantification and clinical predictors for higher viral load during pregnancy. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2019; **98**: 1301–6.
- 45 Chotun N, Preiser W, van Rensburg CJ, *et al.* Point-of-care screening for hepatitis B virus infection in pregnant women at an antenatal clinic: A South African experience. *PLoS One*

2017; **12**: e0181267.

- 46 Liu C-P, Zeng Y-L, Zhou M, *et al.* Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis B virus despite immunoprophylaxis. *Intern Med* 2015; **54**: 711–6.
- 47 Ding Y, Sheng Q, Ma L, Dou X. Chronic HBV infection among pregnant women and their infants in Shenyang, China. *Virol J* 2013; **10**: 17.
- 48 Dolman G, Saleh H, Kemos P. Quantitative hepatitis B surface antigen (qHBsAg) has poor performance as a marker of high risk antenatal chronic hepatitis B (CHB) in a multi-ethnic population. *Hepatology* 2018; **68**: 1187A-1188A.
- 49 Ekra D, Herbinger KH, Konate S, *et al.* A non-randomized vaccine effectiveness trial of accelerated infant hepatitis B immunization schedules with a first dose at birth or age 6 weeks in Cote d'Ivoire. *Vaccine* 2008; **26**: 2753–61.
- 50 Evans AA, Cohen C, Huang P, *et al.* Prevention of perinatal hepatitis B transmission in Haimen City, China: Results of a community public health initiative. *Vaccine* 2015; **33**: 3010– 5.
- 51 Foaud HM, Maklad S, Gmal El Din A, Mahmoud F. Lamivudine use in pregnant HBsAgfemales effectively reduces maternal viremia. *Arab J Gastroenterol* 2019; **20**: 8–13.
- 52 Fujiko M, Chalid MT, Turyadi, *et al.* Chronic hepatitis B in pregnant women: Is hepatitis B surface antigen quantification useful for viral load prediction? *Int J Infect Dis* 2015; **41**: 83–9.
- 53 Godbole G, Irish D, Basarab M, *et al.* Management of hepatitis B in pregnant women and infants: A multicentre audit from four London hospitals. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2013; **13**: 222.
- 54 Guo F, Liu X, Jiang B, Liu J. Analysis of hepatitis B virus markers in the serum and viral load of 4461 pregnant woman. *Chinese J Soc Med* 2007; **24**: 284–6.
- 55 Hao X, Li Z, Ding Y, Du Y, Zhao Y. Study on risk factors in trans-placental transmission of hepatitis B virus. *Shanghai J Prev Med* 2015; **27**: 387–91.
- 56 Huang L, Wang C. Investigation on the safety of breastfeeding among mothers chronically infected with hepatitis B virus. *Matern Child Heal Care China* 2014; **29**: 5389–90.
- 57 Johannessen A, Stene-Johansen K, Mekasha B, N. B. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis

B virus in Ethiopia. *J Hepatol* 2017; **66**: S470.

- 58 Kubo A, Shlager L, Marks AR, *et al.* Prevention of vertical transmission of hepatitis B: An observational study. *Ann Intern Med* 2014; **160**: 828–35.
- 59 Latthaphasavang V, Vanhems P, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, *et al.* Perinatal hepatitis B virus transmission in Lao PDR: A prospective cohort study. *PLoS One* 2019; **14**: 1–14.
- 60 Li X, Zhang J, Wang C. Analysis on association between HBV markers in pregnant women with hepatitis B and neonatal infection. *Int J Lab Med* 2018; **39**: 495–8.
- 61 Lin J, Liao S, Guo G, Huang W, Wang C, Zhou M. Significance of hepatitis B virus DNA quantity in transmission between mothers and infants. *Chin J Pediatr* 2002; **40**: 84–7.
- 62 Lu Y, Zhu F-C, Liu J-X, *et al.* The maternal viral threshold for antiviral prophylaxis of perinatal hepatitis B virus transmission in settings with limited resources: A large prospective cohort study in China. *Vaccine* 2017; **35**: 6627–33.
- 63 Lu H, Jin W, Huang X, Zhao Q, Mao H. Pregnant women hepatitis B markers investigation and analysis of intrauterine infection. *Chinese J Exp Clin Virol* 2009; **23**: 235–7.
- 64 Lunel-Fabiani F, Birguel J, Pivert A, *et al.* Evaluation of the effectiveness of hepatitis B (HB) vaccination of newborns from HBsAg-positive mothers, followed by the national enlarged vaccination program including HB, in the health district of Tokombere, Cameroon. *Hepatology* 2018; **68**: 1188A-1189A.
- 65 Makhlouf NA, Morsy KH, Othman E-ER, Eldin EN. Ante-natal screening of pregnant women for hepatitis B virus infection in Upper Egypt: A Tertiary Care Center Based Study. *Egypt Liver J* 2014; **4**: 57–62.
- 66 Onakewhor JUE, Charurat M, Matthew O, Osagie E, Asemota MO, Omoigberale A. Immunologic pattern of hepatitis B infection among exposed and non-exposed babies in A PMTCT program in low resource setting: Does every exposed newborn require 200IU of hepatitis B immunoglobulin? *J Vaccines Vaccin* 2013; **4**: 207.
- 67 Pan CQ, Zou H-B, Chen Y, *et al.* Cesarean section reduces perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus infection from hepatitis b surface antigen-positive women to their infants. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013; **11**: 1349–55.
- 68 Peng N, Ling J. Analysis of serum HBV DNA, HBV Pre S1 antigen and alpha fetoprotein in pregnant women chronically infected with hepatitis B. *Lab Med Clin* 2012; **9**: 2606–8.
- 69 Peng S, Wan Z, Liu T, Zhu H. Incidence and Risk Factors of Intrauterine Transmission among Pregnant Women with Chronic Hepatitis B Virus Infection. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2019; **53**: 51– 7.
- 70 Pirillo MF, Bassani L, Germinario EAP, *et al.* Seroprevalence of hepatitis B and C viruses among HIV-infected pregnant women in Uganda and Rwanda. *J Med Virol* 2007; **79**: 1797– 801.
- 71 Pirillo MF, Scarcella P, Andreotti M, *et al.* Hepatitis B virus mother-to-child transmission among HIV-infected women receiving lamivudine-containing antiretroviral regimens during pregnancy and breastfeeding. *J Viral Hepat* 2015; **22**: 289–96.
- 72 Sheng Q-J, Wang S-J, Wu Y-Y, Dou X-G, Ding Y. Hepatitis B virus serosurvey and awareness of mother-To-child transmission among pregnant women in Shenyang, China. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018; **97**: e10931.
- 73 Singh A, Plitt S, Osiowy C, *et al.* Factors associated with vaccine failure and vertical transmission of hepatitis B among a cohort of Canadian mothers and infants. *J Viral Hepat* 2011; **18**: 468–73.
- 74 Söderström A, Norkrans G, Lindh M. Hepatitis B virus DNA during pregnancy and post partum: Aspects on vertical transmission. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2003; **35**: 814–9.
- 75 Thilakanathan C, Wark G, Maley M, *et al.* Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis B: Examining viral cut-offs, maternal HBsAg serology and infant testing. *Liver Int* 2018; **38**: 1212–9.
- 76 Virine B, Osiowy C, Gao S, *et al.* Hepatitis B virus (HBV) variants in untreated and tenofovir treated chronic hepatitis B (CHB) patients during pregnancy and post-partum follow-up. *PLoS One* 2015; **10**: e0140070.
- 77 Wang C, Wang C, Jia Z-F, *et al.* Protective effect of an improved immunization practice of mother-to-infant transmission of hepatitis B virus and risk factors associated with immunoprophylaxis failure. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016; **95**: e4390.
- 78 Wang F, Han G, Li F, Xue Y. Investigation on the association between maternal HBV markers including HBV DNA and neonatal intrauterine infection. *Mod Med J* 2009; **37**: 435–7.
- 79 Wang J, Yin J, Wang H. Survey on hepatitis B infection status among pregnant women in Yangzhou City. Maternal and Child Health Care of China. *Matern Child Heal Care China* 2018; **33**: 5222–4.
- 80 Wang L, Wiener J, Bulterys M, *et al.* Hepatitis B virus (HBV) load response to 2 antiviral regimens, tenofovir/lamivudine and lamivudine, in HIV/HBV-coinfected pregnant women in Guangxi, China: The tenofovir in pregnancy (TiP) study. *J Infect Dis* 2016; **214**: 1695–9.
- 81 Wang X, Xu Y, Wei Y, Deng B, Huang H. Clinical significance of detection of Pre S1 antigen and alpha fetoprotein in patients with gestational diabetic mellitus complicated with HBV infections. *Chin J Nosocomiol* 2015; **25**: 5672–4.
- 82 Wang X, Li C, Liu M, Yi W, Wang S, Liu X. Impact of different feeding patterns on motherto-child transmission of hepatitis B virus. *Chin J Perinat Med* 2015; **18**: 616–20.
- 83 Wang Z, Zhang J, Yang H, *et al.* Quantitative analysis of HBV DNA level and HBeAg titer in hepatitis B surface antigen positive mothers and their babies: HBeAg passage through the placenta and the rate of decay in babies. *J Med Virol* 2003; **71**: 360–6.
- 84 Wang Z, Ji C, Gao S, Tang L, Xia J. HBV infection status of pregnant women in outpatient department of Guangdong women and children hospital. *Chinese J Woman Child Heal Res* 2018; **29**: 1491–3.
- 85 Wei K, Lu Y, Yan L, Liu J, Zhai X, Chang Z. Prenatal serological and virological characteristics of pregnant women with chronic HBV infection in community population. *Chinese J Pract Intern Med* 2017; **37**: 1074–8.
- 86 Wen W-H, Chang M-H, Zhao L-L, *et al.* Mother-to-infant transmission of hepatitis B virus infection: significance of maternal viral load and strategies for intervention. *J Hepatol* 2013; **59**: 24–30.
- 87 White HA, Wiselka MJ, Wilson DJ. Antenatal hepatitis B in a large teaching NHS Trust Implications for future care. *J Infect* 2015; **70**: 72–7.
- 88 Wiseman E, Fraser MA, Holden S, *et al.* Perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus: An

Australian experience. *Med J Aust* 2009; **190**: 489–92.

- 89 Xu C, Liu J, Liu L, *et al.* Comparison of hepatitis B viral loads and viral antigen levels in childbearing age women with and without pregnancy. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2018; **18**: 292.
- 90 Yi W, Pan CQ, Hao J, *et al.* Risk of vertical transmission of hepatitis B after amniocentesis in HBs antigen-positive mothers. *J Hepatol* 2014; **60**: 523–9.
- 91 Yin Y, Wu L, Zhang J, Zhou J, Zhang P. Identification of risk factors associated with immunoprophylaxis failure to prevent the vertical transmission of hepatitis B virus. *J Infect* 2013; **66**: 447–52.
- 92 Zhang L, Gui X, Teter C, *et al.* Effects of hepatitis B immunization on prevention of mother-toinfant transmission of hepatitis B virus and on the immune response of infants towards hepatitis B vaccine. *Vaccine* 2014; **32**: 6091–7.
- 93 Zhang L, Tang X, Liu C, Zhao S, Su F, Wang Y. Study on hepatitis B virus markers and HBV-DNA levels among pregnant women in Guizhou Province. *Mod Prev Med* 2017; **44**: 637–41.
- 94 Zhang Z, Li A, Xiao X. Risk factors for intrauterine infection with hepatitis B virus. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2014; **125**: 158–61.
- 95 Zhang L, Gui X-E, Wang B, *et al.* Serological positive markers of hepatitis B virus in femoral venous blood or umbilical cord blood should not be evidence of in-utero infection among neonates. *BMC Infect Dis* 2016; **16**: 408.
- 96 Zheng H, Ren X. Quantitative analysis of serum HBV DNA in pregnant women positive for HBsAg and its influence on pregnancy outcome. *Guangzhou Med* 2010; **41**: 33–4.
- 97 Zhu Y-Y, Mao Y-Z, Wu W-L, Cai Q-X, Lin X-H. Does hepatitis B virus prenatal transmission result in postnatal immunoprophylaxis failure? *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2010; **17**: 1836–41.
- 98 Zhu B, Li S, Tian H, Xu J, Li N, Chen Y. Influence of serum HBV DNA level in pregnant women with HBV infection on the effect of blocking mother-to-infant transmission by passiveactive immunoprophylaxis. *Matern Child Heal Care China* 2013; **28**: 3903–5.
- 99 Zou H, Chen Y, Duan Z, Zhang H. Protective effect of hepatitis b vaccine combined with twodose hepatitis b immunoglobulin on infants born to HBsAg-positive mothers. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e26748.

100 Zou H, Chen Y, Duan Z, Zhang H, Pan C. Virologic factors associated with failure to passiveactive immunoprophylaxis in infants born to HBsAg-positive mothers. *J Viral Hepat* 2012; **19**: e18-25.

## **TABLES**

## **Table 1. Characteristics of the 66 studies (reported in 67 articles) included in the meta-analysis of Q1, Q2 or Q3**









Abbreviations: bDNA, branched DNA; CLIA, chemiluminescent immunoassay; EIA, enzyme immunoassay; FIA, fluorescent immunoassay; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBIG, hepatitis B immune globulin; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen ; HepB-BD, birth dose of hepatitis B vaccine; NA, not applicable; NR, not reported; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RDT, rapid diagnostic test

### **FIGURES**

#### **Figure 1. Study selection**



Abbreviations: HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; PMTCT, prevention of mother-to-child transmission

**Figure 2. Risk of infant passive-active immunoprophylaxis failure according to maternal viral load during pregnancy** 

**Figure 2A. Scatter plot of the relationship between maternal HBV DNA levels (horizontal axis) and MTCT risk (vertical axis) reported at each viral load category in each study\*** 



## Abbreviations: HBV, hepatitis B virus

\* The size of the dot was proportional to the sample size.

# **Figure 2B. Forest plots of the risk of infant passive-active immunoprophylaxis failure according to maternal viral load during pregnancy**



Abbreviations: CI, confidence intervals; IU, international units; MTCT, mother-to-child transmission;

PCR, polymerase chain reaction

## **Figure 3. Sensitivity and specificity of an HBeAg test to diagnose pregnant women with**

## **high viral load ≥200,000 IU/mL (≥5·30 log IU/mL)**



Abbreviations: CLIA, chemiluminescent immunoassay; CI, confidence intervals; EIA, enzyme immunoassay; FIA, fluorescent immunoassay; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; RDT, rapid diagnostic test; TN, true negative; TP, true positive



## **Figure 4. Sensitivity and specificity of an HBeAg test during pregnancy to predict MTCT by type of infant immunoprophylaxis**

Abbreviations: CLIA, chemiluminescent immunoassay; CI, confidence intervals; EIA, enzyme immunoassay; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HepB-BD, birth dose of hepatitis B vaccine; HBIG, hepatitis B immune globulin; MTCT, mother-tochild transmission; TN, true negative; TP, true positive