

# Removal of organic micropollutants from domestic wastewater: The effect of ozone-based advanced oxidation process on nanofiltration

Z. Amadou Yacouba, Mendret Julie, Geoffroy Lesage, François Zaviska, S.

Brosillon

## ▶ To cite this version:

Z. Amadou Yacouba, Mendret Julie, Geoffroy Lesage, François Zaviska, S. Brosillon. Removal of organic micropollutants from domestic wastewater: The effect of ozone-based advanced oxidation process on nanofiltration. Journal of Water Process Engineering, 2021, 39, pp.101869 -. 10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101869 . hal-03493609

## HAL Id: hal-03493609 https://hal.science/hal-03493609

Submitted on 2 Jan 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Removal of organic micropollutants from domestic wastewater: the effect of ozone-based advanced oxidation process on nanofiltration. Z. Amadou Yacouba\*, J. Mendret\*, G. Lesage\*, F. Zaviska\*, S. Brosillon\* \* Institut Européen des Membranes, IEM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier,

### 6 Graphical abstract

5



#### 8 Abstract

The objective of this study was to develop an innovative and advanced integrated "membrane 9 and oxidation" system for the treatment of domestic wastewater by coupling membrane 10 bioreactor (MBR), nanofiltration (NF) and ozonation. Five contaminants were selected 11 (acetaminophen, carbamazepin, sulfamethoxazole, tetracyclin and terbutryn). The MBR 12 effluent samples have been fully characterized using conventional analysis (COD, TOC, 13 UV254, ionic chromatography...) and advanced characterization analyses (3D fluorescence 14 excitation-emission matrices (3DEEM)) before being spiked with 1ppm of each of the 15 16 selected pharmaceuticals. NF process experiments were carried out in batch and semi-batch mode using a flat sheet membrane system (NF-90). Selected OMPs were well rejected by NF 17 (between 84% and 98%) and the main fouling mechanisms observed were pore blocking and 18 gel layer formation. MBR effluent was then pre-treated in an ozonation pilot unit's with 19 ozone gas inlet fixed at 5g.Nm<sup>-3</sup>.The complete degradation by ozonation of carbamazepine 20 and sulfamethoxazole took 15 to 20 min and more than 30 min for terbutryn. 21 22 Acetaminophen, tetracyclin and dissolved organic matter were almost totally ozonated in 5 min. The overall mineralization rate was low. The pre-ozonation enables the NF fouling 23 24 resistance to be decreased by almost 40%.

25 Keywords: Wastewater reuse, Organic micropollutants, Nanofiltration, pre-Ozonation

## 26 List of symbol

- 27  $[O_3]_{gas}$ : applied gas ozone concentration  $(gO_3/Nm^3)$
- 28 ACT: acetaminophen
- 29 CBZ: carbamazepin
- 30 COD: chemical oxygen demand  $(gO_2/m^3)$
- 31 Da: Dalton
- 32 DCOM: dissolved and colloidal organic matter
- 33 DWW: domestic wastewater
- 34 HPLC: high performance liquid chromatography
- 35 LC-MS/MS: liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry
- 36 MBR: membrane bioreactor
- 37 MWCO: molecular weight cut-off
- 38 NF: Nanofiltration
- 39 OMPs: organic micropollutants
- 40 RO: reverse osmosis
- 41 SUL: sulfamethoxazole
- 42 TER: terbutryn
- 43 TET: tetracyclin
- 44 TMP: transmembrane pressure (bar)
- 45 TOC: total organic carbon
- 46 TSS : Total Suspended Solid (mg/L)
- 47 UPW: ultrapure water
- 48  $UV_{254}$ : ultra-violet absorbance at 254 nm
- 49 v: tangential velocity (m/s)
- 50  $V_{reactor}$ : volume of reactor (m<sup>3</sup>)
- 51  $v_{stir}$ : stiring velocity (m/s)
- 52 WWTP : wastewater treatment plant
- 53 Y: permeate recovery rate (%)

## 54 1. Introduction

To overcome water shortage which is becoming a growing worldwide challenge, domestic 55 wastewater (DWW) must be considered as a promising water resource instead of mere waste. 56 57 Indeed, wastewater can be reused for different applications among which agricultural, industrial and municipal uses but also for ground water recharge (Kellis et al. 2013). Even 58 though, occurrence of organic micropollutants (OMPs) in municipal wastewater constitutes an 59 important limiting factor pointed out by many authors (Bollmann et al. 2014; Dong et al. 60 2016; Gogoi et al. 2018). According to Ganiyu et al., most of the pharmaceuticals 61 administered for both human and animal uses are excreted unmodified via urine and feces and 62 directly introduced into sewage systems (Ganiyu et al. 2015). An important factor limiting the 63 wastewater reuse is the inefficiency of conventional waste water treatment plant (WWTP) in 64

removing OMPs (Martin Ruel et al. 2010). Even in the case of secondary treatment processes improved by MBR, OMPs are not fully removed and some specific compounds showed really low removal efficiencies (between 8 and 32% for pesticides such as atrazine and fenoprop) (Ahmed et al. 2017). Consequently, pharmaceuticals and antibiotics have been found widespread in different environmental compartments due to their persistence and low biodegradability (Terzić et al. 2008).

To fulfill public regulations requirements and achieve sustainable development goals in terms 71 72 of ensuring safe and sustainable access to water (SDG 6, UN) (Costanza, Fioramonti, and 73 Kubiszewski 2016), tertiary treatment processes capable of removing bio-resistant compounds 74 are being developed and investigated. Activated carbon adsorption, ozonation and membrane 75 separation processes, such as NF and RO, have been investigated in wastewater treatment schemes. Tight nanofiltration and reverse osmosis remove well the majority of organic 76 77 micropollutants (above 95%). The efficiency of such membrane processes depends mainly on the type of membrane, the effluent matrix and the micropollutants physico-chemical 78 79 properties (Cartagena et al. 2013; Garcia-Ivars et al. 2017, Licona et al. 2018). These last years, some authors have demonstrated that nanofiltration (NF) could be a good alternative to 80 reverse osmosis (RO) for urban wastewater reuse (Yangali-Quintanilla et al. 2010; Bellona et 81 al. 2012; Azaïs et al. 2017). Indeed, it offers a very good compromise between permeability 82 and selectivity. Due to the more important permeate flux compared to RO while having an 83 acceptable OMPs removal, nanofiltration requires less energy and seems more appropriate in 84 an economical point of view for the treatment of such refractory effluents (Nikbakht Fini, 85 Madsen, and Muff 2019; Song, Lee, and Ng 2020). However, there is still the problem of the 86 brine management and the propensity to fouling which both represent an object for many 87 researchers mobilization (Contreras, Kim, and Li 2009; Gan et al. 2019). Lan et al. have 88 investigated the performances of NF on MBR effluent and pointed out that colloidal organic 89 fouling played a major role in flux decline (Lan et al. 2018). Moreover, According to Mänttäri 90 et al. (2000), the humic-like substances adsorb onto the polyamide-based thin film composite 91 92 and cellulose acetate NF membrane surface forming a gel layer which induces an additional resistance to the flux passage (Mänttäri et al. 2000; Azaïs et al. 2014; 2016a). Fersi et al. 93 94 distinguished two stages in fouling related to gel layer in filtration process: the gel layer formation and the gel layer compression (Fersi, Gzara, and Dhahbi 2009). Because of a 95 96 decrease in porosity, the second stage accelerates the flux decline and this phenomenon was observed after 60% of recovery rate. 97

Beside the membrane processes, advanced oxidation processes are also investigated for OMPs 98 elimination (Deng 2020). They offer the advantages in degrading well micropollutants 99 (Mizuno et al. 2018). Ozone is the second most powerful oxidizing agent after hydroxyl 100 radicals and is much more stable than hydroxyl radicals. Ozonation was firstly used as final 101 treatment process for effluent disinfection and to oxidize iron and manganese in the end of 102 19th century (Langlais, Reckhow, and Brink 2019). Nowadays, ozonation is subject to many 103 investigation as promising treatment process to eliminate emerging OMPs (Esplugas et al. 104 2007; Mizuno et al. 2018; Azaïs et al. 2017). However, oxidation sometimes leads to more 105 toxic byproducts than parent compounds and an additional treatment must be added to remove 106 this new toxicity from the final effluent (Le et al. 2016; 2017; Oropesa et al. 2017). 107

108 The aim of this study was to combine pre-ozonation and NF processes to eliminate selected 109 OMPs in real MBR secondary effluent. Combining ozonation with NF seems an appropriate 110 synergetic solution to take advantages of these processes while limiting their drawbacks 111 (Byun, Taurozzi, and Tarabara 2015; Vatankhah et al. 2018; Ghernaout 2020). Indeed, while 112 NF membrane act as an efficient physical barrier against toxicity due to OMPs and their by-113 products, pre-ozonation can efficiently degrades these refractory pollutants and also maintain 114 the good flux performances of the NF process by limiting membrane fouling.

The specific objectives were to investigate the fouling propensity and OMPs removal mechanisms in NF, to study the effect of the matrix composition on ozonation process and to evaluate the effect of pre-ozonation on fouling and flux evolution in NF.

118

## 119 2. Materials and methods

- 120 2.1 Micropollutants and matrix selection
- 121 2.1.1 Selection of micropollutants
- Four pharmaceuticals, acetaminophen (ACT), carbamazepine (CBZ), sulfamethoxazole (SUL) and tetracyclin (TET) and one herbicide, terbutryn (TER) have been selected for this study.

125 Table 1 : Physico-chemical characteristics of selected micropollutants.

Compounds	MW g.mol <sup>-1</sup>	kO3 mol.l <sup>-1</sup> .S <sup>-1</sup>	Log- K <sub>ow</sub>	Charge at pH7	semi-structural formula
Acetaminophen (ACT) C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>9</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	151	6.5 .10 <sup>6 a</sup>	0.45	neutral	

Carbamazepin (CBZ) C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	236	3.0 .10 <sup>5 b</sup>	2.45	neutral	H N O
Terbutryn (TER) C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>19</sub> N <sub>5</sub> S	241	-	3.50	neutral	
Sulfamethoxazole (SUL) C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>11</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S	253	2.5 .10 <sup>6 b</sup>	0.89	negative	
Tetracyclin (TET) C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>24</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	444	1.9 .10 <sup>6 c</sup>	-1.37	neutral	

126

a. (Hamdi El Najjar et al. 2014) b. (Huber et al. 2003) c. (Khan, Bae, and Jung 2010)

These molecules were selected because of their representativeness for different class of 127 emerging contaminants found widespread in WWTP secondary effluent (Terzić et al. 2008; 128 Leung et al. 2012; Nguyen et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2017; Barbosa et al. 2016), the diversity of 129 their MW, hydrophobicity (Log K<sub>ow</sub>) and oxidation rates (kO<sub>3</sub>). Some of these molecules 130 especially the terbutryn, are in the list of the 15 new priority substances which have to be 131 completely removed from all treated WW by 2020 (DIRECTIVE 2013/39/EU). In order to be 132 able to determine low concentrations in permeate when high rejection rate of the membrane 133 are achieved, the feed solution was spiked with 1000  $\mu$ gL<sup>-1</sup> of each OMP. All the products 134 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich France, and were of analytical grade. 135

136 2.1.2 Synthetic effluent

137 As reference matrix, ultrapure water was spiked with 1000  $\mu$ gL<sup>-1</sup> of each targeted 138 micropollutants. Before running any experiment with the real MBR effluent, this matrix was 139 used first and served as comparison baseline.

#### 140 2.1.3 Real effluent

141 The real effluent was taken from a full scale domestic WWTP equipped with MBR, located 142 close to Montpellier, France. The plant was designed to treat 13,000  $m^3/d$  of domestic 143 wastewater and was performing biological nitrogen removal (nitrification/denitrification). MBR was equipped with KUBOTA Submerged Membrane Unit (SMU RW400) (KUBOTA, Japan) flat sheet microporous membranes made of chlorinated polyethylene (total surface of 16,240 m<sup>2</sup>), with an average pore size of 0.2  $\mu$ m. The characteristics of the MBR permeate are presented in Table 2. The effluent was immediately stored at nearly 4°C after sampling in order to limit the variation of the composition and rewarm at room temperature (20°C ± 1°C) before conducting the experiments. No significant change was observed in the sample characteristics after the storage period.

151	Table 2:	Characteristics	of real	MBR	effluent
-----	----------	-----------------	---------	-----	----------

Parameters	unit	Average	Minimum	Maximum
рН		7.40	7.10	7.80
Electric conductivity	µS/cm	3300	2460	3940
TOC	mgC /L	6.70	5.50	8.60
COD	mg O <sub>2</sub> /L	19.10	13.60	23.00
Absorbance at 254 nm	cm <sup>-1</sup>	0.14	0.13	0.16
SUVA <sub>254</sub>	L/mg/m	2.1	1.9	2.4
TSS	mg /L	2.50	2.30	2.70
Ammonium $NH_4^+$	mg /L	2.00	0.12	4.10
Bromure Br	mg /L	1.20	0.95	1.50
Calcium Ca <sup>2+</sup>	mg /L	134.70	100.70	156.00
Chloride Cl <sup>-</sup>	mg /L	602.10	498.40	754.00
Magnesium Mg <sup>2+</sup>	mg /L	48.50	33.20	67.00
Nitrate NO <sub>3</sub>	mg /L	9.00	3.00	30.20
Nitrate NO <sub>2</sub>	mg /L	7.70	0.08	8.00
Orthophosphate PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	mg /L	10.00	7.94	12.00
Potassium K <sup>+</sup>	mg /L	34.10	22.50	44.00
Sodium Na <sup>+</sup>	mg /L	321.90	287.00	370.60
Sulfate SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	mg /L	153.70	144.50	559.50

152 2.2 Membranes selection and nanofiltration protocol

153 2.2.1 Membranes selection and characterization

The NF-90 polyamide membrane from DOW Filmtec has been selected for this study. This membrane is considered as a "tight" NF membrane with an estimated MWCO around 150 Da which seems appropriate for the retention of the selected micropollutant. Before experimentation, each membrane has been firstly soaked in ultrapure water to remove preservative agent then compacted at 18 bars for one hour at least or still stability of the flux was reached. Thereafter, these membranes have been fully characterized in terms of permeability and sodium chloride retention. 161 The NF-90 membrane permeability was determined at 10 bars at  $8.4 \pm 1.0 \text{ L} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  with 162 a NaCl rejection close to  $88 \pm 4$  %. After experiments, membranes were stored in a 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup> 163 <sup>1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> solution at 4°C.

#### 164 2.2.2 Cross-flow nanofiltration unit and experimental protocol

165 An Osmonics Sepa CF II cell (Sterlitech Corp.) was used to carry out the filtration experiments using flat sheet membrane coupons with an effective membrane area of 140 cm<sup>2</sup>. 166 A pump (Hydra-Cell, Wanner Engineering, Inc.) was used to feed the Sepa cell with the 167 solution from a 16 L feed vessel. A cryothermostat (F32, Julabo) maintained constant the feed 168 169 water temperature  $(20 \pm 1 \text{ °C})$  to prevent an increase in liquid flow temperature. During this study, the transmembrane pressure (TMP) was regulated using a micrometric pressure control 170 171 valve located on the retentate outlet. Experiments were performed at a cross-flow velocity  $(v_T)$  of 0.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> with a spacer in feed channel of 47 Mil (1.194 mm). The bench-scale NF 172 system was operated at a constant TMP of 10 bars all along the filtration experiment until 173 reaching 80% of water recovery (or at least the maximum water recovery reachable). The 174 175 effect of the pressure on the NF membrane efficiency has been examined at different water recovery rates corresponding to ~0%, 15%, 40% and 60% at 6, 8 and 10 bar pressure. It has to 176 be noted that in order to evaluate the effect of the pressure at a fixed water recovery, the 177 concentrate and permeate was both recirculated into the feed tank. 178

Finally, after each filtration experiment the NF unit was cleaned by first recirculating caustic soda (NaOH, 2%) solution then acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>, 2%) solution. After each base and acid cleaning, the system is fully rinsed with deionized water until a conductivity of 50  $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup> and a neutral pH were reached.

Before the experiment, the feed water was placed in the storage tank and recirculated for 24 h 183  $(V_T = 0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1})$  without TMP to ensure that compound adsorption onto pipes and membrane 184 had reached a steady-state. The flux was recording all along the experiment by measuring the 185 186 permeate weight every 30 second using a scale connected to a computer. Samples were collected from each compartment (feed, concentrated and permeate) for analysis. The volume 187 of the collected sample for different analysis was considered in the mass balance and the 188 apparent rejection calculation. Considering that the NF system is made of stainless steel 189 190 material, it was assumed that compound (micropollutant or organic matter) adsorption is exclusively occurring on membrane material. 191







#### 194 2.2.3 Fouling characterization

Membrane fouling was estimated considering the flux recovery after different type of washing procedure. Reversible fouling was evaluated first (immediately after experiments) by conducting ultrapure water cleaning. Then, the irreversible organic fouling was evaluated by the determination of flux recovery after 6h of sodium hydroxide (0.1N) cleaning. Finally, the inorganic fouling (scaling) was determined after 6h recirculation of hydrochloride acid (0.1N) cleaning solution. The flux recovery is given by the following equation:.

201

$$Flux_{re \, cov\, ery} = \frac{J_{initial} - J_{cl}}{J_{initial} - J_{final}}$$
203

Equation 1

204 With:

- 205 J<sub>initial</sub>: initial flux measured before the experiment (LMH)
- 206 J<sub>cleaning</sub>: flux measured after different cleaning steps (LMH)
- 207 J<sub>final</sub>: final flux measured after the experiment and before the cleaning (LMH)
- 208 2.3 Ozone pilot semi-batch ozonation protocol
- 209 The ozonation lab-scale pilot consists of a glass stirred batch reactor ( $V_{reactor} = 3 L$ ) under
- thermostatic control (20°C) continuously feed by an ozone generator (BMT 803 N) from a

211 lab-grade pure oxygen tank. Before diffusion in the reactor, the ozone is diluted with the 212 oxygen to achieve a gas flow of 60 L h<sup>-1</sup> and introduced from the bottom of the reactor 213 through a porous diffuser. An ozone gas analyzer (BMT 964) is used to monitor the gas ozone 214 concentration ( $[O_3]_{gas,in}$ ) after dehumidification. The effect of pre-ozonation on NF process is 215 studied for two different reaction contact times (15 and 30 min) for which indigo method 216 (Bader and Hoigné 1981) was used to determine the dissolved ozone concentration.

Two electro valves connected to a computer are used to determine the desired concentration for the mix oxygen/ozone. During the reaction an agitator is used to homogenized (400 rpm) and increase the ozone dissolution rate in the solution. A recirculating pump is used for sampling. The experiment consists in applying an ozone gas concentration and to determine the transferred ozone doze. Finally the specific ozone doze was defined on a well characterized effluent. Different contact times were tested depending on the parameter the experiment aimed to monitor (0 min to 5 hours).



224

Figure 2: experimental setup of ozonation labscale pilot.

226 2.4 Evaluation of the nanofiltration (NF) and Ozonation systems performance

227 2.4.1 Micropollutant analysis by direct LC-MS/MS

Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) were used to quantify OMPs and was performed with a Waters 2695 pump, autosampler with a 20  $\mu$ l loop, a Waters 2695 separation module (HPLC), and a Waters Micromass (Wythenshawe, Manchester, UK) Quattro Micro mass spectrometer equipped with ESI in positive mode. A C18 column (HSS-T3 (100 mm \* 2.1 mm, 3.5  $\mu$ m)) was used with eluent A (90 % HPLC grade water + 10 % HPLC grade acetonitrile (ACN) + 0.1% formic acid) and eluent B (ACN + 0.1% formic acid). The flow-rate was 0.25 mL min<sup>-1</sup> and the injection volume was fixed at 5  $\mu$ L. To achieve the

best sensitivity, the MS was adjusted to facilitate the ionization process and the detection 235 conditions were: capillary potential 3.5 kV, cone voltage 25 V, source temperature 120°C, 236 desolvation temperature 450°C, cone gas flow 50 NL h<sup>-1</sup>, and desolvation gas flow of 450 NL 237  $h^{-1}$ . Nitrogen was used as a nebulizer gas and argon as a collision gas. The collision energy 238 was optimized for each compound (between 14 to 22 V according to the compounds). Each 239 calibration curves were made in the same matrices as the analyte samples to avoid matrix 240 effects on detection (external calibration). Two calibration curves were made, by analyzing 241 standard samples before and after analyte samples, to avoid instrumental drift. Each sample 242 was analyzed in duplicate. The instrument quantification limit (IQL) and the instrument 243 detection limit (IDL) were determined according to a detection limit method based on a signal 244 to noise of 3 and 10 for five replicates. The IQL and IDL obtained in ultrapure water are 245 presented in Table 3. 246

247 248

Table 3: The instrument detection limit (IDL) and quantification limit (IQL) of selected micropollutants analysis in HPLC-MS/MS.

	IDL	IQL
	$(\mu g/L)$	$(\mu g/L)$
ACT	4.00	11.00
CBZ	3.00	10.00
TER	22.00	65.00
SUL	0.13	0.74
TET	0.40	2.09

249

Global indicator for pollution monitoring : TOC, UV254 and SUVA analysis 250 2.4.2

The specific UV absorbance (SUVA<sub>254</sub>) corresponds to the ratio of UV254 absorbance, 251 measured in a 1 cm quartz cuvette using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-2401PC, 252 Shimadzu, Japan) and TOC value (Weishaar et al. 2003). TOC analysis was performed using 253 254 a TOC-VCSN Shimadzu analyzer (Shimadzu Japan).

2.4.3 NF Removal rate determination 255

The NF removal rate of any parameter is determined by the difference between the feed and 256 permeate concentrations. It is then divided by feed concentration and expressed in percentage: 257

$$R = \frac{C_{feed} - 2\mathcal{B}_p}{C_{feed} - \mathcal{D}_p}$$
 Equation 2

With: 260

- 261 R : removal rate (%)
- 262  $C_{feed}$ : concentration in feed stream
- 263 C<sub>p</sub> : concentration in permeate stream
- 264 2.4.4 Dissolved organic matter (DOM) characterization using 3DEEM fluorescence

A Perkin-Elmer LS-55 spectrometer (USA) was used to produce 3DEEM spectra. 265 Measurements set-up and data analysis were described in details in Jacquin et al. (Jacquin et 266 al. 2017). Samples were scanned in ranges of 200–500 nm and 280–600 nm in excitation (Ex) 267 and emission (Em) respectively at the speed of 1500 nm/min and the increment at 10 nm, 268 269 while slit width was fixed at 10 nm in excitation and emission. The 3DEEM spectra were 270 divided into five fractions: Region I + II corresponded to aromatic protein-like fluorophores (tyrosine) ranging from Ex = 200-250 nm/Em m = 280-380 nm, Region III was associated 271 tfulvic acid-like fluorophores (Ex = 200-250 nm/Em m = 380-600 nm), Region IV and V 272 corresponded to proteins main derived from soluble microbial product fluorophores 273 274 (tryptophane) (Ex = 250-350 nm/Em m = 280-380 nm) anhumic acid-like fluorophores and their hydrolysates (Ex = 380-600 nm/Em m = 250-500 nm), respective (Jacquin et al. 2018; 275 276 2017; Chen et al. 2003). A MilliQ water control spectra was used to normalize all spectra.

277

#### **3. Results and discussions**

#### 278 3.1 Performances of NF system

279 The performances of the NF system has been evaluated on both synthetic and real effluents taking into account the permeate flux and OMPs retention. The experimentations have been 280 conducted during 15-25 hours in order to reach at least 80 % of water recovery (Y=80%). 281 Removal rates of TOC and ions in real MBR effluent have been presented in a previous study 282 (Azais et al. 2014). Depending of the stage of fouling by real MBR effluent (with virgin 283 membrane or with fouled membranes), NF-90 membranes are able to reject between 92% and 284 98% of TOC, between 83% and 97% of monovalent ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and between 285 93% and 100% of divalent ions  $(SO_4^{2-}, Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+})$ . 286

#### 287 3.1.1 Flux evolution

As a reference baseline, an experiment was conducted on ultrapure water spiked with 1000  $\mu$ g/L of each selected OMPS. Thereafter, same experiment was conducted (with a new membrane coupon) on real MBR effluent also spiked with OMPS in order to evaluate the

impact of such matrix on water flux decline. The results of these experiments are presented inFigure 3 with the relative flux (ratio between the actual flux and the initial flux) on the y-axis

according to the recovery rates on the x-axis:

294



Figure 3: Relative flux evolution for real MBR effluent (MBR eff) and ultra-pure water (UPW): TMP = 10 bars, pH = 7, V =  $0.5 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$ ,  $J_{0,MBR} = 53 \text{ LMH}$ ,  $J_{0,UPW} = 63 \text{ LMH}$ , Y = 0.1%; 15%; 40%; 60%, 80% and 85%.

The flux decline evolution associated to the filtration of the synthetic solution (the reference 298 baseline) displays only a slight decrease in the flux, 24 % after 17 hours corresponding of 299 85% water recovery. This might be explained by steric hindrance of higher MW OMPs and 300 adsorption of the most hydrophobic OMPS which reduce slightly the flux. Concerning the 301 real MBR effluent filtration, the flux decrease is much more pronounced up to 68% reduction 302 of the initial flux after 25 hours experiment corresponding to 80% of water recovery. The 303 major factor leading to the flux drop could come from dissolved and colloidal organic matter 304 (DCOM) fouling associated to high molecular weight compounds such as protein-like, fulvic 305 and humic-like substances. Such substances have been identified as revealed by the 3DEEM 306 fluorescence spectrometry (Figure 7). 307

In addition to the colloidal fouling, the real MBR effluent contains non negligible salts concentration (see Table 2). Considering that tight NF membrane such as NF-90 has relatively high salt rejection, it induces inevitably a difference of salt concentration on both side of the membrane resulting in a decrease of the driving force due to osmotic pressure difference. Salt concentration increase in the feed compartment with the water recovery resulting in an increasing osmotic pressure.

314 3.1.2 Monitoring of removal performances during NF: OMPs removal mechanisms

During the previous experiment, samples were taken from NF feed and permeate streams to monitor the influence of recovery rate on selected micropollutants removal. Moreover, to assess the impact of the TMP on micropollutants removal, samples were also picked for each recovery rate at different pressures (6, 8 and 10 bars). Removal rates at 0.1 and 80% recovery rates are shown in Figure 4.

320

321



Figure 4: Micropollutants removal efficiency from real MBR effluent using NF-90, TMP = 10 bars,  $v = 0.5 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$ , Y = 0.1% and 80%.

Removal rate of micropollutants is related to its molecular weight. The bigger it is, the better 324 325 the removal is. Except for ACT for which the molecular weight (151 Da) is on the limit of the 326 MWCO of the membrane (estimated to 150-200 Da), a very good removal rate has been 327 observed for all the other selected micropollutants. So the main removal mechanism seems to be steric hindrance as it was also observed by other authors (Garcia-Ivars et al. 2017). These 328 authors noticed an impact of real effluent matrix on the retention of uncharged 329 micropollutants. In our study, additionally to the size exclusion, an adsorption competition 330 test using calcium revealed that the tetracyclin was also adsorbed on the effluent organic 331 matter and that adsorption on organic matter may improve its retention. For the most 332 hydrophobic compounds (Carbamazepin and Terbutryn), interactions with fouled membrane 333 surface might contribute to their removal (Ganiyu et al. 2015). Sulfamethoxazol is charged 334

negatively and might undergo in electrostatic repulsive interactions with the membrane
 surface. Thus, electrostatic repulsion could contribute to enhance its removal.

As shown on Figure 4, OMPs removal rate is also linked to the fouling state of the membrane.

338 OMPs removal is lower at 0.1% water recovery where the membrane was unfouled than for

the fouled membrane at 80% water recovery. As already observed by other authors, fouling

layer constitutes a second barrier to improve the NF membrane removal ability (Azaïs et al.

- 341 2014; Azaïs et al. 2016a; Lan et al. 2018).
- 342 3.2 Ozonation of MBR real effluent
- 343 The ozone-based degradation of dissolved organic matter (DOM) and selected

micropollutants was investigated and in this aim, the instantaneous ozone demand (IOD)

345 constitutes a key parameter which was first determined.

346 3.2.1 Instantaneous ozone demand determination

In order to optimize the specific ozone dose to apply, the IOD and consumption coefficient (k) were firstly determined. The IOD corresponds to the transferred ozone dose (TOD) that is instantaneously consumed by the organic matter of the effluent, with k (mn-1) the consumption kinetics, before any remaining ozone is detected in the outlet liquid phase. The two parameters were determined according to Roustan et al. method and their values are  $3.76 \text{ mgO}_3 / \text{mgDOC}$  (Figure 5) corresponding to a specific ozone doze of 0.56 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC and 0.064 mn<sup>-1</sup> respectively (Roustan et al. 1998).





Figure 5: Determination of the instantaneous ozone demand (DIO) with: x-axis : transfered ozone doze and y-axis : dissolved ozone in the outlet liquid phase quantifed by indigomethod.  $V_{reactor} = 4L$ , liquid flow rate = 6.7  $\cdot 10^{-2}$  m<sup>3</sup>/s. contact-time = 10 min.

358 3.2.2 Ozonation of real wastewater

The ozonation of the real wastewater were monitored through following parameters:  $UV_{254}$ , COD and TOC. In order to better understand the phenomenon, the experiments were run for 5 hours, Figure 6 shows the evolution of sub mentioned parameters as a function of both time and ratio TOD/TOC:



Figure 6: Evolution of global parameter of the real matrix during ozonation. left: SUVA<sub>254</sub>, right: COD and TOC removal,  $T^{\circ} = 20 \ ^{\circ}C$ ,  $V_{reactor} = 3 L$ ,  $V_{stir} = 400 \text{ rpm}$ ,  $[O_3]_{gas} = 5 \text{ gO}_3/\text{Nm}^3$ .

- It can be seen in Figure 6 that the specific UV absorbance at 254 nm drastically decreases since the beginning of the reaction to stabilize after 30 min (2.1 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC). Indeed, by attacking the double-bound of the carbon chain monitored throughout the UV absorbance at 254nm, the ozonation process is known to be efficient in aromaticity degradation (Azaïs et al. 2017; Cheng et al. 2016).
- Moreover, the COD decreases for 40% from its initial value of  $32 \text{ mgO}_2$  /L in the first 15 min
- to 20 min (1.2 to 1.5 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC) to stabilize around 50%.
- As revealed by previous studies (Byun, Taurozzi, and Tarabara 2015; Azaïs et al. 2016b), the 373 374 curve of TOC degradation over time, confirms the inefficiency of ozone in terms of organic matter mineralization, with only a very slight decrease even after five hours of ozonation 375 376 (Byun, Taurozzi, and Tarabara 2015). The low change in TOC is not detrimental, because ozonation main goal is to limit the fouling of NF by transforming DCOM into lower MW 377 378 compounds. The second goal of ozone at this stage is to transform bio-refractory molecules into more biodegradable compounds that should be further biodegraded (after recirculation of 379 380 NF concentrate to the MBR).
- Furthermore, the evolution of organic matter was characterized through three dimensional emission-excitation fluorescence (3DEEM). 3DEEM spectra and the percentage of fractions are illustrated in Figure 7.
- 384
- 385



386

Figure 7: 3DEEM fluorescence spectra and volumes of fluorescence for different fractions of DCOM during ozonation ( $T^{\circ} = 20 \ ^{\circ}C$ ,  $V_{reactor} = 3 \ L$ ,  $V_{stir} = 400 \ rpm$ ,  $[O_3]_{gas} = 5 \ gO_3/Nm^3$ ). a) 3DEEM spectra after 0 min of ozonation; b) 3DEEM spectra after 30 min of ozonation; c) volume and % volume of the five 3DEEM regions. Region I, region II, region III, region IV and region V correspond to aromatic proteins-like type I, aromatic proteins-like type II, fulvic-like, SMP-like and humic-like fluorophores, respectively.

3DEEM spectrum presented in Figure 7.a. demonstrate that MBR permeate is mainly 393 constituted of fluorescent molecules located in the protein-like and SMP-like regions (zones II 394 and III), as well as in the fulvic-like and humic-like substances regions (zones IV and V). 395 Figure 7c shows that DOM repartition in fractions does not change significantly during 30 396 min ozonation time (2.1 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC). However, an important decrease of the overall volume 397 of fluorescence was observed after only 5 min ozonation contact time with almost 70% drop, 398 then a slight linearly decrease over time to reach almost 90 % drop after 30min of ozonation 399 (Figure 7.b.). The differences between Figure 7.a. and Figure 7.b. is due to the first 5 min of 400 reaction (0.5 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC). These results are concordant with the observation of Liu et al. who 401

monitored the evolution of DCOM during ozonation and noticed a significant decrease in the
intensities of all DCOM fluorophores after 30 min ozonation contact time (2.1 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC)
(Liu et al. 2016).

405 3.2.3 Ozonation of micropollutants in real wastewater

During the ozonation of real MBR effluent before coupling with NF, the degradation rate of
five selected micropollutants has been monitored for 30 min and the results are presented in
Figure 8.



Figure 8: Evolution of OMPs concentration in doped real MBR effluent in function of time of ozonation.  $V_{reactor} = 3 L$ ,  $V_{stir} = 400 rpm$ ,  $[O_3]_{gas} = 5 gO_3/Nm^3$ .

409

The monitoring of the degradation of the selected micropollutants revealed three categories of 412 micropollutants: the first group constituted by ACT and TET which shows 90% degradation 413 rate in the first 5 min (0.5 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC) and total degradation after 10 min (0.9 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC) of 414 415 ozonation reaction time. This has been confirmed by some authors who have determined the ozone reactivity kinetics constants ( $k_{03}$ ) for these molecules (Hamdi El Najjar et al. 2014; 416 Huber et al. 2003; Khan, Bae, and Jung 2010). For ACT, Najjar et al. obtained 2.6 x 10<sup>6</sup> 417  $M^{-1} s^{-1}$  in pure water and for TET, Khan et al., evaluated the ozone reactivity kinetics 418 constant at 1.9 x 10<sup>6</sup> M<sup>-1.</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, (Najjar et al. 2014; Khan, Bae, and Jung 2010). The second 419

group composed of CBZ and SUL shows 70% degradation in the first 10 min and almost total 420 degradation after 15 min (1.2 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC) to 20 min (1.5 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC) of ozonation reaction 421 time. The ozone reactivity kinetics coefficients have been determined in literature for this 422 second group as well. 3.0 x  $10^5$  M<sup>-1.</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 2.5 x  $10^6$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> were obtained for CBZ and 423 SUL respectively (Huber et al. 2003). Finally, the third category including TER is the less 424 reactive compound to ozone. 70% of the initial concentration is degraded by ozone after 20 425 min and only a degradation of 90% to 95% of the initial concentration is achieved after 25 426 min to 30 min (2.1 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC) reaction time. Terbutryn is part of a class of micropollutants 427 (triazins) known to be more resistant to ozone degradation; the low reactivity towards ozone 428 of the compound may be explained by stability of triazinic rings (Ormad et al. 2008). 429

430 3.3 Impact of pre-ozonation on NF performances

In order to investigate the impact of the pre-ozonation on the nanofiltration performances, some experiments were conducted immediately after MBR effluent ozonation. The performances were compared to that of non-ozonated matrix. As the micropollutants were almost totally degraded during ozonation, their removal was not monitored in ozonated matrix except for the terbutryn which is still present at significant concentration (30  $\mu$ g/L) but totally eliminated at 80% of water recovery. So the impact of pre-ozonation on NF is limited to the flux and the fouling study.

#### 438 3.3.1 Impact of pre-ozonation on NF flux evolution

In this section, the impact of ozonation on nanofiltration efficiency (permeability) has been
studied. The flux evolution for both reference and real MBR effluent, ozonated and nonozonated, are presented in Figure 9.





443

Figure 9 : Monitoring of flux evolution for different ozonated matrixes spiked with micropollutants (1000  $\mu$ g/L). TMP = 10 bars, v = 0.5 m.s<sup>-1</sup>. J<sub>0,UPW</sub> = 63 LMH, J<sub>0,UPW+15minO3</sub> = 74 LMH, J<sub>0,MBR</sub> = 53 LMH, J<sub>0,MBR+15minO3</sub> = 57 LMH, J<sub>0,MBR+30minO3</sub> =53 LMH. Ozonation conditions: T° = 20 °C, [O<sub>3</sub>]<sub>gas</sub> = 5 gO<sub>3</sub>/Nm<sup>3</sup>, ozone contact time = 15 min and 30 min corresponding to 1.2 and 2.1 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC, respectively.

Figure 9 reveals that due to the degradation of DOM (see 3DEEM on figure 7) during the pre-449 450 ozonation, the flux decline is always lower in case of ozonated matrix than that of nonozonated matrix. When the real effluent matrix has been ozonated for 15 min, the flux is 451 slightly improved and the decrease in the initial flux is about 65% (Y=80%). Indeed, nearly 452 3% and 8% of flux improvement (average) can be observed after 15min and 30min ozonation 453 respectively. These flux improvement on real ozonated effluent can be attributed to the 454 455 oxidation of the protein-like, fulvic and humic-like substances as revealed by the 3DEEM fluorescence spectrometry (figure 7). Ozonation induced a structural change in DOM by 456 decomposing carbon-carbon double bonds and aromatic rings of humic substances (Cheng et 457 al. 2016; Stylianou et al. 2015). Concerning UPW, no significant change of flux is observed 458 with pre-ozonation, which is logical due to the absence of DOM. It has to be noted that the 459 evolution of the flux in case of UPW spiked or not, indicate that the presence of 460 micropollutants induced a drop flux of about 20% for Y = 80%. 461

462 3.4 Fouling and resistance of the NF membrane.

- 463 In order to better investigate the impact of the pre-ozonation, the resistance to the flux for
- 464 both reference and real MBR effluent ozonated and non-ozonated are recapitulated in Table4.
- 465 Table 4: Membrane, fouling and total resistances of ozonated and non-ozonated MBR
- 466 effluents and ultra pure water (UPW) after NF-90 filtrations : TMP = 10 bars, v = 0.5 m.s<sup>-1</sup>, Y 467 = 80%. Ozonation:  $[O_3]gas = 5 gO_3/Nm^3$ , ozone contact time = 15 min,  $[O_3]_{specific} = 1.2$
- 468 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC.

Resistances $(x10^{13} \text{ m}^{-1})$	UPW	Ozonated UPW	MBR	Ozonated MBR
R <sub>m</sub>	4.06	4.00	4.04	4.08
$R_{\rm f}$	3.63	2.33	9.99	6.51
R <sub>tot</sub>	7.69	6.33	14.03	10.6

Table 4 revealed that the ozonation induced for both matrixes a decrease in the overall resistance. The reduction of the resistance mainly comes from fouling which was structurally modified by the ozonation as shown in Figure 10-a. Moreover, according to the type of washing and subsequent flux recovered, the percentages of reversible and irreversible fouling for reference and real MBR effluent matrixes spiked with micropollutants, ozonated and nonozonated, were also determined and presented in Figure 10-b.

475





477 Figure 10: a. Effect of ozonation on fouling and b. resistance in NF-90: TMP = 10 bars, v = 478 0.5 m.s<sup>-1</sup>, Y = 80%. Ozonation conditions: T° = 20 °C,  $[O_3]_{gas} = 5 \text{ gO}_3/\text{Nm}^3$ , ozone contact 479 time = 15 min,  $[O_3]_{\text{specific}} = 1.2 \text{ mgO}_3/\text{mgC}$ .

Figure 10-a revealed that the pre-ozonation induced a decrease of about 40% of the fouling resistance for both matrixes. For instance, from a fouling resistance of  $10^{14}$  m<sup>-1</sup>, after ozonation of real MBR effluent, the fouling resistance is only about 6.0 x  $10^{13}$  m<sup>-1</sup>. The fouling characterization confirmed that the pre-ozonation enable a mitigation of the fouling

propensity during NF especially in the case of real MBR effluent, by modifying the DCOM 484 structure which led to a DCOM more hydrophilic and with less propensity to promote 485 irreversible fouling (Park et al. 2017; Vatankhah et al. 2018). In fact, while for the non 486 ozonated MBR effluent only 36% of the initial flux was recovered, up to 53% of the initial 487 flux were recovered by a mere ultrapure water cleaning for membrane fouled by ozonated real 488 MBR effluent (Figure 10-b). The opposite effect was observed in the case of UPW because 489 the fouling mainly came from OMPs. After ozonation, the OMPs were degraded except the 490 terbutryn which might induce a slightly higher irreversible fouling. 491

492

#### 493 **4 Conclusion**

The overall objective of the paper was to investigate the impact of a pre-ozonation on NF 494 process performances during tertiary treatment of a MBR secondary effluent. Fouling and 495 micropollutants removal mechanisms during nanofiltration experiments with real MBR 496 497 effluent have been investigated. Degradation of a mixture of five micropollutants and DOM during ozonation were also studied. Fouling investigation revealed that size exclusion was 498 499 the main mechanism of micropollutants rejection. In addition, gel layer onto fouled membrane formed a supplementary barrier which contributed to enhance micropollutants 500 501 retention. The micropollutants were globally well retained by NF-90 membrane.

502 During ozonation, relatively high degardation rates were achieved for micropollutants, 503 requiring different specific ozone doses. In fact, while CBZ and SUL require a specific 504 ozone doze of 1.2 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC and TER require a specific ozone dose of 1.5 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC to 505 be degraded, 0.5 mgO<sub>3</sub>/mgC are suficient for the degradation of ACT and TET. The 506 dissolved organic matter was significantly degraded as well, by ozone but the overall 507 mineralization rate was low. A forecoming study will investigate the rejection of ozonation 508 by-products by NF-90.

A pre-ozonation enables the fouling resistance to be decreased by almost 40%. To sum up, it was demonstrated that coupling NF to a pre-ozonation process is twicly benefic. Firstly, it degrades well the micropollutants preventing from expensive specific processes in NF retentates management. Secondly, it also mitigates NF fouling by degrading the DOM inducing concomitantly to flux improvement a decrease in membrane cleanning frequency and a subsequent improve of membrane lifetime.

#### 515 Acknowledgements

- 516 The authors acknowledge the French National Agency for Research for supporting this
- 517 study through the convention ANR JCJC 2016 project SAWARE (ANR-16-CE04-0002-01).
- 518 They are also thankful to Eddy Petit and Loubna Karfane Atfane for the technical support.

## 519 **References**

- Ahmed, Mohammad Boshir, John L. Zhou, Huu Hao Ngo, Wenshan Guo, Nikolaos S. Thomaidis, and
   Jiang Xu. 2017. "Progress in the Biological and Chemical Treatment Technologies for
   Emerging Contaminant Removal from Wastewater: A Critical Review." Journal of Hazardous
   Materials 323 (February): 274–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.04.045.
- Azaïs, Antonin, Julie Mendret, Guillaume Cazals, Eddy Petit, and Stephan Brosillon. 2017. "Ozonation as a Pretreatment Process for Nanofiltration Brines: Monitoring of Transformation Products and Toxicity Evaluation." *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 338 (September): 381–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.05.045.
- Azaïs, Antonin, Julie Mendret, Sana Gassara, Eddy Petit, André Deratani, and Stephan Brosillon. 2014.
   "Nanofiltration for Wastewater Reuse: Counteractive Effects of Fouling and Matrice on the Rejection of Pharmaceutical Active Compounds." *Separation and Purification Technology* 133
   (September): 313–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.07.007.
- Azaïs, Antonin, Julie Mendret, Eddy Petit, and Stephan Brosillon. 2016a. "Evidence of Solute-Solute
   Interactions and Cake Enhanced Concentration Polarization during Removal of
   Pharmaceuticals from Urban Wastewater by Nanofiltration." Water Research 104
   (Supplement C): 156–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.08.014.
- 536 ---. 2016b. "Influence of Volumetric Reduction Factor during Ozonation of Nanofiltration
   537 Concentrates for Wastewater Reuse." *Chemosphere* 165 (December): 497–506.
   538 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.071.
- Bader, H., and J. Hoigné. 1981. "Determination of Ozone in Water by the Indigo Method." Water
   *Research* 15 (4): 449–456.
- Barbosa, Marta O., Nuno F. F. Moreira, Ana R. Ribeiro, Manuel F. R. Pereira, and Adrián M. T. Silva. 541 542 2016. "Occurrence and Removal of Organic Micropollutants: An Overview of the Watch List 543 of EU Decision 2015/495." Water Research 94 (May): 257-79. 544 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.02.047.
- Bellona, Christopher, Dean Heil, Christopher Yu, Paul Fu, and Jörg E. Drewes. 2012. "The Pros and Cons of Using Nanofiltration in Lieu of Reverse Osmosis for Indirect Potable Reuse Applications." *Separation and Purification Technology* 85 (February): 69–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2011.09.046.
- Bollmann, Ulla E., Camilla Tang, Eva Eriksson, Karin Jönsson, Jes Vollertsen, and Kai Bester. 2014.
  "Biocides in Urban Wastewater Treatment Plant Influent at Dry and Wet Weather:
  Concentrations, Mass Flows and Possible Sources." Water Research 60 (September): 64–74.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.04.014.
- 553Byun, Seokjong, Julian S. Taurozzi, and Volodymyr V. Tarabara. 2015. "Ozonation as a Pretreatment554for Nanofiltration: Effect of Oxidation Pathway on the Permeate Flux." Separation and555Purification Technology 149 (July): 174–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2015.05.035.
- Cartagena, Pablo, Marouane El Kaddouri, Vicente Cases, Arturo Trapote, and Daniel Prats. 2013.
  "Reduction of Emerging Micropollutants, Organic Matter, Nutrients and Salinity from Real
  Wastewater by Combined MBR–NF/RO Treatment." *Separation and Purification Technology*110 (June): 132–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2013.03.024.
- Wen, Paul Westerhoff, Jerry A. Leenheer, and Karl Booksh. 2003. "Fluorescence 560 Chen. Excitation-Emission Matrix Regional Integration to Quantify Spectra for Dissolved Organic 561 5701-10. 562 Matter." Environmental Science & Technology 37 (24): https://doi.org/10.1021/es034354c. 563

- Cheng, Xiaoxiang, Heng Liang, An Ding, Fangshu Qu, Senlin Shao, Bin Liu, Hui Wang, Daoji Wu, and
   Guibai Li. 2016. "Effects of Pre-Ozonation on the Ultrafiltration of Different Natural Organic
   Matter (NOM) Fractions: Membrane Fouling Mitigation, Prediction and Mechanism." Journal
   of Membrane Science 505 (May): 15–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2016.01.022.
- Contreras, Alison E., Albert Kim, and Qilin Li. 2009. "Combined Fouling of Nanofiltration Membranes:
   Mechanisms and Effect of Organic Matter." *Journal of Membrane Science* 327 (1): 87–95.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2008.11.030.
- 571 Costanza, Robert, Lorenzo Fioramonti, and Ida Kubiszewski. 2016. "The UN Sustainable Development
  572 Goals and the Dynamics of Well-Being." *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 14 (2): 59–
  573 59. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1231.
- 574 Deng, Hui. 2020. "A Review on the Application of Ozonation to NF/RO Concentrate for Municipal
  575 Wastewater Reclamation." *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, January, 122071.
  576 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122071.
- Dong, Huiyu, Xiangjuan Yuan, Weidong Wang, and Zhimin Qiang. 2016. "Occurrence and Removal of
  Antibiotics in Ecological and Conventional Wastewater Treatment Processes: A Field Study." *Journal of Environmental Management* 178 (August): 11–19.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.04.037.
- Esplugas, Santiago, Daniele M. Bila, Luiz Gustavo T. Krause, and Márcia Dezotti. 2007. "Ozonation and Advanced Oxidation Technologies to Remove Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs) and Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products (PPCPs) in Water Effluents." *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, Pollution Prevention and Restoration of the Environment, 149 (3): 631– 42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.073.
- Fersi, Cheima, Lassaad Gzara, and Mahmoud Dhahbi. 2009. "Flux Decline Study for Textile
  Wastewater Treatment by Membrane Processes." *Desalination* 244 (1): 321–32.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.04.046.
- Gan, Zhendong, Xing Du, Xuewu Zhu, Xiaoxiang Cheng, Guibai Li, and Heng Liang. 2019. "Role of
  Organic Fouling Layer on the Rejection of Trace Organic Solutes by Nanofiltration:
  Mechanisms and Implications." *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 26 (33):
  33827–37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2478-0.
- Ganiyu, Soliu O., Eric D. van Hullebusch, Marc Cretin, Giovanni Esposito, and Mehmet A. Oturan.
  2015. "Coupling of Membrane Filtration and Advanced Oxidation Processes for Removal of
  Pharmaceutical Residues: A Critical Review." *Separation and Purification Technology* 156
  (December): 891–914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2015.09.059.
- 597 Garcia-Ivars, Jorge, Lucia Martella, Manuele Massella, Carlos Carbonell-Alcaina, Maria-Isabel Alcaina-598 Miranda, and Maria-Isabel Iborra-Clar. 2017. "Nanofiltration as Tertiary Treatment Method for Removing Trace Pharmaceutically Active Compounds in Wastewater from Wastewater 599 600 Treatment Plants." Water Research 125 (November): 360-73. 601 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.08.070.
- 602 Ghernaout, Djamel. 2020. "On the Treatment Trains for Municipal Wastewater Reuse for Irrigation."
   603 OALib 07 (02): 1–15. https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1106088.
- Gogoi, Anindita, Payal Mazumder, Vinay Kumar Tyagi, G. G. Tushara Chaminda, Alicia Kyoungjin An,
  and Manish Kumar. 2018. "Occurrence and Fate of Emerging Contaminants in Water
  Environment: A Review." *Groundwater for Sustainable Development* 6 (March): 169–80.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2017.12.009.
- Hamdi El Najjar, Nasma, Arnaud Touffet, Marie Deborde, Romain Journel, and Nathalie Karpel Vel
  Leitner. 2014. "Kinetics of Paracetamol Oxidation by Ozone and Hydroxyl Radicals, Formation
  of Transformation Products and Toxicity." *Separation and Purification Technology* 136
  (Supplement C): 137–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.09.004.
- Huber, Marc M., Silvio Canonica, Gun-Young Park, and Urs von Gunten. 2003. "Oxidation of
  Pharmaceuticals during Ozonation and Advanced Oxidation Processes." *Environmental Science & Technology* 37 (5): 1016–24. https://doi.org/10.1021/es025896h.

- Jacquin, Céline, Geoffroy Lesage, Jacqueline Traber, Wouter Pronk, and Marc Heran. 2017. "Three-615 Dimensional Excitation and Emission Matrix Fluorescence (3DEEM) for Quick and Pseudo-616 Quantitative Determination of Protein- and Humic-like Substances in Full-Scale Membrane 617 618 Bioreactor (MBR)." Water Research 118 (July): 82-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.009. 619
- Jacquin, Céline, Benoit Teychene, Laurent Lemee, Geoffroy Lesage, and Marc Heran. 2018.
   "Characteristics and Fouling Behaviors of Dissolved Organic Matter Fractions in a Full-Scale
   Submerged Membrane Bioreactor for Municipal Wastewater Treatment." *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 132 (April): 169–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2017.12.016.
- Kellis, M, I. K Kalavrouziotis, and P Gikas. 2013. "Review of Wastewater Reuse in the Mediterranean
   Countries, Focusing on Regulations and Policies for Municipal and Industrial Applications."
   *Global NEST Journal* 15 (3): 333–50. https://doi.org/10.30955/gnj.000936.
- Khan, M. Hammad, Hyokwan Bae, and Jin-Young Jung. 2010. "Tetracycline Degradation by Ozonation
   in the Aqueous Phase: Proposed Degradation Intermediates and Pathway." *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 181 (1): 659–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.05.063.
- 630 Lan, Yandi, Karine Groenen-Serrano, Clémence Coetsier, and Christel Causserand. 2018. 631 "Nanofiltration Performances after Membrane Bioreactor for Hospital Wastewater Treatment: Fouling Mechanisms and the Quantitative Link between Stable Fluxes and the 632 Matrix." Water Water 146 633 Research (December): 77–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.004. 634
- Langlais, Bruno, David A. Reckhow, and Deborah R. Brink. 2019. Ozone in Water Treatment:
   Application and Engineering. Routledge.
- 637Le, Thi Xuan Huong, Thi Van Nguyen, Zoulkifli Amadou Yacouba, Laetitia Zoungrana, Florent Avril,638Duy Linh Nguyen, Eddy Petit, et al. 2017. "Correlation between Degradation Pathway and639Toxicity of Acetaminophen and Its By-Products by Using the Electro-Fenton Process in640AqueousMedia."641https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.12.060.
- Le, Thi Xuan Huong, Thi Van Nguyen, Zoulkifli Amadou Yacouba, Laetitia Zoungrana, Florent Avril,
  Eddy Petit, Julie Mendret, et al. 2016. "Toxicity Removal Assessments Related to Degradation
  Pathways of Azo Dyes: Toward an Optimization of Electro-Fenton Treatment." *Chemosphere*161 (October): 308–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.06.108.
- 646 Leung, H. W., T. B. Minh, M. B. Murphy, James C. W. Lam, M. K. So, Michael Martin, Paul K. S. Lam, and B. J. Richardson. 2012. "Distribution, Fate and Risk Assessment of Antibiotics in Sewage 647 Treatment Plants in Hong Kong, South China." Environment International, Emerging 648 649 Environmental Health Issues in Modern China, 42 (July): 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2011.03.004. 650
- Licona, K. P. M., Geaquinto, L. D. O., Nicolini, J. V., Figueiredo, N. G., Chiapetta, S. C., Habert, A. C., &
   Yokoyama, L. (2018). Assessing potential of nanofiltration and reverse osmosis for removal of
   toxic pharmaceuticals from water. Journal of Water Process Engineering, 25, 195-204.
- Liu, Chen, Penghui Li, Xiangyu Tang, and Gregory V. Korshin. 2016. "Ozonation Effects on Emerging
  Micropollutants and Effluent Organic Matter in Wastewater: Characterization Using Changes
  of Three-Dimensional HP-SEC and EEM Fluorescence Data." *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 23 (20): 20567–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7287-8.
- Mänttäri, Mika, Liisa Puro, Jutta Nuortila-Jokinen, and Marianne Nyström. 2000. "Fouling Effects of
   Polysaccharides and Humic Acid in Nanofiltration." *Journal of Membrane Science* 165 (1): 1–
   17. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(99)00215-X.
- Martin Ruel, S., M. Esperanza, J.-M. Choubert, I. Valor, H. Budzinski, and M. Coquery. 2010. "On-Site
  Evaluation of the Efficiency of Conventional and Advanced Secondary Processes for the
  Removal of 60 Organic Micropollutants." *Water Science and Technology* 62 (12): 2970–78.
  https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2010.989.
- 665 Mizuno, Tadao, Fei Han, Jie Xu, Yasunari Kusuda, and Hiroshi Tsuno. 2018. "Performance Evaluation 666 of Ozonation and an Ozone/Hydrogen Peroxide Process toward Development of a New

667Sewage Treatment Process—Focusing on Organic Compounds and Emerging Contaminants."668Ozone:Science& Engineering40(5):339–55.669https://doi.org/10.1080/01919512.2018.1435110.

- Najjar, Nasma, Arnaud Touffet, Marie Deborde, Romain Journel, and Nathalie Leitner. 2014. "Kinetics
   of Paracetamol Oxidation by Ozone and Hydroxyl Radicals, Formation of Transformation
   Products and Toxicity." *Separation and Purification Technology* 136 (November): 137–143.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.09.004.
- Nguyen, Luong N., Faisal I. Hai, Shufan Yang, Jinguo Kang, Frederic D.L. Leusch, Felicity Roddick,
  William E. Price, and Long D. Nghiem. 2013. "Removal of Trace Organic Contaminants by an
  MBR Comprising a Mixed Culture of Bacteria and White-Rot Fungi." *Bioresource Technology*148 (November): 234–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.08.142.
- Nikbakht Fini, Mahdi, Henrik Tækker Madsen, and Jens Muff. 2019. "The Effect of Water Matrix, Feed
  Concentration and Recovery on the Rejection of Pesticides Using NF/RO Membranes in
  Water Treatment." *Separation and Purification Technology* 215 (May): 521–27.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.01.047.
- Ormad, M. P., N. Miguel, A. Claver, J. M. Matesanz, and J. L. Ovelleiro. 2008. "Pesticides Removal in
  the Process of Drinking Water Production." *Chemosphere* 71 (1): 97–106.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.10.006.
- Oropesa, Ana Lourdes, Fernando Juan Beltrán, António Miguel Floro, Juan José Pérez Sagasti, and
   Patrícia Palma. 2017. "Ecotoxicological Efficiency of Advanced Ozonation Processes with TiO2
   and Black Light Used in the Degradation of Carbamazepine." *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, November. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0602-1.
- Park, Minkyu, Tarun Anumol, Julien Simon, Flavia Zraick, and Shane A. Snyder. 2017. "Pre-Ozonation
   for High Recovery of Nanofiltration (NF) Membrane System: Membrane Fouling Reduction
   and Trace Organic Compound Attenuation." *Journal of Membrane Science* 523 (February):
   255–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2016.09.051.
- Roustan, Michel, Hunert Debellefontaine, Zdravka Do-Quang, and Jean-Pierre Duguet. 1998.
  "Development of a Method for the Determination of Ozone Demand of a Water." Ozone
  Science and Engineering 20: 513–20.
- Song, Weilong, Lai Yoke Lee, and How Yong Ng. 2020. "Chapter 21 Nanofiltration and Reverse
  Osmosis Processes for the Removal of Micro-Pollutants." In *Current Developments in Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, edited by Sunita Varjani, Ashok Pandey, R. D. Tyagi, Huu
  Hao Ngo, and Christian Larroche, 527–52. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12819594-9.00021-8.
- Stylianou, S. K., S. D. Sklari, D. Zamboulis, V. T. Zaspalis, and A. I. Zouboulis. 2015. "Development of
   Bubble-Less Ozonation and Membrane Filtration Process for the Treatment of Contaminated
   Water." Journal of Membrane Science 492: 40–47.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2015.05.036.
- Terzić, Senka, Ivan Senta, Marijan Ahel, Meritxell Gros, Mira Petrović, Damia Barcelo, Jutta Müller, et 705 706 al. 2008. "Occurrence and Fate of Emerging Wastewater Contaminants in Western Balkan 707 Region." Total Environment Science of The 399 (1): 66-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.03.003. 708
- Vatankhah, Hooman, Conner C. Murray, Jacob W. Brannum, Johan Vanneste, and Christopher
  Bellona. 2018. "Effect of Pre-Ozonation on Nanofiltration Membrane Fouling during Water
  Reuse Applications." *Separation and Purification Technology* 205 (October): 203–11.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.03.052.
- Weishaar, James L., George R. Aiken, Brian A. Bergamaschi, Miranda S. Fram, Roger Fujii, and
  Kenneth Mopper. 2003. "Evaluation of Specific Ultraviolet Absorbance as an Indicator of the
  Chemical Composition and Reactivity of Dissolved Organic Carbon." *Environmental Science & Technology* 37 (20): 4702–8. https://doi.org/10.1021/es030360x.
- Yang, Yi, Yong Sik Ok, Ki-Hyun Kim, Eilhann E. Kwon, and Yiu Fai Tsang. 2017. "Occurrences and
   Removal of Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products (PPCPs) in Drinking Water and

- 719Water/Sewage Treatment Plants: A Review." Science of The Total Environment 596–597720(October): 303–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.102.
- Yangali-Quintanilla, Victor, Sung Kyu Maeng, Takahiro Fujioka, Maria Kennedy, and Gary Amy. 2010.
  "Proposing Nanofiltration as Acceptable Barrier for Organic Contaminants in Water Reuse." *Journal of Membrane Science* 362 (1): 334–45.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2010.06.058.
- 725