

# Safety and benefit of Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors in out of hospital cardiac arrest patients treated with percutaneous coronary intervention

Fabien Picard, Anastasia Sokoloff, Vincent Pham, Marine Diefenbronn, Driss Laghlam, Gabriel Seret, Olivier Varenne, Florence Dumas, Alain Cariou

### ▶ To cite this version:

Fabien Picard, Anastasia Sokoloff, Vincent Pham, Marine Diefenbronn, Driss Laghlam, et al.. Safety and benefit of Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors in out of hospital cardiac arrest patients treated with percutaneous coronary intervention. Resuscitation, 2020, 157, pp.91 - 98. 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.10.030 . hal-03493290

HAL Id: hal-03493290

https://hal.science/hal-03493290

Submitted on 7 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



- 1 Safety and benefit of Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors in out of hospital cardiac arrest 2 patients treated with percutaneous coronary intervention. 3 Fabien Picard, MD, MSc <sup>1,2,3</sup>; Anastasia Sokoloff, MD<sup>1</sup>; Vincent Pham, MD<sup>1</sup>; Marine 4 Diefenbronn, MD<sup>1</sup>; Driss Laghlam, MD<sup>1</sup>; Gabriel Seret, MD<sup>1</sup>; Olivier Varenne, MD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>; 5 6 Florence Dumas MD, PhD<sup>2,3,4</sup>; Alain Cariou, MD, PhD<sup>2,3,5</sup>. 7 8 **Affiliations:** 9 1: Department of Cardiology, Cochin Hospital, Hôpitaux Universitaire Paris Centre, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France 10 11 2: Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Faculté de Médecine, Paris, France 12 3: INSERM U970, Paris Cardiovascular Research Center (PARCC), European Georges 13 Pompidou Hospital, Paris, France 14 4 : Emergency Department, Cochin Hospital, Hôpitaux Universitaire Paris Centre, Assistance 15 Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France 5 : Medical Intensive Care Unit, Cochin Hospital, Hôpitaux Universitaire Paris Centre, 16 17 Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France 18 19 **Address for correspondence:** Fabien Picard, Cardiology Department, Cochin Hospital, 20 Hôpitaux Universitaire Paris Centre, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris, 27 rue du 21 Faubourg Saint-Jacques, 75014 Paris, France; Fax: +33 158411666; Phone: +33 158412750; 22 E-mail: Fabien.picard@aphp.fr 23 24 Running title: Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors in OHCA patients 25 26 Funding: None 27 Relationship with industry: OV reports personal fees from Boston Scientific, Biotronik and 28 29 Abbott Vascular. FP reports consulting and speaking fees from Biotronik and Sanofi. The
- 3132 Wordcount: 3018

33

34

other authors declare that they have no known conflicts of interest.

- 36 **Background:** Out of hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) patients requiring percutaneous coronary 37 intervention (PCI) are at higher risk of both stent thrombosis and bleeding. The use of 38 aggressive antiplatelet therapy could lead to a higher risk of bleeding in these patients. Indeed, 39 data on glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor (GPi) use in this specific indication is scarce. 40 Aim: We sought to evaluate the benefit and safety of GPi use in OHCA patients requiring 41 PCI. 42 *Methods and Results:* Between January 2007 and December 2017, we retrospectively 43 included all consecutive patients treated with PCI for an OHCA from cardiac cause. Clinical, 44 procedural data and in-hospital outcomes were collected. Three hundred and eighty-five 45 patients were included. GPi were administrated in 41.3% of cases (159 patients). Patients who 46 received GPi were younger, had less prior PCI, more often a TIMI 0 or 1 flow before PCI and 47 thromboaspiration use. There were no differences regarding in-hospital definite stent 48 thrombosis among the two groups (11.9% in the GPi group vs 7.1% in the non-GPi group, 49 p=0.10) or in-hospital mortality (48.6% vs 49.3%, p=0.68). The incidence of any bleeding
- 50 (33.3% vs. 19.6%; p=0.002), and major bleeding (BARC 3-5) (21.9% vs. 16.8%; p=0.007) 51 was significantly higher in patients receiving GPi. Indeed, using multivariate analysis, GPi
- 52 use was predictor of major bleeding (OR: 1.81; 95% CI: 1.06-3.08; p=0.03).
- Conclusions: In patients treated with PCI for OHCA from cardiac cause, GPi use was
   associated with an increased risk of major bleeding events, without difference on in-hospital
   stent thrombosis or death.

*Keywords:* Cardiac-arrest, acute coronary syndrome, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor, bleeding,stent thrombosis.

Wordcount: 244

56

# **Abbreviations** ACS: Acute coronary syndrome PCI: Percutaneous coronary intervention OHCA: Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction GPi: Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors ST: Stent thrombosis ADP: Adenosine diphosphate BMI: Body mass index ORs: Odds-ratio CI: Confidence interval

#### Introduction

87 88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

86

Acute coronary syndromes (ACS) are the leading cause of cardiac arrest [1]. European guidelines recommend urgent coronary angiography in a view for primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) [1-3]. A primary PCI strategy is recommended in patients with resuscitated cardiac arrest and an ECG consistent with STEMI (Class I), and urgent angiography (and PCI if indicated) should be considered in patients with resuscitated cardiac arrest without diagnostic ST-segment elevation but with high suspicion of ongoing myocardial ischemia (Class IIa) [4]. Nevertheless, ACS is a peculiar situation in terms of thrombotic and hemorrhagic balance. Indeed, OHCA patients are at higher risk of thrombosis and bleeding due to potential resuscitation traumatisms, invasive monitoring, and post-resuscitation syndrome [5]. There is no specific recommendation regarding anti-thrombotic therapy in this specific population. In general practice, the use of Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors (GPi) is usually considered for bailout situations (large thrombus, slow- or no-reflow) or thrombotic complications in patients with ACS (Class IIa) [4], although this strategy has not been tested in a randomized trials. Nevertheless, the use of GPi is related to increased risk of bleeding, that could overcome potential benefit in high-bleeding risk populations such as OHCA patients, Indeed, data regarding the use of GPi in OHCA patients undergoing PCI is scarce [6]. Therefore, we sought to evaluate the benefit and safety of GPi use in OHCA patients requiring PCI.

106107

108

109

110

111

#### Methods

#### Study design and population

This was an observational, single-center, retrospective study of consecutive patients treated for an OHCA from cardiac cause with PCI between January 2007 and December 2017, that was conducted at Cochin Hospital, an academic tertiary center. In this analysis, all

consecutive patients presenting with an OHCA from cardiac cause treated with PCI and stent implantation during the study period were included. Exclusion criteria included age<18-year-old, and patients that did not have stent implantation. Two groups were defined according to GPi administration. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. As this was a retrospective analysis conducted per institutional guidelines for data security and privacy, a waiver of consent was granted. Data were anonymized by authors prior to analysis.

### Baseline and procedural characteristics

Baseline demographics, medical history, cardiac catheterization data and in-hospital outcomes were abstracted from medical charts. Patients were treated with aspirin and heparin prior to hospital admission or during the procedure. The use of GPi was at the operator's preference but usually considered for bailout situations (large thrombus, slow- or no-reflow) or thrombotic complications. Tirofiban and abciximab were the two GPi used, according to hospital protocol and recommended dosage. The arterial access site (femoral or other), although not recorded in the present analysis was mainly femoral due to local protocol but left at the operators' discretion. The use of clopidogrel, ticagrelor or prasugrel was also left at the operators' discretion. After PCI, all patients were transferred to Intensive Care Unit (ICU). Aspirin was administered intravenously during pre-hospital transfer when signs of ST-elevation myocardial infarction on electrocardiogram or per-procedure when not administered earlier. P2Y12 inhibitors were administered through nasogastric tube either per-procedure or upon arrival in ICU.

#### Clinical endpoints and definitions

The primary endpoints were the occurrence of in-hospital acute stent thrombosis and the incidence of in-hospital bleeding events. ST was defined according to the Academic Research

Consortium for trials involving stent [7]. A ST was definite when acute coronary syndrome with angiographic or pathological confirmation of thrombus was provided, probable when unexplained death within 30 days or MI involving target vessel territory without angiographic confirmation was diagnosed. In cardiac arrest patients, probable stent thrombosis was determined using serial troponin measurements, serial 12-lead ECGs and unexplained hemodynamic deterioration without other clear cause for cardiac arrest patients and without angiographic confirmation of stent thrombosis. Repeated coronary angiography was usually performed in the department in case of recurrent ST-segment elevation, abnormal troponin rise after the acute phase or hemodynamic degradation associated with ECG modifications. Bleedings complications were defined using the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium (BARC) [8]. Type 3 and 5 of BARC classification were considered. Type 3 encompassed: 3a: Overt bleeding plus hemoglobin drop of 3 to <5 g/dL or any transfusion with overt bleeding; 3b: overt bleeding plus hemoglobin drop  $\geq 5$  g/dL or cardiac tamponade or bleeding requiring surgical intervention for control or bleeding requiring intravenous vasoactive agents and 3c: Intracranial hemorrhage, subcategories confirmed by autopsy or imaging or lumbar puncture or intraocular bleed compromising vision. Type 5 included fatal bleeding, ie. 5a: probable fatal bleeding, no autopsy or imaging confirmation but clinically suspicious; 5b: Definite fatal bleeding overt bleeding or autopsy or imaging confirmation.

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

#### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation when normally distributed and as median [inter-quartile range] otherwise. Categorical variables were expressed as count and percentage. The associations between categorical variables were assessed using a chi-square test (or Fisher exact test when appropriate) and continuous variables compared with Student t-test. Multivariate logistical regression was developed to assess the association

between baseline characteristics of interest (pre-specified patient, lesion and procedural variables) and the use of GPi. In addition, univariate and multivariate logistical regression were developed to assess the association between baseline characteristics of interest (prespecified patient, lesion and procedural variables) including GPi use and the association with the occurrence of major bleeding. Candidate covariates that showed marginal associations to outcome on univariate testing ( $p \le 0.15$ ), up to a maximum of a tenth of the number of events, in order to prevent overfitting, were included in our multivariate analysis. Odds ratios (ORs) are presented with 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical significance was defined at a level of  $\alpha \le 0.05$ . Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS package v23.0 (Chicago, IL, USA).

#### Results

#### Population and procedure

Between January 2007 and December 2017, 385 patients were treated with PCI following OHCA without reaching exclusion criteria (Figure 1). GPi were administrated in 41.3% of cases (159 patients). Patients baseline characteristics and clinical presentation are described in Table 1. 377 (97.9%) patients were comatose and benefited from mechanical ventilation under sedation. There was no major difference among the two populations. Between our two groups, patients in the GPi group had less hypertension (26.4% vs. 46.7%, p<0.001) as well as less prior PCI (9.4% vs. 24.9%, p<0.001). Initial clinical presentation also differed on two criteria, as GPi patients presented more often an initial shockable rhythm (96.3% vs. 76.8%, p=0.01), and ST-segment elevation was more often present (83.9% vs. 64.6%, p<0.001). Therapeutic hypothermia was performed in 86.0% of the patients over the first 24 hours according to local protocol.

Concerning procedural characteristics, the culprit coronary artery was more often the left anterior descending artery in the GPi group (64.7% vs. 53.1%, p<0.0001). GPi patients presented more often a TIMI 0 or 1 before PCI (79.9 % vs. 58.0%, p<0.001) and operators were more likely to perform thromboaspiration (63.9% vs. 28.9%, p<0.001). No reflow during procedure was also more often described in GPi patients (8.9% vs. 1.8%, p=0.001). Regarding peri-procedural medical treatment, antiplatelet therapy was well balanced between the two groups. Procedural characteristics are summarized in Table 2.

#### Determinants of Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor usage

GPi were used in 41.3% of patients. Multivariate analyses of factors associated with administration of GPi are showed in Table 3. Using multivariate regression, younger ager (OR 0.98(0.96-1.00), p=0.04), the absence of prior PCI (OR: 0.49 (0.25-0.96), p=0.04), thromboaspiration (OR: 2.89 (1.78-4.70), p<0.0001) and a TIMI 0 or 1 flow before PCI (OR: 2.01 (1.17-3.45), p=0.01) were the only parameters associated with use of GPi during the procedure. Gpi use was consistant over the study timeframe (Supplemental Figure 1).

### Outcomes, thrombotic and bleeding events

In-hospital mortality was high, up to 47.8% of the patients. Bleeding stage 3 or 5 of BARC classification affected 22.1% of patients, and acute stent thrombosis 9.1% of patients. In-hospital outcomes are summarized in Table 4 and Figure 2. Bleeding events rates were significantly different between the two population. GPi patients were more likely to present any bleeding complications (33.3% vs. 19.6%, p=0.002), as well as BARC 3-5 bleedings (28.3% vs. 16.8%, p=0.007). On the other hand, there were no significant differences concerning ST or in-hospital mortality (p=0.10 and p=0.68, respectively).

#### Bleeding events predictors

212 Uni- and multi-variate analysis of risk factors associated with major bleeding (BARC 3-5 of 213 the BARC classification) are described in Table 5. Using univariate analysis, dual antiplatelet 214 therapy associating aspirin to a more potent P2Y12 inhibitor (ticagrelor or prasugrel) (OR 215 1.48 (0.91-2.41), p=0.12), the use of thromboaspiration (OR: 1.45 (0.90-2.37), p=0.13), low-216 flow duration (OR: 1.01 (1.00-1.03), p=0.08), and total length of implanted stent (OR: 0.99 217 (0.97-1.00), p=0.10) tended to be associated with increased major bleeding events. The use of 218 GPi (OR: 1.95 (1.20-3.19), p=0.007) and mechanical support devices (ECMO, Impella or 219 IABP) (OR:1.86 (1.12-3.10), p=0.02) were the only significant predictors of major bleeding. 220 Using multivariate analysis, the administration of GPi remained a significant predictor of 221 higher risk of major bleeding event (OR: 1.81 (1.06-3.08), p=0.03), as well as mechanical 222 support device use (OR: 2.03 (1.14-3.62), p=0.02) and dual antiplatelet therapy associating 223 aspirin to a more potent P2Y12 inhibitor (ticagrelor or prasugrel) (OR: 1.99 (1.15-3.46), 224 p=0.01).

225

226

#### Discussion

227 The main finding of this study was that the use of GPi in the specific context of OHCA 228 patients associated with ACS is statistically associated with increased risk of bleeding events 229 (any or major), without any significant differences for stent thromboses or in-hospital 230 mortality. 231 Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor activation is the common final link of platelet aggregation. 232 Several studies suggest that the use of GPi may reduce thrombotic complications in patients 233 undergoing primary PCI [9]. However, the fact that inflammatory pro-thrombotic 234 environment in ACS leading to cardiac arrest was significantly different than in others has been recently pointed at. Empana et al showed higher concentrations of endothelial-derived micro particles in sudden cardiac death due to acute coronary occlusion compared with acute myocardial infarction with STEMI without rhythmic disturbances suggesting different patterns of acute coronary occlusion [10]. Nevertheless, a more recent study analyzed the thrombus with a quantitative method using scanning electron microscopy did not find any differences of composition between intra-coronary thrombi of patients presenting with STEMI leading to sudden cardiac death or not [11]. In this study time from symptom onset to coronary reperfusion seems to be the strongest factor influencing thrombus composition in myocardial infarction. Use of GPi showed empiric benefit concerning thrombotic events following PCI [4] though data lack in this in this specific context of ACS leading to cardiacarrest. In our study, we noted a numerically higher ST rate in the Gpi group. We believe that this was due to two main factors. First, the fact that patients in the Gpi group had higher thrombotic burden is of importance to note as these patients might be at greater risk of ST. Indeed, we cannot ascertain that patients with higher thrombus burden might not have benefited from GPi, as such therapy has been proven to reduce thrombus burden [12]. Nevertheless, recent analysis demonstrated low ischemic benefit on top of P2Y12 inhibitors [13,14]. If routine Gpi use should not be advised in OHCA patients, randomized controlled studies with Gpi, cangrelor and oral P2Y12 inhibitors could be of interest in such patients. Second, the fact that Gpi patients experienced more major bleedings could result in a need to interrupt other antithrombotic therapies and result in ST. Indeed, in stable patients who experienced both bleeding and ischemic events, bleeding events were reported to occur first in most patients [15]. Our study showed an increased risk of bleeding events (any events, p=0.002 and BARC 3 or 5 events, p=0.007) in the GPi groups without any increased of ST or in-hospital death (p=0.10 and p=0.68, respectively). These results are consistent with precedent data. In a single center

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

observational study, Jimenez-Britez et al. showed that the incidence of any bleeding (64.7% vs. 14.8%, p<0.0001) and major bleeding (41.1% vs. 3.7%, p<0.0001) was significantly higher in patients receiving GPi. Their definition of thrombotic events contained ST but also deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary thrombosis and there were no significant differences between the two groups (p=0.76). In-hospital mortality was not significantly different either (24% vs. 35.2%, p=0.39) [6]. To our knowledge, our study is the largest reported, demonstrating that GPi could increase bleeding events without any difference on in-hospital or stent thrombosis. Interestingly, even if not statistically relevant, stent thrombosis was numerically higher in GPi use. In the 19 patients with GPi who presented ST, 6 also presented a major bleeding complication according to BARC criteria (31.6%). In these cases, an early discontinuation of the double antiplatelet therapy may have led to an increased risk of ST which would have been related to GPi use in the first place. The hypothesis would need further investigation with more important cohorts of OHCA patients presenting with ACS. In the population of OHCA patients with ACS, cardiogenic shock as well as reduced body temperature impacts platelet reactivity. In a prospective observational non-randomized study including 25 resuscitated patients, lowest inhibitory effects of both aspirin and P2Y12 inhibitors was shown on day 3[16]. The authors emphasized that intravenous aspirin and P2Y12 inhibitors might supply a more predictable and stable platelet inhibition. However, in another study comparing the effects of clopidogrel and GPi on platelet reactivity in patients resuscitated from OHCA and undergoing PCI and hypothermia, the antiplatelet effect of clopidogrel measured by VerifyNow was significantly lower in the post-cardiac arrest group, close to zero with an increase to only around 10% after 48 hours. In the other hand, patients receiving GPi showed profound platelet inhibition measured by both VerifyNow IIb/IIIa and Multiplate TRAP tests for at least 22 hours after administration. These results showed that Clopidogrel loading does not significantly affect platelet function during the first 48 hours.

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

This is in contrast with eptifibatide which produces profound platelet inhibition, and may be used to bridge insufficient inhibition by clopidogrel [17]. Nevertheless, a new P2Y12 inhibitor has been recently be added to the arsenal in patient presenting for ACS in a cardiacarrest context. Indeed, cangrelor belongs to a novel chemical class, cyclopentyl triazolopyrimidine, and is a direct, reversibly binding P2Y12 inhibitor with a plasma half-life of 12 h. It has been shown to provide effective platelet inhibition and is an appealing alternative to GPi in this context [18]. Its efficacy has been assessed in three randomized controlled trials enrolling patients with PCI for stable angina or ACS against clopidogrel loading or placebo [18]. However, the comparative efficacy and safety of routine early oral P2Y12 inhibitor administration vs. the use of cangrelor in the catheterization laboratory in patients with ACS undergoing invasive management deserves further investigation. Nevertheless, OHCA patients in shock and treated with hypothermia therapy remains a specific population with different inflammatory pro-thrombotic environment and the standardized medication could be less effective. Further studies are warranted to confirm the mechanisms of higher thrombotic events, as well as to assess the appropriate management of these patients. In addition, inadequate platelet inhibition is only one component of ST pathogenesis as an individual's susceptibility to ST is multifactorial involving clinical factors, endothelial biology, inflammatory reaction, blood rheology, platelet reactivity, clotting factors, physical and mechanical properties of the stent [19]. Therefore all efforts should be done to reduce any potential ST trigger, such as appropriate stenting technique, with the help, if needed of intravascular imaging; inflammatory reaction control and other manageable factors such as hyperglycemia or hypoperfusion.

307

308

309

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

The present study has several limitations that need to be acknowledged. The results must be interpreted with caution, as this is a single-center non-randomized study with a relatively

small sample size. Thus, the differences in baseline or procedural characteristics might have impacted on the final results of the study. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, our study is the largest analyzing the safety of GPi in OHCA patients. Among them, the higher thrombotic burden in the patients receiving GPi might probably reflect a more pro-thrombotic environment that could lead to an increased risk of stent thrombosis. Nonetheless, these limitations may potentially impact on thrombotic but not hemorrhagic events. The study included patients that were treated over a long period (10 years). Indeed, the use of clopidogrel was superior between 2007 and 2012; conversely ticagrelor and prasugrel were more used after 2012. In addition, mechanical support devices such as IABP and Impella were more often used in the first 5 years of the study, due to in-hospital management protocols. However there were no difference in mortality between these two periods (2007-2012: 45.1%; 2013-2017: 41.9%; p=0.27). In addition, although therapeutic hypothermia was performed in the vast majority of the patients, the depth of hypothermia was not recorded. The choice of the P2Y12 inhibitor and GPi use was not randomized and left to the discretion of the clinician, therefore introducing potential bias. In addition, type, dose, and duration of GPi are not detailed in the present analysis, which can hamper detailed analysis of GPi administration. Also, there was an evolution in stent thrombosis rates during the study period with the use of bare metal stents; first, second and third generation of drug-eluting stents, as well as an evolution in the access site (ie, radial vs femoral) which were not recorded in the present analysis. Due to high mortality rate, ST might have been missed in a number of patients. Nevertheless, repeated coronary angiography was usually performed in the department in case of recurrent ST-segment elevation, abnormal troponin rise after the acute phase or hemodynamic degradation associated with ECG modifications, factors usually associated with ST. In addition, ST rates should have affected both groups and might not be

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

in favor of one group or the other. Finally, the rates of any bleeding might have been underreported as they are rarely mentioned in medical charts.

## Conclusion

In this study, the use of GPi in patients with ACS in a context of OHCA was associated with an increased risk of any bleeding or major bleeding events according to BARC criteria, without any significant differences concerning the risk of stent thrombosis or in-hospital death. Further studies are required to insure the safety of GPi in the very specific population of patient undergoing PCI following a cardiac arrest.

344	Figure Legend
345	Figure 1: Study Flowchart. Abbreviations: CCU: critical care unit; OHCA: out of hospital
346	cardiac arrest; GpIIbIIIa: Glycoprotein IIbIIIa.
347	Figure 2: Outcomes according to GPi use. ST=stent thrombosis; GPi=Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa
348	inhibitors
349	Supplementary Figure 1: Gpi use over time.
350	
351	
352	
353	
354	
355	
356	
357	
358	
359	
360	
361	
362	
363	
364	
365	
366	
367	
368	

## **Tables**

Table 1: Baseline characteristics, clinical presentation and pre-hospital management.

Abbreviations: BMI: Body mass index, PCI: Percutaneous coronary intervention, EES:

## External electric shock; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction

	GPi (n : 159)	Non GPi (n : 226)	p value
Age, years, mean ± SD	$57.8 \pm 12.4$	$62.9 \pm 12.1$	0.23
Male, no. (%)	126 (79.2%)	169 (74.8%)	0.31
BMI, mean $\pm$ SD	$133 (26.0 \pm 4.1)$	$26.7 \pm 5.0$	0.70
Smoking, no. (%)	105 (66.0%)	142 (62.8%)	0.52
Hypertension, no. (%)	42 (26.4%)	110 (48.7%)	< 0.001
Diabetes mellitus, no. (%)	20 (12.6%)	44 (19.5%)	0.07
Hypercholesterolemia, no. (%)	37 (23.3%)	72 (31.9%)	0.07
Family history of coronary artery disease, no. (%)	8 (5.0%)	19 (8.4%)	0.20
Previous PCI, no. (%)	15 (9.4%)	56 (24.9%)	< 0.001
Anticoagulant treatment, no. (%)	8 (5.0%)	12 (5.3%)	0.90
Initial shockable rhythm, no. (%)	138 (87.3%)	172 (76.8%)	0.01
Present witness, no. (%)	154 (97.5%)	217 (96.0%)	0.44
Rescucitation by the witness, no. (%)	107 (68.2%)	143 (63.6%)	0.35
No Flow time, min, Median (IR)	2(0-5)	2(0-7)	0.29
Low Flow time, min, Median (IR)	15(10-28)	20(10-25)	0.14
Mechanical ventilation	156 (98.1%)	221 (97.8%)	0.99
Therapeutic hypothermia	135 (84.9%)	196 (86.7%)	0.61
ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, no. (%)	132 (83.0%)	146 (64.6%)	< 0.001
Number of EES, mean $\pm$ SD	$1.1 \pm 1.4$	$1.0 \pm 1.7$	0.10
Adrenaline injection, no. (%)	86 (54.4%)	120 (54.1%)	0.94
Morphine injection, no. (%)	158 (99.4%)	224 (99.1%)	0.78
LVEF, %, mean ± SD	$36.4 \pm 14.1$	$36.8 \pm 14.7$	0.81

Table 2: Procedural characteristics. Abbreviations: IABP: intra-aortic balloon pump, ECMO: extra-corporeal mechanical oxygenation, TIMI: thrombolysis in myocardial infarction, PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention, LAD: left descending artery, LCX: left circumflex artery, RCA: Right coronary artery.

	GPi (n: 159)	Non GPi (n: 226)	p value
Antiplatelets administered before or during catheterization			
procedure, no. (%)			
Aspririne + Clopidogrel	78 (49.1%)	114 (50.4%)	0.79
Aspirine + Ticagrelor or Prasugrel	70 (44.0%)	91 (40.3%)	0.46
IABP, no. (%)	43 (27.0%)	41 (18.1%)	0.04
Impella implantation, no. (%)	10 (6.3%)	11 (4.9%)	0.55
ECMO implantation, no. (%)	8 (5.2%)	13 (5.8%)	0.78
Thromboaspiration, no. (%)	101 (63.9%)	65 (28.9%)	< 0.0001
TIMI flow 0 or 1 before PCI, no. (%)	127 (79.9%)	131 (58.0%)	< 0.0001
TIMI flow 3 after PCI, no. (%)	148 (93.1%)	218 (96.5%)	0.13
Bifurcation lesion, no. (%)	39 (24.5%)	61 (26.9%)	0.63
Culprit coronary artery, no. (%)			
LAD	103 (64.7%)	120 (53.1%)	0.02
LCX	24 (15.1%)	47 (20.8%)	0.16
RCA	31 (19.5%)	57 (26.1%)	0.19
Other	1 (0.6%)	2 (0.9%)	0.78
No reflow, no. (%)	14 (8.9%)	4 (1.8%)	0.001
Total length of implanted stent, mm, mean $\pm$ (SD)	$26.6 \pm 13.8$	$30.7 \pm 21.1$	0.04
Switch of antiplatelets, no. (%)	24 (15.1%)	52 (23.0%)	0.06

Table 3: Uni and multivariate analysis of factors associated with the use of Gpi. *Abbreviations: Same as Table 1 and 2.* 

Univariate predictors	Odds ratio	95% CI	p value
Age, years, mean ± SD	0.97	0.95-0.98	<0.0001
Male, no. (%)	1.3	0.79-2.10	0.31
$BMI$ , mean $\pm SD$	0.97	0.92-1.02	0.22
Anticoagulant treatment, no. (%)	0.94	0.38-2.36	0.90
Initial shockable rhythm, no. (%)	2.09	1.19-3.66	0.01
IABP use, no. (%)	1.67	1.03-2.72	0.04
Impella implantation, no. (%)	1.31	0.54-3.17	0.55
ECMO implantation, no. (%)	0.88	0.36-2.17	0.78
Thromboaspiration, no. (%)	4.36	2.83-6.73	< 0.0001
TIMI flow 0 or 1 before PCI, no. (%)	2.88	1.80-4.60	< 0.0001
TIMI flow 3 after PCI, no. (%)	0.79	0.58-1.08	0.14
Bifurcation lesion, no. (%)	0.89	0.57-1.40	0.63
No reflow, no. (%)	5.40	1.74-16.72	0.003
Total length of implanted stent, mm, mean $\pm$ (SD)	0.99	0.98-1.00	0.04
Therapeutic hypothermia	0.86	0.48-1.54	0.61
Multivariate predictors	Odds ratio	95% CI	p value
Age, years, mean $\pm$ SD	0.98	0.96-1.00	0.04
Previous PCI, no. (%)	0.49	0.25-0.96	0.04
Initial shockable rhythm, no. (%)	1.20	0.63-2.30	0.58
IABP use, no. (%)	1.20	0.69-2.08	0.53
Thromboaspiration, no. (%)	2.89	1.78-4.70	< 0.0001
TIMI flow 0 or 1 before PCI, no. (%)	2.01	1.17-3.45	0.01
TIMI flow 3 after PCI, no. (%)	1.13	0.70-1.83	0.62
No reflow, no. (%)	3.78	0.81-17.62	0.09
Total length of implanted stent, mm, mean $\pm$ (SD)	0.99	0.98-1.01	0.25

Table 4: Clinical outcomes. Abbreviation: BARC: Bleeding Academic Research Consortium

	GPi (n: 159)	Non GPi (n: 226)	p value
Any bleeding, no. (%)	53 (33.3%)	44 (19.6%)	0.002
Major bleeding (BARC 3-5), no. (%)	45 (28.3%)	38 (16.8%)	0.007
Stent thrombosis, no. (%)	19 (11.9%)	16 (7.1%)	0.10
In-hospital mortality, no. (%)	74 (47.1%)	110 (49.3%)	0.68

Table 5: Uni- and multivariate analysis of factors associated with major (BARC 3-5) bleedings. *Abbreviations: Same as Table 1,2 and 4.* 

Univariate predictors	Odds ratio	95% CI	p value
BMI, mean ± SD	0.96	0.90-1.03	0.26
Smoking, no. (%)	0.76	0.46-1.25	0.27
Antiplatelets administered before or during catheterization			
procedure, no. (%)			
Aspririne + Clopidogrel	0.72	0.44-1.17	0.18
Aspirine + Ticagrelor or Prasugrel	1.48	0.91-2.41	0.12
GpIIbIIIa inhibitors use, no. (%)	1.95	1.20-3.19	0.007
Thromboaspiration, no. (%)	1.45	0.90-2.37	0.13
Total length of implanted stent, mm, mean $\pm$ (SD)	0.99	0.97-1.00	0.10
Switch of antiplatelets, no. (%)	1.28	0.71-2.30	0.42
Therapeutic hypothermia	0.85	0.43-1.67	0.63
Anticoagulation use	0.90	0.29-2.77	0.86
No-Flow duration	0.97	0.91-1.02	0.23
Low-Flow duration	1.01	1.00-1.03	0.08
Mechanical support device (ECMO, Impella or IABP)	1.86	1.12-3.10	0.02
Multivariate predictors	Odds ratio	95% CI	p value
Aspirine + Ticagrelor or Prasugrel, no. (%)	1.99	1.15-3.46	0.01
GpIIbIIIa inhibitors use, no. (%)	1.81	1.06-3.08	0.03
Thromboaspiration, no. (%)	1.15	0.66-2.01	0.61
Total length of implanted stent, mm, mean $\pm$ (SD)	0.99	0.97-1.00	0.12
Low-Flow duration	1.01	1.00-1.03	0.07
Mechanical support device (ECMO, Impella or IABP)	2.03	1.14-3.62	0.02

#### 505 References

- Nolan JP, Soar J, Cariou A, Cronberg T, Moulaert VRM, Deakin CD, et al. European
   Resuscitation Council and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Guidelines for Post resuscitation Care 2015: Section 5 of the European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for
   Resuscitation 2015. Resuscitation 2015;95:202–22. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.07.018.
- 510 [2] Bougouin W, Dumas F, Karam N, Maupain C, Marijon E, Lamhaut L, et al. Should We 511 Perform an Immediate Coronary Angiogram in All Patients After Cardiac Arrest?: Insights 512 From a Large French Registry. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2018;11:249–56. 513 doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2017.09.011.
- Noc M, Fajadet J, Lassen JF, Kala P, MacCarthy P, Olivecrona GK, et al. Invasive coronary treatment strategies for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a consensus statement from the European association for percutaneous cardiovascular interventions (EAPCI)/stent for life (SFL) groups. EuroIntervention, vol. 10, 2014, pp. 31–7. doi:10.4244/EIJV10I1A7.
- 518 [4] Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, et al. 2017 ESC
  519 Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST520 segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in
  521 patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC).
  522 European Heart Journal 2018;39:119–77. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehx393.
- 523 [5] Picard F, Llitjos J-F, Diefenbronn M, Laghlam D, Seret G, Sokoloff A, et al. The balance of thrombosis and hemorrhage in STEMI patients with or without associated cardiac arrest: An observational study. Resuscitation 2019;145:83–90. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.10.022.
- 526 [6] Jiménez-Brítez G, Freixa X, Flores E, Penela D, Hernandez-Enriquez M, San Antonio R, et al. Safety of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors in patients under therapeutic hypothermia admitted for an acute coronary syndrome. Resuscitation 2016;106:108–12. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.06.031.
- Cutlip DE, Windecker S, Mehran R, Boam A, Cohen DJ, van Es G-A, et al. Clinical end points in coronary stent trials: a case for standardized definitions. Circulation, vol. 115, American Heart Association, Inc; 2007, pp. 2344–51.
   doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.685313.
- 534 [8] Mehran R, Rao SV, Bhatt DL, Gibson CM, Caixeta A, Eikelboom J, et al. Standardized 535 Bleeding Definitions for Cardiovascular Clinical Trials: A Consensus Report From the 536 Bleeding Academic Research Consortium. Circulation 2011;123:2736–47. 537 doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.009449.
- 538 [9] Boersma E, Harrington RA, Moliterno DJ, White H, Théroux P, Van de Werf F, et al.
  539 Platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors in acute coronary syndromes: a meta-analysis of all
  540 major randomised clinical trials. The Lancet 2002;359:189–98. doi:10.1016/S0140541 6736(02)07442-1.
- [10] Empana J-P, Boulanger CM, Tafflet M, Renard JM, Leroyer AS, Varenne O, et al.
   Microparticles and sudden cardiac death due to coronary occlusion. The TIDE (Thrombus and Inflammation in sudden DEath) study. European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care 2015;4:28–36. doi:10.1177/2048872614538404.
- 546 [11] Silvain J, Collet J-P, Guedeney P, Varenne O, Nagaswami C, Maupain C, et al. Thrombus composition in sudden cardiac death from acute myocardial infarction. Resuscitation 2017;113:108–14. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2017.01.030.
- 549 [12] Capodanno D, Milluzzo RP, Angiolillo DJ. Intravenous antiplatelet therapies (glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitors and cangrelor) in percutaneous coronary intervention: from pharmacology to indications for clinical use. Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis 2019;13:1753944719893274. doi:10.1177/1753944719893274.
- Ibrahim H, Kaltenbach LA, Hess CN, Recchia T, Effron MB, Stone GW, et al. Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor use in patients with acute myocardial infarction undergoing PCI: Insights from the TRANSLATE ACS study. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2019;93:E204–10.
   doi:10.1002/ccd.27816.

- Tavenier AH, Hermanides RS, Fabris E, Lapostolle F, Silvain J, Berg Ten JM, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa Inhibitors on Top of Ticagrelor in STEMI: A Subanalysis of the ATLANTIC Trial. Thromb Haemost 2020;120:65–74. doi:10.1055/s-0039-1700546.
- 560 [15] Ducrocq G, Schulte PJ, Budaj A, Cornel JH, Held C, Himmelmann A, et al. Balancing the risk of spontaneous ischemic and major bleeding events in acute coronary syndromes.

  562 American Heart Journal 2017;186:91–9. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2017.01.010.
- Prüller F, Milke OL, Bis L, Fruhwald F, Scherr D, Eller P, et al. Impaired aspirin-mediated platelet function inhibition in resuscitated patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with therapeutic hypothermia: a prospective, observational, non-randomized single-centre study. Ann Intensive Care 2018;8:28. doi:10.1186/s13613-018-0366-x.
- 567 [17] Steblovnik K, Blinc A, Bozic-Mijovski M, Kranjec I, Melkic E, Noc M. Platelet reactivity in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention and hypothermia. EuroIntervention 2015;10:1418–24. doi:10.4244/EIJY14M05\_02.
- 570 [18] Qamar A, Bhatt DL. Current status of data on cangrelor. Pharmacol Ther 2016;159:102–9. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2016.01.004.
- 572 [19] Gori T, Polimeni A, Indolfi C, Räber L, Adriaenssens T, Münzel T. Predictors of stent thrombosis and their implications for clinical practice. Nat Rev Cardiol 2019;16:243–56. doi:10.1038/s41569-018-0118-5.



