

Alkaline treatment combined with enzymatic hydrolysis for efficient cellulose nanofibrils production

Gabriel Banvillet, Gaël Depres, Naceur Belgacem, Julien Bras

► To cite this version:

Gabriel Banvillet, Gaël Depres, Naceur Belgacem, Julien Bras. Alkaline treatment combined with enzymatic hydrolysis for efficient cellulose nanofibrils production. Carbohydrate Polymers, 2021, 255, pp.117383 -. 10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.117383 . hal-03493223

HAL Id: hal-03493223 https://hal.science/hal-03493223

Submitted on 2 Jan 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0144861720315563 Manuscript_7d14bfc165355398d7a9b6794fd23aa4

- 1 Alkaline treatment combined with enzymatic hydrolysis for efficient cellulose nanofibrils production
- 2
- 3 Gabriel Banvillet^{1,2}, Gaël Depres², Naceur Belgacem^{1,3}, Julien Bras^{1,3,4}
- 4 1 Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP*, LGP2, F-38000 Grenoble, France
- 5 2 Arjowiggins France SAS, Voiron F-38500, France
- 6 3 Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), Paris F-75000, France
- 7 4 Nestle Research Center, Lausanne 1100, Suisse
- 8
- 9 * Institute of Engineering Univ. Grenoble Alpes
- 10 Abstract

11 Cellulose nanofibrils were efficiently produced from eucalyptus fibers using a combined NaOH and 12 enzymatic treatment followed by a pilot scale grinding process. The structural changes of fibers were 13 assessed after NaOH treatments at 5, 10 and 15 wt% concentrations. A progressive shift from a 14 cellulose I to a cellulose II crystalline structure was observed with X-ray diffraction (XRD) and nuclear 15 magnetic resonance (NMR). The further enzymatic hydrolysis was improved for the NaOH treated samples. The increase of crystallinity indices due to enzymatic hydrolysis was of + 4.7 %, + 3.5 %, and 16 17 +10.3 % for samples treated with NaOH 5, 10 and 15 wt% respectively, and DP values were drastically 18 reduced to 340, 190 and 166 respectively. A morphological analysis underlined an optimum with the 19 combination of NaOH 10 wt% and enzymatic hydrolysis. This treatment followed by the grinding 20 process resulted in CNF with a rigid structure, with diameters ranging from 10 to 20 nm and lengths 21 between 150 and 350 nm. A multi-scale analysis enabled to study the impact of this combined 22 treatment on CNF properties and energy consumption. A decrease in mechanical properties of 23 nanopapers was observed for the combined treatment and NaOH treatment alone compared to 24 enzymatic hydrolysis alone, with Young's modulus of 8.94, 4.84 and 11.21 GPa respectively. 25 However, optical properties were improved, with transmittance values of 42.2, 15.4 and 7.1 % respectively. This new pretreatment can therefore lead to CNF with tunable properties depending on 26 27 the application, with possible industrialization thanks to the reduction of energy needs.

28 Keywords

29 Cellulose nanofibrils, sodium hydroxide, enzymatic hydrolysis, fiber morphology, nanopapers.

- 30 Highlights
- 31 alkaline treatments facilitated the further enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose fibers.
- 32 combined NaOH and enzymatic treatments easily led to a CNF network after grinding.
- mechanical properties of CNF were negatively affected by the combined treatments.
- 34 optical properties of CNF were positively affected by the combined treatments.
- 35 1. Introduction

Cellulose is produced in nature by a wide variety of organisms, and is the main structural element in 36 37 the cell wall of majority of plants. It composes a large portion of wood, cotton, hemp, linen, ramie, 38 etc. This biopolymer is thus produced in considerable quantities each year, with an estimated annual 39 production by terrestrial plants of 50.10^9 tons. The total available cellulose is estimated to be more than 10¹¹ tons (Stevanovic, 2016), making it the most abundant polymer on Earth. Natural cellulose 40 fibers, which are relevant for applications such as paper or textile, can be processed into nanoscale 41 42 elements by the use of chemical, enzymatic and/or mechanical treatments (Nechyporchuk et al., 43 2016). The obtained nanocellulose, with rigid highly crystalline (cellulose nanocrystals, CNC) or 44 flexible semi-crystalline structure (cellulose nanofibrils, CNF) exhibit interesting mechanical, optical, 45 rheological and barrier properties. CNF, in particular, have been discovered in the 1980's (Turbak et 46 al., 1983) and considered relevant for a wide range of applications, such as composite reinforcement 47 (Miao & Hamad, 2013), food packaging (Azeredo et al., 2017) or barrier and active coatings (Ferrer et 48 al., 2017; Spieser et al., 2020). They appear as a potential alternative to oil-based products, and could 49 be a major actor of the bioeconomy, as attested by the several national and international 50 development projects from the last decade.

51 The industrial production of CNF, however, still needs to overcome some issues. Despite the recent 52 improvements concerning chemical pretreatments (Inamochi et al., 2017; Rol et al., 2018), enzymatic 53 hydrolysis (Hu et al., 2018) or mechanical fibrillation (Rol et al., 2020a; Taheri & Samyn, 2016; 54 Tsalagkas et al., 2018), the production volumes remain low, and the CNF price cannot compete with 55 synthetic polymers (Assis et al., 2018). These issues can be explained on one hand by the limitations 56 in CNF quality observed with pretreatments which are easy to be upscaled, such as enzymatic 57 hydrolysis. The discovery of this pretreatment was a major breakthrough for CNF production 58 (Henriksson et al., 2007; Pääkkö et al., 2007), but a plateau in CNF properties is often obtained by this 59 mean (Nechyporchuk et al., 2015; Siqueira et al., 2010). On the other hand, the highly interesting 60 properties obtained by chemical grafting such as TEMPO-oxidation (Saito & Isogai, 2006), carboxymethylation (Kaldéus et al., 2018) or phosphorylation (Rol et al., 2020b) are usually 61

associated with time-consuming processes, using in some cases toxic chemicals. There is therefore a
need to develop and improve new pretreatments in terms of CNF properties and process ease, by
using recyclable solvents and up scalable processes.

65 To this end, the use of aqueous sodium hydroxide (NaOH) as a pretreatment for CNF production has 66 been proposed since the early 2010s. The use of alkaline solutions on native cellulosic fibers is not 67 new, and was first reported more than one century ago in 1850 by John Mercer, who observed an 68 improvement of the properties of cotton fibers treated with a NaOH solution. This patented 69 treatment (Mercer, 1850), named mercerisation after its inventor, was proven to enhance fibers 70 lustre, smoothness, dimensional stability and mechanical properties. Since then, the use of NaOH has 71 expanded to the textile industry, the production of regenerated cellulose, or even the cellulose 72 dissolution. Its action on cellulose is nowadays well documented, and consists in a conversion from 73 the native cellulose I structure to the thermodynamically more stable cellulose II structure. This 74 phenomenon takes place with a swelling of cellulose fibers by NaOH hydrates and the formation of 75 alkali complexes. This results in a shift from a parallel crystalline conformation (cellulose I) to an 76 antiparallel one (cellulose II) through translation diffusion mechanisms, although the physical state of 77 cellulose during this reaction is still not fully understood (Budtova & Navard, 2016). The conversion 78 to cellulose II is irreversible, and the study of its crystalline structure by X-ray and neutron diffraction 79 highlighted a greater number of hydrogen bonds in this conformation (Pérez & Mazeau, 2005).

80 Alkaline treatment on previously individualized CNF was reported in 2011 (Abe & Yano, 2011) 81 resulting in high-strength hydrogels compared to untreated cellulose. Dissolution of CNF in aqueous 82 NaOH was also performed in 2015 (Yamane et al., 2015), leading to a stable cellulose solution in 83 terms of viscosity. However, the use of NaOH treatment alone for CNF production was first reported 84 by Wang et al., 2014. The process was composed of a mercerisation step in 17.5 wt% NaOH for 12 85 hours, followed by several passes in a grinder and a homogenizer. The obtained CNF, with 15 - 100 86 nm widths, had better thermal stability compared to untreated fibers, but lower mechanical 87 properties (Young's modulus of 8.6 and 11.8 GPa respectively). Later, this treatment was adapted 88 with simultaneous ball-milling (Abe, 2016, 2019) leading to stable hydrogels but poorly individualized CNF due to quick gelation. Under the form of films, the CNF exhibited lower mechanical properties 89 90 compared to typical CNF films, but the continuous network formed and possibility to tune the 91 properties with controlled crystalline structures were underlined. These studies were of great 92 interest as they showed the possibility to obtain CNF with a simple NaOH treatment. The remaining 93 issues were (i) the difficulty to individualize CNF due to the gelation during NaOH treatment and (ii) 94 the medium quality of the resulting CNF.

95 The use of enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose along with alkaline treatment has been explored 96 recently. An enzymatic hydrolysis prior to dissolution in NaOH was proven to improve the dissolution 97 kinetics and efficiency, due to DP decrease and deconstruction of fibers (Budtova & Navard, 2016; Le 98 Moigne et al., 2010). Inversely, NaOH treatment prior to enzymatic hydrolysis was shown to have a 99 positive effect. The shift to cellulose II was proven to increase cellulose accessibility for enzymes (Kobayashi et al., 2012; Ling et al., 2017), thus improving hydrolysis kinetics (Wada et al., 2010) and 100 yield (Kuo & Lee, 2009; SriBala et al., 2016). An alkaline pretreatment prior to enzymatic hydrolysis 101 102 was also proven to be efficient for CNF production from soybean straw (Martelli-Tosi et al., 2016). In 103 this study, the alkaline pretreatment is coupled with a bleaching step using sodium chlorite or 104 hydrogen peroxide. A laboratory scale mechanical treatment (Ultra Turrax) enabled the production 105 of CNF with 8-12 nm widths as observed with transmission electron microscopy. However, according 106 to the authors, the combination of alkaline and enzymatic treatments has not been clearly applied to 107 a pilot scale CNF production process.

108 This study aims to investigate the relevance of a combined NaOH and enzymatic treatments for CNF 109 production. To that end, three NaOH treatments at 5, 10 and 15 wt% were performed on refined 110 kraft bleached eucalyptus fibers, followed by enzymatic hydrolysis with an endoglucanase. The term 111 mercerisation was not used, as it refers to NaOH treatments under specific conditions (NaOH 18 - 32 112 wt%, temperature 25 - 40 °C, short treatment time) that were not used here. The crystalline structure of cellulose was studied by X-ray diffraction and nuclear magnetic resonance, to assess the 113 114 shift from cellulose I to cellulose II, and to quantify the improvement of enzymatic hydrolysis. Fiber morphology was investigated with scanning electron microscopy, MorFi analysis and degree of 115 116 polymerization. Optimal pretreatment conditions were determined and the pretreatment was 117 adapted to a pilot reactor. Cellulose nanofibrils were thereafter produced with a grinding treatment, and CNF properties were studied as suspensions and films, to assess the combined pretreatment 118 119 efficiency compared to NaOH and enzymes alone.

120

121 2. Experimental section

122 2.1 Materials

Bleached Eucalyptus Kraft Pulp was purchased as dry pulp sheets from Cenibra, Brazil. Enzyme solution FiberCare[®] R (Novozyme, Denmark) with an endoglucanase activity of 4770 ECU/g was kindly supplied by the partner company Arjowiggins, France. Chemicals were used as received from suppliers: sodium hydroxide (NaOH 50 % in H₂O, Sigma-Aldrich), acetic acid (CH₃COOH \ge 99.7 %, Sigma-Aldrich), sodium acetate trihydrate (CH₃COONa \cdot 3H₂O \ge 99.0 %, Sigma-Aldrich), hydrochloric

- acid (HCl 37 %, Sigma-Aldrich), bis(ethylenediamine)copper(II) hydroxide solution (Cu(H₂NCH₂CH₂NH₂)₂(OH)₂ 1.0 M in H₂O, Sigma-Aldrich), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄ 95.0 – 98.0 %, Sigma-Aldrich), potassium iodide (KI \geq 99.0 %, Sigma-Aldrich), potassium permanganate (KMnO₄ \geq 99.0 %, Sigma-Aldrich), sodium thiosulfate (Na₂S₂O₃ 99 %, Sigma-Aldrich).
- 132 2.2 Cellulose alkaline and enzymatic treatment

133 2.2.1 Pulping and refining

134 Cellulose fibers were dispersed with deionized water into a 2 wt% suspension with a laboratory scale 135 pulper for 10 minutes. Refining was performed with a Valley beater (Voith, Germany) until a 136 fibrillation degree of 70 SR as measured with a Shopper Riegler tester (Paper Testing Association, 137 France) following the ISO 5267-1 standard. The pulp was then filtered with a nylon sieve with a mesh 138 size of 1 µm until a concentration of 10 wt%.

139 2.2.2 NaOH treatment

140 Refined cellulose fibers were treated with three different sodium hydroxide solutions, with NaOH 141 concentrations of 5, 10 and 15 wt% respectively. The reactions were performed under stirring at 142 room temperature for 1 h, with a pulp solid content of 2 wt% (20 g pulp, 980 g NaOH solution). The 143 NaOH solutions with various concentrations were prepared by diluting a NaOH 50 wt% solution with deionized water, considering the water present in the pulp. After the reaction, the suspensions were 144 145 filtered once with a Buchner funnel using a nylon sieve with a mesh size of 1 μ m, and dispersed at 2 146 wt% with deionized water. The residual sodium hydroxide was neutralized with a 0.5 M HCl solution. 147 Filtration and neutralization steps were repeated several times until a stable pH of 7.0 was obtained. 148 The 10 wt% NaOH treatment was adapted to 200 g of fibers, with the same protocol adapted to a 12 149 L reactor. 100 g were recovered for direct fibrillation into the grinder, and 100 g were further treated with enzymes before fibrillation into the grinder. 150

151 2.2.3 Enzymatic hydrolysis

152 Enzymatic hydrolysis was performed on refined pulp as such, and after NaOH treatments at 153 concentrations of 5, 10 and 15 wt% respectively. 10 g of pulp were introduced with a solid content of 154 2 wt% in a reaction flask under mechanical agitation. A temperature of 50 °C was set with an oil bath, 155 and a stable pH of 5.0 was obtained with an acetate buffer composed of acetic acid and sodium acetate trihydrate. The enzyme solution (FiberCare R cellulase with an activity of 4770 ECU/g of 156 solution) was then poured into the reaction flask, with an enzyme concentration of 300 ECU/g of 157 158 cellulose (6.29 \times 10⁻² g of enzyme solution / g of cellulose). After 2 hours of reaction, the enzymatic 159 hydrolysis was stopped by diluting the pulp suspension to 1 wt% with boiling deionized water during 5 minutes. The pulp was filtered with a Buchner funnel using a nylon sieve with a mesh size of 1 μm. Additional washing and filtration steps were performed, in order to remove the residual salts and the sugars produced during the reaction. The pulp with a solid content of approximatively 20 wt% was then carefully recovered from the nylon sieve and stored in fridge. The enzymatic hydrolysis on NaOH 10 wt% treated pulp was adapted to 100 g of fibers, with the same protocol adapted to a 12 L reactor, before fibrillation into the grinder.

166 2.2.4 Process yields

167 The process yields were calculated for each reaction with the following equation:

168 yield (%) =
$$\frac{m_f}{m_i} \times 100$$
 (1)

where m_i is the initial dry mass of fibers in grams and m_f the final dry mass of (nano)fibers in grams.
For processes including several steps, *e.g.* coupled NaOH treatment and enzymatic hydrolysis, the
initial mass corresponds to the dry mass of refined fibers before any reaction, and the final mass
corresponds to the dry mass of fibers after both process steps.

173 2.3 CNF preparation

174 2.3.1 Mechanical fibrillation processes

175 Two fibrillation processes were used for the deconstruction of fiber structure: (i) a mild and low 176 shear laboratory scale mechanical fibrillation process (Ultra Turrax T-25 disperser, IKA, Germany) to assess the pretreatments efficiency on a small quantity of fibers, and (ii) a pilot scale ultra-fine 177 friction grinder (Model MKZA6-2, Disk model MKG-C 80, Masuko Sangyo Co., Ltd., Japan) for an 178 179 efficient high-quality CNF production. The Ultra Turrax mechanical treatment was performed on 5 g 180 of pulp with a solid content of 2 wt%, with a rotation speed of 8,000 rpm and 10 min treatment time 181 at room temperature. Samples before and after the mechanical treatment were recovered, in order 182 to study its impact on fiber morphology and creation of CNF. The ultra-fine friction grinding treatment was performed on 100 g of pulp with a solid content of 2 wt%, with a rotation speed of 183 184 1,500 rpm. The pressure between rotor and stator disks was determined with the apparatus arbitrary 185 units. A progressive shift from graduation 0 (low pressure) to graduation 20 (high pressure) was 186 achieved, for a total number of passes between 30 and 40. For the sample treated with both NaOH 187 10 wt% and enzymes, the graduation 30 could be used without process issues. The specific energy 188 consumption was calculated with the Equation 2:

189 Specific Energy Consumption (MWh/t) =
$$\frac{P_{\text{net}} \times t}{m_{\text{dry}}}$$
 (2)

where P_{net} is the net power (MW) measured during the mechanical treatment, t the fibrillation time (h) and m_{dry} the dry mass of fibers (t). The net power and fibrillation time were measured for each graduation used, and the specific energy consumptions were summed to obtain the total energy consumption of the process. The energy consumption of the refining step (approx. 0.6 MWh/t) was considered. Samples were recovered at different stages of the process for further characterization.

195 2.3.2 Preparation of nanopapers

Nanopapers (60 g/m²) were prepared by filtration using a Rapid Köthen sheet former. 2 g of CNF were diluted to 0.5 wt% with deionized water and homogenized for 1 min with an Ultra Turrax T-25 disperser at 8,000 rpm. The suspension was then filtered with the sheet former equipped with an additional nylon sieve with a mesh size of 1 μ m, under a controlled vacuum of – 500 mbar. After a complete removal of water, the CNF sheets were dried between two nylon sieves under vacuum at 85 °C for 20 min. 2 nanopapers were made for each CNF suspension. The nanopapers were stored in a conditioned room at 23 °C and 50 %RH for 48 h before any characterization.

203 2.4 Cellulose fibers and nanofibrils characterization

204 2.4.1 MorFi analysis

Fiber morphology was analyzed with a MorFi LB-01 fiber analyzer (Techpap, France). 300 mg of pulp were diluted in 7 L of water and kept under constant recirculation in the image acquisition system. The fiber / fine limit was set to 200 µm in length, and the analysis was carried out until 5,000 fibers were detected. The analysis was done twice on each sample, and average fiber length (µm) and fine content (% in area) were determined.

210 2.4.2 Optical microscopy

Optical microscopy images were acquired with a microscope Axio Imager M1 (Carl Zeiss, Germany) equipped with an AxioCam MRc 5 digital camera. Before slide preparation, suspensions were diluted to 0.1 wt%. Magnifications of x50 and x100 were used, at least 8 images were taken for each magnification and the most representative were selected.

215 2.4.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Fiber and CNF suspensions were diluted to 0.1 wt%, dried under vacuum on a carbon adhesive and coated with a 5 nm Au/Pd layer. For the study of fiber morphology, images were acquired with an ESEM Quanta 200 (FEI, Japan) in ETD mode. A working distance of 10 mm and an acceleration voltage of 10.0 kV were used. At least 15 images by sample were acquired, with magnifications between × 100 and × 4,000, and the most representative ones were selected. For the study of CNF morphology, images were acquired with a FEI Quanta 250 equipped with a field emission gun in ETD mode. A working distance of 8 mm and an acceleration voltage of 2.50 kV were used. At least 10 images by sample were acquired, with magnifications between × 5,000 and × 40,000, and the most representative ones were selected.

225 2.4.4. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

226 Transmission electron microscopy images were acquired on the supernatant of CNF suspensions at 227 0.1 wt% after 24 hours of settling, to avoid the presence of micrometric fragments during sample 228 preparation. Droplets of dilute CNF suspension were deposited onto glow-discharged carbon-coated 229 TEM grids. After a few minutes, the liquid in excess was blotted with filter paper and, prior to drying, 230 the preparation was negatively stained with 2 wt% uranyl acetate. The stain in excess was blotted and the specimen allowed to dry. Images were recorded with a JEOL JEM-2100-Plus microscope 231 232 operating at 200 kV equipped with a Gatan Rio 16 digital camera. Images were further analyzed with 233 the software Fiji - ImageJ for the determination of CNF length and diameter, at least 50 234 measurements were performed.

235 2.4.5 Chemical composition

The lignin content was determined with the micro-kappa number following the TAPPI T 236 om-13 standard. 2 g of sample were diluted in 150 mL of deionized water, and 20 mL of sulfuric acid 4 N were added. 20 mL of potassium permanganate 0.05 N were added and the suspension was allowed to react for 10 min at a temperature of 25 °C, controlled with a water bath. 10 mL of potassium iodide 1 M were then added to stop the reaction, and the remaining permanganate was titrated with sodium thiosulfate 0.05 N. The micro-kappa number was calculated using the following formula:

242 Micro-kappa =
$$\left[\frac{(V_1 - V_2) \times 0.05}{0.1}\right] \times \frac{D}{m}$$
 (3)

where V₁ is the added volume of sodium thiosulfate for the blank test without pulp, V₂ is the added
volume of sodium thiosulfate for the test with pulp, m is the dry mass of pulp, and D a correction
coefficient. The lignin content was calculated with the formula:

246 Lignin content (%) =
$$0.147 \times \text{micro-kappa}$$
 (4)

The cellulose and hemicellulose contents were adapted from the TAPPI T 203 cm-99 standard. 2 g of oven dried pulp were dispersed in 100.0 mL of NaOH 17.5 % in a 500 mL flask, at a temperature of 25 °C controlled with a water bath. After 30 min of reaction, 100.0 mL of deionized water were added and the suspension was allowed to react for another 30 min. The pulp was then recovered and washed several times with deionized water in a Buchner funnel using a 1 μ m nylon sieve. The α cellulose content was calculated with the following formula:

253
$$\alpha$$
-cellulose content (%) = $\frac{m_1}{m_0} \times 100$ (5)

where m_0 is the initial mass of pulp and m_1 the mass of pulp after treatment. The estimated hemicellulose content was then calculated by subtraction, knowing the α -cellulose and lignin contents in the pulp. The analyses were duplicated and the average values were calculated.

257 2.4.6 Degree of polymerization

Degree of polymerization (DP) was determined following the ISO 5351:2010 standard. Fibers were
dissolved in a bis(ethylenediamine)copper(II) hydroxide solution, and intrinsic viscosity [η] in mL/g
was measured with a capillary viscometer. The viscosity average DP_v was calculated using the MarkHouwink-Sakurada equation:

262
$$DP_v^{0.905} = 0.75 \times [\eta]$$
 (6)

263 An average DP value was calculated from triplicates.

264 2.4.7 X-ray diffraction

265 Prior to X-ray diffraction and nuclear magnetic resonance, fibrous samples were milled into powder 266 form by cryocrushing. 1 g of sample was cryocrushed for 2 minutes with 2 zirconium balls in a 20 mL 267 chamber cooled with liquid nitrogen, with an oscillation frequency of 30 Hz. An amorphous reference 268 was produced by cryocrushing raw fibers during 20 min under similar conditions. Powders were 269 deposited on a zero-background Si substrate, and X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed using a 270 diffractometer X'Pert Pro MPD (PANalytical, Netherlands) equipped with a Bragg-Brentano geometry. 271 A copper anode (K α λ = 1.5419 Å) was used, with the angle 2 θ ranging from 6° to 60° with a 0.05° 272 interval. The crystallinity index was calculated by amorphous subtraction with the following formula:

273 CI (%) =
$$\frac{A_c}{A_c + A_a} \times 100$$
 (7)

where A_c corresponds to the crystalline area of the XRD pattern, and A_a to the amorphous area of the
XRD pattern (amorphous reference). The crystalline area is therefore obtained by subtracting the
area of the amorphous reference to the area of the sample's total XRD pattern.

277 2.4.8 Nuclear magnetic resonance

Solid-state ¹³C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (¹³C NMR) was performed on a spectrometer Avance 500
 (Bruker, USA) using cross-polarization, high power proton decoupling and magic angle spinning (CP-

MAS). Spectra were acquired at 25 °C, with a 4 mm probe operating at 125.78 MHz for ¹³C and 500.18 MHz for ¹H. Samples were placed in a 4 mm ZrO_2 rotor, and the acquisition was made with a number of scans of 40,000, relaxation time of 2.0 seconds, CP time of 2.0 ms, 12 kHz MAS and 300 ppm spectral width. The crystallinity index was calculated using equation (7) with A_c being the area of crystalline contribution of carbon C₄ (93.0 - 86.2 ppm), and A_a being the area of disordered contribution of carbon C₄ (86.2 – 80.0 ppm).

286 2.4.9 Turbidity

Suspensions were diluted to 0.1 wt% with deionized water and homogenized for 1 min with an Ultra Turrax T-25 disperser at 8,000 rpm. Turbidity values in Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU) were measured with a turbidity meter AL-250 (Aqualytic, Germany). The test measures the scattered light at 90° from an incident light (λ = 860 nm), which is related to the size and shape of the cellulosic fibrous elements of the suspension. At least 9 measurements were done for each sample and the average value was calculated.

293 2.4.10 Transmittance

The transmittance of CNF nanopapers (in % of the incident light) was measured at a wavelength of 550 nm with a UV-spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Manufacturing, USA) in photometric mode. 5 measurements were done on each nanopaper, 2 nanopapers were analyzed for each sample leading to 10 measurements in total, and the average value was calculated.

298 2.4.11 Tear resistance

Tear resistance of nanopapers was measured with a tear tester equipped with a 4000 mN pendulum (Noviprofibre, France) in a conditioned room (23 °C and 50 %RH). Samples of 50 × 65 mm² were precut, and the force (in mN) to complete the propagation of the cut was measured. 3 measurements were done on each nanopaper, 2 nanopapers were analyzed for each sample leading to 6 measurements in total, and the average value was calculated.

304 2.4.12 Tensile test

Tensile properties of nanopapers were measured in a conditioned room (23 °C and 50 %RH) with a dual column testing system 5965 of 50 kN maximum force (Instron, USA), following the NF Q03-004 standard. Samples with dimensions 15 × 100 mm² were tested with a tensile speed of 10 mm/min. The Young's modulus of each sample was calculated using the thickness values of the nanopaper as measured for porosity. 3 measurements were done on each nanopaper, 2 nanopapers were analyzed for each sample leading to 6 measurements in total, and the average value was calculated.

311 2.4.13 Porosity

The basis weight of each nanopaper was calculated from its mass after 48 h in a conditioned room. Thickness was measured with a Lhomargy micrometer at 8 different positions on the nanopaper, and an average value was calculated. The porosity of the nanopaper was then calculated using the following formula:

316 Porosity (%) =
$$1 - \frac{BW}{e \times \rho_c} \times 100$$
 (8)

317 where BW is the basis weight (kg/m²), e the thickness (m) and ρ_c the density of cellulose (1540 318 kg/m³).

319 2.4.14 Quality index

As a tool to compare CNF suspensions together, an equation regrouping 5 test values was used, adapted from the publication of Desmaisons et al., 2017. The obtained value named quality index is representative of the global quality of CNF suspensions in terms of optical and mechanical properties. The adapted equation used for quality index calculation was:

- 324 $QI = 2 \times turbidity mark + 1 \times transmittance mark + 2 \times tear resistance mark + 2 \times tear resistanc$
- 325 Young's modulus mark $+ 2 \times \text{porosity mark} + 1$ (9)

where marks are calculated from raw test values as indicated in the original publication. The resultingequation including the raw test values was therefore:

328 QI =
$$-0.02 \times x_1 + 1.65 \times \ln(x_2) - 7.18 \times \ln(x_3) - 0.07 \times x_4^2 + 2.54 \times x_4 - 0.32 \times x_5 + 89.96$$
 (10)

329 where x_1 is the turbidity (NTU), x_2 is the transmittance (%), x_3 is the tear resistance (mN), x_4 is the 330 Young's modulus (GPa), and x₅ is the porosity (%). Nanosized fraction and optical microscopy values 331 were not used for the quality index calculation as compared with the original publication, due to the high standard deviations, poor repeatability of the tests and inaccurate values due to the presence of 332 333 salts during centrifugation. Higher factors are associated with mechanical properties, leading to a 334 possible difference of \pm 3 in the quality index values compared to the values obtained with the 335 original calculation. However, the two values remain very close and comparable, with a reduced 336 standard deviation.

337

338 3. Results and discussion

339 3.1 Influence of NaOH and enzymatic treatments on cellulose crystalline structure

340 The crystalline structure of cellulosic fibers was first assessed with X-ray diffraction (XRD), before and 341 after NaOH treatments at various concentrations (Fig. 1). The diffraction pattern of 70 SR refined 342 fibers is typical of cellulose Iβ crystalline structure with diffraction peaks at 2θ angles of 15.2°, 16.7°, 343 22.6° and 34,7°, corresponding to the (110), (110), (200) and (004) lattice planes respectively (French, 2014; Park et al., 2010). The treatment of cellulose fibers with aqueous NaOH lead to a shift from 344 345 cellulose I to cellulose II crystalline structures, which is a well-documented phenomenon in the 346 literature (Abe, 2016; Budtova & Navard, 2016; Lee et al., 2018; SriBala et al., 2016; Wang et al., 347 2014). Diffraction peaks can be observed at 20 angles of 12.3°, 20.2° and 21.9°, corresponding to the $(1\overline{1}0)$, (110) and (020) lattice planes of cellulose II respectively (French, 2014; Gong et al., 2017; Sèbe 348 349 et al., 2012). As expected, the intensities of cellulose II peaks increase when NaOH concentration 350 increases, indicating a higher cellulose II content. The sample treated with NaOH 5 wt% exhibits a 351 cellulose I structure with a shoulder at $2\theta = 20.2^{\circ}$. The shift from cellulose I to cellulose II is therefore 352 limited for this sample, which is standard in this range of concentration and temperature (Abe & 353 Yano, 2011; Liu & Hu, 2008). The sample treated with NaOH 15 wt%, however, has undergone an 354 almost complete shift to cellulose II. The NaOH 10 wt% treatment results in the presence of both 355 cellulose I and II structures in the sample, with a predominance of cellulose I (Abe & Yano, 2012).

Crystallinity indices (CI) were calculated with an amorphous reference with an area-based method, as the peak height method tends to lead to overestimated values and is not suitable for cellulose II (Ahvenainen et al., 2016; Park et al., 2010). However, it is worth noting that the impact of cryocrushing step on molecular structure before analysis is not negligible. The CI obtained here from the powder form are therefore used only comparatively, and are significantly underestimated compared to the literature. It also should be noted that the CI is representative of the total crystallinity of the sample, irrespective of its cellulose I and cellulose II content.

As described in Table 1, refined fibers have a CI of 40.7 % prior to any treatment. The following NaOH treatments lead to a decrease of CI to 30.2 %, 22.4 % and 18.0 % for 5, 10 and 15 wt% respectively. The impact on crystallinity is more important when NaOH concentration increases, which is due to disruption of the cellulose structure by NaOH hydrates during its conversion into cellulose II form (Lee et al., 2018; Liu & Hu, 2008). The formation of the cellulose II crystalline structure is only partial, and fibers are left in a swollen, globally less organized state.



369

Fig. 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of eucalyptus fibers refined at 70 SR as such and after NaOH treatments at several wt%, with and without additional enzymatic hydrolysis step. Characteristic peaks and the associated lattice planes are indicated for Cellulose I (grey dashed lines) and Cellulose II (blue dotted lines) respectively. The amorphous reference is used for the calculation of crystallinity index when superimposed with the chosen pattern, as shown on the graph.

375 The following enzymatic hydrolysis step results in a global increase of the diffraction peaks intensities 376 for all samples (Fig. 1). The crystallinity indices are higher after enzymatic hydrolysis as shown in 377 Table 1, shifting from 40.7 to 45.7 % for refined fibers without NaOH treatment. This effect has been 378 widely reported and explained as a preferential action of endoglucanase on less organized cellulose 379 parts (Bansal et al., 2009; Nechyporchuk et al., 2015), although a decrease of CI due to enzymatic hydrolysis is also possible (Le Moigne et al., 2010). The same trend is observed with NaOH treated 380 381 samples, with the most significant increase for the sample treated with NaOH 15 wt%, which has a CI 382 of 18.0 and 28.3 % before and after enzymatic hydrolysis respectively. Samples treated with NaOH 5 383 wt% and 10 wt% exhibit smaller increases of CI with the use of enzymes, from 30.2 to 34.9 % and from 22.4 to 25.9 % respectively. 384

The enhancement of the efficiency of enzymatic hydrolysis for sample treated with NaOH 15 wt% is due to two concurrent factors. On one hand, the hydrolysis rate tends to increase when the CI decreases (SriBala et al., 2016). Enzymatic hydrolysis is thus facilitated for samples with a high 388 content of amorphous cellulose, due to a better accessibility of the cellulose chains. On the other 389 hand, it has been reported that enzymatic hydrolysis is accelerated by the conversion from cellulose I 390 to cellulose II (SriBala et al., 2016; Wada et al., 2010). The cellulose I structure consists in sheets 391 composed of parallel cellulose chains held by hydrogen bonds. These sheets are stacked together by 392 hydrophobic interactions such as van der Waals forces, without any intersheet hydrogen bond. The 393 cellulose II structure, however, consists in sheets composed of antiparallel cellulose chains, resulting 394 in a greater number of hydrogen bonds. These sheets are stacked together by weaker hydrophobic 395 interactions, as well as intersheets hydrogen bonds (Pérez & Mazeau, 2005). The partial cleavage of 396 these hydrogen bonds in water, as well as the weaker hydrophobic interactions could explain the 397 faster hydrolysis rate for cellulose II compared to cellulose I. However, while the NaOH 10 wt% 398 sample contains a larger proportion of cellulose II compared to the NaOH 5 wt% sample, the 399 enzymatic hydrolysis step has a comparable effect on the CI of both samples (+ 3.5 and + 4.7 % 400 respectively). For the NaOH 10 wt% sample, the enzymatic hydrolysis is also associated with a 401 decrease of the disordered cellulose content, as shown by the decrease of the minimum at 2θ = 402 17.5°. This decrease influences the calculation of CI with the area-based method. The crystallinity of 403 this sample was therefore further studied by CP-MAS nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR).

404 ¹³C NMR spectra were acquired for untreated 70 SR refined fibers, and fibers treated with NaOH 10 405 wt%, before and after enzymatic hydrolysis (Fig. 2). The spectrum of untreated 70 SR sample is 406 characteristic of the cellulose I structure. The observed peaks can be assigned to the different 407 carbons of the anhydroglucose unit, with the contribution of C_1 (104.7 ppm), C_2 (71.4 ppm), C_3 (74.9 408 ppm), C₄ (82.4 ppm for disordered cellulose, 88.9 ppm for crystalline cellulose), C₅ (72.2 ppm) and C₆ 409 (62.5 and 64.9 ppm) (Foster et al., 2018; Kamide et al., 1984; Kono et al., 2004). The spectrum of the 410 NaOH 10 wt% treated sample confirms the presence of cellulose II, while the remaining cellulose I 411 peaks attest that the crystalline conversion is only partial. The intensity of cellulose I peaks decreased 412 for the contributions of C₁, C₄ and C₆. Characteristic peaks of cellulose II can be observed at 107.2 413 ppm, 87.9 ppm and 62.2 ppm, associated with C_1 , C_4 and C_6 , respectively (Dinand et al., 2002; Hesse 414 & Jäger, 2005). Further enzymatic hydrolysis does not lead to a change in the crystalline structure, 415 but to a magnification of the peaks corresponding to the contribution of crystalline cellulose, at 87.9 ppm and 88.9 ppm for cellulose II and I respectively. 416

The clear separation of crystalline and disordered contributions for C₄ allows the calculation of a NMR crystallinity index (Table 1) with an area-based method (Foster et al., 2018; Park et al., 2010). A CI of 38 % is obtained for refined fibers, which is comparable to the value obtained with XRD (40.7 %). Here, the two techniques lead to similar values, the NMR value being slightly lower as specified in several other publications (Foster et al., 2018; Park et al., 2010). The NaOH 10 wt% treatment leads

to a decrease of the CI to 33 %. This trend is consistent with the XRD results and confirms the 422 423 disruption of the cellulose structure during its crystalline conversion. The preferential action of 424 endoglucanase on disordered cellulose is attested by the significant increase of CI after enzymatic hydrolysis, from 33 to 48 %. The CI values obtained with NMR for the NaOH 10 wt% samples, which 425 426 contain both cellulose I and II, are significantly higher compared to the CI obtained with XRD. The 427 disagreement between data deduced from NMR and those calculated from XRD could be explained 428 by the unsuitability of NMR analysis concerning the amorphous zone of cellulose II. It was reported 429 that the disordered C_4 of cellulose II have a lower contribution compared to cellulose I (Kono et al., 430 2004; Sèbe et al., 2012) which leads to overestimated crystallinity indices.



Fig. 2. Solid-state 13C CP-MAS NMR spectra of eucalyptus fibers refined at 70 SR as such, after NaOH
10 wt% treatment and after NaOH 10 wt% treatment followed by enzymatic hydrolysis. C4 crystalline
(93.0 - 86.2 ppm) and disordered contributions (86.2 - 80.0 ppm) are used for the calculation of
crystallinity index.

The degree of polymerization (DP) and process yield were studied for each treatment (Table 1). The enzymatic treatment alone on refined pulp leads to a major reduction of DP, from 963 to 430. A comparable decrease is observed for NaOH treatments, due to cellulose surface peeling and alkaline hydrolysis (Mozdyniewicz et al., 2013). The influence of NaOH concentration on DP is low, but is correlated to the reaction yields, the higher the concentration the lower the yield. The chemical composition of the NaOH 10 wt% treated sample underlines that the decrease of yield (85.4 %) 442 comes from the solubilization of a large part of hemicelluloses. The hemicellulose content shifts from 16.2 % for the untreated pulp to 1.8 % after treatment. Most of hemicelluloses are indeed soluble in 443 444 NaOH-water based solvents (Budtova & Navard, 2016), and the decrease of yield with increasing 445 NaOH concentration is linked to the increasing amount of solubilized hemicelluloses. The yield after 446 NaOH 15 wt% treatment (78.2 %) is lower than the initial cellulose content in the pulp (83.4 %), 447 which shows that a fraction of cellulose is removed during the treatment. This result supports the 448 assertion of a facilitated cellulose degradation with increasing NaOH concentrations (SriBala et al., 449 2016). The NaOH 10 wt% treatment is also associated with a decrease in the lignin content from 0.40 450 to 0.27 %. The effect of following enzymatic hydrolysis is highly correlated to the NaOH 451 concentration during alkaline treatment. After enzymatic hydrolysis, the DP shifts from 434 to 340 452 for NaOH 5 wt%, from 501 to 190 for NaOH 10 wt% and from 401 to 166 for NaOH 15 wt%. The 453 efficiency of enzymes is therefore clearly improved for these samples, attesting a better cellulose 454 accessibility due to higher disordered cellulose content and cellulose II structure. The hemicellulose 455 content after NaOH 10 wt% and enzymatic treatment is below 1 %, and the lignin content decreases 456 to 0.25 %. This treatment leads therefore to a purification of the pulp. Although all yield values are 457 higher than 70 %, these values are significantly lower than for enzymatic hydrolysis of untreated 458 sample (92.3 %). The improvement of enzymatic hydrolysis is therefore irremediably associated with 459 a higher loss of matter during process.

460 Table 1

461 Structural properties of fibers and process yields after the different pretreatments.

	70 SR	70 SR + enz. hydr.	NaOH 5 wt%	NaOH 10 wt%	NaOH 15 wt%	NaOH 5 wt% + enz. hydr.	NaOH 10 wt% + enz. hydr.	NaOH 15 wt% + enz. hydr.
CI (%) - XRD	40.7	45.7	30.2	22.4	18.0	34.9	25.9	28.3
CI (%) - NMR	38	-	-	33	-	-	48	-
DP	963 ± 2	430 ± 1	434 ± 14	501 ± 4	401 ± 6	340 ± 2	190 ± 1	166 ± 2
Cellulose (%)	83.4	-	-	97.9	-	-	98.8	-
Hemicellulose (%)	16.2 *	-	-	1.8 *	-	-	< 1 *	-
Lignin (%)	0.40	-	-	0.27	-	-	0.25	-
Yield (%)	-	92.3	100.0	85.4	78.2	82.7	73.3	72.0

- 462 * estimated values
- 463

464 3.2 Morphological changes after NaOH and enzymatic treatments

465 The morphology of cellulose fibers after NaOH treatments was studied by scanning electron 466 microscopy (Fig. 3). Before alkaline treatment, eucalyptus fibers have a relatively flat shape with 467 fibrillated surfaces due to refining. A network of micrometric elements can be seen on Fig. 3a, which 468 is characteristic of extensive refining and leads to an improvement of the fibers mechanical properties. NaOH treatments all lead to a global swelling of fibers with a relative smoothing of fiber 469 470 surface (Nam & Condon, 2014). This effect was clearly visible for NaOH 10 and 15 wt% treatments 471 (Fig. 3c and Fig. 3d respectively) with important curvature of fibers and partial destruction of the 472 fiber structure. The irregularities of fiber surfaces seem to be due to the aggregation of micrometric 473 elements and the apparition of kinks (Fig. 3b).



474

475 Fig. 3. SEM images of eucalyptus fibers refined at 70 SR as such (a), after NaOH 5 wt% treatment (b),
476 after NaOH 10 wt% treatment (c) and after NaOH 15 wt% treatment (d). Scale bar is 20 μm.

477 The morphological changes were further studied by MorFi analysis (Fig. 4). Average fiber length and 478 fine content (elements with length < 200 μ m) were determined after each treatment, associated or not with Ultra Turrax fibrillation. This mild mechanical treatment compared to literature 479 480 (Nechyporchuk et al., 2016) was chosen because of the small available quantity of cellulose fibers 481 after each treatment (5g). It is used as a first step for fiber deconstruction towards the production of 482 CNF, and enables to comparatively assess the efficiency of each treatment. The objective of this mild 483 mechanical fibrillation was therefore to determine an optimum in the pretreatment conditions, to 484 further adapt these conditions to the pilot scale grinding process.

485 The NaOH treatments alone have little impact on both fiber length and fine content, independently 486 of NaOH concentration. Average fiber length shifts from 553 to 452 µm after NaOH 15 wt% 487 treatment, although major structural changes and DP reduction have been noticed (Table 1). This 488 attests that these structural changes have little influence on micrometric scale. Additionally, alkaline treatments do not seem to weaken the fibers enough for an efficient fibrillation, as attested by the 489 490 weak impact of further Ultra Turrax treatment on fiber morphology. This low fibrillation efficiency is 491 consistent with previous studies and could be caused by interdigitation of the cellulose nanofibrils in 492 the cell wall (Wang et al., 2014).

493 The additional enzymatic hydrolysis step results in important changes in fiber morphology. Its effect 494 on untreated pulp is already noticeable, with a reduction in fiber length to 516 µm and an increase of 495 fine content from 15.7 to 19.0 %. This effect is enhanced on NaOH treated samples, leading to 496 average fiber lengths of 507, 391 and 394 μm for fibers treated with NaOH 5, 10 and 15 wt% 497 respectively. The creation of fine elements is not noticeable for NaOH 5 wt%, but is observed for 498 NaOH 10 and 15 wt%, with fine contents of 35.6 and 38.0 % respectively. These results support the 499 fact that the structural changes due to enzymatic hydrolysis have an impact on a micrometric scale. 500 Here, the important DP reduction is correlated with a decrease in the fiber length, and a 501 destructuration of the cell wall leading to fine elements. Interestingly, the subsequent Ultra Turrax 502 step was found to be more efficient for combinations of NaOH and enzymatic treatments. After 503 enzymatic hydrolysis and Ultra Turrax treatment, the samples treated with NaOH 5, 10 an 15 wt% 504 exhibit fiber lengths of 466, 304 and 324 μ m, and fine content of 22.0, 70.0 and 62.6 % respectively. 505 An optimum is reached with the NaOH 10 wt% sample which has the lowest average fiber length and 506 highest fine content. On one hand, the low fiber lengths demonstrate that these fibers are efficiently 507 weakened and can be easily cut with a mechanical process. On the other hand, the creation of fine 508 elements indicates the creation of cellulose microfibrils, and probable nanofibrils which cannot be 509 detected with the apparatus. This optimum indicates the positive impact of the conversion from 510 cellulose I to cellulose II for enzymatic hydrolysis and further deconstruction with a mechanical process, wich is more effective with NaOH 10 wt% (partial conversion) than with NaOH 5 wt% (small 511 512 conversion). However, the total conversion with NaOH 15 wt% seems to lead to fiber aggregation, 513 which has a negative impact on their deconstruction and the creation of CNF.



Fig. 4. Average fiber length (a) and fine content (b) obtained with MorFi analyzer, as functions of NaOH concentration during treatment. The influence of enzymatic hydrolysis and Ultra Turrax steps is studied, alone and in combinations. Dashed lines are guides to the eye.

514

518 The morphological analysis was completed by SEM images at different stages of the process (Fig. 5). 519 The impact of each step (NaOH treatment, enzymatic hydrolysis, Ultra Turrax treatment) was 520 determined, alone and in combinations. Enzymatic hydrolysis leads to fiber cutting and creation of 521 fine elements for both untreated and NaOH treated samples (Fig. 5b and Fig. 5f respectively). This 522 effect is more visible on the NaOH treated sample, as the initial fine elements from refined pulp are 523 aggregated during alkaline treatment. The effect of Ultra Turrax treatment alone (Fig. 5c and Fig. 5g) 524 is comparable for untreated and treated fibers, and leads to a destruction of the fiber structure in 525 localized positions. The fibrous structure is clearly attacked for the NaOH sample, which exhibits an aggregation of cellulose fibers and microfibrils. 526

527 A major difference was observed in the morphology of untreated and NaOH treated fibers after 528 enzymatic hydrolysis and Ultra Turrax fibrillation. Fibers without NaOH treatment, after further 529 enzymatic and Ultra Turrax treatments, exhibit a destroyed structure and fiber aggregation, but only 530 few micrometric elements (Fig. 5d). In contrast, sample with coupled NaOH and enzymatic 531 treatment, followed by Ultra Turrax treatment, exhibits a network structure of micro- and 532 nanometric elements (Fig. 5h). The sample is relatively homogeneous, with several residual fiber 533 fragments. Remarkably, this conversion to a micro- nanometric network with the mild mechanical 534 treatment was observed for the coupled NaOH and enzymatic treatment only, and not for the NaOH 535 treatment alone (Fig. 5g) or enzymatic treatment alone (Fig. 5c). This combination seems, therefore, 536 to overcome the fibrillation issue encountered with NaOH treatments (Abe, 2016; Wang et al., 2014), and to facilitate the fibrillation of enzymatically treated fibers. This encouraging result was observed 537

for the NaOH 10 wt% only, and was not as effective for the NaOH 5 and 15 wt% samples (results not shown here). This confirms that the partial conversion to a cellulose II structure (NaOH 10 wt%) is more efficient than a small (NaOH 5 wt%) or a total conversion (NaOH 15 wt%) for CNF production. This effect could be due to the positive impact of fiber disruption during crystalline conversion as shown with XRD and NMR, while limiting the fiber aggregation observed for high NaOH concentrations.



Fig. 5. SEM images of eucalyptus fibers refined at 70 SR (a – d) and after NaOH 10 wt% treatment (e –
h), without further treatment (a, e), after enzymatic hydrolysis alone (b, f), after Ultra Turrax
treatment alone (c, g) and after coupled enzymatic hydrolysis and Ultra Turrax treatment (d, h)
respectively. Scale bar is 50 μm.

549

550 3.3 Cellulose nanofibrils properties

551 Based on the morphological study of fibers, NaOH 10 wt% pretreatment on one hand, and coupled 552 NaOH 10 wt% and enzymatic pretreatment on the other hand, were used for CNF production using 553 an ultra-fine friction grinder. The morphology of the obtained CNF was studied at different scales 554 using optical microscopy, scanning and transmission electron microscopy (Fig. 6).

Both treatments lead to an efficient fibrillation into micro- and nano-scale elements, with few residual fragments visible by optical microscopy (Fig. 6b and Fig. 6f). SEM images reveal however major differences in the CNF structures. The NaOH treatment alone leads to an aggregation of CNF in a matrix of disordered cellulose (Fig. 6c). The analysis of TEM images (Fig. 6d) enable to determine that these CNF are composed of bundles of elementary fibrils, with widths between 30 - 100 nm and 560 lengths superior to 1 µm. These observations are consistent with previous findings on the difficulty to 561 indivualize cellulose II CNF after an alkaline treatment (Abe, 2016; Wang et al., 2014). In contrast, the 562 combined NaOH and enzymatic treatment leads to well individualized CNF, with few micrometric 563 fiber fragments visible on SEM images (Fig. 6g). Further observations with TEM (Fig. 6h) reveal CNF composed of only few elementary fibrils, with widths between 10 - 20 nm and lengths between 150 564 - 350 nm, close to the dimensions of cellulose nanocrystals (Nechyporchuk et al., 2016). This low 565 566 aspect ratio, associated with the low DP values (Table 1), is an indicator of the preferential action of 567 the enzymes on the disordered cellulose structure produced during the crystalline conversion from 568 cellulose I to cellulose II. The resulting CNF, with rigid structures, exhibit a high transmittance in the 569 form of nanopaper (Fig. 6e).



570

Fig. 6. Images of the resulting CNF as films and in suspension after the NaOH 10 wt% treatment followed by the grinding process (a-d) or the NaOH 10 wt% and enzymatic treatment followed by the grinding process (e-h). The associated specific energy consumptions are 23.0 and 15.5 MWh/t, respectively. Nanopapers (a, e) have a basis weight of 60 g/m², optical microscopy images (b, f) were acquired on 0.1 wt% suspensions, SEM images (c, g) were acquired on 0.1 wt% suspensions after vacuum drying and TEM images (d, h) were acquired on the supernatant of 0.1 wt% suspensions after 24h of settling. 578 The optical and mechanical properties of CNF were further assessed using a multi-scale quality index 579 (Fig. 7a). The properties of CNF for each pretreatment after the highest number of passes through 580 the grinder are detailed in Table 2. A previous study using enzymatic hydrolysis alone with similar 581 grinding treatment was used as a reference (Desmaisons et al., 2017). For enzymatic hydrolysis 582 alone, the quality index undergoes a fast increase with the increase of specific energy consumption, as the cellulose fibers start being deconstructed in the grinding process. As expected, this reflects a 583 584 good efficiency of this pretreatment (Henriksson et al., 2007; Pääkkö et al., 2007) and an effective 585 fragilisation of the fibers. The gradual decrease of the size of cellulose fibrous elements leads to 586 higher energy needs for their deconstruction, resulting in a slower increase rate of the CNF 587 properties (quality index shifting from 66.9 to 73.2 for energy consumptions of 5.1 and 11.0 MWh/t 588 respectively). The final quality index for enzymatic treatment alone is 75.0, associated with a specific 589 energy consumption of 13.0 MWh/t. The NaOH 10 wt% alone leads to a completely different 590 behavior in the mechanical process. The fibrillation efficiency is drastically reduced, as attested by 591 the slow increase of quality index with the increase of specific energy consumption. Although the 592 suspension gradually exhibited a high viscosity typical of CNF suspensions, the associated optical and 593 mechanical properties remain lower than the reference (Table 2). The final quality index for this 594 treatment is 54.0, associated with a specific energy consumption of 23.0 MWh/t. Interestingly, the 595 combined NaOH 10 wt% and enzymatic treatment leads to higher quality indices compared to 596 reference for a same energy consumption. The starting quality index before grinding process (energy 597 of 0.6 MWh/t due to refining) is higher (37.4 compared to 16.2 for reference). The increase rate of 598 the CNF properties is comparable, leading to a final quality index of 82.7, associated with an energy 599 consumption of 15.5 MWh/t. This places this sample among the high quality CNF that can be found 600 commercially (Desmaisons et al., 2017).



602

Fig. 7. Quality index as a function of specific energy consumption (a) and typical tensile curves (b) for
NaOH 10 wt% treatment alone and combined NaOH 10 wt% and enzymatic treatment, compared to
a reference treatment (enzymatic hydrolysis alone, values extracted from Desmaisons et al., 2017).
The tensile curves refer to the CNF with the highest number of passes through the grinding process.
The specific energy consumption of the refining step (0.6 MWh/t) is taken into account. Dashed lines
are guides to the eye.

609 The tensile curves of CNF nanopapers underline the drastically different behavior of each sample 610 (Fig. 7b). For each pretreatment, the sample with the highest energy consumption was studied, and 611 the typical tensile curves are presented here. Enzymatic hydrolysis alone leads to nanopapers with an 612 average tensile strength of 78 MPa, average strain at break of 1.27 %, and Young's modulus of 11.21 613 GPa. The Young's modulus value is in the average that can be found in the literature, as values 614 between 8 and 15 GPa are often obtained with an enzymatic pretreatment (Benítez & Walther, 2017; 615 Nechyporchuk et al., 2016). However, the strain and strength values are in the low average, as they 616 are usually between 2 - 10 % and 100 - 200 MPa, respectively (Benítez & Walther, 2017). This is 617 possibly due to the important enzyme dosage during enzymatic hydrolysis. NaOH 10 wt% treatment 618 alone leads to a higher strain value (2.92 %), but lower stiffness (4.84 GPa) and tensile strength (50.2 619 MPa). The decrease of mechanical properties with the use of NaOH has been reported previously 620 (Abe, 2019; Wang et al., 2014). This trend is due to several concomitant factors, namely (i) the 621 removal of hemicelluloses (Table 1), which is expected to decrease the elastic modulus and tensile 622 strength (Carvalho et al., 2019), (ii) the lower elastic modulus of cellulose II compared to cellulose I 623 (Nishino et al., 1995), and (iii) CNF aggregation during alkaline treatment (Abe & Yano, 2011). The 624 combined NaOH 10 wt% and enzymatic treatment results in an increase of Young's modulus (8.94 625 GPa) compared to NaOH alone, likely due to the partial removal of disordered cellulose during

enzymatic hydrolysis. However, both strength and strain at break are drastically reduced, with values
of 30 MPa and 0.47 % respectively. This brittle behavior can be linked to the morphology of the
associated CNF, which exhibit a rigid structure of relatively low aspect ratio, and do not allow any
plastic deformation to occur.

630 Table 2

631 Optical and mechanical properties of cellulose nanofibrils in suspension or as nanopapers, and their

632 associated quality index.

	Turbidity (NTU)	Transmittance (%)	Tear resistance (mN)	Young's modulus (GPa)	Porosity (%)	Quality index
Enz. hydr.	240 ± 48	7.1 ± 0.06	31 ± 9	11.21 ± 0.41	29.7 ± 2.5	75.0 ± 5.1
NaOH 10 wt%	761 ± 37	15.4 ± 1.17	27 ± 4	4.84 ± 0.20	38.5 ± 1.8	54.0 ± 2.8
NaOH 10 wt% + enz. hydr.	314 ± 13	42.2 ± 0.86	9 ± 3	8.94 ± 0.24	27.2 ± 9.5	82.7 ± 5.4

633

634 Optical and mechanical properties of CNF as suspensions or nanopapers are displayed in Table 2. The 635 values presented here refer to the CNF with the highest number of passes through the grinding 636 process. The associated specific energy consumptions are 13.0, 23.0 and 15.5 MWh/t for enzymatic 637 treatment (reference, values extracted from Desmaisons et al., 2017), NaOH 10 wt% treatment and 638 combination of NaOH 10 wt% and enzymatic treatments, respectively. The CNF aggregation caused 639 by NaOH 10 wt% treatment alone is attested by the high turbidity (761 NTU) and porosity of the 640 nanopaper (38.5 %). The tear resistance, however, is comparable to the reference (27 and 31 mN 641 respectively), and the nanopapers exhibit higher transmittance (15.4 and 7.1 % respectively). The 642 combination of NaOH 10 wt% and enzymatic treatment leads to nanopapers with similar porosity 643 compared to reference, but lower tear resistance (9 mN) and drastically higher transmittance (42.2 644 %). These two properties confirm the presence of small elements with homogeneous distribution in 645 the material. The high transmittance value could open the door to the use of this nanopaper in the 646 packaging industry, or as a substrate for printed electronics for example, where both high 647 transmittance and heat resistance are needed (Hoeng et al., 2016). In suspension, the turbidity is slightly higher for the combined treatment (314 NTU) compared to reference (240 NTU). The 648 649 resulting CNF obtained with these various pretreatments exhibit therefore a wide range of 650 properties, which can be favoured depending on the application.

651 4. Conclusions

652 This study confirms the positive impact of a combined NaOH and enzymatic treatment for CNF 653 production. The structural properties of cellulose after NaOH treatments at various concentrations 654 were assessed by XRD and ¹³C NMR. A clear shift from a cellulose I to a cellulose II crystalline 655 structure was observed, with a coexistence of both allomorphs for NaOH 10 wt% treated sample. The 656 alkaline treatments were proven to improve further enzymatic hydrolysis, due to the preferential 657 action of endoglucanase on disordered cellulose, and a faster hydrolysis rate of cellulose II compared 658 to cellulose I as shown by DP and yield decrease. The final process yields were lower for the 659 combined pretreatments compared to NaOH and enzymes alone, while remaining suitable for a large 660 scale CNF production. The decrease of yields was found to be due to the solubilization of a large part 661 of hemicelluloses during alkaline treatment, as well as a fraction of cellulose during both treatments. 662 The morphological study by SEM and MorFi enabled to underline the positive influence of combined 663 NaOH and enzymatic treatment on further fibrillation by a mechanical treatment. The difficulty of 664 CNF individualization, which has been reported for CNF produced with NaOH treatment alone, was 665 here partially overcame. An optimum was found for a NaOH concentration of 10 wt%, suggesting a 666 positive influence of the coexistence of cellulose I and II for further enzymatic hydrolysis and fiber degradation to CNF. The production of CNF with a pilot scale grinder confirmed the positive influence 667 668 of the combined treatment compared to NaOH and enzymatic treatments alone, with an increase in 669 the quality index and a decrease of energy consumption. This combined treatment results in well 670 individualized CNF, with rigid structures and relatively low aspect ratio. On one hand, the study of 671 their mechanical properties underlined an important decrease of tensile strength and strain for the 672 combined treatment under the form of nanopaper, while maintaining a stiffness comparable to the 673 reference. On the other hand, the optical properties were found to be substantially improved. This 674 new pretreatment could therefore be used for CNF production with tunable properties for various 675 applications, the high transparency being an asset for their use in the packaging industry, or for high-676 added value applications such as substrates for printed electronics. Finally, this pretreatment could 677 be suitable for industrial production thanks to the decrease of energy needs.

678 Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully thank the Association Nationale Recherche Technologie (ANRT) and Arjowiggins France SAS for financial and material support for the PhD thesis. LGP2 is part of the LabEx Tec 21 (Investissements d'Avenir - grant agreement n°ANR-11-LABX-0030) and of PolyNat Carnot Institute (Investissements d'Avenir - grant agreement n° ANR-16-CARN-0025-01). This research was made possible thanks to the facilities of the TekLiCell platform funded by the Région Rhône-Alpes (ERDF: European regional development fund). The authors thank the NanoBio-ICMG Platform (FR 2607, Grenoble) for granting access to the Electron Microscopy facility, Jean-Luc Putaux and Christine Lancelon-Pin from Cermav for the TEM and SEM images, Marie-Christine BrochierSalon for the NMR spectra, Ramzi Khiari for the chemical compositions, and Thierry Encinas from
CMTC - Grenoble for the XRD analysis.

689 References

- 690 Abe, K. (2016). Nanofibrillation of dried pulp in NaOH solutions using bead milling. Cellulose, 23(2),
- 691 1257–1261. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-016-0891-4
- 692 Abe, K. (2019). Novel fabrication of high-modulus cellulose-based films by nanofibrillation under
- alkaline conditions. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 205, 488–491.
- 694 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.10.069
- Abe, K., & Yano, H. (2011). Formation of hydrogels from cellulose nanofibers. *Carbohydrate Polymers*,
- 696 85(4), 733–737. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.03.028
- Abe, K., & Yano, H. (2012). Cellulose nanofiber-based hydrogels with high mechanical strength.

698 *Cellulose*, 19(6), 1907–1912. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-012-9784-3

- 699 Ahvenainen, P., Kontro, I., & Svedström, K. (2016). Comparison of sample crystallinity determination
- 700 methods by X-ray diffraction for challenging cellulose I materials. Cellulose, 23(2), 1073–
- 701 1086. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-016-0881-6
- Assis, C. A. de, Iglesias, M. C., Bilodeau, M., Johnson, D., Phillips, R., Peresin, M. S., Bilek, E. M. (Ted),
- 703 Rojas, O. J., Venditti, R., & Gonzalez, R. (2018). Cellulose micro- and nanofibrils (CMNF)
- 704 manufacturing—Financial and risk assessment. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining, 12*(2),
- 705 251–264. https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.1835
- Azeredo, H. M. C., Rosa, M. F., & Mattoso, L. H. C. (2017). Nanocellulose in bio-based food packaging
 applications. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *97*, 664–671.
- 708 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.03.013
- 709 Bansal, P., Hall, M., Realff, M. J., Lee, J. H., & Bommarius, A. S. (2009). Modeling cellulase kinetics on
- 710 lignocellulosic substrates. *Biotechnology Advances*, 27(6), 833–848.
- 711 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2009.06.005

- 712 Benítez, A. J., & Walther, A. (2017). Cellulose nanofibril nanopapers and bioinspired nanocomposites:
- 713 A review to understand the mechanical property space. Journal of Materials Chemistry A,
- 714 5(31), 16003–16024. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA02006F
- 715 Budtova, T., & Navard, P. (2016). Cellulose in NaOH–water based solvents: A review. Cellulose, 23(1),
- 716 5–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-015-0779-8
- 717 Carvalho, D. M. de, Moser, C., Lindström, M. E., & Sevastyanova, O. (2019). Impact of the chemical
- 718 composition of cellulosic materials on the nanofibrillation process and nanopaper properties.
- 719 *Industrial Crops and Products, 127, 203–211.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.10.052
- 720 Desmaisons, J., Boutonnet, E., Rueff, M., Dufresne, A., & Bras, J. (2017). A new quality index for
- benchmarking of different cellulose nanofibrils. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 174, 318–329.
- 722 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.06.032
- Dinand, E., Vignon, M., Chanzy, H., & Heux, L. (2002). Mercerization of primary wall cellulose and its implication for the conversion of cellulose I \rightarrow cellulose II. *Cellulose*, 9(1), 7–18.
- 725 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015877021688
- 726 Ferrer, A., Pal, L., & Hubbe, M. (2017). Nanocellulose in packaging: Advances in barrier layer
- technologies. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *95*, 574–582.
- 728 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.11.012
- 729 Foster, E. J., Moon, R. J., Agarwal, U. P., Bortner, M. J., Bras, J., Camarero-Espinosa, S., Chan, K. J.,
- 730 Clift, M. J. D., Cranston, E. D., Eichhorn, S. J., Fox, D. M., Hamad, W. Y., Heux, L., Jean, B.,
- 731 Korey, M., Nieh, W., Ong, K. J., Reid, M. S., Renneckar, S., ... Youngblood, J. (2018). Current
- 732 characterization methods for cellulose nanomaterials. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 47(8),
- 733 2609–2679. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CS00895J
- French, A. D. (2014). Idealized powder diffraction patterns for cellulose polymorphs. *Cellulose*, 21(2),
- 735 885–896. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-013-0030-4

- Gong, J., Li, J., Xu, J., Xiang, Z., & Mo, L. (2017). Research on cellulose nanocrystals produced from
- cellulose sources with various polymorphs. *RSC Advances*, 7(53), 33486–33493.

738 https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RA06222B

- Henriksson, M., Henriksson, G., Berglund, L. A., & Lindström, T. (2007). An environmentally friendly
- 740 method for enzyme-assisted preparation of microfibrillated cellulose (MFC) nanofibers.
- 741 *European Polymer Journal, 43*(8), 3434–3441.
- 742 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2007.05.038
- Hesse, S., & Jäger, C. (2005). Determination of the 13C chemical shift anisotropies of cellulose I and
 cellulose II. *Cellulose*, *12*(1), 5–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-004-0211-2
- Hoeng, F., Denneulin, A., & Bras, J. (2016). Use of nanocellulose in printed electronics: A review.

746 *Nanoscale*, 8(27), 13131–13154. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6NR03054H

- Hu, J., Tian, D., Renneckar, S., & Saddler, J. N. (2018). Enzyme mediated nanofibrillation of cellulose
 by the synergistic actions of an endoglucanase, lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase (LPMO)
- 749 and xylanase. Scientific Reports, 8(1), 3195. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21016-6
- 750 Inamochi, T., Funahashi, R., Nakamura, Y., Saito, T., & Isogai, A. (2017). Effect of coexisting salt on
- 751 TEMPO-mediated oxidation of wood cellulose for preparation of nanocellulose. *Cellulose*,

752 24(9), 4097–4101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-017-1402-y

753 Kaldéus, T., Larsson, P. T., Boujemaoui, A., & Malmström, E. (2018). One-pot preparation of bi-

functional cellulose nanofibrils. *Cellulose*, 25(12), 7031–7042.

755 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-018-2066-y

756 Kamide, K., Okajima, K., Matsui, T., & Kowsaka, K. (1984). Study on the Solubility of Cellulose in

- 757 Aqueous Alkali Solution by Deuteration IR and 13 C NMR. *Polymer Journal*, *16*(12), 857–866.
- 758 https://doi.org/10.1295/polymj.16.857
- Kobayashi, K., Kimura, S., Kim, U.-J., Tokuyasu, K., & Wada, M. (2012). Enzymatic hydrolysis of
 cellulose hydrates. *Cellulose*, *19*(3), 967–974. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-012-9696-2

Kono, H., Numata, Y., Erata, T., & Takai, M. (2004). 13C and 1H Resonance Assignment of Mercerized
Cellulose II by Two-Dimensional MAS NMR Spectroscopies. *Macromolecules*, *37*(14), 5310–

763 5316. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma030465k

- 764 Kuo, C.-H., & Lee, C.-K. (2009). Enhancement of enzymatic saccharification of cellulose by cellulose
- 765 dissolution pretreatments. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 77(1), 41–46.
- 766 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2008.12.003
- 767 Le Moigne, N., Jardeby, K., & Navard, P. (2010). Structural changes and alkaline solubility of wood
- 768 cellulose fibers after enzymatic peeling treatment. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 79(2), 325–332.
- 769 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2009.08.009
- Lee, H., Sundaram, J., Zhu, L., Zhao, Y., & Mani, S. (2018). Improved thermal stability of cellulose
- 771 nanofibrils using low-concentration alkaline pretreatment. Carbohydrate Polymers, 181, 506–
- 772 513. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.08.119
- Ling, Z., Chen, S., Zhang, X., & Xu, F. (2017). Exploring crystalline-structural variations of cellulose
- during alkaline pretreatment for enhanced enzymatic hydrolysis. *Bioresource Technology*,

775 224, 611–617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.064

- Liu, Y., & Hu, H. (2008). X-ray diffraction study of bamboo fibers treated with NaOH. *Fibers and*
- 777 *Polymers*, *9*(6), 735–739. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12221-008-0115-0
- 778 Martelli-Tosi, M., Torricillas, M. da S., Martins, M. A., Assis, O. B. G. de, & Tapia-Blácido, D. R. (2016,
- 779 September 5). Using Commercial Enzymes to Produce Cellulose Nanofibers from Soybean
- 780 *Straw* [Research Article]. Journal of Nanomaterials.
- 781 https://www.hindawi.com/journals/jnm/2016/8106814/
- 782 Mercer, J. (1850). *Improvements in the preparation of cotton and others fabrics and other fibrous* 783 *materials* (Patent No. 13,296).
- Miao, C., & Hamad, W. Y. (2013). Cellulose reinforced polymer composites and nanocomposites: A
 critical review. *Cellulose*, *20*(5), 2221–2262. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-013-0007-3

- 786 Mozdyniewicz, D. J., Nieminen, K., & Sixta, H. (2013). Alkaline steeping of dissolving pulp. Part I:
- 787 Cellulose degradation kinetics. *Cellulose*, 20(3), 1437–1451. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570788 013-9926-2
- Nam, S., & Condon, B. D. (2014). Internally dispersed synthesis of uniform silver nanoparticles via in
- 790 situ reduction of [Ag(NH3)2]+ along natural microfibrillar substructures of cotton fiber.

791 *Cellulose*, *21*(4), 2963–2972. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-014-0270-y

- Nechyporchuk, O., Belgacem, M. N., & Bras, J. (2016). Production of cellulose nanofibrils: A review of
 recent advances. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *93*(Supplement C), 2–25.
- 794 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.02.016
- 795 Nechyporchuk, O., Pignon, F., & Belgacem, M. N. (2015). Morphological properties of nanofibrillated
- 796 cellulose produced using wet grinding as an ultimate fibrillation process. *Journal of Materials* 797 *Science*, *50*(2), 531–541. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-014-8609-1
- Nishino, T., Takano, K., & Nakamae, K. (1995). Elastic modulus of the crystalline regions of cellulose
 polymorphs. *Journal of Polymer Science Part B: Polymer Physics*, 33(11), 1647–1651.
- 800 https://doi.org/10.1002/polb.1995.090331110
- Pääkkö, M., Ankerfors, M., Kosonen, H., Nykänen, A., Ahola, S., Österberg, M., Ruokolainen, J., Laine,
- 302 J., Larsson, P. T., Ikkala, O., & Lindström, T. (2007). Enzymatic Hydrolysis Combined with
- 803 Mechanical Shearing and High-Pressure Homogenization for Nanoscale Cellulose Fibrils and
- 804 Strong Gels. *Biomacromolecules*, *8*(6), 1934–1941. https://doi.org/10.1021/bm061215p
- Park, S., Baker, J. O., Himmel, M. E., Parilla, P. A., & Johnson, D. K. (2010). Cellulose crystallinity index:
- 806 Measurement techniques and their impact on interpreting cellulase performance.
- 807 Biotechnology for Biofuels, 3(1), 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-3-10
- 808 Pérez, S., & Mazeau, K. (2005). Conformations, Structures and Morphologies of Celluloses. In
- 809 *Polysaccharides: Structural Diversity and Functional Versatility* (Second Edition, pp. 41–68).
- 810 Marcel Dekker, New York.

- Rol, F., Belgacem, M. N., Gandini, A., & Bras, J. (2018). Recent advances in surface-modified cellulose
 nanofibrils. *Progress in Polymer Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2018.09.002
- 813 Rol, F., Sillard, C., Bardet, M., Yarava, J. R., Emsley, L., Gablin, C., Léonard, D., Belgacem, N., & Bras, J.
- 814 (2020). Cellulose phosphorylation comparison and analysis of phosphorate position on
- 815 cellulose fibers. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *229*, 115294.
- 816 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.115294
- 817 Rol, F., Vergnes, B., El Kissi, N., & Bras, J. (2020). Nanocellulose Production by Twin-Screw Extrusion:
- 818 Simulation of the Screw Profile To Increase the Productivity. ACS Sustainable Chemistry &
- 819 *Engineering*, *8*(1), 50–59. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b01913
- 820 Saito, T., & Isogai, A. (2006). Introduction of aldehyde groups on surfaces of native cellulose fibers by
- 821 TEMPO-mediated oxidation. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering*
- 822 Aspects, 289(1), 219–225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2006.04.038
- 823 Sèbe, G., Ham-Pichavant, F., Ibarboure, E., Koffi, A. L. C., & Tingaut, P. (2012). Supramolecular
- 824 Structure Characterization of Cellulose II Nanowhiskers Produced by Acid Hydrolysis of
- 825 Cellulose I Substrates. *Biomacromolecules*, *13*(2), 570–578.
- 826 https://doi.org/10.1021/bm201777j
- 827 Siqueira, G., Tapin-Lingua, S., Bras, J., da Silva Perez, D., & Dufresne, A. (2010). Morphological
- 828 investigation of nanoparticles obtained from combined mechanical shearing, and enzymatic

and acid hydrolysis of sisal fibers. *Cellulose*, *17*(6), 1147–1158.

830 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-010-9449-z

- Spieser, H., Denneulin, A., Deganello, D., Gethin, D., Koppolu, R., & Bras, J. (2020). Cellulose
- 832 nanofibrils and silver nanowires active coatings for the development of antibacterial
- packaging surfaces. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 240, 116305.
- 834 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.116305

- SriBala, G., Chennuru, R., Mahapatra, S., & Vinu, R. (2016). Effect of alkaline ultrasonic pretreatment
 on crystalline morphology and enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose. *Cellulose*, *23*(3), 1725–1740.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-016-0893-2
- 838 Stevanovic, T. (2016). Chemical Composition and Properties of Wood. In *Lignocellulosic Fibers and*
- 839 *Wood Handbook* (pp. 49–106). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

840 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781118773727.ch3

- Taheri, H., & Samyn, P. (2016). Effect of homogenization (microfluidization) process parameters in
- 842 mechanical production of micro- and nanofibrillated cellulose on its rheological and
- 843 morphological properties. *Cellulose*, *23*(2), 1221–1238. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-016-
- 844 0866-5
- Tsalagkas, D., Zhai, L., Kafy, A., Kim, J. W., Kim, H. C., & Kim, J. (2018). Production of Micro- and
- 846 Nanofibrillated Cellulose through an Aqueous Counter Collision System Followed by
- 847 Ultrasound: Effect of Mechanical Pretreatments. *Journal of Natural Fibers*, *0*(0), 1–12.

848 https://doi.org/10.1080/15440478.2018.1558144

- 849 Turbak, A. F., Snyder, F. W., & Sandberg, K. R. (1983). Microfibrillated cellulose, a new cellulose
- 850 product: Properties, uses, and commercial potential. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*.
- 851 Applied Polymer Symposium., 37, 815–827.
- Wada, M., Ike, M., & Tokuyasu, K. (2010). Enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose I is greatly accelerated via
- 853 its conversion to the cellulose II hydrate form. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, *95*(4), 543–

854 548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2009.12.014

- 855 Wang, H., Li, D., Yano, H., & Abe, K. (2014). Preparation of tough cellulose II nanofibers with high
- thermal stability from wood. *Cellulose*, *21*(3), 1505–1515. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-
- 857 014-0222-6
- Yamane, C., Abe, K., Satho, M., & Miyamoto, H. (2015). Dissolution of cellulose nanofibers in aqueous
 sodium hydroxide solution. *Nordic Pulp & Paper Research Journal*, *30*(1), 92–98.
- 860 https://doi.org/10.3183/npprj-2015-30-01-p092-098