

# Prevalence, intensity, impact on quality of life and insights of dysmenorrhea among French women: A cross-sectional web survey

Hervé Fernandez, Anthony Barea, Isabella Chanavaz-Lacheray

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Hervé Fernandez, Anthony Barea, Isabella Chanavaz-Lacheray. Prevalence, intensity, impact on quality of life and insights of dysmenorrhea among French women: A cross-sectional web survey. Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction, 2020, 49, pp.101889 -. 10.1016/j.jogoh.2020.101889 . hal-03493115

# HAL Id: hal-03493115 https://hal.science/hal-03493115v1

Submitted on 21 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1

Prevalence, Intensity, Impact on Quality of Life and Insights of dysmenorrhea among

2 French women: a cross-sectional web survey 3 FERNANDEZ Hervé<sup>1</sup>, BAREA Anthony<sup>2</sup>, CHANAVAZ-LACHERAY Isabella<sup>3</sup>. 4 5 6 7 8 1 AP-HP, Hôpital Bicêtre, GHU Sud, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 94276 Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France: Inserm, Centre of Research in Epidemiology 9 and Population Health (CESP), U1018, 94276 Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France; 10 University Paris Sud Orsay, 94276 Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France. 11 2 IPSOS France, 35 rue du Val de Marne, 75628 Paris Cedex 13, France 12 13 Centre de l'endométriose, Clinique Tivoli Ducos, 91 rue Rivière, 33000 Bordeaux, France 14 15 16 Corresponding author: Hervé FERNANDEZ 17 Hospital Bicêtre 18 Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics 19 78 rue du Général Leclerc 20 94270 Le Kremlin Bicêtre 21 Tél: +33 1 45 21 77 01 22 Mail: herve.fernandez@aphp.fr 23 24 25 26 27 **Funding Information** This work was supported by Theramex 28 29

2 French women: a cross-sectional web survey 3 FERNANDEZ Hervé<sup>1</sup>, BAREA Anthony<sup>2</sup>, CHANAVAZ-LACHERAY Isabella<sup>3</sup>. 4 5 6 7 8 1 AP-HP, Hôpital Bicêtre, GHU Sud, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 94276 Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France; Inserm, Centre of Research in Epidemiology 9 and Population Health (CESP), U1018, 94276 Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France; 10 University Paris Sud Orsay, 94276 Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France. 11 2 IPSOS France, 35 rue du Val de Marne, 75628 Paris Cedex 13, France 12 3 Centre de l'endométriose, Clinique Tivoli Ducos, 91 rue Rivière, 33000 Bordeaux, 13 France 14 15 16 Corresponding author: Hervé FERNANDEZ 17 Hospital Bicêtre 18 Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics 19 78 rue du Général Leclerc 20 94270 Le Kremlin Bicêtre 21 Tél: +33 1 45 21 77 01 22 23 Mail: herve.fernandez@aphp.fr 24 25 26 27 **Funding Information** This work was supported by Theramex 28

Prevalence, Intensity, Impact on Quality of Life and Insights of dysmenorrhea among

1

#### 30 Abstract

OBJECTIVE: Determine prevalence and intensity of primary dysmenorrhea in a sample of french women and assess impact on daily life and Quality of Life, care pathway and pain management

METHODS: This cross-sectional web-based survey was conducted from December 19<sup>th</sup>2018 through January 10<sup>th</sup>2019 among a representative sample of 3001 French women aged 16 to 50.

**RESULTS:** 2375 women (79%) reported having pain during menstruation, currently or in the past. 52% reported being currently affected, 27% had been affected in the past and 21% never suffered. Among younger women under 24, 66% reported current dysmenorrhea. Mean rating of pain intensity, evaluated on a visual analog scale from 0 to 10, was 6 + 1.9 in women presently having dysmenorrhea and 42% reported rating between 7 and 10. The impact on daily life was strong and there was a significant reduction of SF-36 scores in women experiencing dysmenorrhea. Among the 79% of women affected by dysmenorrhea, (current or past) 53% never used any medication and respectively 58% and 66% never sought medical advice.

**CONCLUSION:** Dysmenorrhea is very frequent in France with a significant impact on daily life and Quality of Life. There is a real inadequacy in the recognition and the management of this pathology, too often considered as common by the patients. There is a strong need for better information of the women and to raise the awareness of first line health-care professionals that any dysmenorrhea and especially severe dysmenorrhea must be accurately assessed and managed with the available therapeutic means

**KEYWORDS:** Primary dysmenorrhea, Menstrual Pain, Prevalence, Quality of Life, cross-sectional web-based survey, Pain management

#### Introduction

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

Dysmenorrhoea is commonly defined as painful menstrual cramps of uterine origin, temporally related to menstruations. Based upon aetiology, it can be classified into primary and secondary dysmenorrhoea, the latter being linked to a recognized pathological condition such as endometriosis for instance. The onset of primary dysmenorrhoea is usually observed early after menarche. Pain lasts for a few hours to a couple of days, and is generally associated with menstrual flow. Even though primary dysmenorrhoea is widely acknowledged as by far the most frequent gynaecological condition among women of reproductive age, its precise prevalence is difficult to establish since figures vary among studies and among countries. Estimates range from 45% to 95% depending on analyses [1] and are around 75% in women under the age of 25 [2, 3]. In France prevalence data are scarce [4]. Many risk factors have been found in the literature, including heavy and longer menstrual bleeding, an early age of menarche, a family history of dysmenorrhoea, and tobacco and (or) alcohol consumption [5]. Conversely, childbearing and increasing age are generally considered as protective factors [6]. The overall impact of dysmenorrhoea is very high. It is clearly the first cause of gynaecological morbidity in women of reproductive age, and its burden extends beyond health systems through losses of workforce (absenteeism and disturbed presenteeism) [1, 5]. Moreover, dysmenorrhea has a negative impact on daily life and Quality of Life (QOL) [6-8] Despite its prevalence and societal importance, there are still gaps in knowledge regarding primary dysmenorrhoea. Also, in France, we lack data regarding national prevalence, impact on QOL, perception and awareness of the condition in women, and regarding their actual management, own evaluation of health system and their expectations. We therefore conducted a large nationwide study aiming to specify the

exact prevalence of dysmenorrhea and also to have a descriptive analysis of this symptom and its consequences and management, in order to provide actionable insights to determine areas for improvement for information, diagnosis and treatment.

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

84

85

86

#### Methods

A cross-sectional web-based survey was conducted from December 19th 2018 through January 10<sup>th</sup> 2019 among a representative sample of 3001 French women aged 16 to 50. The study sample had been targeted and investigated throughout the Ipsos Access panel that gathers 314 077 French panelists. Regarding Ipsos online surveys, extensive quality procedures are in place to ensure that the survey inputs allow for high quality survey outputs. In general, 97 237 panelists have been selected thanks to statistical sampling following the adjustments criteria on age, socioprofessional category, geographical area and type of city based on the National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies census data for the population of women in a pre-targeted population of female aged 18 to 50. In particular, representativeness of the current study sample was ensured afterward, based upon established corrective methods. The comparison of the results obtained on the predefined variables with the objectives set at the beginning of the field made it possible to determine the population of the sample. An adjustment of the results to correct at the margin via the Rim Weighting method (application of a weighting coefficient to restore each individual to their weight in the sample) then finalized the number of women included in the sample. The content of the questionnaire was constructed with the steering committee of the study. The questionnaire collected data regarding demographics, gynecological history, presence and perception of menstruations and pain, evaluated on a visual analog scale (VAS) from 0 to 10, care pathway, women's evaluation of actual medical care, impact of dysmenorrhea on daily life and QOL (using the Short-Form 36), and their expectations in particular regarding medical information. A French version of the questionnaire can be found in the Appendix.

Except for the Short-Form 36, statistical analyses were performed using COSI software. Descriptive statistics include frequency tables, mean and standard deviations. Regarding the Short-Form 36 analysis, normal distribution of the continuous variables was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk Test. Non parametric tests were used to compare the Physical Health Component Scores (PHCS) and Mental Health Component Scores (MHCS) between the groups (Kruskal-Wallis). A p value<0.05 was considered as significant. Statistical tests were performed using SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM, 2016, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

3001 French women participated to the survey. Main demographic data in terms of age, geographical area, employment, parity and type of contraception method used are presented in Table 1.

Prevalence severity and perception of dysmenorrhea

Among the survey population a total of 2375 women (79%) reported having experienced pain during menstruation, currently or in the past. 1559 women (52%) reported being currently affected, 816 (27%) had been affected in the past and 626 (21%) never suffered from pain during menstruation. The prevalence of current

dysmenorrhea was significantly higher in younger women (66% in women from 16 to 134 24 versus 52% in all age group), table 2. The proportion of women reporting pain 135 during menstruation was higher among those with heavy menstrual flow: 69% vs. 136 137 39%. Also, women that did not take any contraceptive method reported pain around menstruation more frequently than others (59% vs. 48%). 138 Mean rating of pain intensity was 6 + 1.9 in women presently having dysmenorrhea 139 and was significantly higher in the 16-24 age group (6,3 + 1,8, p<0,05). 42% of these 140 women reported rating of pain intensity between 7 and 10 out of ten and again the 141 percentage of these women was significantly higher in the younger women. In 142 143 contrast, prevalence, intensity and severity of dysmenorrhea was comparable with regard to parity. (table 2). 144 When surveying women from the subgroup declaring dysmenorrhea in their prior 145 146 history, mean age of pain termination was 26.9 + 9.1 and 54% of them reported pain termination before 25. Among the same subgroup, mean duration of dysmenorrhea 147 was 9.2 years + 7.8 yet for 39% of them (n=318), mean duration exceeded 10 years. 148 When asked about perception of dysmenorrhea, 1980 women (66%) considered 149 being affected by pain around menstruation as normal. This precise response was 150 found higher among the subgroup of women currently affected by dysmenorrhea 151 (n=1106; 71%) and still high in women that never experienced pain around 152 menstruation (n=331; 53%). 153 When asked about their knowledge of the medical term dysmenorrhea, only 36% of 154 the whole population declared having heard or read about it. 155

1140 women (38%) among the whole study sample thought that dysmenorrhea was

158

157

156

a serious problem.

Daily impact and QOL (Short-Form 36)

Data regarding physical and psychosocial impact are presented in Table 3. Quantified mean impact score of dysmenorrhea was quite weakly rated on average. On the other hand, the daily impact was rated as important/very important for 67% of the women currently having dysmenorrhea for fitness and 50% for sexual life. We also measured that 22% of women currently affected by dysmenorrhea had missed at least one day of work within the last 12 months (average duration of work absence=1.2 days±4.5). Regarding QOL measured by the Short form SF 36 Questionnaire, both the Physical Health component scores and the Mental Health component scores were significantly reduced in the subgroup of women currently affected by dysmenorrhea versus women that were never affected as exhibited in Table 4.

Care pathway and pain management (table 5)

Among women being affected by dysmenorrhea, either currently or in the past (n=2375), 1377 (58%) never used any medication and 2042 never used any other type of treatment (86%) for managing pain. Medications cited to manage pain were analgesics (83% among women presently affected, 86% for those affected in the past), antispasmodics (42% among women presently affected, 51% for those affected in the past), anti-inflammatory agents (41% among women presently affected, 28% for those affected in the past), contraceptive pills (30% among women presently affected, 45% for those affected in the past), and others (9% among women presently affected, 6% for those affected in the past). Most women used or had used medications according to a prescription from a physician (46% for those currently affected by dysmenorrhea vs. 56% for those affected in the past) yet a

substantial proportion also did it through self-medication (54% for those currently affected by dysmenorrhea vs. 44% for those affected in the past). Among women that were currently affected by dysmenorrhea, alternative treatments to drugs were as follows: hot-water bag (79%), essential oil (43%), so-called home-made remedies (42%), homeopathy (39%), medical devices (15%), Chinese herbs (12%). Among women that were currently or had been in the past affected by dysmenorrhea. respectively 58% and 66% had never sought any medical advice (table 5). Among the 924 women in both groups which once consulted a physician, 49% initially asked some assistance to her primary care physician, and 31% to her community gynecologist. In 74% of these women, no diagnosis was retained. A medication was prescribed in 70% of these women. The two most commonly prescribed types of drugs were contraceptive pills and analgesics yet with reverse ranking depending on the subgroup: women currently affected cited analgesics first (57%), followed by contraceptive pill (32%) while women that had had dysmenorrhea cited contraceptive pill first (53%), and then analgesics (43%). Among the same subgroup of 924 women that once consulted a physician, 105 (11%) received a prescription for any type of testing, mostly ultrasonography (89%) and (or) blood test (62%), and (or) magnetic resonance imaging (29%).

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

## Evaluation of medical care and expectations

Average delays between the onset of symptoms and first medical consultation were 2.9 and 2.3 years in women currently experiencing dysmenorrhea and those that had such an issue in the past, respectively. When surveyed about the reasons for such a delay, multiple responses were recorded, the most frequent of which were: pain was tolerable (32%), pain was transient (34%), symptoms were not serious enough

(30%), preconception that nothing was doable (30%), it was only a matter of heavy menstrual flow (28%), and some relatives were affected by the same symptoms (27%). When interrogating women that had had dysmenorrhea, and after exclusion of those for which pain had resolved immediately, pain relief took on average 3.8 years. Among the 162 women that had been affected by dysmenorrhea in the past and that had seen at least a physician for it, the time elapsed between the first medical consultation and pain relief was judged as too long for 80% of them. However, when women experiencing current or past dysmenorrhea were directly questioned about their evaluation of their healthcare providers, positive opinions or responses were as follows: strong adherence to prescribed management (86%), good attention paid by the provider to dysmenorrhea (81%), active attitude with respect to finding care solutions (82%), no hidden information (79%), no perceived inhibition to ask intimate questions (75%), good knowledge of the condition (76%), sufficient time taken for reinsurance (74%). When surveyed about informational tool that would permit to improve experience and management of dysmenorrhea, the following responses were equally cited by around one women out of four: a website, awareness campaign deployed on several types of media, poster campaign targeting the lay public, information leaflets about painful menstruations in waiting rooms of gynecologists.

228

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

229

230

231

232

#### **Discussion**

The aim of our study was to determine if dysmenorrhea is a common or rare symptom, what is the level of pain intensity, if it has consequences on women's life, if

it is considered as normal or abnormal, if and how women try to relieve it.

We studied a population aged from 16 to 45 and as a consequence some women reported current dysmenorrhea and some reported having had dysmenorrhea in the past.

We decided to show the results of the 2 groups when we found differences which were probably due to several factors. First for some women dysmenorrhea may have occurred several years ago and perception may have changed, but also trends in the management and acceptability of certain treatments, including the pill, may have evolved over time.

Our study has strengths and limitations.

A major strength of this study was the size of our sample and the selection of the population from the French Ipsos access panel with statistical sampling following the adjustment criteria on age socio-professional category, geographical area and type of city. Representativeness of the population was ensured upon established corrective methods. Therefore our findings could be extrapolated to a general population of young women. One of the potential limitations is the fact that our data are retrospective and were assessed by a self-report questionnaire, which does not guarantee the accuracy of the information collected. Also the women in our study population were all above 16, knowing that the mean age of menarche (and consequently dysmenorrhea) was 13 + 1.6.

Our national survey of a representative sample of 3001 French women found very high overall rates of current and/or past dysmenorrhea (79%), in line with various type of studies having found a consistently high prevalence in women of different ages and countries [1-3]. Our data confirmed that dysmenorrhea is more frequent in younger women with a prevalence of current dysmenorrhea of 66% in women aged from 16 to 24 years. This result is very close to the findings of another French cohort of 10 229 menstruating women showing a prevalence of 62.3% in younger women [4] and is also in line with the recent American College of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Committee Opinion [9]. In contrast, our study did not show a lower prevalence in childbearing women despite it has been previously shown [6]. The percentage of women currently having dysmenorrhea who reported a pain intensity score from 7 to 10 was high (42%), especially in younger women, which is considered by previous bibliography as severe dysmenorrhea [8]. The high severity of dysmenorrhea has also recently been shown by Fernandez Martinez et al with 66% of young students experiencing intensity scores from 7 to 10 [8]. This is an important matter knowing that Ragab et al in a study on 654 adolescents showed that 68.8% of the women had severe dysmenorrhea and that 25.5% of them had ultrasounds findings suggestive of endometriosis. 12.3% of them had a diagnosis of endometriosis with positive histo-pathological evidence of endometriosis [10]. These findings are consistent with the estimated high prevalence of endometriosis affecting 5% of reproductive age women, with a peak between 25 years and 35 years of age [11]. But dysmenorrhea is part of the symptoms of endometriosic patients who are also suffering from pelvic chronic pain and/or infertility.

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

normal or acceptable.

A study conducted in Turkey on 101 adolescents showed significant reduction of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL) [7]. A recent Spanish study on 305 female university students used EuroQol-5 dimensions-5 levels (EQ-5D-5L) and patients with dysmenorrhea showed significant reduction of the total score for perceived QOL [8] Only few studies used standardized tools to assess QOL impact of dysmenorrhea [7,8,12] and one of the strength of our study was the use of the reference generic QOL Short Form 36 (SF-36) showing a significant reduction of both physical and mental components in women experiencing dysmenorrhea Dysmenorrhea's consequences on daily life have been well documented in the litterature [6] and our data confirm the negative impact on fitness, social and family life, sexual life but also an alteration of relationships with relatives and the abandon of daily events or activities... The current survey raises several points worth considering regarding dysmenorrhea. Considering important repercussion of dysmenorrhea, it is surprising to see that in our study, 58 to 66% of the patients did not seek medical advice. But this fact has been already found in litterature: only 34% (71/207) of young australian women reporting dysmenorrhea had ever consulted a healthcare provider [13]. This is probably due to the fact that in our study, 66% considered being affected by pain around menstruation as normal. It could also be related with the difficulty for anyone to answer questions about pain, especially restrospectively: there is a clearly a discrepancy in our study between the mean average intensity score of 6 and the fact that two thirds of the women using the same questionnaire consider this pain as The conjunction of the importance of the high level of intensity of dysmenorrhea and the very low level of medical care of affected women highlighted in this study is worrying for several reasons. First the strong impact on multiple daily life events and on Quality of Life shown here is not acceptable for women and second there is a risk of missing out on a diagnosis of secondary dysmenorrhea, especially endometriosis. These findings could be one of the explanations to the long delay in recognizing and treating this disease (average from 6 to 12 years) [14]. In terms of pain management, the high proportion of women (53%) not taking any type of medication is in line with the low figures of women seeking medical advice mentioned above and also with previous litterature (52% in the Australian study by Subasinghe et al [13]. The use of analgesics, NSAIDs and oral contraception was reported in our study as usually recommended [1, 13]. Self-medication rate is high and is in line with a recent metanalysis by Armour et al (55%) [2]. The rate of women suffering from dysmenorrhea while taking an oral contraception (49% of them) is to be noted. Oral contraception is well known to reduce pain during menstruation but these results confirm that dysmenorrhea frequently persists despite the intake of the pill. This has been shown in several studies: Sulak et al previously show in a study in 262 women taking a standard oral contraception with a seven days hormone free interval that 70 % of the women documented some degree of pelvic pain during the hormone free interval compared with a prevalence of 11-23% during active-pill weeks [15]. In a large European study of 5728 women, Nappi et al [16] observed prevalence of dysmenorrhea in 46% of the women taking a combined oral contraception versus 55% (p<0,0001) in non-users. Standard COC clearly improved dysmenorrhea but in close to 50% of the women dysmenorrhea persisted which is in line with our study results (49%). Despite the fact that we didn't have the information

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

wether the pill was taken cyclically or continuously, the slight difference of amenorrhea rates between women having or not a contraceptive mean indicates that most of the women probably used cyclical contraception. These observations have been previously found in litterature and conducted some authors to suggest that extended use of combined oral contraception is effective for the treatment for primary dysmenorrhea and may be superior to the traditional cycling regimen [17-19]. In conclusion, we found that, as in other countries, dysmenorrhea is a very frequent problem in France with a strong and significant impact on daily life and Quality of Life (QOL). There is a real inadequacy in the management of this pathology, too often considered as common by the patients. There is a strong need for better information of the women and also to raise the awareness of health professionals who are in the first line, including pharmacists and general practitioners, that any dysmenorrhea and especially severe dysmenorrhea must be assessed and managed with the available therapeutic means. Primary dysmenorrhea must not be neglected in order to avoid contributing to delayed diagnosis of more severe pathologies such as endometriosis. Some experts believe that endometriosis can be suspected and should be diagnosed even in the absence of histological confirmation and that surgery should not be mandatory if clear therapeutic benefits cannot be foreseen [12, 20, 21]. Furthermore treating dysmenorrhea with continuous or extended oral contraception might be a good option for dysmenorrhea especially when endometriosis is suspected.

353

354

355

352

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

#### **Funding Information**

This work was supported by Theramex

- [1] Armour M, Parry K, Al-Dabbas MA, Curry C, Holmes K, MacMillan F, Ferfolja T,
- 358 Smith CA. Self-care strategies and sources of knowledge on menstruation in 12,526
- young women with dysmenorrhea: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS
- One. 2019;14: e0220103. https://doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0220103
- [2] Proctor M, Farguhar C. Diagnosis and management of dysmenorrhoea. BMJ.
- 362 2006 332:1134-8. https://doi: 10.1136/bmj.332.7550.1134
- [3] Fernández-Martínez E, Onieva-Zafra MD, Parra-Fernández ML. Lifestyle and
- prevalence of dysmenorrhea among Spanish female university students. PLoS One.
- 365 2018;13:e0201894. https://doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201894.
- 366 [4] Margueritte F. Algies pelviennes chroniques : prévalence et caractéristiques
- associées dans la cohorte Constances. Revue d'Épidémiologie et de Santé Publique
- 368 2016;64:134
- [5] De Sanctis V, Soliman A, Bernasconi S, Bianchin L, Bona G, Bozzola M, Buzi F,
- De Sanctis C, Tonini G, Rigon F, Perissinotto E. Primary Dysmenorrhea in
- 371 Adolescents: Prevalence, Impact and Recent Knowledge. Pediatr Endocrinol Rev.
- 372 2015;13:512-20.
- [6] Bernardi M, Lazzeri L, Perelli F, Reis FM, Petraglia F. Dysmenorrhea and related
- disorders. F1000Res. 2017;6:1645. https://doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11682.1.
- [7] Sahin N, Kasap B, Kirli U, Yeniceri N, Topal Y. Assessment of anxiety-depression
- levels and perceptions of quality of life in adolescents with dysmenorrhea. Reprod
- Health. 2018;15:13. https://doi: 10.1186/s12978-018-0453-3.
- [8] Fernández-Martínez E, Onieva-Zafra MD, Parra-Fernández ML. The Impact of
- Dysmenorrhea on Quality of Life Among Spanish Female University Students. Int J
- Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16:pii: E713. https://doi: 10.3390/ijerph16050713.
- [9] ACOG Committee Opinion No. 760: Dysmenorrhea and Endometriosis in the
- 382 Adolescent. Obstet Gynecol. 2018;132:e249-58. https://doi:
- 383 10.1097/AOG.0000000000002978.
- 1384 [10] Prevalence of endometriosis among adolescent school girls with severe
- dysmenorrhea: A cross sectional prospective study. Ragab A, Shams M, Badawy A,
- 386 Alsammani MA. Int J Health Sci. 2015;9:273-81
- [11] Vercellini P, Viganò P, Somigliana E, Fedele L. Endometriosis: pathogenesis and
- 388 treatment. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2014;10:261-75. https://doi:
- 389 10.1038/nrendo.2013.255. Epub 2013 Dec 24.
- 1390 [12] lacovides S1, Avidon I, Bentley A, Baker FC. Reduced quality of life when
- 391 experiencing menstrual pain in women with primary dysmenorrhea. Acta Obstet
- 392 Gynecol Scand. 2014;93:213-7. https://doi: 10.1111/aogs.12287. Epub 2013 Nov 25.
- 13] Subasinghe AK, Happo L, Jayasinghe YL, Garland SM, Gorelik A, Wark JD.
- 394 Prevalence and severity of dysmenorrhoea, and management options reported by
- young Australian women. Aust Fam Physician. 2016;45:829-34.

- 396 [14] Chapron C, Lafay-Pillet MC, Monceau E, Borghese B, Ngô C, Souza C, de
- 397 Ziegler D. Questioning patients about their adolescent history can identify markers
- associated with deep infiltrating endometriosis. Fertil Steril. 2011;95:877-81.
- 399 https://doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2010.10.027.
- 400 [15] Sulak PJ, Scow RD, Preece C, et al. Hormone withdrawal symptoms in oral
- 401 contraceptive users. Obstet Gynecol. 2000;95:261-66. https://doi:10.1016/s0029-
- 402 7844(99)00524-4
- [16] Nappi RE, Fiala C, Chabbert-Buffet N, Häusler G, Jamin C, Lete I, Lukasiewic M,
- Pintiaux A, Lobo P. Women's preferences for menstrual bleeding frequency: results
- of the Inconvenience Due to Women's Monthly Bleeding (ISY) survey. Eur J
- 406 Contracept Reprod Health Care. 2016;21:242-50. https://doi:
- 407 10.3109/13625187.2016.1154144.
- 408 [17] Dmitrovic R, Kunselman AR, Legro RS. Continuous compared with cyclic oral
- 409 contraceptives for the treatment of primary dysmenorrhea: a randomized controlled
- 410 trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2012;119:1143-50. https://doi:
- 411 10.1097/AOG.0b013e318257217a.
- [18] Strowitzki T, Kirsch B, Elliesen J. Efficacy of ethinylestradiol 20 µg/drospirenone
- 3 mg in a flexible extended regimen in women with moderate-to-severe primary
- dysmenorrhoea: an open-label, multicentre, randomised, controlled study. J Fam
- 415 Plann Reprod Health Care. 2012;38:94-101. https://doi: 10.1136/jfprhc-2011-100225.
- [19] Momoeda M, Kondo M, Elliesen J, Yasuda M, Yamamoto S, Harada T. Efficacy
- and safety of a flexible extended regimen of ethinylestradiol/drospirenone for the
- treatment of dysmenorrhea: a multicenter, randomized, open-label, active-controlled
- study. Int J Womens Health. 2017;9:295-305. https://doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S134576.
- 420 [20] Johnson NP1, Hummelshoj L; World Endometriosis Society Montpellier
- 421 Consortium. Consensus on current management of endometriosis. Hum
- 422 Reprod. 2013;28:1552-68. https://doi: 10.1093/humrep/det050. Epub 2013 Mar 25.
- 423 [21] Collinet P, Fritel X, Revel-Delhom C, Ballester M, Bolze PA, Borghese
- B, Bornsztein N, Boujenah J, Bourdel N, Brillac T, Chabbert-Buffet N, Chauffour
- 425 C, Clary N, Cohen J, Decanter C, Denouël A, Dubernard G, Fauconnier
- 426 A, Fernandez H, Gauthier T, Golfier F, Huchon C, Legendre G, Loriau J, Mathieu-
- d'Argent E, Merlot B, Niro J, Panel P, Paparel P, Philip CA, Ploteau S, Poncelet
- 428 C, Rabischong B, Roman H, Rubod C, Santulli P, Sauvan M, Thomassin-Naggara
- 1, Torre A, Wattier JM, Yazbeck C, Canis M. Management of endometriosis: CNGOF-
- 430 HAS practice guidelines (short version). Gynecol Obstet Fertil Senol. 2018;46:144-
- 431 55. https://doi: 10.1016/j.gofs.2018.02.027.

Characteristic	Numbers (n (%))
Age	
16-19	300 (10%)
20-24	390 (13%)
25-34	840 (28%)
35-44	901 (30%)
45-50	570 (19%)
	, ,
Geographical area	
Paris area	630 (21%)
North-East	660 (22%)
North-West	660 (22%)
South-East	721 (24%)
South-West	330 (11%)
	(==/-)
Employment	
Agricultural	30 (1%)
Independent	180 (6%)
Senior manager	420 (14%)
Intermediate professions	660 (22%)
Employee	691 (23%)
Worker	480 (16%)
Retired	30 (1%)
Inactive	510 (17%)
	0-10 (-1776)
Number of children	
None	1471 (49%)
1	750 (25%)
2	600 (20%)
3	180 (6%)
Contraception method	
Absence	1395 (47%)
Presence	1606 (53%)
Pill	1078 (67%)
Hormonal IUD	204 (13%)
Non hormonal IUD	212 (13%)
Implant	84 (5%)
Male condom	68 (4%)
Female condom	20 (1%)
Patch	14 (1%)
Vaginal ring	4 (0,2%)

Multiple contraception methods are possible, the total can be superior to 100%

Table 1. Demographics and main characteristics of women included in study sample (n= 3001)

		Women currently affected by dysmenorrhea	Women that had been affected in the past by dysmenorrhea
		1559/3001 (52%)	816/3001 (27%**)
	16-24 years	458/690 (66%*)	119/690 (17%**)
	25-34 years	476/840 (57%*)	188/840 (22%)
	35-44 years	453/901 (50%)	258/901 (29%)
Prevalence by age group & parity n (%)	45-50 years	215/570 (38%*)	207/570 (36%**)
	0 children	829/1471 (56%)	349/1471 (24%)
	≥1 children	773/1530 (50%)	423/1530 (28%)
	All women	6 <u>+</u> 1.9	5.7 <u>+</u> 2.2
Pain intensity (mean score <u>+</u> SD, VAS 0-10)	16-24 years	6.3 <u>+</u> 1.8 *	5.3 <u>+</u> 2.1
	25-34 years	5.9 <u>+</u> 1.9	5.3 <u>+</u> 2.2

	35-44 years	6 <u>+</u> 1.9	5.8 <u>+</u> 2.2
	45-50 years	5.8 <u>+</u> 1.9	6.3 <u>+</u> 2.2**
	0 children	6 <u>+</u> 1.9	5.7 <u>+</u> 2.3
	≥1 children	6.1 <u>+</u> 1.9	5.7 <u>+</u> 2.1
	All women	Women currently affected by dysmenorrhea whose pain intensity is > 7	Women that had been affected in the past by dysmenorrhea whose pain intensity is > 7
Severe pain Intensity (VAS mean score <u>&gt;</u> 7) n (%)		655/1559 (42%)	294/816 (36%)
	16-24 years	223/655 (34%***)	38/294 (13%***)
	25-34 years	170/655 (26%)	59/294 (20%***)
	35-44 years	183/655 (28%)	100/294 (34%)
	45-50 years	79/655 (12%***)	97/294 (33%)
	0 children	341/655 (52%)	135/294 (46%)

≥1 children	314/655 (48%)	159/294 (54%)

## Table2. prevalence and severity of dysmenorrhea

- \* p <0, 05 Significant statistical difference compared to the whole population of Women currently affected by dysmenorrhea (n=1559)
- \*\* p <0, 05 Significant statistical difference compared to the whole population of Women that had been affected in the past by dysmenorrhea (n=816)
- \*\*\* p<0,05 Significant statistical difference compared to all other age groups

		Women currently affected by dysmenorrhea (N=1559)	Women that had been affected in the past by dysmenorrhea (n=816)
	Physical impact	4.9*±2.5	3±2.3
	Psychological impact	4.2*±2.7	2.7±2.2
	Professional impact	3.4*±2.5	2.2 ±2.1
Quantified impact of dysmenorrhea	Social impact	3.3*±2.5	2.2 ±2.1
(Mean impact score)	Impact on relationships with relatives	3.5*±2.5	2.3±2.1
	Impact on leisure	4.2*±2.6	2.8±2.3
	Fitness	67%*	39%
Self-assessment of daily impact of dysmenorrhea***	Sexual life	60%*	43%
(% of Important/very important)	Psychological balance	50%*	29%

Social life	46%*	29%
Affective life	44%*	27%
Family life	36%*	20%
Professional life	36%*	20%

Table 2. Impact on different aspects of women's life according to status with respect to dysmenorrhea.

<sup>\*</sup> p <0, 05 (inter-group comparison)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Rating from 1 to 10, 1 meaning no impact and 10 meaning the most possibly important impact that one can imagine. Phrasing of the question was slightly different according to subgroups, tailored to the presence or not of dysmenorrhea.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Women were asked to respond according to 4 possible options, from "not important at all" to "very important". Phrasing of the question was again tailored to the subgroup women belonged to.

	Women that were never affected n=626 (21%)	Women currently affected by dysmenorrhea n= 1559 (52%)	Women that had been affected by dysmenorrhea in the past n=816 (27%)	p
PHCS	83.05±15.7	71.21±19.37	78.41±17.83	<0.001
MHCS	72.39±17.31	59.23±19.68	66.15±18.46	<0.001

PHCS: Physical Health Component Scores; MHCS: Mental Health Component Scores.

Table 4. Short-Form 36 Component Scores according to disease status.

	Women currently affected by dysmenorrhea (N=1559)	Women that had been affected in the past by dysmenorrhea (n=816)
Never used medication 1377/2375 (58%)	950/1559 (61%)	427/816 (52%)
Used medication 998/2375 (42%) *	609/1559 (39%)	389/816 (48%)
analgesics	507/609 (83%)	337/389 (86%)
antispasmodics	58/609 (42%)	201/389 (51%)
Anti-inflammatory agents	252/609 (41%)	110/389 (28%)
Contraceptive pills	185/609 (30%)	176/389 (45%)
Other medications	55/609 (9%)	23/389 (6%)
Prescribed medications	278/609 (46%)	218/389 (56%)
Self-medication	331/609 (54%)	171/389 (44%)

Never used alternative therapies 2042/2375 (86%)	1301/1559 (83%)	741/816 (91%)
Used alternatives therapies 333/2375 (14%) *	258/1559 (17%)	75/1559 (9%)
Hot-water bag	204/258 (79%)	59/75(79%)
Essential oil	110/258 (43%)	13/75(17%)
Home-made remedies	109/258 (42%)	29/75(39%)
homeopathy	101/258 (39%)	18/75(24%)
Medical devices	38/258 (15%)	2/75(3%)
Chinese herbs	30/258 (12%)	2/75(3%)
Never consulted a physician	912/1559 (58%)	539/816 (66%)
Consulted a physician	647/1559 (42%)	277/816 (34%)

Table 5. Care pathway and pain management

• Women may have taken several medications/alternative therapies