

# The proportion of cleaved anti-Müllerian hormone is higher in serum but not follicular fluid of obese women independently of polycystic ovary syndrome

Maëliss Peigné, Pascal Pigny, Michaël W. Pankhurst, Elodie Drumez, Anne Loyens, Didier Dewailly, Sophie Catteau-Jonard, Paolo Giacobini

## ▶ To cite this version:

Maëliss Peigné, Pascal Pigny, Michaël W. Pankhurst, Elodie Drumez, Anne Loyens, et al.. The proportion of cleaved anti-Müllerian hormone is higher in serum but not follicular fluid of obese women independently of polycystic ovary syndrome. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2020, 41, pp.1112 - 1121. 10.1016/j.rbmo.2020.07.020. hal-03493031

# HAL Id: hal-03493031 https://hal.science/hal-03493031

Submitted on 15 Dec 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	The proportion of cleaved Anti-Müllerian Hormone (AMH) is higher in the serum but
2	not in the follicular fluid of obese women independently of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome
3	(PCOS)
4	Maëliss Peigné <sup>1,2,3§</sup> , Pascal Pigny <sup>1,4</sup> , Michaël W. Pankhurst <sup>5</sup> , Elodie Drumez <sup>6,7</sup> , Anne
5	Loyens <sup>1</sup> , Didier Dewailly <sup>1,3</sup> , Sophie Catteau-Jonard <sup>1,3</sup> *, Paolo Giacobini <sup>1</sup> *§
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Univ. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, U1172 - LilNCog - Lille Neuroscience & Cognition, F-59000 Lille,
8	France.
9	<sup>2</sup> AP-HP- Université Sorbonne Paris-Nord, Service de Médecine de la Reproduction et Préservation de
10	la Fertilité, Hôpital Jean Verdier, F-93143 Bondy, France.
11	<sup>3</sup> CHU Lille, Service de Gynécologie Médicale, Hôpital Jeanne de Flandre, F-59000 Lille, France
12	<sup>4</sup> CHU Lille, Service de Biochimie et Hormonologie, Centre de Biologie Pathologie, F-59000 Lille,
13	France
14	<sup>5</sup> Department of Anatomy, School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Otago, Dunedin, New
15	Zealand
16	<sup>6</sup> Univ. Lille, CHU Lille, ULR 2694 - METRICS : Évaluation des technologies de santé et des
17	pratiques médicales, F-59000 Lille, France
18	<sup>7</sup> CHU Lille, Department of Biostatistics, F-59000 Lille, France HU Lille, Unité de Méthodologie -
19	Biostatistique et Data Management, F-59000 Lille, France
20	
21	*These authors contributed equally to this work
22	

- 23 § Correspondence should be addressed to: Paolo Giacobini (paolo.giacobini@inserm.fr) or Maëliss
- 24 Peigné (maeliss.peigne@inserm.fr)

#### 25 Structured Abstract

Study question: Does the relative distribution of AMH isoforms differ from one patient toanother depending on their BMI and PCOS status in the serum and the follicular fluid (FF)?

Design: Obese and normal weight (NW) patients (PCOS:70; non-PCOS:37) were selected 28 29 from a database (2014-1018) for this case-control study in the serum. Between 2018 and 2019, obese (N = 19) and NW(N = 20) women with or without PCOS, receiving IVF 30 31 treatment, were included in the FF study. The bio-banked serums and FF were tested for totalAMH (proAMH and AMH<sub>N,C</sub> combined) and proAMH using an automatic analyzer. The 32 33 AMH prohormone index (API= [proAMH]/[total AMH]x100) was calculated as an inverse 34 marker of conversion of proAMH to AMH<sub>N,C</sub>, with only the latter isoform that could bind to the AMH receptor-complex. 35

**Results:** API was not significantly different between controls and women with PCOS. However, obese women versus their NW counterparts had a lower API. Grouping PCOS and controls, a lower API was found in obese compared to NW women (34.9% vs 41.2%; P <0.001), suggesting a greater conversion of proAMH to AMH<sub>N,C</sub>. The API in the serum was significantly correlated with metabolic parameters. In the FF, API is not different between obese and NW women independently of PCOS (67.4% vs 71.1%; P = 0.5) and is higher than in the concomitant serum (32.8% in obese and 40.4% in NW women).

43 **Conclusions:** The proportion of inactive form of AMH in the serum is higher in NW versus 44 obese women but not in the FF, independently of PCOS. The conversion of proAMH into the 45 cleaved isoform is likely occurring in extra-ovarian tissues and exacerbated in obese 46 individuals.

# 48 Key Message

49	A lower proportion of proAMH is detectable in the serum of obese compared with normal-
50	weight women independently of PCOS status. In the follicular fluid this difference is not
51	found suggesting that a greater cleavage of proAMH to $AMH_{N,C}$ is likely occurring in extra-
52	ovarian tissues and exacerbated in obese as compared to normal weight women.

**Keywords:** PCOS; AMH; molecular forms; BMI; obesity; follicular fluid

#### 55 Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a complex reproductive endocrine disorder, affecting up to 20% of women of reproductive age and representing the primary cause of anovulatory infertility worldwide (Teede et al., 2018). In about 50% of cases, PCOS associates with metabolic disturbances, such as obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and other comorbidities (Dumesic et al., 2015; Escobar-Morreale, 2018). Moreover, obesity itself increases the symptoms of PCOS such as ovulation disorders or hyperandrogenism.

A frequent hallmark found in women with PCOS, is an elevation of Anti-Müllerian hormone 62 63 (AMH) serum levels, which have been reported to be 2-3-fold higher than in women with 64 healthy ovaries (Cook et al., 2002; Pigny et al., 2003). Interestingly, the severity of the PCOS phenotype correlates with AMH production, which is higher in anovulatory than in ovulatory 65 PCOS patients (Abbara et al., 2019; Catteau-Jonard et al., 2012; Pellatt et al., 2007). 66 Clinically observed correlations between enhanced basal levels of AMH and PCOS have led 67 to a potential physiological role for AMH in "follicular arrest" in women with PCOS 68 69 (Dewailly et al., 2014). In this pathology, enhanced numbers of primary and small secondary 70 follicles secrete abnormally high levels of AMH, that associates with excess androgen 71 production/secretion and leading to arrested follicular maturation.

AMH is a glycoprotein synthesized as a homo-dimeric prohormone of 140 kDa (composed of two monomers of 70 kDa), which undergoes a proteolytic cleavage at monobasic sites to become biologically active (di Clemente et al., 2010; Pepinsky et al., 1988). The cleavage of AMH gives rise to a 110 kDa N-terminal homodimer formed by two 57 kDa subunits (AMH<sub>N</sub>) and a 25 kDa active C-homodimer composed of two identical 12.5 kDa (AMH<sub>C</sub>) subunits. These 2 homodimers remain associated in a non-covalent complex (AMH<sub>N,C</sub>) (Nachtigal and Ingraham, 1996; Pepinsky et al., 1988). Although having no intrinsic activity, AMH<sub>N</sub> has the role of amplifying the biological activity of the AMH<sub>C</sub> (Wilson et al., 1993). AMH<sub>N,C</sub> and its pro-hormone (proAMH) are found in blood but there is little evidence for the presence of free AMH<sub>C</sub> (Pankhurst and McLennan, 2013). This suggests that circulating cleaved AMH<sub>N,C</sub> levels represent the receptor-competent isoform available for a hormonal function in a variety of tissues and organs expressing the exclusive binding- receptor AMHR2 (Barbotin et al., 2019).

A number of studies point to AMH as a useful marker for the diagnosis of PCOS, however 85 challenges to the use of AMH to diagnose PCOS have been fully reviewed in Teede et al. 86 87 2018 (Teede et al., 2018). A recent study by Abbara and colleagues (Abbara et al., 2019) has 88 suggested that AMH has potential as a biomarker for diagnosis of PCOS, outperforming antral follicles count, and greater elevations in AMH were associated with a more certain 89 90 diagnosis of PCOS. However, due to the variability in levels using first-generation and less 91 reliable assays and the lack of an international standard, AMH has not yet been adopted as part of the diagnostic criteria for PCOS (Teede et al., 2018). 92

93 In recent years, studies have started to use novel approaches and analytical assays (Pankhurst et al., 2017; Wissing et al., 2019) aiming at determining whether the ratios of proAMH or 94 95 AMH<sub>N,C</sub> in serum are altered in women with PCOS relative to women without PCOS and to understand if different AMH-related isoforms can be used to predict PCOS. However, the 96 results of those studies are conflicting, either reporting differences in the mean 97 98 proAMH:AMH<sub>N,C</sub> ratio in the PCOS group versus the unaffected control group (Pankhurst et al., 2017) or reporting no differences in the relative distribution of the AMH isoforms 99 between those groups (Wissing et al., 2019). We hypothesized that part of the discrepancies 100 could be due to the heterogeneity of the disorder and that stratifying women with and without 101

PCOS based on their metabolic phenotype (body mass index) could better help to pin-point eventual differences in AMH cleavage. These difference in AMH cleavage could lead to relative higher levels of active AMH in the serum which could participate to the existence of different PCOS phenotypes.

The aims of this study were thus to determine if the relative distribution of AMH isoforms may differ from one patient to another depending on their body mass index (BMI) and PCOS status and to investigate whether differences in the proportions of AMH isoforms are due to increased proAMH cleavage occurring in the follicular fluid or in the serum.

110

#### 111 Materials and Methods

#### 112 Population for early follicular phase serum study

113 From a database including clinical, hormonal and ultrasound features that were consecutively recorded between January 2014 and December 2018 in patients referred to our department in 114 115 an academic hospital for hyperandrogenia (HA) and/or oligo-anovulation and/or infertility. 70 116 women with PCOS (42 obese; BMI  $\ge$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and 28 normal weight; BMI  $\le$  25kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and 37 controls (16 obese and 21 normal weight) were selected retrospectively, as described 117 below. Patients were included in the PCOS group according to a modified Rotterdam 118 classification (Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS consensus workshop group, 119 2004), i.e., presence of at least 2 out of the 3 following items: -1) HA (clinical or biological; 120 as previously described (Peigné et al., 2018); -2) oligo-anovulation, (i.e. oligomenorrhea or 121 122 amenorrhea); -3) presence of Polycystic Ovarian Morphology (PCOM) at Ultrasound (U/S), with an ovarian area  $\geq 5.5$  cm<sup>2</sup> and/or a follicle number per ovary  $\geq 19$ , according to our in-123 124 house thresholds, as previously reported (Dewailly et al., 2011).

125 Controls were referred to our department for infertility due to tubal and/or sperm abnormality.

None of these women had any component of the Rotterdam classification: they had regularcycles, no hyperandrogenism and normal ovaries at U/S.

All medications known to affect metabolism (e.g. metformin) or ovarian function (e.g. oralcontraceptive pill) had to be stopped at least 3 months before blood tests and U/S.

#### 130 Investigations

131 During the medical examination, age, height, weight and waist circumferences were registered. Blood sampling was performed in the early follicular phase (i.e. between cycle 132 133 days 2 and 5; either spontaneous or induced by didrogesterone). Follicle Stimulating Hormone 134 (FSH), Estradiol (E2), Luteinizing Hormone (LH), Total Testosterone (TT), Fasting Serum 135 Insulin levels (I) were measured by immunoassay using an automatic analyzer (Architect, Abbott Laboratories, USA). Sex Hormone Binding Globulin (SHBG) levels were measured 136 by immunoassay using the Immulite analyzer (Siemens, Germany). Androstenedione levels 137 138 were determined by LC-MS-MS.

Biochemical tests (glucose, lipid levels) were performed in the central biochemistrydepartment of the hospital using routine assays on Roche analyzers.

#### 141 Population for follicular fluid and concomitant serum study

From October 2018 to January 2019, women undergoing IVF ovarian stimulation were included prospectively either in the obese (PCOS or control) or the normal weight group (PCOS or control). Selection criteria were identical to those described earlier. Follicular fluid collected after oocyte pick-up (as described below), and serum, retrieved at the last hormonal check-up (2 to 3 days before oocyte pick-up and frozen at -20° after hormonal analysis), were used for AMH measurements.

#### 148 Follicular fluid samples

During the oocyte pick-up procedure, all follicles over 12 mm were retrieved. After oocyte
isolation for IVF procedure, follicular fluid was collected, centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 5 min
to remove cells and frozen at -80° until analysis.

#### 152 AMH measurements

Routinely, fully automated Access Dxi sandwich chemoluminescent immunoassays 153 154 (Beckman Coulter) were used to measure serum AMH levels. The standard used in the Access 155 Dxi assay is the human recombinant 140 kDa AMH. Its limit of detection is around 0.47 156 pmol/L (Pigny, unpublished) and its measurement range is 0.47-150 pmol/L (van Helden and 157 Weiskirchen, 2015). The Access Dxi assay used the same antibodies pair than the AMH Gen II ELISA: a capture antibody binding C-term part of AMH part and a detection antibody 158 159 initially thought to be directed against the C-term of AMH but which also required the Nterminal part of AMH for correct detection (Kevenaar et al., 2006; Pankhurst et al., 2014). 160 161 Based on these features, it can be assumed that the Access Dxi assay recognizes in the 162 biological samples both the pro-AMH (uncleaved) and the AMH<sub>N,C</sub> (receptor competent-163 active). To fix this point, four serums were spiked with 10 to 25 ng/ml of recombinant AMH<sub>C</sub> (25 kDa, R& D Systems, MIS 1737). Results showed the absence of cross-reactivity of 164 165 AMH<sub>C</sub> in this assay (Supplementary Table 1). So we concluded that the only molecular AMH forms detected in the serum by Dxi Automatic analyzer were proAMH +  $AMH_{N,C}$  that 166 167 we defined as total AMH (Supplementary Figure 1A).

#### 168 Specific measurement of AMH molecular forms in serum and follicular fluid

169 A specific assay for proAMH measurement was built for Beckman Coulter Dxi automatic

170 analyzer based on previous protocol designed with the AMH Gen II ELISA (Pankhurst and

McLennan, 2016) and used in the left-over serum or follicular fluid of the patients. This experimental protocol included a deoxycholate (DOC) treatment step of the serum which leads to the dissociation of the non-covalent link between N-terminal and C-terminal parts of AMH<sub>N,C</sub> which prevents binding of the detection antibody. ProAMH is unaffected by the DOC treatment as the molecule is uncleaved (**Supplementary Figure 1B**).

With the Gen II ELISA the DOC treatment step was performed between the capture and detection steps which is feasible in a manual assay but not possible with an automatic assay. Therefore, we decided to pre-treat the samples before assaying AMH with the analyzer. Based on Pankhurst's study (Pankhurst and McLennan, 2016), we performed independent experiments to set up DOC concentration, incubation time and temperature. The final protocol is described hereafter.

Two 150 µL aliquots were made from each sample (bio-banked serum or follicular fluid, 182 183 stored at -80°). Phosphate Buffer Saline (0.02 M, pH = 6.8, 37°C) was added in one aliquot (control) whereas Sodium Deoxycholate in PBS buffer at 37°C (final concentration 0.23%, 184 i.e. 2333  $\mu$ g/ml, corresponding to nearly 6000 times more than the endogenous serum DOC 185 186 concentration, was added in the second aliquot. Aliquots were incubated at 37°C during 15 min before being spiked again with DOC or PBS solution and further incubated for 15 min at 187 37°C. Final volumes of both aliquots were 300 µL which allow a direct measurement with the 188 Beckman Coulter Access Dxi automatic analyzer. Control aliquot with PBS allows the 189 190 measurement of total AMH in the sample whereas aliquot with DOC treatment allows the 191 measurement of proAMH only (Supplementary Figure 1).

192 The AMH prohormone index (API; (Pankhurst and McLennan, 2016)) was calculated as a 193 surrogate marker of conversion of proAMH to  $AMH_{N,C}$ ; API = [proAMH]/[total AMH] x 194 100.

#### 195 Validation of the specific proAMH assay

196 No difference in total AMH levels measured with the Dxi Analyzer was observed between 197 proAMH (either standard of the Access Dxi assay or rhAMH provided by Dr. Michael 198 Pankhurst (Pankhurst and McLennan, 2016)) treated by DOC versus PBS buffer 199 (Supplementary Table 2) thus confirming that proAMH is unaffected by the DOC treatment.

200 As previously described (Pankhurst and McLennan, 2013), an aliquot of rhAMH was treated 201 with furin to convert proAMH to AMH<sub>N.C.</sub> By western blotting we observed that the untreated preparation (rhAMH) mainly contains proAMH (around 77% after densitometric analysis) 202 whereas the furin-treated preparation mainly contains AMH<sub>N.C</sub> 203 (around 60%)204 (Supplementary figure 2). Both preparations were measured after dilution using our specific assay for proAMH. As expected, addition of DOC induced a strong decrease of the AMH 205 206 concentration in the furin -treated preparation. This demonstrated that DOC treatment 207 reduces the assayed AMH value in a manner that is consistent with dissociation of the  $AMH_{N,C}$  complex. (Supplementary figure 2). The assayed AMH values after DOC 208 pretreatment were not modified by the lipid profile of the dilution medium (serum of 209 210 menopausal women with or without dyslipidemia, or in the standard of the Access Dxi assay, 211 which does not contain AMH nor lipids) (Supplementary figure 2).

#### 212 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results were expressed as medians, with  $5^{e}-95^{e}$  or  $10^{e}$  - $90^{e}$  percentiles for continuous

215 variables and by the frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Comparisons between two independent groups were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test and 216 217 between dependent groups (for paired comparison between follicular fluid and serum results) 218 using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. Comparisons between four groups were performed using the ANOVA test with post-hoc pairwise comparisons between obese and normal weight 219 220 controls, and obese and normal weight PCOS after applying Bonferroni correction. The 221 correlations between the various parameters were expressed as Spearman's correlation 222 coefficient (r). A p value of <0.05 was considered to be significant and a r absolute value  $\geq$ 223 0.4 was considered to be strong and clinically relevant.

224 *Ethics* 

For the first part of the study, all patients had previously given their informed consent for the use of their clinical, hormonal, ultrasound records and leftover serum. For the second part of the study, all patients gave their informed consent for the use of their follicular fluid and leftover serum. The Institutional Review Board of the University Hospital gave its unrestricted approval to the anonymous use of clinical, hormonal, ultrasound records and biologic collection from all patients (reference DC-2008-642).

231

#### 232 **Results**

### 233 Molecular forms of AMH in early follicular phase serum

One hundred-seven women were selected for this first part of the study: 70 women with
PCOS (42 obese and 28 normal weight) and 37 controls (16 obese and 21 normal weight).
Table 1 shows the main clinical, hormonal, metabolic and U/S characteristics of the study
population.

238 Mean total AMH and proAMH levels were higher in women with PCOS, independently of 239 their metabolic features (BMI and insulin resistance, indirectly evaluated by waist 240 circumference, SHBG levels and fasting insulinemia), when compared with controls 241 (respectively for total AMH: PCOS: 47.4pmol/L IC<sub>5-95</sub> (26.5 – 133.5) versus 242 controls:17.2pmol/L IC<sub>5-95</sub> (7.8 – 35.7); P < 0.001 and proAMH: PCOS: 19.6pmol/L IC<sub>5-95</sub> 243 (7.5 – 55.3) versus controls: 6.6 pmol/L IC<sub>5-95</sub> (2.6 – 15.9); P < 0.001 ).

The AMH prohormone index  $(API = [proAMH]/[total AMH] \times 100)$  was then used as a 244 245 surrogate marker of conversion of proAMH to AMH<sub>N,C</sub> (i.e: low index indicating increased 246 proAMH conversion into receptor-competent isoform). No difference in the API was found between patients with and without PCOS when we stratified the population study group based 247 248 only on PCOS diagnosis (PCOS: 34.6% IC5-95 (28.6 - 48.3) versus controls: 38.2% IC5-95 (28.7 - 51.0); P = 0.8). However, when we split by BMI, we observed that obese women 249 versus their normal weight counterparts in both control and PCOS groups had an API 250 significantly lower, suggesting a greater conversion of proAMH to AMH<sub>N,C</sub> (Figure 1). 251 Serum total AMH and proAMH levels were not significantly different between obese and 252 253 normal weight women in each group (Figure 1).

Possible interactions between the API and pathophysiological features of PCOS were then investigated. When we grouped PCOS patients and controls, a significantly lower API was found in obese compared to normal weight women (34.9 % vs 41.2% respectively; P < 0.001), whereas total AMH and proAMH levels were not significantly different.

In the whole population (N = 107), the API negatively correlated to metabolic parameters: weight (r = -0.578), BMI (r = -0.557), waist circumference (r = -0.530), Triglycerides level (r = -0.191) and fasting insulinemia (r = -0.351; **Figure 2, Table 2**). Moreover, the API was found to be positively correlated with SHBG (r = 0.293), and HDL cholesterol level (r =

0.221) (Figure 2, Table 2) No significant correlation was found with serum androgens or LH
levels (Table 2).

264

# 265 Molecular forms of AMH in follicular fluid and serum of patients at the end of ovarian 266 stimulation

ProAMH is known to be secreted by granulosa cells and thus released into the follicular fluid before reaching the serum (Campbell et al., 2012). We next tried to determine whether the cleavage of this protein occurs within the ovaries or in the serum by analysing the API in those samples.

Because the API in early follicular phase serum was only different between obese and normal weight women, this second part of the study focused on thirty-nine patients: 20 normal weight women (including 10 with PCOS) and 19 obese women (including 9 with PCOS). The proportion of patients with PCOS in both groups (obese and normal weight) was not different. The main clinical, hormonal, metabolic, U/S and ovarian stimulation features of this second study population are shown in **Supplementary Table 3**.

277 We measured total AMH and proAMH in the follicular fluid (FF) retrieved after oocyte pickup as well as in the concomitant sampled serum of each woman. The total AMH and the 278 279 proAMH were comparable in normal weight versus obese women when comparing the protein amount either in the FF or in the serum (Figure 3); respectively in the FF: for total 280 AMH 18.9 pmol/L IC5-95 (7.2-56.4) vs. 21.4 pmol/L IC5-95 (6.6-86) and for proAMH 13.1 281 pmol/L IC<sub>5-95</sub> (4.9-43.4) vs.15.4 pmol/L IC<sub>5-95</sub> (3.6-76). When we analysed the API, we found 282 no significant differences in the FF between the two study groups, while the API was 283 significantly reduced in the concomitant serum of obese women as compared to normal 284

weight women (**Figure 3**). Interestingly, in both normal weight and obese women, the API was significantly higher in the follicular fluid than in matched serum samples (**Figure 3**), thus suggesting that most of the cleavage of proAMH occurs in the general circulation rather than in the ovaries.

Lastly, no correlation was found between the proAMH ratio in follicular fluid and any clinical, hormonal, metabolic, ultrasonographic or ovarian stimulation parameters studied parameter (**Table 2**; univariate analysis in the whole population, N = 39).

292

#### 293 Discussion

294 In this study, we found that the proportion of the inactive form of AMH in the serum is higher in normal weight versus obese women (greater API value), independently of PCOS. 295 296 Consistently with this, obese women have a lower API than women with normal weight, 297 pointing to a more pronounced AMH cleavage in obesity. In contrast with our findings, Pankhurst et al. (Pankhurst et al., 2017) described a minor reduction in the ratio between pro-298 AMH (inactive) over total AMH in PCOS women. This study involved a heterogeneous study 299 300 group where all patients were overweight but the PCOS group had a higher incidence of obesity. Our data is in keeping with the view that women with PCOS have a heterogenous 301 302 spectrum of phenotypic presentation highly susceptible to several variables, such as age, BMI, 303 diet composition, to name a few, and that future areas of research shall take the different 304 clinical features of PCOS into account.

305 Our data confirm and expand a recent study showing that the ratio of AMH isoforms do not 306 differ between PCOS and control subjects (Wissing et al., 2019), thus implying a similar 307 distribution of uncleaved (inactive) and cleaved AMH in the two study groups. Wissing and colleagues analysed a study group composed by women with either a normal weight, slightly overweight and/or comprising some PCOS women that received metformin and they reported a negative correlation between metabolic parameters and the proportion of inactive AMH form in the serum. Here, we confirmed the correlation results found in Wissing et al., with an

even greater coefficient of correlation between the API and BMI (r = -0.557) and waist circumference (r=-0.530) and demonstrated that a greater processing of AMH in the circulation does not associate with PCOS but rather with a severe metabolic disturbance such as obesity.

308

309

310

311

316 In this study, we found significantly less conversion of proAMH into the cleaved isoform 317 (AMH<sub>N,C</sub>) in the follicular fluid when compared to the concomitant serum of the study subjects, that was independent of their weight and disease state (PCOS or not). In contrast 318 319 with a previous study (Pierre et al., 2016), which described a higher cleavage of AMH in the 320 follicular fluid in women with PCOS compared to controls, we did not find a difference of 321 cleavage of AMH at the follicular fluid level between women with PCOS and controls. Part of 322 this discrepancy could be explained by the smaller number of patients recruited in the study of 323 Pierre et al. as compared to the present work and by the different analytical methods used to measure AMH isoforms in the two studies. 324

325 Our data suggest that the cleavage of proAMH is only partly occurring at the follicular level 326 and that most of the cleavage of proAMH is mainly occurring in extra-ovarian tissues.

Subtilisin/kexin-like proprotein convertases such as furin (PCSK3) or PCSK5 are thought to
be responsible for AMH cleavage (Nachtigal and Ingraham, 1996). Plasmin is also a
candidate for proAMH cleavage because, *in vitro*, recombinant proAMH can be cleaved by
plasmin (Pepinsky et al., 1988). Some authors described that proAMH cleaving proteases are

found in vascular tissues (reviewed in (McLennan and Pankhurst, 2015). However, the *in vivo* cleavage of proAMH remains largely unknown and it likely occurs at several levels/tissues. Interestingly, serum furin levels are known to be modulated by inflammatory conditions such as that one that can be found in metabolic or cardio-vascular diseases (Fathy et al., 2015; Ren et al., 2017). Further studies are required to assess the *in vivo* molecular nature of the AMH cleavage, the exact anatomical sites of AMH conversion and whether this could be influenced by the metabolic status of the individual.

338 An emerging hypothesis proposes that AMH may play several key extra-gonadal roles, acting 339 across multiple sites along the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis (Barbotin et al., 2019). This raises the hypothesis that AMH could act as an endocrine signal, virtually operating on 340 341 any cell type expressing its exclusive binding receptor AMHR2 throughout the body. Indeed, AMH and AMHR2 expression have been reported in prostate, lungs (Gustafson et al., 1993) 342 and several other organs, including the brain (Cimino et al., 2016; Lebeurrier et al., 2008; 343 Malone et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2009, 2005) and the pituitary (Garrel et al., 2016). 344 Moreover, metabolic organs such as liver and pancreas as well as the hypothalamic arcuate 345 346 nucleus also express AMHR2 (Cimino et al., 2016; Segerstolpe et al., 2016; "The human protein atlas," n.d.), suggesting that potentially AMH could act on those tissues. 347

The present finding should be interpreted with caution regarding the study sample size since no formal size calculation was performed to determine the appropriate number of patients and control. In a posterior power calculation, we calculated that, with our study sample size (37 controls and 70 PCOS), we could detect with a 80% power (two-tailed test at 5% significance level), an effect size of 0.57 (expressed as standardized mean difference and interpreted as medium effect size (Cohen, 1992)).

354 In conclusion, this study showed that circulating AMH isoform concentrations cannot be used to improve the precision of PCOS phenotype diagnosis. However, the novelty of this study 355 356 relies on the findings showing that: 1) a higher proportion of cleaved, most likely bioactive, 357 AMH (AMH<sub>N,C</sub>) is detectable in the serum of obese women compared to women with normal weight and 2) a greater cleavage of proAMH to AMH<sub>N,C</sub> is likely occurring in extra-ovarian 358 359 tissues and exacerbated in obese women. This study opens new avenues of investigation 360 aimed at addressing novel roles of AMH in the context of metabolic disturbances associated 361 with reproductive alterations.

362

### 363 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr Isabelle Loison, Dr Anne -Laure Barbotin, Dr Virgine Simon,
Lydie Lombardo and Sylvie Vanoverschele for their precious help in collecting samples.

## **References**

369	Abbara, A., Eng, P.C., Phylactou, M., Clarke, S.A., Hunjan, T., Roberts, R.,
370	Vimalesvaran, S., Christopoulos, G., Islam, R., Purugganan, K., Comninos,
371	A.N., Trew, G.H., Salim, R., Hramyka, A., Owens, L., Kelsey, T., Dhillo, W.S.,
372	2019. Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) in the Diagnosis of Menstrual
373	Disturbance Due to Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome. Front. Endocrinol. 10.
374	https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2019.00656
375	Barbotin, AL., Peigné, M., Malone, S.A., Giacobini, P., 2019. Emerging Roles of
376	Anti-Müllerian Hormone in Hypothalamic-Pituitary Function.
377	Neuroendocrinology 109, 218–229. https://doi.org/10.1159/000500689
378	Campbell, B.K., Clinton, M., Webb, R., 2012. The Role of Anti-Müllerian Hormone
379	(AMH) During Follicle Development in a Monovulatory Species (Sheep).
380	Endocrinology 153, 4533–4543. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2012-1158
381	Catteau-Jonard, S., Bancquart, J., Poncelet, E., Lefebvre-Maunoury, C., Robin, G.,
382	Dewailly, D., 2012. Polycystic ovaries at ultrasound: normal variant or silent
383	polycystic ovary syndrome? Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol. 40, 223–229.
384	https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.11202
385	Cimino, I., Casoni, F., Liu, X., Messina, A., Parkash, J., Jamin, S.P., Catteau-Jonard, S.,
386	Collier, F., Baroncini, M., Dewailly, D., Pigny, P., Prescott, M., Campbell, R.,
387	Herbison, A.E., Prevot, V., Giacobini, P., 2016. Novel role for anti-Müllerian
388	hormone in the regulation of GnRH neuron excitability and hormone secretion.
389	Nat. Commun. 7, 10055. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10055
390	Cohen, J., 1992. A power primer. Psychol. Bull. 112, 155–159.
391	Cook, C.L., Siow, Y., Brenner, A.G., Fallat, M.E., 2002. Relationship between serum
392	müllerian-inhibiting substance and other reproductive hormones in untreated
393	women with polycystic ovary syndrome and normal women. Fertil. Steril. 77,
394	141–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282(01)02944-2
395	Dewailly, D., Andersen, C.Y., Balen, A., Broekmans, F., Dilaver, N., Fanchin, R.,
396	Griesinger, G., Kelsey, T.W., La Marca, A., Lambalk, C., Mason, H., Nelson,
397	S.M., Visser, J.A., Wallace, W.H., Anderson, R.A., 2014. The physiology and
398	clinical utility of anti-Mullerian hormone in women. Hum. Reprod. Update 20,
399	370–385. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmt062
400	Dewailly, D., Gronier, H., Poncelet, E., Robin, G., Leroy, M., Pigny, P., Duhamel, A.,
401	Catteau-Jonard, S., 2011. Diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS):
402	revisiting the threshold values of follicle count on ultrasound and of the serum
403	AMH level for the definition of polycystic ovaries. Hum. Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 26,
404	3123–3129. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/der297
405	di Clemente, N., Jamin, S.P., Lugovskoy, A., Carmillo, P., Ehrenfels, C., Picard, JY.,
406	Whitty, A., Josso, N., Pepinsky, R.B., Cate, R.L., 2010. Processing of anti-
407	mullerian hormone regulates receptor activation by a mechanism distinct from
408	TGF-beta. Mol. Endocrinol. Baltim. Md 24, 2193–2206.
409	https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2010-0273
410	Dumesic, D.A., Oberfield, S.E., Stener-Victorin, E., Marshall, J.C., Laven, J.S., Legro,
411	R.S., 2015. Scientific Statement on the Diagnostic Criteria, Epidemiology,
412	Pathophysiology, and Molecular Genetics of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome.
413	Endocr. Rev. 36, 487-525. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2015-1018

414	Escobar-Morreale, H.F., 2018. Polycystic ovary syndrome: definition, aetiology,
415	diagnosis and treatment. Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 14, 270–284.
416	https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2018.24
417	Fathy, S.A., Hamid, F.F.A., Zabut, B.M., Jamee, A.F., Ali, M.A.M., Mustafa, A.M.A.,
418	2015. Diagnostic utility of BNP, corin and furin as biomarkers for
419	cardiovascular complications in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Biomarkers
420	20, 460-469. https://doi.org/10.3109/1354750X.2015.1093032
421	Garrel, G., Racine, C., L'Hôte, D., Denoyelle, C., Guigon, C.J., Clemente, N. di,
422	Cohen-Tannoudji, J., 2016. Anti-Müllerian hormone: a new actor of sexual
423	dimorphism in pituitary gonadotrope activity before puberty. Sci. Rep. 6,
424	srep23790. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23790
425	Gustafson, M.L., Lee, M.M., Asmundson, L., MacLaughlin, D.T., Donahoe, P.K., 1993.
426	Müllerian inhibiting substance in the diagnosis and management of intersex and
427	gonadal abnormalities. J. Pediatr. Surg. 28, 439–444.
428	https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3468(93)90245-g
429	Kevenaar, M.E., Meerasahib, M.F., Kramer, P., van de Lang-Born, B.M.N., de Jong,
430	F.H., Groome, N.P., Themmen, A.P.N., Visser, J.A., 2006. Serum anti-mullerian
431	hormone levels reflect the size of the primordial follicle pool in mice.
432	Endocrinology 147, 3228-3234. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2005-1588
433	Lebeurrier, N., Launay, S., Macrez, R., Maubert, E., Legros, H., Leclerc, A., Jamin,
434	S.P., Picard, JY., Marret, S., Laudenbach, V., Berger, P., Sonderegger, P., Ali,
435	C., di Clemente, N., Vivien, D., 2008. Anti-Mullerian-hormone-dependent
436	regulation of the brain serine-protease inhibitor neuroserpin. J. Cell Sci. 121,
437	3357–3365. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.031872
438	Malone, S.A., Papadakis, G.E., Messina, A., Mimouni, N.E.H., Trova, S., Imbernon,
439	M., Allet, C., Cimino, I., Acierno, J., Cassatella, D., Xu, C., Quinton, R.,
440	Szinnai, G., Pigny, P., Alonso-Cotchico, L., Masgrau, L., Maréchal, JD.,
441	Prevot, V., Pitteloud, N., Giacobini, P., 2019. Defective AMH signaling disrupts
442	GnRH neuron development and function and contributes to hypogonadotropic
443	hypogonadism. eLife 8. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.47198
444	McLennan, I.S., Pankhurst, M.W., 2015. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a gonadal cytokine
445	with two circulating forms and cryptic actions. J. Endocrinol. 226, R45–R57.
446	https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-15-0206
447	Nachtigal, M.W., Ingraham, H.A., 1996. Bioactivation of Mullerian inhibiting
448	substance during gonadal development by a Kex2/subtilisin-like endoprotease.
449	Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. $93$ , $7711 - 7716$ .
450	Panknurst, M.W., Chong, Y.H., McLennan, I.S., 2014. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent
451	assay measurements of antimulerian normone (AMH) in numan blood are a
452	composite of the uncleaved and bloactive cleaved forms of AMH. Fertil. Steril.
453	Donkhurst M.W. MoLonnon, LS, 2016, A specific immunocessory for ma AMIL the
454 455	Paikinuist, M. W., McLennan, I.S., 2010. A specific infinunoassay for proAMIA, the
455 156	Endocrinol 410, 165, 171, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mco.2015.10.013
450	Darkhurst MW MoLennan IS 2012 Human blood contains both the unalcound
43/ 150	n anxing the NH2 and COOU precursor of anti-Mullerian hormone and a complex of the NH2 and COOU
4J0 150	$\alpha$ terminal pentides $\Delta m$ I Physical Endocrinol Match 205 E12/1 12/7
455	https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00305.2013
+00	nups.//u01.01g/10.1152/ajpenu0.00575.2015

Pankhurst, M.W., Shorakae, S., Rodgers, R.J., Teede, H.J., Moran, L.J., 2017. Efficacy 461 of predictive models for polycystic ovary syndrome using serum levels of two 462 antimüllerian hormone isoforms (proAMH and AMHN,C). Fertil. Steril. 108, 463 851-857.e2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.08.012 464 465 Peigné, M., Catteau-Jonard, S., Robin, G., Dumont, A., Pigny, P., Dewailly, D., 2018. The numbers of 2-5 and 6-9 mm ovarian follicles are inversely correlated in 466 both normal women and in polycystic ovary syndrome patients: what is the 467 missing link? Hum. Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 33, 706–714. 468 https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dey017 469 Pellatt, L., Hanna, L., Brincat, M., Galea, R., Brain, H., Whitehead, S., Mason, H., 470 2007. Granulosa cell production of anti-Müllerian hormone is increased in 471 472 polycystic ovaries. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 92, 240-245. 473 https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2006-1582 Pepinsky, R.B., Sinclair, L.K., Chow, E.P., Mattaliano, R.J., Manganaro, T.F., 474 475 Donahoe, P.K., Cate, R.L., 1988. Proteolytic processing of mullerian inhibiting 476 substance produces a transforming growth factor-beta-like fragment. J. Biol. 477 Chem. 263, 18961–18964. 478 Pierre, A., Racine, C., Rey, R.A., Fanchin, R., Taieb, J., Cohen-Tannoudji, J., Carmillo, P., Pepinsky, R.B., Cate, R.L., di Clemente, N., 2016. Most Cleaved Anti-479 480 Müllerian Hormone Binds Its Receptor in Human Follicular Fluid but Little Is 481 Competent in Serum. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 101, 4618–4627. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2016-1742 482 Pigny, P., Merlen, E., Robert, Y., Cortet-Rudelli, C., Decanter, C., Jonard, S., Dewailly, 483 484 D., 2003. Elevated Serum Level of Anti-Mullerian Hormone in Patients with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: Relationship to the Ovarian Follicle Excess and to 485 the Follicular Arrest. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 88, 5957-5962. 486 https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2003-030727 487 488 Ren, K., Jiang, T., Zheng, X.-L., Zhao, G.-J., 2017. Proprotein convertase furin/PCSK3 and atherosclerosis: New insights and potential therapeutic targets. 489 Atherosclerosis 262, 163–170. 490 491 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2017.04.005 492 Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS consensus workshop group, 2004. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to 493 494 polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). Hum. Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 19, 41-47. Segerstolpe, Å., Palasantza, A., Eliasson, P., Andersson, E.-M., Andréasson, A.-C., Sun, 495 X., Picelli, S., Sabirsh, A., Clausen, M., Bjursell, M.K., Smith, D.M., Kasper, 496 M., Ämmälä, C., Sandberg, R., 2016. Single-Cell Transcriptome Profiling of 497 Human Pancreatic Islets in Health and Type 2 Diabetes. Cell Metab. 24, 593-498 607. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.08.020 499 500 Teede, H.J., Misso, M.L., Costello, M.F., Dokras, A., Laven, J., Moran, L., Piltonen, T., Norman, R.J., International PCOS Network, 2018. Recommendations from the 501 international evidence-based guideline for the assessment and management of 502 503 polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum. Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 33, 1602-1618. 504 https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dey256 505 The human protein atlas, n.d. van Helden, J., Weiskirchen, R., 2015. Performance of the two new fully automated 506 507 anti-Müllerian hormone immunoassays compared with the clinical standard

508	assay. Hum. Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 30, 1918–1926.
509	https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dev127
510	Wang, J., Dicken, C., Lustbader, J.W., Tortoriello, D.V., 2009. Evidence for a
511	Müllerian-inhibiting substance autocrine/paracrine system in adult human
512	endometrium. Fertil. Steril. 91, 1195–1203.
513	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.01.028
514	Wang, PY., Koishi, K., McGeachie, A.B., Kimber, M., Maclaughlin, D.T., Donahoe,
515	P.K., McLennan, I.S., 2005. Mullerian inhibiting substance acts as a motor
516	neuron survival factor in vitro. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102, 16421-
517	16425. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508304102
518	Wilson, C.A., di Clemente, N., Ehrenfels, C., Pepinsky, R.B., Josso, N., Vigier, B.,
519	Cate, R.L., 1993. Mullerian inhibiting substance requires its N-terminal domain
520	for maintenance of biological activity, a novel finding within the transforming
521	growth factor-beta superfamily. Mol. Endocrinol. Baltim. Md 7, 247–257.
522	https://doi.org/10.1210/mend.7.2.8469238
523	Wissing, M.L., Mikkelsen, A.L., Kumar, A., Kalra, B., Pors, S.E., Flachs, E.M.,
524	Andersen, C.Y., 2019. Associations of different molecular forms of
525	antimüllerian hormone and biomarkers of polycystic ovary syndrome and
526	normal women. Fertil. Steril. 112, 149-155.e1.
527	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.03.002
528	

529	Fundings
	U

This work was supported by the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale
(INSERM), France [grant number U1172], by the European Research Council (ERC) under
the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (ERC-2016-CoG to
P.G. grant agreement n° 725149/REPRODAMH), by the Centre Hospitalier Régional
Universitaire, CHU de Lille, France (Bonus H to P.G.).

535

### 536 Conflict of interest

537 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

538

#### 539 Vitae

540 Maëliss Peigné is a Gynecologist sub-specialized in Reproductive Medicine working at AP-

541 HP-Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, Bondy, France and a PhD student in INSERM U1172,

542 Lille, France. Her main research interests include Polycycstic Ovary Syndrome543 pathophysiology and management, fertility preservation and improvement of ART results.

544

Figure 1: AMH molecular forms in the early follicular phase (EFP) serum: women with 548 polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) versus controls split by body mass index (BMI). 549 550 Total AMH (pmol/L), AMH proprotein (proAMH) (pmol/L) and API = (proAMH/totalAMH) x100 in women with PCOS and controls, according to their BMI (obese =  $BMI \ge 30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) 551 and normal weight (BMI  $\leq 25.0$  kg/m). Green dots, control women (N = 37); darker green 552 553 dots, obese control women (N = 16); orange dots, women with PCOS (N = 70); darker orange dots, obese women with PCOS (N = 42). Data are presented as medians with 554 interquartile ranges. \*\*p < 0.001; a: different from normal weight control (NC); b: different 555 556 from obese control (OC); c: different from normal weight women with PCOS (NP); d: different from obese women with PCOS (OP). Groups that are not linked by a line did not 557 558 exhibit statistically significant differences.

559

Figure 2: Correlation of AMH prohormone index (API) in the early follicular phase serum with metabolic parameters. Spearman correlation; EFP: early follicular phase, BMI: body mass index; SHBG: Sex Hormone Binding Globulin; HDL: High density lipoprotein; API: (proAMH/totalAMH)x100, \*\* p < 0.001, r = correlation coefficient.

564

Figure 3: Proportion of AMH molecular forms in the follicular fluid (FF) and in the end of ovarian stimulation serum (Serum): normal weight versus obese women. API (API: AMH prohormone index (proAMH/totalAMH) x 100 ) values in follicular fluid (FF) (on the left) and serum at the end of ovarian stimulation for IVF (on the right) of the same obese or normal weight women. *Light blue*, normal weight women (n = 20); *dark blue*, obese women 570 (n = 19); *triangles*, follicular fluid (on the left); *circles*, serum (on the right). Data are 571 presented as medians with interquartile ranges. \*\*p < 0.001.



	Control (C)		PCOS (P)	
	Normal weight (NC) Med (5e -95°) N= 21	Obese (OC) Med (5°-90°) N= 16	Normal weight (NP) Med (5e -95°) N= 28	Obese (OP) Med (5e -95°) N= 42
EFP total AMH (pmol/L)	17 (6.56 - 36,06) c,d	17.9 (8.6 – 29.72) c,d	48.4 (26.7 - 128.3) a,b	47.3 (23.51 - 153.3) a,b
EFP pro AMH (pmol/L)	6.6 (2.42 - 18.0) c,d	6.2 (2.6 – 12.66 ) c,d	22.2 (10.8 - 56.7) a,b	17.6 (6.9 - 52.5) a,b
EFP API (%)	39.02 (32.2 - 54.5) b,d	34.6 (28.12 – 42.48 ) a,c	42.4 (31.5 - 48.6) b,d	35.22 (27.63 - 49.42)a,c





















	Normal weight N = 20 Med (10e-90e)	Obese N = 19 Med (10e-90e)	Р
FF total AMH (pmol/L)	18.9 (7.2 - 56.4)	21.4 (6.6 - 86)	0.627
FF pro AMH (pmol/L)	13.1 (4.9 - 43.4)	15.4 (3.6 - 76)	0.879
FF API (%)	71.1 (55.0 -86.8)	67.4 (48.6 - 88.2)	0.478
Serum total AMH (pmol/L)	12.9 (4.4 -44.7)	10.4 (3.0-70.2)	0.444
Serum pro AMH (pmol/L)	5.2 (1.8 – 18.2)	3.6 (1.2-22.2)	0.224
Serum API (%)	40.4 (33.0-48.1)	32.8 (26.9-43.2)	0.001

	Control (N=37)		PCOS (N=70)	
	Normal weight (NC) N= 21 Med (5-95)	Obese (OC) N= 16 Med (5-90)	Normal weight (NP) N= 28 Med (5-95)	Obese (OP) N= 42 Med (5-95)
Age (y)	32.0 (22.4 -38) c,d	31.5 (25 – 35.3) c	23 (16.3 - 33) a,b	27 (19.1 - 38.0) a
Weight (kg)	59.0 (47.1 - 65.4) b,d	94.2 (81.0 – 129.1) a,c	55.8 (44.4 - 72.7) b,d	91.0 (74.0 - 123.5) a,c
BMI (kg/m²)	20.7 (16.4 - 24.9) b,d	33.7 (29.0 – 43.85) a,c	20.5 (17.1 – 25.9) b,d	34.0 (30.0 - 42.95) a,c
Waist Circumference (cm)	72.0 (58.5 - 78.9) b,d	103.5 (79.5 – 133.2) a,c	77 (62.8 - 100) b,d	107.0 (95.1 - 129.9) a,c
Testosterone (ng/ml)	0.22 (0.13 - 0.41) c,d	0.31 (0.16 – 0.41) d	0.38 (0.17 - 0.64) a	0.45 (0.21 - 0.88) a,b
Androstendione (ng/ml)	0.94 (0.5 - 1.58) c,d	0.77 (0.42 – 1.72) c,d	1.29 (0.74 - 2.87) a,b	1.43 (0.64 - 3.04) a,b
Estradiol (pg/ml)	36.0 (18.8-67.6)	34.0 (17.0- 60.5)	34.0 (16.2-94.4)	42 (28.1-64.8)
LH (IU/L)	4.1 (1.53 - 12.7)	3.05 (1.5 – 5.5) c, d	5.4 (2.4 - 17.2) b	5.2 (2.2 - 11.7) b
FSH (IU/L)	5.5 (3.5 -10.2)	5.35 (4 – 7.67)	5.1 (2.9 – 6.9)	5.0 (3.2 - 8.2)
SHBG (pmol/L)	70.4 ( 40.7 - 103.8) b,c,d	36.3 (17.2 – 65.75) a	47.5 (24.9 - 96.8) a,d	28.8 (10.1 - 64.2) a, c
Fasting Glycaemia (g/L)	0.77 (0.71 - 0.9) d	0.86 (0.7 – 0.99)	0.81 (0.69 - 0.94)	0.85 (0.72 - 1.13) a
Fasting Insulinemia (mUI/L)	3.4 (0.9 - 13.0) d	5.6 (2.5 – 12.22) d	4.6 (2.0 - 12.7) d	11.6 (3.1 - 24.5) a,b,c
Total cholesterol (g/L)	1.8 (1.1 - 2.4)	1.66 (1.29 – 2.36)	1.74 (1.19 - 2.6)	1.89 (1.46 - 2.65)
HDL cholesterol (g/L)	0.58 (0.38 - 0.77) b,d	0.48 (0.32 – 0.61) a	0.56 (0.376- 0.78) d	0.45 (0.29 - 0.58) a,c
LDL cholesterol (g/L)	1.03 (0.57 - 1.58)	1.06 (0.66 – 1.59)	0.98 (0.58 - 1.74)	1.26 (0.78 - 1.81)
Triglycerides (g/L)	0.59 (0.36 -1.42) d	0.86 (0.44 – 1.65)	0.65 (0.33 - 1.65) d	1.1 (0.58 - 2.98) a,c
Total AFC both ovaries	22 (8.5 - 35) c,d	21 (6 – 30.1) c,d	52 (14.2 - 123) a,b	55 (24.3 - 99.0) a,b

**Table 1**: Population characteristics in the early follicular phase serum study

a: different from NC; b: different from OC; c: different from NP; d: different from OP ; PCOS: polycystic ovary syndrome; BMI: body mass index; SHBG: Sex Hormone Binding Globulin; LH: luteinizing hormone; FSH: Follicle stimulating hormone; HDL: High density lipoprotein ; LDL : Low density lipoprotein; AFC: antral follicular count **Table 2**: Correlation of proAMH ratio in the serum and follicular fluid with clinical, hormonal, ultrasonographic, and metabolic parameters

	Serum EFP API	FFAPI
	rho Spearman	rho Spearman
Age	-0,16	,088
Weight	-,578 <sup>**</sup>	-,192
BMI	-,557 <sup>**</sup>	-,094
Waist Circumference	-,530***	-,262
Testosterone	-0,168	-,052
Androstendione	-0,073	-,080
Estradiol	-0,025	,092
LH	0,042	-,128
FSH	0,134	,278
SHBG	,293 <sup>***</sup>	,650
Fasting Glycaemia	-0,121	-,285
Fasting Insulinemia	-,351***	-,550
Total cholesterol	-0,056	
HDL Cholesterol	,221*	
LDL cholesterol	-0,092	
Triglycerides	-,191*	
Total AFC both ovaries	0,003	-,062
Serum total AMH at the end of		-,197
Sumulation (ES) Sorum proAMH of FS		136
Serum proAvin at ES		-,150
Serum pro AMH ratio at ES		,283

Spearman correlations (±0,400 => clinically relevant), EPF: early follicular phase, FF: follicular fluid; BMI: body mass index; SHBG: Sex Hormone Binding Globulin; LH: luteinizing hormone; FSH: Follicle stimulating hormone; HDL: High density lipoprotein; LDL : Low density lipoprotein; AFC: antral follicular count, API: AMH prohormone index, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01