

GEMAS: Geochemical distribution of Mg in agricultural soil of Europe

Philippe Négrel, Anna Ladenberger, Clemens Reimann, Manfred Birke, Alecos Demetriades, Martiya Sadeghi, S. Albanese, M. Andersson, R. Baritz, M.J.

Batista, et al.

► To cite this version:

Philippe Négrel, Anna Ladenberger, Clemens Reimann, Manfred Birke, Alecos Demetriades, et al.. GEMAS: Geochemical distribution of Mg in agricultural soil of Europe. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 2021, 221, pp.106706 - 10.1016/j.gexplo.2020.106706 . hal-03492808

HAL Id: hal-03492808 https://hal.science/hal-03492808v1

Submitted on 2 Jan 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

GEMAS: Geochemical distribution of Mg in agricultural soil of Europe

Philippe NÉGREL^{1, ‡}, Anna LADENBERGER², Clemens REIMANN^{3, §}, Manfred BIRKE⁴, Alecos DEMETRIADES^{5, §}, Martiya SADEGHI² and the GEMAS Project Team⁶

⁶ ¹BRGM, Orléans, France, p.negrel@brgm.fr

7	² Geological Survey	of Sweden, Uppsala	, Sweden, ani	na.ladenberger@sgu.se,	martiya.sadeghi@sgu.se
	0 2	·	· · · · ·	0 0 -	

- 8 ³ Geological Survey of Norway, Trondheim, Norway, <u>clemensreimann@yahoo.co.uk</u>
- ⁴ Bundesanstalt f
 ür Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe, Stillweg 2, 30655 Hannover, Germany,
 Manfred.Birke@bgr.de
- ⁵ Institute of Geology and Mineral Exploration (IGME), 1 Spirou Louis St., Olympic Village,

12 Acharnae, 13677 Athens, Hellenic Republic, alecos.demetriades@gmail.com

13

⁶ The GEMAS Project Team: S. Albanese, M. Andersson, R. Baritz, M.J. Batista, A. Bel-lan, D. 14 15 Cicchella, B. De Vivo, W. De Vos, E. Dinelli, M. Ďuriš, A. Dusza-Dobek, M. Eklund, V. Ernstsen, P. 16 Filzmoser, B. Flem, D.M.A. Flight, S. Forrester, M. Fuchs, U. Fügedi, A. Gilucis, M. Gosar, V. 17 Gregorauskiene, W. De Groot, A. Gulan, J. Halamić, E. Haslinger, P. Hayoz, R. Hoffmann, J. 18 Hoogewerff, H. Hrvatovic, S. Husnjak, L. Janik, G. Jordan, M. Kaminari, J. Kirby, J. Kivisilla, V. 19 Klos, F. Krone, P. Kwećko, L. Kuti, A. Lima, J. Locutura, D. P. Lucivjansky, A. Mann, D. 20 Mackovych, J., Matschullat, M. McLaughlin, B.I. Malyuk, R. Maquil, R.G. Meuli, G. Mol, P. 21 O'Connor, R. K. Oorts, R.T. Ottesen, A. Pasieczna, W. Petersell, S. Pfleiderer, M. Poňavič, S. 22 Pramuka, C. Prazeres, U. Rauch, S. Radusinović, I. Salpeteur, R. Scanlon, A. Schedl, A.J. Scheib, I. 23 Schoeters, P. Šefčik, E Sellersjö, F. Skopljak, I. Slaninka, A. Šorša, R. Srvkota, T. Stafilov, T. 24 Tarvainen, V. Trendavilov, P. Valera, V. Verougstraete, D. Vidojević, A. Zissimos and Z. Zomeni. 25 26 27

- 28
- 29

§ Presently retired

[‡]Corresponding author

30 Abstract

31

Agricultural soil (Ap-horizon, 0–20 cm) samples were collected from 33 European countries as part of the GEMAS (GEochemical Mapping of Agricultural and grazing land Soil) soilmapping project. The Mg data derived from total concentrations (XRF) and two acid digestion methods, *aqua regia* (AR) and Mobile Metal Ion (MMI[®]), were used to provide an overview of its spatial distribution in soil at the continental-scale. Magnesium is one of the most abundant elements in the Earth's crust and essential nutrient for plants and animals and its presence in soil is, therefore, important for soil quality evaluation.

In this study, the geochemical behaviour of Mg in European agricultural soil was investigated in relation to a variety of soil parent materials, climatic zones, and landscapes. The chemical composition of soil reflects mostly the primary mineralogy of the source bedrock, and the superimposed effects of pre- and post-depositional chemical weathering, controlled by element mobility and formation of secondary phases such as clays.

44 Low Mg concentrations in agricultural soil occur in regions with quartz-rich glacial sediments 45 (Poland, Baltic States, N. Germany), and in soil developed on quartz-rich sandstone parent 46 materials (e.g., central Sweden). High Mg concentrations occur in soil developed over mafic 47 lithologies such as ophiolite belts and in carbonate-rich regions, including karst areas. The 48 maximum extent of the last glaciation is well defined by a Mg concentration break, which is 49 marked by low Mg concentrations in Fennoscandia and north-central Europe, and high Mg 50 concentrations in Mediterranean region. Lithology of parent materials seems to play a key 51 role in the Mg nutritional status of agricultural soil at the European scale. Influence from 52 agricultural practice and use of fertilisers appears to be subordinate.

53 Comparison of the continental-scale spatial distribution of Mg in agricultural soil by using the 54 results from three analytical methods (XRF, AR and MMI[®]) provides complementary 55 information about Mg mobility and its residence time in soil. Thus, allowing evaluation of 56 soil weathering grade and impact of land use exploitation.

57

58 Keywords: weathering, parent materials, mineralogy, total concentration, partial extraction

59

60 **1 – Introduction**

In total, 2108 samples of agricultural soil (Ap-horizon, 0-20 cm) were collected from 33 61 European countries (5.6 million km²) as part of the GEMAS (GEochemical Mapping of 62 63 Agricultural and grazing land Soil) project (Reimann et al., 2014a, b). The study area covers 64 diverse groups of soil parent materials with a variable geological history, a wide range of 65 climate zones, and many different landscapes. The chemical composition of soil is mostly 66 controlled by the primary mineralogy of the source bedrock, the superimposed effects of the 67 last glaciation, pre- and post-depositional chemical weathering, formation of secondary products such as clays, and element mobility (Négrel et al., 2015). All these factors contribute 68 69 to the geographical variation in the continental-scale spatial distribution of elements (Reimann 70 et al., 2014c; Ladenberger et al., 2015).

71 Magnesium is the eighth most abundant element in the upper continental crust (UCC) 72 of the Earth, with an average elemental abundance of 14 955 mg/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). 73 Magnesium bearing minerals such as amphibole and pyroxene are common in mafic and 74 ultramafic rocks, e.g., basalt and gabbro. In sedimentary rocks, the main Mg bearing minerals 75 are carbonates, i.e., dolomite and magnesite. Magnesium is essential for all living organisms 76 and is not toxic under normal circumstances. It is a key plant nutrient and essential for 77 photosynthesis. It is used in industry (automotive, aircraft, train, laptop, mobile telephone, 78 tablet), steel, titanium and zirconium production, pharmaceutical and agricultural chemical 79 industries, and medical implants (Christie and Brathwaite, 2008). Magnesium may also be a 80 preferred material in all light-weight vehicles, hydrogen storage and advanced battery 81 technology. Currently, it is an essential alloying element in the aluminium industry. 82 Magnesium may be recovered from various resources, such as dolomite and Mg-bearing 83 evaporite minerals, Mg-bearing brines, and seawater (Christie and Brathwaite, 2008). All 84 these resources are globally widespread and estimated to constitute billions of tonnes of Mg. Thus, Mg resources are considered as enormous and unlimited. Magnesium's current criticality (i.e., economic importance versus supply risk; European Commission, 2017) is not based on lack of Mg raw materials in Europe, but rather on trade issues as the worldwide primary Mg production (around 878 Kt in 2016) is mainly supplied by China. However, the development of research and development technologies will increase the long-term demand worldwide.

91 Knowledge of the geochemical behaviour of this major element in the rock-soil cycle 92 through weathering processes is important, both for recognising soil nutritional status and for 93 targeting new Mg deposits. The GEMAS data set provides European-scale results for 94 chemical elements (Reimann et al., 2014a, b), including several so-called high-tech materials, 95 which are listed in the Critical Raw Materials list by the European Commission in 2017 (Scheib et al., 2012; Ladenberger et al., 2015; Négrel et al., 2016, 2019). In this study, 96 97 geochemical data from the GEMAS project are used to (i) decipher the geochemical 98 behaviour of Mg using low-sampling density geochemical mapping at the continental-scale, 99 (ii) identify enriched and depleted regions of Mg, and (iii) interpret the Mg geochemical 100 patterns to highlight the respective impacts of soil weathering stage and land use in relation to 101 the different methods of analysis used, e.g., total analysis by X-ray Fluorescence (XRF), strong acid extraction by *aqua regia*, and weak extraction by MMI[®]. 102

103 2 - General setting

104

The GEMAS project (Reimann et al., 2014a, b) was carried out by the Geochemistry Expert Group of EuroGeoSurveys with funding by national Geological Surveys, collaborating Universities and Eurometaux (European Association of Metals). The project was managed by the Geological Survey of Norway. Soil samples from two land-use types (agricultural soil , n=2108; grazing land soil, n=2024) were collected in 33 European countries, covering an area

of about 5.6 million km² with an average density of 1 sample/2500 km² (Reimann et al., 110 111 2012a; 2014a, b). The countries covered are shown in Figure 1. The main objective of the 112 pan-European project was to map the element variation in agricultural and grazing land soil, 113 and to assess the impact of agricultural activities. Soil samples were not collected at known 114 contaminated sites, in the immediate vicinity of industrial sites, power plants, railway lines, 115 major roads, directly below high-power electric lines and near to pylons. The GEMAS aqua 116 regia and XRF results were provided in a two-volume geochemical atlas (Reimann et al., 117 2014a, b), followed by a series of peer-review publications where detailed interpretation of 118 the continental-scale distribution of single elements or related groups of elements or physical 119 parameters of soil were presented, e.g., Reimann et al. (2012a; Pb), Ottesen et al. (2013; Hg), 120 Tarvainen et al. (2013; As), Scheib et al. (2012; Nb), Sadeghi et al. (2013; Ce, La, Y), 121 Ladenberger et al. (2015; In), Albanese et al. (2015; Cr, Ni, Co, Cu), Négrel et al. (2016, 122 2018a, b, 2019; - Ge, U-Th, Rb-Ga-Cs, Sc-W-Li), Fabian et al. (2014; pH), Birke et al. (2016, 123 2017; Cd), Jordan et al. (2018; Ni), Matschullat et al. (2018; C-N-S), Hoogewerff et al. (2019; 124 Sr), Xu et al. (2019; pH, C).

125 **3 – Material and methods**

126 **3.1. Sampling and sample preparation**

127 Two land use soil types were collected from agricultural and grazing land, with a nominal sampling density of 1 sample per 2500 km² (Fig. 1b). Grazing land soil (Gr) was defined as 128 129 'land under permanent grass cover' and the sampling depth range was 0-10 cm according to 130 REACH (Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemicals) regulation 131 requirements (REACH, 2006). The agricultural soil (Ap) was collected from the ploughing 132 layer of an arable field at a depth range of 0–20 cm, again according to REACH regulations. Each agricultural or grazing land soil sample (ca. 3.5 kg) corresponds to a composite of five 133 134 sub-samples, taken from the corners and centre of a 10×10 m square. The total number of Ap 135 samples is 2108 and that of Gr 2024 samples. All soil samples for analysis and storage were 136 prepared in a single facility (State Geological Institute of Dionyz Stur, Slovakia). Sample 137 preparation involved air-drying at ambient temperature, sieving to <2 mm using a nylon 138 screen, homogenising, and final splitting into 10 sub-samples (Mackovych and Lucivjansky, 139 2014). The analytical results for Ap and Gr samples are, with very few exceptions, highly 140 comparable and, thus, the latter are not being further discussed in this study.

141



142

143 Figure 1. Modified from Négrel et al. (2015, Fig. 1, p.3). (a) Map of soil parent materials in Europe 144 showing the distribution of various lithologies across the continent (modified from Gunther et al., 145 2013 and adapted from Négrel et al., 2015, Fig. 1, p.3). (b) Sample locations of the ploughed 146 agricultural soil sample sites (dots); (Ap-samples, n=2108). Map projection: ETRS89 Lambert 147 Azimuthal Equal-Area projection coordinate reference system, with central meridian at 10°. (c) 148 Generalised geotectonic map of Europe with major lithotectonic units, Variscan and Alpine belts, 149 Trans-European Suture Zone (TESZ) and the extension of maximum glaciation (modified from 150 Reimann et al., 2012a, Fig. 1, p.198).

151 **3.2. Chemical analysis and quality control**

The GEMAS project soil samples were analysed using total and partial extraction methods (for details refer to: Reimann et al., 2009, 2011, 2012b; Birke et al., 2014a). Two project reference materials, prepared in the same laboratory (State Geological Institute of Dionyz Stur, Slovakia), were used to monitor analytical performance (Demetriades et al., 2014; Reimann and Kriete, 2014).

Total concentrations of Mg were determined by XRF, and 7% of the results are below
the detection limit of 302 mg/kg. In addition, two partial extractions were used, as described
below.

Hot *aqua regia* digestion, representing a strong partial extraction and recommended in
environmental and agricultural soil studies (e.g., Vercoutere et al., 1995; Santos and Alleoni,
2013; Sastre et al., 2002), was applied on the collected soil samples. It is stressed that residual
elements not released by *aqua regia* digestion are mostly bound to silicate minerals, and are
considered unimportant for estimating the mobility and behaviour of elements (Niskavaara et
al., 1997; Chen and Ma, 2001).

166 A soil sample weight of 15 g of the <2 mm fraction was leached in 90 ml aqua regia 167 (95°C, 1 hour), and then made-up to a final volume of 300 ml with 5% HCl. The supernatant 168 solutions of over 5000 soil samples were analysed for 53 elements using a combination of 169 Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-AES) and Atomic Emission 170 Spectrometry (ICP-MS) (ACME Laboratories in Vancouver, Canada; now Bureau Veritas 171 Mineral Laboratories). Quality control was monitored using field duplicate-replicate splits, 172 and matrix matched standards and reference materials. Only 5% of the agricultural soil 173 samples have Mg concentrations below the detection limit of 50 mg/kg. The hot aqua regia 174 digestion is able to extract elements adsorbed on clay minerals, dissolved Fe and Mn oxides and carbonate minerals, and can even dissolve some silicate phases (e.g., olivine, biotite, 175

pyroxene), while other silicates and oxides are only weakly to moderately dissolved (Dolezal
et al. 1968; Räisänen et al., 1992; Aatos et al., 1994; Snäll and Liljefors, 2000; Vilà and
Martínez-Lladó, 2015). Comparison of the *aqua regia* with the XRF data often provides an
insight to the host mineralogy for the element(s) being studied.

The Mobile Metal Ion (MMI®) method is a weak partial extraction technique 180 181 originally developed for mineral exploration (Mann et al., 1998), and was applied on the 182 agricultural soil samples (Ap) with the purpose of identifying those weakly adsorbed elements 183 that are bioavailable and may also be related to weathering processes and anthropogenic factors (Mann et al., 2015; Sadeghi et al., 2015). The MMI® method, based on having a 184 185 number of strong ligands in a neutral to alkaline-buffered solution (Mann, 2010; Mann et al., 186 2014a), enables ions that are weakly adsorbed onto soil particle surfaces to be brought into 187 solution for determination by ICP-MS (Mann et al., 2014b). This analytical method allows, 188 therefore, the evaluation of those elements that are mobile, and potentially bioavailable in soil (e.g., Reimann et al., 2012a). The MMI[®] analytical method is a proprietary technique that 189 uses a high sample to solution ratio and a weak solution (MMI[®]-M) to extract only the more 190 accessible, or mobile metal ions. The Ap samples were analysed by MMI[®] extraction using a 191 192 50-g sample weight, which was shaken for 30 minutes in 50 ml of MMI[®]-M solution before 193 being centrifuged and the resultant leachate analysed by ICP-MS for 57 elements at SGS Mineral Services in Toronto, Canada. Around 19% of the Ap samples have Mg concentrations 194 195 below the detection limit of 1 mg/kg.

A rigorous quality control (QC) procedure was part of the sampling and analytical protocols of the GEMAS project (Reimann et al., 2009, 2011, 2012b; Demetriades et al., 2014). The agricultural and grazing land soil samples were analysed in batches of twenty. In each analytical batch were included one field duplicate, one analytical replicate of the field duplicate and the project standard. An innovation of the GEMAS project was the calculation 201 of the practical detection limit (DL) of each determinand using the results of the project replicate samples through the estimation of regression line coefficients by the 'reduced major 202 203 axis line' procedure (Demetriades, 2011). A detailed description of the analytical methods and 204 quality control procedures are provided in the GEMAS project atlas (Birke et al., 2014a; 205 Reimann and Kriete, 2014; Demetriades et al., 2014), and in three technical reports (Reimann et al., 2009, 2011, 2012b). Quality control procedures for the MMI[®] extraction method for the 206 207 entire Ap data set are described in Reimann et al. (2012b) and Mann et al. (2014a, b). Only 208 the data obtained for the Ap soil samples will be considered hereafter.

3.3 Data treatment

210 Geochemical data are a classical example of compositional data, element 211 concentrations reported in wt % or mg/kg sum up to a constant and are thus not free to vary. 212 The information value of such data generally lies in the ratios between the variables (Beus and 213 Grigorian, 1977; Reimann et al., 2012a). Compositional data do not plot in classical Euclidean 214 space, but they have their own geometry, the Aitchison simplex (Aitchison, 1986; Buccianti et 215 al., 2006; Pawlowsky-Glahn and Buccianti, 2011). Thus, only order statistics should be used 216 in the processing of geochemical data, noting that percentiles are unchanged under log-217 transformation. This is not the case, however, under a log-ratio-transformation.

The coloured surface map of each determinand was produced by kriging, based on a careful variogram analysis (Filzmoser et al., 2014). Kriging was used to interpolate values of each determinand from the irregularly distributed sampling sites to a regular grid and into unsampled space. Comparison with black and white point source maps has shown that the kriged maps reflect the spatial structure of the data very well (Reimann et al., 2014c). Class limits, used for the colour maps are based on percentiles (5, 25, 50, 75, 90 and 95). Maps displayed hereafter (Figs. 7-9) were produced in Esri's ArcGIS software. 225 Ten geological parent material subgroups were constructed for processing the Ap soil 226 data set (Birke et al., 2014b): (1) alk: alkaline rocks; (2) chalk: calcareous rocks; (3) granite: 227 plutonic felsic rocks; (4) green: greenstone, basalt, mafic bedrocks; (5) loess: loess; (6) org: organic soil; (7) other: unclassified bedrocks; (8) prec: predominantly Precambrian bedrocks 228 229 (granitic gneiss); (9) quartz: soil developed on coarse-grained sandy deposits (e.g., the end 230 moraines of the last glaciation), and (10) schist: schist. In addition, the relative proportions of 231 six major lithological types were recalculated for the GEMAS project area (Reimann et al., 232 2012c), based on the global rock lithology presented by Amiotte-Suchet et al. (2003). Among 233 these major lithologies, plutonic and metamorphic rocks (39%) and shale (37%) dominate; 234 carbonate rocks (14%) and sand-sandstone (9.5%) are significant, whereas felsic volcanic 235 rocks and basalt (0.5% each) play a subordinate role.

236 4 – Results and Discussion

237 4.1 Mg concentrations in agricultural soil

The minimum, median and maximum concentrations of Mg delivered by the GEMAS project, using the three analytical methods (XRF, AR and MMI[®] extractions), are provided in Table 1 together with the detection limit (DL) and percentage of samples under DL.

- 241
- 242
- 243
- 244
- 245

Table 1. Basic statistical parameters for Mg in European agricultural (Ap) soil samples determined by different
 analytical methods.

Method	Ν	DL	% <dl< th=""><th>Minimum</th><th>Median</th><th>Maximum</th></dl<>	Minimum	Median	Maximum
		mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
XRF	2108	302	7	<302	5488	126368
AR	2108	50	5	<50	2860	116908

1 10 11 02 00	MMI	2108	1	19	<1	52	>500°
---------------	-----	------	---	----	----	----	-------

*upper detection limit of Mg in the MMI[®] extraction is 500 mg/kg 249

The boxplots comparing the Mg results of Ap soil samples clearly show the 250 251 differences between the three analytical techniques (Fig. 2). They display a number of upper and lower outliers (only lower outliers for the MMI[®] data), which are, however, not far away 252 253 from the main body of data (note the log-scale used). There is no significant overlap between the main body of the XRF, AR and MMI[®] data. The 95% confidence interval on the median, 254 255 displayed by the notches, shows that there is no overlap, suggesting that there is a distinct difference at the 5% significance level among the Ap XRF, AR and MMI[®] data sets of Mg. 256 257



258

259 Figure 2. Boxplot comparison of Mg concentrations in European Ap soil samples determined by XRF, aqua regia and MMI[®]. Refer to Table 1 for the basic statistical parameters. 260

261 262

Compared to the UCC total Mg average (14,955 mg/kg) by Rudnick and Gao (2003), 263 the median Mg concentration (5488 mg/kg), measured by XRF, is substantially lower in the European agricultural soil samples (ratio median Ap/UCC = 0.367). This may suggest a 264 265 possible overestimation of the UCC Mg value in previous calculations, or it may also be an 266 indication of an overall depletion/removal of this important plant nutrient in agricultural soil.

The combination plot histogram - density trace - one-dimensional scattergram - boxplot (Fig.
3) shows the Mg univariate data distribution. The one-dimensional scattergram and boxplot
highlight the existence of a substantial number of outliers in the Mg distribution. The density
trace and histogram are overall symmetrical in the log-scale.



271

272 Figure 3. Combination plot of histogram, density trace, one-dimensional scatter-diagram and boxplot

273 of the Mg distribution in European Ap soil samples determined by XRF (a), and ICP-AES/MS after an

aqua regia (b), and MMI[®] extraction (c). Note in the density trace the upper limit of 500 mg in the

275 *MMI*[®] extraction.

4.2 Spatial distribution of Mg in Ap soil

The maps of Mg determined by XRF, and ICP-AES/MS following *aqua regia* and MMI[®] extractions are presented in Figures 6, 7 and 8. Although the map of total Mg concentrations determined by XRF (Fig. 6) shows considerably higher concentrations (median 5488 mg/kg) in soil than the map of *aqua regia* extraction (median 2860 mg/kg), with average Mg extractability of 55% (Reimann et al., 2011), the overall continental-scale spatial distribution is quite similar.

283 The two classical maps of Mg arising from the aqua regia extraction and XRF (Figs. 6 284 and 7) show unusually low Mg concentrations over the coarse-grained sediments of the last 285 glaciation in central-northern Europe. This is due to the mineralogical composition of these 286 glacial sands, which consist almost exclusively of quartz (SiO₂) (Zeeberg, 1998; Reimann et 287 al., 2012c; Scheib et al., 2014). The southern limit of the last glaciation is thus still visible as a 288 Mg concentration break on both maps. The median Mg concentrations between northern and 289 southern Europe are different by a factor of 2.4 (1500 vs. 3600 mg/kg, respectively), and are 290 not as contrasted as for many other elements determined on the GEMAS project soil samples 291 (Reimann et al., 2014c). For aqua regia extractable Mg concentrations in the agricultural soil 292 of European countries, the highest Mg median value is in Cyprus (8785 mg/kg), followed by 293 Montenegro (8098 mg/kg) and the Hellenic Republic (Hellas) (7845 mg/kg), countries with 294 known ophiolite complexes (mafic and ultramafic intrusions). The lowest median value 295 occurs in Poland (716 mg/kg); whereas, areas underlain by limestone (e.g., eastern and 296 southern Spain), dolomite (Alps) and mafic-ultramafic rocks (e.g., Hellas, Norway and 297 Finland) appear as large Mg anomalies on the map. Further, clay-rich soil such as the Central 298 Scandinavian Clay Belt (central Sweden) is also visible as a Mg anomaly on the maps 299 (Figures 6-8).One of the principal differences between XRF and AR geochemical maps is the 300 intensity difference of Mg anomalies in Fennoscandia, which may indicate the widespread 301 occurrence of yet unweathered Mg-silicates in northern Europe that do not dissolve in *aqua*





303

Figure 6. Geochemical map for Mg total concentrations in ploughed agricultural soil (Ap, n=2108)
(XRF results). Interpolation by kriging, range = 1 000 km, and search radius = 300 km (from
Reimann et al., 2014c, Fig. 11.34.5, p.300).



310 Figure 7. Geochemical map for aqua regia extractable Mg concentrations in ploughed agricultural

311 soil (Ap, n=2108). Interpolation by kriging, range = 1 000 km, and search radius = 300 km (from

- *Reimann et al.*, 2014c, Fig. 11.34.5, p.299).





320

Figure 8. Geochemical map for MMI[®] extractable Mg concentrations in ploughed agricultural soil
(Ap, n=2108). Interpolation by kriging, range = 1 000 km, and search radius = 300 km (from
Reimann et al., 2014a, Figure on accompanying DVD).

The map of Mg results from MMI[®] extraction on Ap soil samples (Fig. 8) shows rather complementary pattern in comparison to both the *aqua regia* (Fig. 7) and XRF (Fig. 6) geochemical maps. The features shared by all three maps are: (1) the southern limit of the last glaciation; (2) the major Mg anomalies appear to be related to the occurrence of maficultramafic rocks (intrusive and volcanic) and dolomite or dolomitic limestone; (3) regions with silica-rich loose sandy deposits and granitic bedrock are usually outlined by low Mg content in soil, and (4) low pH facilitating Mg removal from soil may contribute to low Mg

anomalies irrespectively of the underlying bedrock, e.g., in central Sweden, in northern-328 329 central Europe and NW Spain. However, apart from many similarities, some interesting 330 differences can also be observed. In northern Fennoscandia, high Mg content in soil, caused 331 by Palaeoproterozoic greenstone belts and Caledonian mafic and ultramafic rocks, are well 332 outlined on the XRF Mg map. In comparison, on the aqua regia Mg map these features are shown with a decreasing intensity to disappear completely on the MMI[®] map. There are 333 334 possibly two reasons for this geochemical behaviour of Mg; either Mg is hosted by resistant 335 silicate minerals which have a limited dissolution in these acids, or low soil pH effectively 336 removes weakly bound Mg from soil particles resulting in very low Mg content in acid extractions. Another interesting example can be observed in Sardinia, where the Mg MMI® 337 338 map has a very contrasting pattern, with high concentrations in the south-western and low in 339 the north-eastern parts of the island, features that are not visible on either the XRF or AR 340 maps. In this case, the distinct contrast is most likely caused by a lithology difference, i.e., 341 occurrence of a low Mg granite in the NE, and Mg enriched sedimentary rocks, especially 342 limestone and dolomite, in the SW.

343

344



345

Figure 9. Distribution of Mg-bearing ore deposits in Europe (based on the ProMine Mineral
Database; Cassard et al., 2012, 2015; Demetriades and Reimann, 2014). Abbreviations of
mineralised districts: CI: Corsica Island; ERZ: Erzgebirge (Ore Mts.); PYR: Pyrenees; SI:
Sardinia Island; SM: Serbo-Macedonian ore district; SLO: Slovakia; WA: Western Alps.

351 The geographical distribution of the Mg deposits in Europe is shown in Figure 9. Most 352 important Mg mineral resources are hosted by ultramafic lithologies and their 353 weathering/alteration products (magnesite), carbonate rocks (dolomite) and chemical deposits 354 (carnallite) as given by Cassard et al. (2012, 2015). The classification of Mg deposits on the ProMine map (Figure 9) is based on threshold values for Mg in metric tonnes (t): class A for 355 356 super-large deposits (100,000,000 t), class B for large deposits (10,000,000 t), class C for 357 medium deposits (1,000,000 t), and class D for small deposits (100,000). Many of the magnesium deposits are represented by magnesite and contact metamorphic deposits of 358

359 brucite along granite-dolomite contacts. Magnesite in the Caledonides, Hellas and Italy are 360 associated with the ultramafic parts of ophiolites (Pohl, 1990). Magnesia can be also produced 361 from surface or subterranean brines (Christie and Brathwaite, 2008). Natural magnesite occurs 362 in few large, high grade (70-90% MgCO₃) and a large number of small, lower grade (>12% 363 $MgCO_3$) deposits either as crystalline or amorphous phases. Crystalline deposits of magnesite 364 occur in Austria and Spain, whereas amorphous magnesite deposits are known in Austria and 365 Hellas (Christie and Brathwaite, 2008). The correlation between the location of Mg deposits 366 and Mg anomalies in agricultural soil is relatively good, if a rather random distribution of Mg 367 deposits across the continent is considered. The XRF Mg anomalies correlate well with Mg 368 deposits in Central Caledonides (Sweden and Norway), northernmost Norway and Finland, in 369 Austria and in the Alps (Italy, Austria), Balkan region and Hellas, SE Sicily, N Corsica and N 370 Spain (Pyrenees and its foreland). Aqua regia extractable Mg anomalies largely follow XRF results (due to relatively high Mg extractability). The MMI[®] Mg results in agricultural soil 371 372 display additional anomalies, which follow ProMine Mg deposits in SW Sardinia and 373 Hellenic island of Lesbos in the eastern Aegean Sea. In contrast to XRF and AR data, MMI® 374 results do not show high Mg concentrations in Central Caledonides of Norway and Sweden. 375 This phenomenon can be related to climate, dry conditions with low precipitation in an 376 environment with presumable alkaline soil (>7 pH) in the Mediterranean region, and high 377 precipitation combined with acidic soil (<6 pH) and rapid wash-out in the mountain region of 378 the Scandinavian Caledonides.

379

4.3. The role of parent material on Mg variation in soil at the European scale

The chemical composition of sediments and soil represents, to a large extent, the primary mineralogy of the source bedrock, the effects of pre- and post-depositional chemical weathering, formation of secondary products such as clays, and element mobility, either by leaching or mineral sorting with superimposed effects of glaciation. Therefore, Mg in soil, like Al and K, is often retained in weathering soil profiles, compared to Na and Ca, which are
rapidly leached as dissolved ions. Liming and use of Mg fertilisers are the main anthropogenic
interferences on natural Mg-cycles.

388 To evaluate the input from parent materials and chemical weathering trends, ternary 389 plots of carefully selected parameters can be used (e.g., Kasanzu et al., 2008; Négrel et al., 390 2015). Comparison of Ca, Na and Mg total XRF and AR contents in Ap soil samples (Fig. 391 10a and b) allows discrimination between carbonate and silicate parent materials including 392 various siliciclastic components (low Ca granite, sandstone and ultramafic rocks). XRF results 393 (Fig. 10a) show that soil chemical composition is scattered between three extreme end-394 members, defined by mafic-ultramafic rocks, carbonates (limestone) and low Ca granite 395 variation. The carbonate-rich soil forms a distinct group on the diagram, while soil developed 396 on silicate parent materials has a rather large spread with predominance of felsic source rocks 397 (towards low Mg composition), and deviates from typical UCC end-members (Fig. 10a).

The *aqua regia* results of Ca-Mg-Na in Figure 10b show a trend dominated by the correlation between Mg and Ca, while Na is exceptionally low. This pattern might be simply the result of a difference between extractability of Ca, Mg and Na, with the first two being highly soluble in AR, while Na has low extractability. This would indicate that the pattern is not related to the type of source parent materials.



403

404 Figure 10. Ca–Mg–Na (in molecular proportions) distribution in GEMAS agricultural soil samples
405 (black crosses), plotted together with bedrock and Upper Continental Crust (UCC) composition
406 (yellow circles) according to Parker (1967) and Rudnick and Gao (2003), respectively. Calcium, Mg

407 and Na Ap data from (a) XRF and (b) aqua regia.

A second ternary plot is the Ca, Mg and Ti association (Figure 11), using their contents in molecular proportions in the GEMAS Ap samples of the XRF (Fig. 11a) *aqua regia* (Fig. 11b) and MMI[®] (Fig. 11c) results. The GEMAS Ca, Mg and Ti Ap data are plotted together with the main lithological end-members taken from Parker (1967), e.g., plutonic and metamorphic rocks and shale, carbonate rocks and sand-sandstone, and the upper continental crust average from Rudnick and Gao (2003). Using Ti as an insoluble element allows discrimination compared to more soluble elements during weathering like Ca and Mg.

415 In Figure 11a representing the XRF total concentrations, the main spread of data along 416 the Mg axis reflects the variation in Mg content between sedimentary end-member (carbonate 417 and sandstone) and mafic (ultramafic rocks) end-member parent materials. The closeness of a 418 soil sample approaching the 10% apex along the Mg axis is an indication of similarity to a 419 lithological end-member, with additionally Mg loss during carbonate weathering. The 420 arrowhead lines denote compositional trends of weathering of silicate and carbonate rock 421 types. Increased weathering causes Ca loss and Ti enrichment. Both indices are a measure of the geochemical maturity of soil with respect to the weathering of underlying bedrock. 422

423 Figure 11b displays the aqua regia extraction results, where again the main spread of 424 data along the Mg axis reflects the variation in Mg content between sedimentary end-member 425 (carbonate and sandstone) and mafic (ultramafic rocks) end-member parent materials. The Mg 426 loss during carbonate weathering is also marked. Increased weathering causes Ti enrichment, 427 which is less marked in the aqua regia extraction as compared to the XRF analytical data. 428 This is explained by the fact that Ti is not so soluble in *aqua regia*. Figure 10c, representing the MMI® extraction results, shows a completely different pattern, where there is no Ti 429 430 enrichment, and Mg loss (e.g., less Mg complexation by the Mg-bearing phases like clavs and organic matter brought into solution by the MMI[®] extraction). 431



Figure 11. Ca–Mg–Ti (in molecular proportions) distribution in GEMAS soil samples (black crosses),
plotted together with bedrock and Upper Continental Crust (UCC) composition (yellow circles)
according to Parker (1967) and Rudnick and Gao (2003), respectively. Grey arrowhead lines denote
compositional weathering trends of silicate and carbonate rock types towards Ca loss and Ti
enrichment. Calcium, Mg and Ti molecular proportions from (a) XRF total, (b) aqua regia extraction,

438 and (c) MMI^{\otimes} extraction.

439

440 **4.4 Soil classification based on geochemical signature of parent material**

441 To classify the GEMAS soil parent materials the approach used by Caritat et al. (2012) was 442 applied by calculating the relative proportions of five major lithological types. According to 443 the global rock lithology model of Amiotte Suchet et al. (2003), the most common lithologies 444 in Europe are (1) plutonic and metamorphic, (2) shale, and (3) carbonate rocks. Based on 445 these assumption, Caritat et al. (2012) defined the source parent materials where plutonic and 446 metamorphic rocks (39%) and shale (37%) are dominant; carbonate rocks (14%) and sand-447 sandstone (9.5%) are significant, whereas basalt and felsic volcanic rocks (0.5% each) play a 448 subordinate role.

449 The Mg distribution is further depicted for chalk (carbonates) and granite, according to 450 the geological parent material subgroups constructed for processing the Ap soil results from 451 the GEMAS data set (Birke et al., 2014b). Figure 12a displays Mg and Al concentrations in 452 the geological parent material subgroup *chalk* (carbonates) for *aqua regia* and MMI[®] 453 extractions and total XRF contents. Magnesium and Al concentrations, determined by XRF 454 and *aqua regia* extraction, partly overlap and there is a clear shift on the horizontal axis for 455 the Al results reflecting major extractability difference between Mg and Al in aqua regia 456 digestion (55% and 22%, respectively; Reimann et al., 2011). In general, the carbonate-rich 457 soil seems to contain substantial amounts of (alumino-) silicate components, including heavy 458 minerals, which are more resistant to the aqua regia extraction. It is worth noting that the 459 agricultural soil samples have exclusively lower Mg and higher Al concentrations in both 460 XRF and aqua regia extraction results than the value of the carbonate bedrock composition 461 from Parker (1967), which suggests that typical carbonate-rich soil contains more clay weathering products, and Mg is usually one of the elements that is depleted in such soil, 462 463 possibly due to leaching. The difference in Mg and Al concentrations from the aqua regia and MMI® extractions is around three orders of magnitude. Further, the data from the aqua regia 464 465 extraction plot mostly below the 1:1 line, while the majority of the XRF results fall below the 1:1 line, and those of the MMI[®] extraction are above the 1:1 line. These observations suggest 466 467 that Al in carbonates, apart from its occurrence in carbonates themselves, occurs also in siliceous phases and clays, and can be brought into solution by the MMI[®] extraction in the 468 469 chalk (carbonates) subgroup.

470 Additionally, Figures 12b to 12d show measured values by XRF compared to those 471 determined after aqua regia extraction for Ca, Mg and Al; in all three diagrams, the carbonate 472 bedrock composition from Parker (1967) is plotted. The values of CaxRF versus CaAR in 473 Figure 12b plot along the 1:1 line, with most of the values falling above it, indicating that the 474 XRF results are slightly higher than the corresponding AR. Calcium extractability is 61% for 475 all Ap soil samples, implying that extractability can be even higher for the carbonate-rich soil 476 subgroup. This excellent linear correlation also suggests that almost all Ca in this subgroup is 477 easily leachable and, therefore, hosted in minerals which can be dissolved in stronger acids 478 with high efficiency. Only a slight enrichment of the values measured by XRF over the whole 479 measured range is observed. This enrichment reflects that there is a residual fraction of Ca. 480 which cannot be extracted by the *aqua regia* extraction, mostly occurring in the silicate-clay 481 fraction.

The Mg_{XRF} versus Mg_{AR} values shown in Figure 12c plot parallel to the 1:1 line but offset towards the XRF side and, more pronouncedly, with respect to values <10,000 mg Mg/kg, i.e., lower Mg concentrations measured by XRF are clearly higher than those determined by AR. The highest Mg values, measured either by XRF or AR, are close to the 486 carbonate bedrock composition from Parker (1967). The deviation from the 1:1 line possibly 487 indicates that Mg-rich parent materials have more mobile Mg available than parent materials 488 with primordial low Mg content. The marked enrichment for low Mg values, determined by 489 XRF, suggests either a higher clay content when Mg is less concentrated, or more magnesian 490 carbonates in accordance with lower Ca concentrations.

491



493 Figure 12. (a) Mg versus Al content in soil subgroup 'chalk' (carbonates) obtained by XRF (black), aqua regia (yellow) and MMI[®] (blue) extractions; Plots comparing XRF results with 494 495 aqua regia extraction for Ca (b), Mg (c) and Al (d) in soil subgroup 'chalk'. Reference values



497

498 The comparison of Al_{XRF} versus Al_{AR} values in agricultural soil (Figure 12d) shows 499 two major features. First, the concentrations measured by XRF and AR in agricultural soil are 500 higher than the Al concentration in the carbonate bedrock composition as recorded by Parker 501 (1967). This reflects the capability of AR digestion to extract Al from the clay fraction in soil. 502 Secondly, the Al concentrations lie well above the 1:1 line and mainly reflect low Al aqua 503 regia extractability in soil (ca. 20%), with the XRF data showing substantially higher values 504 than AR results. This may have implications for the weathering patterns in carbonate-rich soil 505 where Mg is the mobile and Al the residual part, resulting in Mg depletion and Al enrichment 506 in agricultural soil. This feature can be observed in karst-rich areas in the Mediterranean 507 region.

508 To evaluate the major difference between carbonate-rich and silica-rich soil parent 509 materials in relation to Mg distribution, another subgroup parent material '*granite*' was 510 examined.

511 Figure 13 displays the Mg, Ca and Al concentrations in the geological parent material 512 subgroup '*granite*' for *aqua regia* and MMI[®] extractions and total XRF contents. The 513 '*granite*' subgroup has similar geochemical character as two other parent material subgroups: 514 Precambrian bedrock '*Prec*', predominantly consisting of granitic gneiss, and '*schist*' 515 subgroup, which will not be discussed here in detail.

The major difference between the '*chalk*' and '*granite*' subgroups observed on the plots of Mg versus Al concentrations, determined by XRF and *aqua regia* extraction, do not overlap in Figure 13a. In this case, the XRF concentrations are one order of magnitude higher than those measured by *aqua regia*, suggesting that Mg in agricultural soil, developed over silicate parent materials, is often hosted by minerals that are insensitive to *aqua regia* digestion, for example, mafic silicate minerals such as olivine, pyroxene, amphibole and some micas. The refractory heavy minerals (e.g., hornblende, tourmaline, staurolite) occurring in 523 the sandy and quartz rich agricultural soil may host Mg to a minor extend, and other minerals 524 like feldspar having Mg within their structures must be considered too. Figure 13a shows that 525 there is no linear correlation between the Mg XRF and AR results. The variation in Mg 526 concentrations is much larger than Al, while the Al content is much higher in the 'granite' 527 subgroup soil samples than Mg. This is an indication of the weathering status of the 'granite' 528 subgroup soil, and infers a higher resistance of Al to weathering processes where a significant 529 amount of Al is held within secondary minerals like clays leading to its enrichment in this soil 530 type. While the higher mobility of Mg during weathering results in its removal from the 531 system, often in soluble form. The difference between Mg and Al concentrations from the aqua regia and MMI[®] extractions is around three orders of magnitude. With the exception of 532 533 several samples plotting above the 1:1 line, reflecting a higher affinity of Mg for the hosting phases (like clays and organic matter), most MMI® extraction results plot below the 1:1 line, 534 535 suggesting that the amount of organic matter is not sufficient to adsorb all Mg in the forms 536 made available by the MMI[®] extraction. It also indicates that in the part below the 1:1 line, Al in the MMI[®] extraction occurs not only in siliceous phases but also in clays, which can be 537 brought into solution by the MMI[®] extraction. Thus, it is assumed that Al can be accessed by 538 539 living organisms via soil, plants and water, and thus it is potentially more bioavailable than 540 Mg for the parent material subgroup 'granite'.

Figures 13b-d compare XRF total concentrations with *aqua regia* extraction results for Ca, Mg and Al in the '*granite*' subgroup. Comparison of Ca content in the soil subgroup '*granite*' (Ca_{XRF} versus Ca_{AR}, Figure 13b) shows that all samples plot above the 1:1 line, and they are partly in agreement with the values of low and high Ca granite bedrock composition (Parker, 1967). The higher values, measured by XRF over the whole range, indicate that Ca is located in mineral phases or their interfaces, which cannot be dissolved in strong acids like *aqua regia*, and mostly remain in the silicate-clay fraction. The Mg_{XRF} versus Mg_{AR} values 548 (Figure 13c) show a more confined trend than for Ca and, with the exception of some outliers, 549 are partly in agreement with the concentration range for granite bedrock composition from 550 Parker (1967). The higher values, measured by XRF over the whole range, reflect the non-551 extractable Mg remaining in the silicate-clay fraction. The plot of Al_{XRF} versus Al_{AR} values 552 (Figure 13d) is extremely controlled by low Al extractability, and XRF results are tenfold 553 higher than the corresponding AR. Considering that feldspar is the most common Al host in 554 soil developed on crystalline and felsic bedrocks, and it is difficult to dissolve in strong acids, 555 the Al from AR originates most likely from more advanced weathering products such as 556 clays.

557



Figure 13. (a) Mg versus Al content in the soil subgroup 'granite' obtained by XRF (black),
aqua regia (yellow) and MMI[®] (blue) extractions. Plots comparing XRF results with aqua
regia extraction for Ca (b), Mg (c) and Al (d) in the soil subgroup 'granite'. Reference values
of high and low Ca granite bedrock composition are from Parker (1967).

563

564 The solid phases commonly anticipated to dissolve, during sequential extraction, according to the initial work of Tessier et al. (1979), are carbonates (exchangeable ions and 565 566 acid extractable), Fe-Mn-hydroxides (reducible), sulphides and organic matter (oxidisable). 567 The other phases, e.g., some silicates and well-crystallised oxides, are generally not extracted 568 by aqua regia (residual). Such sequential extraction is used for prediction of deficiency or 569 toxicity and assessment of soil trace element status in soil (Ure, 1996). The AR digestion has 570 the capability to extract elements from the clay fraction, as well as to dissolve Fe-Mn oxides 571 and carbonates and organic matter and is considered to assess the extent of element 572 concentration in a pseudo-total digestion (Ure, 1996). Strong acid digestions, such as aqua 573 regia, are commonly employed even though silicates and other refractory oxides are not 574 completely dissolved, the recovery is often >75% and never 100% (Chen and Ma, 2001).

575 Clay minerals may contain appreciable quantities of Mg either in inter lattice sites or 576 in exchange positions resulting from broken edges or unbalanced charges. Magnesium, like 577 Al, is especially common in montmorillonite, chlorites, and vermiculites (Kahle, 1965). 578 However, Al interlayers in soil, developed from silicate bedrocks (granite, gneiss and schist), 579 are more pronounced and stable than in soil derived from basaltic rock or limestone 580 (Sawhney, 1960). Mixed Mg-Al hydroxides occur frequently (Brown and Gastuche, 1967; 581 Mascolo and Marino, 1980), and both clay minerals and Al or Fe oxides/hydroxides can sorb 582 dissolved organic carbon (DOC). Surfaces of minerals in soil, capable of sorbing DOC, may 583 be distinguished into two dominant types: (1) surfaces of phyllosilicate clay minerals 584 containing cation exchange sites, and (2) surfaces on the external periphery of pedogenic 585 oxides/oxyhydroxides minerals (Kahle et al., 2004), with oxides usually being better sorbents 586 than phyllosilicate clay minerals (Jardine et al., 1989; Kaiser and Zech, 2000).



Figure 14. Plot of Mg vs. Al contents in Ap soil samples for aqua regia extraction data for the parent material subgroups 'chalk', 'granite', 'org' (organic soil), and 'quartz' (soil developed on coarse-grained sandy deposits). The blue shaded field delineates quartz parent material group; the yellow shaded area – the organic parent material soil group, and the red ellipsoid – the granite parent material group.

593

In Figure 14, the aqua regia Mg *vs.* Al concentrations in Ap soil samples were used for the four parent material subgroups '*chalk*' (carbonates), '*granite*', organic soil subgroup (*org*) and '*quartz*' (soil developed on coarse-grained sandy deposits). The first two subgroups ('chalk' and 'granite') can help to investigate the role of organic residues in terms of sorption with the subgroup organic soil (*org*), and the same role of clay minerals regarding soil developed on coarse-grained sandy deposits, e.g., the moraines of the last glaciation, with the
subgroup '*quartz*' (Négrel et al., 2018a).

601 The aqua regia extraction results for the subgroups organic soil (org) and soil 602 developed on coarse-grained sandy deposits (quartz) plot below the Mg/Al 1:1 line, and 603 mostly above the 0.1 Mg/Al line. Both are marked by the hatched ellipses that, with the 604 exception of some outliers, encompass the majority of data. An enrichment of the Mg/Al ratio 605 for 'quartz' can be observed together with enrichment for the highest Mg and Al 606 concentrations. For organic soil (org), the data of the aqua regia extraction show higher 607 concentration for Mg and Al in comparison to the range of subgroup 'quartz', and an overlap 608 of the lower concentrations of the subgroup 'org' with the higher values of subgroup 'quartz'. 609 The range observed in the 'granite' subgroup in Figure 14, has similar Mg and Al concentrations as most of the 'organic' soil samples, with the exception of some outliers. As 610 611 far as the role of organic residues in the 'granite' subgroup, this can be interpreted as the 612 presence of Mg-Al rich mineral phases, most likely Al or Fe oxides/hydroxides. For the 613 'granite' subgroup, the importance of clay minerals and fine-grained hydroxides in the 614 geochemical cycles of Mg and Al in soil start from the clay end-member from Parker (1967), 615 and follow a downward trend along the Mg/Al 0.1 ratio line towards the lowermost values of 616 Mg and Al observed in the 'quartz' subgroup. The role of clay minerals, thus, appears not so 617 important compared to that played by the organic residues in the 'granite' subgroup, as the highest Mg-Al concentrations for the 'quartz' subgroup overlap with the lowest 618 619 concentrations observed in the 'granite' subgroup.

620

621 **5 – Conclusions**

622

The GEMAS European agricultural soil results can be used to define the 'normal' Mg concentration ranges (25th to 75th percentile of the distribution) in agricultural soil at the European scale using three different analytical techniques. Normal total Mg concentrations (XRF) range from 3015 - 9407 mg/kg. In an *aqua regia* extraction, they range from 1370 -5447 mg/kg Mg. For the MMI[®] extraction, which comes closest to 'plant available' contents, the normal range is from 26 to 103 mg/kg Mg.

Due to the importance of Mg for plants, the occurrence of unusually low 629 630 concentrations in agricultural soil is of more concern than the high concentration range. In 631 Europe, unusually low Mg concentrations in agricultural soil for both XRF and aqua regia 632 results are observed on top of the quartz-rich glacial sediments in north-central Europe 633 (Poland, Baltic States, N. Germany), and a sandstone unit at the Swedish/Norwegian border. 634 In contrast, unusually high Mg concentrations occur predominantly on top of known ophiolite 635 belts and carbonates (e.g., Hellas and eastern Spain, respectively). The maximum extent of the last glaciation is visible on all three maps as a clear Mg concentration break. In the MMI[®] 636 637 extraction, a quite different pattern emerges. Large areas in Fennoscandia additionally show low Mg concentrations, indicating that weathering and soil formation are still in a stage where 638 many Mg-bearing minerals are not easily dissolved. High concentrations in MMI® do still 639 640 predominantly occur on top of ophiolites, however, on a much more restricted scale than 641 observed for *aqua regia* available or total XRF concentrations. Thus, geology still plays the 642 key role in determining the distribution of Mg in agricultural soil at the European scale, while 643 agricultural practice and the use of fertilisers seem to play a subordinate role.

To better understand the factors that determine the availability of Mg, the *aqua regia* and MMI[®] extraction results were studied in more detail on four different substrates (organic soil, quartz-rich soil, soil developed on granite and soil on top of calcareous rocks). The results highlight the importance of organic material, the presence of clay minerals (grain sizedistribution of soil) and fine-grained hydroxides for the observed element concentrations.

649 **Acknowledgements**

650

651 The GEMAS project is a cooperative project of the EuroGeoSurveys Geochemistry Expert Group with 652 a number of outside organisations (e.g., Alterra, The Netherlands; Norwegian Forest and Landscape 653 Institute; Research Group Swiss Soil Monitoring Network, Swiss Research Station Agroscope 654 Reckenholz-Tänikon, several Ministries of the Environment and University Departments of 655 Geosciences, Chemistry and Mathematics in a number of European countries and New Zealand; 656 ARCHE Consulting in Belgium; CSIRO Land and Water in Adelaide, Australia). The analytical work 657 was co-financed by the following industry organisations: Eurometaux, European Borates Association, 658 European Copper Institute, European Precious Metals Federation, International Antimony Association, 659 International Lead Association-Europe, International Manganese Institute, International Molybdenum 660 Association, International Tin Research Institute, International Zinc Association, The Cobalt 661 Development Institute, The Nickel Institute, The (REACH) Selenium and Tellurium Consortium and 662 The (REACH) Vanadium Consortium. The Directors of the European Geological Surveys, and the 663 additional participating organisations, are thanked for making sampling of almost all of Europe in a 664 tight time schedule possible. The Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resourced (BGR), the 665 Geological Survey of Norway and SGS (Canada) are thanked for special analytical input to the 666 project.

667

668 **References**

- Aatos, S., Tarvainen, T., Räisänen, M.L., Salminen, R., 1994. Method for determining the normative
 mineralogy of the fine fraction of till. Geologi 46, 39-42.
- 671 Albanese, S., Sadeghi, M., Lima, A., Cicchella, D., Dinelli, E., Valera, P., Falconi, M., Demetriades,
- A., De Vivo, B., The GEMAS Project Team, 2015. GEMAS: chromium, Ni, Co and Cu in
- agricultural and grazing land soil of Europe. J. Geochem. Explor. 154, 81-93.

- Amiotte Suchet, P., Probst, J.-L., Ludwig, W., 2003. Worldwide distribution of continental rock
 lithology: implications for the atmospheric/soil CO₂ uptake by continental weathering and
 alkalinity river transport to the oceans. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 17, 1038-1051.
- 677 Aitchison, J., 1986. The statistical analysis of compositional data. London: Chapman & Hall, 416 pp.
- Beus, A.A., Grigorian, S.V., 1977. Geochemistry exploration methods for mineral deposit. Applied
 Publishing House, Wilmette, Illinois, 277 pp.
- 680 Birke, M., Reimann, C., Karl Fabian, K., 2014a. Analytical Methods Used in the GEMAS Project.
- 681 Chapter 5 In: C. Reimann, M. Birke, A. Demetriades, P. Filzmoser, P. O'Connor (Editors),
 682 Chemistry of Europe's agricultural soils Part A: Methodology and interpretation of the GEMAS
- data set. Geologisches Jahrbuch (Reihe B102), Schweizerbarth, Hannover, 41-46.
- Birke, M., Rauch, U., Reimann. C., 2014b. Supporting Information for Interpretation of Geochemical
- 685 Maps. Chapter 10 In: C. Reimann, M. Birke, A. Demetriades, P. Filzmoser, P. O'Connor (Editors),
- 686 Chemistry of Europe's agricultural soils Part A: Methodology and interpretation of the GEMAS
- data set. Geologisches Jahrbuch (Reihe B102), Schweizerbarth, Hannover, 93-102.
- Birke, M., Reimann, R., Oorts, K., Rauch, U., Demetriades, A., Dinelli, E., Ladenberger, A., Halamić,
 J. Gosar, M., Jähne-Klingberg, F., the GEMAS Project Team, 2016. Use of GEMAS data for risk
 assessment of cadmium in European agricultural and grazing land soil under the REACH
 Regulation. App. Geochem. 74, 109–121.
- Birke, M., Reimann, C., Rauch, U., Ladenberger, A., Demetriades, A., Jähne-Klingberg, F., Oorts, K.,
 Gosar, M., Dinelli, E., Halamić, J., the GEMAS Project Team, 2017. GEMAS: Cadmium
 distribution and its sources in agricultural and grazing land soil of Europe Original data versus
 clr-transformed data. J. Geochem Explor. 173, 13-30.
- Brown, G., Gastuche, M.C., 1967. Mixed Magnesium-Aluminium hydroxides. II. Structure and
 structural chemistry of synthetic hydroxycarbonates and related minerals and compounds. Clay
 Minerals, 7(2), 193-201.
- Buccianti, A, Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., Mateu-Figueras, G. (editors), 2006. Compositional data analysis
 in the geosciences: from theory to practice. London: Geological Society, 224 pp.
- Caritat de, P., Reimann, C., the NGSA Team, GEMAS team, 2012. Comparing results from two
 continental geochemical surveys to world soil composition and deriving Predicted Empirical
 Global Soil (PEGS2) reference values. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 319–320, 269–276.
- Cassard, D., Bertrand, G., Maldan, F., Gaàl, G., Kaija, J., Aatos, S., Angel, J.M., Arvanitidis, N.,
 Ballas, D., Billa, M., Christidis, C., Dimitrova, D., Eilu, P., Filipe, A., Gazea, E., Inverno, C.,
 Kauniskangas, E., Maki, T., Matos, J., Meliani, M., Michael, C., Mladenova, V., Navas, J.,
- 707 Niedbal, M., Perantonis, G., Pyra, J., Santana, H., Serafimovski, T., Serrano, J.J., Strengell, J.,
- 708 Tasev, G., Tornos, F., Tudor, G., 2012. ProMine pan-European mineral deposit database: a new
- dataset for assessing primary mineral resources in Europe. Workshop on: Mineral Resources

- Potential Maps: A Tool for Discovering Future Deposits. 12th–14th March 2012, Nancy, France,
 Proceedings, pp. 9–13.
- Cassard, D., Bertrand, G., Billa, M., Serrano, J.J., Tourliere, B., Angel, J.M., Gaal, G., 2015. ProMine
 mineral databases: new tools to assess primary and secondary mineral resources in Europe (Chapter

2). In: Weihed, P. (Ed.), 3D, 4D and Predictive Modelling of Major Mineral Belts in Europe.

- 715 Mineral Resource Reviews. Springer International Publishing, pp. 9–58.
- 716 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17428-0_2.
- Chen, M., Ma, L.Q., 2001. Comparison of three *aqua regia* digestion methods for twenty Florida soils.
 Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J., 65, 491-499.
- Christie, T., Brathwaite, B., 2008. Mineral Commodity Report 19 Beryllium, Gallium, Lithium,
 Magnesium, Uranium and Zirconium. Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences Ltd, New
 Zealand, 16 pp. https://www.nzpam.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/doing-business/mineralpotential/beryllium.pdf.
- Demetriades, A., 2011. Understanding the quality of chemical data from the urban environment Part
 2: Measurement uncertainty in the decision-making process. Chapter 6, In: C.C. Johnson, A.
 Demetriades, J. Locutura, R.T. Ottesen (Editors), Mapping the chemical environment of urban
 areas. Wiley-Blackwell, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, West Sussex, U.K., 77-98;
 https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470670071.ch6.
- Demetriades, A., Reimann, C., 2014. Mineral deposits in Europe. Chapter 3 In: C. Reimann, M. Birke,
 A. Demetriades, P. Filzmoser, P. O'Connor (Editors), Chemistry of Europe's agricultural soils –
- 730 Part B: General background information and further analysis of the GEMAS data set. Geologisches

731 Jahrbuch (Reihe B103), Schweizerbarth, Hannover, 71-78.

- Demetriades, A., Reimann, C., Filzmoser, P., 2014. Evaluation of GEMAS project quality control
 results. Chapter 6 In: C. Reimann, M. Birke, A. Demetriades, P. Filzmoser, P. O'Connor (Editors),
 Chemistry of Europe's agricultural soils Part A: Methodology and interpretation of the GEMAS
 data set Geologisches Jahrbuch (Reihe B102), Schweizerbarth, Hannover, 47-60.
- Dolezal, J., Povondra, C., Sulcek, Z., 1968. Decomposition Techniques in Inorganic Analysis. Iliffe,
 London, 224 pp.
- European Commission, 2017. Study on the review of the list of Critical Raw Materials: Criticality
 assessments. Brussels, 13.9.2017, COM (2017) 490 final, 92 pp.
- Fabian, C., Reimann, C., Fabian, K., Birke, M., Baritz, R., Haslinger, E., The GEMAS Project Team,
 2014. GEMAS: Spatial distribution of the pH of European agricultural and grazing land soil. App.
 Geochem. 48, 207-216.
- 743 Filzmoser, P., Reimann, C., Birke, M., 2014. Univariate Data Analysis and Mapping. Chapter 8 In: C.
- Reimann, M. Birke, A. Demetriades, P. Filzmoser, P. O'Connor (Editors), Chemistry of Europe's
- agricultural soils Part A: Methodology and interpretation of the GEMAS data set. Geologisches
- 746 Jahrbuch (Reihe B102), Schweizerbarth, Hannover, 67-81.

- Günther, A., Reichenbach, P., Malet, J.-P., Van Den Eeckhaut, M., Hervás, J., Dashwood, C.,
 Guzzetti, F., 2013. Tier-based approaches for landslide susceptibility assessment in Europe
 Landslides 10, 529-546.
- 750 Hoogewerff, J.A., Reimann, C., Ueckermann, H., Frei, R., Frei, K.M., van Aswegen, Th., Stirling, C.,
- 751 Reid, M., Clayton, A., Ladenberger, A., The GEMAS Project Team, 2019. Bioavailable 87Sr/86Sr
- 752 in European soils: A baseline for provenancing studies. Sci. Tot. Env. 672, 1033-1044.
- 753 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.387.
- Jardine, P.M., Weber, N.L., McCarthy, J.F., 1989. Mechanisms of dissolved organic carbon adsorption
 on soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 53, 1378–1385.
- Jordan, G., Petrik, A., De Vivo, B., Albanese, S., Demetriades, A., Sadeghi, M., The GEMAS Project
 Team, 2018. GEMAS: Spatial analysis of the Ni distribution on a continental-scale using digital
 image processing techniques on European agricultural soil data. J. Geochem. Explor., 186, 143157; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2017.11.011.
- 760 Kahle, C.F., 1965. Possible roles of clay minerals in the formation of dolomite. Jour. Sed. 448-453.
- Kahle, M., Kleber, M., Jahn, R., 2004. Retention of dissolved organic matter by phyllosilicate and soil
 clay fractions in relation to mineral properties. Org. Geochem., 35(3), 269-276.
- Kaiser, K., Zech, W., 1997. Competitive sorption of dissolved organic matter fractions to soils and
 related mineral phases. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 61, 64–69.
- Kasanzu, C., Maboko, M.A.H., Manya, S., 2008. Geochemistry of fine-grained clastic sedimentary
 rocks of the Neoproterozoic Ikorongo Group, NE Tanzania: Implications for provenance and
 source rock weathering. Prec. Res., 164, 201–213.
- Ladenberger, A., Demetriades, A., Reimann, C., Birke, M., Sadeghi, M., Uhlback, J., Andersson, M.,
 Jonsson, E., the GEMAS Project Team, 2015. GEMAS: Indium in agricultural and grazing land
 soil of Europe e its source and geochemical distribution patterns. J. Geochem. Explor. 154, 61-80.
- 771 Mackovych, D., Lucivjansky, P., 2014. Preparation of GEMAS project samples and standards. In:
- Reimann, C., Birke, M., Demetriades, A., Filzmoser, P., O'Connor, P. (eds.), 2014. Chemistry of
 Europe's agricultural soils Part A: Methodology and interpretation of the GEMAS dataset.
 Geologisches Jahrbuch (Reihe B), Schweizerbarth, Stuttgart, 37-40.
- Mann, A., Reimann, C., Caritat, P. de, Turner, N., Birke, M., the GEMAS Project Team, 2015. Mobile
 Metal Ion[®] analysis of European agricultural soils: bioavailability, weathering, geogenic patterns
 and anthropogenic anomalies. Geochem. Expl. Env. Anal. 15, 99-112.
- Mann, A.M., 2010. Strong versus weak digestions: ligand-based soil extraction geochemistry.
 Geochem. Expl. Env. Anal. 10, 17-26.
- Mann, A.M., Birrell, R.D., Mann, A.T., Humphreys, B., Perdrix, G.L., 1998. Application of the
 mobile metal ion technique to routine geochemical exploration. J. Geochem. Explor. 61, 7-102.

- Mann, A., Reimann, C., De Caritat, P., Turner, N., Birke, M., the GEMAS Project Team, 2014a.
 Mobile Metal Ion[®] analysis of European agricultural soils: bioavailability, weathering, geogenic
 patterns and anthropogenic anomalies. Geochem. Expl. Env. Anal. 15, 99–112.
- Mann, A., Reimann, C., De Caritat, P., Turner, N., 2014b. Mobile metal ion analysis of European
 agricultural soil. In: Reimann, C., Birke, M., Demetriades, A., Filmoser, P., O'Connor, P. (Eds.),
- 787 Chemistry of Europe's Agricultural Soil, Geologisches Jahrbuch B, 203-231.
- Mascolo, G., Marino, O., 1980. A new synthesis and characterization of magnesium-aluminium
 hydroxides 1. Mineral. Mag., 43(329), 619-621.
- Matschullat, J., Reimann, C., Birke, M., Santos Carvalho, D. dos, the GEMAS Project Team, 2018.
 GEMAS: CNS concentrations and C/N ratios in European agricultural soil. Sci. Total Environ 627, 975-984.
- Négrel, Ph., Sadeghi, M., Ladenberger, A., Reimann, C., Birke, M., the GEMAS Project Team, 2015.
 Geochemical fingerprinting and source discrimination of agricultural soils at continental scale.
 Chem. Geol. 396, 1-15.
- Négrel, Ph., Ladenberger, A., Reimann, C., Birke, M., Sadeghi, M., the GEMAS Project Team, 2016.
 GEMAS: source, distribution patterns and geochemical behavior of Ge in agricultural and grazing
 land soils at European continental scale. App. Geochem. 72, 113-124.
- Négrel, Ph., Cicchella, D., Albanese, S., de Vivo, B., Reimann, C., Ladenberger, A., Birke, M., De
 Vos, W., Dinelli, E., Lima, A.M., O'Connor, P.J., Salpeteur, I., Tarvainen, T., the GEMAS Project
 Team, 2018a. U-Th signatures of land soils at European continental scale (GEMAS): distribution,
 weathering patterns and processes controlling their concentrations. Sci. Total Environ. 622–623,
- 803 1277-1293.
- Négrel, Ph., Ladenberger, A., Reimann, C., Birke, M., Sadeghi, M., the GEMAS Project Team, 2018b.
 Distribution of Rb, Ga and Cs in agricultural land soils at European continental scale (GEMAS):
 Implications for weathering conditions and provenance. Chem. Geol. 479, 188-203.
- Négrel, Ph., Ladenberger, A., Reimann, C., Birke, M., Demetriades, A., Sadeghi, M., the GEMAS
 Project Team, 2019. GEMAS: Geochemical background and mineral potential of emerging techcritical elements in Europe revealed from low-sampling density geochemical mapping. App.
 Geochem. 111, 104425.
- 811 Niskavaara, H., Reimann, C., Chekushin, V., Kashulina, G., 1997. Seasonal variability of total and
- 812 easily leachable element contents in topsoils (0-5 cm) from eight catchments in the European
- 813 Arctic (Finland, Norway and Russia). Environmental Pollution, 96(2), 261-274;
 814 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(97)00031-6.
- Ottesen, R.T., Birke, M., Finne, T.E., Gosar, M., Locutura, J., Reimann, C., Tarvainen, T., the
 GEMAS team, 2013. Mercury in European agricultural and grazing land soils. App. Geochem. 33,
- 817 1-12.

- 818 Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., Buccianti, A., 2011. Compositional data analysis: theory and applications. 819 Chichester: Wiley, 378 pp.
- 820 Parker, R.L., 1967. Composition of the Earth's crust. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 440-821 D, 17 pp.
- 822 Pohl, W.L., 1990. Genesis of magnesite deposits - models and trends. Geologische Rundschau 79, 823 291-299. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01830626
- 824 Räisänen, M.L., Tenhola, M., Mäkinen, J., 1992. Relationship between mineralogy and physico-825 chemical properties of till in central Finland. Bull. Geol. Soc. Finland 64, 35-58.
- 826 REACH., 2006. Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 827 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of 828 Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, http://eur-829 lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2006/1907/oj.
- 830 Reimann, C., Kriete, C., 2014. Trueness of GEMAS Analytical Results - the Ring Test. Chapter 7 In: 831 C. Reimann, M. Birke, A. Demetriades, P. Filzmoser & P. O'Connor (Editors), Chemistry of 832 Europe's agricultural soils - Part A: Methodology and interpretation of the GEMAS data set. 833 Geologisches Jahrbuch (Reihe B102), Schweizerbarth, Hannover, 61-65.
- 834 Reimann, C., Demetriades, A., Eggen, O.A., Filzmoser, P. and EuroGeoSurveys Geochemistry 835 Working Group, 2009. The EuroGeoSurveys geochemical mapping of agricultural and grazing land 836 soils project (GEMAS) - Evaluation of quality control results of aqua regia extraction analysis. 837 NGU Report Geological Survey Norway, Trondheim, 2009.049. of 94 pp. 838 http://www.ngu.no/upload/publikasjoner/rapporter/2009/2009 049.pdf.
- 839 Reimann, C., Demetriades, A., Eggen, O.A., Filzmoser, P. and EuroGeoSurveys Geochemistry 840 Working Group, 2011. The EuroGeoSurveys geochemical mapping of agricultural and grazing land 841
- 842 (TOC), cation exchange capacity (CEC), XRF, pH, and particle size distribution (PSD) analysis.

soils project (GEMAS) – Evaluation of quality control results of total C and S, total organic carbon

- 843 NGU Report 2011.043. Geological Survey of Norway, Trondheim, 92 pp. 844 http://www.ngu.no/upload/publikasjoner/rapporter/2011/2011_043.pdf.
- 845 Reimann, C., Flem, B., Fabian, K., Birke, M., Ladenberger, A., Négrel, Ph., Hoogewerff, J., 2012a. 846 Lead and lead isotopes in agricultural soils of Europe - the continental perspective. App. Geochem., 847 27, 532-542.
- 848 Reimann, C., Demetriades, A., Birke, M., Eggen, O. A., Filzmoser, P., Kriete, C., EuroGeoSurveys 849 Geochemistry Expert Group, 2012b. The EuroGeoSurveys Geochemical Mapping of Agricultural 850 and grazing land Soils project (GEMAS) - Evaluation of quality control results of particle size 851 estimation by MIR prediction, Pb-isotope and MMI® extraction analyses and results of the GEMAS 852 ring test for the standards Ap and Gr. NGU Report 2012.051, 136 pp., 853 http://www.ngu.no/upload/Publikasjoner/Rapporter/2012/2012 051.

- Reimann, C., Caritat, P. de, GEMAS team, NGSA team, 2012c. New soil composition data for Europe
 and Australia: demonstrating comparability, identifying continental-scale processes and learning
 lessons for global geochemical mapping. Sci. Total Environ. 416, 239-252.
- Reimann, C., Birke, M., Demetriades, A., Filzmoser, P., O'Connor, P. (eds.), 2014a. Chemistry of
 Europe's agricultural soils Part A: Methodology and interpretation of the GEMAS dataset.
 Geologisches Jahrbuch (Reihe B), Schweizerbarth, Stuttgart, 528 pp.
- Reimann, C., Birke, M., Demetriades, A., Filzmoser, P., O'Connor, P. (eds.), 2014b. Chemistry of
 Europe's agricultural soils Part B: General background information and further analysis of the
 GEMAS dataset. Geologisches Jahrbuch (Reihe B), Schweizerbarth, Stuttgart, 352 pp.
- 863 Reimann, C., Demetriades, A., Birke, M., Filzmoser P., O'Connor, P., Halamić, J., Ladenberger, A.,
- the GEMAS Project Team, 2014c. Distribution of elements/ parameters in agricultural and grazing
 land soil of Europe. Chapter 11 In: C. Reimann, M. Birke, A. Demetriades, P. Filzmoser & P.
 O'Connor (Editors), Chemistry of Europe's agricultural soils Part A: Methodology and
 interpretation of the GEMAS data set. Geologisches Jahrbuch (Reihe B102), Schweizerbarth,
 Hannover, 103-474.
- Rudnick, R.L., Gao, S., 2003. Composition of the continental crust. In: Holland, H.D., Turekian, K.K.,
 Rudnick, R.L. (Eds.), Treatise on Geochemistry, The Crust, vol. 3, Elsevier-Pergamon, Oxford, 164.
- Sadeghi, M., Petrosino, P., Ladenberger, A., Albanese, S., Andersson, M., Morris, G., Lima, A.M., De
 Vivo, B., the GEMAS team, 2013. Ce, La and Y concentrations in agricultural and grazing-land
 soils of Europe. J. Geochem. Explor. 133, 202-213.
- 875 Sadeghi, M., Albanese, S., Morris, G., Ladenberger, A., Andersson, M., Cannatelli, C., Lima, A., De
- 876 Vivo, B., 2015. REE concentrations in agricultural soil in Sweden and Italy: Comparison of weak
 877 MMI® extraction with near total extraction data. App. Geochem. 63, 22-36.
- Sastre, J., Sahuquillo, A., Vidal, M., Rauret, G., 2002. Determination of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn in
 environmental samples: microwave-assisted total digestion versus aqua regia and nitric acid
 extraction. Analytica Chimica Acta, 462(1), 59-72; https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(02)003070.
- Santos, S.N. dos, Alleoni, L.R.F., 2013. Methods for Extracting Heavy Metals in Soils from the
 Southwestern Amazon, Brazil. Water Air Soil Pollut 224, 1430. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270012-1430-z.
- Scheib, A.J., Flight, D.M.A., Birke, M., Tarvainen, T., Locutura, J., GEMAS Project Team, 2012. The
 geochemistry of niobium and its distribution and relative mobility in agricultural soils of Europe.
 Geochem. Explor. Environ. Anal. 12, 293-302.
- Scheib, A.J., Birke, M., Dinelli, E., GEMAS Project Team, 2014. Geochemical evidence of aeolian
 deposits in European soils. Boreas 43(1), 175-192. https://doi.org/10.1111/bor.12029.

- Sawhney, B.L., 1960. Aluminium interlayers in clay minerals. Transactions 7th Int. Congr. Soil Sci. 4,
 476-481.
- Snäll, S., Liljefors, T., 2000. Leachability of major elements from minerals in strong acids. J.
 Geochem. Explor. 71(1), 1-12.
- Tarvainen, T., Albanese, S., Birke, M., Ponavic, M., Reimann, C., the GEMAS Team, 2013. Arsenic
 in agricultural and grazing land soils of Europe. App. Geochem. 28, 2-10.
- Tessier, A., Campbell, P.G.C., Bisson, M., 1979. Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of
 particulate trace metals. Anal. Chem. 51, 844–851.
- Ure, A.M., 1996. Single extraction schemes for soil analysis and related applications. Sci. Total
 Environ, 178, 3-10.
- 900 Vercoutere, K., Fortunati, U., Muntau, H., Griepink, B., Maier, E.A., 1995. The certified reference
 901 materials CRM 142 R light sandy soil, CRM 143 R sewage sludge amended soil and CRM145 R
- 902 sewage sludge for quality control in monitoring environmental and soil pollution. Fresenius'
- 903 Journal of Analytical Chemisry, 352, 197–202; https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00322326.Vilà, M.,
- Martínez-Lladó, X. 2015. Approaching earth surface geochemical variability from representative
 samples of geological units: The Congost River basin case study. J. Geochem. Explor. 148, 79–95.
- Xu, H., Demetriades, A., Reimann, C., Jiménez, J.J., Filser, J., Zhang, C., GEMAS Project, Team,
 2019. Identification of the co-existence of low total organic carbon contents and low pH values in
- 908 agricultural soil in north-central Europe using hot spot analysis based on GEMAS project data.
- 909 Science of the Total Environment 678, 94-104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.382.
- 910 Zeeberg, J. J., 1998. The European sand belt in Eastern Europe and comparison of Late Glacial dune
- 911 orientation with GCM simulation results. Boreas 27, 127–139.