

Monitoring of wheat crops using the backscattering coefficient and the interferometric coherence derived from Sentinel-1 in semi-arid areas

Nadia Ouaadi, Lionel Jarlan, Jamal Ezzahar, Mehrez Zribi, Saïd Khabba, Elhoussaine Bouras, Safa Bousbih, Pierre-Louis Frison

▶ To cite this version:

Nadia Ouaadi, Lionel Jarlan, Jamal Ezzahar, Mehrez Zribi, Saïd Khabba, et al.. Monitoring of wheat crops using the backscattering coefficient and the interferometric coherence derived from Sentinel-1 in semi-arid areas. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2020, 251, pp.112050 -. 10.1016/j.rse.2020.112050 . hal-03492444

HAL Id: hal-03492444 https://hal.science/hal-03492444

Submitted on 25 Aug2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1								
2	Monitoring of wheat crops using the backscattering coefficient and							
3	the interferometric coherence derived from Sentinel-1 in semi-arid							
4	areas							
5 6 7	Nadia Ouaadi ^{a,b,*} , Lionel Jarlan ^b , Jamal Ezzahar ^{c,d} , Mehrez Zribi ^b , Saïd Khabba ^{a,d} , Elhoussaine Bouras ^{e,b} , Safa Bousbih ^f , Pierre-Louis Frison ^g							
8								
9	*corresponding author							
10 11	^a LMFE, Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakech, Morocco.							
12	^b CESBIO, University of Toulouse, IRD/CNRS/UPS/CNES, Toulouse, France.							
13	^c MISCOM, National School of Applied Sciences, Cadi Ayyad University, Safi, Morocco.							
14	^d CRSA, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University UM6P, Benguerir, Morocco.							
15 16	^e ProcEDE, Department of Applied Physique, Faculty of Sciences and Technologies, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakech, Morocco.							
17	^f INAT, GREEN-TEAM, Tunis, Tunisia.							
18	^g LaSTIG//MATWAS, IGN, University of Paris-Est, Champs sur Marne, Paris, France.							
19								
20	nadia.ouaadi@gmail.com							
21	lionel.jarlan@ird.fr							
22	j.ezzahar@uca.ma							
23	mehrez.zribi@cesbio.cnes.fr							
24	khabba@uca.ac.ma							
25	bouras.elhoussaine@gmail.com							
26	safabousbih1@outlook.fr							
27	pierre-louis.frison@u-pem.fr							
28								
29	Keywords							
30	Sentinel-1, Surface soil moisture, Backscattering coefficient, C-band, Interferometric							
31	coherence, Winter wheat, Semi-arid region.							

32 Abstract

Radar data at C-band has shown great potential for the monitoring of soil and canopy hydric 33 conditions of wheat crops. In this study, the C-band Sentinel-1 time series including the 34 backscattering coefficients σ^0 at VV and VH polarization, the polarization ratio (PR) and the 35 interferometric coherence ρ are first analyzed with the support of experimental data 36 37 gathered on three plots of irrigated winter wheat located in the Haouz plain in the center of 38 Morocco covering five growing seasons. The results showed that ρ and PR are tightly related to the canopy development. ho is also sensitive to soil preparation. By contrast, σ^0 was found 39 to be widely linked to changes in surface soil moisture (SSM) during the first growth stages 40 when Leaf Area Index remains moderate (<1.5 m²/m²). In addition, drastic changes in the 41 crop geometry associated to heading had a strong impact on the C-band σ^0 , in particular for 42 43 VH polarization. The coupled water cloud and Oh models (WCM) were then calibrated and validated on the study sites. The comparison between the predicted and observed σ^0 44 yielded a root mean square error (RMSE) values ranging from 1.50 dB to 2.02 dB for VV and 45 between 1.74 dB to 2.52 dB for VH with significant differences occurring in the second part 46 of the season after heading. Finally, new approaches based on the inversion of the WCM for 47 SSM retrieval over wheat fields were proposed using Sentinel-1 radar data only. To this 48 49 objective, the dry above-ground biomass (AGB) and the vegetation water content (VWC) were retrieved from the interferometric coherence and the PR. The relationships were then 50 used as the vegetation descriptor in the WCM. The best retrieval results were obtained using 51 the relationship between ρ_{VV} and the AGB (R and RMSE of 0.82, 0.05 m^{3/}m³ respectively and 52 no bias). The new retrieval approaches were then applied to a large database covering a 53 rainfed field in Morocco and 18 plots of rainfed and irrigated wheat of the Kairouan plain 54 55 (Tunisia) and compared to other classical techniques of SSM retrieval including simple linear

relationships between SSM and $\sigma^{0}.$ The method based on the WCM and the $ho_{VV} ext{-}AGB$ 56 relationships also provided with slightly better results than the others on the validation 57 database (r=0.75, RMSE=0.06 m³/m³ and bias=0.01 m³/m³ over the 18 plots of Tunisia) but 58 the simple linear relationships performed also reasonably well (r=0.62, RMSE=0.07, bias=-59 0.01 in Tunisia for instance). This study opens perspectives for high resolution soil moisture 60 mapping from Sentinel-1 data over south Mediterranean wheat crops and in fine, for 61 irrigation scheduling and retrieval through the assimilation of these new products in an 62 evapotranspiration model. 63

65 Introduction

66 In the semi-arid regions where the water resources are very limited and where up to 90% of 67 the available water is used for irrigation, surface soil moisture (SSM) is a key parameter for crop water stress detection and for irrigation management. Wheat crop is of prime 68 importance as it represents the most cropped cereal in the world, and particularly in 69 70 Morocco where 75% of the useful agricultural area are dedicated to wheat (Ministre de 71 l'agriculture et peche maritime du develpement rurale et des eaux et forets, 2018). Several global soil moisture products exist nowadays. They are derived from microwave remote 72 sensing including active sensors such as: scatterometers (Naeimi et al., 2009; Wagner et al., 73 74 1999) or radiometers like the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity mission -SMOS- (Kerr et al., 2001) or the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer -AMSR- (Njoku, 2004). The potential 75 76 of microwave data in this context originates from their high sensitivity to changes of surface 77 parameters including the SSM, the soil roughness, the above ground biomass (AGB) and the 78 canopy geometry. In addition, microwave signal at these wavelengths is not prone to atmospheric perturbation which is a major advantage with regards to optical data. However, 79 80 soil moisture products derived from scatterometers or microwave radiometers suffers from a coarse spatial resolution above 10 km² not suited for a fine monitoring of crops at the field 81 82 scale. By contrast, SAR (Synthetic Aperture Radar) observations are acquired with a pixel of 83 around 10 m but the use of such data to monitor operationally soil moisture was still limited until the recent launch of the Sentinel-1 constellation that opened new perspectives for the 84 monitoring of crops at the field scale by providing high resolution (10 m) data with revisit 85 86 time of about 6 days at C-band (Torres et al., 2012).

The numerous theoretical and experimental studies carried out during the 80s and the 90s demonstrated the richness of information contained in the C-band signal but also the

89 complexity of interpreting the time series. Indeed, for annual crops like wheat, contributions to the signal from the soil and from the vegetation are merged and are changing quickly. The 90 co-polarizated (HH and VV) backscatter coefficient are usually preferred for soil moisture 91 retrieval (Bai et al., 2017; Gherboudj et al., 2011; Zribi et al., 2011) while some studies 92 revealed that the cross-polarized (VH and HV) data was better suited for vegetation 93 94 monitoring including both classification and biomass retrieval (Hosseini and McNairn, 2017). Indeed, over bare soils, the backscattering coefficient σ^0 is affected by the dielectric 95 96 properties of the superficial layer of the soil mainly governed by SSM, and, to a lesser extent, soil texture (Dobson and Ulabz, 1981; Ulaby et al., 1986) and by the soil roughness 97 (Ferrazzoli et al., 1992; Ulaby et al., 1978; Zribi and Baghdadi, 2015). Considering the 98 99 sensitivity to SSM, several retrieval algorithms based on C-band data have been developed on bare soils. They were empirically based taking advantage of the linear relationships 100 between σ^0 and SSM (Amazirh et al., 2018; Griffiths and Wooding, 1996; Moran et al., 1997; 101 Sano et al., 1998; Zribi et al., 2003; Zribi and Dechambre, 2002) or they relied on the 102 inversion of a soil backscattering model (Baghdadi et al., 2008; Bertuzzi et al., 1992; Bindlish 103 and Barros, 2000; Ezzahar et al., 2020; Walker et al., 2004). For vegetated surface, the signal 104 105 at C-band is a complex mix of a soil contribution attenuated by the canopy, of the volume 106 scattering within the canopy and of the interactions between soil and vegetation (Ulaby et 107 al., 1986). In order to separate soil and vegetation contributions, the retrieval of SSM over vegetated terrain is thus usually carried out using a model predicting the interaction 108 109 between the electromagnetic wave and the different surface elements. Within this context, 110 several backscattering models were developed (Attema and Ulaby, 1978; Karam et al., 1995, 111 1993, 1992; Picard and Toan, 2002; Ulaby et al., 1990) with the aim to get a better 112 understanding of the response of vegetation and soils and to be used within an inversion 113 process (Balenzano et al., 2011; Brisco et al., 1990; Mattia et al., 2003; Picard et al., 2003). Among backscattering models of various complexity, the semi-empirical water cloud model 114 (WCM) (Attema and Ulaby, 1978) based on the first order solution of the radiative transfer 115 equations has been extensively used as it represents a good trade-off between domain of 116 validity and model complexity as response of the vegetation canopy is parameterized thanks 117 118 to two parameters only. Coupled to a soil backscattering model, it has been widely used for SSM and biophysical parameters retrieval especially for crops such as wheat (Baghdadi et al., 119 120 2017; Bai et al., 2017; Bindlish and Barros, 2001; Bousbih et al., 2017; El Hajj et al., 2016; Gherboudj et al., 2011; Hosseini and McNairn, 2017; Periasamy, 2018; Taconet et al., 1994; 121 Ulaby et al., 1984; Wang et al., 2018; Zribi et al., 2011). To describe vegetation development 122 123 within the water cloud model, several variables have been proposed. Most of published 124 studies used preferentially Vegetation Water Content (Attema and Ulaby, 1978; Bindlish and Barros, 2001; Wang et al., 2018) but Prevot et al. (1993) argued that there is no general 125 theoretical framework to define the best set of canopy descriptors in the WCM because the 126 127 complexity of the vegetation's structure and of the relative simplicity of the model. Within this context, a few authors proposed different descriptors: plant height (Kumar et al., 2015), 128 129 HV backscattering coefficient (Li and Wang, 2018), polarization ratio (Dabrowska-Zielinska et al., 2018), Radar Vegetation Index (Li and Wang, 2018) or LAI (Leaf Area Index) (Bai et al., 130 131 2017; El Hajj et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2014; Prevot et al., 1993). With the recent launch of Sentinel-2, a lot of recent studies used optical-derived descriptors such as NDVI (Normalized 132 133 difference vegetation index) (Baghdadi et al., 2017; Bousbih et al., 2017; El Hajj et al., 2016) 134 that is related to vegetation greenness. Nevertheless, a severe lack of observations may 135 occur in case of a persistent cloud cover which is very likely when wheat is cropped during 136 the rainy season such as in the South Mediterranean region.

137 The radar interferometric coherence is defined as the variance of the interferometric phase, which is related to the path length between the satellite antenna and the ground target. It is 138 related to the amount of coherent and incoherent scattering. Beside the system noise, the 139 coherence decreases with increasing volume scattering, because of target changes between 140 141 two successive radar acquisitions or because of the natural motion of the scatterers (Zebker 142 and Villasenor, 1992). State differently, volume scattering and arbitrary dislocation of scatterers in the vegetation canopy reduce the coherence. Even if dry fields exhibit lower 143 144 interferometric coherence than wet fields (Blaes and Defourny, 2003; Nesti et al., 1998), the 145 interferometric coherence is relatively insensitive to soil effects considering that surface roughness of crops does not change significantly between seeding and harvest. Wegmuller 146 147 and Werner (1997) found a strong correlation between the interferometric coherence and 148 the cover fraction opening perspectives for crop height and biomass estimation. Strong relationships have been established between interferometric coherence at C-band and crop 149 height for some agricultural crops (winter wheat, potato, sugar beet...) at the beginning of 150 151 the growing season (Blaes and Defourny, 2003; Engdahl et al., 2001). Likewise, the ratio 152 HH/VV was also shown to be related to aboveground biomass during the growing season, 153 especially at 40° of incidence (Mattia et al., 2003). Since this ratio was not available in the Sentinel-1 IW acquisition mode, Veloso et al. (2017) used the VH/VV backscattering ratio as a 154 155 solution that can reduce the vegetation-ground interaction effect as well as the errors associated to the acquisition. VH/VV appears more stable in time than VH or VV and more 156 sensitive to the wheat growth cycle. Furthermore, it was correlated to the fresh biomass and 157 158 able to discriminate cereals from non-cereals surface dominated crops (Mattia et al., 2015; 159 Veloso et al., 2017).

This study presents a new SSM retrieval approach based on Sentinel-1 data only to describe 160 the vegetation contribution in the WCM. The approach is developed on two monitored 161 irrigated wheat fields located in Morocco and evaluated on a large database covering 20 162 rainfed and irrigated plots in Morocco and Tunisia. The next section describes the satellite 163 164 and the *in situ* measurements, the backscattering models and the retrieval algorithm. Results are presented in section 3 including the experimental analysis of Sentinel-1 time 165 series, the forward modeling of the backscattering coefficient and the SSM retrieval. In 166 167 section 4, the main conclusions and perspectives are drawn.

169 **1. Experimental data and Methodology**

170 **1.1. Experimental data**

The SSM retrieval approaches were developed on three irrigated wheat plots located near Chichaoua city that were intensively monitored during two crop seasons. A database of soil moisture measurements on rainfed and irrigated wheat in Morocco and Tunisia described in section 1.1.3 was gathered to validate the approach.

175

1.1.1. Study area description

The study site is located in the province of Chichaoua at 65 Km west of Marrakech city in the 176 Haouz plain center of Morocco (Fig. 1). The region is characterized by a semi-arid 177 Mediterranean climate. The annual average precipitation amount is about 250 mm (Ait 178 Hssaine et al., 2018; Rafi et al., 2019). The monthly average of rainfall distribution measured 179 at Chichaoua shows the existence of two characteristic periods: a wet season from October 180 181 to April (85-89% of the annual amount) and a dry season from May to September (11-15%). The average maximum temperature occurs in July-August (27.2°C) while the minimum 182 $(10.8^{\circ}C)$ is in January. The reference evapotranspiration ET₀ is about 1600 mm (Jarlan et al., 183 2015). 184

Two fields, named Field 1 and Field 2 (Fig. 1), of about 1.5 ha each, were selected within a private farm named "Domaine Rafi" and monitored during two crop seasons from November 2016 to July 2018. Soil texture is mainly clay (37.5%) and sand (32.5%). Field 1 and Field 2 were seeded with winter wheat on November, 27, 2016 and November, 25, 2017 for 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 crop seasons, respectively. Harvest occurred on May, 16, 2017 and June, 08, 2018. The irrigation method used is the drip technique with a flow rate of 7.14 mm/hour for each dripper. Field 2 was irrigated according to FAO recommendations while

192 Field 1 suffered from a triggered stress for the need of another research study (Ait Hssaine et al., 2018; Rafi et al., 2019). After harvest, the fields were used as cattle grazing up to mid-193 July when the plowing works started. Please note that wheat growth on Field 2 during the 194 2017-2018 growing season was severely affected by very specific growing conditions: (1) 195 very invasive adventices with a strong horizontal development (cf picture S1 in the 196 197 supplementary material). The adventices species belong to the family of wild thistles that is not very widespread on wheat fields in the South Mediterranean area (Tanji, 2005). Some 198 199 part of the field were almost completely covered around vegetation peak; (2) Field 2 during the second year was more exposed to wind than Field 1 because the density of seeding was 200 much higher and because a mix of wheat and barley were seeded by mistake. Barley is 201 202 characterized by longer stems than wheat that grown up to 146 cm on April 2018 thanks to 203 irrigation. By contrast, the height of stems on Field 1 seeded with pure wheat, only reached 204 110 cm. Stems were almost completely laid down by the wind from April 12, 2018 (see 205 picture S2) on Field 2. Considering these very specific growing conditions of Field 2 during the 2nd year, a third field named Field 3 (Fig. 1), was also instrumented during 2018-2019 to 206 extent the validation database under normal growing conditions. It was seeded on 207 208 November 4, 2018 and harvested on June 06, 2019. Field 3 has the same soil as Field 1 and 2 209 and is also watered by drip irrigation.



Fig. 1. Location of the study sites: Field 1 (stressed), Field 2 (reference) and Field 3 drip-irrigated wheat crops near
Chichaoua city in a private farm ("Domaine Rafi"), west of Marrakech, Haouz plain, center of Morocco.

213 **1.1.2. Field data description**

Vegetation and soil measurements were collected according to the Sentinel-1 passageschedule. The days corresponding to precipitation events were discarded.

216 Soil measurements

210

Automatic measurements of SSM were acquired at a 30 min time step from Time Domain Reflectometry sensors (TDR Campbell Scientific CS616) buried at a depth of 5 cm. In order to get a measurement representative of the Sentinel-1 pixel, the soil moisture observations were taken as the average of two sensors data that were installed between and under the drippers. The gravimetric technique was used to calibrate the TDR measurements. Measurements were carried out, over Field 1 and Field 2, for five days in order to cover a wide range of soil moisture values (0.08 to 0.33 m³/m³). Three samples were collected per day and per field at the TDR installation depth using a 392.5 cm³ aluminum core. A linear regression was established between the volumetric water content and the square root of the TDR time response. The corresponding RMSEs (all the statistical metrics used in this study are described in the supplementary material) over Field 1 and Field 2 are 0.023 m³/m³ and 0.010 m³/m³, respectively. The same relationship was applied to Field 3 data.

Soil roughness corresponds to micro-topographic variations of the soil surface. It was 229 measured once a week during the first stages of wheat development until the canopy 230 prevented from making the measurement (corresponding to a canopy fraction cover of 231 232 about 0.8). A pin profiler of 1 m length with 2 cm between two successive needles was used. For Field 1 and Field 2, sixteen samples at different places were taken per field including 233 234 eight measurements made parallel to the row and eight perpendicular in order to take into 235 account the effect of the rows direction (Sieber et al., 1982). A picture of the pin profile was 236 taken for each sample and processed using an algorithm based on the normalization of the 237 needles height. For each roughness profile, two statistical parameters used as inputs of the backscattering soil models were derived from the correlation functions of the heights of the 238 surface profiles: the root mean square surface height (h_{rms}) and the correlation length (L) 239 (Nolin et al., 2005). For each date and each field, an average of h_{rms} and L is computed from 240 241 the 16 sample estimates. h_{rms} and L values ranged from 0.7 to 1.5 cm and 4.8 to 7.3 cm, respectively. A preliminary analysis of the time series of h_{rms} and L values show that the 242 243 values are quite similar for measurements parallel and perpendicular to the row apart from 244 the beginning of the season when rows are still clearly visible because of the sowing (not shown). This anisotropy disappeared quickly with irrigation and plant growth. The average of 245 h_{rms} and L for all the measurements in each direction are reported in Table 1. The values are 246

of the same order of magnitude for Field 2 while a slight difference is observed for Field 1.

248 For reasons of simplicity, the surface roughness is considered isotropic in this study.

249 Table 1

 h_{rms} and L average values for measurements parallel and perpendicular to the row over Field 1 and Field 2.

		Fie	ld 1		Field 2					
	Parallel		Perpendicular		Parallel		Perpendicular			
	h_{rms} (cm)	L (cm)								
Average	0.95 5.20		1.26	6.53	1.15	5.82	1.16	6.17		

²⁵¹

252 Wheat measurements

In the presence of vegetation canopy, the microwave backscattering is significantly related 253 254 to vegetation's parameters such as biomass, plant water content and geometry (Ferrazzoli et 255 al., 1992; Ulaby et al., 1986). Destructive above-ground biomass (AGB) measurements were 256 conducted about every 15 days. Plant sampling was conducted in eight quadrates (0.25m*0.25m) selected randomly in each field. The AGB is weighted first in the field 257 (hereafter named fresh above ground biomass or FAGB). The samples were then dried in an 258 259 oven at 105°C for 48 h. The weighted samples after drying are used to compute the AGB expressed in kg of dry matter by m². The difference between wet and dry samples is the 260 261 vegetation water content (VWC). The canopy fraction cover (Fc) and the leaf area index (LAI) were calculated by processing eleven hemispherical digital images per field, taken every 262 week at various representative points and by computing the average of individual pictures. 263 264 The canopy height was also measured by averaging eleven measurements per field.

265 Meteorological data

A complete automatic weather station installed over an alfalfa field near the study site provided continuous measurements of meteorological forcing, including air temperature and

rainfall. The data were collected half-hourly, the temperature values were averaged at a
daily time step and the precipitation measurements were summed up to obtain daily
amounts.

271

1.1.3. Validation database

272 SSM measurements using TDR and hand-held thetaprobe were carried out on different 273 wheat sites in Morocco and Tunisia, respectively. This database is used for the validation of the retrieval approach presented in this study. Data were collected from: i) one rainfed 274 wheat located about 40 km east of Marrakech near the city of Sidi Rahal (hereafter named 275 "Sidi Rahal") during two growing seasons (2016-2017 and 2017-2018; (Amazirh et al., 2018; 276 Ezzahar et al., 2020); ii) 18 plots located in the Kairouan plain in Tunisia during the 2016-277 278 2017 growing season (Bousbih et al., 2017). General information about all plots is provided 279 in Table 2. Over the Moroccan field, measurements are taken every 30 min thanks to the TDR probes while 20 thetaprobe samples are averaged per field for the Tunisian plots at the 280 time of the Sentinel-1 overpass (between 30 min before and 2h after for the ascending and 281 282 descending orbits, respectively). Please note that it is expected that the validation database covers a large variability of wheat crop conditions representative of the south 283 284 Mediterranean area. Indeed, it covers rainfed and irrigated fields with different technique 285 (sprinkler); owners are different, in particular for the 18 fields in Tunisia. Consequently, the agricultural practices differ depending on the experience of the farmer. "Domaine Rafi" 286 fields are well managed in terms of irrigation as the farmer follows the FAO-56 287 recommendation (Allen et al., 1998) while irrigated fields in Tunisia belong to different 288 smallholders farmers whose irrigation scheduling may be more empirical by lack of objective 289 scientific information. Likewise, nutrient inputs are used on irrigated fields of "Domaine 290

Rafi" while no inputs are applied to rainfed fields. The soil in Tunisia covered also a large variability of texture (Bousbih et al., 2019). For Morocco, the soil at "Domaine Rafi" is loamyclay (37.5% clay, 32.5% sand) while it is mainly clay (47.5% clay, 18.5% sand) on the rainfed field "Sidi Rahal". For the latter field, contrasted annual amount of rainfall are observed for the two crops season (204.2 mm from November to May in 2016-2017 versus 408.8 mm in 2017-2018). Finally, the range of SSM for all data extended from 4 to 50%.

297 Table 2

298 General information about the validation sites over Morocco and Tunisia.

		Crop's type	Number of plots	Irrigation system	$m{h}_{rms}$ (cm)	SSM (m³/m³)
	Sidi Rahal		1	Rainfed	0.95	0.04-0.39
	Kairouan	Winter wheat	6	Rainfed	0.7-1.5	0.05-0.5
			12	Sprinkler	0.7-1.5	0.05-0.5

- 299
- 300

1.1.4. Satellite data description

301

1.1.4.1. Sentinel-1 radar data

Sentinel-1 (S1) is an earth observation constellation with two satellites, S1A and S1B, that 302 303 were launched by the European Space Agency (ESA) in April 2014 and 2016, respectively. The two satellites carry a synthetic aperture radar, operating at C-band and provide a revisit 304 time of six days (Torres et al., 2012). The main operational imaging mode over land surfaces 305 306 is the Interferometric Wide-swath mode (IW) realizing acquisitions in VV and VH 307 polarizations with a 250 km wide swath, and high azimuth (20 m) and ground range (5 m) 308 resolutions (Mission and Services, 2012). Across the swath, the incidence angle ranges between 31° and 46°. Level 1 products can be directly downloaded from the Sentinel-1 Data 309 Hub website (<u>https://scihub.copernicus.eu/</u>). Two products types were used in this work: 310 GRDH (Ground Range Detected High resolution) for backscattering coefficient and SLC 311

- 312 (Single Look Complex) for computing interferometric coherence. Table 3 summarizes all the
- 313 images used within this study.

315 Characteristics of the sentinel-1 data on the calibration and validation sites.

Site	Season	Relative Orbit Number	Incidence angle	Relative Orbit	Overpass time	Product	Number of images
Field 1 & Field 2	October 2016 - July 2018	118 & 52	45,6° & 35,2°	Ascending & Descending	18:30 & 06:30	GRD SLC	207 219
Field 3	November 2018 - Mai 2019	118 & 52	45,6° & 35,2°	Ascending & Descending	18:30 & 06:30	GRD SLC	65 65
Sidi Rahal	November 2016-June 2017 &November 2017- June 2018	154	40°	Descending	06:28	GRD SLC	61 60
Kairouan	November 2016- April 2017	88 & 95	39,5°-40°	Ascending & Descending	17:20 & 05:21	GRD SLC	14 14

316

317 GRD products processing: the backscattering coefficient

GRD images product were processed using the Orfeo ToolBox (OTB) (CNES, 2018) at the 318 319 original 10 m resolution to obtain the backscattering coefficients σ^0 . Three processing steps 320 were applied: i) Thermal noise removal; ii) Calibration (Miranda et al., 2015); iii) Terrain correction (Small, 2011) using the DEM SRTM (Shuttle Radar Topography Mission) at 30 m 321 resolution according to the method described in Small and Schubert (2008). In order to 322 minimize speckle effects, a simple spatial average is performed at the field scale. For 323 instance, the σ^0 of Field 1 and Field 2 are average values computed from 120 and 121 pixels, 324 325 respectively. The standard deviation is also computed for each field and each acquisition time. Finally, the polarization ratio $\sigma_{VH}^0/\sigma_{VV}^0$ (PR) is computed. 326

327

328 SLC products processing: the interferometric coherence

³¹⁴ Table 3

Sentinel-1 Level 1 SLC products provide data in slant-range geometry and contain phase and amplitude information. The interferometric coherence was calculated from two consecutive acquisitions of the same orbit (*i.e.* 6 days with S1A and S1B) by cross multiplying pixel by pixel the first SAR image with the complex conjugate of the second (Bamler and Hartl, 1998; Touzi et al., 1999) for a given local neighborhood (in this study, 3*15 was used in order to get a square pixel).

The amplitude $|\rho|$, named coherence, ranges between 0 (incoherence) and 1 (perfect coherence). It characterizes the stability of the scatterers at the scale of the wavelength within the same cell. The coherence decreases when changes of scatterers geometrical properties (position, orientation, ...) occur. Consequently, it is expected to be low in the case of dense vegetation and strong on bare rocky soils. Over vegetation, the random dislocation of scatterers because of weather (wind and rain) or because of the plants growth is the main cause of the temporal decorrelation.

342

The Sentinel application platform SNAP was used to calculate the coherence in 5 steps: (1) The "Apply-Orbit-file" module for a better estimation of the position and speed of the satellite; (2) the two images were co-registered ("Back-geocoding") using the orbital data and the DEM SRTM; (3) The "Coherence" module was applied (4) the bursts (black band in the SLC products) were deleted by applying the "TOPSAR-Deburst" module; (5) finally, "Terrain-Correction" was used to project the images on the earth surface using the SRTM DEM.

350

1.1.4.2. Sentinel-2 optical data

351 ESA's optical Sentinel-2 satellites S2A and S2B were also launched on June 2015 and March 2017, respectively. Sentinel-2 provides images every 5 days for 13 spectral bands at a 352 resolution ranging from 10 to 60 m according to the spectral band. Level 2A images were 353 processed and provided by the National Centre for Space Studies (CNES) through the PEPS 354 platform free of charge within the frame of THEIA (https://theia.cnes.fr/). Data were 355 356 corrected from atmospheric effects using the MAJA processor designed at CESBIO (Hagolle et al., 2015). 10 of the 17 images (S2A) were cloud-free for the first agricultural season 357 358 (November 2016 to May 2017) while 25 products were retained among 33 available for the second season (November 2017 to June 2018) on the two fields (Field 1 and Field 2) of 359 "Domaine Rafi". The NDVI was computed from individual bands 4 and 8 and averaged over 360 each field. Standard deviation of NDVI for each field and each date is also computed. 361

362 **1.2. Backscatter modeling**

In order to deepen our understanding of the signal, and for the SSM retrieval, a modeling 363 364 approach was implemented. Semi-empirical and empirical approaches were preferred here to complex physically based models such as Karam et al. (Karam et al., 1992) or MIMICS 365 (Ulaby et al., 1990) as their use within an inversion scheme is still difficult because of their 366 complexity and their large number of input parameters. Within this study, the simple semi-367 empirical water cloud model (Attema and Ulaby, 1978) representing the vegetation canopy 368 as a cloud of identical water droplets for vegetation backscattering coupled to the empirical 369 370 Oh et al. (1992) model for the underlying soil was chosen. A short description of the models is provided in the appendix 1. The coupled models hereafter called WCM links the 371 372 backscattering coefficient to the two empirical parameters A_{pq} and B_{pq} (Equ. A8 and A9)

and a vegetation descriptor for the WCM and the standard deviation of the surface height h_{rms} and the SSM for Oh et al.

1.3. Surface soil moisture retrieval

Several approaches based on the inversion of the water cloud model for SSM retrieval have 376 377 been already proposed using different vegetation descriptor: VWC (Attema and Ulaby, 1978; Bindlish and Barros, 2001; Wang et al., 2018), AGB (Hosseini and McNairn, 2017), LAI (Bai et 378 al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2014; Prevot et al., 1993) or NDVI (Baghdadi et al., 2017; Bousbih et 379 al., 2017; El Hajj et al., 2016). In this study, a new approach is proposed based on the 380 interferometric coherence that has already been shown to be tightly related to vegetation 381 characteristic (Blaes and Defourny, 2003; Engdahl et al., 2001; Wegmuller and Werner, 382 383 1997).

As a preliminary step, a relation was first sought between Sentinel-1 variables and in situ 384 measurements of vegetation characteristics (VWC and AGB). The WCM was calibrated on 385 the two irrigated wheat fields (cf. section 2.2) of "Domaine Rafi" (Field 1 and Field 2) using 386 387 the measured vegetation characteristics, soil roughness and SSM. The calibration was repeated twice using AGB and VWC. Then, for the retrieval of SSM, the relationships 388 between interferometric coherence and vegetation characteristics were used as input of the 389 calibrated WCM providing with two different inversion approaches called WCM $_{o-AGB}$ and 390 $WCM_{\rho-VWC}$. SSM time series were retrieved by minimizing the distance between observed 391 and predicted backscattering coefficient for each Sentinel-1 acquisition. VV and VH 392 polarizations were tested. The minimization was carried out using a simple "brute-force" 393 approach (Jarlan et al., 2002) consisting in exploring all SSM values from 0 to 0.5 m³/m³ with 394 395 a step of 0.0005 m³/m³. SSM time series retrieval is repeated for different soil roughness.

396 After soughing and until the next soil work occurring after harvest, it is assumed that the soil roughness of wheat crops doesn't change. The measurements carried out on the two 397 irrigated wheat fields show that the variation of the h_{rms} parameter around its average 398 399 value reached 17% for Field 1 in 2016-2017 but remained below 10% for Field 2 and the other seasons. In addition, it is also assumed that h_{rms} variability from one field to another is 400 401 small considering also the period from sowing to harvest. Within this context, a constant h_{rms} is sought for the whole crop season with h_{rms} values varying from 0.7 cm to 1.5 cm 402 with a step of 0.05 cm. The range of h_{rms} has been determined from the *in situ* database and 403 from a literature review (Balenzano et al., 2011; Bousbih et al., 2017; Mattia et al., 2003; 404 405 Picard et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2018; Zribi et al., 2011). The average of all the retrieved SSM time series is then kept as the solution to the inverse problem. Please note that a constant 406 407 value of 1 cm was first used. Keeping the average of SSM time series corresponding to different values of soil roughness provided with slightly lower biases (not shown) than with 408 the unique h_{rms} value of 1 cm. 409

410 As a significant additional processing and storage are needed to derive Sentinel-1 coherence, the added-value of using the interferometric coherence is evaluated by comparison to the 411 same approach but based on the PR as it is also well known to be related to vegetation 412 413 development (Greifeneder et al., 2018; Paloscia et al., 2013; Veloso et al., 2017). The two 414 approaches based on the relationships between PR and vegetation characteristics are named WCM_{PR-AGB} and WCM_{PR-VWC}. In these configurations, SSM over wheat crops could 415 be retrieved based on Sentinel-1 data only: the backscattering coefficient and the 416 417 interferometric coherence or the PR. Finally, three more classical methods for SSM retrieval composed of two empirical approaches and one based on WCM are also implemented for 418 419 comparison purposes :

Empirical approaches: (1) A linear relationship between the backscattering 420 coefficient (at VV, σ_{VV}^0 , or VH, σ_{VH}^0 polarization) and SSM (Holah et al., 2005; Le 421 Hégarat-Mascle et al., 2002; Le Morvan et al., 2008; Ulaby et al., 1982); (2) A 422 linear relationship between the relative difference of backscattering coefficient 423 between two successive acquisitions ($\Delta \sigma^0$) of the same orbit and the 424 425 corresponding difference of SSM (ΔSSM) as proposed by Balenzano et al. (2011). It has been proposed as an alternative method less sensitive to change of soil 426 roughness that may occurs during the season. Please note that for the latter 427 method, the SSM at the start of the crop season SSM_0 must be obtained as only 428 relative changes of SSM between successive acquisitions are retrieved. In this 429 study, as the method is only implemented for comparison purpose, SSM_0 is taken 430 431 from the *in situ* measurements. The retrieval of SSM_0 when no measurements are available may increase the uncertainties of the SSM retrieval. 432

WCM based approach: The NDVI is used directly as the vegetation descriptor as in
numerous previous works (Baghdadi et al., 2017; Bousbih et al., 2017; El Hajj et
al., 2016) and the method is named WCM_{NDVI}.

The inputs, outputs and the parameters to be calibrated prior to the inversion process for each method are provided at Table 4. The number of algorithmic parameters to be calibrated differs significantly and ranges from 2 (σ^0 , $\Delta \sigma^0$ and WCM_{NDVI}) to 5 (approaches based on the WCM and radar observations). This means that the methods based on the PR or the interferometric coherence require additional *in situ* measurements of the vegetation characteristics by contrast with the other approaches relying on SSM data only.

For the seven methods, the data of the first season (2016-2017) over both Field 1 and Field 442 2 were used for calibration meaning that, for the empirical approaches, a linear relationship 443 between σ^0 (or $\Delta\sigma^0$) and SSM (or ΔSSM) is sought for the first year of data. For the WCM 444 based methods, the first year of data is used to calibrate: (1) the A_{pq} and B_{pq} coefficients of 445 the WCM model by minimizing the RMSE between the predicted and the observed 446 backscattering coefficient; (2) the empirical parameters of the relationships between 447 coherence or PR and the vegetation characteristics. A_{pq} and B_{pq} parameters were estimated 448 separately for each radar polarization following Baghdadi et al. (2017) and Hosseini and 449 McNairn (2017). The second year (2017-2018) on Field 1 and 2, field 3 data and the 450 validation database are used for validation. Please note that it was decided to keep the data 451 from the 2nd season of Field 2 for the method evaluation when wheat faces very adverse 452 growing conditions as already described. 453

454 Table 4

455	Input, output and	l number of	^c parameters to l	be calibrated f	or each methods.
-----	-------------------	-------------	------------------------------	-----------------	------------------

Method Inputs		Outputs	Calibrated parameters
σ ⁰	σ	SSM	a and b / σ^0 =f(SSM)
Δσ ^o	σ	ΔSSM	a and b / $\Delta\sigma^0$ =f (Δ SSM)
WCM _{NDVI}	σ⁰, NDVI	SSM	A and B / WCM
WCM _{PR-AGB}	σ⁰, PR	SSM	A and B / WCM a, b and c / AGB=f (PR)
WCM _{PR-VWC}	σ ⁰ , PR	SSM	A and B / WCM a, b and c / VWC=f (PR)
WCM _{p-AGB}	σº , ρ	SSM	A and B / WCM a, b and c / AGB=f (ρ)
WCM _{p-VWC}	σ ⁰, ρ	SSM	A and B / WCM

a, b and c / VWC=f ()
----------------------	----------

457 **2. Results and discussions**

The first section is dedicated to the Sentinel-1 products interpretation based on the field measurements and knowledge database. The second section is devoted to the WCM calibration and to the direct predictions of the backscattering coefficient. Finally, the third section deals with the SSM inversion.

- 462 **2.1.** Analysis of experimental data
- 463

456

2.1.1. Time series interpretation

Fig. 2 displays the time series of the radar responses for orbit 52 at 35.2° incidence angle, the 464 vegetation index from Sentinel-2 and in situ measurements for interpretation purposes 465 during crop seasons 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 on Field 1. The timing of the drastic drop of 466 VWC at the end of the season is superimposed on the time series of the interferometric 467 coherence and of the backscattering coefficients for interpretation purpose (vertical blue 468 469 line). The Fig. 3 displays the same information as Fig. 2 but for orbit 118 at 45.6° of incidence angle for Field 2. The same figures but at 35.2° for Field 2 and at 45.6° for Field 1 are 470 provided as supplementary materials (Fig. S3 and S4). 471

472 Vegetation descriptors

473 Cross correlations of AGB, FAGB and VWC before and after vegetation peak are provided at
474 Table T1 (supplementary material). NDVI and LAI exhibit a close seasonal course (Fig. 2 and
475 3) with almost similar peak timing. Likewise, FAGB and VWC are in-line (correlation
476 coefficients before or after peak are always above 0.97; Table T1) but with maximum values
477 reached around 50 days later than NDVI and LAI. By contrast, AGB (corresponding to green

478 and dead vegetation tissues expressed in kg dry matter/m²) is highly correlated with VWC during the first part of the season (correlation coefficients of 0.73 and 0.81 for Field 1 and 2, 479 respectively) but the correlation coefficients drastically drop after the vegetation peak (Table 480 T1) when stems and leaves start to dry. Total VWC being dominated by stems and leaves, it 481 drops earlier than AGB. For Field 1 in 2016-2017, high air temperature values in March lead 482 483 plants to stop the leaves development early and to start the grain filling stage. Relatively low LAI values were observed at the end of March while FAGB and AGB continue to increase 484 485 afterwards and until mid-April. In terms of AGB amount, systematic higher values for Field 2 are observed because of the triggered water stress on Field 1 through sub-optimal irrigation 486 planning. Finally, observed differences of biomass between the two seasons are related to 487 differences in the density of seeds and to more wetter conditions for season 2017-2018. 488

489 Backscattering coefficients

Backscattering coefficients exhibit a clear seasonal signal. An increase is observed on σ_{VV}^0 490 from beginning of October until January. At this time, the signal is dominated by the quick 491 change of soil moisture while wheat fraction cover is still low. Afterwards, the backscattering 492 coefficient decreases with the attenuation of the soil contribution by the canopy while 493 wheat develops. A minimum backscatter is attained around the heading stage and the signal 494 increases again afterwards. Although this second increase is almost nonexistent for Field 1 495 at 35.2° of incidence angle (Fig. 2), it is clear for the other plots (Fig. 3, S3 and S4) and it 496 appears stronger for σ_{VH}^0 than for σ_{VV}^0 and at 45.6° than at 35.2°. Please note that the long 497 plateau lasting until harvest during the second season on Field 2 is a typical behavior of a 498 crop developing a random canopy structure, which in turn produces a significant volume 499 500 scattering contribution. This is because of the specific growing conditions as already 501 highlighted. Under normal growing conditions, several authors have attributed the second increase to the appearance of the heads creating a wet layer at the top of the canopy (Ulaby 502 503 and Bush, 1976; Mattia et al., 2003; Ulaby et al., 1986) specifically for incidence angle above 40° (Brown et al., 2003). Indeed, as most of the vegetation tissues are still wet at this time, 504 the increase of backscatter cannot be attributed to an increase of the soil contribution in 505 506 response to vegetation drying as the drastic drop of VWC occurred later in the season (see blue vertical lines in figures 2, 3, S1 and S2). The second cycle from the heading stage 507 appeared also stronger on σ_{VH}^0 because volume diffusion process attributed to the head 508 layer triggers depolarization at this time (Picard et al., 2003; Balenzano et al., 2011). In 509 addition, maximum σ_{VH}^0 values are more related to AGB than σ_{VV}^0 showing the higher 510 sensitivity of cross polarization to vegetation elements. For instance, σ_{VH}^0 values at peak are 511 512 higher on Field 2 than on Field 1 because of the triggered water stress that limited crop development on Field 1 (see σ_{VH}^0 time series at 45.6° on both fields during the first season as 513 Field 2 during the 2nd season faced very adverse growing conditions). The final decrease lasts 514 until harvest with the drying of all the vegetation elements and of the soil. The drastic 515 increase noticed in VV and VH responses after July 11 (2016-2017 season) was concomitant 516 517 with a tilling work (vertical black lines). Likewise, the signal decreased on November 22 in 518 relation to the drop of surface roughness associated to soil preparation for seeding.



519

Fig. 2. Time series of PR, σ_{VH}^0 , σ_{VH}^0 , ρ_{VV} and ρ_{VH} at 35.2° of incidence angle over the Field 1 and during two agricultural seasons 2016-2017 and 2017-2018. Optical NDVI, measured LAI, measured VWC, FAGB and AGB are plotted in the first subplot. Mean values are represented by solid lines and standard deviations by the filled areas surrounding the curves. Rainfall, irrigation and SSM are displayed in the last subplot. Wheat phenological stages and ground working events are superimposed. The drop of VWC at the end of the season is reported as a blue vertical line on the time series of the polarization ratio and of the backscattering coefficients.

526 Polarization ratio

The PR appears to be more stable than the backscattering coefficient for both polarizations VV and VH. The ratio follows the evolution of FAGB. It begins to increase with the increasing of biomass from the emergence until the heading, and then, both of them start to decrease until harvest. For example, in Field 1 (first season), PR increases by about 9 dB from emergence to heading, and peaks at the same time as biomass around mid-April. This behavior confirms the results of previous studies (Veloso et al., 2017) and foster the use ofthis ratio for crop monitoring.

534 Interferometric coherence

VV and VH coherence exhibit similar seasonal cycle. High values of coherence (around 0.9 for 535 VV polarization) are observed during the summer month until sowing. These high values 536 express a dominance of coherent scattering from stable bare soils. Coherence drastically 537 drops to about 0.15 in autumn at the time of tilling work carried out before sowing. It then 538 gradually recovers high values (about 0.7) until emergence when it starts a slow decrease 539 540 until about the extension of the stems to become almost constant (corresponding to the 541 noise level) afterwards. As for the backscattering coefficient, this average seasonal course is disturbed by agricultural actions. The observed peak between sowing and emergence may 542 543 be related to the installation of irrigation drippers that took place about two weeks after sowing on both fields. Between the harvest and the first tilling on July 11th 2017, the plots 544 are used for cattles grazing which can explain the observed changes of coherence. The 545 abrupt decrease noted on July 11th 2017 is owing to deep ploughing. Soil works and farming 546 activities have already been found to induce a large decrease in coherence (Wegmuller and 547 548 Werner, 1997). After this date, the VV coherence ρ_{VV} reaches its highest value of about 0.9 until the sowing of the second season. Although some authors (De Zan et al., 2014; Morrison 549 550 et al., 2011; Scott et al., 2017) demonstrated a sensitivity of coherence to soil moisture, a 551 visual inspection of the time series of coherence and soil moisture (Fig. S5 in the 552 supplementary material) does not highlight any strong linkage between both variables. In 553 addition, the correlation coefficients r computed between the interferometric coherence and either soil moisture or soil moisture change between the dates used to compute the 554

555 coherence remains below 0.20 at the seasonal scale (Table T2 in the supplementary 556 material).



557

Fig. 3. Time series of PR, σ_{VV}^0 , σ_{VH}^0 , ρ_{VV} and ρ_{VH} at 45.6° of incidence angle over the Field 2 and during two agricultural seasons 2016-2017 and 2017-2018. Optical NDVI, measured LAI, measured VWC, FAGB and AGB are plotted in the first subplot. Mean values are represented by solid lines and standard deviations by the filled areas surrounding the curves. Rainfall, irrigation and SSM are displayed in the last subplot. Wheat phenological stages and ground working events are superimposed. The drop of VWC at the end of the season is reported as a blue vertical line on the time series of the polarization ratio and of the backscattering coefficients.

564

565 **2.1.2. Backscattering coefficients and surface soil moisture**

Fig. 4 displays the relationship between the backscattering coefficient and the SSM for VV 566 and VH polarization at 45.6° (a and b) and 35.2° (e and f) of incidence angle, and between 567 the difference of backscattering between two successive acquisitions and the corresponding 568 difference of SSM (c, d, g and h). All data are represented (two fields and two seasons). Black 569 points correspond to LAI up to 1.5 m²/m² while data corresponding to LAI value above this 570 571 threshold are plotted in grey. The 1.5 m²/m² of LAI correspond on average to AGB≅0.14 kg/m² and to VWC≅1.00 kg/m². The statistical metrics and the equation of the superimposed 572 linear fit are given for the whole season. Statistical metrics for LAI<1.5 and for LAI>1.5 are 573 also reported in Table T3 of the supplementary material. 574



Angle of incidence 45.6° and 35.2°, two fields and two seasons

575

576 Fig. 4. Relationship between: (a, b) and (e, f) σ_{VV}^0 and σ_{VH}^0 and SSM, (c, d) and (g, h) $\Delta \sigma_{VV}^0$ and $\Delta \sigma_{VH}^0$ and ΔSSM 577 at 45.6° and 35.2°. Data are shown separately for LAI<1.5 (black points) and LAI>1.5 (grey points). Statistical 578 metrics are provided for the whole season. Correlations significant at 99% level are marked with a star '*'.

579 When data acquired over the entire season are considered, the relationships are obviously

scattered (correlation coefficients r below 0.42; see Table T3). This is because the soil

581 contribution to the signal is progressively attenuated by the canopy when wheat grows. This results in a progressive decrease of the slope of the relationship between the backscattering 582 coefficient (the backscattering difference between two acquisitions) and SSM (SSM 583 differences) to reach almost no sensitivity to SSM change. Backscattering coefficients are on 584 average better correlated with SSM at 35.2° than at 45.6° because of a stronger contribution 585 586 of the soil at lower incidence angle. As expected also, VV polarization is more tightly related to SSM than VH at 45.6° as the contribution of the canopy is higher than at 35.2°. Slightly 587 lower statistical metrics are obtained for the $\Delta \sigma^0 / \Delta SSM$ relationships than for the direct 588 relationships between σ^0 and SSM, in particular considering VV polarization at 35.2° (r=0.29 589 vs r=0.41) but the correlation coefficient is also significant at the 99% level at 45.6° (r=0.37) 590 for VV polarization. Please note that this analysis may be biased because of the very specific 591 growing conditions of Field 2 during the 2nd season including a strong horizontal extension of 592 the adventices that may alter the relationship. 593

594 When wheat is not fully covering the soil (LAI<1.5 m^2/m^2), much better statistics are 595 observed. In this case, significant correlations at the 99% level are obtained for all configurations (Table T3). Quite similar relationships σ^0 /SSM are observed at 35.2° for VV 596 and VH with r=0.76 and r=0.82, respectively while much significant differences in favor of VV 597 are obtained at 45.6° as the vegetation contribution increases with the incidence angle. 598 Likewise, at 35.2°, the slopes of the relations are similar ((17.4 and 16.7 dB/[m³/m³] for VH 599 600 and VV respectively), while at 45.6°, higher sensitivity is observed for VV (21.5 dB/[m³/m³] 601 compared to 12.7 dB/[m³/m³] for VH). For the $\Delta\sigma^0/\Delta$ SSM, the best fitting is obtained for VV with r=0.70 and r=0.63 at 35.2° and 45.6°, respectively while the difference of metrics 602 between VV and VH are more important with regards to σ^0/SSM . As expected, higher slopes 603

are observed at VV for both incidence angles with values of 14.1 dB/ $[m^3/m^3]$ and 24.4 dB/ $[m^3/m^3]$ at 35.2° and 45.6°, respectively.

These observations confirm the well known results: a higher sensitivity of the radar signal to soil contribution (and consequently soil moisture) for low incidence angles and VV polarization.

609

2.1.3. Polarization ratio, interferometric coherence and vegetation

610

descriptors

611 In this section, the relationships between the interferometric coherence and PR, that both 612 have been shown to be related to vegetation development, and AGB and VWC are analyzed. As NDVI can be obtained with similar spatio-temporal resolutions from Sentinel-2, the same 613 relations are investigated with NDVI. Fig. 5 illustrates these relationships using the data of 614 both fields and seasons, at 35.2° incidence angle. The points in black corresponds to the data 615 616 acquired before AGB (or VWC) peak while data after the peak are plotted in grey. The same 617 figure but with data acquired at 45.6° is provided as supplementary material (Fig. S6). Please 618 note that an exponential fit is used for interferometric coherence while a polynomial fit is chosen between PR and VWC because both exhibit a "bell" shape. 619

The relationships between NDVI and AGB (Fig. 5a) and VWC (Fig. 5b) exhibit a strong dispersion and an hysteresis effect considering separately data acquired before and after the peak. While NDVI is mainly sensitive to the green leaf area index (GLAI), the relation between GLAI and VWC or AGB is not linear and depends on the plant structure. The hysteresis effect observed for the relation with AGB is simply related to the leaf senescence leading to a drastic drop of GLAI after the peak while AGB remain high. The relation saturates for low values of AGB (~ 0.3 kg/m²). By contrast, the relationships are much better

627 when the polarization ratio and the interferometric coherence are considered. Considering the spearman rank correlation, the best relationships are obtained with VWC for both 628 interferometric coherence and PR. For the PR, the relationships are good with both AGB and 629 VWC on the entire crop cycle at 35.2 with higher saturation values than with NDVI (AGB ~ 0.5 630 kg/m²). Unfortunately, the metrics seems not stable when the incidence angle is changing as 631 632 poorer results are obtained at 45.6° (Fig. S6). Finally, good relationships are also obtained between interferometric coherence and AGB and VWC for both incidence angles with even 633 higher saturation value (around 0.9 kg /m² for the relationship between $\rho_{_{UU}}$ and AGB at 634 35.2°). Our assumption is that coherence is less sensitive to the geometry of the canopy than 635 the PR. Regarding the results above, the relationships between interferometric coherence at 636 VV polarization and the PR on one hand and, on the other hand, AGB and VWC are potential 637 candidates for the retrieval of SSM. 638



Angle of incidence 35.2°

Fig. 5. Relationship between (a,b) NDVI and AGB and VWC; (c,d) PR and AGB and VWC; (e,f) ρ_{VV} and AGB and VWC; (g,h) ρ_{VH} and AGB and VWC at 35.2°. Black point corresponds to data acquired before the AGB (or VWC) peak while grey is for data after the peak. R² and Rs significant at the 99% are followed by a star (*).

643 **2.2.** Simulation results

Fig. 6 displays the time series of predicted and observed backscattering coefficients on both 644 fields at 35.2° together with contributions from the soil attenuated by the canopy and from 645 646 the canopy when using AGB as the vegetation descriptor. Fig. 7 is the same as Fig. 6 but at 45.6°. Table 5 summarizes the corresponding statistical metrics for calibration/ validation of 647 648 the WCM. Several vegetation descriptors were tested among which LAI, Fc, NDVI, AGB and 649 VWC. Fig. S7 and S8 in the supplementary material are the same as Fig.6 but using NDVI and VWC. By considering AGB (Fig. 6), the seasonal course of the backscattering coefficient is 650 651 well reproduced for both incidences and both polarizations. The relative variations between the configurations (higher σ_{VV}^0 than σ_{VH}^0 and higher σ^0 at 35.2° than σ^0 at 45.6° for both 652 polarizations) are also well reproduced demonstrating the proper calibration of the model. 653 Obviously, the soil contribution that dominates the scattering mechanism during the first 654 half of the season is progressively attenuated with the canopy development. As expected, 655 656 (Fontanelli et al., 2013; Macelloni et al., 2001; Paloscia et al., 2014; Picard et al., 2003), soil attenuation is stronger for VV than for VH in response to the vertical stem extension. In 657 March, when both soil and vegetation contributes, the agreement between observations 658 and prediction deteriorates with regards to the rest of the cycle (RMSE=2.62 dB in March 659 2018 and 1.66 dB for the whole season for Field 1 at VV polarization and 35.2°). 660

During the second cycle, the agreement is also good even if a bias can be observed (up to 2.84 dB for Field 1 at VV polarization and 35.2°). For Field 2 during 2017-2018, the bias is to be attributed to the adverse growing conditions already highlighted. By contrast, the use of VWC and, to a lesser extent NDVI as vegetation descriptor (Fig. S7 and S8), suffer from several limitations during the second part of the season: (1) the observed decrease of the

666 backscattering coefficient from seeding to heading is poorly reproduced; (2) there is no second cycle and (3) the drop of backscattering coefficient at the end of the season occurred 667 around 18 days earlier than observations. This is particularly prominent for VH polarization. 668 As demonstrated by Picard et al. (2003) and Mattia et al. (2003), the backscattering 669 mechanisms after heading is dominated by the volume scattering within the head layer 670 671 partially shielding the stem and leaves below. Ulaby et al. (1986) suggested that an additional term needs to be added to the traditional three terms model (volume scattering 672 from vegetation, soil attenuated and interaction soil-vegetation) to properly represent 673 wheat backscattering after heading. This may explain why, for a simple model like the water 674 cloud unable to separate between the contribution from the leaves, stems and heads, the 675 676 use of AGB provided with the best predictions of the seasonal course of the backscattering, including the second increase occurring from the heading stage and the drop of σ^0 at the 677 end of the season. Nevertheless, further investigations are needed to support this 678 assumption by using a multi-layer radiative transfer model. 679

680 Table 5

681 Statistical metrics of the WCM calibration and validation (see text) at 45.6° and 35.2° of incidence angle and for

682 VV and VH polarizations when using AGB as vegetation descriptor.

	Calibration					Validation									
	Field 1 & 2					Fiel	ld 1			Field	d 2				
	45,6°		35,	35,2°		45,6°		35,2°		45,6°		35,2°			
	VV	VH	VV	VH	VV	VH	VV	VH	VV	VH	VV	VH			
r	0.73	0.47	0.76	0.24	0.72	0.46	0.73	0.61	0.56	0.52	0.68	0.43			
RMSE	1.54	1.66	1.44	1.92	1.5	1.89	1.66	1.74	2.02	2.52	1.58	1.98			
Bias	Ca 45,6° VV V 0.73 0.4 1.54 1.6 -0.17 0.7	0.25	-0.31	0.27	-0.39	0.02	-0.03	0.76	-0.03	0.54	0.08	0.32			



684

Fig. 6. Time series of the different contributions simulated using the WCM and AGB as vegetation descriptor

fitting the observations at VV and VH polarizations over Field 1 and Field 2 and for both seasons (2016-2017 and
2017-2018) at 35.2° of incidence angle.



Fig. 7. Time series of the different contributions simulated using the WCM and AGB as vegetation descriptor
 fitting the observations at VV and VH polarizations over Field 1 and Field 2 and for both seasons (2016-2017 and
 2017-2018) at 45.6° of incidence angle.

692 **2.3.** Surface soil moisture retrieval

The focus of this section is on the retrieval of the SSM on wheat crops during the whole growing season using Sentinel-1 information only. The results of the two proposed approaches, based on the interferometric coherence and on the polarization ratio, are compared to three extensively used methods and discussed. The good relationship obtained between either the coherence ρ_{VV} or PR, and AGB or VWC, are used (Fig. 5e). Table 6 summarizes the statistical metrics for the whole crop season.

- 699 Table 6
- 700 Statistical metrics of the surface soil moisture estimation using the seven methods (see text) for the calibration
- 701 sites. Bold correlation coefficients are significant at the 99% level.

		Field 1			Field 2				Field 3				
		45	.6°	35	.2°	45	.6°	35	.2°	45	.6°	35	.2°
		VV	VH	VV	VH	VV	VH	VV	VH	f	VH	VV	VH
	r	0.6	0.34	0.82	0.73	0.01	0.13	0.29	0.3	0.71	0.4	0.8	0.21
WCM. ACD	RMSE	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.08
μομρ-AGB	Bias	-0.03	-0.06	0	-0.03	0	-0.02	0.02	-0.03	0.03	-0.07	0.01	0.06
	slope	0.85	0.27	0.93	0.61	0.02	-0.17	0.3	0.28	0.69	0.59	1.05	0.19
	r	0.62	0.4	0.59	0.58	0.18	0.31	0.1	-0.1	0.66	0.47	0.69	0.5
WCM www.	RMSE	0.08	0.1	0.08	0.08	0.16	0.18	0.11	0.14	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.08
ν στη ρ-νως	Bias	0.02	-0.01	-0.02	-0.03	0.01	0.03	-0.01	0.03	0.05	-0.07	0.02	-0.07
	Slope	0.91	0.58	0.83	0.72	0.39	-0.61	0.16	-0.33	0.72	0.73	0.9	0.51
	r	0.26	0.39	0.61	0.61	0.04	-0.42	0.01	0.18	0.76	0.37	0.68	0.47
WCM	RMSE	0.22	0.08	0.12	0.07	0.23	0.19	0.2	0.14	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.08
W C M PR-AGB	Bias	-0.15	-0.04	0.02	-0.03	-0.16	-0.03	-0.01	0	0.04	0.05	0.05	-0.05
	Slope	0.57	0.35	1.3	0.71	0.13	-0.73	0.02	-0.23	0.8	0.57	1.09	0.57
	r	0,55	0,32	0,67	0,56	-0.19	-0.38	0.06	-0.15	0,61	0,47	0,68	0,59
	RMSE	0,09	0,12	0,06	0,1	0.17	0.21	0.11	0.18	0,08	0,08	0,06	0,09
W GMPR-VWC	Bias	0,02	0,01	-0,01	0	0.01	-0.03	0	0	0,06	0	0,02	-0,02
	Slope	0,88	0,56	0,7	0,9	-0.46	-1.1	0.10	-0.39	0,76	1,04	0,95	1,18
	r	0.57	0.16	0.65	0.53	-0.2	-0.45	0.21	0.29	0.57	0.35	0.7	0.53
WCMNDW	RMSE	0.09	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.2	0.23	0.12	0.18	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.1
W CHINDVI	Bias	0.01	-0.03	-0.01	-0.04	0.01	0	-0.01	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.03	-0.06
	slope	0.89	0.26	0.89	0.68	0.51	-1.15	0.37	-0.63	0.62	0.6	0.8	0.64
	r	0.63	0.28	0.67	0.66	-0.11	0.3	0	0.03	0.6	0.23	0.61	0.42
σ ⁰	RMSE	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08
U	Bias	-0.04	-0.02	-0.04	-0.04	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.01	-0.07	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06
	slope	0.11	-0.01	0.19	0.25	-0.02	0.01	0	0.01	0.12	-0.01	0.25	0.19
	r	0.29	0.21	0.38	0.35	0.43	0.09	0.21	0.42	0.71	0.62	0.65	0.15
∧ − ⁰	RMSE	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06
Δ0 ⁻	Bias	0	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.04
	Slope	0.08	0.02	0.13	0.17	0.11	0.01	0.06	0.17	0.31	0.24	0.15	0.02

702

All the methods are able to retrieve SSM reasonably well at 35.2° using VV backscattering coefficients on Field 1 and Field 3 with r above 0.60 apart for the empirical approach based on $\Delta\sigma^0$ in Field 1 (r=0.38 at 35°2 and VV polarization). By contrast, SSM for most of the methods and, in particular for those based on the WCM, performed poorly on Field 2. For 707 information, for the WCM based approaches, the retrieval on Field 2 has also been tested by 708 calibrating the WCM on the second season or by using the calibrated coefficient of Field 1. In 709 both cases, poor results were obtained. This is attributed to very specific growing conditions that severely affected the wheat development as already discussed (see pictures Fig. S1 and 710 Fig.S2 of the supplementary materials). Indeed, if data from the beginning of the season (LAI 711 712 $< 1.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^2$) only are considered, results are similar to those obtained on Field 1 at both 45.6° and 35.2° meaning that the main issue is related to the development of the vegetation 713 714 in this field. This illustrates that the performance of the model based approaches could drop drastically when growth conditions diverge significantly, in terms of vegetation structure in 715 716 particular, from the conditions on which the model was calibrated. Interestingly enough, the 717 empirical technique based on $\Delta \sigma^0$ performed very well on Field 3 (r=0.71) and to a lesser extent on Field 2 (r=0.43). It is the best from all the evaluated methods on Field 2. In 718 addition, it provided with the lowest RMSE (RMSE < $0.05 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3$) on Field 1 & 2 but 0.07 719 720 m³/m³ on Field 3. The best results are obtained on Field 1 by the new WCM based approach 721 using the relation between the interferometric coherence and AGB (r=0.82, RMSE=0.05 m³/m³ and no bias for VV at 35.2°). For illustration purpose, Fig. 8 displays the scatter plots 722 723 between the observed and retrieved SSM using the latter method from sowing to harvest at 724 35.2°: (a) and (b) are for Field 1 at VV and VH, respectively; (c) and (d) are for Field 2 and (e) 725 and (f) for Field 3. Metrics are obviously worse at 45.6° because of a stronger contribution of the canopy and for VH polarization for all considered methods. 726

Angle of incidence 35.2°





Fig. 8. Retrieved SSM versus in situ measurements at VV and VH polarizations at 35.2° of incidence angle for the calibration sites: (a,b) Field 1, (c,d) Field 2 and (e,f) Field 3. Bold correlation coefficients are significant at the 99% level.

731

In order to evaluate the genericity of all methods, they have been implemented on the validation database using the calibration carried out on Field 1 and Field 2 during the first crop season. Table 7 displays the statistical metrics for the seven methods on the validation

database mixing rainfed and irrigated fields. All methods performed reasonably well at VV 735 polarization including the simplest approaches based on σ^0 and $\Delta\sigma^0$ with correlation 736 coefficients always significant at the 99% level apart from the $\Delta\sigma^0$ method on the "Sidi 737 Rahal" site (rainfed). Results are slightly worse but remain close to the ones obtained on the 738 site where the methods were calibrated for the three other approaches (WCM_{NDVI} , σ^0 and 739 740 $\Delta \sigma^{0}$). In addition, the lower correlation on "Sidi Rahal" and on the Tunisian plots could also be due to the slightly higher incidence angle of the Sentinel-1 data acquired on these two 741 last site (40° versus 35.2° for the sites where the method has been calibrated). By contrast, 742 overall performances are worse for the empirical approaches but they appear more stable 743 from one site to another, in particular for the σ^0 based method as demonstrated by the small 744 changes of correlation coefficient ranging from 0.60 to 0.62 and of RMSE (0.07 m^3/m^3). 745 Despite the moderate loss of performance from the fields of "Domaine Rafi" to the 746 validation sites, the method based on the $\rho - AGB$ relationships provided with the best 747 results on the validation database with systematically higher correlation coefficients, lower 748 RMSE and biases remaining low with a maximum value of 0.03 m³/m³ for VV polarization 749 and an average bias of 0.01 m³/m³ on the entire database. For illustration purpose, Fig. 9 750 751 displays the scatter plot in the rainfed field, Morocco (a and b) and in the Kairouan plain, Tunisia (c and d) for the latter approach. Fig. 9e and f shows the scatterplots for the whole 752 753 database including Field 1 and Field 3.

754

755 Table 7

Statistical metrics of the surface soil moisture estimation using the seven methods (see text) on the validation
 database. Bold correlation coefficients are significant at the 99% level.

Sidi Rahal	Kairouan
40°	40°

		VV	VH	VV	VH
	r	0.7	0.61	0.75	0.54
WCM	RMSE	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.06
$\rho - AGB$	Bias	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.04
	Slope	0.76	0.52	0.64	0.47
	r	0.68	0.63	0.65	0.42
WCM www.	RMSE	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.1
νομο-νως	Bias	0.08	0.02	0.01	-0.05
	Slope	0.7	0.58	0.62	0.38
	r	0.59	0.52	0.61	0.39
WCM	RMSE	0.13	0.11	0.08	0.09
VV CIVI PR-AGB	Bias	0.09	0.05	0.02	-0.03
	Slope	0.71	0.54	0.7	0.39
	r	0,69	0,5	0,64	0,37
WCM _{DD} www	RMSE	0,1	0,11	0,07	0,1
V CM PR-VWC	Bias	0,07	0,04	0,01	-0,04
	Slope	0,73	0,56	0,56	0,35
	r	0.69	0.56	0.61	0.39
WCM	RMSE	0.1	0.1	0.07	0.1
W GIA NDVI	Bias	0.07	0.03	0.02	-0.04
	Slope	0.71	0.62	0.62	0.39
	r	0.6	0.59	0.62	0.42
م 0	RMSE	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07
Ū	Bias	0.02	0.02	-0.01	0
	slope	0.5	0.4	0.12	0.15
	r	0.24	-0.36	0.24	0.24
۸	RMSE	0.18	0.24	0.11	0.11
40	Bias	0.11	0.15	-0.05	-0.06
	Slope	-0.24	-0.51	0.28	0.25





Fig. 9. Retrieved SSM versus in situ measurements at VV and VH polarizations over the validation sites for the
coherence based approach:(a,b) Sidi Rahal; (c,d) 18 plots of Kairouan plain (~40°); (e,f) All data (all fields and all
orbits). Bold correlation coefficients are significant at the 99% level.

765

In order to evaluate the potential loss of performance associated to vegetation growth, the correlation coefficients of the seven methods are plotted as a function of AGB in Fig. 10 using data of Field 1 and Field 3 because *in situ* measurements of AGB were available on these fields. Field 2 was discarded because of the specific growing conditions already

discussed. The number of points ranges from 26 at the start of the crop season (AGB<=0.14 770 kg/m² corresponding to LAI<=1.5 m²/m²) to 70 when all vegetation conditions are 771 considered (AGB<=2.50 kg/m²). When soil contribution is dominating the signal at the 772 beginning of the season, performances of the seven retrieval methods are of the same order 773 with correlation coefficients above 0.70 for VV polarization. When soil is progressively 774 shielded by the canopy as vegetation growths, a drop of r is observed for most of the 775 methods as expected and r increases again afterwards or remains almost constant. This is 776 because most of the observations corresponding to AGB values above 1.5 kg/m² are 777 acquired during the senescence period when wheat dries out and the soil contribution to the 778 backscattering become significant again (cf simulation results). The lower drop is observed 779 780 for the $WCM_{\rho-AGB}$ as r goes from 0.80 to 0.78 (about 2.5%). By contrast, r decreases of 781 more than 18% for all the others WCM based approaches (WCM_{NDVI}) WCM_{PR-AGB} , WCM_{PR-VWC} and $WCM_{\rho-VWC}$). Interestingly enough, despite the empirical 782 approach based on σ^0 provides with slightly lower performances than the WCM based 783 techniques at the start of the season, it shows a good stability as the drop of r associated to 784 vegetation development is low (around 13%). The lower performances of the $\Delta \sigma^0(\Delta SSM)$ 785 approach are in close agreement with the paper of El Hajj et al. (2019) who show that it 786 787 offered poor performance at C-Band for NDVI greater than 0.7.



Angle of incidence 35.2°



wet with soil moisture values reaching 0.4 m³/m³ while drier conditions are encountered in June. An interesting feature is the high variability of hydric conditions from one field to another during January in relation to irrigation distribution and sowing date. A complete water turn takes about 15 days to irrigate all the fields introducing also a large diversity in terms of hydric condition. The SSM map of January depicts well this variability. The large oblique patch located west of the images exhibiting dry conditions on both date corresponds to bare soil that are never cropped.



807

Fig. 11. Surface soil moisture maps over an irrigated perimeter located 40 km east of Marrakech named R3 for
 two different SSM conditions : wet condition (15 January 2017) and dry condition (20 June 2017).

811 Summary and conclusion

The objective of this work was to develop and evaluate a new approach for surface soil 812 813 moisture (SSM) retrieval over wheat crops based on Sentinel-1 data. The goal was to rely on Sentinel-1 only, without any additional ancillary data. This work is based on a large in situ 814 database from several irrigated and rainfed wheat in Morocco and Tunisia. A comprehensive 815 816 study of wheat C-band radar response was conducted. The time series of the backscattering 817 coefficient and the interferometric coherence derived from C-band Sentinel-1 are analyzed and physically interpreted. The analysis showed that the backscattering coefficient is 818 819 obviously sensitive to the surface soil moisture changes, at least during the first stage of wheat growth, while the interferometric coherence and PR are more affected by the wheat 820 crop growth. The good relationships obtained between PR/interferometric coherence, on 821 822 one hand and, on the other hand, AGB/VWC were selected as potential candidates for the retrieval of SSM. The WCM coupled to Oh model was calibrated at VV and VH polarization 823 and for two angles of incidence (45.6° and 35.2°). Several parameters were tested as 824 descriptors of wheat development but observed backscattering coefficients after the 825 826 heading stage was better reproduced using the AGB. The model showed to reasonably 827 reproduce the observed seasonal course of the backscattering coefficient if the empirical coefficients of the WCM were calibrated separately for VV and VH. The calibrated model was 828 used in an inversion process for the SSM. The four methods are named 829 $WCM_{PR-VWC}, WCM_{PR-AGB}, WCM_{\rho-VWC}$ and $WCM_{\rho-AGB}.$ They are compared to three more 830 classical methods for SSM retrieval composed of two empirical approaches (linear 831 relationships $\sigma^0 - SSM$ and $\Delta \sigma^0 - \Delta SSM$) and one based on the water-cloud model but 832 833 with NDVI as the vegetation descriptor (WCM_{NDVI}). Please note that the new WCM based

approaches require additional measurements of the vegetation characteristics to develop the relationships between PR/ ρ - AGB/VWC adding three parameters to be fitted with regards to WCM_{NDVI}, $\sigma^0(SSM)$ and $\Delta\sigma^0(\Delta SSM)$ methods. The main results can be summarized as follows:

838 - All methods performed reasonably well at VV polarization with better results for the 839 WCM-based when compared to the empirical ones (σ^0 and $\Delta \sigma^0$).

Despite a moderate variability of the statistical metrics when going from one site to
 another, the new method based on the relationship between the interferometric
 coherence and the AGB provided with the best results with a correlation coefficient r
 of 0.74 and a small bias of 0.01 m³/m³.

844 - By contrast, overall performances were worse for the empirical approaches but they 845 were more stable from one site to another, in particular for the σ^0 based method.

The proposed new approaches taking advantage of two complementary and relatively 846 independent information derived from Sentinel-1 data made it possible to get away from 847 dependence on optical data that are restricted by weather and illumination conditions. They 848 are valid for wheat crops (as the relationships between the interferometric coherence/PR 849 850 and vegetation characteristics were established for wheat) during the period from seeding 851 after all soil tillering action to harvest only meaning three conditions must be satisfied: (1) 852 soil roughness parameter h_{rms} remains within the range from 0.7 to 1.5 cm; (2) there is no drastic change of soil roughness during the crop season. Stated differently, no soil tillering is 853 done from seeding to harvest; ; (3) the sensitivity of interferometric coherence to soil 854 moisture change is of a 2nd order with regards to AGB and VWC changes; (4) they reflect the 855 sensitivity of the radar signal to the vegetation geometrical structure, which can induce 856

significant errors if it is not well taken into account (explaining low results over the secondtest field).

859 The limited loss of performances on the validation database of the coherence based 860 technique points out that it could be applied with a reasonable accuracy on sites outside of the area where it has been developed. This question of the genericity of the retrieval 861 approach is fundamental. Very similar wheat varieties are sown in the south Mediterranean 862 region meaning that the canopy structure are similar. Likewise, the fact that the 863 phenological stages are very close as all the fields in our database are located in the South 864 865 Mediterranean region lead to similar development of the plants. A strong change of 866 structure would probably impact negatively the results. This means that the method would not be valid for different crop and in particular row crops such as sunflower. Even for wheat 867 field, specific conditions such as the development of adventices with a different structure of 868 869 wheat or stems laid down by the wind degrade the performance of the approach as demonstrated by the poor results obtained on Field 2. Further validation is thus required. 870

Further investigations will focused on the combination of C and L-band data within the frame of the numerous L-band mission in preparation (ROSE-L, NISAR) or already into orbit (ALOS-2, SAOCOM) for the joint retrieval of SSM and vegetation biomass, potentially separating its stems and heads components. Another aspect of our work in progress deals also with irrigated area mapping from which high spatio-temporal resolution SSM images could benefit (Malbéteau et al., 2018) together with irrigation retrieval at the plot scale.

877 Acknowledgements

This work was conducted within the frame of the International Joint Laboratory TREMA 878 (https://www.lmi-trema.ma/). The authors wish to thank the projects: Rise-H2020-ACCWA 879 (grant agreement no: 823965) and ERANETMED03-62 CHAAMS for partly funding the 880 881 experiments. Nadia Ouaadi was awarded mobility research training grants from PHC 882 TBK/18/61 and from the MISTRALS/SICMED program. We would like to thank also the 883 Moroccan CNRST for awarding a PhD scholarship to Nadia Ouaadi. ESA is acknowledged for providing free products of Sentinel-1 and the authors are grateful to Theia for producing and 884 distributing Sentinel-2 images corrected from atmospheric effects. Finally, we would like to 885 acknowledge Dr. Omar Rafi, the owner of the "Domaine Rafi", for his long-lasting support to 886 our research activities. 887

889 References

- Ait Hssaine, B., Merlin, O., Rafi, Z., Ezzahar, J., Jarlan, L., Khabba, S., Er-raki, S., 2018. Calibrating an evapotranspiration model using radiometric surface temperature , vegetation cover fraction and near-surface soil moisture data. Agric.
 For. Meteorol. 257, 104–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.02.033
- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., RAES, D., SMITH, M., 1998. Crop Evapotranspiration—Guidelines for Computing Crop Water
 Requirements, Irrigation and Drain, Paper No. 56. FAO, Rome, Italy,.
- Amazirh, A., Merlin, O., Er-raki, S., Gao, Q., Rivalland, V., Malbeteau, Y., Khabba, S., José, M., 2018. surface soil moisture at high spatio-temporal resolution from a synergy between Sentinel-1 radar and Landsat thermal data : A study case over bare soil. Remote Sens. Environ. 211, 321–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.04.013
- 898Attema, E.P.W., Ulaby, F.T., 1978. Vegetation modeled as a water cloud. Radio Sci. 13, 357–364.899https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/RS013i002p00357
- Baghdadi, N., Cerdan, O., Zribi, M., Auzet, V., Darboux, F., El Hajj, M., Kheir, R.B., 2008. Operational performance of current synthetic aperture radar sensors in mapping soil surface characteristics in agricultural environments: Application to hydrological and erosion modelling. Hydrol. Process. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6609
- Baghdadi, N., El Hajj, M., Zribi, M., Bousbih, S., 2017. Calibration of the Water Cloud Model at C-Band for Winter Crop Fields
 and Grasslands. Remote Sens. 969, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9090969
- Bai, X., He, B., Li, X., Zeng, J., Wang, X., Wang, Z., Zeng, Y., Su, Z., 2017. First assessment of Sentinel-1A data for surface soil moisture estimations using a coupled water cloud model and advanced integral equation model over the Tibetan
 Plateau. Remote Sens. 9, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9070714
- Balenzano, A., Mattia, F., Member, S., Satalino, G., Davidson, M.W.J., 2011. Dense Temporal Series of C- and L-band SAR
 Data for Soil Moisture Retrieval Over Agricultural Crops. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. EARTH Obs. Remote Sens. 4, 439–450.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2010.2052916
- 911 Bamler, R., Hartl, P., 1998. Synthetic aperture radar interferometry. Inverse Probl. 14, 1–54. https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-912 5611/14/4/001
- 913 Bertuzzi, P., Chànzy, A., Vidal-Madjar, D., Autret, M., 1992. The use of a microwave backscatter model for retrieving soil 914 moisture over bare soil. Int. J. Remote Sens. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431169208904070
- Bindlish, R., Barros, A.P., 2001. Parameterization of vegetation backscatter in radar-based, soil moisture estimation. Remote
 Sens. Environ. 76, 130–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(00)00200-5
- Bindlish, R., Barros, A.P., 2000. Multifrequency soil moisture inversion from SAR measurements with the use of IEM.
 Remote Sens. Environ. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(99)00065-6
- Blaes, X., Defourny, P., 2003. Retrieving crop parameters based on tandem ERS 1 / 2 interferometric coherence images.
 Remote Sens. Environ. 88, 374–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2003.08.008
- Bousbih, S., Zribi, M., Lili-Chabaane, Z., Baghdadi, N., El Hajj, M., Gao, Q., Mougenot, B., 2017. Potential of sentinel-1 radar
 data for the assessment of soil and cereal cover parameters. Sensors (Switzerland) 17.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/s17112617
- Bousbih, S., Zribi, M., Pelletier, C., Gorrab, A., Lili-Chabaane, Z., Baghdadi, N., Aissa, N. Ben, Mougenot, B., 2019. Soil texture
 estimation using radar and optical data from Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2. Remote Sens. 11.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11131520
- Brisco, B., Brown, R., Koehler, J.A., Sofko, G.J., Mckibben, M.J., 1990. The Diurnal Pattern of Microwave Backscattering by
 Wheat. Remote Sens. Environ. 34, 37–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(90)90082-W
- Brown, S.C.M., Quegan, S., Morrison, K., Bennett, J.C., Cookmartin, G., 2003. High-resolution measurements of scattering in wheat canopies Implications for crop parameter retrieval. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 41, 1602–1610. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2003.814132
- 932 CNES, 2018. The ORFEO Tool Box Software Guide.
- Dabrowska-Zielinska, K., Musial, J., Malinska, A., Budzynska, M., Gurdak, R., Kiryla, W., Bartold, M., Grzybowski, P., 2018.
 Soil moisture in the Biebrza Wetlands retrieved from Sentinel-1 imagery. Remote Sens. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10121979
- 936 De Zan, F., Parizzi, A., Prats-Iraola, P., López-dekker, P., 2014. A SAR Interferometric Model for Soil Moisture. IEEE Trans.
 937 Geosci. Remote Sens. 52, 418–425.

- Diarra, A., Jarlan, L., Er-Raki, S., Le Page, M., Aouade, G., Tavernier, A., Boulet, G., Ezzahar, J., Merlin, O., Khabba, S., 2017.
 Performance of the two-source energy budget (TSEB) model for the monitoring of evapotranspiration over irrigated annual crops in North Africa. Agric. Water Manag. 193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2017.08.007
- 941 Dobson, M.C., Ulabz, F.T., 1981. Microwave Backscatter Dependence on Surface Roughness, Soil Moisture, and Soil 942 Texture : Part III-Soil Tension. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. GE-19, 51-61. 943 https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.1981.350328
- 944 Duchemin, B., Hadria, R., Erraki, S., Boulet, G., 2006. Monitoring wheat phenology and irrigation in Central Morocco : On the
 945 use of relationships between evapotranspiration , crops coefficients , leaf area index and remotely-sensed vegetation
 946 indices 79, 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2005.02.013
- El Hajj, M., Baghdadi, N., Bazzi, H., Zribi, M., 2019. Penetration Analysis of SAR Signals in the C and L Bands for Wheat,
 Maize, and Grasslands. Remote Sens. 11, 22–24. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11010031
- 949 El Hajj, M., Baghdadi, N., Zribi, M., Belaud, G., Cheviron, B., Courault, D., Charron, F., 2016. Soil moisture retrieval over 950 irrigated grassland using X-band SAR data. Remote Sens. Environ. 176, 202–218. 951 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.01.027
- Engdahl, M.E., Borgeaud, M., Member, S., Rast, M., 2001. The Use of ERS-1 / 2 Tandem Interferometric Coherence in the
 Estimation of Agricultural Crop Heights. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 39, 1799–1806.
 https://doi.org/0.1109/36.942558
- Ezzahar, J., Ouaadi, N., Zribi, M., Elfarkh, J., Aouade, G., Khabba, S., Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, A., Jarlan, L., 2020. Evaluation of Backscattering Models and Support Vector Machine for the Retrieval of Bare Soil Moisture from Sentinel-1 Data.
 Remote Sens. 12, 72. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12010072
- Ferrazzoli, P., Paloscia, S., Pampaloni, P., Schiavon, G., Solimini, D., Coppo, P., 1992. Sensitivity of microwave measurements
 to vegetation biomass and soil moisture content: a case study. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 30, 750–756.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/36.158869
- Fontanelli, G., Paloscia, S., Pampaloni, P., Pettinato, S., Santi, E., Montomoli, F., Brogioni, M., Macelloni, G., 2013.
 HydroCosmo: The monitoring of hydrological parameters on agricultural areas by using Cosmo-SkyMed images. Eur.
 J. Remote Sens. 46, 875–889. https://doi.org/10.5721/EuJRS20134652
- Frison, P.L., Mougin, E., Hiernaux, P., 1998. Observations and Interpretation of Seasonal ERS-1 Wind Scatterometer Data over Northern Sahel (Mali). Remote Sens. Environ. 63, 233–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(97)00137-5
- Fung, A.K., Zongqian, L., Chen, K.S., 1992. Backscattering from a Randomly Rough Dielectric Surface. IEEE Trans. Geosci.
 Remote Sens. 30, 356–369. https://doi.org/10.1109/36.134085
- 968 Gherboudj, I., Magagi, R., Berg, A.A., Toth, B., 2011. Soil moisture retrieval over agricultural fields from multi-polarized and 969 multi-angular RADARSAT-2 SAR data. Remote Sens. Environ. 115, 33–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2010.07.011
- Greifeneder, F., Notarnicola, C., Hahn, S., Vreugdenhil, M., Reimer, C., Santi, E., Paloscia, S., Wagner, W., 2018. The Added
 Value of the VH/VV Polarization-Ratio for Global Soil Moisture Estimations from Scatterometer Data. IEEE J. Sel. Top.
 Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens. 11, 3668–3679. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2018.2865185
- 973 Griffiths, G.H., Wooding, M.G., 1996. Temporal monitoring of soil moisture using ERS-1 SAR data. Hydrol. Process.
 974 https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199609)10:9<1127::AID-HYP364>3.0.CO;2-#
- Hagolle, O., Huc, M., Pascual, D.V., Dedieu, G., 2015. A multi-temporal and multi-spectral method to estimate aerosol optical thickness over land, for the atmospheric correction of FormoSat-2, LandSat, VENμS and Sentinel-2 images.
 Remote Sens. 7, 2668–2691. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs70302668
- Hallikainen, M.T., Ulabz, F.T., Dobson, M.C., El-Rayes, M.A., Wu, L.K., 1985. Microwave Dielectric Behavior of Wet Soil-Part I:
 Empirical Models and Experimental Observations. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. GE-23, 25–34.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.1985.289497
- 981 Holah, N., Baghdadi, N., Zribi, M., Bruand, A., King, C., 2005. Potential of ASAR/ENVISAT for the characterization of soil 982 surface parameters over bare agricultural fields. Remote Sens. Environ. 96. 78-86. 983 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.01.008
- Hosseini, M., McNairn, H., 2017. Using multi-polarization C- and L-band synthetic aperture radar to estimate biomass and soil moisture of wheat fields. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf. 58, 50–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2017.01.006
- Jarlan, L., Khabba, S., Er-Raki, S., Le Page, M., Hanich, L., Fakir, Y., Merlin, O., Mangiarotti, S., Gascoin, S., Ezzahar, J.,
 Kharrou, M.H., Berjamy, B., Saaïdi, A., Boudhar, A., Benkaddour, A., Laftouhi, N., Abaoui, J., Tavernier, A., Boulet, G.,
 Simonneaux, V., Driouech, F., El Adnani, M., El Fazziki, A., Amenzou, N., Raibi, F., El Mandour, H., Ibouh, H., Le
 Dantec, V., Habets, F., Tramblay, Y., Mougenot, B., Leblanc, M., El Faïz, M., Drapeau, L., Coudert, B., Hagolle, O., Filali,
 N., Belaqziz, S., Marchane, A., Szczypta, C., Toumi, J., Diarra, A., Aouade, G., Hajhouji, Y., Nassah, H., Bigeard, G.,

- 991 Chirouze, J., Boukhari, K., Abourida, A., Richard, B., Fanise, P., Kasbani, M., Chakir, A., Zribi, M., Marah, H., Naimi, A., 992 Mokssit, A., Kerr, Y., Escadafal, R., 2015. Remote Sensing of Water Resources in Semi- Arid Mediterranean Areas : the 993 laboratory TREMA. J. 4879-4917. ioint international Int. Remote Sens. 36. 994 https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2015.1093198
- Jarlan, L., Mazzega, P., Mougin, E., 2002. Retrieval of land surface parameters in the sahel from ERS wind scatterometer
 data: A "Brute force" method. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 40. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2002.802500
- Jarlan, L., Mougin, E., Frison, P.L., Mazzega, P., Hiernaux, P., 2002. Analysis of ers wind scatterometer time series over sahel
 (Mali). Remote Sens. Environ. 81, 404–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00015-9
- Karam, M.A., Amar, F., Fung, A.K., Mougin, E., Lopes, A., Le Vine, D.M., Beaudoin, A., 1995. A microwave polarimetric scattering model for forest canopies based on vector radiative transfer theory. Remote Sens. Environ. 53, 16–30.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(95)00048-6
- Karam, M.A., Amur, F., Fung, A.K., 1993. Electromagnetic Wave Scattering From a Forest or Vegetation Canopy : Ongoing
 Research at the University of Texas at Arlington. IEEE Antennas Propag. Mag. 35, 18–26.
- Karam, M.A., Fung, A.K., Lang, R.H., Chauhan, N.S., 1992. Microwave Scattering Model for Layered Vegetation. IEEE Trans.
 Geosci. Remote Sens. 30, 767–784. https://doi.org/10.1109/36.158872
- Kerr, Y., Waldteufel, P., Wigneron, J.P., Martinuzzi, J.M., Font, J., Berger, M., 2001. Soil Moisture Retrieval from Space: The
 Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) Mission. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 39, 1729–1735.
- Kumar, K., Suryanarayana Rao, H.P., Arora, M.K., 2015. Study of water cloud model vegetation descriptors in estimating soil
 moisture in Solani catchment. Hydrol. Process. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10344
- Kumar, K., Suryanarayana Rao, H.P., Arora, M.K., 2014. Study of water cloud model vegetation descriptors in estimating soil
 moisture in Solani catchment. Hydrol. Process. 29, 2137–2148. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10344
- Le Hégarat-Mascle, S., Zribi, M., Alem, F., Weisse, A., Loumagne, C., 2002. Soil moisture estimation from ERS/SAR data:
 Toward an operational methodology. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 40, 2647–2658.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2002.806994
- Le Morvan, A., Zribi, M., Baghdadi, N., Chanzy, A., 2008. Soil moisture profile effect on radar signal measurement. Sensors 8, 256–270. https://doi.org/10.3390/s8010256
- Li, J., Wang, S., 2018. Using SAR-derived vegetation descriptors in a water cloud model to improve soil moisture retrieval.
 Remote Sens. 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10091370
- Macelloni, G., Paloscia, S., Pampaloni, P., Marliani, F., Gai, M., 2001. The relationship between the backscattering coefficient and the biomass of narrow and broad leaf crops. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 39, 873–884. https://doi.org/10.1109/36.917914
- Malbéteau, Y., Merlin, O., Balsamo, G., Er-Raki, S., Khabba, S., Walker, J.P., Jarlan, L., Malbéteau, Y., Merlin, O., Balsamo, G.,
 Er-Raki, S., Khabba, S., Walker, J.P., Jarlan, L., 2018. Toward a Surface Soil Moisture Product at High Spatiotemporal
 Resolution: Temporally Interpolated, Spatially Disaggregated SMOS Data. J. Hydrometeorol.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-16-0280.1
- Mattia, F., Balenzano, A., Rinaldi, M., Steduto, P., Moreno, J., 2015. SENTINEL-1 FOR WHEAT MAPPING AND SOIL MOISTURE
 RETRIEVAL, in: 2015 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS). pp. 2832–2835.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS.2015.7326404
- Mattia, F., Le Toan, T., Picard, G., Posa, F.I., D'Alessio, A., Notarnicola, C., Gatti, A.M., Rinaldi, M., Satalino, G., Pasquariello,
 G., 2003. Multitemporal C-band radar measurements on wheat fields. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 41, 1551–
 1560. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2003.813531
- 1032 Ministre de l'agriculture et peche maritime du develpement rurale et des eaux et forets (Ed.), 2018. Agriculture en chiffres
 2017. PLAN MAROC VERT.
- Miranda, N., Meadows, P.J., Type, D., Note, T., 2015. Radiometric Calibration of S-1 Level-1 Products Generated by the S-1
 IPF. ESA-EOPG-CSCOP-TN-0002; Eur. Sp. Agency Paris, Fr.
- 1036 Mission, R.O., Services, G.O., 2012. ESA's Radar Observatory Mission for GMES Operational Services, SP-1322/1. ed.
- Moran, S.S., Vidal, A., Troufleau, D., Qi, J., Clarke, T.R., Pinter, P.J., Mitchell, T.A., Inoue, Y., Neale, C.M.U., 1997. Combining multifrequency microwave and optical data for crop management. Remote Sens. Environ. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(96)00243-X
- Morrison, K., Bennett, J.C., Nolan, M., Menon, R., 2011. Laboratory Measurement of the DInSAR Response to
 Spatiotemporal Variations in Soil Moisture IEEE Journals & Magazine. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 49, 3815–
 3823. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2011.2132137

- 1043 Naeimi, V., Scipal, K., Bartalis, Z., Hasenauer, S., Wagner, W., 2009. An improved soil moisture retrieval algorithm for ERS
 1044 and METOP scatterometer observations. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 47, 1999–2013.
 1045 https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2008.2011617
- 1046 Nesti, G., Tarchi, D., Despan, D., Rudant, J.-P., Bedidi, A., Borderies, P., Bachelier, E., 1998. Phase Shift and Decorrelation of 1047 Radar Signal Related to Soil Moisture Changes Phase Shift and Decorrelation of Radar Signal. Proc. Second Int. Work.
 1048 Retr. Bio- Geo-physical Parameters from SAR Data L. Appl. tions. Noordwijk, Netherlands ESA Publ. Div.
- 1049 Njoku, E.G., 2004. AMSR-E/Aqua Daily L3 Surface Soil Moisture, Interpretive Parameters, & QC EASE-Grids, Version 2.
 1050 Boulder, Colorado, USA. https://doi.org/10.5067/AMSR-E/AE LAND3.002
- 1051 Nolin, M., Quenum, M., Cambouris, A., Martin, A., Cluis, D., 2005. Rugosité de la surface du sol description et interprétation. Agrosol 16, 5–21.
- 1053 Oh, Y., Sarabandi, K., Ulaby, F.T., 1992. An Empirical Model and an Inversion Technique for Radar Scattering from Bare Soil
 1054 Surfaces. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 30, 370–381. https://doi.org/10.1109/36.134086
- Paloscia, S., Pettinato, S., Santi, E., Notarnicola, C., Pasolli, L., Reppucci, A., 2013. Soil moisture mapping using Sentinel-1
 images: Algorithm and preliminary validation. Remote Sens. Environ. 134, 234–248.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.02.027
- Paloscia, S., Santi, E., Fontanelli, G., Montomoli, F., Brogioni, M., MacElloni, G., Pampaloni, P., Pettinato, S., 2014. The sensitivity of cosmo-skymed backscatter to agricultural crop type and vegetation parameters. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens. 7, 2856–2868. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2014.2345475
- 1061Periasamy, S., 2018. Significance of dual polarimetric synthetic aperture radar in biomass retrieval : An attempt on Sentinel-10621. Remote Sens. Environ. 217, 537–549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.09.003
- 1063Picard, G., Toan, T. Le, Mattia, F., 2003. Understanding C-Band Radar Backscatter From Wheat Canopy Using a Multiple-1064ScatteringCoherentModel.IEEETrans.Geosci.RemoteSens.41,1583–1591.1065https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2003.813353
- 1066Picard, G., Toan, T.L., 2002. A Multiple Scattering Model for C-Band Backscatter of Wheat Canopies. J. Electromagn. Waves1067Appl. 16, 1447–1466. https://doi.org/10.1163/156939302X00093
- Prevot, L., Champion, I., Guyot, G., 1993. Estimating Surface Soil Moisture and Leaf Area Index of a Wheat Canopy Using a
 Dual-Frequency (C and X Bands) Scatterometer. Remote Sens. Environ. 46, 331–339.
- 1070 Rafi, Z., Merlin, O., Le, V., Khabba, S., Mordelet, P., Er-raki, S., Amazirh, A., Olivera-guerra, L., Ait, B., 2019. Partitioning evapotranspiration of a drip-irrigated wheat crop : Inter- comparing eddy covariance- , sap fl ow- , lysimeter- and FAO-based methods. Agric. For. Meteorol. 265, 310–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.11.031
- Sano, E.E., Huete, A.R., Troufleau, D., Moran, M.S., Vidai, A., 1998. Relation between ERS-1 synthetic aperture radar data and measurements of surface roughness and moisture content of rocky soils in a semiarid rangeland. Water Resour.
 Res. https://doi.org/10.1029/98WR00032
- 1076Scott, C.P., Lohman, R.B., Jordan, T.E., 2017. InSAR constraints on soil moisture evolution after the March 2015 extreme1077precipitation event in Chile. Sci. Rep. 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05123-4
- Sieber, A.J., Kouyate, F., Fung, A.K., 1982. A Backscatter Model for a Randomly Perturbed Periodic Surface. IEEE Trans.
 Geosci. Remote Sens. GE-20, 518–528. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.1982.350420
- 1080Small, D., 2011. Flattening Gamma : Radiometric Terrain Correction for SAR Imagery. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 49,10813081–3093. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2011.2120616
- Small, D., Schubert, A., 2008. Guide to ASAR Geocoding. ESA-ESRIN Tech. Note RSL-ASAR-GC-AD 1–36. https://doi.org/RSL ASAR-GC-AD
- Taconet, O., Benallegue, M., Vidal-Madjar, D., Prevot, L., Dechambre, M., Normand, M., 1994. Estimation of soil and crop parameters for wheat from airborne radar backscattering data in C and X bands. Remote Sens. Environ. 50, 287–294.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(94)90078-7
- 1087 Tanji, A., 2005. Adventices du blé et de l'orge au Maroc. 'Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique Maroc, Rabat.
- 1088 Torres, R., Snoeij, P., Geudtner, D., Bibby, D., Davidson, M., Attema, E., Potin, P., Rommen, B., Floury, N., Brown, M., Navas, 1089 I., Deghaye, P., Duesmann, B., Rosich, B., Miranda, N., Bruno, C., Abbate, M.L., Croci, R., Pietropaolo, A., Huchler, M., 1090 F., 2012. GMES Sentinel-1 mission. Remote Sens. Environ. 120. Rostan, 9-24. 1091 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.05.028
- 1092Touzi, R., Lopes, A., Bruniquel, J., Vachon, P.W., 1999. Coherence estimation for SAR imagery. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote1093Sens. 37, 135–149. https://doi.org/10.1109/36.739146
- 1094 Ulaby, F., Moore, R., Fung, A., 1986. Microwave remote sensing active and passive-volume III: from theory to applications.

- 1095 Ulaby, F.T., Allen, C.T., Iii, G.E., 1984. Relating the Microwave Backscattering Coefficient to Leaf Area Index. Remote Sens.
 1096 Environ. 14, 113–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(84)90010-5
- Ulaby, F.T., Aslam, A., Dobson, M.C., 1982. Effects of Vegetation Cover on the Radar Sensitivity to Soil Moisture. IEEE Trans.
 Geosci. Remote Sens. GE-20, 476–481. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.1982.350413
- 1099Ulaby, F.T., Batlivala, P.P., Dobson, M.C., 1978. Microwave Backscatter Dependence on Surface Roughness, Soil Moisture,1100and Soil Texture: Part I Bare Soil. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Electron. 16, 286–295.1101https://doi.org/10.1109/TGE.1978.294586
- 1102 Ulaby, F.T., Bush, T.F., 1976. Monitoring Wheat Growth With Radar. Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sensing 42, 557–568.
- Ulaby, F.T., Sarabandi, K., McDonald, K., Whitt, M., Craig Dobson, M., 1990. Michigan microwave canopy scattering model.
 Int. J. Remote Sens. 11, 1223–1253. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431169008955090
- 1105 Veloso, A., Mermoz, S., Bouvet, A., Le Toan, T., Planells, M., Dejoux, J.F., Ceschia, E., 2017. Understanding the temporal 1106 behavior of crops using Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2-like data for agricultural applications. Remote Sens. Environ. 199, 1107 415–426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.07.015
- Wagner, W., Lemoine, G., Borgeaud, M., Rott, H., 1999. A study of vegetation cover effects on ERS scatterometer data. IEEE
 Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 37, 938–948. https://doi.org/10.1109/36.752212
- Walker, J.P., Houser, P.R., Willgoose, G.R., 2004. Active microwave remote sensing for soil moisture measurement: A field
 evaluation using ERS-2. Hydrol. Process. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.1343
- Wang, H., Magagi, R., Goïta, K., 2018. Potential of a two-component polarimetric decomposition at C-band for soil moisture retrieval over agricultural fields. Remote Sens. Environ. 217, 38–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.08.003
- Wegmuller, U., Werner, C., 1997. Retrieval of Vegetation Parameters with SAR Interferometry. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote
 Sens. 35, 18–24. https://doi.org/10.1109/36.551930
- Zebker, H.A., Villasenor, J., 1992. Decorrelation in Interferometric Radar Echoes. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 30, 950–
 959. https://doi.org/10.1109/36.175330
- 1118Zribi, M., Baghdadi, N., 2015. Potential of high spatial resolution radars for the characterization of soil properties in
agricultural environments, in: Erlanger Geographische Arbeiten Band 42. pp. 33–52.
- Zribi, M., Chahbi, A., Shabou, M., Lili-Chabaane, Z., Duchemin, B., Baghdadi, N., Amri, R., Chehbouni, A., 2011. Soil surface moisture estimation over a semi-arid region using ENVISAT ASAR radar data for soil evaporation evaluation. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 15, 345–358. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-345-2011
- Zribi, M., Dechambre, M., 2002. A new empirical model to retrieve soil moisture and roughness from C-band radar data.
 Remote Sens. Environ. 84, 42–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00069-X
- Zribi, M., Hegarat-Mascle, S. Le, Ottle, C., Kammoun, B., Guerin, C., 2003. Surface soil moisture estimation using active microwave ERS wind scatterometer and SAR data. IGARSS 2003. 2003 IEEE Int. Geosci. Remote Sens. Symp. Proc. (IEEE Cat. No.03CH37477) 2, 5–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS.2003.1294122
- 1128
- 1129

1130 List of figure captions

1131Fig. 1. Location of the two study sites: Field 1 (stressed), Field 2 (reference) and Field 3 drip-irrigated wheat1132crops near Chichaoua city in a private farm ("Domaine Rafi"), west of Marrakech, Haouz plain, center of1133Morocco.11

- 1146 Fig. 4. Relationship between: (a, b) and (e, f) σ_{VV}^0 , σ_{VH}^0 and SSM, (c, d) and (g, h) $\Delta \sigma_{VV}^0$ and $\Delta \sigma_{VH}^0$ and ΔSSM at 1147 45.6° and 35.2°. Data are shown separately for LAI<1.5 (black points) and LAI>1.5 (grey points). Statistical 1148 metrics are provided for the whole season. Correlations significant at 99% level are marked with a star '*'..... 29
- 1152Fig. 6. Time series of different contributions simulated using the WCM and AGB as vegetation descriptor fitting1153the observations at VV and VH polarizations over Field 1 and Field 2 and for both seasons (2016-2017 and11542017-2018) at 35.2° of incidence angle.37

1155Fig. 7. Time series of different contributions simulated using the WCM and AGB as vegetation descriptor fitting1156the observations at VV and VH polarizations over Field 1 and Field 2 and for both seasons (2016-2017 and11572017-2018) at 45.6° of incidence angle.38

1158Fig. 8. Retrieved SSM versus in situ measurements at VV and VH polarizations at 35.2° of incidence angle for the1159calibration sites: (a,b) Field 1, (c,d) Field 2 and (e,f) Field 3. Bold correlation coefficients are significant at the116099% level.41

1161Fig. 9. Retrieved SSM versus in situ measurements at VV and VH polarizations over the validation sites for the1162coherence based approach: (a,b) Sidi Rahal; (c,d) 18 plots of Kairouan plain (~40°); (e,f) All data (all fields and all1163orbits). Bold correlation coefficients are significant at the 99% level.44

1164Fig. 10. Correlation coefficient between the observed and the retrieved SSM as a function of AGB using data of1165Field 1 and Field 3 at 35.2° and VV and VH polarizations for the seven methods: $\sigma^0(SSM)$, $\Delta\sigma^0$ (ΔSSM),1166 WCM_{NDVI} , WCM_{PR-VWC} , WCM_{PR-AGB} , $WCM_{\rho-VWC}$, $WCM_{\rho-AGB}$. All the correlations are significant at the 99%1167level.

1170

1172 Appendix 1: Backscattering model description

1173 The canopy-backscattering coefficient is given as the incoherent sum of three contributions: 1174 the attenuated surface scattering from the underlying soil, the volume scattering from the 1175 canopy and the soil-vegetation multiple scattering interaction as follows:

1176
$$\sigma_{pq, canopy}^{0} = \sigma_{pq, vegetation}^{0} + \sigma_{pq, soil-vegetation}^{0} + L^{2}\sigma_{pq, soil}^{0}$$
(A1)

1177 Where pq is the polarization mode (V or H). $\sigma_{pq, vegetation}^{0}$, $\sigma_{pq, soil-vegetation}^{0}$ and $\sigma_{pq, soil}^{0}$ 1178 denote the contributions of vegetation, interactions soil-vegetation and bare soil, 1179 respectively. L^{2} is the two-way transmissivity factor of the canopy.

1180 **1. Oh Model**

1181 The contribution of the soil component was calculated using the Oh et al. model (1992). This 1182 model has been shown to be applicable to a large range of soil roughness conditions and a large range of incidence angle in various context (Frison et al., 1998; Jarlan et al., 2002). The 1183 soil backscattering coefficient is expressed as a function of one parameter related to the soil 1184 roughness (h_{rms}) only when compared to other models including IEM (Fung et al., 1992). 1185 Other input parameters are the angle of incidence (θ), the wave number (k) and the 1186 1187 dielectric constant of the soil surface (ε_r) . This latter was computed from soil moisture and soil texture using the empirical model proposed in Hallikainen et al. (1985). The Oh model 1188 expresses the co-polarized and cross-polarized ratio to compute the backscattering 1189 1190 coefficient of three linear polarizations (HH, VV and HV/ VH) as follows:

1191
$$\sigma_{VV, soil}^{0} = g * (\cos \theta)^{3} * (\Gamma_{V} + \Gamma_{H}) / \sqrt{p}$$
(A2)

1192
$$\sigma_{VH, soil}^{0} = q * g * (\cos \theta)^{3} * (\Gamma_{V} + \Gamma_{H}) / \sqrt{p}$$
(A3)

1193 Where

1194
$$q = 0.23 * \left(1 - e^{(-k * h_{rms})}\right) * \sqrt{\Gamma_0}$$
 (A4)

1195
$$\sqrt{p} = 1 - e^{(-k*h_{rms})} * \left(\frac{2\theta}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}*\Gamma_0}$$
 (A5)

1196
$$g = 0.7 * \left(1 - e^{\left(-0.65 * (k * h_{rms})^{1.8}\right)}\right)$$
(A6)

1197 Γ_V , Γ_H , and Γ_0 are the Fresnel coefficients given by the following expressions:

1198
$$\Gamma_{V} = \frac{\varepsilon_{r} \cos \theta - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{r} - \sin^{2} \theta}}{\left(\varepsilon_{r} \cos \theta + \sqrt{\varepsilon_{r} - \sin^{2} \theta}\right)^{2}}, \Gamma_{H} = \frac{\cos \theta - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{r} - \sin^{2} \theta}}{\left(\cos \theta + \sqrt{\varepsilon_{r} - \sin^{2} \theta}\right)^{2}} \text{ and } \Gamma_{0} = \left|\frac{1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{r}}}{1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_{r}}}\right|^{2}$$
(A7)

1199 **2. Water Cloud Model**

The semi-empirical water cloud model (Attema and Ulaby, 1978) aims to predict the radar 1200 1201 backscatter over vegetation canopies. It represents the vegetation canopy as a cloud of 1202 identical water droplets. Indeed, the dielectric constants of dry matter and dry air are much 1203 smaller than the dielectric constant of water and the vegetation cover is composed of more than 99% of air by volume. The main assumptions of the water cloud model are: (1) that the 1204 multiple scattering within the canopy volume can be neglected, and (2) that cloud height 1205 1206 and density are proportional to the VWC. The model domain of validity covers a large range 1207 of frequencies (8-18 GHz) and of incidence angles (0-70°). It has been shown to perform well 1208 in a large range of vegetation conditions and frequencies for the direct prediction of the 1209 backscattering coefficient as well as for the retrieval of soil moisture content and AGB (Bai et al., 2017; Bindlish and Barros, 2001; Bousbih et al., 2017; El Hajj et al., 2016; Hosseini and 1210 1211 McNairn, 2017).

1212 In the Water Cloud Model, the $\sigma_{soil-vegetation}^{0}$ term (cf. Eq. A1) is neglected (Frison et al., 1213 1998; L. Jarlan et al., 2002; Kumar et al., 2014). The problem is then equivalent to the first 1214 order solution of the radiative transfer equation through a weak medium. $\sigma_{vegetation}^{0}$ and L^{2} 1215 are expressed as follows:

1216
$$\sigma_{pq, vegetation}^{0} = A_{pq}V_{1}cos\theta(1-L^{2})$$
(A8)

1217
$$L_{pq}^2 = e^{-2B_{pq}V_1 sec\theta}$$
 (A9)

1218 Where θ is the incidence angle and A_{pq} and B_{pq} are the model's coefficients that depend on 1219 the canopy type, sensor's frequency and polarization and on the incidence angle. The first 1220 coefficient is related to the contribution of the direct scattering from the vegetation while 1221 the second represents the attenuation of the underlying soil backscattering by the canopy. 1222 V_1 is a parameter describing the vegetation canopy dynamics.