

# Implementation of a systematic comprehensive geriatric assessment for elderly patients suspected of pulmonary hypertension

C. Duvillard, L. Lafaie, É. de Magalhaes, S. Bezzeghoud, S. Accassat, P.B. Poble, P.B. Bonnefoy, C. Tulane, T. Célarier, L. Bertoletti

#### ▶ To cite this version:

C. Duvillard, L. Lafaie, É. de Magalhaes, S. Bezzeghoud, S. Accassat, et al.. Implementation of a systematic comprehensive geriatric assessment for elderly patients suspected of pulmonary hypertension. Respiratory Medicine and Research, 2020, 78, pp.100785 -. 10.1016/j.resmer.2020.100785 . hal-03492278

# HAL Id: hal-03492278 https://hal.science/hal-03492278v1

Submitted on 14 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



- Title: Implementation of a systematic comprehensive geriatric assessment for elderly patients
   suspected of pulmonary hypertension.
   Short title: CGA in pulmonary hypertension
   Authors: C. Duvillard<sup>1</sup>, L. Lafaie<sup>2</sup>, É. De Magalhaes<sup>1,3,9</sup>, S. Bezzeghoud<sup>1,9</sup>, S. Accassat<sup>1,3,9</sup>, PB. Poble<sup>4</sup>, PB.
   Bonnefoy<sup>5</sup>, C. Tulane<sup>6</sup>, T. Célarier<sup>2,7,8</sup>, L. Bertoletti<sup>1,3,9,10</sup>.
- 6 Affiliations:
- 7 <sup>1</sup>Service de Médecine Vasculaire et Thérapeutique, CHU de Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France ;
- 8 <sup>2</sup>Département de Gérontologie clinique, CHU de Saint-Étienne, Saint-Etienne, France ;
- 9 <sup>3</sup>INSERM, UMR1059, Equipe Dysfonction Vasculaire et Hémostase, Université Jean-Monnet, F-42055,
- 10 Saint-Etienne, France;
- <sup>4</sup>Service d'Explorations Fonctionnelles, CHU de St-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France;
- <sup>5</sup>Service de Médecine Nucléaire, CHU de St-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France;
- 13 <sup>6</sup>Département de cardiologie, CHU de Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France ;
- <sup>7</sup>Gérontopôle Auvergne Rhône-Alpes, Saint-Etienne, France;
- 15 <sup>8</sup>Chaire santé des Ainés, Université Jean Monnet, Saint-Etienne, France ;
- <sup>9</sup>INSERM, CIC-1408, CHU Saint-Etienne, F-42055, Saint-Etienne, France;
- 17 <sup>10</sup>F-CRIN INNOVTE network, Saint-Etienne, France.
- 18 Corresponding author:
- 19 Ludovic LAFAIE, Département de gérontologie clinique CHU de Saint-Etienne, France

## Highlights:

20

26

- 21 Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is incrementally suspected in elderly patients
- With advanced age, low prevalence of PAH as increased prevalence of Chronic
   thromboembolic PH make more complex the management of PH suspicion in the elderly
- Implementation of a Comprehensive geriatric assessment in elderly patients referred for a

  PH suspicion is possible, and lead to stop PH diagnostic work-up in a third of cases
  - Among patients with pulmonary hypertension, precapillary pulmonary hypertension was the most common group, with a high proportion of Chronic thromboembolic PH

Abstract: 239/250 words

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

43

44

45

46

47

with an increasing age and frequency of comorbidities. Selection of elderly patients in whom a classical work-up is mandatory is challenging. Comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) has

modified the management of elderly patients with cancer. Pulmonary hypertension (PH) shares with

cancer a functional impact and may evolve rapidly, depending with group of PH. We assessed the

impact of a systematic CGA in patient over 70 year-old referred for a suspicion of PH.

Methods: A standardized CGA was performed for every patient older than 70 years old, referred for a

PH suspicion, before considering invasive tests for diagnosis and treatment, between July 2014 and

May 2019. Our primary aim was to describe the impact of CGA on the decision to stop or pursue the

recommended diagnostic work-up for PH.

Results: Among the thirty-one patients evaluated (mean age 81,5 [72-91] years), a negative CGA lead

to stop the diagnostic work-up in eleven patients. Among the nineteen remaining patients, sixteen

had confirmed PH, with half being chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension.

42 Conclusions: Our study indicates that comprehensive geriatric assessment could be an excellent first

screen for elderly patients referred for a PH suspicion. Involving a geriatric physician stopped the

investigations in one third of patients. In patients with a favorable CGA, PH was confirmed in most of

the cases, with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension being the first cause of PH.

**Keys Words**: geriatric assessment; pulmonary hypertension; elderly

#### 48 **Abbreviations**:

- 49 ADL: Activities of Daily Living
- 50 BMI: Body mass index
- 51 CGA: Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment
- 52 CTEPH: Chronic Thromboembolic Pulmonary Hypertension
- 53 ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
- 54 GDS: Geriatric Depression Scale
- 55 IADL: Instrumental Activities of Daily Living
- 56 LVEF: Left ventricular ejection fraction
- 57 MMS: Mini Mental State
- 58 MNA: Mini Nutritional Assessment
- 59 NYHA: New York Hearth Association
- 60 PAH: Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension
- 61 PH: Pulmonary Hypertension
- 62 sPAP: systolic pulmonary artery pressure
- 63 TTE: Transthoracic Echocardiogram
- 64 V/Q lung scan: Ventilation/Perfusion lung scan

#### Manuscript: 2561/3000 words

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

#### 1. Introduction

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a severe condition, linked to an increase in pulmonary vascular resistances, which can lead to right heart failure and death. On the functional level, the impact on daily life and autonomy is important, with dyspnea limiting the activities of daily life. The etiologies of PH are diverse and classified into several major groups: related to chronic respiratory (group 3) or cardiac (group 2), chronic thromboembolic (group 4), and pulmonary arterial hypertension (group 1)(1) . Therapeutic management varies according to these etiologies. For group 1 and group 4, specific drug treatments were developed. For group 4, it is also recommended to be treated by pulmonary endarterectomy and balloon pulmonary angioplasty (1). Without treatment, the median survival rate is less than 3 years for pulmonary arterial hypertension (2–4). These specific PAH drug treatments have on the one hand, potential side effects (hypotension, headache, digestive disorders for the most frequent) with on the other hand, potentially serious drug interactions (bleeding accident with oral anticoagulants for example (5)). Regarding to thromboendarterectomy, the only curative treatment for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) (6), a significant mortality rate (between 2 and 4% perihospital) was observed (6). Thus, it is crucial to concentrate and improve management of these vulnerable PH patients in a specialized PH center. It is well recognized that medical advances contributed to an increase in life expectancy. For instance, the proportion of patients over 75 years of age rose from 6.3% (3.7 million) in 1996 to 9.1% (6.1 million) in 2016 (7). In the same time, the epidemiology of patients with PH has moved from young female to older patients in their 6<sup>th</sup> decade (8,9). This phenotype's modification is marked in patients seen for a suspicion of pulmonary hypertension, with an increasing age and high frequency of comorbidities. The development of transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) has increased the number of patients suspected of being diagnosed with elevated right pressures. However, the sensitivity and

specificity of this examination remains modest (10). This is one problem in elderly patients with associated comorbidities, causing frequent false positives when the patient is brought to right cardiac catheterization.

In the elderly patient, the most frequent causes of PH are groups 2 or 3 (cardiac or respiratory pathology) (11). CTEPH (group 4) and PAH (group 1) are not known to be one of the most common causes, but management and prognosis may be different, as it is potentially curable for CTEPH patients. In fact, in the case of individual comorbidities, a precise diagnostic procedure must be carried out in the event of suspected PH (12). Regardless the etiology, the confirmation of the diagnosis of hypertension requires the realization of a right cardiac catheterization, an invasive examination. The pertinence of this exam can be discussed if it does not lead to the initiation of a dedicated therapy. Selection of elderly patients, in whom a classical work-up is mandatory, is challenging. In this population, there is a balance between futility (because most suspect PH is assumed to be post-capillary PH, with no need for introduction of specific therapy), and loss of chance (some patients may have pre-capillary PH that can be effectively improved by dedicated therapy).

The Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) is a multidimensional diagnostic process and an interdisciplinary approach to the frail elderly subject. It provides an complete assessment of medical issues, as psychosocial and functional capacities for each patient.

Its development has deeply proven track in elderly patients with cancer, where, it is important to differentiate between what results from the determinants of fragility and/or co-morbidities and what results from cancer pathology. In cancer, CGA provides a better approach to physiological age and comorbidities (13). Moreover, knowledge of side effects of specific treatments (chemotherapy, radiotherapy) can be used to estimate a patient's ability to tolerate them, as well as the impact on his quality of life.

For this frail population with a PH and other comorbidities, no specific guidelines about how identify and manage them is available. An invasive test must not be automatic (compared with younger patients), particularly because they have a systemic and pulmonary vasculature less responsive to vasodilation (14). So, it is unlikely to give them a profit with a PAH treatment (15). It is first necessary to understand their life expectancy and their quality of life, because expectations of each patient for any therapy should always be considered and a case by case assessment is recommended.

Based on this point of view, we decided to evaluate the impacts of a systematic CGA in consecutive patients 70 years of age and older referred for suspected pulmonary hypertension.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

122

123 This study was mono-centric, prospective and descriptive, carried out in the Pulmonary Hypertension 124 Centre of Saint Etienne University Hospital, between July 2014 and May 2019. 125 All consecutive patients over 70 years of age, referred for suspected PH, were seen in consultation or 126 hospitalization before considering invasive tests for a diagnosis of PH. At the time of this 127 consultation, the evaluating physician had a complete clinical examination (including an assessment 128 of dyspnea according to the NYHA functional class modified by WHO), history and available 129 paraclinical data (transthoracic echocardiogram). 130 The comprehensive geriatric evaluation was standardized, carried out by a specialized doctor during 131 a dedicated consultation. The assessment was made using the following scales: Katz ADL autonomy 132 scale, Lawten IADL scale, Mini MNA (Mini Nutritional Assessment), monopod support, walking speed, 133 mini GDS (Geriatric Depression Scale), MMS (Mini Mental State), 5 word test. The CGA also included 134 an analysis of comorbidities, socio-economic data (lifestyle, environment, and resources) and 135 capacities to adapt to possible specific therapies. These scales are presented in the appendix. 136 The complete evaluation was notified to the referring doctor, including the conclusion of the CGA 137 (positive evaluation, negative evaluation). 138 In the case of a positive evaluation, the patient pursued the recommended diagnostic work-up with 139 an initial assessment in hospitalization: right cardiac catheterization (including a fluid challenge in 140 patients with pulmonary hypertension and wedge pressure superior between 12 and 16 mmHg), 141 6min walking test, pulmonary function tests, blood gas analysis, thoracic iconography (V/Q lung scan, 142 thoracic CT). Additional advice from the national PH center was taken any time required. Adaptation of therapies were made during the initial hospitalization. 143 144 The management of patients with confirmed precapillary PH was realized in accordance with the 145 international recommendations (1).

- 146 In case of a negative CGA evaluation, no more tests were realized, and symptomatic treatments were
- 147 proposed.

#### **3. Results**

#### 3.1 Population

From July 2014 to May 2019, 31 elderly patients were referred for a suspicion of PH. The median age was 81 [72-91] years. Twenty (64,5%) of these patients had a comprehensive geriatric evaluation favorable to further investigations, one of them refused to continue the examinations. Thus, 19 patients (61,3%) received additional examinations for diagnosis and 11 (35,5%) were rejected on the basis of the geriatric assessment.

In the patients with a CGA favorable to further investigations, all patients were still alive 12 months after the PH suspicion. Regarding the 11 patients not evaluated after CGA, 1 died at 6 months, and remaining 10 were alive at 12 months.

The population is described in <u>Table 1</u>.

#### 3.2 Clinical profile of PH

Of the 19 patients with complementary assessment for suspected PH, more than two-thirds were female, and the median age was 81 [72-91]. For 3 patients (15,8%) the diagnosis of PH was refuted by a right cardiac catheterization.

Of the 16 patients with confirmed PH (Table 2), eight had Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, three PAH (precapillary pulmonary hypertension with both negative fluid challenge and etiological assessment), two group 2 PH (PH due to heart failure with preserved LVEF) and three had Group 3 PH (2 restrictive and 1 obstructive lung diseases). Two patients combined a group 1 (1 anorexigenic intake and 1 scleroderma) and group 4 PH. The NYHA functional class was between II and III for all patients. The median cardiac index was 1,75 l/min/m² [1,3-3,3], the PAPm (median) was 36 mmHg [25-47], the right atrium pressure (median) was 9,5 mmHg [2-16], the 6-minute walk test (median) was 264 meters [104-444]. The median PaO2 was 58,5mmHg in room air [44-93].

#### 3.3 Additional treatment

- Specific treatment of PH has been initiated for 13 of the 16 patients. 3 patients did not receive
- 173 specific treatment.

171

- 3 patients in group 1 received a phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor and 1 of them received an endothelin
- 175 receptor inhibitor in addition.
- 176 In group 2 PH, 1 patient has benefited from an improvement in his diuretic treatment.
- 177 1 patient in group 3 benefited from the introduction of non-invasive ventilation in the context of
- 178 advanced Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome.
- 179 Finally, all patients with group 4 PH were eligible for specific invasive treatment (pulmonary
- 180 endarterectomy or balloon pulmonary angioplasty). Two of them refused invasive treatment and
- stayed on with drug treatment. For the two patients with mixed group 1 and group 4 PH, both were
- treated as group 4 PH, one by balloon pulmonary angioplasty twice and the other with Riociguat.
- 183 All the information concerning diagnosis and treatment are summarized in <u>Table 2</u>.

#### 4. Discussion

In our monocenter prospective study, the implementation of a dedicated Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment in elderly patients referred for a suspicion of PH lead to stop the investigation in one third of patients. In the remaining patients, precapillary PH was confirmed in most of them, with CTEPH representing half of the patients.

CGA was initially developed in oncology and is an integral part of international recommendations (16). By specifying what is related to the clinical manifestations of fragility by what is related to cancer pathology, CGA predicts treatment tolerance, hospitalization times, dependence and survival (17–19). An approach which is increasingly validated for areas other than cancer, such as post-hip fractures (20). A literature review of 10 recent studies found a change in initial oncologic treatment after a CGA for 39% of patients (21), and 2/3 of patients had a less intensive treatment. Our results suggest that implementation of a systematic CGA in patients admitted for PH suspicion was possible, and had a similar impact in the field of PH than in oncology, since 35% of patients did not have a favorable CGA and therefore did not have additional investigations. One has to remember that right heart catheterization is an invasive procedure, exposing the patients to the risk of complications as Haematoma at puncture sites, pneumothoraces, arrhythmias, vasovagal episodes, hypotensive episodes, and pulmonary haemorrhage (22). If these risks are acceptable in a patient with a high likelihood of PAH, it is most debatable in elderly patients with a lower likelihood.

Our suspected PH patients with more often functionally dependent (ADL and low IADL and high ECOG Performans Status), more isolated, having more polydrug therapy and having a lower BMI were not examined. Interestingly, all these factors (included patient functional status (ADL), undernutrition (17), and number of co-morbidities (23)) are statistically significant to modify oncology treatment in patient with cancer. Results which supported well our investigation.

Currently, two major cohorts are underway in oncogeriatric to clarify how the CGA affects the initiation of specific treatment. The GERICO cohort (24), evaluates the benefit of the CGA in terms of

chemotherapy, morbidity and mortality versus conventional treatment in colorectal cancer in the elderly; and the EGeSOR cohort (25) evaluates the impact of a CGA in survival, functional status and nutrition for elderly patients with head and neck cancer. To our knowledge, there are no ongoing studies in the field of PH. Moreover, some concerns have been raised about a potential underscreening of PH after pulmonary embolism in patients with cancer (26).

Concerning our PH older patients detected, the etiologies of the PH found were mainly from group 4, which is inconsistent because the main causes of PH after 65 years are rather from group 2, group 3 or mixed (11). This can be explained by the selection of patients and the monocentric nature of the study.

However, in another French monocenter cohort, nearly a quarter of patients with 75 years and more had a CTEPH (27). The increasing risk of pulmonary embolism with age (28) may explain these results. Larger multicenter cohorts are needed.

The only curable cause of PH was that of group 4, thanks to a surgical procedure: pulmonary endarterectomy. According to the European CTEPH register, survival at 3 years was better for operated patients than for non-operated patients (89% versus 70%) (29). This management improve survival, but also functional abilities and dyspnea (30). Most sick patients in our study were therefore eligible for curable treatment. However, among these patients, two refused invasive management, but all were able to receive specific treatment, which is known to improve exercise capacity and reduce dyspnea, despite few elderly patients were included (31).

Our included population had severe PHs. Regarding functional impact: the average walking distance for 6 minutes was 250m, all patients were with a function class III according to the NYHA functional class and the average cardiac index was less than 2l/min/m². These investigations were useful because they allowed the initiation of a specific treatment, offered to 13 out of 16 patients. The main outcome of most targeted therapies is the functional improvement (increase in 6-minute walk

distance) on elderly patients, while the effect on survival may be more debatable than in younger population.

Finally, PH group 1 in our study was as frequent as PH group 2 or 3, which is inconsistent with data from other studies (11). This may be explained by the under-representation of group 2 and group 3 PAHs in our series, probably due to a recruitment bias.

Nevertheless, our work has many limits. This mono-centric study is representative of patients referred to the unit, such as its endogenous recruitment. However, the patients referred in the PH center came from several diverse situations, in a metropolitan area of 1 million of inhabitants. Our department is also specialized in thromboembolic venous disease, so a recruitment bias is possible: half of the patients were carriers of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Nevertheless, the prospective nature of the collection has limited the number of lost views and follow-up is still ongoing.

CGA could not be multidisciplinary. Due to the limited resources of the unit, we could not have a dedicated physiotherapist, occupational therapist or neuropsychologist time. The assessment was based on subjective statements; no assessment of the patient in his or her environment was possible. The caregivers' appreciation was collected if possible but not systematically. The collection was standardized for all patients, which limited the missing information.

PH has a significant impact on patient autonomy through the dyspnea it causes. The functional capacity assessment may have been biased by this confounding factor. The assessment was as exhaustive as possible, also based on other functional reserves, such as mental reserves, and integrating, if possible, the assessment of those around them. However, it should be noted that the distance covered by the walking test (in patients with a positive opinion) was low, objectifying the handicap felt by the patients.

#### 5. Conclusion

Our study suggests that the implementation of CGA in patients admitted for a PH suspicion is feasible and may lead to stop the investigation in one third of patients. Most of the remaining patients had PH, with CTEPH being the main cause of PH. Further research are needed to assess the efficacy on such implementation in the clinical practice, as the evolution of patients according to CGA conclusion.

# 262 <u>Table 1: Population</u>

|  | Patients evaluated after | Patients not evaluated | Total population n=31*  |  |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|  | CGA n=19                 | after CGA n=11         |                         |  |
| Age (in years) (median [Boundaries])         | 81 [72-91]               | 82 [74-90]             | 81 [72-91]              |  |
| Female sex                                   | 14 (73,7%)               | 6 (54,5%)              | 20 (64,5%)              |  |
| Poly medication                              | 10 (52,6%)               | 9 (81,8%)              | 19 (61,3%)              |  |
| Anticoagulant treatment                      | 13 (68,4%)               | 7 (63,6%)              | 21 (67,7%)              |  |
| Isolation                                    | 2 (10,5%)                | 7 (63,6%)              | 9 (29%)                 |  |
| Performans status:                           |                          |                        |                         |  |
| - PSO  | 3 (15,8%)                | 0 (0%)                 | 4 (12,9%)               |  |
| - PS1  | 9 (47,4%)                | 4 (36,4%)              | 13 (41,9%)              |  |
| - PS2  | 5 (26,3%)                | 2 (18,2%)              | 7 (22,5%)               |  |
| - PS3  | 2 (10,5%)                | 3 (27,3%)              | 5 (16,1%)               |  |
| - PS4  | 0 (0%)                   | 2 (18,2%)              | 2 (6,4%)                |  |
| Institutionalization                         | 0 (0%)                   | 3 (27,3%)              | 3 (9,6%)                |  |
| Risk factor for PH:                          |                          |                        |                         |  |
| - Group 1                                    | 6 (31,6%)                | 2 (18,2%)              | 9 (29%)                 |  |
| - Group 2                                    | 4 (21,1%)                | 7 (63,6%)              | 11 (35,5%)              |  |
| - Group 3                                    | 6 (31,6%)                | 5 (45,5%)              | 11 (35,5%)              |  |
| - Group 4                                    | 11 (57,9%)               | 1 (9,1%)               | 13 (41,9%)              |  |
| NYHA Functional class:                       |                          | (n=8)                  | (n=28)                  |  |
| - 1/11                                       | 5 (26,3%)                | 2 (25%)                | 8 (28,6%)               |  |
| - III  | 14 (73,7%)               | 2 (25%)                | 16 (57,1%)              |  |
| - IV   | 0 (0%)                   | 4 (50%)                | 4 (14,3%)               |  |
| sPAP / TTE (mmHg)                            | 60 [43-100]              | 67,5 [45-90] (n=10)    | 62,5 [43-100] (n=30)    |  |
| (median [Boundaries])                        |                          |                        |                         |  |
| Sensory disorders:                           |                          |                        |                         |  |
| - Visual                                     | 3 (15,8%)                | 2 (18,2%)              | 5 (16,1%)               |  |
| - Auditive                                   | 3 (15,8%)                | 3 (27,3%)              | 7 (22,6%)               |  |
| Functional evaluation (median [Boundaries]): |                          |                        |                         |  |
| - ADL/6                                      | 6 [5-6]                  | 5 [1-6]                | 6 [1-6]                 |  |
| - IADL/4                                     | 4 [2-4]                  | 2 [0-4]                | 3 [0-4]                 |  |
| Nutrition (median [Boundaries]):             |                          |                        |                         |  |
| - Mini MNA/14                                | 14[6-14] (n=15)          | 10 [9-11] (n=2)        | 14 [6-14] (n=18)        |  |
| - BMI kg/m²                                  | 26,8 [16,5-43] (n=17)    | 25,7 [20,6-49] (n=7)   | 26,7 [16,5-49] (n=25)   |  |
| - Albumin g/l                                | 35 [29,1-43] (n=14)      | 36,5 [36-37] (n=2)     | 35,5 [29,1-43,0] (n=16) |  |
| Cognition (median [Boundaries]):             |                          |                        |                         |  |
| - MMS/30                                     | 29 [26-29] (n=18)        | 25 [13-29] (n=7)       | 28 [13-29] (n=27)       |  |
| - 5 words/10                                 | 10 [9-10] (n=18)         | 9 [9-10] (n=4)         | 10 [9-10] (n= 23)       |  |
| Mini GDS/4 (median [Boundaries])             | 0 [0-3] (n=17)           | 1 [0-4] (n=7)          | 0 [0-4] (n=25)          |  |
| Sleeping disorder                            | 11 (68,8%) (n=16)        | 3 (42,9%) (n=7)        | 15 (62,5%) (n=24)       |  |
| Risk of falling:                             |                          |                        |                         |  |
| <ul> <li>Fall history &lt;1 year</li> </ul>  | 4 (21,1%)                | 1 (11,1%) (n=9)        | 5 (17,2%) (n=29)        |  |
| - Positive orthostatic                       | 6 (35,3%) (n=17)         | 2 (40%) (n=5)          | 9 (39,1%) (n=23)        |  |

| hypotension test  - Monopodal support < 5sec  - Walking test < 1m/sec | 6 (26,7%) (n=15)<br>4 (26,7%) (n=15) | 2 (66,7%) (n=3)<br>1 (25%) (n=4) | 8 (42,1%) (n=19)<br>5 (25%) (n=20) |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Survival rate:  |                                      |                                  |                                    |
| - At 6 months   | 19 (100%)                            | 10 (90,9%) (n=11)                | 29 (96,7%) (n=30)                  |
| - At 1 year   | 19 (100%)                            | 10 (90,9%) (n=11)                | 29 (96,7%) (n=30)                  |

<sup>\*</sup>one patient refused explorations despite a favorable CGA

## Table 2: PH diagnosis and treatment

|                      | Total PH        | PH group 1     | PH group 2    | PH group 3     | PH group 4     |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|                      | n=16            | n=3            | n=2           | n=3            | n=8            |
| Age (median          | 81 [72-91]      | 81 [72-82]     | 78 [78]       | 76 [76-85]     | 82,5 [77-91]   |
| [Boundaries])        |                 |                |               |                |                |
| Female sex           | 12 (75%)        | 1 (33,3%)      | 2 (100%)      | 3 (100%)       | 6 (75%)        |
| NYHA (median         | 3 [2-3]         | 3 [2-3]        | 3 [3]         | 3 [2-3]        | 3 [2-3]        |
| [Boundaries])        |                 |                |               |                |                |
| sPAP / TTE (median   | 70 [43-100]     | 80 [70-83]     | 65 [60-70]    | 50[48-75]]     | 65 [43-100]    |
| [Boundaries])        |                 |                |               |                |                |
| Risk factor:         |                 |                |               |                |                |
| - Group 1            | 6 (37,5%)       | 1 (33,3%)      | 1 (50%)       | 2 (66,7%)      | 2 (25%)        |
| - Group 2            | 3 (18,8%)       | 2 (66,7%)      | 1 (50%)       | 0              | 0              |
| - Group 3            | 6 (37,5%)       | 2 (66,7%)      | 0             | 3 (100%)       | 1 (12,5%)      |
| - Group 4            | 10 (62,5%)      | 0              | 1 (50%)       | 1 (33,3%)      | 8 (100%)       |
| Group 4              |                 |                |               |                |                |
| Walking test / 6 min | 264 [104-444]   | 272 [143-341]  | 263 [224-302] | 224 [200-282]  | 288 [104-444]  |
| (median              |                 |                | ,             |                | 1              |
| [Boundaries])        |                 |                |               |                |                |
| mPAP/ mmHg           | 36 [25-47]      | 42 [40-47]     | 33,5 [31-36]  | 32 [25-51]     | 35,5 [28-46]   |
| (median              |                 |                |               |                |                |
| [Boundaries])        |                 |                |               |                |                |
| Cardiac index/L/mn   | 1,75 [1,3-3,3]] | 1,7 [1,7]      | 2 [1.9-2.1]   | 3,1 [2,4-3,3]  | 1,55 [1,3-1,9] |
| (median              |                 |                |               |                |                |
| [Boundaries])        |                 |                |               |                |                |
| Right atrium         | 9,5 [2-16]      | 10 [6-16]      | 9,5 [9-10]    | 9 [2-11]       | 8 [3-16]       |
| pressure / mmHg      |                 |                |               |                |                |
| (median              |                 |                |               |                |                |
| [Boundaries])        |                 |                |               |                |                |
| PaO2 / mmHg          | 58,5 [44-93]    | 46 [44-51]     | 84 [75-93]    | 52 [46-61]     | 61 [56-65,4]   |
| (median              |                 |                |               |                |                |
| [Boundaries])        |                 |                |               |                |                |
| Introduction of      |                 | 1 Sildenafil   | 1 Diuretics   | 1 Non invasive | 1 Surgery      |
| specific treatment   |                 | 1 Tadalafil    |               | ventilation    | 2 balloon      |
| after evaluation     |                 | 1 Sildenafil + |               |                | pulmonary      |
|                      |                 | Ambrisentan    |               |                | angioplasty    |
|                      |                 |                |               |                | 5 Riociguat    |

#### Bibliography:

- 1. Galiè N, Humbert M, Vachiery J-L, Gibbs S, Lang I, Torbicki A, et al. 2015 ESC/ERS Guidelines
- 268 for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension: The Joint Task Force for the Diagnosis
- and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the
- 270 European Respiratory Society (ERS): Endorsed by: Association for European Paediatric and Congenital
- 271 Cardiology (AEPC), International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT). Eur Heart J. 1
- 272 janv 2016;37(1):67-119.
- 273 2. Humbert M, Sitbon O, Chaouat A, Bertocchi M, Habib G, Gressin V, et al. Survival in patients
- with idiopathic, familial, and anorexigen-associated pulmonary arterial hypertension in the modern
- 275 management era. Circulation. 13 juill 2010;122(2):156-63.
- 276 3. Olsson KM, Delcroix M, Ghofrani HA, Tiede H, Huscher D, Speich R, et al. Anticoagulation and
- 277 survival in pulmonary arterial hypertension: results from the Comparative, Prospective Registry of
- 278 Newly Initiated Therapies for Pulmonary Hypertension (COMPERA). Circulation. 7 janv
- 279 2014;129(1):57-65.
- 280 4. Mueller-Mottet S, Stricker H, Domenighetti G, Domeninghetti G, Azzola A, Geiser T, et al.
- 281 Long-term data from the Swiss pulmonary hypertension registry. Respir Int Rev Thorac Dis.
- 282 2015;89(2):127-40.
- 283 5. Margelidon-Cozzolino V, Delavenne X, Catella-Chatron J, De Magalhaes E, Bezzeghoud S,
- Humbert M, et al. Indications and potential pitfalls of anticoagulants in pulmonary hypertension:
- Would DOACs become a better option than VKAs? Blood Rev. 2019;37:100579.
- 286 6. Kim NH, Delcroix M, Jais X, Madani MM, Matsubara H, Mayer E, et al. Chronic
- thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J. janv 2019;53(1).
- 288 7. INSEE, Pyramide des âges. Disponible sur https://www/insee.fr/fr/statistiques/2418114
- 289 8. Ling Y, Johnson MK, Kiely DG, Condliffe R, Elliot CA, Gibbs JSR, et al. Changing demographics,
- 290 epidemiology, and survival of incident pulmonary arterial hypertension: results from the pulmonary
- 291 hypertension registry of the United Kingdom and Ireland. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 15 oct
- 292 2012;186(8):790-6.
- 293 9. Poms AD, Turner M, Farber HW, Meltzer LA, McGoon MD. Comorbid conditions and
- 294 outcomes in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension: a REVEAL registry analysis. Chest. juill
- 295 2013;144(1):169-76.

- 296 10. Rich JD, Shah SJ, Swamy RS, Kamp A, Rich S. Inaccuracy of Doppler echocardiographic
- 297 estimates of pulmonary artery pressures in patients with pulmonary hypertension: implications for
- 298 clinical practice. Chest. mai 2011;139(5):988-93.
- 299 11. Pugh ME, Sivarajan L, Wang L, Robbins IM, Newman JH, Hemnes AR. Causes of Pulmonary
- 300 Hypertension in the Elderly. Chest. juill 2014;146(1):159-66.
- 301 12. Bertoletti L, Bertoletti G, Magalhaes ÉD, Merah A, Gaultier J-B, Gate-Martinet A, et al.
- 302 Hypertension pulmonaire chez la personne âgée. Gériatrie Psychol Neuropsychiatr Vieil. 1 mars
- 303 2015;13(1):8-14.
- 304 13. Brunello A, Fontana A, Zafferri V, Panza F, Fiduccia P, Basso U, et al. Development of an
- 305 oncological-multidimensional prognostic index (Onco-MPI) for mortality prediction in older cancer
- 306 patients. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2016;142:1069-77.
- 307 14. Shapiro BP, McGoon MD, Redfield MM. Unexplained pulmonary hypertension in elderly
- 308 patients. Chest. janv 2007;131(1):94-100.
- 309 15. Hoeper MM, Huscher D, Ghofrani HA, Delcroix M, Distler O, Schweiger C, et al. Elderly
- 310 patients diagnosed with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension: results from the COMPERA
- 311 registry. Int J Cardiol. 30 sept 2013;168(2):871-80.
- 312 16. Extermann M, Aapro M, Bernabei R, Cohen HJ, Droz J-P, Lichtman S, et al. Use of
- 313 comprehensive geriatric assessment in older cancer patients: recommendations from the task force
- on CGA of the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG). Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. sept
- 315 2005;55(3):241-52.
- 316 17. Caillet P, Canoui-Poitrine F, Vouriot J, Berle M, Reinald N, Krypciak S, et al. Comprehensive
- 317 geriatric assessment in the decision-making process in elderly patients with cancer: ELCAPA study. J
- 318 Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol. 20 sept 2011;29(27):3636-42.
- 319 18. O'Connell Francischetto E, Damery S, Davies S, Combes G. Discharge interventions for older
- patients leaving hospital: protocol for a systematic meta-review. Syst Rev. 16 mars 2016;5:46.
- 321 19. Ekerstad N, Karlson BW, Dahlin Ivanoff S, Landahl S, Andersson D, Heintz E, et al. Is the acute
- 322 care of frail elderly patients in a comprehensive geriatric assessment unit superior to conventional
- 323 acute medical care? Clin Interv Aging. 2017;12:1-9.

- 324 20. Prestmo A, Hagen G, Sletvold O, Helbostad JL, Thingstad P, Taraldsen K, et al. Comprehensive
- 325 geriatric care for patients with hip fractures: a prospective, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet Lond
- 326 Engl. 25 avr 2015;385(9978):1623-33.
- 327 21. Hamaker ME, Te Molder M, Thielen N, van Munster BC, Schiphorst AH, van Huis LH. The
- 328 effect of a geriatric evaluation on treatment decisions and outcome for older cancer patients A
- 329 systematic review. J Geriatr Oncol. 2018;9(5):430-40.
- 330 22. Hoeper MM, Lee SH, Voswinckel R, Palazzini M, Jais X, Marinelli A, et al. Complications of
- 331 right heart catheterization procedures in patients with pulmonary hypertension in experienced
- 332 centers. J Am Coll Cardiol. 19 déc 2006;48(12):2546-52.
- 23. Chaïbi P, Magné N, Breton S, Chebib A, Watson S, Duron J-J, et al. Influence of geriatric
- consultation with comprehensive geriatric assessment on final therapeutic decision in elderly cancer
- patients. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. sept 2011;79(3):302-7.
- 336 24. Lund CM, Vistisen KK, Dehlendorff C, Rønholt F, Johansen JS, Nielsen DL. The effect of
- 337 geriatric intervention in frail elderly patients receiving chemotherapy for colorectal cancer: a
- randomized trial (GERICO). BMC Cancer. 28 juin 2017;17(1):448.
- 339 25. Brugel L, Laurent M, Caillet P, Radenne A, Durand-Zaleski I, Martin M, et al. Impact of
- 340 comprehensive geriatric assessment on survival, function, and nutritional status in elderly patients
- 341 with head and neck cancer: protocol for a multicentre randomised controlled trial (EGeSOR). BMC
- 342 Cancer. 13 juin 2014;14:427.
- 26. Catella-Chatron J, Merah A, De Magalhaes E, Moulin N, Accassat S, Duvillard C, et al. Chronic
- thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension suspicion after pulmonary embolism in cancer patients.
- 345 Respir Med Res. nov 2019;76:34-7.
- 346 27. Ginoux M, Turquier S, Chebib N, Glerant J-C, Traclet J, Philit F, et al. Impact of comorbidities
- and delay in diagnosis in elderly patients with pulmonary hypertension. ERJ Open Res. oct 2018;4(4).
- 348 28. Delluc A, Tromeur C, Le Ven F, Gouillou M, Paleiron N, Bressollette L, et al. Current incidence
- 349 of venous thromboembolism and comparison with 1998: a community-based study in Western
- 350 France. Thromb Haemost. 28 oct 2016;116(5):967-74.
- 351 29. Delcroix M, Lang I, Pepke-Zaba J, Jansa P, D'Armini AM, Snijder R, et al. Long-Term Outcome
- of Patients With Chronic Thromboembolic Pulmonary Hypertension: Results From an International
- 353 Prospective Registry. Circulation. 1 mars 2016;133(9):859-71.

- 354 30. Mayer E, Jenkins D, Lindner J, D'Armini A, Kloek J, Meyns B, et al. Surgical management and
- 355 outcome of patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: results from an
- international prospective registry. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. mars 2011;141(3):702-10.
- 357 31. Ghofrani H-A, D'Armini AM, Grimminger F, Hoeper MM, Jansa P, Kim NH, et al. Riociguat for
- 358 the treatment of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. N Engl J Med. 25 juill
- 359 2013;369(4):319-29.