



HAL
open science

Group codes over fields are asymptotically good

Martino Borello, Wolfgang Willems

► **To cite this version:**

Martino Borello, Wolfgang Willems. Group codes over fields are asymptotically good. *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, 2020, 68, pp.101738 -. 10.1016/j.ffa.2020.101738 . hal-03492172

HAL Id: hal-03492172

<https://hal.science/hal-03492172v1>

Submitted on 5 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Group codes over fields are asymptotically good

Martino Borello

*Université Paris 8, Laboratoire de Géométrie, Analyse et Applications, LAGA,
Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, CNRS, UMR 7539, F-93430, Villetaneuse, France*

Wolfgang Willems

*Otto-von-Guericke Universität, Magdeburg, Germany, and Universidad del Norte,
Barranquilla, Colombia*

Abstract

Group codes are right or left ideals in a group algebra of a finite group over a finite field. Following the ideas of a paper on binary group codes by Bazzi and Mitter in 2006, we prove that group codes over finite fields of any characteristic are asymptotically good.

Keywords: Group algebra, group code, asymptotically good

2010 MSC: 94A17, 94B05, 20C05

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field of characteristic p and let G be a finite group. By a *group code* or, more precisely, a G -code we denote a right or left ideal in the group algebra $\mathbb{F}G^1$. Many interesting linear codes are group codes. For example, cyclic codes of length n are group codes for a cyclic group C_n ; Reed-Muller codes are group codes for an elementary abelian p -group [4, 8]; the binary extended self-dual [24, 12, 8] Golay code is a group code for the symmetric group S_4 on 4 letters [5] and the dihedral group D_{24} of order 24 [15]. Many best known codes are group codes as well. For instance, $\mathbb{F}_5(C_6 \times C_6)$ contains a [36, 28, 6] and $\mathbb{F}_5(C_{12} \times C_6)$ a [72, 62, 6] group code [14]. Both codes improved earlier examples in Grassl's list [12].

¹In the literature a block code is often also called a group code if the underlying alphabet is a finite group.

Already in 1965, Assmus, Mattson and Turyn [2] asked the question whether the class of cyclic codes, i.e., the class of group codes over cyclic groups, is asymptotically good. The answer is still open. In [3], Bazzi and Mitter proved that the class of group codes over the binary field is asymptotically good. Using the trivial fact that by field extensions neither the dimension nor the minimum distance changes, group codes are asymptotically good in characteristic 2. In this note we use the ideas of Bazzi and Mitter to prove our main result.

Theorem. Group codes over fields are asymptotically good in any characteristic.

The proof mainly follows the lines of [3] and does not distinguish between the prime $p = 2$ and p odd for the characteristic of the underlying field.

Note that, very recently, it has been shown that metacyclic codes are asymptotically good [7]. In the present paper the codes considered are metacyclic too and the characteristic of the field is related to the cardinality of the group, which is a sort of restriction. However, the results in [7] rely on a variant of Artin's primitive root conjecture being true, which is currently only guaranteed on assuming the GRH, whereas the results of the present paper are unconditional.

For different primes $p \neq q$ let $s_p(q)$ denote the order of p modulo q . In order to construct a sequence of particular binary group algebras over dihedral groups, in [3] the authors need a set of primes q with $2 \mid s_2(q)$ which has positive density in the set of all primes. Such a set is obviously given by all primes $q \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{8}$. For odd primes p the analog is far less obvious, but has already been proved by Wiertelak in 1977 (see [16]). In the following unified proof (i.e., p any prime) we heavily use results from modular representation theory.

In the following, $\mathbb{F}G = \{a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \mid a_g \in \mathbb{F}\}$ always denotes the group algebra of a finite group G over \mathbb{F} . The vector space $\mathbb{F}G$ with basis $g \in G$ serves as the ambient space of linear codes C with the weight function $\text{wt}(a) = |\{g \in G \mid a_g \neq 0\}|$. In particular $\dim \mathbb{F}G = |G|$ and C has length $|G|$. Moreover $\mathbb{F}G$ carries in a natural way an \mathbb{F} -algebra structure via the multiplication in G . More precisely, if $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ and $b = \sum_{g \in G} b_g g$ are

given, then

$$ab = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h \in G} a_h b_{h^{-1}g} \right) g.$$

Thus we may look at those linear codes C in $\mathbb{F}G$ which are invariant under G from the left or right, i.e., the left or right ideals of $\mathbb{F}G$ which we call group codes or G -codes. Since the \mathbb{F} -algebra antiautomorphism on $\mathbb{F}G$ given by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ defines a weight preserving map from the set of left ideals to the set of right ideals we may stick on the left ideals.

In the next section we analyze the algebra structure of $\mathbb{F}_p G$ where \mathbb{F}_p is a field of prime order p and G is a particular group of order qp for a prime $q \neq p$. In particular, we determine all minimal left ideals. In $\mathbb{F}_p G$ we look at the set \mathcal{I} of left ideals $I_q = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_s$ where the M_i are irreducible and taken from the different p -blocks of $\mathbb{F}_p G$. Choosing the prime q carefully with $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots$ and taking the M_i randomly we are able to find in Section 3 ideals I_{q_i} all with minimum distance at least $\delta > 0$ and dimension at least $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{pq_1} > 0$.

2. The structure of the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_p G_{p,q,m}$

Let p be a fixed prime and let q be a prime such that p divides $q - 1$ (there are infinitely many such q , by Dirichlet's Theorem). For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and $m^p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, we define the group $G_{p,q,m}$ by

$$G_{p,q,m} := \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^p = \beta^q = 1, \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1} = \beta^m \rangle = \langle \beta \rangle \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle. \quad (1)$$

Note that $G_{p,q,m}$ is a nonabelian metacyclic group. In the case $p = 2$ and $m = q - 1$ the group $G_{2,q,q-1}$ is a dihedral group which has been considered in [3] to prove the Theorem over the binary field \mathbb{F}_2 .

Next we put $N := \langle \beta \rangle$ and $Q := \mathbb{F}_p N$. Any element r of $\mathbb{F}_p G_{p,q,m}$ can uniquely be written as

$$r = r_0 + \alpha r_1 + \cdots + \alpha^{p-1} r_{p-1}$$

with $r_0, \dots, r_{p-1} \in Q$. If $a = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i \beta^i$ (with $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$) is an element of Q , we define \hat{a} by

$$\hat{a} := \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i \beta^{i \cdot m}$$

Clearly, the map $\hat{\alpha}: Q \rightarrow Q$ is an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra automorphism. From the relation $\alpha\beta = \beta^m\alpha$ we get $\alpha\beta^i = \beta^{i\cdot m}\alpha$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$, so that

$$\alpha a = \hat{\alpha} a$$

for all $a \in Q$.

Now we realize Q as $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x^q - 1 \rangle$. Since Q is a semisimple algebra by Maschke's Theorem ([1], p. 116), we have, due to Wedderburn's Theorem ([1], Chap. 5, Sect. 13, Theorem 16), a unique decomposition

$$Q = \bigoplus_{i=0}^s Q_i$$

into 2-sided ideals Q_i , where each Q_i is a simple algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . If

$$x^q - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^s f_i$$

is a factorization of $x^q - 1$ into irreducible polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$, then

$$Q_i = \left\langle \frac{x^q - 1}{f_i} \right\rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle f_i \rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^{\deg f_i}}.$$

We may suppose that $f_0 = x - 1$, so that $Q_0 = \langle 1 + \dots + x^{q-1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_p$. Now let ζ_q be a primitive q -th root of unity in an extension field of \mathbb{F}_p . It is well-known by basic Galois theory that, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, there exists exactly one coset A_i in $\mathbb{F}_q^\times / \langle p \rangle$ such that

$$f_i = \prod_{a \in A_i} (x - \zeta_q^a)$$

and the map $f_i \mapsto A_i$ is one-to-one. Furthermore, $\deg f_i = s_p(q)$, which is the multiplicative order of p in \mathbb{F}_q^\times . In particular,

$$\dim Q_i := l_i = s_p(q)$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. The automorphism $\hat{\alpha}$ maps each Q_i to some Q_j . More precisely, \hat{Q}_i corresponds to the coset mA_i . In particular, $\hat{Q}_i = Q_i$ iff $mA_i = A_i$.

In what follows we need to understand which conditions on q and m imply $\hat{Q}_i = Q_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Note that obviously $\hat{Q}_0 = Q_0$.

Lemma 2.1. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

1. $\hat{Q}_i = Q_i$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s\}$.
2. There exists $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that $\hat{Q}_i = Q_i$.
3. $m \in \langle p \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.

Proof. Clearly (1) implies (2). By the discussion above, $\hat{Q}_i = Q_i$ for some $i \geq 1$ iff $mA_i = A_i$, which happens iff $m \in \langle p \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. So (2) implies (3). Obviously (1) follows from (3). \square

Let $s_p(q)$ denote the order of p modulo q and suppose that $p \mid s_p(q)$. Thus $s_p(q) = pu$ for some $u \in \mathbb{N}$. We may take $m := p^u$ in the definition of $G_{p,q,m}$, since $m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and $m^p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. In this case we have $\hat{Q}_i = Q_i$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s\}$, by Lemma 2.1.

Now let

$$\mathcal{P} := \{q \mid q \text{ a prime, } p \mid s_p(q)\}.$$

The set \mathcal{P} of primes is infinite and it has positive density (see for instance [16]).

From now on, we assume that $q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Let $G := G_{p,q,p^{s_p(q)/p}}$ and recall that $Q = \mathbb{F}_p N = Q_0 \oplus \dots \oplus Q_s$ with $Q_0 = (\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \beta^i) \mathbb{F}_p$. If we put

$$R_i = Q_i \oplus \alpha Q_i \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha^{p-1} Q_i$$

for $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$, then obviously

$$\mathbb{F}_p G = R_0 \oplus \dots \oplus R_s.$$

Theorem 2.2. *The structure of R_i is as follows.*

- a) All R_i are 2-sided ideals of $\mathbb{F}_p G$.
- b) As a left $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module we have $R_0 \cong \mathbb{F}_p G/N$. In particular, R_0 is uniserial of dimension p and all composition factors are isomorphic to the trivial $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module.
- c) For $i > 0$ all minimal left ideals in R_i are projective $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -modules. Thus R_i is a completely reducible left $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module for $i > 0$.

- d) R_i is indecomposable as a 2-sided ideal, hence a p -block of $\mathbb{F}_p G$. In particular, R_i contains up to isomorphism exactly one irreducible left $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module which is of dimension $l_i = s_p(q)$.
- e) $R_i \cong \text{Mat}_p(\mathbb{F}_{p^{i/p}})$ for $i > 0$ and R_i contains up to isomorphism exactly one irreducible left $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module, say M_i , of dimension $l_i = s_p(q)$.

Proof. a) Clearly, R_i is a left ideal. It is also a right ideal since $Q_i = \hat{Q}_i$ by Lemma 2.1, and $\alpha a = \hat{\alpha} a$ for $a \in Q$.

b) This follows immediately from representation theory (see for instance ([13], Chap. VII, Example 14.10)).

c) Let $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p \supseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ be a finite splitting field for N ([13], Chap. VII, Theorem 2.6). Thus every irreducible character χ of $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p N$ is of degree 1. If χ is not the trivial character, then, according to the action of α on β , the induced character χ^G is an irreducible character for G , by Clifford's Theorem. Furthermore χ^G is afforded by an irreducible projective $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p G$ -module ([13], Chap. VII, Theorem 7.17). Thus all non-trivial irreducible $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p G$ -modules are projective. Now, let M be an irreducible non-trivial $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p G$ -module and denote by M_0 the space M regarded as an $\mathbb{F}_p G$ module. Then, by ([13], Chap. VII, Theorem 1.16 a)), $M_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is a direct sum of Galois conjugates of M , which are all projective since no one is the trivial module. Finally, by ([13], Chap. VII, Ex. 19 in Sec. 7), the module M_0 is a projective $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module, and by ([13], Chap. VII, Theorem 1.16 d)), $M_0 \cong W \oplus \dots \oplus W$ for some irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module W . Thus W is projective. Since obviously all irreducible non-trivial $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -modules can be described this way we are done.

d) Note that R_i is not irreducible as a left module since $M_i := Q_i(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})$ is a minimal ideal in R_i . Clearly, $Q_i \cong M_i$ as a left $\mathbb{F}_p N$ -module. Thus Q_i has an extension to the irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module M_i . But all extensions are isomorphic since G/N is a p -group. Thus R_i has up to isomorphism exactly one irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module and $\mathbb{F}_p G$ has exactly $s + 1$ non-isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -modules. If some R_i is a direct sum of two non-zero 2-sided ideals, then R_i contains at least two non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -modules, a contradiction.

e) By c) and d), we know that R_i contains up to isomorphism exactly one irreducible left $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module, say M_i , which has dimension l_i . Thus $R_i \cong M_i \oplus \dots \oplus M_i$ with p components M_i . That R_i has the indicated matrix algebra structure now follows by Wedderburn's Theorem. \square

Lemma 2.3. *For $i > 0$ we have*

a) $Z_i := \{a \in Q_i \mid a = \hat{a}\}$ is a subfield of Q_i .

b) $\#Z_i = p^{\frac{l_i}{p}} = p^{\frac{s_p(q)}{p}}$.

Proof. a) This is obviously true.

b) Since α acts fixed point freely on $N \setminus \{1\}$ we get $\dim\{a \in Q^* \mid \hat{a} = a\} = \frac{q-1}{p}$. Now, it is sufficient to show that $\dim Z_1 = \dim Z_j$ for $j \geq 1$, which implies

$$\dim Z_i = \frac{q-1}{sp} = \frac{s_p(q)}{p} = \frac{l_i}{p}.$$

Let $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be a splitting field for G . To prove that $\dim Z_1 = \dim Z_j$ for $j \geq 1$ first note that $Q_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{l_i}$, where $V_j = (\frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{x \in N} \chi_j(x^{-1})x)\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and χ_j is a linear non-trivial character of $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p N$. Thus α acts regularly on the set $\{V_1, \dots, V_{l_i}\}$, which proves that the fixed point space of α on $V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{l_i}$ has dimension $\frac{l_i}{p}$. This implies that the fixed point space on W_i also has dimension $\frac{l_i}{p}$, i.e. $\#Z_i = p^{\frac{l_i}{p}}$. \square

In order to determine all minimal left ideals in R_i we need the following notation. For $b \in Q_i^\times$ we denote by $[b]$ the image of b in the factor group Q_i^\times / Z_i^\times .

Lemma 2.4. *For $i > 0$ we have the following.*

a) For $b \in Q_i^\times$, the space $Q_i(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b$ is a minimal left ideal in R_i .

b) $Q_i(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b = Q_i(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b'$ iff $[b] = [b']$.

c) Each minimal left ideal of R_i is of the form $I_{[b]}^i = Q_i(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b$ with $b \in Q_i^\times$.

Proof. a) This is clear since $\alpha a = \hat{a}\alpha$ for $a \in Q$ and $\hat{Q}_i = Q_i$.

b) Suppose that $0 \neq a(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b = a'(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b'$ with $a, a', b, b' \in Q_i^\times$. Thus

$$x(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})y = (1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})$$

with $x = a'^{-1}a$ and $y = bb'^{-1}$. Since

$$x(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})y = xy + x\hat{y}\alpha + \hat{y}\alpha^2 + \dots$$

we obtain $xy = 1 = x\hat{y}$, hence $y = \hat{y}$. It follows

$$y = bb'^{-1} \in Z_i^\times,$$

hence $[b] = [b']$. Conversely, if $[b] = [b']$, then obviously $Q_i(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b = Q_i(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b'$.

c) Since $\#Z_i = p^{\frac{l_i}{p}}$ by Lemma 2.3, we have constructed so far exactly $\frac{p^{l_i}-1}{p^{l_i/p}-1}$ minimal left ideals. According to Lemma 2.2 e) we have $R_i \cong \text{Mat}_p(\mathbb{F}_{p^{l_i/p}})$. It is well-known that there is a bijection between the set of minimal left ideals in $\text{Mat}_p(\mathbb{F}_{p^{l_i/p}})$ and the set of 1-dimensional subspaces in a p -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{l_i/p}}$, which has cardinality $\frac{p^{l_i}-1}{p^{l_i/p}-1}$. \square

3. Asymptotically good group codes

In this section we prove that group codes are asymptotically good in any characteristic. We set here $G := G_{p,q,p^{s_p(q)/p}}$ and we consider the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_p G$. All the notations are as in Section 2.

Lemma 3.1 (Chepyzhov [9]). *Let $r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ denote a non-decreasing function and let*

$$P(r) = \{t \text{ prime} \mid s_p(t) \geq r(t)\}.$$

If $r(t) \ll \sqrt{\gamma \cdot t / \log_p t}$, with $\gamma = \log_p(e) \cdot \log_p(2)$, then $P(r)$ is infinite and dense in the set of all primes. In particular, if $\log_p(t) \ll r(t) \ll \sqrt{\gamma \cdot t / \log_p t}$, then the set of primes t such that $s_p(t)$ grows faster than $\log_p(t)$ is infinite and dense in the set of all primes.

Proof. Let B_n be the set of primes t less than n which are not in $P(r)$ (i.e., if $\pi(n)$ is the set of primes less than n , then $\pi(n) = B_n \sqcup (P(r) \cap \pi(n))$). Since $s_p(t)$ is the multiplicative order of p modulo t , there exists, for every t in B_n , two integers $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$0 < a < r(t) \text{ and } p^a - 1 = kt.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \#B_n &\leq \#\{(a, k) \mid 0 < a < r(t) \text{ and } (p^a - 1)/k \text{ is prime}\} \leq \\ &\leq r(t) \cdot \max_{0 < a < r(t)} \#\{\text{prime factors of } p^a - 1\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq r(t) \cdot \log_2(p^{r(t)} - 1) \leq r(t)^2 \cdot \log_2(p) \ll \frac{t}{\log t}.$$

By the Prime Number Density Theorem, we have $\pi(n) \sim n/\log n$. Thus the set $P(r)$ is infinite, even dense in the set of all primes. \square

Remark 3.2. *Since \mathcal{P} has positive density, there are infinitely many $q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $s_p(q)$ grows faster than $\log_p(q)$.*

Lemma 3.3. *If Ω_l be the set of left ideals in Q of dimension l , then $\#\Omega_l \leq q^{l/s_p(q)+1}$.*

Proof. Recall that Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_s are the irreducible modules in Q where $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q_0 = 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q_i = s_p(q)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. An ideal of dimension l is a direct sum of at most $l/s_p(q) + 1$ of these irreducible modules. There are at most $(s+1)^{l/s_p(q)+1}$ such sums and the assertion follows from $s+1 \leq q = s_p(q) \cdot s + 1$. \square

Let $Q^* = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s Q_i$ and let $Q^{*\times}$ be the multiplicative group of units of Q^* .

Lemma 3.4. *If $f \in Q^*$ such that $\dim fQ = l$ and*

$$U = Q^{*\times} f(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})Q^{*\times},$$

then $\#U \geq p^{\frac{2p-1}{p}l}$.

Proof. We may decompose $f = \sum_{i=1}^s f_i$, with $f_i \in Q_i$ and put $S := \{i \mid f_i \neq 0\}$. Since $f_i Q_i^\times = Q_i^\times$ for $i \in S$ (recall that Q_i is isomorphic to a field), we get

$$U = \sum_{i \in S} Q_i^\times (1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1}) Q_i^\times.$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$Q_i^\times (1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1}) Q_i^\times = \bigsqcup_{[b] \in Q_i^\times / Z_i^\times} I_{[b]}^i \setminus \{0\},$$

where $\#I_{[b]}^i = p^{li}$ and $\#Q_i^\times / Z_i^\times = \#\{\text{irreducible left ideals in } R_i\} = \frac{p^{li}-1}{p^{li/p}-1}$. It follows

$$\#(Q_i^\times (1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1}) Q_i^\times) = \frac{p^{li} - 1}{p^{li/p} - 1} \cdot (p^{li} - 1) \geq p^{(p-1)li/p} \cdot p^{li}.$$

Finally,

$$\#U \leq \sum_{i \in S} p^{(p-1)l_i/p} \cdot p^{l_i} = p^{\frac{2p-1}{p}l},$$

since $l = \sum_{i \in S} l_i$. □

In order to prove Theorem 3.6 we need the following result which is a special case of ([11], Theorem 3.3). Let us recall that a group code is a balanced code, as observed in [3, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 3.5. *Let C be a $[n, k]_p$ group code. Then*

$$A_w(C) := \#\{c \mid c \in C, \text{wt}(c) = w\} \leq p^{k \cdot h_p(w/n)}$$

for all $0 \leq w \leq \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot n$, where

$$h_p(x) := -(1-x) \log_p(1-x) - x \log_p\left(\frac{x}{p-1}\right)$$

is the p -ary entropy function.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $R := \mathbb{F}_p G$ and consider the unique decomposition $R = \bigoplus_{i=0}^s R_i$ into the p -blocks R_i described in Theorem 2.2.*

Now we choose a left ideal I of R as

$$I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s I_i$$

where each I_i is taken uniformly at random among the $1 + p^{l_i/p} + \dots + p^{(p-1)l_i/p}$ non-zero irreducible left ideals of R_i .

If $0 < \delta \leq \frac{p-1}{p}$ satisfies $h_p(\delta) \leq \frac{p-1}{p^2} - \frac{\log_p(q)}{p \cdot s_p(q)}$, then the probability that the minimum relative distance of I is below δ is at most

$$p^{-p \cdot s_p(q) \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{p^2} - h_p(\delta)\right) + (2p+1) \log_p(q)}.$$

Proof. Since every irreducible left ideal I_i is of the form given in Lemma 2.4, the above randomized construction is equivalent to consider

$$I_{[b]} = Q(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b = Q^*(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b$$

where $[b]$ is selected uniformly at random from $Q^{*\times}/Z$ with $Z := \{a \in Q^{*\times} \mid \hat{a} = a\}$. Since $Q^{*\times}$ is a group, we have $Q^{*\times} = aQ^{*\times}$ for all $a \in Q^{*\times}$, hence

$$I_{[b]} = aQ^*(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b$$

for all $a \in Q^{*\times}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \Pr(d(I_{[b]}) \leq pq\delta) = \frac{\#\{I_{[b]} \mid d(I_{[b]}) \leq pq\delta\}}{\#(Q^{*\times}/T)} = \\ &= \frac{\#\{(a, b) \mid d(aQ^*(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b) \leq pq\delta\}}{\#(Q^{*\times})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the minimum distance, we have that

$$P \leq \sum_{f \in Q^*, f \neq 0} \Pr_{(a,b) \in (Q^{*\times})^2} (0 \leq \text{wt}(af(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b) < pq\delta).$$

We can partition Q as

$$Q = \bigsqcup_{l=s_p(q)}^q \underbrace{\{f \in Q \mid \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} fQ = l\}}_{=D_l} \quad \text{and} \quad Q^* = \bigsqcup_{l=s_p(q)}^q \underbrace{D_l \cap Q^*}_{=D_l^*},$$

so that

$$P \leq \sum_{l=s_p(q)}^q \#(D_l^*) \max_{f \in D_l^*} \Pr_{(a,b) \in (Q^{*\times})^2} (0 \leq \text{wt}(af(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b) < pq\delta).$$

Let Ω_l be the set of left ideals in Q of dimension l . Then

$$\#(D_l^*) \leq \#(D_l) \leq p^l \cdot \#(\Omega_l) \leq p^l \cdot q^{l/s_p(q)+1}$$

by Lemma 3.3. For any l and any $f \in D_l^*$, we can define

$$U = Q^{*\times} f(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})Q^{*\times}$$

as in Lemma 3.4. Using this we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr_{(a,b) \in (Q^{\times})^2} (0 \leq \text{wt}(af(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b) < pq\delta) = \\
&= \sum_{r \in U, 0 \leq \text{wt}(r) < pq\delta} \Pr_{(a,b) \in (Q^{\times})^2} (af(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b = r) \leq \\
&\leq \max_{r \in U} \Pr_{(a,b) \in (Q^{\times})^2} (af(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b = r) \cdot \\
&\cdot \sum_{w_1, \dots, w_p \geq 0, w_1 + \dots + w_p < pq\delta} \#(fQ^{(w_1)}) \cdot \dots \cdot \#(fQ^{(w_p)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $fQ^{(w)}$ is the set of elements of weight w in fQ .

It is easy to see that each $r \in U$ can occur with the same probability as $af(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b$, so that the above probability is independent of r . Thus we have

$$\Pr_{(a,b) \in (Q^{\times})^2} (af(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-1})b = r) = \frac{1}{\#U} \leq p^{-\frac{2p-1}{p}l},$$

by Lemma 3.4.

Moreover, fQ is a $[pq, l]_p$ group code, so that, by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\#(fQ^{(w)}) \leq p^{l \cdot h_p(w/pq)}$$

for all $w \leq (p-1) \cdot q$ (which is true, since $\delta \leq \frac{p-1}{p}$). Putting together all previous inequalities we have

$$P \leq \sum_{l=s_p(q)}^q p^{-\frac{p-1}{p}l} \cdot q^{l/s_p(q)+1} \cdot \sum_{w_1, \dots, w_p \geq 0, w_1 + \dots + w_p < pq\delta} p^{l \cdot \sum_{i=1}^p h_p(w_i/pq)},$$

so that, by the convexity,

$$P \leq \sum_{l=s_p(q)}^q p^{-\frac{p-1}{p}l} \cdot q^{l/s_p(q)+1} \cdot (pq\delta)^p \cdot p^{l \cdot p \cdot h_p(\delta)} \leq \sum_{l=s_p(q)}^q p^{l \cdot p \cdot \left(h_p(\delta) - \frac{p-1}{p^2} + \frac{\log_p(q)}{p \cdot s_p(q)} \right) + p + p \log_p(q)}.$$

Finally, if $h_p(\delta) \leq \frac{p-1}{p^2} - \frac{\log_p(q)}{p \cdot s_p(q)}$, then

$$P \leq p^{-p \cdot s_p(q) \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{p^2} - h_p(\delta) \right) + (p+1) \log_p(q) + p} \leq p^{-p \cdot s_p(q) \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{p^2} - h_p(\delta) \right) + (2p+1) \log_p(q)}.$$

□

Corollary 3.7. *Group codes over finite fields are asymptotically good.*

Proof. We have to prove the assertion only for prime fields. The general case then follows by field extension (see ([10], Proposition 12)). According to Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.2, we may choose a sequence of primes q_i in \mathcal{P} such that $q_1 < q_2 < \dots$ and $\frac{s_p(q_i)}{\log_p(q_i)} \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $0 < \delta \leq \frac{p-1}{p}$ with $h_p(\delta) \leq \frac{p-1}{p^2} - \frac{\log_p(q_1)}{p \cdot s_p(q_1)}$. Thus the assumption in Theorem 3.6 is satisfied for all q_i and we can find a left ideal I_{q_i} in $\mathbb{F}_p G_{p, q_i, p^{s_p(q_i)}/p}$ with relative minimum distance at least δ . Furthermore, $\dim I_{q_i} = s \cdot s_p(q_i) = q_i - 1$. Thus

$$\frac{\dim I_{q_i}}{pq_i} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{pq_i} \geq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{pq_1}.$$

This shows that the sequence of the left ideals I_{q_i} is asymptotically good. \square

Remark 3.8. Note that the groups $G_{p,q,m}$ are p -nilpotent with cyclic Sylow p -subgroups. Thus the asymptotically good sequence we constructed in Corollary 3.7 is a sequence of group codes in code-checkable group algebras [6]. In such algebras all left and right ideals are principal.

Acknowledgement. The first author was partially supported by PEPS - Jeunes Chercheur-e-s - 2018. We are very grateful to Pieter Moree who brought to our attention his paper [16]. Thanks also goes to anonymous referees who pointed out some inconsistencies in an earlier version.

References

- [1] J.L. ALPERIN and R.B. BELL, Groups and representations, *Graduate Text in Math.*, Springer Verlag 1995.
- [2] E.F. ASSMUS, H.F. MATTSON AND R. TURYN, Cyclic codes, *Report AFCRL-65-332 of the Appl. Res. Lab.*, Sylvania Electronic Systems 1965.
- [3] L.M.J. BAZZI AND S.K. MITTER, Some randomized code constructions from group actions, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 52, pp. 3210-3219, 2006.
- [4] S.D. BERMAN, On the theory of group codes, *Kibernetika*, vol. 3, pp. 31-39, 1967.

- [5] F. BERNHARDT, P. LANDROCK AND O. MANZ, The extended Golay codes considered as ideals, *J. Comb. Theory, Series A*, vol. 55, pp. 235-246, 1990.
- [6] M. BORELLO, J. DE LA CRUZ AND W. WILLEMS, Checkable codes in group algebras, *arXiv: 1901.10979*, 2019.
- [7] M. BORELLO, P. MOREE, P. SOLÉ, Asymptotic performance of metacyclic codes, *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 343(7), 2020.
- [8] P. CHARPIN, Une généralisation de la construction de Berman des codes de Reed-Muller p -aire, *Comm. Algebra*, vol 16, pp. 2231-2246, 1988.
- [9] V. CHEPYZHOV, New lower bounds for minimum distance of linear quasi-cyclic and almost linear cyclic codes, *Probl. Peredachi Inf.*, vol 28, pp. 33-44, 1992.
- [10] A. FALDUM AND W. WILLEMS, Codes of small defect, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol.10, pp. 341-350, 1997.
- [11] Y. FAN AND L. LIU, Thresholds of random quasi-abelian codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 61, pp. 82-90, 2015.
- [12] M. GRASSL, Bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes. Online <http://www.codetables.de>.
- [13] B. HUPPERT AND N. BLACKBURN, *Finite Groups II*, Springer Verlag 1982.
- [14] S. JITMAN, S. LING, H. LIU AND X. XIE, Checkable codes from group rings, *arXiv: 1012.5498v1*, 2010.
- [15] I. MCLOUGHLIN AND T. HURLEY, A group ring construction of the extended binary Golay code, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, Vol. 54, pp. 4381-4383, 2008.
- [16] P. MOREE, On primes p for which d divides $\text{ord}_p(g)$, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, vol.33, pp. 85-95, 2005.