

# Rationale for opportunistic salping ectomy during gynecological surgery for a benign condition: A review of the available literature

Aurélie Buffeteau, Yann Tanguy Le Gac, Ariane Weyl, Elodie Chantalat, Paul Guerby, Fabien Vidal

# ► To cite this version:

Aurélie Buffeteau, Yann Tanguy Le Gac, Ariane Weyl, Elodie Chantalat, Paul Guerby, et al.. Rationale for opportunistic salpingectomy during gynecological surgery for a benign condition: A review of the available literature. Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction, 2020, 49 (8), pp.101829. 10.1016/j.jogoh.2020.101829. hal-03492122

# HAL Id: hal-03492122 https://hal.science/hal-03492122

Submitted on 17 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Rationale for opportunistic salpingectomy during gynecological surgery for a benign condition: a review of the available literature

Buffeteau Aurélie \* <sup>1</sup>, Tanguy Le Gac Yann <sup>1</sup>, Weyl Ariane <sup>2</sup>, Chantalat Elodie <sup>2</sup>, Guerby Paul <sup>1</sup>, Vidal Fabien <sup>1, 3</sup>.

1- CHU de Toulouse, Pôle Femme Mère Couple, Hôpital Paule de Viguier, 31059 Toulouse, France

- 2- CHU de Toulouse, Pôle Femme Mère Couple, Hôpital Rangueil, 31059 Toulouse, France
- 3- Université Toulouse III, IRIT, CNRS UMR 5505, Toulouse, France

\* For any information, please contact Aurélie Buffeteau

E-mail: aurelie.buffeteau0@gmail.com

Fax: +33 5 67 77 11 23

#### Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest

#### Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Prevalence of canine hip dysplasia in 17 breeds in France, a
 retrospective study of the 1993-2019 radiographic screening
 period

4

#### 5 Summary

6 Canine hip dysplasia is a complex developmental disease of the coxo-femoral joint and one of 7 the most common orthopedic conditions in dogs. Due to the genetic contribution, most of the 8 programs fighting against hip dysplasia recommend selective breeding that excludes affected 9 dogs. In France, the phenotypic screening of coxo-femoral joint conformation remains a 10 strategy for breeders to establish selection decisions.

We compared the hip dysplasia prevalence in affected breeds over several periods of time toevaluate the effectiveness of the hip dysplasia control program in France.

13 17 breeds were studied, based on the assessment of 8022 dogs, during the 1993-2019
14 screening period, which was divided into several intervals for analysis.

The prevalence varied widely from 8.8% (Hovawart) to 49.2% (American Staffordshire Terrier). It decreased over time in 10 breeds, the decrease being significant in the Picardy shepherd dog. An increase in prevalence was noted in 7 breeds, although the differences were not statistically significant.

Our results are in accordance with several recent studies showing that long-term selection policies may help decreasing the hip dysplasia prevalence in some breeds. The complementary use of more recent tools such as estimated breeding values and genomics would probably help breeders achieve more substantive results.

23

24 Keywords: Hip dysplasia, dog, phenotypic screening

#### 26 Résumé

La dysplasie de hanche est une affection complexe de l'articulation coxo-fémorale particulièrement courante chez le chien. Compte tenu de l'héritabilité de l'affection, la plupart des programmes de lutte contre l'affection recommandent d'exclure les chiens affectés de la reproduction. En France, le dépistage phénotypique de la dysplasie de hanche est utilisé par les éleveurs dans leurs plans de sélection.

Afin d'évaluer l'efficacité du programme de contrôle de la dysplasie de hanche en France,
nous avons étudié l'évolution de la prévalence de l'affection chez 17 races, sur la base de
l'évaluation radiographique de 8022 chiens, au cours de la période 1993-2019 (divisée en
plusieurs intervalles pour l'analyse).

La prévalence de la dysplasie de hanche varie de 8,8% (Hovawart) à 49,2% (American
Staffordshire Terrier). Pour 10 races, elle a diminué au fil du temps, la diminution étant
statistiquement significative chez le Picardy shepherd dog. Une augmentation de prévalence
est observée pour 7 races, mais les différences ne sont pas statistiquement significatives.

40 Nos résultats sont conformes à plusieurs études récentes qui montrent que la politique de 41 sélection des reproducteurs permet de diminuer la prévalence de la dysplasie de hanche dans 42 un certain nombre de races. L'utilisation complémentaire d'outils plus récents tels que les 43 valeurs estimées de reproduction et la génomique permettrait probablement d'obtenir des 44 résultats plus conséquents.

- 45
- 46
- 47

# 50 Introduction

51 Canine hip dysplasia (HD) is a complex developmental disease of the coxo-femoral joint and
52 is one of the most common orthopedic conditions in dogs [1].

Osteoarthritis results from the varying degree of hip laxity of the coxo-femoral joint leading to subluxation of the femoral head, a shallow acetabulum and flattening of the femoral head [2]. These anatomical abnormalities lead to an inflammatory reaction with secondary degenerative joint disease associated with pain and lameness ranging from mild to severe [1,3]. The multifactorial characteristics of this medical condition are the results of genetic and environmental contributions (nutrition, growth rate, overall body weight). Studies have shown that the degree of heritability varies from 0.11 to 0.68 among different dog breeds [4].

Due to the genetic predisposition, excluding affected dogs from breeding has been shown to reduce the prevalence of HD [5]. The heritability of HD and the response to selection is however breed dependent. The higher the heritability, the greater is the expected genetic improvement over time when selective breeding is practiced [6].

64 In France, a program intending to reduce HD prevalence was introduced in 1971 under the 65 responsibility of each breed club and supervised by the French Kennel Club (SCC: Société Centrale Canine) [7]. Currently, phenotypic screening for coxo-femoral joint conformation 66 remains a strategy for breeders for making selection decisions [8]. Radiographic screening for 67 68 HD is based on a conventional ventrodorsal hip extended radiograph in anaesthetized or 69 deeply sedated dogs. According to the Fédération Cynologique Internationale (FCI), a five-70 class system is used in continental Europe, Asia, Russia and parts of South America (Table 1). The grades are defined descriptively based on the size of the Norberg angle (NA), depth of the 71 72 acetabulum, degree of subluxation and signs of secondary joint disease [9].

74	The minimum age for official screening is 12 months, except in large and giant breeds, in
75	which it is 18 months [7]. For each breed, an official reader is appointed by the breed club to
76	analyse the radiographs. Several control programs have been conducted in different countries
77	over the last 25 years, and their ability to reduce hip dysplasia has shown variable results.
78	While several reports identified a decrease in HD prevalence [5,7,10-16], others failed to
79	identify any significant progress [17-20].
80	The aim of our observational study was to compare the HD prevalence in affected breeds over
81	several periods of time to evaluate the effectiveness of the hip dysplasia control program in
82	France. This study is complementary of a first one [16], which was recently published and
83	related to 10 other breeds, over the 1997-2017 screening period.
84	
85	
96	
86	
87	
88	
00	
89	
90	
20	
91	
92	
93	

# 94 Materials and methods

#### 95 **Data**

96 From 1993 to 2019, 50955 standard radiographs of extended hindlimbs submitted by breeders or 97 owners were evaluated independently by the same examiner (XXX) for HD assessment. All the 98 data were computerized and recorded in a single electronic database to allow further analysis. 99 For the present study, and for each selected breed, the incidence of each of the 5 scoring classes 100 (Table 1) was extracted from the database for each year covered in this retrospective study. 101 Breeds were excluded if the single panelist changed before the end (2019) of the study period, or 102 if the total number of radiographs read per breed was insufficient (i.e. <200).</p>

103

### 104 Scoring protocol

All dogs were scored according to the FCI 5 class grading scale (Table 1). Each joint was assigned to one of five grades (A-E) that are defined descriptively; the final grade refers to the worst joint. A and B are considered as normal joints (non-dysplastic), and grades C, D and E represent mild, moderate and severe dysplasia, respectively. To evaluate and compare the HD prevalence over time, each breed was divided into 2 cohorts, depending on the length of their screening period.

111

## 112 Statistical analysis

For each breed and each period, HD prevalence (expressed as %) was obtained by dividing the number of dogs that scored C-D and E by the total number of dogs evaluated for the breed.

Within each breed, HD prevalence was compared among the periods using their 95%
confidence interval (95% CI) calculated by the Wilson/Brown method. The 95% CI assumes

binomial data. Statistical analyses were performed by one author (AB) using a commercial
software program (Prism 6, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, USA, CA).

# 120 **Results**

Overall, 8022 records for 17 breeds were included in the study : American Akita,
Altdeutscher Schaferhund, American Cocker Spaniel, American Staffordshire Terrier, Picardy
shepherd dog, French pointer, Chow Chow, Czechoslovakian Wolfdog, Dalmatian, English
Springer Spaniel, Blue Picardy spaniel, Picardy spaniel, Hovawart, Rhodesian Ridgeback,
Giant Schnauzer, Schnauzer and Irish Setter.

The overall prevalence of HD was evaluated in each breed mentioned above. The study period extended from 1993 to 2019 for 8 breeds. For the remaining 9 breeds, the official panelist changed during the screening period, and the study period was therefore shorter, as listed in Table 2.

130

131 The different periods of screening used to evaluate and compare HD prevalence over time in132 each breed are listed in Table 3.

The HD prevalence for each breed varied from 8.8% (Hovawart) to 49.2% (American
Staffordshire Terrier). The overall HD prevalence associated with the FCI 5-class grading
scale in all breeds is listed in Table 4. The overall HD prevalence is presented in Fig 1.

136 The prevalence of the different grades according to the FCI scale and prevalence of HD over

137 the different periods of time are shown for each breed in Figs 2-18.

138

A diminishing prevalence of HD was noted in 10 breeds in this study (Picardy shepherd dog,
Blue Picardy spaniel, Czechoslovakian Wolfdog, Giant Schnauzer, American Akita,

141 American Cocker Spaniel, Irish Setter, French pointer, Rhodesian Ridgeback, and Hovawart).

142	The decrease in HD prevalence (Table 5) was significant in the Picardy shepherd dog. In this
143	breed, between 1993 and 2019, the HD prevalence dropped from 39.9% to 25.1%.
144	
145	For all 10 breeds with a decrease in HD prevalence, a marked decrease in the D-E grades was
146	noted, except for the Hovawart in which the C and D grades decreased (no E grade remained
147	stable. For the Rhodesian Ridgeback, a decrease in the C grade in association with the D and
148	grades was noted. For the American Akita, it was a decrease in C and E grades.
149	
150	A non-significant increase in HD prevalence was observed in 7 breeds (American
151	Staffordshire Terrier, Chow Chow, English Springer Spaniel, Schnauzer, Altdeutscher
152	Schaferhund; Table 5).
153	In 3 of these 7 breeds with an increased HD prevalence, there was an increase in the C grade
154	associated with a decrease in D grade (Altdeutscher Schaferhund, English Springer Spaniel,
155	American Staffordshire Terrier).
156	A stable HD prevalence was observed for the Picardy spaniel and for the Dalmatian (for
157	which it remains rather low).
158	
159	
160	
1.61	
161	
162	

# 166 **Discussion**

A diminishing prevalence of HD was noted in 10 breeds in this study. Among them, the Picardy shepherd dog showed a significant change in HD prevalence over the study period. These results support the fact that a long-term purely phenotypic selection mode against hip dysplasia based on radiographic screening control might be efficient in decreasing the HD prevalence.

172 The increase in the C grade noted in 3 breeds (Altdeutscher Schaferhund, English Springer 173 Spaniel, American Staffordshire Terrier) with an increased HD prevalence is difficult to 174 explain, and the situation is most likely different from breed to breed. The altdeutscher 175 schaferhund breed club forbids mating D or E scored individuals and strongly recommends, 176 when using a C scored dog for breeding, to mate it with an A. As for the other breed clubs, 177 there are no special breeding recommendations on their website, but the clubs try to promote 178 both hip radiographic screening and using best scored dogs for breeding through selection 179 grids, in accordance with the French Kennel Club.

We may consider that, for a while, the selection was potentially not strong enough in some breeds. We could also assume that, for the English Springer Spaniel, for instance, the increase in the B grade led to an increase in B to B mating (instead of A to A or A to B mating), which, due to the genetic recombination, could result in an increased risk of obtaining C scoring dogs in the offspring. However, the variation between the initial and final period in terms of HD prevalence noted in these breeds was 3%, except in the American Staffordshire Terrier (7% variation). Yet, this increase among the several periods remained slight and not significant. A previous study demonstrated that when all dogs in a breed have nearly the same hip phenotype, almost no selection pressure can be applied to improve hip quality based on hip radiograph screening [14]. According to the results of the present study, this was potentially the case for the Picardy spaniel and the Dalmatian, which demonstrated slight changes in HD prevalence.

192 Overall, 12 breeds had a prevalence of moderate and severe HD (D-E grades) lower than 10% 193 which is consistent with the results of a recent survey [21]. Five breeds (Picardy shepherd 194 dog, Blue Picardy spaniel, Picardy spaniel, Chow Chow and American Staffordshire Terrier) 195 had a prevalence of D-E grades lower than 25%. In these breeds, there is still a margin for 196 improvement, though in the Picardy shepherd dog, Blue Picardy spaniel and Picardy spaniel 197 the situation is not easy to handle because these breeds have a reduced number of individuals 198 and breeders and thus a limited number of annual births. As for the Picardy shepherd dog for 199 example [22], just after World War II, the breed was restored using some well typed 200 individuals, crossbred with bouvier des Flandres. Two subjects issued from this selection can 201 be found in the ancestry of current registred dogs [23]. Therefore it most likely that there is only a very slight genetic diversity in the small number of bergers picards. The total 202 203 population was estimated around 1200 registred dogs in the 1990s. The number of annual 204 births, these last 5 years, was between 138 and 210, averaging 5-6 puppies per letter.

205

These results are consistent with previous studies indicating that selective breeding using classifications of hip joint phenotypes might improve hip conformation in several breeds of dogs [5,7,10-16], although other studies showed different findings, and the efficiency of using screening programs to reduce the prevalence of HD has been questioned [17-20].

These results must be interpreted with caution since the evaluation of coxo-femoral joint status is not mandatory for breeding in France [7]. In a 1993-2002 survey [24], it was

212 demonstrated that in France, only 2 to 19% of the dogs were screened for HD. Although the 213 number of screened dogs has increased since this period, it is likely that, depending on the 214 breed, a small fraction of all breeding dogs undergo a hip radiograph. Moreover, there is an 215 unknown proportion of veterinarian (or owner) prescreening of the radiographs with obvious 216 hip dysplasia, leading to the lack of presentation of the "worst" radiographs for official 217 screening. Therefore, our data reflect only those dogs whose owners and breeders submitted 218 radiographs for analysis. This proportion varies from 20 to 40% in Switzerland [25]. In 219 Scandinavian countries, all breeding animals in control-program breeds are screened, and both 220 dam and sire hip radiographs need to be submitted for screening to be registered by the 221 Kennel Club [13,17,18]. In these countries, an HD control program gives a good overall 222 prevalence for each breed. A study demonstrated that an improvement in hip quality can be 223 achieved by selection based on the subjective scoring of radiographs when all dogs of a breed 224 are evaluated [14].

Therefore, the lack of breeding restrictions in France and other countries [5] (United Kingdom, United States of America) and the associated lower scoring rate might explain the smaller degree of progress for some breeds. As previously mentioned, the true prevalence of HD could be higher than that depicted by our results because they reflect only the results of the radiographs submitted for official screening [7].

Most of the French breed clubs that are involved in a HD control program encourage breeders to have their breeding stock and offspring radiographed through a scoring grid which takes into account the fact that the dog and/or some of its offspring have been submitted to radiographic hip scoring and the results of the scoring. Every result from an official hip scoring that is communicated by the breed club to the French Kennel Club (SCC) is mentioned on the dog's pedigree, which is now a 5-generation pedigree document. The result is also registered on an open access internet portal created by the SCC named LOF Select.

This portal enables breeders to access the characteristics of every registered dog, look for a breeding dog, and create virtual matings. Furthermore, the SCC is involved in a program to calculate and set up estimated breeding values, to help breeders choose their breeding stock. A project to create a reproductive ability certification, which would involve health characteristics (including hip status) is under study. It would undoubtedly increase the number of radiographed dogs.

The HD screening system is based on a subjective evaluation of radiographic findings. Panelist dependent variation is possible, and it has been demonstrated that significant intraand inter-observer variation in classification may occur [26]. In our study, all breeds were evaluated by the same single panelist, which avoided interobserver variability, yet an intraobserver variability over the long study period cannot be totally excluded.

In our study, multiple anesthesia/sedation protocols were used. No standardized protocols have been proposed for performing hip radiographs, as it has been decided that, for security reasons, the best protocol is the one the veterinarian is comfortable using. A Scandinavian study [27] showed that acepromazine should not be used for sedation because it causes a very poor myoresolution. A study concerning the type of chemical restraint used by French veterinarians performing HD screening radiographs [28,29] showed that these protocols are acceptable based on the FCI standard requirements for HD screening.

A study demonstrated a strong association between the radiographic scoring of hip status and subsequent incidence of veterinary care and mortality related to HD in five breeds of dogs. It demonstrated that the selection of breeding stock based on the screening results with regard to hip status can be expected to reduce the risk of clinical problems related to HD [30] which also emphasizes the interest in and effect of selection based on hip radiograph screening to reduce the HD prevalence. It is, however, unlikely that the HD prevalence can be reduced much further based only on the radiographic screening control. Several other approaches to assessing the coxo-femoral joint status have been proposed, such as hip joint laxity measurements (distraction methods, distraction Norberg angle) and the use of estimated breeding values.

265 Distraction methods were first described by the PennHip organization [31] and have been 266 shown to be reliable screening methods for predicting hip joint degeneration [32]. A recent 267 study [33] evaluated the correlation between the distraction angle (DI) and the distraction 268 Norberg angle measured at 4 months of age, and the official FCI hip score determined at 12 269 months of age. It was shown that the distraction Norberg angle had a fair correlation with the 270 DI at 4 months and therefore reflects hip passive laxity. It also demonstrated that 98% of hips with a distraction Norberg angle higher than 85° at 4 months had an A, B or C FCI score at 12 271 272 months of age. D and E FCI scores at 12 months cannot be reliably predicted from the 4-273 month value of DI or distraction Norberg angle.

To reduce the incidence of HD, many researchers have recommended the use of estimated breeding values (EBV) to improve the rate of genetic progress in terms of selection against HD [17,34,35,37].

A study showed that the EBV is more accurate and abundant than the phenotype [34] and provides more reliable information on the genetic risk of disease for a greater proportion of the population. An efficient selection mode is to include information about the hip status of relatives because the inheritance of HD is still unclear, and dogs with phenotypic normal hip joints may carry genes leading to HD in their offspring [36]. A recent study confirmed that using phenotypic health information and selecting sires and dams from pedigrees free from HD improves hip joint health and therefore reduces the HD prevalence [37,38].

There is a great deal of research based on genomics and distraction Norberg angle testing related to canine HD [39-42], some of which is linked to similar human pathology [43]. It is

286	beyond the scope of this study to address this very specific research area, but it is likely that,
287	in the foreseeable future, new tools will complement radiographic examination of the coxo-
288	femoral joint in order to prevent canine HD.
289	
290	
291	
292	
293	
294	
295	
296	
297	
298	
299	
300	
301	
302	Conclusions
303	This study confirms that long-term selection based on hip radiograph screening can be

be ograp ıg ıy ıg ıp 304 expected to reduce the HD prevalence in some breeds and that phenotypic selection for hip 305 conformation may be effective, although it is dependent on the voluntary participation of 306 breeders and owners. The true prevalence of HD in the breeds presented in this study is 307 probably higher than those reported in our results. To achieve a further decrease in the HD 308 prevalence, the use of EBV and genomic selection should be considered.

309

311	Acknowledgement
312	The authors want to thank Dr. Thomas Lecoq for his assistance with this manuscript.
313	
314	Conflicts of interest
315	The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship,
316	and/or publication of this article.
317	
318	Funding
319	The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of
320	this article.
321	
322	
323	
324	
325	
326	
327	
328	
329	
330	
331	
332	
333	

#### 334 **References**

335 Due to the large number of references about canine hip dysplasia, this review references are336 limited to the most appropriate to our study.

- Riser WH. The dysplastic hip joint: radiologic and histologic development. Veterinary
   Pathology. 1975; 12:279–305.
- 339 2. Henricson B, Norberg I, Olsson SE. On the etiology and pathogenesis of hip dysplasia:
  340 a comparative review. Journal of Small Animal Practice. 1966; 7:673–88.
- 341 3. Ginja M, Silvestre A, Gonzalo-Orden J, Ferreira A. Diagnosis, genetic control and
  preventive management of canine hip dysplasia: a review. The Veterinary Journal.
  2010; 184(3):269–76.
- 344
  4. Breur GJ, Lust G, Todhunter RJ. Genetics of canine hip dysplasia and other
  345 orthopaedic traits. The Genetics of the Dog Ruvinsky A and Sampson J, ed CABI Publ,
  346 Wallingford, UK. 2001:267–98.
- 5. Ohlerth S, Geiser B, Flückiger M, Geissbühler U. Prevalence of canine hip dysplasia in
  Switzerland between 1995 and 206 A retrospective study in 5 common large Breeds.
  Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 2019; 6:378 doi: 10.3389/fvets.2019.00378
- King MD. Etiopathogenesis of canine hip dysplasia, prevalence and genetics.
  Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice. 2017; 47:753–67 doi:
  10.1016/j.cvsm.2017.03.001
- 353 7. Genevois JP, Remy D, Viguier E, Carozzo C, Collard F, Cachon T, et al. Prevalence of
  354 hip dysplasia according to official radiographic screening, among 31 breeds of dogs in
  355 France. Veterinary and Comparative Orthopaedics and Traumatology. 2008; 21:21-24
- Korec E, Hancl M, Bydzovska M, Chalupa O, Korcova J. Segregation analysis of
   canine hip dysplasia in Cane Corso Italiano dogs. Approaches in Poultry, Dairy and
   Veterinary Sciences. 2018; 2: 1-3 DOI: 10.31031/APDV.2018.02.000539

- 9. Flückiger M. Scoring radiographs for canine hip dysplasia the big three organisations
  in the world. European Journal of Companion Animal Practice. 2007; 17:135-140
- 361 10. Janutta V, Hamann H, Distl O. Genetic and phenotypic trends in canine hip dysplasia
   362 in the German population of German shepherd dogs. Berliner und Münchener
   363 tierärztliche Wochenschrift. 2008 ; 121:102–9
- 364 11. Hou Y, Wang Y, Lust G, Zhu L, Zhang Z, Todhunter RJ. Retrospective analysis for
  365 genetic improvement of hip joints of cohort labrador retrievers in the United States:
  366 1970–2007. PLoS ONE. 2010 ; 5:e9410. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009410
- 367 12. Swenson L, Audell L, Hedhammar A. Prevalence and inheritance of
  368 and selection for hip dysplasia in seven breeds of dogs in Sweden and benefit: cost
  369 analysis of a screening and control program. Journal of the American Veterinary
  370 Medical Association. 1997; 210: 207-14
- 371 13. Kaneene JB, Mostosky UV, Padgett GA. Retrospective cohort study of changes
  372 in hip joint phenotype of dogs in the United States. Journal of the American Veterinary
  373 Medical Association. 1997; 211: 1542-4
- 14. Leighton EA, Holle D, Biery DN, Gregor TP, McDonald-Lynch MB, Wallace ML,
  Reagan JK, Smith GK. Genetic improvement of hip-extended scores in 3 breeds of
  guide dogs using estimated breeding values: Notable progress but more improvement is
  needed. PLOS ONE. 2019; 14 e0212544. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0212544
- 378 15. James HK, McDonnell F, Lewis TW. Effectiveness of canine hip dysplasia and elbow
  379 dysplasia improvement programs in six UK Pedigree Breeds. Frontiers in Veterinary
  380 Science. 2020; 6:490 doi: 10.3389/fvets.2019.00490

382 16. Baldinger A, Genevois JP, Moissonnier P, Barthélemy A, Carozzo C, Viguier E,
383 Cachon T. Prevalence of canine hip dysplasia in 10 breeds in France, a retrospective

- study of the 1997-2017 radiographic screening period. Plos One 2020. 15(7):
  e0235847. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0235847
- 17. Leppanen M, Mäki K, Juga J, Saloniemi H. Factors affecting hip dysplasia in German
  shepherd dogs in Finland: efficacy of the current improvement programme. Journal Of
  Small Animal Practice. 2000; 41:19–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.2000.tb03130.x
- 18. Leppanen M, Saloniemi H. Controlling canine hip dysplasia in Finland. Preventive
  Veterinary Medicine. 1999; 42: 121-131. doi : 10.1016/s0167-5877(99)00059-8
- 391 19. Maki K, Groen AF, Liinamo AE, Ojala M. Genetic variances, trends and mode of
   392 inheritance for hip and elbow dysplasia in Finnish dog populations. Animal Science.
- 393 2002; 75:197–207. doi: 10.1017/S1357729800052966
- Willis MB: A review of the progress in canine hip-dysplasia control in Britain. Journal
  of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 1997; 210: 1480-2
- 396 21. Hedhammar A. Swedish experiences from 60 years of screening and breeding
   397 programs for hip dysplasia Research, success and challenges. Frontiers. 2020; 7: 228
   398 doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00228
- 22. Clerc B, de Geyer G, Laforge H, Maisonneuve P, Michaud B, Quignon P, et al. La
  rétinopathie du Berger de Picardie dans la population canine française : état des
  connaissances Rev Vét Clin 2020;55:11-29.
- 402 23. Pêcheur C. Contribution à l'étude des rétinopathies chez le Berger picard. Université
  403 Cl. Bernard-Lyon 1(médecine, pharmacie); 1990.
- 404 24. Genevois JP, Fau D, Carozzo C, Chanoit G, Viguier E, Remy D. Dépistage officiel de
  405 la dysplasie coxo-fémorale : détermination, au sein de 16 races canines en France, de la
  406 population faisant l'objet d'un dépistage radiographique systématique. Etude
  407 rétrospective sur la période 1993-2002. Revue de médecine vétérinaire. 2005; 156 :
  408 299-300.

- 409 25. Flückiger M, Friedrich GA, Binder H. A radiographic stress technique for evaluation of
  410 coxofemoral joint laxity in dogs. Veterinary Surgery. 1999; 28: 1-9. doi:
  411 10.1053/jvet.1999.0001
- 26. Smith GK, Lafond E, Gregor T, Lawler D, Nie R. Within- and between- examiner
  repeatability of distraction indices of the hip joints in dog. American Journal of
  Veterinary Research. 1997; 58: 1076-7
- 415 27. Malm S, Strandberg E, Danell B, Audell L, Swenson L, Hedhammar A. Impact of
  416 sedation method on the diagnosis of hip and elbow dysplasia in Swedish dogs.
  417 Preventive Veterinary Medicine. 2007; 78: 196-209. doi:
  418 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2006.10.005
- 28. Genevois JP, Chanoit G, Carozzo C, Remy D, Fau D, Viguier E. Influence of
  anaesthesia on canine hip dysplasia score. Journal of veterinary medicine. A,
  Physiology, pathology, clinical medicine. 2006; 53:415-417. doi: 10.1111/j.14390442.2006.00845.
- 423 29. Maitre P, Genevois JP, Remy D, Carozzo C, Arnault F, Buttin P et al. Description of
  424 the type of chemical restraint used by French veterinarians to perform hip dysplasia
  425 screening radiographs. Veterinary and Comparative Orthopaedics and Traumatology.
- 426 2010; 23:245-249. doi: 10.3415/VCOT-10-01-0004
- 30. Malm M, Fikse F, Egenvall A, Bonnett BN, Gunnarsson L, Hedhammar A. Association
  between radiographic assessment of hip status and subsequent incidence of veterinary
  care and mortality related to hip dysplasia in insured Swedish dogs. Preventive
  Veterinary Medicine. 2010; 93: 222-232. doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2009.09.017
- 431 31. Powers MY, Karbe GT, Gregor TP, McKelvie P, Culp WT, Fordyce HH, Smith GK.
  432 Evaluation of the relationship between Orthopedic Foundation for Animals' hip joint