

# Acceptability and perception of the herpes zoster vaccine in the 65 and over population: A French observational study

Corinne del Signore, Anaelle Hemmendinger, Nagham Khanafer, Jacques Thierry, Elisabeth Trépo, Géraldine Martin Gaujard, Roland Chapurlat, Christelle Elias, Philippe Vanhems

### ▶ To cite this version:

Corinne del Signore, Anaelle Hemmendinger, Nagham Khanafer, Jacques Thierry, Elisabeth Trépo, et al.. Acceptability and perception of the herpes zoster vaccine in the 65 and over population: A French observational study. Vaccine, 2020, 38, pp.5891 - 5895. 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.07.004 . hal-03491984

## HAL Id: hal-03491984 https://hal.science/hal-03491984

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Acceptability and perception of the herpes zoster vaccine in the 65 and over population: a French observational study

Corinne Del Signore (1), Anaelle Hemmendinger (1), Nagham Khanafer (1,2), Jacques Thierry (3),

Elisabeth Trépo (3), Géraldine Martin Gaujard (4), Roland Chapurlat (5), Christelle Elias (1,6,7),

Philippe Vanhems (1,2,8)

- Epidemiology and International Health, Emerging Pathogens Laboratory– Fondation Mérieux, Centre International de Recherche en Infectiologie (CIRI), INSERM U1111, CNRS, UMR 5308, ENS Lyon, UCBL1, Lyon, France
- (2) Infection Control and Epidemiology Unit, E. Herriot Hospital, Lyon, France
- (3) DYOMEDEA Laboratory, Lyon, France
- (4) Geriatric care department, E. Herriot Hospital, Lyon, France
- (5) Clinic of ambulatory medicine (CLIMA), E. Herriot Hospital, Lyon, France
- (6) Infection Control and Epidemiology Unit, Croix Rousse Hospital, Lyon, France
- (7) Charles Mérieux Medical School, Lyon 1 University
- (8) Innovative clinical research network in vaccinology (I-REIVAC), Paris, France

#### **Corresponding author: Pr Philippe Vanhems**

Address: Civil Hospices of Lyon, Edouard Herriot Hospital, Infection Control and Epidemiology Unit

5 Place d'Arsonval

69003, Lyon Cedex 03, France

Phone: 00 33 4 72 11 07 19

E-mail: philippe.vanhems@chu-lyon.fr

#### 1 1. Background

Vaccination is a key determinant for the prevention of infectious diseases whatever the age. It helps to prolong the working life of seniors and to improve their well-being. Seniors are particularly vulnerable to infectious diseases such as influenza, pneumonia and herpes zoster (HZ) with a risk of death for influenza and pneumonia [1,2]. The natural deterioration/alteration of the immune system caused by ageing results in increased susceptibility to infectious diseases and a decrease in vaccine efficacy. However, the benefit/risk ratio of the vaccine for a given pathology remains in favor of the vaccination with a decreased risk of the disease and associated complications [3].

9 In France, vaccination is not mandatory for adults over the age of 65 and influenza vaccination is only strongly encouraged [4]. A favorable benefit-risk balance has been reported for vaccinations in 10 this population against influenza, pneumococcal infections, HZ and post-herpetic neuralgia (PHN) [5]. 11 12 HZ is due to the reactivation of the varicella zoster virus (VZV) which remains latent in the sensory ganglia following primary infection, i.e. varicella, usually occurring in childhood [6]. It is 13 characterized by a unilateral eruption that usually develops on the path of a nerve and that affects only 14 one half of the body on the infected nerve. Affection is sometimes complicated by chronic pain and 15 16 disabling PHN [6]. HZ particularly affects older people: the incidence is multiplied by 3 compared with young adults [7]. In the population above age 70, 30% of the patients with PHN had severe 17 18 symptoms for more than one year [7]. Vaccination against HZ is a mean to reduce the burden of this 19 disease, especially in the elderly and in patients with comorbidities [8]. Since 2015, a vaccine for the 20 prevention of HZ and PHN is recommended for people aged 65 to 74 years in France [4,9]. It is a live 21 attenuated vaccine derived from the same strain as that of varicella but has higher antigen content and 22 administered in a single dose. Its mechanism of action is unprecedented compared with conventional 23 vaccines since it opposes the reactivation and replication of a virus already present in the organism 24 (VZV virus contracted in a varicella). Since the vaccine has been put on the market recently, it is 25 important that the target population have access to this information. Indeed, this vaccine proved its 26 efficacy in a pivotal randomized clinical trial by reducing the incidence of HZ and PHN by 51% and

67% respectively [10]. More recent studies have demonstrated higher vaccine effectiveness against
incident HZ and PHN [11,12].

Vaccine acceptance is a driver towards increased vaccine coverage. The aim of this study was
therefore to evaluate acceptability and to describe the perception of vaccination against HZ in a
population of individuals aged 65 living in Lyon, France.

32 **2.** Methods

#### 33 2.1. Study design and population

Patients aged 65 and over were asked to participate in our observational study. These patients were 34 either consulting in one of the thirteen participating private medical biology laboratories or were 35 36 hospitalized in the geriatric department or were at the ambulatory medical clinic for a consultation at Edouard Herriot Hospital, Hospices Civils de Lyon, a public teaching hospital in Lyon, France. To 37 meet our objective, we decided to perform our study in old people from community and hospital 38 39 settings in Lyon area. Recruitment was carried out from January 2018 to March 2019 after receiving 40 approval from ethics committees. An information form describing the objectives of the study was given to each patient. After a pilot study in 10 people, a standardized questionnaire (supplementary 41 42 data) was administered to patients by a research assistant during a face-to-face interview. Exclusion 43 criteria included: incomprehension of French and contraindication to receiving HZ vaccine such as 44 immunosuppressive therapy. Data was collected anonymously.

Individuals were interviewed on their knowledge and perceptions of HZ disease and vaccine, their history of HZ, treatment received and whether they had had PHN. The acceptability of vaccination was assessed by asking people whether they would agree to be vaccinated against HZ if they had risk factors. A proven document of vaccine against HZ was requested.

49 2.2. Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were described using percentages. Continuous variables were described
 with means and standard deviation (SD). Categorical variables were compared using Fisher or Chi2
 Chi-square tests and continuous variables were compared using Student or Mann-Whitney tests.

53 Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to detect determinants 54 associated with patients who would agree to be vaccinated or not. Variables with a p-value <0.1 were 55 then included in the model for the multivariate analysis. A forward method was used. Variables with a 56 p-value <0.05 were considered as statistically significant. The risks were expressed as adjusted odds 57 ratio (aOR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI 95%). Data analysis was performed with R software 58 (version 3.3.3).

#### 59 3. Results

A total of 907 individuals were interviewed, with a mean age of 75.8 years and a SD of 6.8, 60 44.7% were males and 59.6% were married or in a relationship and 333 (36.7%) participants had at 61 least one chronic disease (Table 1). In all, 651 (71.8%) individuals were included from the private 62 laboratories, 74 (8.2%) from the geriatric department and 182 (20.0%) from the ambulatory care 63 64 clinic. Concerning HZ disease, 183 (20.2%) participants had a personal history of HZ, among them, 147 (80.3%) had been treated. A large majority of the individuals (795, 87.6%) knew about HZ and 65 half of them (51.0%) knew that varicella and HZ viruses were linked. Among the 183 respondents 66 with a personal history of HZ, 31 (16.9%) had PHN, among them 20 (64.5%) had been treated for 67 68 PHN. Concerning vaccination, 761 (83.8%) individuals would agree to be vaccinated (for all vaccines) and 625 (68.9%) would agree to be vaccinated against HZ if they had risk factors. A total of 108 69 (11.9%) individuals were aware of vaccine recommendations and 46 (5.1%) were aware of the 70 71 recommendations for HZ vaccine. Finally, 814 (89.7%) participants thought that vaccination was a 72 good prevention tool, while only 483 (53.3%) thought that vaccination was a good prevention tool 73 against HZ (Table 2).

#### 74 *3.1. Vaccination acceptability*

Patients with HZ risk factors, who did not agree to be vaccinated against HZ were significantly older (76.9 vs 75.3 years, p=0.009) and the percentage of females was higher (Table 3). The percentage of hospital patients (geriatric care department or ambulatory medical-clinic) was significantly higher (36.2% vs 24.6% in the other group, p<0.001). The percentage of people who thought that HZ was always severe (64.2% vs 52.8%, p=0.002) was significantly higher in the group who would agree to be

vaccinated against HZ. The proportion of participants who thought that vaccination was a good 80 prevention tool against HZ (64.8% vs 27.8%, p<0.001), who would agree to be vaccinated for all 81 82 vaccines (94.4% vs 60.3%, p<0.001) and who thought that vaccination was a good prevention tool (93.8% vs 80.9%, p<0.001) was also statistically significantly higher in the group of people who 83 would agree to be vaccinated against HZ if they had risk factors compared with those who would not 84 agree to be vaccinated. The variables significantly associated with the agreement of being vaccinated 85 86 against HZ were (Table 4): participants who would agree to be vaccinated against any infectious diseases were more likely to be vaccinated against HZ (aOR = 10.9, 95%CI 7.1-17.3). Individuals 87 88 enrolled from private laboratories (aOR = 2.7, 95%CI 1.7-4.5), male (aOR = 1.5, 95%CI 1.1 – 2.2) and 89 individuals who thought that vaccination is a good prevention tool (aOR = 1.7, 95%CI 1.0-2.9) were more likely to be vaccinated against HZ if they are at risk. 90

#### 91 4. Discussion

92 The aim of this observational study was to evaluate acceptability and perception of HZ vaccination in 93 a group of >65 year-old population in Lyon, France. The acceptability of vaccination seemed 94 satisfactory with 625 (68.9%) participants would agree to be vaccinated if they had risk factors, 95 suggesting that better information could improve vaccination coverage.

96 The vaccine is effective, safe and well tolerated. Effectiveness studies conducted in several countries 97 yielded results consistent with those obtained in randomized and controlled clinical trials, and 98 confirmed the good safety and tolerability profiles of the vaccine, as well as its good 99 efficacy/effectiveness against HZ and PHN in participants >60 years of age [14]. In France, the 100 vaccine has been recommended since 2015. However, despite the benefits of vaccination, less than 2% 101 of the target population was vaccinated [13].

102 .HZ as a disease is better known by participants, in the community as in hospital, than the vaccine 103 against HZ. As expected, only 92 (10%) respondents were aware of the availability of the HZ vaccine 104 which is similar to the study by Valente et al. [14]. A quarter of the individuals reported a history of 105 HZ and two-thirds (64%) knew someone with a history of HZ. Thirty-one individuals (17%) reported 106 an alteration in their daily quality of life caused by post-zoster pain which is fewer than previous studies [7]. This pathology is often accompanied by severe pain and can lead to chronic functional
consequences with a significant impact on functional autonomy [15]. Five hundred and fifty (61%)
respondents described HZ disease as always severe. Similarly, Valente et al. reported that 47% of
respondents rated HZ associated pain as "severe" and 39% "moderate" [14].

111 The lack of or low patient awareness, and lack of or insufficient advice from physicians were 112 reported as main barriers for vaccination [14]. Our results highlighted the vital role of physicians to 113 play in promoting vaccination. Likewise, knowing someone who had had HZ seemed to increase 114 willingness to have the vaccine, presumably due to a greater awareness of the disease and its 115 consequences, although this not reached statistical significance as in other study [14].

116 Our results showed that being in favourable of vaccinations in general have a strong influence on 117 vaccination acceptability which can be explained by the self-confidence of people already pro-118 vaccinations and who are not worried about possible side effects when the goal is health protection.

In this study we addressed some barriers which are one of the modifying variables of the Health Belief Model [16]. Further studies need to more deeply explore the perceived threats and cues to action to improve HZ vaccine acceptability and uptake.

122 One strength of this prospective study was to document the perception of the HZ vaccine at an 123 early stage of its "life" in a large sample of old people living in Lyon, France. This survey provided 124 information and reinforced vaccination prevention added-value among three groups of old people 125 assumed to be medically followed. The geographical representation of the laboratories is satisfactory 126 because they cover the Lyon metropolitan area (800,000 inhabitants).

127 A selection bias may exist because the interviewees were not representative of the general population. 128 On one hand, the majority of participants were recruited from private laboratories. They were more 129 health conscious and therefore were more able to be informed and vaccinated, which might have 130 overestimated knowledge about HZ vaccine. On the other hand, participants were recruited in geriatric 131 department, population who differs from the HZ vaccine's target population, which might have biased the representativeness of the results. Additionally, collected responses might have been partially 132 influenced by recall bias, especially considering the age of the respondents. Even though, the 133 questionnaire was administered by trained research assistant in order to minimize this source of error. 134

#### 135 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, participants had prior knowledge of HZ. However, they were not aware of the
existence of a vaccine. Physicians should remain supportive of vaccination and seek to convince their
hesitant patients.

To our knowledge, this is the first study in France that aimed to inform a target population of the 139 140 availability of the HZ vaccine recommended for immunocompetent patients from 65 to 74 years of age. The access to vaccine information is a challenge among the general population. Our study showed 141 that in people known the existence HZ, the information was get from media. Then, an information 142 campaign using such commodities could be a good way in the target population to raise awareness. 143 144 Community pharmacists and general practitioners may play a key role in informing the target population about the availability of the vaccine against HZ specifically in patients with greater risk of 145 146 HZ [17].

Better immunization coverage in this age group will ultimately contribute to the better well-being
of these individuals by preserving functional abilities, pain reduction and avoiding loss of vision in
case of ocular complications [18].

This study reports that lack of knowledge is a major issue regarding prevention by vaccines including their beneficial consequences. Vaccine hesitancy, as defined by the WHO as the delay of refusal of vaccines despite their availability, is a major challenge. Addressing this issue and its determinants is complex but essential to increase HZ vaccine coverage.

#### 154 Acknowledgments

- 155 We thank the teams of:
- 156 DYOMEDEA (13 participating sites)
- 157 The geriatric department of the Edouard Herriot hospital
- 158 The physicians of the CLIMA
- 159 Conflicts of Interest related to this study
- 160 The authors declare no conflict of interest
- 161 Ethics committees
- 162 Num IDRCB: 2017-A02513-50
- 163 Ref CPP: 17050
- 164 Ref CNIL: MMS/OTB/AR184266

#### 165 **References**

- 166 [1] Trivalle C. Risque des épidémies contagieuses dans les structures gériatriques. Presse Med
  167 2002;31:1512–6.
- 168 [2] GEIG (Groupe d'Expertise et d'Information sur la Grippe), http://www.grippe-geig.com/access
  169 february 2020.
- 170 [3] Gavazzi G. Immunization schedule in the elderly. Geriatr Psychol Neuropsychiatr Vieil
  171 2015;13:21-6.
- 172 [4] Haut Conseil de la Santé Publique (HCSP). Le calendrier des vaccinations et les recommandations
- 173 vaccinales 2018. http://solidarites-sante.gouv.fr/prevention-en-sante/preserver-sa-sante/calendrier-
- 174 vaccinal/access february 2020.
- 175 [5] Johnson RW. Herpes zoster and postherpetic neuralgia: a review of the effects of vaccination.
- 176 Aging Clin Exp Res 2009;21:236–43.
- [6] Kimberlin DW, Whitley RJ. Varicella-Zoster vaccine for the prevention of herpes zoster. N Engl J
  Med 2007;356:1338–43.
- [7] Helgason S, Petursson G, Gudmundsson S, Sigurdsson JA. Prevalence of postherpetic neuralgia
  after a first episode of herpes zoster: prospective study with long term follow up. BMJ
  2000;321(7264):794–6.
- 182 [8] Mirinaviciute G, Quist-Paulsen E, Brantsæter AB, Flem E. The burden of herpes zoster disease in
- 183 Norway. Vaccine 2019;pii: S0264-410X(19)31596-8.
- 184 [9] Avis de transparence HAS 2014, https://www.mesvaccins.net/textes/CT-3478\_ZOSTAVAX\_
- 185 PIC\_Ins\_Avis3\_C / access january 2020.
- 186 [10] Oxman MN, Levin MJ, Johnson GR, Schmader KE, Straus SE, Gelb LD, et al. Vaccine to
- 187 prevent herpes zoster and post herpetic neuralgia in older adults. N Engl J Med 2005;252:2271–84.
- 188 [11] Walker JM, Andrews NJ, Amirthalingam G, Horbes H, Langam SM, Thomas SL. Effectiveness
- 189 of Herpes Zoster Vaccination in an Older United Kingdom Population. Vaccine 2018;36 (17):2371-77.

- 190 [12] Matthews I, Duong, M, Parsons VL, Nozad B, Qizilbash N, Patel Y, Guimicheva B. Burden of
- disease from shingles and post-herpetic neuralgia in the over 80 year olds in the UK. PLoS One
  2020;15(2):e0229224.
- 193 [13] http://www.cpias-auvergnerhonealpes.fr/animation/ehpad\_fam\_mas\_ime/2019/20\_06\_19/2 \_
- 194 vaccination\_sujets\_ages.pdf.
- [14] Valente N, Lupi S, Stefanati A, Cova M, Sulcaj N, Piccinni L et al. Evaluation of the
  acceptability of a vaccine against herpes zoster in the over 50 years old: an Italian observational study.
  BMJ Open 2016;6(10):e011539.
- 198 [15] Katz J, Cooper EM, Walther RR, Sweeney EW, Dworkin RH. Acute pain in herpes zoster and its
- impact on health-related quality of life. Clin Infect Dis 2004;39(3):342–8.
- 200 [16] Bishop AC, Baker GR, Boyle TA, MacKinnon NJ. Using the Health Belief Model to explain
- 201 patient involvement in patient safety. Health Expect 2015;18(6):3019–33.
- 202 [17] Saadatian-Elahi M, Bauduceau B, Del Signore C, Vanhems P. Diabetes as a risk factor for herpes
- 203 zoster in adults: A synthetic literature review. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2020;159:10798.
- [18] Li Jy. Herpes zoster ophthalmicus: acute keratitis. Curr Opin Ophthalmol 2018;29(4):328–33.

## **Table 1**: Characteristics of seniors (>65 years old) included in the study between January 2018 and

206 March 2019

	Private	Ambulatory	Geriatric	Total	Р
	laboratory N=651	medical clinic N=182	department N=74	N=907	
Characteristics of enrolled p	oatients				
Mean age (years) $\pm$ SD	$75.8 \pm 6.8$	73. ±6.5	86.7 ±5.3	75.7 ±7.4	<0.001
Gender:					0.013
- Males	310 (47.6)	70 (38.5)	25 (33.8)	405 (44.7)	
- Females	341 (52.4)	112 (61.5)	49 (66.2)	502 (55.3)	
Marital status:					<0.001
- In a	400 (61.4)	121 (66.5)	20 (27.0)	541 (59.6)	
relationship/married					
- Single	251 (38.6)	61 (33.5)	54 (73)	366 (40.4)	
Education level:			. ,	. ,	0.02
- Primary/secondary	343 (52.7)	104 (57.1)	48 (64.9)	499 (55.0)	
school					
- High school	136 (20.9)	46 (25.3)	16 (21.6)	198 (21.8)	
- University	172 (26.4)	32 (17.6)	10 (13.5)	210 (23.2)	
Underlying chronic	217 (33.3)	90 (49.4)	26 (35.1)	333 (36.7)	<0.001
diseases	217 (55.5)	JU (1).1)	20 (35.1)	555 (50.1)	.0.001
Knowledge of varicella and	Herpes zoster (	(HZ)			
Personal history of HZ	140 (21.5)	36 (19.7)	7 (9.4)	183 (20.2)	0.07
Treatment of HZ	114/140	30/36 (83.3)	3/7 (42.8)	147/183	0.07
	(81.4)	50/50 (05.5)	577 (12.0)	(80.3)	0.07
People who know HZ	571 (87.7)	167 (91.7)	57 (77)	795 (87.7)	0.07
	0/1 (0///)	107 (7117)		(0,11)	0.07
People who know that	337 (51.7)	101 (55.4)	25 (33.7)	463 (51.0)	0.03
varicella and HZ are					
linked					
Personal history of	424 (65.1)	137 (75.2)	45 (60.8)	606 (66.8)	0.06
varicella					
	<b>500</b> (01 0)				.0.001
People who think that HZ	533 (81.8)	147 (80.7)	45 (60.8)	725 (80.0)	<0.001
causes pain					
Dain type of gypnesed in					<0.001
Pain type as supposed in					<0.001
patients who think that					
HZ is painful	262(40.2)	02 (EC E)	15 (22.2)	260 (40 7)	
- Acute pain	262 (49.2)	83 (56.5)	15 (33.3)	360 (49.7)	
- Chronic pain	62 (11.6)	14 (9.5)	5 (11.1)	81 (11.2)	
- Acute and chronic pain	135 (25.3)	25 (17.0)	7 (15.6)	167 (23.0)	
- Burns and itching	55 (10.3)	21 (14.3)	6 (13.3)	82 (11.3)	
- Do not know	19 (3.6)	4 (2.7)	12 (26.7)	35 (4.8)	

207

- **Table 2**: Acceptability and perception of the herpes zoster in patients included in the study between
- **209** January 2018 and March 2019

	Private	Ambulatory	Geriatric	Total	Р
	laboratory	medical	department		
	N=651 (%)	clinic N=182 (%)	N=74 (%)	N=907 (%)	
People who know someone with a history of HZ	423 (64.9)	120 (65.9)	39 (52.7)	582 (64.2)	0.12
Complications of HZ					
Personal history of post-	26/140	4/36	1/7	31/183 (16.9)	0.93
nerpetic neuralgia (PHN)	(18.5)	(11.1)	(14.2)		
Treatment of PHN	17/26 (65.3)	3/4 (75)	0/1 (0)	20/31 (64.5)	0.36
Impairment in activities of laily life due to PHN	11/26 (42.3 <del>)</del> )	2/4 (50)	1/1 (100)	14/31 (45.2	0.87
People who think HZ is llways severe	408 (62.6)	114 (62.6)	28 (37.8 <del>)</del> )	550/907 (60.6)	<0.00
HZ Vaccine					
People who know that a vaccine exists	71 (10.9)	13 (7.1)	8 (10.8)	92 (10.1)	0.05
Source of information in peo	ple who are aw	are of the existe	ence of HZ vacc	ine	0.003
Media	43 (60.6)	8 (61.5)	3 (37.5)	54 (58.7)	
GP	15 (21.1)	3 (23.1)	3 (37.5)	21 (22.8)	
Friends/family	8 (11.3)	2 (15.4)	1 (12.5)	11 (12.0)	
Social network	1 (1.4)	0 (0)	1 (12.5)	2 (2.2)	
Dther	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (12.5)	1 (1.1)	
People who know the ecommendations (people aged 65-74 years old)	30 (42.3)	7 (53.8)	2 (25)	39 (42.4)	0.43
People who agree to be vaccinated against HZ if hey have risk factors	471 (72.3)	119 (65.3)	35 (47.2)	625 (68.9)	<0.00
People who think vaccination is a good prevention tool against HZ	342 (52.5)	103 (56.5)	38 (51.3)	483 (53.3)	0.91
People vaccinated against HZ TP: General practitioner	3 (0.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (0.3)	0.55

210 GP: General practitioner.

**Table 3:** Determinants associated with agreement or not to be vaccinated against HZ in case of risk

212 factors in included patients between January 2018 and March 2019

Variables	Agree	Do not agree	p-value
	N=625 (%)	N=282 (%)	-
Mean age (years)	75.2	76.9	0.06
Marital status			< 0.001
- In a relationship/married	404 (64.6)	137 (48.6)	
- Single	221 (35.4)	145 (51.4)	
Gender:			< 0.001
- Male	310 (49.6)	95 (33.7)	
- Female	315 (50.4)	187 (66.3)	
Education level:			0.22
- Primary/secondary school	329 (52.6)	166 (58.9)	
- High school	142 (22.7)	74 (26.2)	
- University	154 (24.7)	60 (21.3)	
Underlying chronic diseases	238 (38.1)	95 (33.7)	0.21
Origin			< 0.001
- Private laboratory	471 (75.4)	180 (63.8)	
- Geriatric care department	35 (5.6)	39 (13.8)	
- Ambulatory medical clinic	119 (19.0)	63 (22.4)	
Personal history of HZ	122 (19.5)	61 (21.6)	0.48
Treatment of HZ	95/122 (77.9)	52/61 (85.2)	0.32
People who know someone with a history of HZ	406 (65.0)	176 (62.4)	0.50
People who think HZ causes pain	512 (81.9)	213 (75.5)	0.03
People who think HZ is always severe	401 (64.2)	149 (52.8)	0.002
Personal history of PHN	18/122 (14.8)	13/61 (21.3)	0.30
Treatment of PHN	12/18 (66.7)	8/13 (61.5)	0.99
Impairment in activities of daily living due to PHN	9/18 (50)	5/13 (38.5)	0.72
People who know HZ vaccine	65 (10.4)	27 (9.6)	0.81
People who think vaccination is a good prevention tool against HZ	405 (64.8)	78 (27.8)	< 0.001
People who agree to be vaccinated (all vaccines)	590 (94.4)	170 (60.3)	< 0.001

213

**Table 4:** Determinants associated with agreement or not to be vaccinated against HZ in case of risk

factors, Lyon, 01/2018-03/2019, multivariate analysis

Variable	aOR*	CI95%	p-value
People who agree to be vaccinated (all	10.9	7.1-17.3	< 0.001
vaccines)			
Origin:			0.003
- Geriatric care department	1.0		
- Private laboratory	2.7	1.7-4.5	
- Ambulatory medical clinic	2.1	1.2-3.6	
Sex:			0.01
- Female	1.0		
- Male	1.5	1.1-2.2	
People who think vaccination is a good	1.7	1.0-2.9	0.048
prevention tool			

216 aOR\*: adjusted odds-ratio.