

The glutathione peroxidase family of Theobroma cacao: Involvement in the oxidative stress during witches' broom disease

Akyla Maria Martins Alves, Sara Pereira Menezes Reis, Karina Peres Gramacho, Fabienne Micheli

▶ To cite this version:

Akyla Maria Martins Alves, Sara Pereira Menezes Reis, Karina Peres Gramacho, Fabienne Micheli. The glutathione peroxidase family of Theobroma cacao: Involvement in the oxidative stress during witches' broom disease. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 2020, 164, pp.3698 - 3708. 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.08.222 . hal-03491961

HAL Id: hal-03491961 https://hal.science/hal-03491961

Submitted on 14 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0141813020343208 Manuscript_9fbaf421691fdb6a08281d080b3f78a1

1 The glutathione peroxidase family of *Theobroma cacao*: involvement in the oxidative

- 2 stress during witches' broom disease
- 3

Akyla Maria Martins Alves¹, Sara Pereira Menezes Reis¹, Karina Peres Gramacho², Fabienne
Micheli^{1,3,*}

6

⁷ ¹Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz (UESC), Departamento de Ciências Biológicas (DCB),

8 Centro de Biotecnologia e Genética (CBG), Rodovia Ilhéus-Itabuna, km 16, 45662-900

9 Ilhéus-BA, Brazil. E-mail: akylamma@gmail.com; menezes_sp@yahoo.com.br

²Cocoa Research Center, CEPLAC/CEPEC, 45600-970 Itabuna-BA, Brasil. Email:
karina@cepec.gov.br

³CIRAD, UMR AGAP, F-34398 Montpellier, France

13

*Corresponding author: Dr Fabienne Micheli, UESC, DCB, Rodovia Ilhéus-Itabuna km16,
45662-900, Ilhéus-BA, Brazil. Phone: +55 73 3680 5196. Fax: +55 73 3680 5226. E-mail:
fabienne.micheli@cirad.fr

17

18 Abstract

The glutathione peroxidases (GPXs) are enzymes which are part of the cell antioxidant system inhibiting the ROS-induced damages of membranes and proteins. In cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.) genome, five *GPX* genes were identified. Cysteine insertion codons (UGU) were found in *TcPHGPX*, *TcGPX2*, *TcGPX4*, *TcGPX6* and tryptophan insertion codon (UGG) in *TcGPX8*. Multiple alignments revealed conserved domains between TcGPXs and other plants and human GPXs. Homology modeling was performed using the *Populus trichocarpa* GPX5 structure as template, and the molecular modeling showed that TcGPXs have affinity with

selenometionine in their active site. In silico analysis of the TcGPXs promoter region revealed 26 the presence of conserved *cis*-elements related to biotic stresses and hormone responsiveness. 27 The expression analysis of TcGPXs in cacao plantlet meristems infected by M. perniciosa 28 showed that *TcGPXs* are most expressed in susceptible variety than in resistant one, mainly in 29 30 disease stages in which oxidative stress and programmed cell death occurred. This data, associated with phylogenetic and location analysis suggested that TcGPXs may play a role in 31 protecting cells from oxidative stress as a try of disease progression reduction. To our 32 33 knowledge, this is the first study of the overall GPX family from T. cacao.

34

35 Keywords: *Moniliophthora perniciosa*; programmed cell death; gene expression

37 **1. Introduction**

38 Theobroma cacao L. (cacao) is a plant endemic to the rainforests of South America [1] and is grown mainly for the production of cocoa liquor, cocoa butter, and cocoa powder for 39 the chocolate industry [2]. However, cocoa production has been severely damaged by diseases 40 caused by fungi and oomycete [1, 3]. In Brazil, the witches' broom disease caused drastic 41 economic and social changes in the affected areas [4, 5]. This disease is caused by the 42 43 Moniliophthora perniciosa basidiomycete that presents two distinct development phases: a biotrophic phase characterized by a monokaryotic and intercellular mycelium, and a 44 necrotrophic phase characterized by a dikaryotic and intracellular mycelium [6, 7]. In the 45 46 transition from biotrophic to necrotrophic fungal phase, the plant showed significant accumulation of calcium oxalate crystals and H₂O₂, as well as events of programmed cell 47 death (PCD) [6, 8]. The accumulation of calcium oxalate causes the production of reactive 48 49 oxygen species (ROS) and therefore the PCD. In cacao plants susceptible to M. perniciosa, the PCD occurs initially as a defense mechanism and then is deflected by the fungus for its 50 own benefit, allowing sporulation and further propagation [6]. The transition from the 51 52 biotrophic to the necrotrophic phase is facilitated by the presence of several compounds, genes, and proteins that induce PCD [8, 9]. In cacao-M. perniciosa interaction cDNA library 53 54 and/or in the cacao genome, several genes potentially related to PCD (possibly involved in its enhancement or inhibition), such as the glutathione peroxidase (GPX; EC 1.11.1.9) were 55 found [10, 11]. 56

GPXs were first discovered in mammals and are enzymes that are part of the cell antioxidant system, inhibiting the ROS-induced damage of cell membrane and proteins [12]. GPXs reduce H_2O_2 to water and lipid hydroperoxides to their corresponding alcohols using reduced glutathione (GSH) as substrate [13]. All mammalian GPXs contain a selenocysteine (SeCys also referred to as the 21st protein amino acid) residue instead of a Cys residue [13-

15]. The SeCys is encoded by an opal (TGA) stop codon, which is recognized by a special 62 transfer RNA (tRNA); the incorporation of SeCys occurs when the mRNA contains a distinct 63 hairpin mRNA sequence downstream of the UGA codon called SeCys insertion sequence 64 (SECIS) [15, 16]. It is admitted that yeast and plants do not incorporate Sec and, therefore, do 65 not have any selenoproteins [15]. However, some few studies revealed that plant kingdom 66 may also contain selenoproteins: is has been shown that in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, the 67 GPX cDNA contained an internal TGA codon in frame to the ATG [17-19], in Aloe vera, 68 native protein purification associated to atomic absorption revealed a molecular complex of 69 four GPX subunits, each one containing one atom of selenium in its structure [20], and that in 70 71 cranberry, the mitochondrial genome contained two copies of selenocysteine insertion sequence element (SECIS) and tRNA-Sec [21]. It has been shown that the incorporation of 72 73 SeCys rather than Cys in the active site of the GPX confers better redox activity to these 74 enzymes [16]. In animals, and even more in plants, only little information about the GPX 75 activity regulation is available. In animals, it has been suggested a GPX regulation at mRNA, 76 protein and/or activity level by selenium supply, SECIS-associating factors, but also by some selenium-independent regulator; this regulation may also vary according to the GPX sequence 77 [22]. Some studies also pointed out the role of selenium as cofactor of GPX activity [23, 24]. 78 Finally, it has also been shown the physical interaction between the human GPX1 and 79 selenium-binding protein 1 (SBP1) – which is not a selenoprotein but is able to bind selenium 80 covalently – associated to an inverse regulation of the two corresponding genes [25-27]. The 81 mechanism by which SBP1 and GPX1 regulate each other remains unclear, but a competition 82 83 for selenium available in the cell has been suggested as a possible mechanism of inverse regulation [28]. Altogether, these data suggested a possible physical interaction between GPX 84 85 and selenium as possible element of activity regulation. In plants, selenium is absorbed from the soil in the form of selenate (SeO4²⁻) or selenite (SeO3²⁻) that are both toxic, and are used 86

to synthesize Secys and selenomethionine (SeMet) which are further converted to volatile
dimethyl(di)selenide (DM(D)Se) [16]. Therefore, SeMet is one of the most abundant and
metabolically important forms of selenium in plant, that could be also associated to plant
selenium detoxification mechanism [16].

GPX gene expression has been related with cell protection in various stress conditions 91 92 such as pathogenic attack, salt treatment, and mechanical stimulation [29]. Interestingly, in 93 humans, the GPx1 gene was associated to several diseases, including cancer due to disease risk-associated alleles [25, 28, 30]. Several studies have also reported that HsGPx1 proteins 94 were involved in cell cycle regulation, inhibiting apoptosis that allows tumor progression [25, 95 96 31, 32]. For these reasons, the putative inhibitory potential of PCD associated with the ability to reduce H₂O₂ and lipid hydroperoxides makes GPXs important for understanding the cacao-97 *M. perniciosa* pathosystem. Here, we identified and analyzed *in silico* and *in vitro* five GPX 98 99 sequences from T. cacao. TcGPX proteins did not contain SeCys in their primary sequence but interact with SeMet. Expression analysis of TcGPX genes during the cacao-M. perniciosa 100 101 interaction showed a higher expression in susceptible cacao plants than in the resistant ones, 102 mainly in disease stages in which oxidative stress and PCD occurred. This data, associated with phylogenetic and location analysis suggested that TcGPXs may play a role in protecting 103 104 cells from oxidative stress, and in inhibiting PCD as a try of disease progression reduction. Moreover, the *TcGPX* expression may be regulated by several hormone pathways known to 105 be involved in the witches' broom disease development, as indicated by the TcGPX promoter 106 analysis. To our knowledge, this is the first study of the overall GPX family from T. cacao. 107

108

109 **2. Methods**

110 **2.1** *In silico* analysis of TcGPXs

A GPX cDNA was identified in a library of *T. cacao* meristem (genotype TSH1188) 111 infected by *M. perniciosa* [10]. A search in the CocoaGenDB database [11] through local 112 alignment with BLASTn and BLASTp [33] using the GPX cDNA [10] allowed the 113 114 identification of five homologous sequences named TcPHGPX, TcGPX2, TcGPX4, TcGPX6 and TcGPX8 according to the nomenclature and numeration of the cocoa genome 115 (Supplementary material 1). The complete sequences of the genes and corresponding proteins 116 were obtained from the CocoaGenDB database. The proteins were analyzed using 117 InterProScan [34] and their classification validated by the presence of the GPX domain codes. 118 The MCScanX tool [35] was used to identify possible duplications of the TcGPX genes in the 119 120 cacao genome. The prediction of theoretical isoelectric point (pI) and molecular weight (MW) was obtained using the Expasy Molecular Biology Server (www.expasy.org). The conserved 121 domain and family protein were analyzed using the Pfam program [36]. Post-translational 122 123 events were predicted using the NetPhos 2.0 Server to identify putative sites of phosphorylation (Ser/Thr/Tyr) [37] and NetNGlyc 1.0 Server [38] to identify putative sites of 124 125 N-glycosylation. Predictions of subcellular localization were conducted with the programs TargetP [39] and PSORT [40]. Signal peptide presence was analyzed using the SignalP 4.0 126 Server [41]. Homologous sequences to TcGPXs were searched using BLAST [33] on the 127 128 National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and plants and mammals sequences 129 showing the highest homology levels (> 79%) were selected. Multiple sequence alignment of these sequences was performed with the ClustalW2 software [42], and an unrooted 130 phylogenetic tree was built using the neighbour joining method with the ClustalW2, with 131 1000 bootstraps [42] and MEGA 6 [43] programs. The search for regulatory sequences of the 132 TcGPXs gene was performed in the CocoaGenDB database [11]. A region of 1500 bp 133 134 upstream the UTR was identified and selected for *cis*-element analysis. The presence of the

cis-regulatory elements in the promoter regions of the *TcGPX* genes was analyzed using the
 plantCARE (sphinx.rug.ac.be:8080/PlantCARE/cgi/index.html) software [44].

137

138 **2.2 Molecular modeling and docking analysis**

The prediction of the three-dimensional (3-D) models of the TcGPXs proteins was 139 obtained using the Swiss-Model server and the Automated Protein Homology-modeling, 140 which relies on the high similarity of target-template [45]. The crystal structure of poplar 141 (Populus trichocarpa) glutathione peroxidase (PtGPX5; Protein Data Bank code: 2p5q) was 142 used as the template to build the 3-D models of TcGPXs, because of its high identity with 143 TcGPXs (>79%), high coverage of protein sequences and high resolution (2.0 Å). The 144 stereochemical quality of the models was evaluated using the Procheck [46] and Anolea 145 programs [47]. The 3-D model visualization was obtained using the PyMol (The PyMOL 146 147 Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.5.0.4 Schrödinger, LLC.) and Discovery Studio 4.0. The docking analysis between TcGPXs and SeMet was performed using the AutoDockTools 148 149 1.5.6 [48]. SeMet 2D structure was found in PubChem databases (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The 2D structure of this compound was copied into 150 Similies and saved in PDB format using the Marvin program. The ligand and receiver 151 structures were saved in pgbgt format to be used in docking calculations. AutoDock Vina was 152 used to perform Docking Scoring for the complex formed by the receptor - ligand [49]. The 153 reports of each calculation were analyzed for the energy (Kcal / Mol) values of affinity for 154 each binder conformation in its respective complex. The Discovery Studio program was used 155 to verify the ideal complex. 156

157

158 **2.3 Plant material**

Plant material was obtained as previously described [50]. Seeds of T. cacao genotypes 159 Catongo (susceptible to *M. perniciosa*) and TSH1188 (resistant to *M. perniciosa*) were 160 germinated and grown at CEPLAC/CEPEC (Ilhéus, Bahia, Brazil) greenhouses. Twenty to 161 thirty days after germination, the apical meristems of the plantlets were inoculated by the 162 droplet method [51] with a basidiospore suspension of M. perniciosa (inoculum from isolate 163 4145 maintained in the CEPLAC/CEPEC phytopathological M. perniciosa collection under 164 number 921 of the WFCC; http://www.wfcc.info/index.php/collections/display). After 165 inoculation, the plantlets were kept for 24 h at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and 100% humidity. Rate of disease 166 fixation based on presence/absence of symptoms [52] in each genotype, was evaluated 60 167 168 days after inoculation (dai); disease rate was 45% and 80% for TSH1188 and Catongo, respectively. Moreover, the presence of *M. perniciosa* in the plant material was checked by 169 semi-quantitative RT-PCR using specific *M. perniciosa* actin primers [53]; both genotypes 170 171 presented fungus incidence (data not shown) coherent with previous data obtained in the same conditions of plant culture and inoculation [7]. During the overall experiment, plant 172 development and presence/absence of symptoms were carefully checked and compared to 173 previous disease pattern established by our research group [7]. Apical meristems were 174 harvested at 24, 48 and 72 hours after inoculation (hai), and 8, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 90 dai. Non-175 176 inoculated plants (controls) were kept and harvested under the same conditions at 24 and 72 177 hai, and 30 and 90 dai. Samples were collected at the same time of day (i.e. time of the inoculation) to avoid possible fluctuation due to circadian rhythm. For each genotype and at 178 each harvesting time (for inoculated and non-inoculated plants), 20 samples were collected (1 179 sample = 1 apical meristem of 1 cacao plantlet). The 20 samples collected from one genotype 180 at one harvesting time were pooled; thus 9 inoculated and 5 non inoculated (control) samples 181 were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use. Pooling samples 182

before RNA extraction has the advantage of reducing the variation caused by biologicalreplication and sample handling [54].

185

186 2.4 Reverse transcription quantitative PCR analysis

Samples were ground on liquid nitrogen until obtaining a fine powder. Total RNA was 187 extracted from powdered samples using the RNAqueous Kit® (Ambion) according to the 188 manufacturer's instructions, with modifications as previously described [50]. The RNA 189 190 quality and quantity were checked using the Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). The synthesis of the first cDNA strand was carried out using Revertaid Fisrt 191 192 Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Scientific). For the qPCR analysis, two cacao endogenous reference genes were used: the malate 193 dehydrogenase (MDH) and β -actin (ACT), previously identified as *T. cacao* housekeeping 194 195 genes [55] and tested in our experimental conditions (same plant material, same equipment) [50]. Specific primers and amplified regions containing different size, melting temperature, 196 197 GC content and GC/AT ratio were defined to avoid cross-reaction between genes from cacao 198 GPX family (Supplementary material 2). The expression analysis of TcPHGPx and TcGPx2 was performed using standard settings of the ABI PRISM 7500 and Sequence Detection 199 200 System (SDS) software, version 1.6.3 (Applied Biosystems).

The qPCR reaction consisted of 10 ng/µl of cDNA, 1 µM of each primer from reference or targets genes (Supplementary material 2) and 11 µl of Power SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in a total volume of 22 µl. Cycling conditions were: 50°C for 2 min then 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min and 72°C for 30 s. To verify that each primer pair produced only a single PCR product, a dissociation analysis was carried out from 60°C to 95°C and analyzed with the Dissociation Curve 1.0 program (Applied Biosystems). The expression analysis of *TcGPXs* in meristems of

cacao plantlets inoculated or not with M. perniciosa, was obtained by RT-qPCR using 3 208 experimental replicates. The relative expression was obtained using the comparative Ct 209 method $(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct})$ that considered: i) MDH and ACT as endogenous reference genes (average of 210 expression values from both genes); and ii) non-inoculated (control) plants (average of 211 expression values of each TcGPX gene in 5 control samples harvested as described above) as 212 calibrator - for this reason the relative expression value of the control (= non-inoculated 213 plants) is always 1.0. Statistical analysis was made using the SASM-Agri software [56] which 214 215 tested the experiments as a completely randomized design. *t*-test and *F*-test (ANOVA) were applied with a critical value of 0.01. The Scott-Knott (P≤0.01) test was employed for mean 216 217 separation when F-values were significant.

218

219 **3. Results**

220 **3.1** *In silico* analysis of GPX family of *T. cacao*

In silico analysis on CocoaGenDB revealed the presence of five sequences encoding 221 222 one TcPHGPx and four TcGPXs (TcGPX2, TcGPX4, TcGPX6 and TcGPX8) (Fig. 1). TcGPX 223 genes were located on four different chromosomes (chromosome 1/TcGPX4, chromosome 3/TcGPX6 and TcGPX8, chromosome 9/TcPHGPX and chromosome 5/TcGPX2; Fig. 1A). No 224 duplication events were found for any of the TcGPXs (data not shown). TcGPX genes were 225 226 2,222 to 4,805 bp in length (TcGPX6 and TcGPX4, respectively; Fig. 1A), all contained 6 exons and 5 introns (Fig. 1A), and had ORF from 516 to 789 bp (TcGPX4 and TcGPX2, 227 respectively; Table 1). TcGPXs encoded proteins from 171 to 262 amino acids (TcGPX4 and 228 229 TcGPX2, respectively; Table 1), with molecular weight comprised between 19175.9 and 29708.3 Da (TcGPX4 and TcGPX2, respectively; Table 1), and putative pI from 5.27 to 9.14 230 (TcGPX8 and TcGPX4, respectively; Table 1). All TcGPXs showed putative phosphorylation 231 (from 7 for TcGPX4 to 22 for TcGPX6) and glycosylation (from 1 for TcPHGPX and 232

TcGPX8 to 3 for TcGPX4 and TcGPX6) sites (Table 1). The TcPGXs were predicted to be 233 234 located in chloroplast (TcPHGPX and TcGPX6), to be secreted (TcGPX2), or did not present any defined subcellular localization (unknown for TcGPX4 and TcGPX8; Table 1). The 235 236 TcGPXs mature RNA showed the initiation codes (AUG) and cysteine insertion codons (UGU) in *TcPHGPX*, *TcGPX2*, *TcGPX4*, *TcGPX6* and the tryptophan insertion codon (UGG) 237 238 in TcGPX8 (Fig. 1B). All TcGPXs showed at least one conserved GSH domain (Fig. 1C). 239 TcGPX family showed a cysteine instead of selenocysteine on the active site of TcPHGX, 240 TcGPX2, TcGPX4 and TcGPX6 and a tryptophan on the active site of TcGPH8 instead of a selenocysteine (Fig. 1C). The alignment of TcGPXs sequence using the BLASTP tool 241 242 revealed high identity with GPXs from other organisms (plant and mammals) and allowed the identification of the conserved region containing a conserved cysteine residue in plants and 243 SeCys is mammals (Supplementary material 3). The full-length amino acid sequences of 244 245 plants and mammalian of GPXs available in the databases were used to build an unrooted phylogenetic tree (Supplementary material 4). Plant and mammalian GPXs were separated 246 247 and formed two distinct branches in the tree (Fig. 2). The five TcGPXs have close phylogenetic relationship with members of the same classification in other plant species (Fig. 248 2). In silico analysis of the TcGPXs promoter region revealed the presence of several 249 conserved cis-elements characteristic of different plant species (Table. 2). Elements 250 251 recognized by the family of WRKY transcription factors (W box) were identified in all TcGPX promoters, and defense and stress responsiveness elements (TC-rich repeats) were 252 identified in TcPHGPX, TcGPX2, TcGPX6 and TcGPX8 (Table 2). Elements related to 253 response to abiotic stress or external stimuli anaerobic induction (ARE), light responsiveness 254 (BOX4), drought-inducibility (MBS), Low-temperature responsiveness (LTR) were also 255 found (Table 2). Finally, several cis-elements related to hormone signaling were found such 256 as abscisic acid responsiveness (ABRE), gibberellin-responsive element (GARE-motif), 257

258 MeJA-responsiveness (TGACG-motif), salicylic acid responsiveness, (TCA-element) and 259 auxin-responsive element (TGA-element) (Table 2).

260

261 **3.2** Homology modeling of GPXs and docking analysis

The alignment of the PtGPX5 (3-D template) amino acid sequence showed 63.92%, 262 69.03%, 59.17%, 75.15% and 84.02% with TcPHGPXs, TcGPX2, TcGPX4, TcGPX6, 263 TcGPX8, respectively (Fig. 3A). The structural modeling of TcPHGPX, TcGPX4 and 264 TcGPX6 showed 8 α-helices and 6 β-strands (Fig.3B, 3D and 3E) while TcGPX2 showed 6 α-265 helices and, 6 β-strands (Fig.3C) and TcGPX8 7 α-helices and 6 β-strands (Fig.3F). The 266 Ramachandran plots showed that 100% of the amino acids of the TcGPXs models were 267 located in the most favored regions (Supplementary material 5). The catalytic site of 268 TcPHGPX, TcGPX2, TcGPX4, and TcGPx6 contained the conserved cysteine (Cys114, Cys75, 269 270 Cys_{44,} and Cys₁₁₁, respectively) residue (Fig. 3A, B, C, D and E). TcGPX8 contained two conserved tryptophan (W₄₄, W₁₅₁) residue (Fig. 3A and F). The docking between TcPHGPXs 271 272 and SeMet showed conventional hydrogen bond interactions between Lys113, Cys114, Thr163 and, carbon hydrogen bond between Pro₁₅₁ (Fig.4A). The interaction between TcGPX4 and 273 SeMet showed conventional hydrogen bond between Gln75, Ser129, Asn108, Arg136 and, 274 unfavorable donor-donor between Arg₁₃₀ (Fig.4B). The interaction between TcGPX6 and 275 SeMet showed conventional hydrogen bond between Asp₁₉₆, Arg₂₁₆, Thr₂₂₁ and, unfavorable 276 donor-donor between Ala218 (Fig.4C). The interaction between TcGPx8 and SeMet showed 277 Pi-sulfur interaction between Phe₅₈, conventional hydrogen bond between Tyr₄₅, Trp₄₄ and 278 Glu₉₇ and carbon hydrogen between Trp₁₅₁ (Fig. 4D). No interaction was observed between 279 TcGPX2 and SeMet (data not shown). 280

3.3 Differential expression of TcGPXs in resistant and susceptible cacao genotypes infected by *M. perniciosa*

The expression of the TcPHGPX, TcGPX2, TcGPX4, TcGPX6, and TcGPX8 genes 284 was analyzed together for each cacao genotype, i.e. TSH1188 (resistant to witches' broom 285 disease) and Catongo (susceptible), infected or not (control) with M. perniciosa (Fig.5A). For 286 287 both genotypes and for all the harvesting points, the PCR amplification occurred at the same 288 and unique melting temperature for each gene showing that only the corresponding gene was 289 amplified (Supplementary material 6). When we analyzed the gene expression the according to the disease stage (time after inoculation), we verified that, in the resistant variety, the 290 291 TcPHGPX gene did not differ significantly at 24 and 48 hai, with a small repression compared to the non-inoculated control (Fig.5B). At 72 hai, the TcPHGPX gene becomes significantly 292 more expressed than the control (Fig.5B). At 8 and 15 dai, the expression of the TcPHGPX 293 294 gene did not differ significantly, however, they were significantly more expressed than the 295 control (Fig.5B). At 30 dai, TcPHGPX was significantly less expressed than the control, and 296 at 45 and 90 dai did not differ from the control (Fig.5B). At 60 dai, the TcPHGPX gene was 297 overexpressed in the resistant variety (Fig.5B). In the resistant variety, the TcGPX2 gene did not differ significantly from the control after 24, 48 and 72 hai, as well as after 15, 45, and 60 298 dai after inoculation (Fig.5B). At 8 dai, the TcGPX2 gene was repressed compared to the 299 300 control (Fig.5B). At 30 dai, the TcGPX2 gene was also less expressed than the control (Fig.5B). At 90 dai, the TcGPX2 gene was significantly more expressed than in the non-301 inoculated control (Fig.5B). The TcGPX4 gene was only significantly more expressed than 302 303 the control at 60 and 90 dai (Fig.5B). The TcGPX6 gene was significantly more expressed at 30, 45, and 60 dai, with a peak expression of 60 dai, followed by a 90 dai repression 304 (Fig.5B). The TcGPX8 gene was significantly more expressed than the control at 45, 60, and 305 90 dai. In the previous periods they were less expressed than the non-inoculated control 306

(Fig.5B). In the susceptible variety the TcPHGPX gene was overexpressed at 24 and 48 hai 307 (Fig.5C). At 72 hai and at 8 and 15 dai, the PHGPX gene was differentially less expressed 308 than the non-inoculated control (Fig.5C). At 30 and 45 dai, the TcPHGPX gene did not differ 309 310 significantly from the non-inoculated control (Fig.5C). The TcGPX2 gene was repressed within 24 hai after being overexpressed at 48 and 72 hai (Fig.5C). At 30 dai the TcGPX2 gene 311 was less expressed than the control (Fig.5C). At 24 hai, 8, 15, and 60 dai the TcGPX4 gene 312 did not differ from the control (Fig.5C). At 48 and 72 hai and 90 dai TcGPx4 was less 313 expressed than the control (Fig.5C). At 30 and 45 dai the TcGPX4 gene was overexpressed 314 (Fig.5C). The TcGPx6 gene was overexpressed at 24 hai and 30, 45 and 90 dai. At 48 and 72 315 hai and 8 and 15 dai the expression of the TcGPX6 gene did not differ significantly from the 316 non-inoculated control. At 60 dai the TcGPX6 gene was significantly less expressed than the 317 control (Fig.5C). The TcGPX8 gene was overexpressed at 24 hai and 30 and 45 dai (Fig.5C). 318 319 It was significantly less expressed than the control at 48 and 72 hai (Fig.5C). At 60 and 90 dai the *TcGPX8* gene was significantly more expressed than the control (Fig.5C). 320

321 When comparing the expression of the *TcGPX* family genes, we observed that in the 322 resistant variety, 24 hai there was significant difference between the TcPHGPX and TcGPX8 genes (Fig.5B). At 48 hai there was no significant difference between the genes of the TcGPX 323 family (Fig.5B). At 72 hai TcPHGPX and TcGPX6 were significantly more expressed 324 (Fig.5B). TcGPx4 and TcGPx8 did not differ significantly after 72 hai (Fig.5B). At 8 dai 325 TcPHGPX was significantly more expressed and TcGPX2 was less expressed (Fig.5B). At 15 326 dai there was no difference between the genes of the TcGPX family (Fig.5B). At 30 dai 327 TcGPX6 was significantly more expressed, whereas TcPHGPX and TcGPx2 were less 328 expressed (Fig.5B). At 43 dai TcGPX6 and TcGPX8 were significantly more expressed, 329 330 respectively (Fig.5B). After 60 dai TcGPx6 was significantly more expressed, whereas

TcGPX2 was less expressed (Fig.5B). At 90 dai the *TcGPX2*, *TcGPX4* and *TcGPX8* genes
were significantly more expressed than the *TcPHGPX* and *TcGPX6* genes (Fig.5B).

In the susceptible variety, after 24 hai the TcPHGPX gene was overexpressed and 333 TcGPX2 was less expressed than the control (Fig.5C). At 48 hai TcPHGPX and TcGPX2 were 334 significantly more expressed, whereas TcGPX4, TcGPX6 and TcGPX8 were less expressed 335 than the control (Fig.5C). At 8 dai TcGPX2 and TcGPX4 did not differ from the control, 336 whereas TcPHGPX, TcGPX6 and TcGPX8 were less expressed than the control 337 (Fig.5C). After 30 dai the TcGPX4 and TcGPX8 genes were overexpressed and TcGPX2 was 338 less expressed than the control (Fig.5C). At 45 dai TcGPX4, TcGPX6 and TcGPX8 were 339 significantly more expressed than TcPHGPX and TcGPX2 (Fig.5C). After 60 dai the 340 *TcPHGPX*, *TcGPX2*, and *TcGPX6* genes were less expressed than the control. The *TcGPX4* 341 and TcGPX8 genes did not differ from the control (Fig.5C). At 90 dai the TcGPX6 gene was 342 343 significantly more expressed and the TcGPX4 gene was less expressed than the noninoculated control (Fig.5C). 344

345

346 **4. Discussion**

347 4.1 TcGPX protein family did not contain SeCys but interacted *in silico* with SeMet

Here, we characterized five GPXs (TcPHGPX, TcGPX2, TcGPX4, TcGPX6 and 348 TcGPX8) sequences from T. cacao (Fig. 1). All the TcGPX protein, except TcGPX8, 349 contained a conserved GSHPX domain with conserved Cys residues (Fig. 1C), which are 350 important for the protein function [13]. Even if it has been related in few studies the possible 351 existence of selenoproteins in plants [17, 20, 21], our results corroborate the difference 352 already observed in most of the cases between GPXs from plants and animals: the active site 353 of plant GPXs contains a Cys while the one of animal GPXs contains SeCys [57]. In the case 354 of TcGPX8, the Cys residue was substituted by a tryptophan residue within the GSHPX 355

domain. Although tryptophan is an amino acid with antioxidant activity [58] and could act as 356 357 a hydrogen donor [59], it differs greatly from the chemical structure of cysteine. It could be suggested that TcGPX8 may be a non-functional GPX due to some sequence truncation or 358 359 mutation. On the other hand, here, we showed that TcGPXs (except TcGPX2) are able to bind *in silico* the SeMet chemical element (Fig. 4). Some plant GPXs may have increased activity 360 or be selenodependent even without the presence of the UGA codon for incorporation of 361 SeCys [22, 28]. The possibility of GPXs having increased activity when interacting with 362 selenium compounds is due to the fact that selenium serves as a GPX cofactor [23, 24]. Thus, 363 as suggested here by molecular docking assays, the TcGPXs may present PCD inhibition and 364 365 antioxidant activities if they were associated with selenium compounds (Fig. 4).

366

367 4.2 TcGPXs are ubiquitous protein potentially involved in cell death inhibition

368 All the TcGPX proteins contained multiple predicted phosphorylation and glycosylation sites (Table 1), which are ubiquitous mechanism for the temporal and spatial 369 370 regulation of proteins involved in almost every cellular process [60]. The TcGPXs family 371 showed an ubiquitous subcellular localization (Table 1) including chloroplast location (TcHGPX and TcGPX6) where these proteins may use GSH or thioredoxin as a reducing 372 373 agent to reduce H_2O_2 , organic hydroperoxide, and lipid hydroperoxides [61]. Furthermore, in Arabidopsis it has been shown that chloroplastic GPXs play a role in cross talk between 374 photooxidative stress and immune responses [61, 62]. The phylogenetic analysis of TcGPXs 375 with different GPX from plants and animals showed that they were very conserved inclusive 376 377 for each sub-group of GPX, i.e. PHGPXs vs GPXs (Fig. 2). The sequence and structure conservation of TcGPXs also suggests a conservation of function as indicated by other 378 379 authors [13]. In mammal signal transduction pathways, it has been suggested that PHGPx functions as an anti-apoptotic agent in mitochondrial death signaling [63]. The antioxidant 380

GPX enzymes eliminate ROS and reduce H_2O_2 [64, 65] and in human, are known to be inhibitors of apoptosis [66]. Functional studies of *Lycopersicon esculentum* PHGPX (*LePHGPx*) showed that this gene acted as a cytoprotector in yeast, preventing Bax, hydrogen peroxide, and heat stress induced cell death, but also protected tobacco leaves from salt and heat stress and suppressed the apoptotic like features when expressed transiently in this plant [67]. In addition, stable expression of LePHGPx in tobacco afforded protection against the necrotrophic fungus *Botrytis cinereal* [67].

388

389 4.3 TcGPXs may be involved in PCD reduction during *T. cacao – M. perniciosa*390 interaction

The expression analysis of TcGPX genes by RT-qPCR in cacao plant meristems 391 infected by *M. perniciosa* showed that these genes are significantly more expressed in the 392 393 susceptible variety than in the resistant one (Fig. 5B and C). Moreover, considering the susceptible variety, TcGPX are most expressed during asymptomatic and green broom stages 394 395 (Fg.5). During dry broom phase TcGPx6 is significantly more expressed than the other genes in the susceptible variety (Fig.5C). TcGPx2 is significantly more expressed in swelling phase 396 in susceptible variety (Fig. 5A e C). In previous works, it has been showed that calcium 397 oxalate crystal amount and H₂O₂ levels in the two cacao varieties present distinct temporal 398 399 and genotype dependent patterns [8]. Susceptible variety accumulated more CaOx crystals than the resistant one [8, 9], the dissolution of these crystals occurred in the asymptomatic and 400 in the final stages of the disease in the resistant and the susceptible variety, respectively [8, 9]. 401 402 Considering the role of the GPXs in the antioxidant system inhibiting the ROS-induced damages, it could be suggested that in the susceptible cacao genotype infected with M. 403 404 *perniciosa*, *TcGPXs* may play a role in protecting cells from oxidative stress and trying reducing the progression of the disease by inhibiting PCD. Moreover, the increase of 405

406 *TcPHGPX* expression in susceptible variety in the initial stage of witches' broom disease may
407 be related to protection against pathogens observed in other pathosystems [67].

The TcGPX expression regulation was led by promoter regions, which contained 408 409 several cis-elements related to biotic (WRKY transcription factors) and abiotic stress (lowtemperature responsiveness) besides to hormone signaling such abscisic acid, gibberellin, 410 methyljasmonate-responsiveness, salicylic acid and, auxin-responsive element (Table 2). 411 Most of these hormones are involved in witches' broom disease development [10, 68-70]. 412 Several genes related to plant hormone signaling were altered in cacao plants in response to 413 M. perniciosa infection, such ethylene-responsive element, ABA-responsive protein, auxin-414 415 responsive protein, jasmonic acid 2, and brassinosteroid signalling positive regulator [10]. Gibberellin-responsive, ethylene biosynthesis as well as auxin-responsive genes were also 416 417 previously identified as up-regulated in green broom [70]. Is has been suggested that the up-418 regulation of auxin-responsive genes in infected cacao plants is a consequence of the biosynthesis of this hormone by M. perniciosa [68-70]. In various plant pathogens, the 419 420 production of auxin has been correlated to the reduction of the plant defenses mediated by 421 salicylic acid, and consequently to the pathogenicity and to the biotrophic stage development [68, 70]. 422

423

424 5. Conclusion

Here we showed the first *in silico* and *in vitro* analysis of the GPX family from cacao. Five TcGPXs sequences were analyzed at nucleotide and protein levels, and showed that the TcGPX proteins did not contain SeCys in their primary sequence but interacts *in silico* with SeMet as element participating of the TcGPX activity. This characteristic, associated to phylogenetic and location analyses, suggested the participation of TcGPX in cell death inhibition. Finally, the *TcGPX* genes were more expressed in susceptible cacao plants infected by *M. perniciosa* than in the resistant ones, mainly in the early disease and in the green broom
stages, suggesting that *TcGPXs* may play a role in protecting cells from oxidative stress, in
inhibiting PCD as a try of disease progression reduction. This expression may be regulated by
several hormone pathways known to be involved in the witches' broom disease development.

435

436 Availability of supporting data

437 The data sets supporting the results of this article are included within the article and its438 additional files.

439

440 Abbreviations

ACT: actin; dai: days after inoculation; GPX: glutathione peroxidase; GSH: reduced
glutathione; hai: hour after inoculation; pI: isoeletric point; MDH: malate dehydrogenase;
MW: molecular weight; ORF: open reading frame; PCD: programmed cell death; PHGPX:
phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase; UTR: untranslated regions.

445

446 **Competing interest**

447 No conflicts of interest to declare.

448

449 Authors' contribution

AMMA was responsible for the execution of the all the experimental steps; AMMA, SPMR, and FM analyzed and discussed the data; AMMA and FM wrote the manuscript; SPMR gave support in qPCR experiment; KPG was responsible for plant material production and inoculation with *M. perniciosa*; FM and AMMA were responsible for the conception and design of the experiments; FM was responsible for the financial support of the research and for the advising of AMMA and SPMR. 456

457 Acknowledgements

The work of AMMA was supported by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado da 458 Bahia (FAPESB). The work of SPMR was supported by the Coordenação de 459 Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES). KPG and FM received o 460 Productivity grant from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico 461 (CNPq). This research was supported by the FAPESB project (DTE0038/2013) coordinated 462 by FM. The authors thank Francisca Feitosa Jucá (UESC/Ceplac) and Louise Araújo Sousa 463 (Ceplac) for technical help in plant inoculation experiments. The authors thank Raner José 464 465 Santana Silva (UESC) for advising in modeling, and Dr. Janisete Gomes da Silva Miller (UESC) for correcting English language. This work was developed in the frame of the 466 International Consortium in Advanced Biology (CIBA; https://www.ciba-network.org/). 467 468

469 **References**

- 470 [1] G.A.R. Wood, R.A. Lass, Cocoa, Fourth Edition ed., Blackwell Science Ltd. 1985.
- 471 [2] E.O. Afoakwa, A. Paterson, M. Fowler, A. Ryan, Flavor formation and character in cocoa and
- 472 chocolate: a critical review, Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 48(9) (2008) 840-57.
- 473 [3] F. Micheli, M. Guiltinan, K.P. Gramacho, M.J. Wilkinson, A.V.d.O. Figueira, J.C.d.M. Cascardo, S.
- 474 Maximova, C. Lanaud, Chapter 3 Functional genomics of cacao, in: K. Jean-Claude, D. Michel (Eds.),
 475 Advances in Botanical Research, Academic Press2010, pp. 119-177.
- 476 [4] H.M. Rocha, R.A.C. Miranda, R.B. Sgrillo, R.A. Setubal, Witches' broom in Bahia, Brazil., in: S.A.
- 477 Rudgard, M. A.C., A. T. (Eds.), Disease and management in Cocoa : Comparative epidemiology of
- 478 witches' broom, Chapman and Hall, London, 1993, pp. 189-200.
- 479 [5] L.W. Meinhardt, J. Rincones, B.A. Bailey, M.C. Aime, G.W. Griffith, D. Zhang, G.A. Pereira,
- 480 *Moniliophthora perniciosa*, the causal agent of witches' broom disease of cacao: what's new from 481 this old foe?, Molecular Plant Pathology 9(5) (2008) 577-88.
- 482 [6] O. Garcia, J.A. Macedo, R. Tiburcio, G. Zaparoli, J. Rincones, L.M. Bittencourt, G.O. Ceita, F.
- 483 Micheli, A. Gesteira, A.C. Mariano, M.A. Schiavinato, F.J. Medrano, L.W. Meinhardt, G.A. Pereira, J.C.
- 484 Cascardo, Characterization of necrosis and ethylene-inducing proteins (NEP) in the basidiomycete
- 485 *Moniliophthora perniciosa*, the causal agent of witches' broom in *Theobroma cacao*, Mycol Res
- 486 111(Pt 4) (2007) 443-55.
- [7] K. Sena, L. Alemanno, K.P. Gramacho, The infection process of *Moniliophthora perniciosa* in cacao,
 Plant Pathology (2014) 1272–1281.
- 489 [8] C.V. Dias, J.S. Mendes, A.C. dos Santos, C.P. Pirovani, A. da Silva Gesteira, F. Micheli, K.P.
- 490 Gramacho, J. Hammerstone, P. Mazzafera, J.C. de Mattos Cascardo, Hydrogen peroxide formation in
- 491 cacao tissues infected by the hemibiotrophic fungus *Moniliophthora perniciosa*, Plant Physiol
- 492 Biochem 49(8) (2011) 917-922.

- 493 [9] G. de Oliveira Ceita, J.N.A. Macêdo, T.B. Santos, L. Alemanno, A. da Silva Gesteira, F. Micheli, A.C.
- 494 Mariano, K.P. Gramacho, D. da Costa Silva, L. Meinhardt, P. Mazzafera, G.A.G. Pereira, J.C. de Mattos
- 495 Cascardo, Involvement of calcium oxalate degradation during programmed cell death in *Theobroma*
- 496 *cacao* tissues triggered by the hemibiotrophic fungus *Moniliophthora perniciosa*, Plant Sci 173(2)
 497 (2007) 106-117.
- 498 [10] A.S. Gesteira, F. Micheli, N. Carels, A. Da Silva, K. Gramacho, I. Schuster, J. Macedo, G. Pereira, J.
- 499 Cascardo, Comparative analysis of expressed genes from cacao meristems infected by
- 500 *Moniliophthora perniciosa*, Ann Bot 100(1) (2007) 129-140.
- 501 [11] X. Argout, J. Salse, J.-M. Aury, M.J. Guiltinan, G. Droc, J. Gouzy, M. Allegre, C. Chaparro, T.
- 502 Legavre, S.N. Maximova, M. Abrouk, F. Murat, O. Fouet, J. Poulain, M. Ruiz, Y. Roguet, M. Rodier-
- 503 Goud, J.F. Barbosa-Neto, F. Sabot, D. Kudrna, J.S.S. Ammiraju, S.C. Schuster, J.E. Carlson, E. Sallet, T.
- 504 Schiex, A. Dievart, M. Kramer, L. Gelley, Z. Shi, A. Berard, C. Viot, M. Boccara, A.M. Risterucci, V.
- 505 Guignon, X. Sabau, M.J. Axtell, Z. Ma, Y. Zhang, S. Brown, M. Bourge, W. Golser, X. Song, D. Clement,
- 506 R. Rivallan, M. Tahi, J.M. Akaza, B. Pitollat, K. Gramacho, A. D'Hont, D. Brunel, D. Infante, I. Kebe, P.
- 507 Costet, R. Wing, W.R. McCombie, E. Guiderdoni, F. Quetier, O. Panaud, P. Wincker, S. Bocs, C.
- 508 Lanaud, The genome of *Theobroma cacao*, Nat Genet 43 (2011) 101–108.
- 509 [12] D. Trachootham, J. Alexandre, P. Huang, Targeting cancer cells by ROS-mediated mechanisms: a
- 510 radical therapeutic approach?, Nature Reviews Drug Discovery 8 (2009) 579.
- 511 [13] R. Margis, C. Dunand, F.K. Teixeira, M. Margis-Pinheiro, Glutathione peroxidase family an
- 512 evolutionary overview, FEBS Journal 275(15) (2008) 3959-3970.
- 513 [14] S. Herbette, P. Roeckel-Drevet, J.R. Drevet, Seleno-independent glutathione peroxidases. More
- than simple antioxidant scavengers, FEBS J 274(9) (2007) 2163-80.
- 515 [15] E. Zoidis, I. Seremelis, N. Kontopoulos, G.P. Danezis, Selenium-Dependent Antioxidant Enzymes:
- 516 Actions and Properties of Selenoproteins, Antioxidants (Basel) 7(5) (2018) 66.
- 517 [16] M. Schiavon, E.A. Pilon-Smits, The fascinating facets of plant selenium accumulation -
- 518 biochemistry, physiology, evolution and ecology, New Phytol 213(4) (2017) 1582-1596.
- 519 [17] L.-H. Fu, X.-F. Wang, Y. Eyal, Y.-M. She, L.J. Donald, K.G. Standing, G. Ben-Hayyim, A
- 520 Selenoprotein in the Plant Kingdom: MASS SPECTROMETRY CONFIRMS THAT AN OPAL CODON (UGA)
- 521 ENCODES SELENOCYSTEINE IN CHLAMYDOMONAS REINHARDTII GLUTATHIONE PEROXIDASE, Journal 522 of Biological Chemistry 277(29) (2002) 25983-25991.
- 523 [18] S.V. Novoselov, M. Rao, N.V. Onoshko, H. Zhi, G.V. Kryukov, Y. Xiang, D.P. Weeks, D.L. Hatfield,
- 524 V.N. Gladyshev, Selenoproteins and selenocysteine insertion system in the model plant cell system,
- 525 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, The EMBO Journal 21(14) (2002) 3681-3693.
- 526 [19] D.Y. Lee, O. Fiehn, High quality metabolomic data for Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, Plant
- 527 Methods 4 (2008) 7-7.
- [20] F. Sabeh, T. Wright, S.J. Norton, Purification and Characterization of a Glutathione Peroxidase
 from the Aloe vera Plant, Enzyme and Protein 47 (1993) 92-98.
- 530 [21] D. Fajardo, B. Schlautman, S. Steffan, J. Polashock, N. Vorsa, J. Zalapa, The American cranberry
- 531 mitochondrial genome reveals the presence of selenocysteine (tRNA-Sec and SECIS) insertion
- 532 machinery in land plants, Gene 536(2) (2014) 336-43.
- [22] X.G. Lei, W.H. Cheng, J.P. McClung, Metabolic regulation and function of glutathione peroxidase1, Annu Rev Nutr 27 (2007) 41-61.
- 535 [23] S. Chan, B. Gerson, S. Subramaniam, The role of copper, molybdenum, selenium, and zinc in 536 nutrition and health., Clin Lab Med. 18(4) (1998) 673-85.
- 537 [24] M. Puccinelli, F. Malorgio, B. Pezzarossa, Selenium Enrichment of Horticultural Crops, Molecules538 22(6) (2017).
- 539 [25] W. Fang, M.L. Goldberg, N.M. Pohl, X. Bi, C. Tong, B. Xiong, T.J. Koh, A.M. Diamond, W. Yang,
- 540 Functional and physical interaction between the selenium-binding protein 1 (SBP1) and the
- 541 glutathione peroxidase 1 selenoprotein, Carcinogenesis 31(8) (2010) 1360-1366.
- 542 [26] M. Schott, M.M. de Jel, J.C. Engelmann, P. Renner, E.K. Geissler, A.K. Bosserhoff, S. Kuphal,
- 543 Selenium-binding protein 1 is down-regulated in malignant melanoma, Oncotarget 9(12) (2018)
- 544 10445-10456.

- 545 [27] F. Schild, S. Kieffer-Jaquinod, A. Palencia, D. Cobessi, G. Sarret, C. Zubieta, A. Jourdain, R. Dumas,
- 546 V. Forge, D. Testemale, J. Bourguignon, V. Hugouvieux, Biochemical and Biophysical Characterization
- 547 of the Selenium-binding and Reducing Site in Arabidopsis thaliana Homologue to Mammals
- 548 Selenium-binding Protein 1, Journal of Biological Chemistry 289(46) (2014) 31765-31776.
- 549 [28] E. Ansong, W. Yang, A.M. Diamond, Molecular cross-talk between members of distinct families
- of selenium containing proteins, Molecular nutrition & food research 58(1) (2014) 117-123.
- 551 [29] W.-J. Li, H. Feng, J.-H. Fan, R.-Q. Zhang, N.-M. Zhao, J.-Y. Liu, Molecular cloning and expression of
- a phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase homolog in Oryza sativa1, Biochimica et
- 553 Biophysica Acta (BBA) Gene Structure and Expression 1493(1–2) (2000) 225-230.
- [30] A. Jerome-Morais, M.E. Wright, R. Liu, W. Yang, M.I. Jackson, G.F. Combs, A.M. Diamond, Inverse
 Association Between Glutathione Peroxidase Activity and Both Selenium Binding Protein 1 Levels and
 Gleason Score in Human Prostate Tissue, The Prostate 72(9) (2012) 1006-1012.
- 557 [31] C. Huang, G. Ding, C. Gu, J. Zhou, M. Kuang, Y. Ji, Y. He, T. Kondo, J. Fan, Decreased Selenium-
- 558 Binding Protein 1 Enhances Glutathione Peroxidase 1 Activity and Downregulates HIF-1α to Promote 559 Hepatocellular Carcinoma Invasiveness, Clinical Cancer Research 18(11) (2012) 3042-3053.
- 560 [32] J.-Y. Jeong, J.-R. Zhou, C. Gao, L. Feldman, A.J. Sytkowski, Human selenium binding protein-1
- 561 (hSP56) is a negative regulator of HIF-1 α and suppresses the malignant characteristics of prostate
- 562 cancer cells, BMB Reports 47(7) (2014) 411-416.
- 563 [33] S.F. Altschul, T.L. Madden, A.A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller, D.J. Lipman, Gapped
- 564 BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs, Nucleic Acids Res 565 25(17) (1997) 3389-402.
- [34] E. Quevillon, V. Silventoinen, S. Pillai, N. Harte, N. Mulder, R. Apweiler, R. Lopez, InterProScan:
 protein domains identifier, Nucleic Acids Research 33(suppl 2) (2005) W116-W120.
- 568 [35] Y. Wang, H. Tang, J.D. DeBarry, X. Tan, J. Li, X. Wang, T.-h. Lee, H. Jin, B. Marler, H. Guo, J.C.
- Kissinger, A.H. Paterson, MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene syntemy
 and collinearity, Nucleic Acids Res 40(7) (2012) e49-e49.
- 571 [36] S. El-Gebali, J. Mistry, A. Bateman, S.R. Eddy, A. Luciani, S.C. Potter, M. Qureshi, L.J. Richardson,
- 572 G.A. Salazar, A. Smart, E.L.L. Sonnhammer, L. Hirsh, L. Paladin, D. Piovesan, S.C.E. Tosatto, R.D. Finn,
- 573 The Pfam protein families database in 2019, Nucleic Acids Research 47(D1) (2019) D427-D432.
- 574 [37] N. Blom, S. Gammeltoft, S. Brunak, Sequence and structure-based prediction of eukaryotic
- protein phosphorylation sites, J Mol Biol 294(5) (1999) 1351-62.
- [38] R. Gupta, S. Brunak, Prediction of glycosylation across the human proteome and the correlationto protein function, Pac Symp Biocomput (2002) 310-22.
- 578 [39] O. Emanuelsson, S. Brunak, G. von Heijne, H. Nielsen, Locating proteins in the cell using TargetP,
- 579 SignalP and related tools, Nat. Protocols 2(4) (2007) 953-971.
- [40] K. Nakai, P. Horton, PSORT: a program for detecting sorting signals in proteins and predicting
 their subcellular localization, Trends in Biochemical Sciences 24(1) (1999) 34-35.
- 582 [41] T.N. Petersen, S. Brunak, G. von Heijne, H. Nielsen, Signal P 4.0: discriminating signal peptides 583 from transmembrane regions, Nat Meth 8(10) (2011) 785-786.
- 584 [42] M.A. Larkin, G. Blackshields, N.P. Brown, R. Chenna, P.A. McGettigan, H. McWilliam, F. Valentin,
- I.M. Wallace, A. Wilm, R. Lopez, J.D. Thompson, T.J. Gibson, D.G. Higgins, Clustal W and Clustal X
 version 2.0, Bioinformatics 23(21) (2007) 2947-2948.
- 587 [43] K. Tamura, G. Stecher, D. Peterson, A. Filipski, S. Kumar, MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary
- 588 Genetics Analysis Version 6.0, Molecular Biology and Evolution 30(12) (2013) 2725-2729.
- 589 [44] M. Lescot, P. Déhais, G. Thijs, K. Marchal, Y. Moreau, Y. Van de Peer, P. Rouzé, S. Rombauts,
- 590 PlantCARE, a database of plant *cis*-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for in silico
- analysis of promoter sequences, Nucleic Acids Research 30(1) (2002) 325-327.
- 592 [45] K. Arnold, L. Bordoli, J. Kopp, T. Schwede, The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based
- environment for protein structure homology modelling, Bioinformatics 22(2) (2006) 195-201.
- 594 [46] R.A. Laskowski, M.W. MacArthur, D.S. Moss, J.M. Thornton, PROCHECK: a program to check the
- 595 stereochemical quality of protein structures, Journal of Applied Crystallography 26(2) (1993) 283-

- 597 [47] F. Melo, E. Feytmans, Assessing protein structures with a non-local atomic interaction energy,
- 598 Journal of Molecular Biology 277(5) (1998) 1141-1152.
- [48] M. Sanner, A.J. Olson, J.C. Spehner, Reduced Surface: an Efficient Way to Compute Molecular
 Surfaces, Biopolymers 38(3) (1996) 305-320.
- 601 [49] O. Trott, A.J. Olson, AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new
- scoring function, efficient optimization and multithreading, Journal of Computational Chemistry 31(2)(2010) 455-461.
- [50] S. Pereira Menezes, E. de Andrade Silva, E. Matos Lima, A. Oliveira de Sousa, B. Silva Andrade, L.
- 605 Santos Lima Lemos, K. Peres Gramacho, A. da Silva Gesteira, C. Pirovani, F. Micheli, The
- 606 pathogenesis-related protein PR-4b from *Theobroma cacao* presents RNase activity, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺
- 607 dependent-DNase activity and antifungal action on *Moniliophthora perniciosa*, BMC Plant Biol 14(1) 608 (2014) 1-17.
- [51] G. Frias, L. Purdy, R. Schmidt, An inoculation method for evaluating resistance of cacao to
- 610 *Crinipellis perniciosa*, Plant Disease 79 (1995) 787-791.
- 611 [52] S. Silva, K. Matsuoka, Histologia da interação *Crinipellis perniciosa* em cacaueiros suscetível e 612 resistente à vassoura de bruxa, Fitopatol. Bras. 24 (1999) 54-59.
- [53] R.X. Santos, S.C.O. Melo, J.C.M. Cascardo, M. Brendel, C. Pungartnik, Carbon source-dependent
- variation of acquired mutagen resistance of *Moniliophthora perniciosa*: Similarities in natural and
- artificial systems, Fungal Genetics and Biology 45(6) (2008) 851-860.
- 616 [54] S. Duplessis, P.-E. Courty, D. Tagu, F. Martin, Transcript patterns associated with ectomycorrhiza
- 617 development in *Eucalyptus globulus* and *Pisolithus microcarpus*, New Phytologist 165(2) (2004) 599-618 611.
- 619 [55] T.T. Pinheiro, C.G. Litholdo Jr., M.L. Sereno, G.A. Leal Jr., P.S.B. Albuquerque, A. Figueira,
- Establishing references for gene expression analyses by RT-qPCR in *Theobroma cacao* tissues, Genet
 Mol Res 10(4) (2012) 3291 3305.
- 622 [56] M.G. Canteri, R.A. Althaus, J.S. das Virgens Filho, E.A. Giglioti, C.V. Godoy, SASM-AGRI Sistema
- 623 para analise e separação de médias em experimentos agricolas pelos métodos Scott-Knott, Tukey a
- 624 Duncan, Revista Brasileira de Agrocomputação 1(2) (2001) 18-24.
- 625 [57] T.C. Stadtman, SELENOCYSTEINE, Annual Review of Biochemistry 65(1) (1996) 83-100.
- [58] S. Katayama, Y. Mine, Antioxidative activity of amino acids on tissue oxidative stress in human
 intestinal epithelial cell model, J Agric Food Chem 55(21) (2007) 8458-64.
- 628 [59] R.J. Elias, D.J. McClements, E.A. Decker, Antioxidant activity of cysteine, tryptophan, and
- methionine residues in continuous phase beta-lactoglobulin in oil-in-water emulsions, J Agric Food
 Chem 53(26) (2005) 10248-53.
- [60] Samuel M. Pearlman, Z. Serber, James E. Ferrell, Jr., A Mechanism for the Evolution of
 Phosphorylation Sites, Cell 147(4) (2011) 934-946.
- 633 [61] C.-Z. Zhai, L. Zhao, L.-J. Yin, M. Chen, Q.-Y. Wang, L.-C. Li, Z.-S. Xu, Y.-Z. Ma, Two Wheat
- 634 Glutathione Peroxidase Genes Whose Products Are Located in Chloroplasts Improve Salt and
- 635 H(2)O(2) Tolerances in Arabidopsis, PLoS ONE 8(10) (2013) e73989.
- 636 [62] C.C.C. Chang, I. Slesak, L. Jordá, A. Sotnikov, M. Melzer, Z. Miszalski, P.M. Mullineaux, J.E. Parker,
- B. Karpinska, S. Karpinski, Arabidopsis chloroplastic glutathione peroxidases play a role in cross talk
- 638 between photooxidative stress and immune responses, Plant Physiology 150(2) (2009) 670-683.
- [63] K. Nomura, H. Imai, T. Koumura, T. Kobayashi, Y. Nakagawa, Mitochondrial phospholipid
 hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase inhibits the release of cytochrome c from mitochondria by
- 641 suppressing the peroxidation of cardiolipin in hypoglycaemia-induced apoptosis, The Biochemical
- 642 journal 351(Pt 1) (2000) 183-193.
- 643 [64] M.A.R. Milla, A. Maurer, A.R. Huete, J.P. Gustafson, Glutathione peroxidase genes in Arabidopsis
- are ubiquitous and regulated by abiotic stresses through diverse signaling pathways, The Plant
 Journal 36(5) (2003) 602-615.
- 646 [65] Z. Faltin, D. Holland, M. Velcheva, M. Tsapovetsky, P. Roeckel-Drevet, A.K. Handa, M. Abu-Abied,
- 647 M. Friedman-Einat, Y. Eshdat, A. Perl, Glutathione Peroxidase Regulation of Reactive Oxygen Species
- Level is Crucial for In Vitro Plant Differentiation, Plant and Cell Physiology 51(7) (2010) 1151-1162.

- [66] R. Franco, J.A. Cidlowski, Apoptosis and glutathione: beyond an antioxidant, Cell Death Differ
 16(10) (2009) 1303-1314.
- 651 [67] S. Chen, Z. Vaghchhipawala, W. Li, H. Asard, M.B. Dickman, Tomato phospholipid hydroperoxide
- 652 glutathione peroxidase inhibits cell death induced by Bax and oxidative stresses in yeast and plants,
- 653 Plant Physiology 135(3) (2004) 1630-1641.
- [68] A. Kilaru, B.A. Bailey, K.H. Hasenstein, *Moniliophthora perniciosa* produces hormones and alters
- endogenous auxin and salicylic acid in infected cocoa leaves, FEMS Microbiology Letters 274(2)
 (2007) 238-244.
- 657 [69] F.C. Chaves, T.J. Gianfagna, Necrotrophic phase of Moniliophthora perniciosa causes salicylic
- acid accumulation in infected stems of cacao, Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology 69(1-3)(2006) 104-108.
- 660 [70] P.J.P.L. Teixeira, D.P.d.T. Thomazella, O. Reis, P.F.V. do Prado, M.C.S. do Rio, G.L. Fiorin, J. José,
- 661 G.G.L. Costa, V.A. Negri, J.M.C. Mondego, P. Mieczkowski, G.A.G. Pereira, High-resolution transcript
- 662 profiling of the atypical biotrophic interaction between Theobroma cacao and the fungal pathogen
- 663 Moniliophthora perniciosa, The Plant Cell 26(11) (2014) 4245-4269.

Name	Predict gene data				Predict protein data					
	Identification*	Sequence start*	Sequence end [*]	ORF size (bp)	Size (aa)	Molecular weight (Da)	pI	Subcellular localization	Phosphorylation sites	Glycosylation sites
TcPHGPX	Tc09_p001340	728482	730367	720	239	26477.2	9.08	Chloroplast	S33 S36 S40 S43 S44 S58 S70 S96 S98 S122 S153 T20 T78 T81	N120
TcGPX2	Tc05_p000210	101857	104202	789	262	29708.3	9.11	Secretory	$ \begin{array}{c} S_{31} \; S_{42} \; S_{57} \; S_{59} \; S_{62} \; S_{152} \; T_{47} \; T_{185} \; T_{246} \; Y_{44} \\ \qquad $	N165 N227
TcGPX4	Tc01_p028750	24070592	24074869	516	171	19175.9	9.14	nd	S11 S28 S121 T16 T83 Y52 Y116	N50 N122 N134
TcGPX6	Tc03_p027320	23147873	23149733	780	235	25973.7	8.93	Chloroplast	$\begin{array}{c} S_{25} \ S_{29} \ S_{31} \ S_{32} \ S_{43} \ S_{52} \ S_{57} \ S_{63} \ S_{74} \ S_{75} \ S_{78} \\ S_{95} \ S_{188} \ S_{189} \ S_{197} \ S_{225} \ T_{83} \ T_{221} \ Y_{118} \ Y_{128} \\ Y_{166} \ Y_{183} \end{array}$	$N_{12}N_{117}N_{201}$
TcGPX8	Tc03_p027330	23150262	23153108	573	190	21600.4	5.27	nd	S5 S11 S28 S50 S159 S173 S176 T16 T54 Y13 Y69 Y79 Y134	N68

Table 1. Gene and protein characteristics of GPX family from *T. cacao*. nd: non determined. 666

667 * Gene identification and position as indicated in CocoaGenDB database

Gene	Name of <i>cis</i> -alamants	Organism	Function		
Othe		Arabidonsis thaliana	Abscisic acid responsiveness		
	ADRE	Zea mays	Apperobic induction		
	AT_rich	Pisum satiyum	Maximal elicitor-mediated activation		
TOPHCDY	Box 4	Patrosalinum crispum	Light responsiveness		
I CI IIOI A	MRS	Arabidonsis thaliana	Drought inducibility		
	TC rich repeats	Nicotiana tahacum	Defense and stress responsiveness		
	W box	Anabidonsis thaliana	WDVV transcription factors		
		Arabidopsis inaliana	wkki transcription factors		
	ADRE CAPE motif	Arabiaopsis inaliana Prassica cloracea	Cibbarallin responsive element		
	MDS	Anghidongia thaligua	Drought in ducibility		
TACDV2	MBS TC rich reports	Arabiaopsis inaliana	Drought-inducibility		
TCGPX2	IC-rich repeats	Nicotiana tabacum	WDWV transprinting for the mo		
	w box	Arabiaopsis thaliana	WKKY transcription factors		
	BOX 4	Petroselinum crispum	Light responsiveness		
	IGACG-motif	Horaeum vulgare	MeJA-responsiveness		
	ABRE	Arabidopsis thaliana	Abscisic acid responsiveness		
	ARE	Zea mays	Anaerobic induction		
	Box 4	Petroselinum crispum	Light responsiveness		
	GARE-motif	Brassica oleracea	Gibberellin-responsive element		
TcGPX4	MBS	Arabidopsis thaliana	Drought-inducibility		
	P-box	Oryza sativa	Gibberellin-responsive element		
	TCA-element	Nicotiana tabacum	Salicylic acid responsiveness		
	TGA-element	Brassica oleracea	Auxin-responsive element		
	W box	Arabidopsis thaliana	WRKY transcription factors		
	ABRE	Arabidopsis thaliana	Abscisic acid responsiveness		
	ARE	Zea mays	Anaerobic induction		
	Box 4	Petroselinum crispum	Light responsiveness		
	LTR	Hordeum vulgare	Low-temperature responsiveness		
TcGPX6	TC-rich repeats	Nicotiana tabacum	Defense and stress responsiveness		
	TGA-element	Brassica oleracea	Auxin-responsive element		
	TGACG-motif	Hordeum vulgare	MeJA-responsiveness		
	W box	Arabidopsis thaliana	WRKY transcription factors		
	ABRE	Arabidopsis thaliana	Abscisic acid responsiveness		
	ARE	Zea mays	Anaerobic induction		
	Box 4	Petroselinum crispum	Light responsiveness		
	AT-rich	Pisum sativum	Maximal elicitor-mediated activation		
	MBS	Arabidopsis thaliana	Drought-inducibility		
TcGPX8	O2-site	Zea mays	Zein metabolism regulation		
	TATC-box	Oryza sativa	Gibberellin-responsiveness		
	TC-rich repeats	Nicotiana tabacum	Defense and stress responsiveness		
	TCA-element	Brassica oleracea	Salicylic acid responsiveness		
	TGA-element	Brassica oleracea	Auxin-responsive element		
	W box	Arabidopsis thaliana	WRKY transcription factors		
		-	•		

Table 2. *Cis*-elements present in the promoter region of *T. cacao GPXs* genes.

671 **Figure legends**

672 Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the genes, mature RNA and proteins of the GPX family from Theobroma cacao. A. DNA sequences of GPX family. Untranslated 673 674 regions, exons and introns are indicated by turquoise, green and salmon squares, respectively. **B.** Mature RNAs from the *TcGPX* genes. Untranslated regions and exons 675 are indicated by turquoise and green squares, respectively. Purple lines represent the 676 initiation codons (AUG), red lines indicate the cysteine insertion codons (UGU) in 677 678 PHGPX, GPX2, GPX4, GPX6 and the tryptophan insertion codon (UGG) in GPX8, and black lines indicate the stop codons (UGA). C. TcGPX protein structure. Black squares 679 680 represent the conserved GSH domain and yellow lines indicate the presence of cysteine on the active site of TcPHGX, TcGPX2, TcGPX4 and TcGPX6, and of tryptophan on 681 the active site of TcGPX8, instead of selenocysteine. 682

Figure 2. Phylogenetic relationships among the nucleotide sequences of TcGPXs and
other plants and mammalian GPXs. Only complete sequences were considered. The tree
was constructed using the neighbour-joining method of clustalW with 1,000 bootstraps.
The amino acid sequences were obtained from GenBank.

Figure 3. Tridimensional structure of TcPHGPx, TcGPx2, TcGPx4, TcGPx6, and 687 TcGPx8 obtained by homology modeling with PtGPx5 from Populus trichocarpa 688 689 (2P5R.pdb). A. Alignment of the TcGPX with PtGPx5. Conserved substitutions, 690 identical amino acids, semi-conserved substitutions and catalytic triad are indicated in 691 grey, dark blue, light blue and purple, respectively. B. Secondary structure of 692 TcPHGPX. C. Secondary structure of TcGPX2. D. Secondary structure of TcGPx4. E. Secondary structure of TcGPx6. F. Secondary structure of TcGPx8. B-F. The catalytic 693 694 triad of each TcGPx is represented by a solvent surface.

Figure 4. Docking between TcGPXs and selenomethionine (SeMet). A. Interaction
between TcPHGPX (blue) and SeMet. B. Interaction between TcGPX4 (orange) and
SeMet. C. Interaction between TcGPX6 (pink) and SeMet. D. Interaction between
TcGPX8 (salmon) and SeMet.

Figure 5. Relative expression of *TcPHGPX*, *TcGPX2*, *TcGPX4*, *TcGPX6*, and *TcGPX8* 699 in cacao meristems inoculated or not (control) with *M. perniciosa*. A. Representation of 700 plant symptoms and fungus phase during the infection time course in Catongo 701 702 genotypes. The harvesting times of inoculated plants are indicated on the top of the figure. B. Relative expression observed in TSH1188. C. Relative expression observed in 703 704 Catongo. (*) indicates harvesting times of non-inoculated (control) plants. The control, 705 used as calibrator (for this reason is always 1), corresponds to the average of the expression values of each TcGPX in 5 non-inoculated samples in each genotype (see 706 707 also Material and methods section). Different letters indicate significant statistical 708 difference between samples by the Scott-Knott test $p(p \le 0.01)$. *t*-test was applied with a 709 critical value of 0.01: upper case letters correspond to statistics between each of the 710 genes on different harvesting times for each genotype while lower case letters correspond to statistics among the genes for each harvesting time. hai: hours after 711 712 inoculation; dai: days after inoculation.

A DNA



R

Mature RNA



C Protein





TCGPX2		M	HWLR	FT-
TCPHGPX	MASMPFSATFPSYLHDLSQ	TKKIPVMPS	SWPFSI	PSIES
TCGPX4				
TCGPX6	MLCSPTYLFRRNLSAVAVSASLLLSKRLSPSS	KOTLLSFP	ISPVSLVS	PSIET
TCGPX8				
PtGPX5				
mecov2			CUMPE	TRON
TOULAL	CI CCCVCCET AUCECI ACCCUPCETEVEDCCCTV	- BDB BODE	T VDV	TDOM
TCFRGFA	51055K50EDQHGE5DQ555VEGEVEK5K55011	CREUPON	STHOP	INCO
TCGFA4		SASESVEVE	STHEFT	ANOY
TCGPA6	GESKSELGSLDHIM	AGQSSK	STHDE	ARGN
TCGPX8		ASQSTRNP	STIDE	AKGN
PtGPX5	M2	ATQTSKNPI	SVHDETVK	AKEN
TCGPX2	DVSLSEYSGKVLLIVNVASK	GLTHSNY	ELNVLYEK	TKNQG
TCPHGPX	DVSLSRFKGKVLLIVNVASK	GLTTSNY	ELSHIYEK	TKIQG
TCGPX4	DVDLSIYEGKVLLVVNVASK	GLTDSNY	QLTDLYSK	TKDQG
TCGPX6	DVDLSIYKGKVLLIVNVASQ	GLTNSNY	ELSOLYEK	TKDOG
TCGPX8	VVDLSAYKGKVLLIVNVASK-YLRSDSVINTGRLFFAT	GMTNENY	ELNOLYEK	TKDOG
PtGPX5	DV <mark>DLS</mark> IF <mark>K</mark> GKVLLIVNVASK	GMTNSNY	EMNQLYEK	тк <mark>р</mark> ос
TCGPX2	RELIAFPONOBAG BROTHERIOFUA COMPREEDIDD	WEVNCKNS	APTWENT	SVKGE
TOPHOPY	PETTA FRONOFCO PROSNERIKO PACAREKA PETTO	WDWN C PM	APUYOPTK	SNACE
TCCPYA	LETTAFPCNOPLK PECTEORUOOPACTEVKAEVPTER	URUNCPK	PRUVERIA	CNKC
TOCRYS	TETTA PROVORCOPPONICATI PROCEEDINA	ALL		CEVE
TCGFAO		TRUNCER		SSRO
TCGFAO	DETLAFFCNOFGE EFGSNDOIAVEV CARERSDEFTED	LEVNGDN	SELECTION	LGRWG
FUGEAS	DETTAPPENOPGE DEPOTNDOT DEVERSEPTED	ATD VNGEN	SPLICELIA	LGKWC
TCGPX2	YFGDAIKWNETKFINDKEGKVVERYAPTTSPLKIEQRT	RDIAWNFQO	WHGSKAWL	VFGGL
TCPHGPX	FLGDLVKWNEEKFLVDKNGKVVERVEPTISEFQIEKDI	KLLAA		
TCGPX4	FLGSRIKWNFTKFLVDKNGHVLGRYGPTTAPLAIEADI	KKALGVDT-		
TCGPX6	LFGDSIKWNESKFLVDKEGNVVDRYAPTTSPLSIEKDI	KKLLA		
TCGPX8	IFGDDIQWNEAKFLVSKDGQVVHRYYPTTSPLSLEYDI	KKLLGLGQE		
PtGPX5	IFGDDIQ NEAKFLVNKDGQVVDRYYPTTSPLSLBRDI	KQLLEIS		
TcGPX2	RLVVFISLLSNVSGWMITSSTMNYLCGAFTHRVGCKAS	HKIICNNL	69.03%	
TCPHGPX			63.92%	
TCGPX4			59.17%	
TCGPX6			75.15%	
TCGPX8			84.02%	
DECOVE				

Α













PHGPX GPX2 GPX4 GPX6 GPX8