

Cell Metabolic Alterations due to Mcph1 Mutation in Microcephaly

Nathalie Journiac, Javier Gilabert-Juan, Sara Cipriani, Paule Benit, Xiaoqian Liu, Sandrine Jacquier, Valérie Faivre, Andrée Delahaye-Duriez, Zsolt Csaba, Tristan Hourcade, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Nathalie Journiac, Javier Gilabert-Juan, Sara Cipriani, Paule Benit, Xiaoqian Liu, et al.. Cell Metabolic Alterations due to Mcph1 Mutation in Microcephaly. Cell Reports, 2020, 31, pp.107506 -. 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.03.070 . hal-03491054

HAL Id: hal-03491054 https://hal.science/hal-03491054

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S221112472030396X Manuscript_18876ae5c5ad34abbddae8bdcc6eea77

1 2 3 4	Cell metabolic alterations due to Mcph1 mutation in microcephaly
5 6	Nathalie Journiac ^{1 # *} , Javier Gilabert-Juan ^{1° *} , Sara Cipriani ^{1§} , Paule Benit ¹ , Xiaoqian Liu ² ,
7	Sandrine Jacquier ¹ , Valérie Faivre ¹ , Andrée Delahaye-Duriez ^{1,3} , Zsolt Csaba ¹ , Tristan Hourcade ¹ ,
8	Eliza Melinte ¹ , Sophie Lebon ¹ , Céline Violle-Poirsier ⁴ , Jean-François Oury ⁵ , Homa Adle-Biassette ^{1,6} ,
9	Zhao-Qi Wang ^{2,7} , Shyamala Mani ^{1,8} , Pierre Rustin ¹ , Pierre Gressens ^{1,9&} and Jeannette Nardelli ^{1&}
10	
11	¹ PROTECT Inserm UMR 1141, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France
12	² Leibniz Institute on Aging – Fritz Lipmann Institute (FLI), Jena, Germany
13	³ Service d'Histologie, Embryologie, et Cytogénétique, Hôpital Jean Verdier, Saint Denis, AP-HP,
14	Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Bobigny, France
15	⁴ Service de Génétique et Biologie de la Reproduction, CHU Reims, France
16	⁵ Service de Gynécologie-Obstétrique, Hôpital Robert Debré, AP-HP, Paris, France.
17	⁶ Service d'Anatomie et de Cytologie Pathologiques, Hôpital Lariboisière, AP-HP, Paris, France
18	⁷ Faculty of Biology and Pharmacy, Friedrich-Schiller University of Jena, Jena, Germany
19	⁸ Curadev Pharma Pvt. Ltd., NOIDA, India
20	⁹ Centre for the Developing Brain, Department of Perinatal Imaging and Health, Division of Imaging
21	Sciences and Biochemical Engineering, King's College London, St. Thomas' Hospital, London
22	[#] Present address: Univ. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, U1172 - LilNCog - Lille Neuroscience &
23	Cognition, F-59000 Lille, France
24	[§] Present address: Institute of Cardiometabolism And Nutrition (ICAN), IE3M, Paris, France.
25	[°] Present address: CNRS/UMR7241-Inserm U1050, Collège de France, Paris, France.
26	* These authors participated equally to this work
27	
28	^{&} Corresponding authors:
29	Jeannette Nardelli: jeannette.nardelli@inserm.fr
30	Pierre Gressens: pierre.gressens@inserm.fr

1 Lead contact : Jeannette Nardelli

SUMMARY

3 A distinctive feature of the neocortical development is the highly coordinated production of 4 different progenitor cell subtypes, which are critical for ensuring adequate neurogenic outcome and 5 the development of normal neocortical size. To further understand the mechanisms that underlie 6 neocortical growth, we focused our studies on the microcephaly gene Mcph1, and we report here that 7 Mcph1 1) exerts its functions in rapidly dividing apical radial glial cells (aRGCs) during mouse 8 neocortical development stages that precede indirect neurogenesis, 2) is expressed at mitochondria; 9 and 3) controls RGC proper proliferation and survival, potentially through crosstalk with cellular 10 metabolic pathways, involving stimulation of mitochondrial activity via VDAC1/GRP75, 11 AKT/HK2/VDAC1 and of glutaminolysis via ATF4/PCK2. We thus currently report the description of 12 a MCPH gene implication in the interplay between bioenergetic pathways and neocortical growth, 13 thus pointing to alterations of cellular metabolic pathways, in particular of glutaminolysis, as possible 14 cause of microcephalic pathogenesis.

15

1 INTRODUCTION

2

3 The evolutionary expansion of the mammalian neocortex (nctx) underlies the development of 4 sophisticated cognitive functions, culminating in humans. This evolutionary hallmark has raised 5 interesting issues with regard to the mechanisms that determine neocortical size and how these 6 mechanisms were adapted to drive neocortical expansion. Nctx size is determined by the neuronal 7 output, which is governed by the proliferative capacity of neural progenitor cells. Three cellular 8 subtypes of neural progenitors emerge progressively in tight coordination with neocortical 9 development. The primary neuroepithelial cells amplify by symmetric divisions and give rise to apical 10 radial glial cells (aRGCs), which divide at the ventricular border and constitute the ventricular zone 11 (VZ). Basal progenitors, including intermediate progenitor cells (IPCs) and basal RGCs (bRGCs), 12 arise from aRGC by asymmetric divisions and populate the subventricular zone (SVZ), in which they 13 divide to generate neurons (Dehay et al., 2015; Florio and Huttner, 2014; Lui et al., 2011). Hence, all 14 neuronal cell types arising in the nctx belong to the aRGC lineage and any alteration of aRGC 15 production is expected to have a profound impact on the neocortical size. The greater neurogenic 16 output seen in the gyrencephalic nctx of humans and non-human primates, compared to lissencephalic 17 nctx of lower species like rodents, is thought to rely on the formation of a further germinative layer in 18 the SVZ, called the outer SVZ (OSVZ), in which neurogenesis is extended by further proliferation of 19 neural progenitors (Betizeau et al., 2013; Kalebic et al., 2019; Martinez-Martinez et al., 2016; 20 Nowakowski et al., 2017).

Despite advances in the characterization of the molecular cues governing intrinsic functions in specific neural progenitor subtypes (Florio et al., 2015; Kalebic et al., 2019; Nowakowski et al., 2017), the molecular pathways controlling the transition and proper balance between these subtypes remain unclear. Recently, several lines of evidence have implied bioenergetics pathways in the fate determination of neural progenitor. During neocortical development, the actively proliferating aRGCs stimulate glycolysis, whereas neuronal differentiation by indirect neurogenesis requires a switch to oxidative phosphorylation (Lange et al., 2016; Wagenfuhr et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2016). The fate of early aRGCs is also influenced by the PERK (Protein kinase RNA-like endoplasmic reticulum
 Kinase)/ATF4 arm of UPR (Unfolded Protein Response), which promotes the birth of pioneer neurons
 by direct neurogenesis, and inhibits indirect neurogenesis (Frank et al., 2010; Laguesse et al., 2015).
 How bioenergetic pathways mechanistically control progenitor behavior with respect to proliferation
 rate, mode of division and survival, is not clear.

6 In this context, non-syndromic genetic autosomal recessive primary microcephaly (MCPH) 7 has proved to be a powerful research model to investigate fundamental mechanisms that maintain 8 neural progenitor homeostasis in the developing nctx. All of the hitherto identified MCPH genes 9 (MCPH1-25) play a role in cell division (Duerinckx and Abramowicz, 2018; Jayaraman et al., 2018). 10 To further elucidate the mechanisms responsible for microcephaly pathogenesis, which in turn may 11 provide insight into the mechanisms regulating the size of the nctx, we focused our research on 12 MCPH1, a MCPH gene with multifaceted functions (Jackson et al., 1998; Liang et al., 2010; Peng et 13 al., 2009; Pulvers et al., 2015). In mouse neural progenitors, *Mcph1* controls the coupling between the 14 centrosome cycle and the entry into M-phase through the Cdc25b/Chk1 pathway (Gruber et al., 2011). 15 Here, we show that MCPH1 is expressed at mitochondria and directly influences mitochondrial 16 functions by interfering with the VDAC1/GRP75 pathway and notably, by stimulating glutaminolysis 17 via the ATF4/PCK2 pathway. We thus reveal that *Mcph1* is involved in the crosstalk between 18 bioenergetic pathways, an essential function for the proliferation and survival of neocortical 19 progenitors.

- 2
- 3 Mcph1 expression in aRGCs declines with the onset of indirect neurogenesis

4 First, we determined the dynamic of Mcph1 expression in progenitors during mouse 5 neocortical development. Immunofluorescence (IF) performed between embryonic day (E) 10.5 and 6 E16.5 illustrated clear Mcph1 expression in the cytoplasm of aRGCs in the VZ (Figures 1A-G). More 7 concentrated at the apical side of these cells, as indicated by co-localization with apical proteins, such 8 as Par3 (Bultje et al., 2009) and Dock7 (Yang et al., 2012), this expression remained weak at more 9 basal positions where APC staining extended (Figure 1G). Of note, no expression was detected in the 10 nucleus or at the centrosome (Figure 1D). Furthermore, Mcph1 expression overlapped with that of 11 RC2, a post-translationally modified nestin isoform specifically expressed in mouse aRGCs (Park et 12 al., 2009) (Figure 1E), not with that of Tbr2 (Figure 1F). This discrimination between Mcph1 and 13 Tbr2 expression was further supported by the decrease in Mcph1 expression from E10.5 to E13.5, and 14 no detection of this expression on E14.5 (Figures S1A-B) and E16.5 (Figure S1D) nctx sections or in 15 E14.5 whole-mount nctx (Figure S1C) further stained with γ Tubulin antibodies for the better 16 visualization of mitotic cells at the ventricular border. This limited time-window of *Mcph1* expression 17 was confirmed at the RNA level by RNA in situ hybridization, as no signal was detected in E14.5 and 18 E16.5 nctx (Figure S1E).

Taken together, these results indicate that Mcph1 is primarily expressed in aRGCs in a decreasing manner from E10.5 to E13.5. The discordance with a previous study showing Mcph1 expression at E15.5 (Gruber et al., 2011) can be explained by the very sensitive method that was used, indicating an extremely lower level of Mcph1 expression compared to earlier stages that we examined here.

In contrast to our findings in mice, MCPH1 expression was observed at later stages of human neocortical development, such as post-conception weeks (PCW) 11 (**Figures 1H-K**) and PCW13 (data not shown). This expression was primarily detected in a subset of RGCs in both the VZ and OSVZ, as illustrated by its prominent overlapping with PAX6 expression compared to TBR2 (**Figures 1J** and **1L**). Of note, in contrast to mice, MPCH1 was expressed in nuclei in addition to the cytoplasm. 1 To consolidate our expression data in human, we analyzed transcriptomic data from the 2 Human BrainSpan Atlas (Kang et al., 2011; Pletikos et al., 2014) and observed that MCPH1 3 expression is highest during the earliest stages of neocortical development, before the PCW16 stage, 4 and then progressively declines (**Figure S1F**).

5 Collectively, these expression data show that MCPH1 expression peaks at the early stages of 6 neocortical development and is primarily associated with RGCs, both in humans and mice. They raise 7 the question of a specific role of *MCPH1* in RGCs.

- 8
- 9 *Mcph1* conditional deletion in the mouse nctx induces microcephaly.

10 To answer this question, we generated Mcph1^{lox/lox}; Emx1^{kiCre/+} mice (cKO) with specific 11 Mcph1 inactivation in neocortical progenitors, and we phenotypically compared these mutant mice to 12 Mcph1^{lox/lox} (Ct) mice. No Mcph1protein expression was detected in the lateral nctx of E12.5 cKO 13 embryos, which gives rise to the neocortical neurons, whereas Mcph1 expression was seen in the 14 medial nctx (Figure S2A), probably due to lower efficiency of *Mcph1* excision in medial area. The 15 cKO mice developed microcephaly, underlined by the reduction of brain weight and of longitudinal 16 and lateral neocortical size (Figures 2A and 2B). To further characterize the morphogenic defects of 17 the cKO nctx, we used two approaches for neuron BrdU birthdating: first, the double injection of 18 pregnant mice with BrdU at E12.5 and E13.5 to trace the earliest layer VI neurons Tbr1+ and analyze 19 their outcome at post-natal day (P) 0; and second, the BrdU injection at E14.5 to trace neurons of 20 layers V to II and analyze them at P5, when their migration is complete. DAPI-staining coupled with 21 layer neuron marker and BrdU double IF allowed us to determine: 1) the global number of neurons 22 and BrdU distribution within the cortical plate; 2) the number of neurons and BrdU distribution in 23 each neuron layer.

Following BrdU injection at E12.5 and E13.5, P0 nctx displayed a decrease in the total number of neurons in cKO versus Ct nctx (**Figures S2B** and **S2C**), but no significant change in the total number of BrdU positive nuclei and the distribution of Tbr1+ and Tbr1+BrdU+ neurons (**Figure S2C**). After BrdU injection at E14.5, the significant decrease in the total number of neurons in the P5 cKO versus Ct nctx was now associated with a global reduction of BrdU incorporation (**Figures 2C**) and 2D). Again, the number of Tbr1+ neurons was similar in Ct and cKO nctx and, as expected, these
neurons were barely traced by BrdU (Figures 2C and 2D). In contrast, the neuronal outcome in the
layers V to II and the global BrdU distribution were significantly decreased (Figures 2C and 2D),
whereas BrdU incorporation in each layer was not impacted (Figure 2D). This result can be explained
by dilution of the global BrdU decrease in the different layers.

Collectively, these data suggested that neurogenesis proceeds normally between E12.5 and
E13.5, whereas neuron arising is impaired later on. They are consistent with the decrease in upper
neurons reported in the *Mcph1* full knock out nctx (Gruber et al., 2011), and suggest a possible
premature exhaustion of progenitors.

10

11 *Mcph1* mutation induces early mitotic anomalies associated with progenitor cell death

12 In regard with these results, we examined progenitors in E12.5 Ct and cKO nctx. Double IF of 13 Tpx2, a protein interacting with spindle microtubules (Gruss et al., 2002), and Aurora kinase B 14 (AurkB), a cell cycle kinase that localizes to microtubules near kinetochores (Terada et al., 1998), 15 evidenced frequent disorganized mitotic spindles at the ventricular border of Mcph1 cKO nctx (Figure 16 S2D): 24 abnormal mitoses among 53 counted in cKO (66%) versus 2 among 35 in Ct nctx (6%). 17 Phospho-Histone H3 (PH3) and cleaved Caspase-3 (CC3) double IF indicated that these defects were 18 associated with ab-ventricular mitoses and cell death (Figure 2E). As shown in Figure 2F, CC3 was 19 expressed in long cellular processes evoking RGCs, not in Tbr2+ IPCs, which suggested that cell 20 death impacted primarily RGCs. Further analysis at E11.5, E14.5 and E16.5 established that cell death 21 in cKO nctx was largely prominent at E12.5 (Figure 2G), which was corroborated with a decrease in 22 ventricular mitoses (PH3+), specific at E12.5 (Figure 2H). These results were consistent with the 23 time-window of Mcph1 expression.

Next, to assess the impact of these mitotic defects on progenitor production, we examined the
distribution of BrdU acute incorporation in aRGCs (Pax6+) and of Tbr2+ IPCs newly born in the VZ
(delineated by Pax6 expression) or settled in the SVZ (no Pax6 expression), as indicated in Figure
S2E. The distribution of Pax6+ and Pax6+ BrdU+ cells was comparable in E12.5 Ct and cKO VZ
(Figure S2F), but, in contrast, decreased significantly at E14.5 and E16.5 (Figures S2G and S2H).

Similar in E12.5 Ct and cKO VZ/SVZ (Figure S2F), the IPC population was reduced in the E14.5
 cKO VZ (Figure S2G). This defect seemed to be compensated in the E16.5 cKO VZ, but was
 associated with a decrease in IPCs in the E16.5 cKO SVZ (Figure S2H).

Collectively, these results indicate that the progenitor cell death peaking in the E12.5 cKO VZ
is related to mitotic anomalies, progressively alters the aRGC pool and results in lower production of
IPCs, which can account for the impaired upper layer neuron production afore described.

7

8 *Mcph1* interacts with cellular metabolic pathways and is localized at mitochondria.

9 Next, to gain insight into how Mcph1 inactivation affects the cell division and survival of 10 neocortical progenitors at the molecular level, we took advantage of primary cultures of mouse neural 11 progenitor cells (NPCs) that we derived from nctx dissected from E12.5 wild type (WTNPCs) and 12 Mcph1 full knock out (KONPCs) embryos, to ensure Mcph1 deletion in all cells. Following RNA 13 sequencing, gene expression profiling analyses revealed differential expression of genes controlling 14 the cell cycle in KONPCs. For instance *Cdk1* and *Chek1*, genes already known as being regulated by 15 Mcph1 (Gruber et al., 2011), were significantly down regulated (fold change FC=5.13, adjusted pvalue=8.53x10⁻³ for Cdk1; FC=5.08 adjusted p-value=1.03x10⁻² for Chek1). Moreover, genes related 16 17 to metabolic pathways, including 93 nuclear genes encoding mitochondrial proteins, also stood out 18 among differentially expressed genes, highlighting oxidative phosphorylation as one of the most 19 affected pathway (Figure 3A; Table S1). This unexpected finding indicated a large impact of the 20 *Mcph1* mutation on bioenergetics pathways and was an incentive to explore the interplay between 21 *Mcph1* and such pathways.

First, the sub-cellular distribution of the Mcph1 protein in wTNPCs established by IF suggested a possible localization of Mcph1 at the mitochondria or near the outer membrane (for simplicity, we will keep mentioning mitochondrial localization to refer to both situations). This was confirmed by 1) the overlapping distribution of Mcph1 and either SDHA (a protein of the respiratory chain complex II) or MitoTracker Deep Red (MitoT), a mitochondrial fluorescent probe (**Figure 3B**); 2) importantly, evidence for this localization in aRGCs provided by gold-immunostaining and electronic microscopy analysis (**Figure 3C**); and 3) mitochondrial targeting of the transiently expressed HA-tagged human MCPH1 protein in mouse NPCs (Figure 3D). Of note, MCPH1
 mitochondrial localization was also observed in human NPC (hNPC) (Figure 3E).

3

4 Mcph1 inactivation impacts mitochondrial functionality

5 Altogether, these results raised the hypothesis of a link between Mcph1 and mitochondria 6 functionality. With this regard, we first checked the impact of the Mcph1 deletion on mitochondrial 7 integrity by comparing the morphology of the mitochondrial network in WTNPCs and KONPCs. When 8 labeled with MitoT and a SDHA antibody, mitochondria appeared smaller and more fragmented in 9 KONPCs (Figure 3F). This higher fragmentation was not related to a loss in mitochondrial membrane 10 amount, as indicated by 1) ELISA quantification of the complex I of the respiratory chain (Figure 11 3G; 2) similar expression levels of VDAC1, a major mitochondrial protein, analyzed by Western 12 blotting (Figure 3H); and 3) morphometric analyses by electronic microscopy, indicating no 13 significant change of the total mitochondrial surface relative to the cytoplasm, but an increase in 14 mitochondria number (Figure 3I).

15 To determine whether the higher mitochondrial fragmentation in KONPCs was a sign of 16 mitochondrial activity alteration, we measured the activity of respiratory chain enzymes in NPCs 17 maintained either in complete medium with Glucose, Pyruvate and Glutamine (GPGln), or in medium 18 deprived of either Glucose (PGln) or both Glucose and Pyruvate (Gln) to challenge mitochondrial 19 activity. We thus measured the activity of the rotenone-sensitive NADH quinone reductase (CI), the 20 malonate-sensitive succinate cytochrome c reductase (SCCR, CII+CIII), the glycerol cytochrome c21 reductase (GCCR, G3PDH+CIII), the Decylubiquinol cytochrome c reductase (QCCR, CIII), the 22 cvanide-sensitive cytochrome c oxidase (Cox, CIV) and the oligomycine-sensitive ATPase (CV). In 23 GPGIn medium, each activity was comparable between KONPCs and WTNPCs (Figures 4A-F). In 24 PGIn medium compared to GPGIn medium, the tested activities, except for SCCR in WTNPCs, tended 25 towards general decrease, although not significant, in both WTNPCs and KONPCs (Figure 4A-F). 26 Comparing activities between WTNPCs and KONPCs in either PGIn or Gln medium, we found a 27 significant decrease in ATPase activity (Figure 4F) in KONPCs, whereas other activities remained unchanged (Figures 4A-E). The significant increase in SCCR enzymatic activity observed in Gln
 medium (Figure 4A) suggested attempt of cells to enhance mitochondrial biogenesis.

Overall these results indicate that the *Mcph1* deletion significantly affects ATPase activity,
underlying mitochondrial dysfunction. This dysfunction is masked in presence of glucose, a wellknown phenomenon (Benit et al., 2019).

6 Since mitochondrial activity is modulated by calcium concentration (Cardenas et al., 2010), 7 we then questioned whether the observed mitochondrial deficiency was related to reduced calcium 8 availability. We thus transiently transfected glucose-deprived NPCs with mito-GEM-GECO1, a 9 fluorescent protein reporter of mitochondrial calcium (Zhao et al., 2011) (**Figure 4G**) and measured 10 emission ratios. We thus found significantly lower calcium amount in KONPCs (**Figure 4H**), 11 underlining alteration of the mitochondrial activity.

Next, we addressed whether the mitochondrial defects evidenced in KONPCs upon low carbon source availability were related to compromised cell survival. By CC3 IF and counting positive cells, WTNPCs displayed elevated cell death in Gln medium only (**Figure 4I**). In KONPCs, cell death was clearly more pronounced in both PGln and Gln medium (**Figure 4I**). Notably, analysis of ROS (Reactive Oxygen Species) production by FACS (Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting) did not show any difference between KONPCs and in PGln (**Figures S3A** and **S3B**), suggesting that cell death in KONPCs was not related to higher ROS production.

19 Together these results indicated that exposure to low carbon source availability was more 20 critical for KONPCs than WTNPCs. To determine whether deficiency of key metabolic enzymes 21 involved in replenishing the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle could account for this difference, we next 22 assessed the activities of the following enzymes: 1) Hexokinases I-IV and lactate dehydrogenase 23 (LDH), key enzymes of glycolysis; 2) Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH), a rate-limiting 24 enzyme in the pentose-phosphate pathway and the synthesis of nucleic acids; and 3) IDH3 and 25 IDH1/2, respectively NAD⁺- and NADP⁺-dependent IDH (isocitrate dehydrogenase), enzymatic 26 isoforms catalyzing the oxidative decarboxylation of isocitrate to produce α -ketoglutarate. These 27 enzymatic activities did not vary significantly between WTNPCs and KONPCs (Figures 4J, 4K) and

S3C-E), and the mitochondrial defects of *Mcph1* KO NPCs could not be explained by deficiency of
 these enzymes.

3

4 *Mcph1* influences mitochondrial activity via glutaminolysis.

5 We next considered another key pathway often solicited to sustain the TCA cycle and 6 mitochondrial activity upon low glucose availability or high energetic demand, which is 7 phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) production from glutamine through the activity of mitochondrial 8 phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, PEPCK-M or PCK2. In addition to driving the TCA cycle, 9 Glutamine-derived PEP or glutaminolysis can fuel multiple biosynthetic processes, critically 10 contributing to cell growth and survival during stress (Mendez-Lucas et al., 2014; Vincent et al., 11 2015). With this regard, we demonstrated PCK2 expression in WTNPCs (Figure 5A) and down 12 regulation of this expression in KONPCs, consistent with our transcriptomic data (FC=2,72, adjusted 13 p-value=5,65x10⁻³). Importantly, PCK2 expression was markedly increased in WTNPCs upon PGIn or 14 Gln medium, but remained very low in KONPCs (Figure 5B). Furthermore, our IF analysis indicated 15 that PCK2 expression decreased between E10.5 and E14.5 (Figures 5C-E), and, of note, was down 16 regulated in E10.5 KO nctx (Figure 5C). These results uncover that mouse neural progenitors solicit 17 PCK2 and *a fortiori* glutaminolysis, and that Mcph1 is involved in PCK2 regulation. In addition, 18 illustration of PCK2 expression in human neocortical progenitors, in hNPCs and in the VZ of a 19 PCW11 fetal nctx, (Figures S4A and S4B, respectively), suggests that this regulation may occur in 20 Human as well. To strengthen this finding, we addressed whether ATF4, a transcription factor able to 21 activate PCK2 transcription (Mendez-Lucas et al., 2014), was also misregulated in KONPCs. In line 22 with transcriptomic analyses indicating a 2.32 fold decrease in ATF4 expression (p-value=2,11x10⁻²). 23 the basal expression of the ATF4 protein was lower in KONPCs compared to WTNPCs, and was not 24 stimulated in KONPCs in PGIn and Gln medium, as in the cas of PCK2 (Figure 5F).

Previous studies have reported that ATF4 is expressed, like Mcph1, in aRGCs before the bulk of indirect neurogenesis (Franck et al. 2010; Laguesse et al. 2015) and regulates progenitor proliferation and the stimulation of the PERK arm of the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR), (Frank et al., 2010; Godin et al., 2016; Laguesse et al., 2015). Since HSPA5/Bip1/GRP78 is the upstream regulator of UPR, we examined the expression of this protein and found a significant increase in
 KONPCs upon Gln versus GPGln medium (Figure 5G), indicating a possible stimulation of UPR.

Altogether our results show that *Mcph1* may participate in glutaminolysis stimulation via the
ATF4/PCK2 pathway.

5

6 Glutaminolysis contributes with glycolysis to fuel the cell cycle of NPCs.

7 In this context, we next assessed the respective influence of glycolysis and glutaminolysis on 8 the proliferation of WTNPCs and KONPCs. By FACS analysis of the cell cycle, we examined the 9 impact of the following metabolic inhibitors: 2-DG (2-Deoxyglucose), a glucose competitor that 10 inhibits the first step of glycolysis by blocking Hexokinases; UK5099 (2-Cyano-3-1-phenyl-1H-indol-11 3-yl-2-propenoic acid), an inhibitor of the mitochondrial pyruvate carrier; BPTES (Bis-2-5-12 phenylacetamido-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl ethyl sulfide), a glutaminase inhibitor (Figure S5A). Analyzing 13 cellular DNA content and EdU incorporation during the S phase allowed us to determine the 14 distribution of NPCs in G0/G1, S and G2/M phase. BPTES and UK5099 alone had no effect on the on 15 both WTNPCs and KONPCs (data not shown). 2-DG, alone or coupled to BPTES did not impact the 16 percentage of WTNPCs and KONPCs in G0/G1, but caused an increase in this percentage in KONPCs 17 when it was coupled to UK5099 (Figure 6A and 6B). In contrast, 2-DG caused a decrease in the 18 percentage of NPCs in the S phase, potentially more significant in KONPCs compared to WTNPCs 19 (based on p values; Figures 6A and 6C). Interestingly, addition of BPTES or UK5099 accentuated 20 this decrease in WTNPCs, not in KONPCs. In counterpart, 2-DG caused an increase in cells in G2/M, 21 more pronounced in WTNPCs (Figure 6D).

These results suggest that glutaminolysis and mitochondrial activity may participate, in addition to glycolysis, in energetic supply during the S phase, and support the notion that these two functions are altered in KONPCs.

To further investigate the impact of metabolic inhibitors in WTNPCs and KONPCs, we compared the levels of transcriptional activation of *PCK2*, *ATF4*, *GLS1* (Glutaminase 1, expressed in the brain and converting glutamine to glutamate) (Olalla et al., 2002), and *GLUD1* (glutamate dehydrogenase, converting glutamate to α -ketoglutarate) (Shashidharan et al., 1994). 2-DG alone

1 induced an increase in PCK2 expression in WTNPCs, not in KONPCs (Figure S5C), consistently with 2 the observed protein expression variations and with the hypothesis of glutaminolysis stimulation upon 3 glucose and pyruvate deprivation in WTNPCs. This increase in PCK2 activation was maintained by 4 addition of BPTES or UK5099, indicating that these inhibitors do not impact PCK2 transactivation. In 5 contrast to PCK2 and to the increase in the ATF4 protein expression observed upon glucose and 6 pyruvate deprivation, 2-DG did not affect ATF4 RNA expression (Figure S5B), which can be 7 explained by the important role of post-translational modifications in the regulation of ATF4 8 expression (Lassot et al., 2001). Furthermore, 2-DG caused a decrease in GLS1 (Figure S5D) and 9 GLUD1 (Figure S5E) expression, indicating that the transcriptional activation of PCK2 is regulated 10 independently of GLS1 and GLUD1.

11

12 Mcph1 interacts with VDAC1 and modulates its activity via GRP75 and HK2

13 To gain insight into the modalities of Mcph1 recruitment at mitochondria and into how this 14 localization impacts mitochondrial activity, we searched for potential MCPH1 mitochondrial 15 molecular partners by mining data obtained by co-immunoprecipation (Co-Ip) experiments (Liu et al., 16 2017). Following identification of HSPA9/GRP75 as a potential partner of MCPH1, Mcph1 and 17 GRP75 co-localization was observed in mNPCs by double IF (Figure S6A). Importantly, we 18 demonstrated that the two MCPH1 C-terminal (C-ter) BRCT domains mediated the MCPH1 and 19 GRP75 interaction by performing Co-IP with transiently expressed HA-tagged complete or deleted 20 MCPH1 proteins and Flag-tagged GRP75 (Figure 7A). In addition, KONPCs displayed a lower 21 GRP75 expression than WTNPCs (Figure 7B). Altogether these results indicate a strong interplay 22 between Mcph1 and GRP75.

GRP75 is regulated by glucose and interacts with the Voltage-dependent anion channel 1 (VDAC1), a protein found in the outer mitochondrial membrane and important for the exchange of metabolites between mitochondria and the intracellular environment (Betz et al., 2013; Flachbartova and Kovacech, 2013; Szabadkai et al., 2006). In particular, GRP75 bridges VDAC1 to Inositoltriphosphate receptor (IP3R) to allow calcium flux from endoplasmic reticulum into mitochondria and stimulation of the TCA cycle (Betz et al., 2013; Szabadkai et al., 2006). This raised the hypothesis

1 of possible interaction between MCPH1 and VDAC1 too, which we assessed by expressing HA-2 tagged MCPH1, either full length or deleted of the two C-ter BRCT domains, in HEK293T cells, and 3 by performing Co-IP. Considering the amounts of the co-immunoprecipated VDAC1 and the input of 4 each MCPH1 protein, VDAC1 interaction seemed stronger with the full-length MCPH1 protein 5 (Figure S6B), suggesting that the two C-ter BRCT domains are important for interaction with VDAC1 6 as with GRP75. We then examined the modalities of Mcph1/VDAC1/GRP75 interactions by 7 performing series of Proximity Ligation Assays (PLA) in NPCs. In WTNPCs, we found that Mcph1 8 interacts more robustly with VDAC1 than with GRP75 in GPGIn medium, and that Mcph1 interaction 9 with VDAC1 is markedly enhanced in both PGIn and GIn medium, whereas interaction with GRP75 10 increases significantly in Gln medium only (Figures 7C and 7D). These increases were not merely 11 due to higher expression levels of GRP75 and VDAC1, since protein expression did not change 12 between conditions (Figure S6C). Second, these interactions were detected in vivo on sections of 13 mouse E10.5 nctx, again with a higher dot distribution in the case of Mcph1 and VDAC1 than Mcph1 14 and GRP75 (Figure 7H). Third, MCPH1 interaction with VDAC1/GRP75 is similarly modulated in 15 hNPCs (Figures 7E and 7F). Importantly, interaction between GRP75 and VDAC1 was mainly 16 detected in WTNPCs maintained in Gln medium, and was not observed in KONPCs (Figure 7G), 17 despite higher expression of two proteins in PGIn and Gln medium (Figure S6C). Interestingly, these 18 data indicated that Mcph1 influences VDAC1/GRP75 interaction.

19 Altogether, these results uncovered that Mcph1 interacts with the VDAC1/GRP75 pathway. 20 They prompted us to address whether Mcph1 also interferes with the Hexokinase-2 (HK2) pathway, 21 which attenuates VDAC1 activity by stimulating glycolysis via Glucose-6-Phosphate (G6P) 22 production (Betz et al., 2013; Hou et al., 2015). Interestingly, by performing PLA, we provided 23 evidence for HK2/VDAC1 interaction in KONPCs, not in WTNPCs (Figure S6D). This difference was 24 not related to higher expression of HK2 in KONPCs (Figure S6E). Two main mechanisms control 25 HK2 binding to VDAC1: 1) primary HK2 phosphorylation by Akt (Roberts et al., 2013); and 2) 26 negative feedback exerted by high levels of G6P (Hou et al., 2015). Hence, stimulation of HK2 27 binding in KONPCs raised the question of a possible higher Akt activation in these cells. Akt is 28 activated by phosphorylation of two residues, Serine (S) 473 and Threonine (T) 308, by mTOR2 and PDPK1 (3-Phosphoinositide Dependent Protein Kinase 1), respectively (Beg et al., 2017). By Western blotting, we found that 1) the expression of total Akt did not significantly vary between KONPCs and WTNPCs, whatever the culture condition; 2) the expression of pAkt S473 appeared to be increased, although not significantly, in KONPCs in PGIn and Gln medium; and 3) pAkt T308 expression was in contrast markedly elevated in KONPCs, not in WTNPCs, upon PGIn and Gln medium. Importantly, the pAkt T308 stimulation in KONPCs was corroborated with that of PDPK1 (Figure S6F).

Taken together our results indicate that Mcph1 can influence VDAC1 activity via two
pathways: stimulation of GRP75 binding and constraint of HK2 binding via inhibition of Akt
activation.

3 MCPH1 has been involved in a variety of basic functions related to the cell cycle, such as 4 chromosome segregation, double strand DNA repair, and DNA recombination during meiosis. 5 Altogether, these functions are essential for the maintenance of genomic integrity, and their alteration 6 can promote tumorigenesis or infertility (Liang et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2010). Despite the clear 7 implication of MCPH1 mutations in human microcephaly (Jackson et al., 1998; Pulvers et al., 2015), 8 studies exploring the functions of the gene during neocortical development and explaining how 9 MCPH1 deficiency can lead to microcephaly remain limited. A previous study analyzing an Mcph1 10 full knock out mouse line indicated that *Mcph1* promotes the symmetric division of neuroprogenitor 11 cells via the Chk1/Cdc25b pathway, which controls the coupling of the centrosome cycle with the 12 entry into the M-phase of the cell cycle (Gruber et al, 2011). Here, we provide information in regard 13 with the temporality of *Mcph1* implication in neocortical development and the mechanisms underlying 14 this implication.

15 In this respect, we present a detailed expression pattern of Mcph1 in the mouse developing 16 nctx, showing that Mcph1 expression is restricted to aRGCs during a time-window corresponding to 17 the prevalence of the symmetric division of these cells, and thereby strengthening the notion that 18 Mcph1 promotes this cell division mode. These data and the lack of expression of Mcph1 at the 19 centrosome distinguish Mcph1 from other MCPH genes, in particular such as Wdr62 (MCPH2) (Chen 20 et al., 2014), Cdk5Rap2 (MCPH3) (Issa et al., 2013), Aspm (MCPH5) (Fish et al., 2006), Cenpj 21 (MCPH6) (Garcez et al., 2015), whose expression at the centrosome is maintained in the mouse VZ 22 and/or SVZ during all stages of mouse neocortical development.

Interestingly, a comprehensive analysis of expression data from the Human BrainSpan Atlas (Kang et al., 2011; Pletikos et al., 2014) also emphasized a prominent *MCPH1* expression during the earliest stages of the human neocortical development, and furthermore indicated that, in contrast to mice, this expression is maintained at later developmental stages, albeit at lower levels. According to our data obtained at PCW11 and 13, this later expression is related to a subset of aRGCs and bRGCs in the VZ and OSVZ respectively. At these stages indirect neurogenesis is well underway, however

1 aRGCs and bRGCs continue to undergo frequent symmetric divisions (Betizeau et al., 2013; Dehay et 2 al., 2015; Florio and Huttner, 2014; Lui et al., 2011; Martinez-Martinez et al., 2016). Altogether, these 3 data raise the challenging question of whether MCPH1 expression in human bRGCs promotes their 4 symmetric division, thus facilitating their expansion in the OSVZ as well. Furthermore, the expression 5 of MCPH1 in the nucleus of human neural progenitors suggests that the human protein assumes 6 further functions that may also participate in their expansion. This nuclear localization in humans may 7 rely on amino acid substitutions existing in the three characterized nuclear localization sequences of 8 the murine and human protein (Gavvovidis et al., 2012) or on specific post-translational modifications 9 that would selectively control translocation of the human protein to the nucleus. This hypothesis is 10 supported by the poor cross-reaction that we observe between antibodies raised against the human and 11 the mouse MCPH1 proteins (data not shown). The molecular bases of this differential subcellular 12 localization will be an interesting issue to address.

13 Despite the short time window of *Mcph1* expression, its deletion in neocortical progenitors 14 was sufficient to induce microcephaly. Neuron birth dating experiments showed that the primary layer 15 VI Tbr1 neurons are produced to a similar extent in cKO and Ct nctx, whereas the outcome of the 16 upper neurons is affected, which corroborates with data obtained with the Mcph1 full knock-out mice 17 (Gruber et al., 2011). This situation can be explained by primary loss of progenitors due to the cell 18 death (this study), and by an imbalance in asymmetric neurogenic divisions (Gruber et al., 2011) 19 contributing to the generation of Tbr1 neurons, both defects finally leading to exhaustion of the 20 progenitor pool. These hypotheses are supported by several lines of data: 1) a peak of cell death 21 observed in the E12.5 cKO VZ; 2) a reduction of the longitudinal size of cKO newborn nctx, such 22 reduction being supposed to rely on impaired expansion of RGCs (Florio et al., 2014); 3) a decrease in 23 Pax6+ progenitors proliferating in the E14.5 and E16.5 cKO VZ, as demonstrated by acute BrdU 24 incorporation; 4) a diminution of IPCs arising in the VZ at E14.5 and settled in the SVZ at E16.5; 5) a 25 decreased distribution of the cortical neurons traced by BrdU injection at E14.5.

26 Unexpectedly for an MCPH gene, comparative gene expression profiling between WTNPCs 27 and KONPCs uncovered a crosstalk between *Mcph1* and metabolic pathways. The emergence of 28 oxidative phosphorylation as one of the most affected pathways in KONPCs and the uncovering of the MCPH1 localization at mitochondria solidified the notion that this crosstalk involves mitochondria,
 which our study supports in different ways.

3 The fact that higher mitochondrial segmentation in KONPCs was not associated with loss of 4 global mitochondrial amount indicated that this fragmentation is not related to mitophagy (Chen and 5 Chan, 2009). Based on ATPase deficiency evidenced in PGIn and GIn medium, this fragmentation is 6 rather associated with mitochondrial activity alteration. The lack of significant impact of the Mcph1 7 mutation on key glycolytic enzymes, such as HK2 and LDH, indicates that insufficient replenishing of 8 the TCA cycle due to glycolysis impairment cannot account for the mitochondrial activity alteration. 9 Instead, our data have related this alteration to a deficiency of the ATF4/PCK2 pathway, which 10 triggers glutaminolysis, a further pathway participating in replenishing the TCA cycle and essential for 11 active cell proliferation or survival in the context of metabolic stress (Colombo et al., 2011; Mendez-12 Lucas et al., 2014; Vincent et al., 2015). Several lines of data emphasize this implication of 13 glutaminolysis in neocortical progenitors. First, during mouse neocortical development, the functions 14 of the ATF4 protein, PCK2 transactivator (Mendez-Lucas et al., 2014), take place during the time-15 window of Mcph1 and PCK2 expression, before the launch of indirect neurogenesis (Frank et al., 16 2010; Laguesse et al., 2015), which is consistent with a possible crosstalk between the three genes. 17 The clear down-regulation of both ATF4 and PCK2 in KONPCs and of PCK2 in the E10.5 KO nctx 18 strongly supports that Mcph1 regulates the ATF4/PCK2 pathway. Furthermore, our data indicate that 19 the mis-regulation of this pathway in KONPCs is associated with impaired glutaminolysis. This notion 20 is based on the differential impact of BPTES addition to 2-DG on the cell cycle between WTNPCs and 21 KONPCs, and the higher cell death induced in KONPCs in Gln medium. On the basis of data reporting 22 glutaminolysis solicitation for normal progression of cell division from late G1 (Colombo et al., 2011) 23 and of our present data indicating that glutaminolysis inhibition strengthens the effect of 2-DG on the 24 S phase, we suggest that glutaminolysis is required in addition to glycolysis for normal cycle 25 progression in neuroprogenitors.

MPCH1 interaction with VDAC1/GRP75 appears to be an important clue for understanding the interplay between MCPH1, glycolysis, mitochondria and glutaminolysis. The prominent MCPH1 interaction with VDAC1 compared to GRP75 can result from the larger distribution of VDAC1 at

1 mitochondria and indicates that this interaction can occur independently of GRP75. According to our 2 data, Mcph1 stimulates VDAC1 activity in two ways. First, the disruption of GRP75 and VDAC1 3 interaction in absence of Mcph1 infers that Mcph1 is required for this interaction to occur in neural 4 progenitors, either by facilitating GRP75 binding to VDAC1, or by a positive control of GRP75 5 expression, as suggested by the decrease in this expression in KONPCs. The lower mitochondrial 6 calcium uptake associated with this disruption reflects functional alteration of VDAC1, compromising 7 cell survival, as underlined by the higher cell death observed in KONPCs in PGIn and GIn medium and 8 consistently with previous studies involving VDAC1 in cell survival (Szabadkai et al., 2006). Second, 9 Mcph1 participates in sustaining VDAC1 activity by inhibiting the PDPK1/Akt/HK2 pathway, thus 10 preventing VDAC1 inhibition by HK2 binding (Hou et al., 2015; Roberts et al., 2013). Of note, 11 activated Akt is also supposed to disrupt the IP3R/GRP75/VDAC1 complex (Betz et al., 2013), and 12 Mcph1 may facilitate GRP75 and VDAC1 interaction by constraining Akt activation.

13 Altogether, our studies have uncovered a role of MCPH1 at the intersection of bioenergetics 14 pathways that participate in the homeostasis of early neocortical neural progenitor cells, which, due to 15 intense proliferation, sustain active biogenesis and high energetic demand. To fulfill this demand, 16 aRGCs have been shown to take advantage of glycolysis (Lange et al. 2016). Collectively, our data 17 indicate that glutaminolysis may be critically solicited in addition to glycolysis to respond to high 18 metabolic challenges that they are exposed to *in vivo* during rapid periods of proliferation. The impact 19 of low glucose availability or glutaminolysis deficiency on microcephaly etiology is not known. In this 20 regard, our study opens new windows to explore the mechanisms underlying microcephalic 21 pathogenesis and will help attract the attention of clinicians and geneticists on possible implication of 22 bioenergetics alterations in such etiology.

23

24

25 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Kayi Li (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston) for the *Mcph1* KO mice. We thank
Jeremy Pulvers, Yoko Arai, Sylvie Berrard and Takashi Namba for critical reading of the manuscript,

1	Fanny Coulpier and the Genomics Platform at IBENS, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris, for
2	generating transcriptomes, GenoSplice technology for transcriptome analysis and Melina Devos and
3	Pierrette Young-Ten for animal care and genotyping. This work was supported by grants from Inserm,
4	Paris Diderot University, ERA-NET Neuron (MicroKin), EU grant HEALTH-2011/DEVELAGE,
5	Investissement d'Avenir-ANR-11-INBS-0011, ARC (Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer),
6	Roger de Spoelberch and Grace de Monaco Foundations.
7	
8	AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
9	J.N., P.G. and P.R. designed the research; N.J, S.C, P.B, J.G-J, X.L., S. J, V.F, E.M, T.H, A.D-D, Z.C,
10	S.L, C.V-P, H.A-B, P.R, J.N performed the research, analyzed and formatted data; J-F.O provided

- human tissues; Z-Q.W provided information on MCPH1 partners screening, J.N, P.G, P.R and S.M
 wrote the manuscript.
- 13

14 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

- 15 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 16

3

4	human
5	(A-F) Mcph1 IF (red) on mouse nctx at E10.5 (A, B) and E12.5 (C-G), combined with (A-D) DAPI
6	nuclear staining (blue) and with (D) γ -Tubulin (green; yellow stars indicate γ -Tubulin, not Mcph1,
7	expression at centrosomes), (E) RC2 (green) and (F) Tbrce IF; right panel is a higher-magnification
8	image of the area boxed in the right panel.
9	(G) Single plan sections extracted from 3D reconstructions of confocal Z-stack pictures obtained from
10	whole-mount E12.5 nctx labeled with mouse monoclonal Mcph1 antibody (green) and (upper panel)
11	Dock7 and Phalloidin Deep Red (respectively red and grey); (middle panel) Par3 (red); and (lower

Figure 1: MCPH1 expression in RGCs in the developing nctx diverges between mouse and

- 12 panel) APC (red). Yellow arrows indicate orientation from the apical (a) to the basal (b) side.
- 13 (H-L) MCPH1 (red) co-IF with (H, J) PAX6 (green), (K) SOX2 (green), (L) TBR2 (green), combined
- 14 with (H) DAPI staining (blue) on the VZ/SVZ (left panels) and OSVZ (right panels) of a PCW11
- 15 human fetal cortex.
- 16 Bars, 50 μm in A, C, H-L; 20 μm in F; 10 μm in B, D and E;
- 17 See also Figure S1
- 18
- Figure 2: The conditional deletion of *Mcph1* induces micorcephaly in the mouse neocortex(nctx).
- A) Cresyl violet staining on p5 control (Ct) and *Mcph1* conditional knock out (cKO) nctx; black lines
 delineate the neocortical area, red lines indicate the neocortical radial thickness. Bar, 400 μm.
- 23 (B) Microcephaly in *Mcph1* cKO embryos is underlined by a decrease in the brain weight (Ct n=12;
- 24 cKO n=9; p < 0.05), in the neocortical surface (Ct n=4, cKO n=3; p < 0.01), in both the lateral (Ct n=4,
- 25 cKO n=4, p < 0.05) and the radial (Ct n=4, cKO n=4 p < 0.01) extent; Unpaired Student's t test; Error
- 26 bars, SEM. Red and blue bars represent Ct and cKO, respectively.

- (C) Birth date tracing of layer (L) neurons analyzed after BrdU injection at E14.5 by co-IF BrdU (red)
 and either Tbr1 (LVI), Ctip2 (LV), Satb2 (LIV-II) or Cux1 (LII-III), all green. Yellow lines delineate
 cortical layers; DAPI staining (blue) in upper left panels. Bar, 100 µm.
- 4 (D) Quantification of the total number of neurons in the cortical plate (DAPI-stained nuclei; Ct n=4,
- 5 cKO n=3) and of the BrdU distribution in Tbr1+ (LVI; Ct n=3, cKO n=3); Citp2+ (LV; Ct n=3, cKO
- 6 n=3); Satb2+ (LIV-II; Ct n=3, cKO n=3) or Cux1 (LIII-II; Ct n=4, cKO n=3) neurons, all green; ns,
- 7 not statistically significant; p < 0.05; Unpaired Student's *t* tests; Error bars, SEM.
- 8 (E) DAPI-staining (blue) combined with phospho-Histone H3 (PH3, grey) and cleaved Caspase3
- 9 (CC3, green) double IF on E12.5 Ct and cKO nctx. Bar, $100 \,\mu m$.
- 10 (F) DAPI-staining (blue) combined with CC3 (green) and Tbr2 (red) co-IF on E12.5 cKO nctx. Bars,
- 11 left panel 20 μ m, right panel 5 μ m.
- 12 (G) Quantification of CC3 positive cells in Ct and cKO cortices at E11.5 (Ct n=4, cKO n=3; *p*<0.05),
- 13 E12.5 (Ct n=3, cKO n=3; *p*<0.001), E14.5 (Ct n=3, cKO n=4; *p*<0.01) and E16.5 (Ct n=3, cKO n=3,
- 14 p < 0.05); *ns*, not statistically significant; Unpaired Student's *t* test; Error bars, SEM.
- 15 (H) Quantification of PH3 positive cells in the VZ (green bars) and SVZ (purple bars) of Ct
- 16 (n=4) and cKO (n=4) nctx at 11.5, E12.5 (p<0.05), E14.5 and E16.5; ns, not statistically
- 17 significant; Unpaired Student's *t* test; Error bars, SEM.

18 See also Figure S2

19

20 Figure 3: Mcph1 interacts with mitochondria and impacts the mitochondrial network.

21 (A) Gene enrichment tested using Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) for the Molecular Signature 22 database (MSigDB) hallmark gene sets following transcriptome analysis from wTNPCs and KONPCs. 23 The most significantly enriched (p<10⁻⁴) pathways regulated negatively and positively are presented 24 with green and orange bars, respectively.

- 25 (B) DAPI (blue) and MitoTracker Deep Red (MitoT, grey) staining combined with Mcph1 (red) and
- 26 SDHA (green) co-IF on WTNPCs; lower panels, higher magnification images of the area boxed in the
- 27 upper panels. Bars, 10 μm upper panels, 3 μm lower panels.

- 1 (C) Mcph1 gold immunostaining on E12.5 mouse nctx analyzed by electronic microscopy pointed by
 2 black arrows; n, nucleus. Bar, 5 nm.
- 3 (D) DAPI (blue) and MitoT (grey) staining combined with GFP (green) and HA (red) co-IF in
 4 WTNPCs transiently transfected with pCAGG-MCPH1-HA-IRES-GFP.
- 5 (E) DAPI (blue) and MitoT staining (grey) combined with MCPH1 IF (red) in human NPCs (hNPCs).
- 6 (F) DAPI (blue) and MitoT (grey) staining combined with SDHA (green) IF in WTNPCs and KONPCs.
- 7 (G) Quantification of the mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I by ELISA in WTNPCs (red bars,
- 8 n=7) and KONPCs (blue bars, n=6); *ns*, not statistically significant; Error bars, SEM; Unpaired
 9 Student's *t* test.
- 10 (H) Comparison of VDAC1 expression in WTNPCs (red bars; n=3) and KONPCs (blue bars; n=3) by
- 11 Western blotting; *ns*, not statistically significant; error bars, SEM; Unpaired Student's *t* test.

12 (I) Electron microscopy comparative analysis of the mitochondrial network (black arrows) in WTNPCs

13 and KONPCs and quantification of the mitochondria mean surface (ns, not statistically significant),

- 14 total number (p < 0.01) and mean parameter (p < 0.05) between WTNPCs (red bars) and KONPCs (blue
- 15 bars). Data represent the mean of 3 independent experiments including 6 cells of each genotype; Non-
- 16 parametric Mann-Whitney U test; Error bars, SEM.
- 17 Bars, 10 μm in C, D, E and 20 μm in F, 500 nm in I
- 18 See also Table S1
- 19

20 Figure 4: The *Mcph1* mutation alters mitochondrial functionality

21 (A-F) Activity of the various segments of the respiratory chain in WTNPCs and KONPCs maintained 22 in GPGln (purple signs), PGln (red signs) and Gln (blue signs) medium: (A), Malonate-sensitive 23 succinate reductase (SCCR, CII+CIII); (B) Glycerol cytochrome c reductase (GCCR, G3PDH+CIII); 24 (C) Decylubiquinol cytochrome c reductase (QCCR, CIII); (D) Cyanide-sensitive cytochrome c 25 oxidase (Cox, CIV); (E) Rotenone-sensitive NADH quinone reductase (CI); (F) Oligomycine-sensitive 26 ATPase (CV). Enzymatic activities were measured in two separate sets of experiments, each including 27 a group of NPCs in GPGln (control) and a second group of NPCs maintained either in PGln or in Gln 28 medium. For statistical analyses, the values obtained in the control GPGIn condition from the two sets 1 were pooled (WTNPCs n=14 for all enzymes except for ATPase, n=10; KONPCs n=14 and 10, 2 respectively); in PGIn medium, n=4 for WTNPCs and n=5 for KONPCs for all enzyme, except for 3 ATPase, n=3 and n=5 respectively; in GIn medium, samples of WTNPCs and KONPCs correspond, 4 respectively, to n=8 and n=6 for SCCR, GCCR and Cox, n=8 and n=10, for ATPase, n=8 in each 5 group for NADH reductase. *ns*, not statistically significant; *p<0.05, **p<0.001; One way ANOVA 6 Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test; Error bars, SD.

- 7 (G) Transient expression of the fluorescent mitochondrial calcium reporter protein mito-GEM-GECO1
- 8 in WTNPCs and KONPCs. Signal of the protein free of Ca2+ (- Ca2+) and bound to Ca2+ (+Ca2+) is
- 9 illustrated in red and blue, respectively. Bar, $10 \,\mu m$.

10 (H) Heat map indicates the fluorescence scale ratio. Quantification of fluorescence ratios in WTNPCs

- 11 (n=24 cells) and KONPCs (n=30 cells) maintained in PGIn and from 3 different experiments; *p*<0.001;
- 12 Two tailed Mann-Whitney U-test; Error bars, SEM.

13 (I) Quantification of cell death in WTNPCs (purple signs; n=4) and KONPCs (orange signs; n=4) 14 maintained in GPLN, PGln and Gln medium. Total cells (DAPI stained nuclei) and cells expressing 15 cleaved caspase-3 were counted on 5 images per sample. Data are reported as percentage of cleaved 16 caspase-3 positive cells. *ns*, non statistically significant; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; One way 17 Anova Tukey-Kramer Multiple Comparison Test; Error bars, SEM.

- 18 (J) Hexokinase I-IV (HK) and (K) Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activities measured in protein
- 19 extracts of WTNPCs (n=4) and KONPCs (n=3) maintained in GPGln (black signs), PGln (red signs) or
- 20 Gln medium (blue signs). p<0.01; ns, not statistically significant; one way ANOVA Tukey-Kramer
- 21 Multiple Comparison Test; Error bars, SD.
- 22 See also Figure S3
- 23

Figure 5: Mcph1 controls the ATF4/PCK2 pathway in mouse NPCs.

25 (A) DAPI-staining (blue) and PCK2 IF on WTNPCs. Bar, 10 μm.

(B) Western blot analysis of PCK2 expression in WTNPCs and KONPCs in GPGln, PGln or Gln
 medium (n=3; p<0.01); expression level in KONPCs was too low for quantification; *ns*, not statistically
 significant; One way ANOVA Tukey-Kramer Multiple Comparison Test; Error bars, SEM.

4 (C) DAPI-staining (blue) and PCK2 IF (red) on E10.5 WT (upper panels) and KO (lower panels)

5 mouse nctx. Confocal pictures were monitored with identical laser setting. Bar, 20 μm.

6 (D) Tile-scan of an E12.5 WT mouse netx section immunostained for PCK2 and illustrating ventro-

7 dorsally increasing PCK2 expression. Bar, 100 μm.

8 (E) DAPI-staining (blue) and PCK2 IF (red) on E14.5 WT nctx. Bar, 50 μm.

9 (F) Western blot analysis of ATF4 expression in WTNPCs and KONPCs in GPGln, PGln or Gln 10 medium (n=3 for each group); expression level in KONPCs was too low for quantification; *ns*, not 11 statistically significant; p<0.05; p<0.01; p<0.001; p<0.001; One way ANOVA Tukey-Kramer Multiple 12 Comparison Test; Error bars, SEM.

(G) Western blot analysis of GRP78 expression in WTNPCs (n=6) and KONPCs (n=5) in GPGln, PGln
or Gln medium; *ns*, not statistically significant; One way ANOVA Tukey-Kramer Multiple
Comparison Test; Error bars, SEM.

16 See also Figure S4

17

Figure 6: Comparative effects of 2-DG, alone or coupled to BPTES or UK5099, on the
proliferation of WTNPCs and KONPCs

(A) Dot plots of EdU and PI signal analysis by flow cytometry in representative WTNPC and KONPC
samples, non-treated control (Ct) or upon 2-DG, 2-DG+BPTES and 2-DG+UK5099 exposure. The
cell distribution in the cell cycle phases « G0/G1 », « S », and « G2/M » is expressed in percentages.

(B) Quantitative analysis of the effects of metabolic inhibitors on the proliferation of WTNPCs (red signs) and KONPCs (blue signs). Ct, control untreated cells; 2DG (2-DG); 2DG+B (2-DG+BPTES) and 2DG+U (2DG+UK5099). The distribution of cells in G0/G1 (B), S (C) and G2/M (D) phase is expressed as percentages relative to the control condition; *ns*, not statistically significant; *p<0,05,

- **p<0,01, ***p<0,001; One way Anova Tukey-Kramer Multiple Comparison Test; Error bars,
 SD.
- 3 See also Figure S5
- 4 5

Figure 7: MCPH1 interacts with the VDAC1/GRP75 pathway

6 (A) Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) of GRP75-FLAG and HA-MCPH1 proteins, full length or
7 deleted of BRCT domains (BR1, N-terminal; BR2 and BR3, C-terminal; Δ, deletion) co-expressed in
8 HEK293T cells; Left panel, input for each protein, 10% of the protein amount used for Co-IP; Right

- 9 panel, Co-IP with FLAG antibodies followed by immunoblotting (IB) with HA and FLAG antibodies.
- 10 (B) Western blot quantification of GRP75 expression in WTNPCs (n=6; red bars) and KONPCs (n=5,
- 11 blue bars); *p*<0.05; Unpaired Student's *t* test; Error bars, SEM.

12 (C) DAPI-staining (blue) and Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA, red dots) on WTNPCs (WT) in GPGIn,

13 PGln or Gln medium performed with Mcph1 antibodies coupled to either GRP75 (upper panel) or

14 VDAC1 (lower panels) antibodies.

15 (D) For each group, dot count was collected on an average of 30 cells from 3 independent 16 experiments; *ns*, not statistically significant; *p<0.05; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; One way ANOVA non-17 parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's Multiple: Error bars, SEM.

(E) DAPI-staining (blue) and PLA (red dots) performed on human NPCs (hNPCs) in GPGln, PGln or
Gln medium by coupling MCPH1 antibodies to either GRP75 (upper panels) or VDAC1 (lower
panels) antibodies.

- 21 (F) Dot counts grouped from two independent experiments on two individual hNPC primary cultures; 22 *ns*, not statistically significant; *p<0.05; One way ANOVA non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test 23 followed by Dunn's Multiple; Error bars, SEM.
- (G) DAPI-staining (blue) and PLA (red) with VDAC1 and GRP75 antibodies on WTNPCs and
 KONPCs. The data were validated in 5 individual cultures of WTNPCs and KONPCs in two distinct
 experiments.

- 1 (H) DAPI-staining (blue) and PLA (red) on E10.5 mouse nctx with Mcph1 antibodies coupled to
- 2 VDAC1 (left panels) or GRP75 (right panels) antibodies; lower-panels, higher magnification images
- 3 of white boxes.
- 4 Bars, 10 μm in C, E, G; 20 μm in H upper panels and 10 μm in H lower panels.
- 5 See also Figure S6
- 6

1 STAR METHODS

2 Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

3	•	KEY RESSOURCES TABLE
4	•	LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY
5	•	EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECTS DETAILS
6		• Mouse lines
7		• Human tissues
8	•	METHODS DETAILS
9		• Tissue processing
10		• Embryonic neuroprogenitor cell (NPC) primary culture
11		• Immunofluorescence
12		• In situ hybridization
13		• Imaging and cell counts
14		• Electron microscopy
15		• Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA)
16		• Ca ²⁺ imaging and quantification
17		• Western blotting and ELISA
18		• FACS analyses
19		• Plasmids and transient transfections
20		• Co-Immnunoprecipitations
21		• Mitochondrial respiratory chain and metabolic enzymes activities
22		• Sample preparation for RNA-seq analysis
23		• Gene expression analysis in mouse NPCs
24		• Analysis of MCPH1 expression in transcriptomic data from the Human BrainSpan Atlas
25	•	QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
26	•	DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY
27		

1 SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

- 2 Supplementary Table S1 related to Figure 3: Enrichment for differentially expressed genes
- 3 in *Mcph1* KO mouse versus wild type NPCs for several sets of genes.
- 4

5 **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
MCPH1 (rabbit)	Abcam	Cat # ab2612
MCPH1 mouse exon 8, aa221-598	Proteintech	N/A
(rabbit)	Group Inc	
	customer service	
MCPH1 mouse exon 9-14 aa600-822	Proteintech	N/A
(rabbit)	Group Inc	
	Customer service	
MCPHI (mouse)	Abgent	Cat # ab20651 DDID AD 447000
vimentin prosprio S55 (mouse)	Abcam	Gal # 4022651 RRID AB_447222
gamma Tubulin (mouse)	Abcam	Cat # ab27074 RRID AB_2211240
SATB2 (mouse)	Abcam	Cat # ab51502 RRID AB_822455
Tpx2 (rabbit)	Santa Cruz	Cat # sc-32683
SDHA (rabbit)	Abcam	Cat # ab14715 RRID AB_301433
VDAC1 (mouse)	Abcam	Cat # ab14734 RRID AB_443084
VDAC1 (rabbit)	Abcam	Cat # ab15895 RRID AB_2214787
HSPA9/GRP75 (mouse)	Santa Cruz	Cat # sc-133137 RRID AB_2120468
	Biotechnology	
HSPA5/GRP78 (mouse)	Millipore	Cat # MAB C675 RRID
Pax6 (mouse)	DSHB	PAX6 RRID AB_528427
TBR2 (rabbit)	Abcam	Cat # ab15894 RRID AB_10615604
TBR2 (chick)	Millipore	Cat # AB15894 RRID AB_10615604
Tbr2 (rat)	eBioscience	Cat # 14-4875-82 RRID AB_11042577
TBR1 (rabbit)	Abcam	Cat # ab31940 RRID AB_2200219
Cux1 (rabbit)	Santa Cruz	Cat # sc-13024
	Biotechnology	
cleaved Caspase 3 (rabbit)	Cell Signalling	Cat # 9664 RRID AB_2070042
Par3 (rabbit)	Millipore	Cat # 07-330 RRID AB_11213581
APC (rabbit)	Santa Cruz	Cat # sc-896
PCK2 (rabbit)	Cell Signalling	Cat # 6924
$H\Delta$ (rat)	Boche	Cat # 11867/23 BBID AB 231/621
CTIP2 (rat)	Abcam	Cat # 2018/65 RRID AB 206/130
Phospho-Histopo H3 (rat)	Abcam	Cat # ab10405 RRID AB_2004150
BrdLL (rat)	Abcam	Cat # abr0345 RRID AB_2235005
	Sonto Cruz	Cat # a00320 RRID AD_303420
DOCKY (goal)	Biotechnology	Gal # 50-104220 RRID
HK2 (goat)	Santa Cruz	Cat # sc-6521
	Biotechnology	
GFP (chick)	Aves	Cat # GFP-1020 RRID AB 10000240
AKT total (rabbit)	Cell Signalling	Cat # 4691 RRID AB 915783
pAKT S473 (mouse)	Cell Signalling	Cat # 4051 RRID AB 331158
pAKT T308 (mouse)	Santa Cruz	Cat # sc-271966 RRID AB 10715102
	Biotechnology	

PDPK1 (rabbit)	Abcam	Cat # ab109253
ATF4 (rabbit)	Cell Signalling	Cat # 11815 RRID AB_2616025
Beta-Actin (mouse)	Millipore	Cat # MABT826
FLAG M2 (mouse)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # F1804 RRID AB_262044
Nanogold®-conjugated goat anti rabbit	Nanoprobes	Cat # 2001-1 mL
lgG		
Human tissues	Gynecology-	N/A
	Department at	
	Robert Debre	
	Hospital, Paris	
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
UK-5099	SSIGMA Aldrich	Cat # PZ0160
BPTES	SIGMA Aldrich	Cat # SML0601
2-Deoxyglucose	SIGMA Aldrich	
MitoTracker Deep Red	Invitrogen	Cat # M7514
Phalloidin	Invitrogen	Cat # A22284
Phosphatase and protease cocktail inhibitor	Thermofisher	Cat # 815-968-0747
Fluoromount-G mounting medium	SouthernBiotech	Cat #0 Cat # 100-01
DAPI (4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # D9542
Entellan	Millipore	Cat #107961
Durcupan ACM	Fluka	Cat # 44610
Protease inhibitor	Roche	Cat # 04693159001
Critical Commercial Assays		
DUOLINK kit	SIGMA Aldrich	Cat # DUO92101
ELISA Complex I Rodent profiling kit	Abcam	Cat # ab136809
HA-Tag Magnetic Co-IP kit	Pierce	Cat # 88838
CellROX® Deep Red Flow Cytometry	Invitrogen	Cat # C10493
Assay Kit 647 Edit Click Proliferation Kit	Invitrogon	Cat # C10/91
Cv3 TSA amplification kit	BD Biosciences	Cat # 565456
	Perkin Elmer	Cat # SAT704A001FA
Svbr Green Supermix	Bio-Bad	Cat #1708880
Quickchange XL Site-Directed	Qiagen	Cat # 28104
Mutagenesis Kit		
ScriptSeq V2 kit	Stratagene/Agile nt Technologies	Cat # 200516
Ribo-Zero kit	Epicentre	Cat # SSV21106, #SSV21124
ExGen500	Epicentre	Cat # MRZH11124, #MRZH116
RNeasy Mini Kit	Euromedex	Cat # R0511
iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit	Qiagen	Cat # 74104, #2012
Deposited Data		
WT and Mcph1 KO NPC Transcriptomes	GSE140976	
Mouse FAST DB	omicX	V2013,1
Molecular Signatures Database		V5.2
Experimental Models: Cell Lines		
HEK293T	ATCC	CRL-11268
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		

Mouse: B6.129S2-Emx1tm1(cre)Krj/J	The Jackson	Emx1- kiIRES cre
	Laboratory	044220
	EUCOIVIIVI The leekeen	
Tg(ACTFLPe)9205Dym/J (beta-Actin- FLP)	Laboratory	AUID.FLFE D0,SJL
Mouse: Mcph1 lox/+; Emx1kicre/+	This paper	N/A
Mouse : Mcph1 ^{tm1.2Kali}	Liang et al., PLos Genetics, 2010, 6(1)e1000826	N/A
Mouse: C57BL/6J	Charles River	C57BL/6J
Oligonucleotides		
m <i>ATF4</i> -F: 5'CCTATAAAGGCTTGCGCCCA3'	This paper	N/A
m <i>ATF4</i> -R: 5'GCTGGATTTCCTGAACAGCG3'	This paper	N/A
m <i>PCK2</i> -F: 5'TGTCACCATAACCTCGTGCT3'	This paper	N/A
m <i>PCK2</i> -R: 5'TAGGGACACCTTCTGGTGCT3'	This paper	N/A
m <i>GLS1</i> -F: 5'AGGCAGTTCGGAATACACTGA3'	This paper	N/A
m <i>GLS1</i> -R: 5'AAGAATACCCCCAGCAACTCC3'	This paper	N/A
m <i>GLUD1</i> -R: 5'CTACGGCCGATTGACCTTCA3'	This paper	N/A
m <i>GLUD1</i> -R: 5'GCACCCGATATCCTGTCCTG3'	This paper	N/A
mRpl13a-F : 5'ACA GCC ACT CTG GAG GAG AA3'	This paper	N/A
mRpl13a-R 5'GAG TCC GTT GGT CTT GAG GA3'	This paper	N/A
Recombinant DNA		
Mouse Mcph1 cDNA	IMAGE	#5697978
pCAGGS-MCPH1FL-HA	This paper	N/A
pCAGGS-MCPH1∆BR2/3-HA	This paper	N/A
pCAGGS-ires-EGFP	Magnus et al Science. 2011 Sep 2;333(6047):129 2-6.	Addgene #32482
pCMV-mito-GEM-GECO1	Zhao et al Science. 2011 Sep 8.	Addgene #32461

pcDNA3-MCPH1 full-length, Δ 1, Δ BR2, Δ BR2-3	This paper	N/A
pcDNA3-GRP75	This paper	N/A
Software and Algorithms		
FIJI/ImageJ	Schneider et al., 2012	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
Photoshop C3 Adobe Systems		https://www.adobe.com/products /photoshop.html
Excel	Microsoft	https://products.office.com
GENEsys V1.5.4.0 software	Syngene	V1.5.4.0
STAR (v2.3.0)	Dobin et al., 2013	http://code.google.com/p/rna-star/.
FastQC	Babraham Bioinformatics	V0.10.1
Rseqc	Github	V2.4
Picard-Tools	Broad Institute	V1.119
Samtools	Source Forge. Github	V0.1.19
Primer3 plus Software	Primer3	http://primer3plus.com
Morada Soft Imaging System	Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions	www.soft-imaging.net
GraphPadPrism 5.0 software	GraphPad Prism Software Inc.	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific- software/prism/
And Deseq	Bioconductor	V.1.12.1
Bio-Rad CFX Manager	Bio-Rad	3.0
BiomaRt Bioconductor R package	Naro et al., 2007; Noli et al., 2015	V2.40.4

2 LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

3 Further information and requests for resources and reagents generated in this study should be directed

4 to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Jeannette Nardelli (jeannette.nardelli@inserm.fr). All

5 unique/stable reagents generated in this study are available from the Lead Contact with a completed

- 6 Materials Transfer Agreement.
- 7

8 EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECTS DETAILS

9 Mouse lines.

10 All mouse lines were maintained in the C57Bl/6J genetic background. All animals were 11 handled in strict accordance with good national animal practice as defined by the national rules, and

12 all the mouse work was approved (# B75-19-01) by the Paris DDPP (Département De Protection des

13 Populations).

1	The Emx1 ^{tm1(cre)Krj} /J (Jackson laboratory #005628) and the Mcph1 ^{Mcph1<tm1.2kali></tm1.2kali>} full knock out
2	(KO) mouse lines were respectively described in Gorski et al., 2002 and in Liang et al., 2010. To
3	generate Mcph1 ^{lox/lox} control and Mcph1 ^{lox/lox} ; Emx1 ^{Kicre/+} conditional knock out (cKO) mice, Mcph1
4	lox/+ were derived from the Mcph1tm1a (Eucomm)wtsi mice after crossing with beta-Actin-FLP mice
5	(Rodriguez et al., 2000) for excision of the lacZ-neo cassette, then crossed with the $Emx1^{kicre/+}$ mice to
6	produce Mcph1 lox/+; Emx1kicre/+ mice, which were finally mated with Mcph1 lox/lox mice. The Mcph1
7	mutation in the KO and the cKO mice consists in the deletion of exon2 or exon 4 respectively, leading
8	to out of reading frame mutation of <i>Mcph1</i> in both cases. Midday of the vaginal plug observation was
9	considered as embryonic day (E) 0.5. Pregnant females received intra-peritoneal BrdU injection (50
10	mg/kg) at relevant stages of gestation and sacrificed 1 hour after injection for proliferation studies at
11	E12, E14.5 and 2h at E16.5, while pups were sacrificed at P0 or P5 for neuron tracing. Animals and
12	embryos were genotyped by PCR on DNA extracted from tail and yolk salk, respectively.
13	
14	Human tissues
15	All human tissues were obtained from the Gynecology-Obstetric Department at Robert Debre
16	Hospital, Paris, according to the rules established by the French Bioethics Agency and with parental
17	consent. 9-10 or 11 and 13 Post Conception Weeks (PCW) fetal brains were obtained after volunteer
18	abortion or spontaneous pregnancy termination, respectively.
19	
20	Cell lines
21	MCPH1 and GRP75 Expression was obtained in HEK293T cells by transient transfection.
22	
23	METHODS DETAILS
24	
25	Tissue processing
26	Whole E10.5 to E12.5 embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, Sigma Aldrich),
27	whereas E14.5 to P5 brains were dissected before fixation in the same solution. Tissues were cryo-
28	protected in 30% sucrose in Phosphate buffered saline (PBS), embedded in gelatin before freezing and

kept at -80°C until use. Serial 12 micron-thick sections were cut on a Leica CM 3050 cryostat.
 Sections of P5 cortices were stained in a 0.3% cresyl violet solution, dehydrated and mounted in
 Entellan (Millipore) for brain morphometric analysis. For whole mount staining, fixed brains were
 rinsed in PBS and dorsal telencephalon was dissected before immunostaining.

5

After fixation in PFA, human fetal brains were processed as described for mouse brains.

6

7 Embryonic neocortical neuroprogenitor cell (NPC) primary culture.

8 Mouse dorsal telencephalon was dissected from E12.5 brains in DMEM/F12 medium 9 (Invitrogen). Cell suspension was obtained by mechanical dissociation. NPCs from each telencephalon 10 were grown individually as neurospheres in 6 well plates and in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented 11 with 1xN2 and 0.5xB27, 10 ng/ml FGF (Invitrogen) and bFGF (Sigma Aldrich) and 10 µg/ml Insulin 12 (Sigma Aldrich). Two days after the culture start, wild type (WTNPCs) or Mcph1 KO (KONPCs) 13 primary cultures were pooled by two or three in a T75 flask for further expansion during two to three 14 days. At this stage, neurospheres were pelleted for protein or RNA extraction, or mechanically 15 dissociated for seeding on gelatin-coated dishes, glass coverslips in 24-well plates or IBIDI slides 16 (IBIDI). Attached cells were cultured in DMEM medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal 17 bovine serum and either i) 4,5 g/L Glucose, 1mM sodium pyruvate and 1mM Glutamine (GPGln 18 complete medium); ii) 2mM sodium pyruvate and 1mM Glutamine (PGIn medium); iii) 1mM 19 Glutamine only (Gln medium). Cells were then maintained in culture for four days.

For exposure to 2-DG (1mM), BPTES (1µM) or UK5099 (1µM), NPCs were primarily seeded
in DMEM medium containing 1 g/L Glucose, 1mM sodium pyruvate and 1mM Glutamine for 24h.
Inhibitors were added into the medium and cells left in contact with inhibitors for 24 hours.

Primary cultures of human embryonic neocortical NPCs (hNPCs) were generated from dorsal
 telencephalons dissected on embryos collected at 9-10 PCW by following the procedure afore described for mouse NPCs.

26

27 Immunofluorescence

1 Two rabbit antibodies were raised against the mouse Mcph1 protein by the customer service 2 of the Proteintech Group Inc. One antibody was raised to a synthetic internal polypeptide encoded by 3 exon 8 (aa221-598), the second antibody to a synthetic peptide including the two C-ter BRCT domains 4 encoded by exons 9-14 (aa600-822). The specificity of the two antibodies was established in 5 transiently transfected NPCs expressing HA-tagged Mcph1 proteins, either complete or deleted of the 6 two C-Ter BRCT domains. Both Mcph1 antibodies were diluted 1:2000 for immunofluorescence, to 7 conduct expression studies from E10.5 to E16.5, along with a commercial mouse monoclonal MCPH1 8 antibody directed against the human MCPH1 protein (Abgent). The three antibodies produced 9 matching results on not frozen tissues, such as whole mount cortices. On frozen sections, only our 10 custom-made antibodies gave specific and matching results, but required the use of a Cy3 TSA 11 amplification kit (Perkin Elmer). Unless specified the data presented here were obtained with the 12 Mcph1-Cter antibodies. Human MCPH1 protein expression was detected using the same procedure 13 and Abcam MCPH1 antibodies (ab2612).

14 Immunodetection of other molecular markers was performed as described (El Wakil et al., 15 2006). To follow with BrdU co-staining, immuno-stained sections were post-fixed in PFA 4%, treated 16 with HCl 2N at room temperature for 30 mn, rinsed in PBS and processed for BrdU staining. Whole 17 dorsal telencephalon samples were permeabilized in PBS 0.3% triton X100, then saturated in PBS 18 containing 10% normal goat serum (NGS) and 0.1% triton (PBGT) for at least two hours. Samples 19 were then incubated at 4°C with primary antibodies diluted in PBGT either overnight (E12.5) or for 20 two days (E14.5). After several washes in PBT, tissues were incubated for 2 hours (E12.5) or 21 overnight (E14.5) in secondary antibodies diluted in PBGT containing 1 µg/ml DAPI (SIGMA 22 Aldrich), washed in PBT and flat-mounted in Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech), and sealed with 23 Entellan (Millipore).

NPCs were fixed in 4% PFA, rinsed in PBS, permeabilized in PBT during 15 minutes, and saturated with PBS containing 10% NGS (PBG) for one hour. Before fixation, NPCs were eventually incubated with 200 nM MitoTracker Deep red (Invitrogen) for 30 minutes. Primary antibodies diluted in PBG were incubated overnight and rinsed in PBS. Secondary antibodies diluted in PBG containing μg/ml DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phénylindole) were applied for 30 minutes at room temperature,
 washed in PBS and coverslips were mounted in Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech).

3 The commercial antibodies used in our studies were: as mouse antibodies, MCPH1 (1:1000, 4 Abgent #2823a), phospho-Vimentin-S55 (1:1000, Abcam ab22651), γ-tubulin (1:2000, Abcam 5 ab27074), Satb2 (1:500, Abcam ab51502), SDHA (1:500; Abcam ab14715), GRP75 (1:250; Santa-6 Cruz Biotechnology sc-133137), GRP78 (1:500, Millipore #MABC675), PAX6 (1:20, DSHB PAX6), 7 as rabbit antibodies, MCPH1 (1:2000, Abcam ab2612), Tbr2 (1:250, Abcam ab15894), Tpx2 (1:500; 8 Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-32683), Tbr1 (1:500, Abcam ab31940), Cux1 (1:500, Santa Cruz 9 Biotechnology sc-13024), cleaved Caspase3 (1:2000, Cell Signaling #9664), Par3 (1:1000, Millipore 10 #07-330), APC (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-896), PCK2 (1:1000, Cell Signaling #6924), as 11 rat antibodies, HA (1:2000, Roche #11867423), Ctip2 (1:1000, Abcam ab18465), phospho-Histone H3 12 (1:2000; Abcam ab10543), anti BrdU (1:1000, ab6326), as goat antibodies Dock7 (1:100, Santa Cruz 13 Biotechnology sc-104220), HK2 (1:500, Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-6521), as chick antibodies, 14 anti GFP (1:2000, Aves GFP-1020), anti TBR2 (1:500, Millipore #15891). Co-staining was eventually 15 performed with Phalloidin Deep Red (1/1000) and MitoTracker Deep Red (Invitrogen). Secondary 16 Goat or Donkey antibodies were coupled to Alexa488, Alexa633 (Invitrogen), or to Cy3, Cy5 17 (Jackson ImmunoResearch). All were used diluted 1:2000.

18

19 In situ hybridization

To analyze *Mcph1* expression by *in situ* hybridization, a cDNA fragment including nucleotides +1 to + 850 of the coding sequence was amplified from the IMAGE clone # 5697978 by PCR with a reverse primer including the T3 promoter sequence. The PCR product was checked on agarose gel and purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). A digoxigenin anti-sense RNA probe was used to performed *in situ* hybridization on sections of E10.5, E12.5, E14.5 and E16.5 embryonic brains, as described in (El Wakil et al., 2006).

26

27 Imaging and cell counts

1 Imaging was performed using a Leica TCS SP8 confocal scanning system (Leica 2 Microsystems) equipped with 405-nm Diode, 488-nm Ar, 561-nm DPSS and 633-nm HeNe lasers. 3 Eight-bit digital images were collected in a sequential mode from a single optical plane using a 63x 4 HC PL APO CS2 oil-immersion Leica objective (numerical aperture 1.40) for cell analyzes. For brain 5 sections and whole mounts samples, a 20x and a 40x HC PL APO oil-immersion objectives were used 6 to take single plan, tiles or Z-stack images in a sequential mode. Pictures with composite colors and 7 the corresponding Tiff files were generated on ImageJ and further processed on Photoshop C3 for 8 sizing and eventually for improving color contrast. 3D reconstructions were obtained from Z-stacks 9 images taken within 40-90 Im from the ventricle surface using the Leica 3D software (Leica 10 Microsystems). Single plan images were sequentially extracted at different levels to examine the 11 distribution of marker expression.

At P5, morphometric analyses were performed on ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012) on transverse cortical sections stained with cresyl violet and selected at the level of the somato-sensorial area. The cortical thickness was measured by drawing lines perpendicular to the ventricle surface and extending within the whole cortical wall. The lateral size of the neocortex was measured by drawing a curved line following the cortical surface, from the boundary with the cingulate cortex to the rhinal fissure. Four brains of each group, conditional knock out and control, 4 to 8 sections from each brain, were included in the studies.

Cells were counted on cortical transverse sections obtained with a x20 objective and 1024x1024 resolution and surface of 500 x 700 µm (P0) and 600 µm x 1400 µm (P5) (width x height) was delineated on tile-scan images from the cortical surface in the S1 cortical area and using ImageJ (Schindelin et al., 2012). Neurons and BrdU were counted with the ImageJ cell counter plugin in each layer as defined by their respective specific markers: Tbr1 for layer VI, Ctip2 for layer V, Satb2 for layers IV-II, and Cux1 for layer II-III. Five brains of each P0 group and three brains of each P5 group, control and *Mcph1* cKO, with 2 to 5 sections per brain, were used in the study. BrdU and Tbr2 positive cells count was performed on images cropped to a width of 250 μm at
 E12.5 (3 brains for each genotype), 400 μm at 14.5 (4 brains for each genotype) and E16.5 (3 control
 and 4 cKO brains). Two to five sections of each brain were included in the study.

The number of PH3+ dividing cells at the ventricle border or in the SVZ of E11.5 (3 control
and 4 cKO brains), E12.5 (4 brains of each group), E14.5 (3 control and 4 cKO brains) and E16.5 (4
control and 5 cKO brains) nctx was counted according to a ventricular length (μm) calculated on
Image J.

Activated caspase-3 positive NPCs were counted manually on 184 µm x 184 µm (1024 x 1024
pixels) images taken with an x63 objective. The number of DAPI-stained nuclei per image was
counted with the ImageJ cell counter plugin. Counts were obtained from 4 different cultures of
WTNPCS and KONPCS processed in two independent experiments and from an average of 20 images
per culture condition.

13

14 Electron microscopy

15 NPCs were fixed for 20 min with 37°C pre-warmed 4% PFA with 0.1% glutaraldehyde in 16 0.1M phosphate buffer (PB) containing 4% sucrose. After washing in PB, cells were post-fixed post-17 fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 10 min at RT. And washing in PB, they were post-fixed in 1% 18 osmium tetroxide in Phosphate buffer (PB) for 10 min at RT, washed in PB, and dehydrated in an 19 ascending series of ethanol, which included 1% uranyl acetate in 70% ethanol. Cultures were then 20 embedded in Durcupan ACM (Fluka) resin. After polymerization at 60°C for 48 h, coverslips were 21 separated from the embedded cells, and resin blocks were processed for ultrathin sectioning on a 22 Reichert Ultracut S microtome.

For preembedding immunocytochemical detection of Mcph1 at the ultra-structural level, the brains of the E12.5 embryos were quickly dissected and fixed in 4% PFA for at least 24 h at 4°C. Horizontal sections were cut on a vibratome at 70 µm and collected in PBS, equilibrated in 25% sucrose and 10% glycerol in 0.05 M PB, frozen rapidly in iso-pentane cooled in liquid nitrogen, and thawed in PBS at RT. Sections were pre-incubated for 30 min in 5% NGS in PBS, and were then

1 incubated overnight at RT in rabbit anti-Mcph1 antibody (1:1000) diluted in PBS containing 1% NGS. 2 After washing in PBS, sections were incubated for 120 min in Nanogold®-conjugated goat anti rabbit 3 IgG (1:100; Nanoprobes) diluted in PBS containing 2% of bovine serum albumin-c and 0.2% of cold 4 water fish gelatin. Sections were washed in PBS and post-fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 10 5 min. After washings in PBS and 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer, pH 7.0, Mcph1 immuno-gold labeling 6 was intensified using a silver enhancement kit (HQ Silver; Nanoprobes) for 5-10 min in the dark at 7 RT. After washings in acetate buffer and in PB, sections were post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in 8 PB for 10 min at RT. After washings in PB, they were dehydrated in an ascending series of ethanol, 9 which included 1% uranyl acetate in 70% ethanol. Sections were then treated with propylene oxide 10 twice for 10 min, equilibrated overnight in Durcupan ACM (Fluka), mounted on glass slides and cured 11 at 60°C for 48 h. Areas of interest including the dorsal telencephalon were cut out from the slides and 12 glued to blank cylinders of resin. Blocks were cut in semithin sections (1 µm) and then in ultrathin 13 sections on a Reichert Ultracut S microtome.

For both NPCs and sections of the dorsal telencephalon, ultrathin sections were collected on pioloform-coated single-slot grids, stained with lead citrate and examined with a Philips CM120 electron microscope equipped with Morada Soft Imaging System (Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions). The acquired images were equally adjusted for brightness and contrast, and composite illustrations were built in Adobe Photoshop CS3 (Adobe Systems).

19 Mitochondrial profiles in NPCs were identified and morphologically characterized using the 20 Measure command of FIJI/ImageJ software (Schindelin et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2012). Results 21 were expressed as number of mitochondial profiles per μ m² cytoplasmic surface and relative 22 mitochondial surface compared to cytoplasmic surface. An average of 6 cells/group was analyzed in 3 23 independent experiments.

24

25 Proximity Ligation assay (PLA)

Proximity Ligation assays (PLA) were performed on NPCs seeded on glass-cover slips or
E10.5 mouse cortical sections, formerly processed as for immunostaining. The assays were performed
using a DUOLINK kit (SIGMA Aldrich), following the supplier instructions and with the following

antibody associations: rabbit Mcph1 C-ter/mouse GRP75 (1:250; Santa-Cruz sc-133137), rabbit
 Mcph1 C-ter/mouse VDAC1 (Abcam ab14734), mouse GRP75 (1:250; Santa-Cruz sc-133137)/rabbit
 VDAC1 (1/500, Abcam ab15895), goat HK2 (1/500; Santa Cruz Biotechonology)/rabbit VDAC1
 (1/500).

5 Duolink signal was analyzed using a Leica TCS SP8 confocal scanning system (Leica 6 Microsystems) and a 63x HC PL APO CS2 oil-immersion Leica objective (numerical aperture 1.40), 7 as described before. Duolink particles and DAPI-stained nuclei were segmented and counted using the 8 FIJI/ImageJ software (Schindelin et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2012). Results were expressed as 9 Duolink particles per nuclei and per 1000 µm² cytoplasmic surface. An average of 30 cells/group was 10 analyzed in 3 independent experiments. Two sets of experiments, Duolink of Mcph1 and GRP75 and 11 Duolink of Mcph1 and VDAC1 were analyzed, each set including 3 groups of cells: GPGIn, PGIn and 12 Gln.

13

14 Ca²⁺ imaging and quantification

15 For ratiometric mito-GEM-GECO1 imaging of neuroepithelial cultures, the 405-nm Diode 16 laser of a Leica TCS SP8 confocal scanning system (Leica Microsystems) was used. Emission 17 windows were set to 427-467 nm (blue channel) and 502-537 nm (green channel). Eight-bit digital 18 images were collected from a single optical plane using a 63x HC PL APO CS2 oil-immersion Leica 19 objective (numerical aperture 1.40). Settings for laser intensity, pinhole (1 Airy unit), range property 20 of emission window, electronic zoom, gain and offset of photomultiplicator, field format, scanning 21 speed were optimized initially and held constant throughout the study so that all cells were digitized 22 under the same conditions. Mitochondrial signals of the blue and green channels were segmented; 23 their ratio was calculated and measured using the Analyze Particles command of FIJI/ImageJ 24 (Schindelin et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2012). An average of 26 cells/group, two groups 25 corresponding to WT (n=3) and Mcph1 KO (n=3) NPCs in PGln medium, was analyzed in 2 26 independent experiments. Mean ratio values for the experimental groups were calculated and 27 statistically analyzed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test.

1 Western blotting and ELISA

2 Pellets of NPCs cultured in GPGIn, PGIn or GIn medium were homogenized in RIPA buffer 3 (SIGMA) with phosphatase and protease inhibitor cocktails (Invitrogen) added. Protein concentration 4 was measured by the BCA method and using the Paradigm spectrophotometer (Beckman). Proteins 5 were separated on Mini-Protean TGX 4-15% gels (Bio-Rad), transferred to PVDF membranes with a 6 Trans-Blot TurboTransfert apparatus (Bio-Rad), then immunoblotted for VDAC1 (1:1000, Rabbit 7 Abcam ab15895), GRP75 (1:1000, Mouse Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-133137), HK2 (1:1000, Goat 8 Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-6521), PCK2 (1:1000, Rabbit Cell Signaling #6924), AKT total (1:1000, 9 Rabbit Cell Signaling #4691), pAKT S473 (1:200, Mouse Cell Signalling #4051), pAKT T308 10 (1:200, Mouse Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-271966), PDPK1 (1:1000, Rabbit Abcam ab109253), 11 ATF4 (1:500, Rabbit Cell Signaling #11815), HA epitope (1:1000, Rat Roche #11867423) and beta-12 Actin (1:20000, Mouse Millipore MABT826) as loading control. HRP conjugated secondary 13 antibodies (Jackson Immunoresearch) were used diluted 1:20000 and bands were detected using the 14 PXi multi-application image analysis system with the GENEsys V1.5.4.0 software (Syngene) and 15 densitometry analysis on each blot was calculated using GENEsys-Tool (Syngene) or FIJI/ImageJ 16 softwares. Densitometry values were normalized to beta-Actin. For each protein, the normalized 17 expression ratio was established for each analyzed sample by calculating the marker/Actin 18 densitometric ratio and dividing this ratio by the mean of the ratios obtained from the WT/GPGIn 19 control group. For quantification of phosphorylated Akt proteins, each ratio was normalized to the 20 mean ratio obtained for total Akt in WTNPCs.

Mitochondria quantification was performed using the ELISA Complex I Rodent profiling kit
(Abcam, ab136809), and following the supplier instructions. Optical densities were read at 450 nm
using the Paradigm spectrophotometer (Beckman). Six *Mcph1* KO and 7 WT samples were included
in the experiments.

25

26 FACS analyzes

```
27
```

Intracellular radical oxygen species (ROS) production and cell mortality were analyzed by

flow cytometry with the CellROX[®] Deep Red Flow Cytometry Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Eugene, OR, USA). Cells were incubated with or without 400µM Tert-butyl hydroperoxyde (TBHP) for 2 hours, and with 1000nM CellRox and 1µM SYTOX respectively for the last 60 and 15 minutes. After trypsinization, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. The gating strategy selected FSC and SSC singlets, with exclusion of SYTOX^{high} apoptotic cells.

6 Cell cycle was analyzed by EdU incorporation and DNA content measurement using 647 EdU 7 Click Proliferation Kit (BD Biosciences) and propidium iodide (PI), respectively. EdU was added to 8 cell cultures during the last 3 hours of incubation with metabolic inhibitors. After trypsinization, click 9 reaction and PI staining were performed according to manufacturer recommendations. Cells were 10 analyzed by flow cytometry. The gating strategy selected FSC and SSC singlets, followed by selection 11 of cells in "G0/G1", "S" and "G2/M" phases. For each cell cycle phase results were expressed as 12 percentage relative to control condition.

13

14 Plasmids and cell transient transfections

15 For transient expression in NPCs cells, the human full-length (FL) MCPH1 cDNA was 16 synthesized with the HA-tag sequence fused at the 3' end, and subcloned into the Xho/XbaI restriction 17 sites of the pCAGGS-IRES-GFP (Addgene # 32482) plasmid by the customer service of the GenScript 18 Biotech Corporation to generate the pCAGGS-MCPH1FL-HA. To produce the pCAGGS-19 MCPH1 Δ BR2-3-HA, the sequence overlapping exons 1 to 8 was amplified by PCR, sub-cloned into 20 the same plasmid using the same strategy and checked by sequencing. pCMV-mito-GEM-GECO1 was 21 a gift from Robert Campbell (Addgene plasmid #32461). Transient transfections were performed in 22 NPCs using ExGen500 (5 µl per 1 µg of DNA and per well of a 24 well plate; Euromedex). NPCs 23 were seeded either on glass coverslips for immunostaining or IBIDI chambers (IBIDI) for live 24 imaging, and cells analyzed 24 hours after transfection. For live imaging, NPCs were placed in HBSS 25 containing 15 mM HEPES, kept in a chamber à 37°C, and imaging was performed as described 26 previously. For MCPH1 and GRP75 co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP), human full-length MCPH1 1 cDNA were obtained from HeLa mRNA and cloned into pcDNA-3HA vector using EcoRI/XhoI sites. 2 MCPH1 mutants (ABR1: 94-835aa, ABR2: A671-730aa, ABR3: 1-730aa, ABR2-3: 1-670aa) were 3 produced using a Quickchange XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). Human GRP75 cDNA 4 was cloned into pcDNA-3FLAG vector using XhoI sites. The transfection of HEK293T cells was 5 performed with polyethylenimine (PEI, Polyscience, Eppelheim, Germany) at a ratio of 5µg HA-6 MCPH1-FL or mutants plasmids and 5 µg FLAG-GRP75 in 30 µg PEI solutions. Co-IP was 7 performed 48hr after transfection. For MCPH1 and VDAC1 Co-IP, the pCAGGS-ires-GFP MCPH1-8 HA constructs afore described were transfected in HEK293T cells using the same conditions than for 9 NPCs.

10

11 Co-immunoprecipitations

For MCPH1 and GRP75 Co-IP, cell pellets were incubated in NETN lysis buffer (50mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5%NP-40, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, #04693159001) on ice for 30 min. For IP, 2 μg of the anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, F-1804) were incubated with 1mg of total lysate together with the protein G SepharoseTM 4 fast flow (GE Healthcare) at 4°C overnight. The precipitates were washed with the NETN buffer without protease inhibitors. MCPH1 and VDAC1 Co-IP were performed using the Perkin-Elmer HA magnetic beads, following manufacturer instruction for cell extraction and Co-IP.

19

20 Mitochondrial respiratory chain and metabolic enzymes activities

21 Cells were scraped in cold PBS, quickly pelleted at 4°C and kept frozen at -80°C until use. 22 The activity of the various complexes of the mitochondrial respiratory chain and of Glucose-6-23 Phosphate Dehydrogenase (G6PDH), total hexokinase (Hexo I-IV), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), 24 NADP- dependant Isocytrate dehydrogenase (IDH1-2) and NAD+ dependant isocitrate dehydrogenase 25 (IDH 3) activities were monitored on cell pellets, at 37°c and by spectro-photometry (double 26 wavelength spectrophotometer (Cary 60, Varian, or Cary 50 spectrophotometer, Agilent Technologies, 27 respectively) according to (Benit et al., 2006; Rustin et al., 1994). Protein content of cell pellets was 28 estimated by the Bradford assay.

2 Sample preparation for RNA-seq analysis

3 Total RNA was extracted from NPCs obtained from WTNPCs and WTNPCs. Sample quality 4 and quantification were assessed on Experion chips (Bio-Rad). Twin samples were pooled according 5 to genotype and matching amounts. Library preparation and Illumina sequencing were performed on 6 wild type and *Mcph1* KO samples, two of each, at the Genomic Platform of the Ecole Normale 7 Superieure (Paris, France). Ribosomal RNA depletion was performed with the Ribo-Zero kit 8 (Epicentre), using 1.5 µg of total RNA. Libraries were prepared using the strand specific RNA-Seq 9 library preparation ScriptSeq V2 kit (Epicentre). Libraries were multiplexed by 4 on 1 flow cell lane. 10 A 75bp read sequencing was performed on a HiSeq 1500 device (Illumina). A mean of 39.3 ± 7 11 million passing Illumina quality filter reads was obtained for each of the 4 samples.

12

13 Gene expression analysis in mouse NPCs

14 RNA-Seq data analysis was performed by GenoSplice technology (www.genosplice.com). 15 Sequencing, data quality, reads repartition (e.g., for potential ribosomal contamination), and insert size 16 estimation were performed using FastQC (v0.10.1), Picard-Tools (v1.119), Samtools (v0.1.19) and 17 rseqc (v2.4). Reads were mapped using STAR (v2.3.0) (Dobin et al., 2013). Gene expression was 18 estimated as already described (Dobin et al., 2013; Naro et al., 2017) using Mouse FAST DB v2013 1 19 annotations. Only genes expressed in at least Mutated Mcph1 cortex or WT cortex were further 20 analyzed. Genes were considered as expressed if their rpkm value was greater than 97.5% of the 21 background rpkm value based on intergenic regions. Raw count data normalization and differential 22 expression analysis were then performed using Deseq (v1.12.1). Results were considered statistically 23 significant for unadjusted *p*-values ≤ 0.05 and fold-changes ≥ 1.5 .

The mouse dataset was annotated with human Ensembl gene ID using BiomaRt Bioconductor R package (Naro et al., 2017; Noli et al., 2015) and selecting human genes that were 'one-to-one' orthologues with mouse genes. As previously described (Delahaye-Duriez et al., 2016), we generated a gene-level score reflecting the significance and the intensity of differential expression (multiplying

1 the -log10 of p value by the log-transformed fold change). This score was used as a metric to 'rank' 2 genes to test using Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) if gene sets defined in the Molecular 3 Signatures database (MSigDB v5.2) occupy higher (or lower) positions in the ranked gene list than 4 what it would be expected by chance (Subramanian et al., 2005). The enrichment was tested for 5 several sets of genes of the MSigDB: Gene ontology (GO) biological process, cellular component and 6 molecular functions, Reactome pathways, and Hallmark gene sets. The MSigDB hallmark gene sets is 7 a collection of 50 gene sets that provide coherently expressed signatures derived by aggregating many 8 MSigDB gene sets (Molecular Signatures Database v5.2) to represent well-defined biological states or 9 processes (Liberzon et al., 2015). Gene set enrichment scores (NES, p value, FDR and FWER) were 10 provided in the GSEA output format developed by Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard (permutations 11 = 10,000).

12

13 Analysis of MCPH1 expression in transcriptomic datasets from the Human BrainSpan Atlas.

14 To determine the spatiotemporal expression dynamics of modules, we used quantile 15 normalised gene level expression values (log2 transformed) from GSE25219 (Kang et al., 2011). 16 These transcriptome data were generated using Affymetrix Human Exon 1.0 ST array analysis of 16 17 brain regions comprising the cerebellar cortex, mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus, striatum, 18 amygdala, hippocampus and 11 areas of the neocortex. The data were generated from 1263 samples 19 collected from 53 clinically unremarkable post-mortem human brains, spanning embryonic 20 development to late adulthood (from 10 weeks of post-conception to 82 years of age, which 21 corresponded to periods 3–15, as previously designated) (Kang et al., 2011). The log2-transformed 22 gene expression data follow a bimodal distribution contributed by low (likely non-functional) and high 23 expressed genes (Hebenstreit et al. 2011). We used the expectation maximisation (EM) algorithm to 24 model gene expression levels as a mixture of normal distributions and identify the underlying 25 distributions of low and high expressed genes. Only the genes, with mean of log2-transformed 26 expression values over the 95 % percentile of distribution of low-expressed genes (here > 5.61) were 27 considered for further analysis (n = 8704). The EM algorithm was implemented using normalMixEM 28 function from the mixtools R package. Spatio-temporal dynamics of MCPH1 across 16 brain regions and 13 developmental time points were illustrated as a heatmap, as previously described (Pletikos et
 al., 2014). The resultant heatmap graphs illustrate the changes in expression of *MCPH1* across brain
 development and cortical regions.

4

5 Data statistical analyzes

6 Statistical analysis was performed with Excel (Microsoft, Richmond, WA) and GraphPad 7 Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Prism Software Inc.). For all cell counts in vivo, results were obtained by using 8 at least 3 embryos from at least 2 litters and 2-6 sections per embryo were used for counting. No data 9 was excluded from further analyses. For experiments on NPCs, results were obtained from at least 3 10 different primary cultures and at least two independent experiments. Sample sizes (n) and statistical 11 methods are indicated in the Figure legends. n is the number of primary cultures or brains used in the 12 experiments. Shapiro-Wilk normality test was used for testing normality of all samples. The two-tailed 13 unpaired Student's t test was applied for comparison of two two groups: Ct and cKO brains at P5 and P0, counts of PH3+ and CC3+ cells in 11.5, E12.5, E14.5 and E16.5 Ct and cKO embryonic brains, 14 15 and for counts of Pax6+, BrdU+ and Tbr2+ cells in E12.5, E14.5 and E16.5 Ct and cKO embryonic 16 brains. The fluorescence ratiometric values of Mito-GEM-GECO1, morphometric measures by 17 electronic microscopy and ROS production data were analyzed using the non-parametric Mann-18 Whitney U test. Duolink mean values for the experimental groups were calculated and statistically 19 analyzed using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's Multiple. Activities of 20 respiratory chain enzymes, of LDH, HKI-IV, IDH and G6PDH, Western blots, cleaved caspase3 in 21 GPGIn, PGIn, and GIn and FACS cell cycle data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey-22 Kramer Multiple Comparison Tests. In all cases, differences were considered as statistically 23 significant at p < 0.05. The meaning of error bars, either SEM or SD, is indicated in the figure legends.

24

25 DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

26 The accession number of RNA-seq data generated in this study from WTNPCs and KONPCs27 is GSE140976.

- **1 REFERENCES**
- 2

Beg, M., Abdullah, N., Thowfeik, F.S., Altorki, N.K., and McGraw, T.E. (2017). Distinct Akt
phosphorylation states are required for insulin regulated Glut4 and Glut1-mediated glucose uptake.
Elife 6.

Benit, P., Goncalves, S., Philippe Dassa, E., Briere, J.J., Martin, G., and Rustin, P. (2006). Three
spectrophotometric assays for the measurement of the five respiratory chain complexes in minuscule
biological samples. Clin Chim Acta *374*, 81-86.

10

Benit, P., Kahn, A., Chretien, D., Bortoli, S., Huc, L., Schiff, M., Gimenez-Roqueplo, A.P., Favier, J.,
Gressens, P., Rak, M., *et al.* (2019). Evolutionarily conserved susceptibility of the mitochondrial
respiratory chain to SDHI pesticides and its consequence on the impact of SDHIs on human cultured
cells. PLoS One *14*, e0224132.

15

Betizeau, M., Cortay, V., Patti, D., Pfister, S., Gautier, E., Bellemin-Menard, A., Afanassieff, M.,
Huissoud, C., Douglas, R.J., Kennedy, H., *et al.* (2013). Precursor diversity and complexity of lineage
relationships in the outer subventricular zone of the primate. Neuron *80*, 442-457.

19

Betz, C., Stracka, D., Prescianotto-Baschong, C., Frieden, M., Demaurex, N., and Hall, M.N. (2013).
Feature Article: mTOR complex 2-Akt signaling at mitochondria-associated endoplasmic reticulum
membranes (MAM) regulates mitochondrial physiology. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *110*, 1252612534.

24

25 Bultje, R.S., Castaneda-Castellanos, D.R., Jan, L.Y., Jan, Y.N., Kriegstein, A.R., and Shi, S.H. (2009).

26 Mammalian Par3 regulates progenitor cell asymmetric division via notch signaling in the developing

27 neocortex. Neuron *63*, 189-202.

1	Cardenas, C., Miller, R.A., Smith, I., Bui, T., Molgo, J., Muller, M., Vais, H., Cheung, K.H., Yang, J.,
2	Parker, I., et al. (2010). Essential regulation of cell bioenergetics by constitutive InsP3 receptor Ca2+
3	transfer to mitochondria. Cell 142, 270-283.
4	
5	Chen, J.F., Zhang, Y., Wilde, J., Hansen, K.C., Lai, F., and Niswander, L. (2014). Microcephaly
6	disease gene Wdr62 regulates mitotic progression of embryonic neural stem cells and brain size. Nat
7	Commun 5, 3885.
8	
9	Chen, H., and Chan, D.C. (2009). Mitochondrial dynamicsfusion, fission, movement, and
10	mitophagyin neurodegenerative diseases. Hum Mol Genet 18, R169-176.
11	
12	Colombo, S.L., Palacios-Callender, M., Frakich, N., Carcamo, S., Kovacs, I., Tudzarova, S., and
13	Moncada, S. (2011). Molecular basis for the differential use of glucose and glutamine in cell
14	proliferation as revealed by synchronized HeLa cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108, 21069-21074.
15	
16	Dehay, C., Kennedy, H., and Kosik, K.S. (2015). The outer subventricular zone and primate-specific
17	cortical complexification. Neuron 85, 683-694.
18	
19	Delahaye-Duriez, A., Srivastava, P., Shkura, K., Langley, S.R., Laaniste, L., Moreno-Moral, A.,
20	Danis, B., Mazzuferi, M., Foerch, P., Gazina, E.V., et al. (2016). Rare and common epilepsies
21	converge on a shared gene regulatory network providing opportunities for novel antiepileptic drug
22	discovery. Genome Biol 17, 245.
23	
24	Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and
25	Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29, 15-21.
26	
27	Duerinckx, S., and Abramowicz, M. (2018). The genetics of congenitally small brains. Semin Cell
28	Dev Biol 76, 76-85.

1	
2	El Wakil, A., Francius, C., Wolff, A., Pleau-Varet, J., and Nardelli, J. (2006). The GATA2
3	transcription factor negatively regulates the proliferation of neuronal progenitors. Development 133,
4	2155-2165.
5	
6	Fish, J.L., Kosodo, Y., Enard, W., Paabo, S., and Huttner, W.B. (2006). Aspm specifically maintains
7	symmetric proliferative divisions of neuroepithelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103, 10438-
8	10443.
9	
10	Flachbartova, Z., and Kovacech, B. (2013). Mortalin - a multipotent chaperone regulating cellular
11	processes ranging from viral infection to neurodegeneration. Acta virologica 57, 3-15.
12	
13	Florio, M., Albert, M., Taverna, E., Namba, T., Brandl, H., Lewitus, E., Haffner, C., Sykes, A., Wong,
14	F.K., Peters, J., et al. (2015). Human-specific gene ARHGAP11B promotes basal progenitor
15	amplification and neocortex expansion. Science 347, 1465-1470.
16	
17	Florio, M., and Huttner, W.B. (2014). Neural progenitors, neurogenesis and the evolution of the
18	neocortex. Development 141, 2182-2194.
19	
20	Frank, C.L., Ge, X., Xie, Z., Zhou, Y., and Tsai, L.H. (2010). Control of activating transcription factor
21	4 (ATF4) persistence by multisite phosphorylation impacts cell cycle progression and neurogenesis. J
22	Biol Chem 285, 33324-33337.
23	
24	Garcez, P.P., Diaz-Alonso, J., Crespo-Enriquez, I., Castro, D., Bell, D., and Guillemot, F. (2015).
25	Cenpj/CPAP regulates progenitor divisions and neuronal migration in the cerebral cortex downstream
26	of Ascl1. Nat Commun 6, 6474.
27	

1	Gavvovidis, I., Rost, I., Trimborn, M., Kaiser, F.J., Purps, J., Wiek, C., Hanenberg, H., Neitzel, H.,
2	and Schindler, D. (2012). A novel MCPH1 isoform complements the defective chromosome
3	condensation of human MCPH1-deficient cells. PLoS One 7, e40387.
4	
5	Godin, J.D., Creppe, C., Laguesse, S., and Nguyen, L. (2016). Emerging Roles for the Unfolded
6	Protein Response in the Developing Nervous System. Trends Neurosci 39, 394-404.
7	
8	Gorski, J. A., Talley, T., Qiu, M., Puelles, L., Rubenstein, J. L., Jones, K. R. (2002). Cortical
9	excitatory neurons and glia, but not GABAergic neurons, are produced in the Emx1-expressing
10	lineage. J Neurosci 22, 6309-14.
11	
12	Gruber, R., Zhou, Z., Sukchev, M., Joerss, T., Frappart, P.O., and Wang, Z.Q. (2011). MCPH1
13	regulates the neuroprogenitor division mode by coupling the centrosomal cycle with mitotic entry
14	through the Chk1-Cdc25 pathway. Nature cell biology 13, 1325-1334.
15	
16	Gruss, O.J., Wittmann, M., Yokoyama, H., Pepperkok, R., Kufer, T., Sillje, H., Karsenti, E., Mattaj,
17	I.W., and Vernos, I. (2002). Chromosome-induced microtubule assembly mediated by TPX2 is
18	required for spindle formation in HeLa cells. Nature cell biology 4, 871-879.
19	
20	Hebenstreit D, Fang M, Gu M, Charoensawan V, van Oudenaarden A, Teichmann SA. RNA
21	sequencing reveals two major classes of gene expression levels in metazoan cells. (2011). Mol Syst
22	Biol 7(497), 497.
23	
24	Hou, X., Liu, Y., Liu, H., Chen, X., Liu, M., Che, H., Guo, F., Wang, C., Zhang, D., Wu, J., et al.
25	(2015). PERK silence inhibits glioma cell growth under low glucose stress by blockage of p-AKT and
26	subsequent HK2's mitochondria translocation. Sci Rep 5, 9065.
27	

1	Issa, L., Kraemer, N., Rickert, C.H., Sifringer, M., Ninnemann, O., Stoltenburg-Didinger, G., and
2	Kaindl, A.M. (2013). CDK5RAP2 expression during murine and human brain development correlates
3	with pathology in primary autosomal recessive microcephaly. Cereb Cortex 23, 2245-2260.
4	
5	Jackson, A.P., McHale, D.P., Campbell, D.A., Jafri, H., Rashid, Y., Mannan, J., Karbani, G., Corry,
6	P., Levene, M.I., Mueller, R.F., et al. (1998). Primary autosomal recessive microcephaly (MCPH1)
7	maps to chromosome 8p22-pter. Am J Hum Genet 63, 541-546.
8	
9	Jayaraman, D., Bae, B.I., and Walsh, C.A. (2018). The Genetics of Primary Microcephaly. Annu Rev
10	Genomics Hum Genet 19, 177-200.
11	
12	Kalebic, N., Gilardi, C., Stepien, B., Wilsch-Brauninger, M., Long, K.R., Namba, T., Florio, M.,
13	Langen, B., Lombardot, B., Shevchenko, A., et al. (2019). Neocortical Expansion Due to Increased
14	Proliferation of Basal Progenitors Is Linked to Changes in Their Morphology. Cell Stem Cell 24, 535-
15	550 e539.
16	
17	Kang, H.J., Kawasawa, Y.I., Cheng, F., Zhu, Y., Xu, X., Li, M., Sousa, A.M., Pletikos, M., Meyer,
18	K.A., Sedmak, G., et al. (2011). Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. Nature 478, 483-
19	489.
20	
21	Laguesse, S., Creppe, C., Nedialkova, D.D., Prevot, P.P., Borgs, L., Huysseune, S., Franco, B.,
22	Duysens, G., Krusy, N., Lee, G., et al. (2015). A Dynamic Unfolded Protein Response Contributes to
23	the Control of Cortical Neurogenesis. Dev Cell 35, 553-567.
24	
25	Lange, C., Turrero Garcia, M., Decimo, I., Bifari, F., Eelen, G., Quaegebeur, A., Boon, R., Zhao, H.,
26	Boeckx, B., Chang, J., et al. (2016). Relief of hypoxia by angiogenesis promotes neural stem cell
27	differentiation by targeting glycolysis. EMBO J 35, 924-941.

Lassot, I., Segeral, E., Berlioz-Torrent, C., Durand, H., Groussin, L., Hai, T., Benarous, R., and
Margottin-Goguet, F. (2001). ATF4 degradation relies on a phosphorylation-dependent interaction
with the SCF(betaTrCP) ubiquitin ligase. Mol Cell Biol 21, 2192-2202.
Liang, Y., Gao, H., Lin, S.Y., Peng, G., Huang, X., Zhang, P., Goss, J.A., Brunicardi, F.C., Multani,
A.S., Chang, S., et al. (2010). BRIT1/MCPH1 is essential for mitotic and meiotic recombination DNA
repair and maintaining genomic stability in mice. PLoS genetics 6, e1000826.
Liberzon, A., Birger, C., Thorvaldsdottir, H., Ghandi, M., Mesirov, J.P., and Tamayo, P. (2015). The
Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell Syst 1, 417-425.
Liu, X., Zong, W., Li, T., Wang, Y., Xu, X., Zhou, Z.W., and Wang, Z.Q. (2017). The E3 ubiquitin
ligase APC/C(C)(dh1) degrades MCPH1 after MCPH1-betaTrCP2-Cdc25A-mediated mitotic entry to
ensure neurogenesis. EMBO J.
Lui, J.H., Hansen, D.V., and Kriegstein, A.R. (2011). Development and evolution of the human
neocortex. Cell 146, 18-36.
Martinez-Martinez, M.A., De Juan Romero, C., Fernandez, V., Cardenas, A., Gotz, M., and Borrell,
V. (2016). A restricted period for formation of outer subventricular zone defined by Cdh1 and Trnp1
levels. Nat Commun 7, 11812.
Mendez-Lucas, A., Hyrossova, P., Novellasdemunt, L., Vinals, F., and Perales, J.C. (2014).
Mitochondrial phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK-M) is a pro-survival, endoplasmic
reticulum (ER) stress response gene involved in tumor cell adaptation to nutrient availability. J Biol

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Grange, P., and Sette, C. (2017). An Orchestrated Intron Retention Program in Meiosis Controls Timely Usage of Transcripts during Germ Cell Differentiation. Dev Cell <i>41</i>, 82-93 e84. Noli, L., Capalbo, A., Ogilvie, C., Khalaf, Y., and Ilic, D. (2015). Discordant Growth of Monozygotic Twins Starts at the Blastocyst Stage: A Case Study. Stem Cell Reports <i>5</i>, 946-953. Nowakowski, T.J., Bhaduri, A., Pollen, A.A., Alvarado, B., Mostajo-Radji, M.A., Di Lullo, E., Haeussler, M., Sandoval-Espinosa, C., Liu, S.J., Velmeshev, D., <i>et al.</i> (2017). Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. Science <i>358</i>, 1318-1323. Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem <i>277</i>, 38939-38944.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Timely Usage of Transcripts during Germ Cell Differentiation. Dev Cell <i>41</i>, 82-93 e84. Noli, L., Capalbo, A., Ogilvie, C., Khalaf, Y., and Ilic, D. (2015). Discordant Growth of Monozygotic Twins Starts at the Blastocyst Stage: A Case Study. Stem Cell Reports <i>5</i>, 946-953. Nowakowski, T.J., Bhaduri, A., Pollen, A.A., Alvarado, B., Mostajo-Radji, M.A., Di Lullo, E., Haeussler, M., Sandoval-Espinosa, C., Liu, S.J., Velmeshev, D., <i>et al.</i> (2017). Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. Science <i>358</i>, 1318-1323. Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem <i>277</i>, 38939-38944.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Noli, L., Capalbo, A., Ogilvie, C., Khalaf, Y., and Ilic, D. (2015). Discordant Growth of Monozygotic Twins Starts at the Blastocyst Stage: A Case Study. Stem Cell Reports <i>5</i>, 946-953. Nowakowski, T.J., Bhaduri, A., Pollen, A.A., Alvarado, B., Mostajo-Radji, M.A., Di Lullo, E., Haeussler, M., Sandoval-Espinosa, C., Liu, S.J., Velmeshev, D., <i>et al.</i> (2017). Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. Science <i>358</i>, 1318-1323. Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem <i>277</i>, 38939-38944.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Noli, L., Capalbo, A., Ogilvie, C., Khalaf, Y., and Ilic, D. (2015). Discordant Growth of Monozygotic Twins Starts at the Blastocyst Stage: A Case Study. Stem Cell Reports <i>5</i>, 946-953. Nowakowski, T.J., Bhaduri, A., Pollen, A.A., Alvarado, B., Mostajo-Radji, M.A., Di Lullo, E., Haeussler, M., Sandoval-Espinosa, C., Liu, S.J., Velmeshev, D., <i>et al.</i> (2017). Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. Science <i>358</i>, 1318-1323. Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem <i>277</i>, 38939-38944.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Twins Starts at the Blastocyst Stage: A Case Study. Stem Cell Reports 5, 946-953. Nowakowski, T.J., Bhaduri, A., Pollen, A.A., Alvarado, B., Mostajo-Radji, M.A., Di Lullo, E., Haeussler, M., Sandoval-Espinosa, C., Liu, S.J., Velmeshev, D., <i>et al.</i> (2017). Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. Science <i>358</i>, 1318-1323. Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem <i>277</i>, 38939-38944.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Nowakowski, T.J., Bhaduri, A., Pollen, A.A., Alvarado, B., Mostajo-Radji, M.A., Di Lullo, E., Haeussler, M., Sandoval-Espinosa, C., Liu, S.J., Velmeshev, D., <i>et al.</i> (2017). Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. Science <i>358</i> , 1318-1323. Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem <i>277</i> , 38939-38944.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Nowakowski, T.J., Bhaduri, A., Pollen, A.A., Alvarado, B., Mostajo-Radji, M.A., Di Lullo, E., Haeussler, M., Sandoval-Espinosa, C., Liu, S.J., Velmeshev, D., <i>et al.</i> (2017). Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. Science <i>358</i> , 1318-1323. Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem <i>277</i> , 38939-38944.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Haeussler, M., Sandoval-Espinosa, C., Liu, S.J., Velmeshev, D., <i>et al.</i> (2017). Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. Science <i>358</i>, 1318-1323. Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem <i>277</i>, 38939-38944.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. Science <i>358</i> , 1318-1323. Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem <i>277</i> , 38939-38944.
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem 277, 38939-38944.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Olalla, L., Gutierrez, A., Campos, J.A., Khan, Z.U., Alonso, F.J., Segura, J.A., Marquez, J., and Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem 277, 38939-38944.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Aledo, J.C. (2002). Nuclear localization of L-type glutaminase in mammalian brain. J Biol Chem 277, 38939-38944.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	38939-38944.
15 16 17 18 19 20	
16 17 18 19 20	
17 18 19 20	Park, D., Xiang, A. P., Zhang, L., Mao, F. F., Walton, N. M., Choi, S. S. and Lahn, B. T. (2009). The
18 19 20	radial glia antibody RC2 recognizes a protein encoded by Nestin. Biochem Biophys Res Commun.,
19 20	382, 588-92.
	Peng, G., Yim, E.K., Dai, H., Jackson, A.P., Burgt, I., Pan, M.R., Hu, R., Li, K., and Lin, S.Y. (2009).
21	BRIT1/MCPH1 links chromatin remodelling to DNA damage response. Nature cell biology 11, 865-
22	872.
23	
24	Pletikos, M., Sousa, A.M., Sedmak, G., Meyer, K.A., Zhu, Y., Cheng, F., Li, M., Kawasawa, Y.I., and
25	Sestan, N. (2014). Temporal specification and bilaterality of human neocortical topographic gene
26	expression. Neuron 81, 321-332.
27	

1	Pulvers, J.N., Journiac, N., Arai, Y., and Nardelli, J. (2015). MCPH1: a window into brain
2	development and evolution. Frontiers in cellular neuroscience 9, 92.
3	
4	Roberts, D.J., Tan-Sah, V.P., Smith, J.M., and Miyamoto, S. (2013). Akt phosphorylates HK-II at Thr-
5	473 and increases mitochondrial HK-II association to protect cardiomyocytes. J Biol Chem 288,
6	23798-23806.
7	
8	Rodriguez, C.I., Buchholz, F., Galloway, J., Sequerra, R., Kasper, J., Ayala, R., Stewart, A.F., and
9	Dymecki, S.M. (2000). High-efficiency deleter mice show that FLPe is an alternative to Cre-loxP. Nat
10	Genet 25, 139-140.
11	
12	Rustin, P., Chretien, D., Bourgeron, T., Gerard, B., Rotig, A., Saudubray, J.M., and Munnich, A.
13	(1994). Biochemical and molecular investigations in respiratory chain deficiencies. Clin Chim Acta
14	228, 35-51.
15	
16	Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S.,
17	Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image
18	analysis. Nat Methods 9, 676-682.
19	
20	Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., and Eliceiri, K.W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
21	analysis. Nat Methods 9, 671-675.
22	
23	Shashidharan, P., Michaelidis, T.M., Robakis, N.K., Kresovali, A., Papamatheakis, J., and Plaitakis,
24	A. (1994). Novel human glutamate dehydrogenase expressed in neural and testicular tissues and
25	encoded by an X-linked intronless gene. J Biol Chem 269, 16971-16976.
26	
27	Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V.K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B.L., Gillette, M.A., Paulovich,
28	A., Pomeroy, S.L., Golub, T.R., Lander, E.S., et al. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: a

- knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
 A *102*, 15545-15550.
- 3
- Szabadkai, G., Bianchi, K., Varnai, P., De Stefani, D., Wieckowski, M.R., Cavagna, D., Nagy, A.I.,
 Balla, T., and Rizzuto, R. (2006). Chaperone-mediated coupling of endoplasmic reticulum and
 mitochondrial Ca2+ channels. J Cell Biol *175*, 901-911.
- 7
- 8 Terada, Y., Tatsuka, M., Suzuki, F., Yasuda, Y., Fujita, S., and Otsu, M. (1998). AIM-1: a mammalian
 9 midbody-associated protein required for cytokinesis. EMBO J *17*, 667-676.
- 10
- Vincent, E.E., Sergushichev, A., Griss, T., Gingras, M.C., Samborska, B., Ntimbane, T., Coelho, P.P.,
 Blagih, J., Raissi, T.C., Choiniere, L., *et al.* (2015). Mitochondrial Phosphoenolpyruvate
 Carboxykinase Regulates Metabolic Adaptation and Enables Glucose-Independent Tumor Growth.
 Mol Cell *60*, 195-207.
- 15
- Wagenfuhr, L., Meyer, A.K., Braunschweig, L., Marrone, L., and Storch, A. (2015). Brain oxygen
 tension controls the expansion of outer subventricular zone-like basal progenitors in the developing
 mouse brain. Development *142*, 2904-2915.
- 19
- Yang, Y.T., Wang, C.L., and Van Aelst, L. (2012). DOCK7 interacts with TACC3 to regulate
 interkinetic nuclear migration and cortical neurogenesis. Nat Neurosci 15, 1201-1210.
- 22
- Zheng, X., Boyer, L., Jin, M., Mertens, J., Kim, Y., Ma, L., Ma, L., Hamm, M., Gage, F.H., and
 Hunter, T. (2016). Metabolic reprogramming during neuronal differentiation from aerobic glycolysis
 to neuronal oxidative phosphorylation. Elife *5*.
- 26
- 27 Zhao, Y., Araki, S., Wu, J., Teramoto, T., Chang, Y. F., Nakano, M., Abdelfattah, A. S., Fujiwara, M.,
- 28 Ishihara, T., Nagai, T., Campbell, R. E. (2011). An expanded palette of genetically encoded Ca(2)(+)

1 indicators. Science 333, 1888-92







WT KO





Nathalie Journiac et al., Figure 6





