

# How simulation teaching is revolutionizing our relationship with cardiology

Théo Pezel, Augustin Coisne, Fabien Picard, Pascal Gueret

# ▶ To cite this version:

Théo Pezel, Augustin Coisne, Fabien Picard, Pascal Gueret. How simulation teaching is revolutionizing our relationship with cardiology. Archives of cardiovascular diseases, 2020, 113, pp.297 - 302. 10.1016/j.acvd.2020.03.010. hal-03490957

# HAL Id: hal-03490957 https://hal.science/hal-03490957v1

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



How simulation teaching is revolutionizing our relationship with cardiology

Abbreviated title: Simulation teaching in cardiology

Théo Pezela,b,c,\*, Augustin Coisnec,d,e,f, Fabien Picardc,g,h, Pascal Gueretc,i, for the French Commission of Simulation Teaching (Commission d'enseignement par simulation [COSI]) of the French Society of Cardiology

a Department of Cardiology, Lariboisière Hospital, AP-HP, Inserm UMRS 942, University of Paris,

75010 Paris, France

<sup>b</sup> Division of Cardiology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21287-0409, USA

<sup>o</sup> French Commission of Simulation Teaching (Commission d'enseignement par simulation [COMSI])

of the French Society of Cardiology, France

d Department of Cardiovascular Explorations and Echocardiography, Heart Valve Clinic, CHU de Lille,

59000 Lille, France

e Inserm UMR 1011, 59019 Lille, France

<sup>f</sup> Institut Pasteur de Lille, 59000 Lille, France

9 Department of Cardiology, Cochin Hospital, Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris Centre, AP-HP, 75014

Paris, France

h INSERM U970, Paris Cardiovascular Research Center (PARCC), Georges Pompidou European

Hospital, 75015 Paris, France

Department of Cardiology, Foch Hospital, 92150 Suresnes, France

\* Corresponding author at: Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire Lariboisière, AP-HP, 2 rue Ambroise Paré,

75010 Paris, France.

E-mail address: theo.pezel@live.fr (T. Pezel).

1

KEYWORDS
----------

Simulation education;	
Cardiology;	
Cardiovascular imaging;	
Interventional cardiology	

Abbreviations: TOE, transoesophageal echocardiography; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography.

# **Background**

Education has changed in recent decades, moving from text-based manuals to multimedia education readily accessible by e-learning [1]. Indeed, the new generation of physicians is calling for more personalized training focused on clinical practice. Thus, European and American cardiology societies have developed e-learning programmes with numerous webinars. However, these e-learning programmes do not entirely replace face-to-face teaching with an experienced trainer. The evolution of this personalized education is probably simulation teaching, with students raised with this type of support. Indeed, in a recent international survey of 172 young cardiologists from 43 countries, only 48% of the participants had already participated in simulation training, while 91% considered this teaching method as "necessary" in cardiology [2].

# Importance of simulation education

Education using simulators is already a well-established teaching technique in aeronautics, with standardized and mandatory simulation training. In this field, simulation is used to hone individual cognitive and psychomotor skills in a low-risk environment [3]. The adage "see one, do one, teach one" was based on an old tradition of medical education, where patients were used as both the primary source and subject of our education. However, we know that no patient would willingly subject themselves to be the test case for a completely unprepared trainee in a risky procedure, such as coronary angiography, transseptal puncture or transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE). Therefore, the common adage "never the first time on the patient" clearly articulates the need for simulation education. More precisely, it should say "never the first times on the patient", as the basics of a procedure are not usually mastered after only one attempt [4]. To go further, we could even hope that in the near future, this adage will be reversed and replaced by "never the first time without simulation training" [5]. Widely used in foreign countries, such as the USA, the use of simulation is still under development in cardiology in France. Indeed, the development of simulation teaching in cardiology is faced with certain challenges, such as the need for an educational background in simulation for trainers; it is also a time-consuming teaching method.

A summary of the main studies assessing simulation training in cardiology is given in Table 1.

#### Simulation using serious games and scenarios

The transfer of learning from simulation to clinical practice in cardiology is based on two complementary methods: serious games at an individual level; and scenarios, with debriefing at a team level.

"Serious games" is the term given to computer software in which "real-life" environments are replicated to emphasize educational messages. Serious games have the advantage of being accessible on computer or smartphone, and therefore to not necessitate the purchase of additional equipment. The flexibility is also appreciated by learners [4], as they can perform their training basically whenever they want. One real limitation is the perception of the learner, who is aware of the artificial aspect of the simulation, with the risk of a different response between simulation and real-life.

An additive value is the possibility of creating uncommon scenarios, rarely encountered during clinical practice, using high-fidelity patient simulators [4]. These emergency scenarios (e.g. cardiac arrest) are important to assess team communication and efficiency of emergency protocols in a centre. Indeed, studies have demonstrated a clear benefit from the use of simulation over traditional resuscitation training, with an increase in cardiac arrest survival in centres with these programmes running [6].

# Role of simulation in cardiovascular imaging

Great knowledge of transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) and TOE is the backbone of cardiovascular imaging programmes [7] in cardiology in Europe and the USA. Indeed, a complete training programme with theoretical and practical sections is necessary for learning complete TTE [8]. However, this training could, at least in part, be based on a short self-study programme, such as Bernard et al. showed in a population of novices in echocardiography, with the acquisition of basic knowledge in TTE through practice on a simulator [9]. In addition, Fredericksen et al. showed that novices in echocardiography obtained an 86% rate of interpretable images after only 2 hours of teaching and practice on two healthy volunteers [10].

Experience in echocardiography that allows the trainee to move from a basic to an advanced level requires them to see many different cases – for instance, to provide guidance during surgery or percutaneous procedures. In addition, support for these procedures has very recently been a prerequisite for acquiring the "cardiovascular imaging expert" option of the French cardiology diploma. This learning curve to become self-sufficient takes time [4]. Indeed, in real-life training, most trainees

feel the gap between formal learning and actual expected performance, together with considerable stress and a lack of preparedness [11].

In simulation-based learning, it is essential to acquire high-quality ultrasound reference views to be able to interpret them as precisely as possible [4]. Using mannequin-based TTE and TEE simulators is a modern way to learn normal and abnormal cardiac anatomies and functions in a safe environment, promoting experience and instilling confidence without jeopardizing patient safety [12]. Indeed, Hammoudi et al. showed that undergraduate medical students can learn cardiac anatomy effectively through echocardiography simulation, with three-dimensional construction of the anatomy [13]. In a meta-analysis, Sidhu et al. showed that while real-life supervised TEE experience is irreplaceable, given its challenges (intubation, artefacts, etc.), teaching ultrasound techniques through simulation for diagnosis or certain interventional procedures enhanced the ability to acquire the main views of focused cardiac ultrasound and to carry out these examinations in real-life situations [14].

In addition, beyond the issue of acquiring high-quality images, simulation teaching is also a tool for developing interpretation capacity, by producing a relevant report using a multivariable approach. Indeed, practical workshops make it possible to simulate real situations from the replay station on a dedicated console [2].

# Role of simulation in interventional cardiology

With continuing growth of invasive procedures that require technical expertise, fast changing unstable clinical scenarios with potential life-threatening complications, complex therapeutic options and well-documented steep learning curves, the demands placed on cardiologists in training and in practice are intense. Many simulators exist for practising endovascular procedures: coronary angioplasty, pacing, electrophysiological studies and structural interventions [4]. These simulators use mechanical haptic feedback, which refers to tactile feedback provided by active or passive resistance generated by the simulator itself in response to user movements. This tactile feedback allows the subject to manipulate the simulated instrument in real time with force feedback, which provides a mechanical simulation of the sense of touch and/or resistance. All simulators provide quantitative metrics regarding the use of contrast and fluoroscopy time [15]. Such training has been proven to improve students' knowledge and skills [16].

Hybrid simulation is the term applied to mixing modalities to enhance the experience. An example of this is the situation of an angiographic procedure simulator under drapes alongside an actor playing the part of an anxious patient, to allow both the practical skills of cardiac catheterization and the communication skills required during the procedure [17].

### **Conclusions**

Unlike abroad, especially the USA, simulation is not yet used for recertification of doctors in France, but is currently used only as a training tool. Simulation training could probably be a means of providing education in cardiology, allowing trainees to progress under expert supervision, without risk to patients and in agreement with the Continuing Professional Development advocated by the French Health Authority. In addition, commercial availability of inexpensive realistic simulators would aid medical education and reduce the risk posed to patients. Finally, the absence of a validated educational programme in simulation for cardiology is an important limitation. The collegial development of a national training curriculum should be our next target to promote simulation.

# Sources of funding

None.

#### **Disclosure of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest concerning this article.

# References

- [1] Cosyns B, De Diego JJ, Stefanidis A, et al. E-learning in cardiovascular imaging: another step towards a structured educational approach. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;16:463-5.
- [2] Pezel T, Coisne A, Mahmoud-Elsayed H, et al. EACVI communication paper: first international young dedicated multimodal cardiovascular imaging simulation education event organized by the ESC. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2020;21:124-6.
- [3] Scalese RJ, Obeso VT, Issenberg SB. Simulation technology for skills training and competency assessment in medical education. J Gen Intern Med 2008;23 Suppl 1:46-9.
- [4] Gosai J, Purva M, Gunn J. Simulation in cardiology: state of the art. Eur Heart J 2015;36:777-83.
- [5] Westerdahl DE. The Necessity of High-Fidelity Simulation in Cardiology Training Programs. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;67:1375-8.
- [6] Mundell WC, Kennedy CC, Szostek JH, Cook DA. Simulation technology for resuscitation training: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Resuscitation 2013;84:1174-83.
- [7] Ryan T, Berlacher K, Lindner JR, Mankad SV, Rose GA, Wang A. COCATS 4 Task Force 5: Training in Echocardiography: Endorsed by the American Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2015;28:615-27.
- [8] Labbe V, Ederhy S, Pasquet B, et al. Can we improve transthoracic echocardiography training in non-cardiologist residents? Experience of two training programs in the intensive care unit.

  Ann Intensive Care 2016;6:44.
- [9] Bernard A, Chemaly P, Dion F, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of a self-training programme in focus cardiac ultrasound with simulator. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2019;112:576-84.
- [10] Frederiksen CA, Juhl-Olsen P, Nielsen DG, Eika B, Sloth E. Limited intervention improves technical skill in focus assessed transthoracic echocardiography among novice examiners. BMC Med Educ 2012;12:65.
- [11] Dreyfus J, Donal E, Pezel T. Moving Into a New Era for Echocardiography Education With Simulation and Workshop-Based Training. JACC: Case Reports; March 2020. Epub ahead of print.
- [12] Ziv A, Wolpe PR, Small SD, Glick S. Simulation-based medical education: an ethical imperative. Acad Med 2003;78:783-8.

- [13] Hammoudi N, Arangalage D, Boubrit L, et al. Ultrasound-based teaching of cardiac anatomy and physiology to undergraduate medical students. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2013;106:487-91.
- [14] Sidhu HS, Olubaniyi BO, Bhatnagar G, Shuen V, Dubbins P. Role of simulation-based education in ultrasound practice training. J Ultrasound Med 2012;31:785-91.
- [15] Green SM, Klein AJ, Pancholy S, et al. The current state of medical simulation in interventional cardiology: a clinical document from the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Intervention's (SCAI) Simulation Committee. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2014;83:37-46.
- [16] Fischer Q, Sbissa Y, Nhan P, et al. Use of Simulator-Based Teaching to Improve Medical Students' Knowledge and Competencies: Randomized Controlled Trial. J Med Internet Res 2018;20:e261.
- [17] LeBlanc VR, Tabak D, Kneebone R, Nestel D, MacRae H, Moulton CA. Psychometric properties of an integrated assessment of technical and communication skills. Am J Surg 2009;197:96-101.
- [18] Sharma V, Chamos C, Valencia O, Meineri M, Fletcher SN. The impact of internet and simulation-based training on transoesophageal echocardiography learning in anaesthetic trainees: a prospective randomised study. Anaesthesia 2013;68:621-7.
- [19] Matyal R, Montealegre-Gallegos M, Mitchell JD, et al. Manual Skill Acquisition During Transesophageal Echocardiography Simulator Training of Cardiology Fellows: A Kinematic Assessment. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2015;29:1504-10.
- [20] Sohmer B, Hudson C, Hudson J, Posner GD, Naik V. Transesophageal echocardiography simulation is an effective tool in teaching psychomotor skills to novice echocardiographers.

  Can J Anaesth 2014;61:235-41.
- [21] Damp J, Anthony R, Davidson MA, Mendes L. Effects of transesophageal echocardiography simulator training on learning and performance in cardiovascular medicine fellows. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2013;26:1450-6 e2.
- [22] Ferrero NA, Bortsov AV, Arora H, et al. Simulator training enhances resident performance in transesophageal echocardiography. Anesthesiology 2014;120:149-59.
- [23] Ogilvie E, Vlachou A, Edsell M, et al. Simulation-based teaching versus point-of-care teaching for identification of basic transoesophageal echocardiography views: a prospective randomised study. Anaesthesia 2015;70:330-5.

- [24] Casey DB, Stewart D, Vidovich MI. Diagnostic coronary angiography: initial results of a simulation program. Cardiovasc Revasc Med 2016;17:102-5.
- [25] Schimmel DR, Sweis R, Cohen ER, Davidson C, Wayne DB. Targeting clinical outcomes: Endovascular simulation improves diagnostic coronary angiography skills. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2016;87:383-8.
- [26] Hauguel-Moreau M, Adjedj J. Managing Pregnancy as an Interventional Cardiologist Fellow-in-Training: My Experience With a Simulator. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;68:1916-9.
- [27] Bagai A, O'Brien S, Al Lawati H, et al. Mentored simulation training improves procedural skills in cardiac catheterization: a randomized, controlled pilot study. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2012;5:672-9.
- [28] Voelker W, Petri N, Tonissen C, et al. Does Simulation-Based Training Improve Procedural Skills of Beginners in Interventional Cardiology?--A Stratified Randomized Study. J Interv Cardiol 2016;29:75-82.
- [29] De Ponti R, Marazzi R, Ghiringhelli S, Salerno-Uriarte JA, Calkins H, Cheng A. Superiority of simulator-based training compared with conventional training methodologies in the performance of transseptal catheterization. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:359-63.
- [30] De Ponti R, Marazzi R, Doni LA, Tamborini C, Ghiringhelli S, Salerno-Uriarte JA. Simulator training reduces radiation exposure and improves trainees' performance in placing electrophysiologic catheters during patient-based procedures. Heart Rhythm 2012;9:1280-5.

 Table 1
 Summary of the main studies investigating simulation-based training in cardiology.

Year	No. of	Study design	Session type	Blind	Improvement	Simulated	Conclusions
	students			assessment	in procedural	or real	
					ability	procedures	
2013	28	Single group	Self-directed	No	Not	Simulated	Improving knowledge
		before/after SBT			investigated		
		intervention					
2015	6	Single group	Fixed-time	No	Yes	Simulated	Reduction of
		before/after SBT	simulation				acquisition time to
		intervention	sessions				obtain adequate
							images
2014	33	Single group	Self-directed	No	Yes	Simulated	No difference in
		before/after SBT	versus fixed-				improvement by SBT
		intervention	time simulation				between supervised
			sessions				and self-directed
							sessions
	2013	2013 28 2015 6	2013 28 Single group before/after SBT intervention 2015 6 Single group before/after SBT intervention  2014 33 Single group before/after SBT	2013 28 Single group Self-directed  before/after SBT  intervention  2015 6 Single group Fixed-time  before/after SBT simulation  intervention sessions  2014 33 Single group Self-directed  before/after SBT versus fixed-  intervention time simulation	2013 28 Single group Self-directed No before/after SBT intervention  2015 6 Single group Fixed-time No before/after SBT simulation intervention  2014 33 Single group Self-directed No before/after SBT versus fixed-intervention time simulation	2013 28 Single group Self-directed No Not investigated intervention  2015 6 Single group Fixed-time No Yes  before/after SBT simulation intervention sessions  2014 33 Single group Self-directed No Yes	2013 28 Single group Self-directed No Not Simulated investigated intervention  2015 6 Single group Fixed-time No Yes Simulated before/after SBT simulation intervention sessions  2014 33 Single group Self-directed No Yes Simulated before/after SBT simulation intervention intervention sessions

RCTs

	Damp et al. [21]	2013	27	Apprenticeship training	Fixed-time	No	Yes	Real	At 1 month, the SBT
				and SBT versus	simulation				group significantly
				apprenticeship training	sessions				outperformed the non-
				alone					SBT group
	Ferrero et al. [22]	2014	42	SBT versus lecture-	Fixed-time	Yes	Yes	Real	Students with the
				based education.	simulation				lowest baseline
					sessions				experience level had
									the best learning curve
	Ogilvie et al. [23]	2015	52	SBT versus theatre-	Fixed-time	No	Not	Real	Improving knowledge
				based training	simulation		investigated		
					sessions				
CA									
Ob	oservational studies								
	Casey et al. [24]	2016	10	Single group	Fixed-time	No	Yes	Simulated	Reduction in
				before/after SBT	simulation				procedure time
				intervention	sessions				
	Schimmel et al. [25]	2015	14	Single group	Fixed-time	No	Yes	Simulated	Reduction in
				before/after SBT	simulation				procedure time
				intervention	sessions				

	Hauguel-Moreau & Adjedj [26]	2016	<b>1</b> ª	Single group	Fixed-time	No	Yes	Simulated	Improved angioplasty
				before/after SBT	simulation				skills after SBT
				intervention	sessions				
RC1	-s								
	Bagai et al. [27]	2012	27	Apprenticeship training	Mastery	Yes	Yes	Real	Reduction in
				and SBT versus	learning				procedure time
				apprenticeship training					
				alone for CA					
	Voelker et al. [28]	2015	18	SBT versus lecture-	Fixed-time	Yes	Yes	Simulated	Improved angioplasty
				based training for CA	simulation				skills after SBT
					sessions				
	Fischer et al. [16]	2018	118	Traditional face-to-face	Fixed-time	Yes	No	Simulated	Improved angioplasty
				teaching versus SBT	simulation				skills after SBT
				for CA interpretation	sessions				
Electroph	nysiology								
De l	Ponti et al. [29]	2011	14	RCT: SBT versus	Mastery	Yes	Yes	Real	SBT reduced training
				apprenticeship training	learning				time (reduction of 27
				for transseptal					days compared with
				catheterization					conventional training)

De Ponti et al. [30]	2012	7	Single group	Fixed-time	No	Yes	Real	SBT reduced radiation
			before/after SBT	simulation				exposure (reduction of
			intervention for the	sessions				262 seconds of the
			ability to position					fluoroscopy time per
			electrophysiology					patient)
			catheters at standard					
			intracardiac sites					

CA: coronary angiography; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SBT: simulation-based training.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> A pregnant cardiologist.