

# Does the prognosis after PGT for structural rearrangement differ between female and male translocation carriers?

Anne Mayeur, Naouel Ahdad, Laetitia Hesters, Michael Grynberg, Serge Romana, Charlotte Sonigo, Nelly Frydman

# ▶ To cite this version:

Anne Mayeur, Naouel Ahdad, Laetitia Hesters, Michael Grynberg, Serge Romana, et al.. Does the prognosis after PGT for structural rearrangement differ between female and male translocation carriers?. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2020, 40, pp.684 - 692. 10.1016/j.rbmo.2020.01.025 . hal-03490933

# HAL Id: hal-03490933 https://hal.science/hal-03490933

Submitted on 22 Aug2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1472648320300808 Manuscript\_c049d7fce5f0c6f618eec6d059b2048f

| 1 | Does the prognosis of PGT-SR differ in female translocation carriers compare to male |
|---|--|
| 2 | carriers? A lesson learned from 331 couples  |

3

| 4 <b>R</b> | lunning | Title: | PGT | for | chromosomal | translocations |
|------------|---------|--------|-----|-----|-------------|----------------|
|------------|---------|--------|-----|-----|-------------|----------------|

- 5 Naouel Ahdad<sup>1\*</sup>, Anne Mayeur<sup>2</sup>, Laetitia Hesters<sup>2</sup>, Michael Grynberg<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Serge Romana<sup>5</sup>,
- 6 Charlotte Sonigo<sup>1,6</sup>, Nelly Frydman<sup>2,3</sup>
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Preservation, Hôpital Antoine Béclère,
- 8 Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris Sud, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, Clamart 92140,
- 9 France
- <sup>2</sup> Reproductive Biology Unit, Paris-Sud University, Paris-Saclay University, Antoine Béclère
- 11 Hospital, Clamart 92140, France
- <sup>3</sup> Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris Saclay, Le Kremlin Bicêtre 94276, France
- <sup>4</sup> Inserm U1133 Université Paris Diderot, Paris 75013, France
- <sup>5</sup> Necker Enfants Malades Hospital, Genetic Unit, AP-HP, Paris, France
- <sup>6</sup> Inserm U1185 Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris Saclay, Le Kremlin Bicêtre 94276,
  France
- 17 \*Correspondence address: Service de médecine de la reproduction et de préservation de la
- 18 fertilité, Naouel Ahdad, 157 rue de la Porte de Trivaux 92140 Clamart, France. Tel: +33-14-
- 19 53-74-979. E-mail: naouel.yata@aphp.fr
- 20

#### 21 ABSTRACT

Research question: Chromosomal translocations are known genetic causes of premature ovarian insufficiency syndrome. Are certain translocations associated with decreased capacity of small antral follicles to respond to exogenous FSH? Does the prognostic of PGT-SR differ in female translocation carriers?

**Design:** This is a monocentric retrospective observational study covering a 10-year period. One hundred and thirty-nine females carrying a translocation were compared with 192 partners of male translocation carriers. To evaluate ovarian response to FSH, we used the follicular output rate (FORT), defined by ratio between the pre ovulatory follicle count (PFC) on day of hCG x 100 / antral follicle count (AFC). In an effort to determine a cut-off of metaphase II oocytes and biopsied embryos as predictor of obtaining a balanced embryo transfer, we performed ROC curves.

**Result:** We found a decreased capacity of small antral follicles to respond to exogenous FSH in female translocation carriers. The number of metaphase II oocytes in both groups was weakly informative as a predictor of obtaining an ET. On the contrary the number of biopsied embryos had some clinical value and allowed to determine a cut-off of 6.5 for female translocation carriers versus 5.5 for the partners of male translocation carriers.

38 Conclusions: Female translocation carriers may respond poorly to COH and present a higher 39 rate of unbalanced embryos, which means that a higher gonadotropins dose may be used 40 safely in an attempt to increase the number of biopsied embryos.

41 Keys words: chromosomal translocation, controlled ovarian stimulation, FORT, PGT42 outcomes.

## 43 STUDY FUNDING/COMPETING INTEREST(S): None

### 44 INTRODUCTION

Patients bearing a balanced translocation present an increased risk of recurrent 45 implantation failure and obstetrical complications, such as recurrent abortion, stillborn or live 46 born fetuses affected by a chromosomal syndrome (Jacobs et al., 1974; Simopoulou et al., 47 2003). Preimplantation Genetic Testing for structural rearrangement (PGT-SR) provides the 48 opportunity to distinguish between normal/balanced and unbalanced embryos. In this 49 procedure, in vitro fertilization (IVF) with intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) is 50 51 performed and the embryos obtained are biopsied to determine their chromosomal status. On the one hand, PGT-SR represents a real chance to minimize the risk of recurrent implantation 52 failure and miscarriage due to abnormal chromosomal segregation and offers couples the 53 54 chance to have an unaffected child, without facing prenatal diagnosis and termination of pregnancy (Munné et al., 1998, 2000; Otani et al., 2006; Scriven et al., 2013). On the other 55 hand, PGT-SR is a complex procedure with several critical steps. A major issue is the need 56 for a large number of embryos to increase the chance to get a balanced embryo since the rate 57 of embryonic chromosomal unbalance varies from 67% to over 80% (Sermon et al., 2004; Ko 58 59 et al., 2010; Harper et al., 2012; Scriven et al., 2013; Moutou et al., 2014; Kato et al., 2016).

It is well known that autosomal translocations, particularly Robertsonian 60 translocations (ROBT) and some reciprocal translocations (RCT) are associated with an 61 increased risk of sperm quality alteration (Sasagawa et al., 1993; Penna Videaú et al., 2001; 62 Rao et al., 2005; Mayeur et al., 2019). For women, cytogenetic studies are more often focused 63 on premature ovarian insufficiency (POI) with identifiable causes mainly related to X 64 chromosome disorders and more rarely to autosome-autosome translocations (Therman and 65 Susman, 1990; Schlessinger et al., 2002). However, autosomal translocations may also affect 66 67 the ovarian function less drastically. Some investigators addressed this issue by merely

analyzing the strength of ovarian response to controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) in female 68 translocation carriers compared to controls and reported contradictory results (Chen et al., 69 2005; Dechanet et al., 2011). To objectively evaluate antral follicle responsiveness to 70 exogenous FSH, the follicular output rate (FORT) may be helpful (Genro et al., 2011; Gallot 71 72 et al., 2012). The objectives of the present study, based on a 10-year period in practising PGT-SR were: (i) to evaluate the possible relationship between the FORT and the female 73 chromosomal status, (ii) to evaluate if the number of metaphase II oocytes or biopsied 74 embryos could be predictive of the chance to reach an embryo transfer. 75

76

## 77 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study is a monocentric retrospective observational study carried out from January 2006 through April 2017. Consent from all patients-couples was obtained at the time of PGT-SR attempt for the use of their medical data for research. Our database was approved by the National Data Protection Authority (Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés, CNIL no. 1217921) on 21 February 2007. According to the "Jardé Law" (decree n° 2016-1537, 16 November 2016), Institutional Review Board approval was not required for this retrospective study.

85

## 86 Subjects

All women referred to our PGT centre (PGT Centre of Antoine Béclère - Necker hospitals), were tested for ovarian reserve with a blood sample for anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) level and Antral Follicles Count (AFC) determined by ultrasonography before 90 inclusion in our PGT-SR program. Only patients aged ≤38 years with AMH>1ng/mL or AFC
91 >10 follicles were eligible for PGT-SR procedure.

92 As shown in Figure 1, three hundred and forty-three couples underwent PGT-SR to avoid 93 transmission of an unbalanced karyotype. Patients with a translocation involving sex 94 chromosomes have been excluded of the study (12 patients with 31 cycles). Three hundred 95 and thirty-one couples were finally included in this study in 760 COS cycles.

For the FORT calculation, we have selected the first cycle of a sub population of women (89
female translocation carriers and 145 partners of male translocation carriers) that met the
following inclusion criteria: (i) ovaries without morphological abnormalities (such as cysts,
endometriomas, etc.); (ii) regular menstrual cycles; (iii) total number of antral follicles
measuring 3–8 mm in diameter after pituitary desensitization (baseline) <25; (iv) BMI</li>
ranging from 17 to 29 kg/m2.

For our second objective we studied the overall population constituted from 139 females
bearing a translocation (102 RCT and 37 ROBT) and 192 partners of male translocation
carriers (119 RCT and 73 ROBT).

#### 105 Standard Karyotyping and FISH

106 Standard chromosomal analyses were performed on cultured peripheral lymphocytes 107 from the patient using standard procedures [G-banding with Trypsin using Giemsa (GTG); R-108 banding after Heat denaturation and Giemsa (RHG)]. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization 109 (FISH) analyses were performed on metaphase spreads of lymphocytes from the patient. In 110 accordance with breakpoints visualized on a standard Karyotype, specific DNA probes were 111 used, with the manufacturers' recommendations, to identify chromosome segments involved 112 in translocation.

#### 113 Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation protocols

Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) was achieved using long agonist or 114 antagonist protocol. For long agonist protocol, patients received a single dose of GnRH 115 agonist, triptorelin (3mg, I.M, Decapeptyl®, Ipsen, France) on the first or 20th day of 116 menstrual cycle. Three weeks later, pituitary desensitization was confirmed by the detection 117 of low serum level of progesterone (P4), estradiol (E2), and LH; and follicle size <10mm. 118 Thereafter, recombinant FSH (recFSH) (Gonal-F®, Merck-Serono; Puregon ®, MSD, France) 119 120 was initiated for at least 6 days. Patients undergoing GnRH antagonist protocols received pretreatment with oral contraceptive pill or estradiol valerate (provames ®). Then, recFSH was 121 administered from day 3 for at least 5 days at initial dosage and 0,25 mg GnRH antagonist 122 123 (Ganirelix, Orgalutran ®, MSD France or Cetrorelix, Cetrotide ®, Merck-Serono, France) was systematically started on day 6. From the sixth day of recFSH therapy onwards, daily 124 FSH doses were adjusted according to E2 levels and/or the number of growing follicles. 125 During the last days of COH, patients had daily visits at our institution for ultrasonographic 126 and hormonal examinations to define the proper timing for hCG administration. 127 Administration of hCG to trigger ovulation was performed as soon as  $\geq 4$  pre-ovulatory 128 follicles (16-22mm in diameter) were observed and E2 levels per pre-ovulatory follicle were > 129 200 pg/mL. Oocytes were retrieved 36 hours after hCG administration by transvaginal 130 131 ultrasound-guided aspiration.

132

## 133 Gametes preparation, Embryo culture, biopsy procedure and genetic analysis

134 Retrieved Cumulus Oocyte Complexes (COCs) were preserved in 3 mL of IVF culture
135 medium (Origio, Lyon, France) until being denudated. Liquefied ejaculate was drop into a

discontinuous Pure Sperm (Nidacom, JCD, France) preparation (45% and 90%) and the sperm
pellet was washed in Ferticult medium (FertiPro NV, JCD, France) by centrifugation at 600 g
for 10 min. All matured oocytes obtained were inseminated by ICSI. Fertilization was
checked 18h after sperm injection and zygotes were cultured in micro-drops of 40µL of
SAGE 1-Step<sup>TM</sup> (Origio, Lyon, France) at 37°C under an ambient atmosphere enriched to 5%
CO2.

Embryo biopsy was scheduled on day-3 using laser procedure (Fertilase; MTGMedical Technology Vertriebs-GmbH, Altdorf, Germany). Two blastomeres were removed from embryo with a minimum of 6 cells and < 30% fragmentation and spread on slides (Superfrost Plus) according to Coonen *et al.* (1994). FISH was used with fluorescent DNA probes specifically designed for the studied translocation. The slides were analysed by two operators and the diagnosis was based on concordant results from two mononucleate cells. Only blastomeres showing two signals per probe were defined as normal or balanced.

One or two balanced embryos were transferred at blastocyst stage and supernumeraryblastocysts were cryopreserved on day 5 or day 6 according embryo development.

151

153

# 152 Embryo transfer and luteal phase support

Embryo transfers were performed under ultrasound guidance (Voluson 730 Expert; General Electric Medical Systems, Paris, France) using a classic Frydman catheter ® (CCD Laboratories, Paris, France).

For fresh embryo transfers, luteal phase was supported with natural micronized progesterone 600mg/day and oral oestrogen supplementation (4mg/day), starting the evening of embryo transfer (ET) (Utrogestan® 200mg, Provames® 2mg, France) until the pregnancy test. Treatment was continued up to 8 weeks of amenorrhea in case of pregnancy. For frozen embryo transfers, endometrium was prepared with a programmed cycle regimen using oestrogen priming at a dose of 4 mg daily (Provames® 2mg, France) on day 1-3 of menstrual cycle. Progesterone treatment (micronized progesterone vaginally Progestan® 800mg, Besins, France) was added when endometrial thickness reached 7 mm or more. Clinical pregnancy was defined as the presence of gestational sac observed at ultrasound scans around 7 weeks of amenorrhea.

167

#### 168 Follicular Output Rate calculation

The FORT was used to assess antral follicle responsiveness to recFSH independently 169 of the follicular cohort size before treatment, as previously described (Genro et al., 2011). 170 171 Ovarian ultrasound scans were performed using a 5.0-9.0 MHz multi-frequency transvaginal probe (Voluson 730 Expert®, General Electric Medical Systems, and Paris, France). The 172 objective of ultrasound examination was to evaluate the number and sizes of small antral 173 follicles. All follicles measuring 3 to 22 mm in mean diameter (mean of two orthogonal 174 diameters) in each ovary were counted. Only antral follicles < 8 mm were considered for 175 176 antral follicle count (AFC) at baseline (d0) and follicles between 16 and 22 mm on dhCG were considered for pre-ovulatory follicles count (PFC). To optimize the reliability of ovarian 177 follicular assessment, the ultrasound scanner was equipped with a tissue harmonic imaging 178 179 system, which allowed improved image resolution and adequate recognition of follicular borders. The FORT was calculated by the ratio between the PFC on dhCG x 100/AFC at d0. 180 The choice of considering only 16-22 mm follicles for the calculation of FORT was validated 181 182 in previous investigation (Genro et al., 2011; Gallot et al., 2012).

183

184

### 185 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Graph Pad Prism (ver. 5.02, Graphpad SoftwazaInc,
San Diego, California, USA).

188 The measure of central tendency used was the mean and the measure of variability was the 189 standard error (SE). Differences between two groups were evaluated with Student's t-test or 190 Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon, when appropriate. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically 191 significant.

By the use of a univariate linear regression, we confirmed that the number of metaphase II 192 193 oocytes and the number of biopsied embryos were two factors significantly correlated with 194 the number of transferred embryos (P<0.001 and P<0.0001; respectively). We thus performed 195 ROC curves to determine a cut-off that would maximize the chance to reach an embryo transfer according to the number of metaphase II oocytes and the number of biopsied 196 197 embryos. ROC curves were performed to determine a cut-off that would maximize the chance to reach an embryo transfer according to the number of metaphase II oocytes and the number 198 of biopsied embryos. The area under the curve (AUC) was numerically calculated with the 199 use of trapezoids, and its 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated based on the Wilcoxon 200 201 statistic (Hanley and McNeil, 1982). As usual, a calculated AUC equal to 0.5 was considered 202 as not informative and between 0.5 and 0.7 as weakly informative. When it was comprised 203 between 0.7 and 0.9, AUC was considered as moderately informative and between 0.9 and 1 as accurately informative. When AUC was > 0.7 an optimal cut off level was determined with 204 205 the corresponding sensitivity and specificity.

206

#### 207 **RESULTS**

#### 208 Response to exogenous FSH assessed by FORT

209 Out of 139 female translocation carriers and 192 partners of male translocation carriers, 89 (25 ROBT and 64 RCT) and 145 met the inclusion criteria retained for the FORT calculation. 210 Population and COS characteristics in both groups are summarized in Table 1. As females 211 bearing a Robertsonian or reciprocal translocation were similar, they were combined together. 212 As shown, the two female groups were comparable in terms of women's ages, BMIs, serum 213 214 AMH, AFC at baseline and total recFSH dose used for COS, duration of recFSH therapy and serum E2 levels on dhCG. On the contrary, PFC values and FORT were significantly 215 decreased in female translocation carriers suggesting diminished antral follicle sensitivity to 216 217 FSH. As shown in figure 2, we sorted the population of female carriers in five FORT categories according to whether FORT values were under the 20th percentile (<27.0%), 218 between the 20th and the 40th percentile [27.0%-35.3%], between the 40th and the 60th 219 percentile [35.4%-52.6%], between the 60th and the 80th percentile [52.7%-70.3%] and 220 above the 80th percentile [70.4%-100%]. Then, we compared the proportion of female 221 222 carriers and partners of male carriers in each defined FORT category. A significantly higher proportion of women carrying balanced translocations had a FORT value under 35.3% 223 compared to women whose partner had a balanced translocation. Furthermore, a significantly 224 225 lower proportion of women carrying balanced translocations had a FORT value comprised between 52.3% and 70.6% compared to partners of male translocation carriers. 226

The distribution of chromosomes involved in translocations in the female carriers for which FORT value was under the 20th percentile (<27%) is summarized in the Table 2. Most of them carried a reciprocal translocation (12 out of 15). Although, relatively short or short metacentric (chromosomes 16-18) and submetacentric (chromosomes 19-20) were involved in
6 translocations, no redundant breakpoint region was associated with a very low FORT.

232

#### 233 PGT-SR outcomes between female and male according the type of translocation

Table 3 summarizes PGT-SR outcomes between females and males according the type of 234 235 translocation. The number of retrieved oocytes, metaphase II oocytes, obtained and biopsied embryos were similar between females and males regardless the type of translocation. 236 Conversely, whether in the case of reciprocal or Robertsonian translocation the number of 237 238 balanced embryos and transferred embryos were significantly lower in female carriers. However and interestingly, the clinical pregnancy and live birth rates per oocyte retrieval 239 (OR) and ET as such as the cumulative live birth rates per OR and ET were similar between 240 females and males in each type of translocation. 241

242

## 243 Parameters influencing the chance to reach an embryo transfer

Figure 3 and Table 4 present AUCs for the numbers of metaphase II oocytes or biopsied 244 embryos as predictor of obtaining an ET in female translocation carriers and partners of male 245 246 translocation carriers with the yielded estimated sensitivity and specificity for the used cut-247 off. The AUCs calculated for the number of metaphase II oocytes in both groups were < 0.7while for the number of biopsied embryos, AUCs were > 0.7. A cut-off of 6.5 biopsied 248 embryos in female translocation carriers yielded sensitivity estimates of 87% and specificity 249 250 of 37%. For partners of male translocation carriers, this cut-off was 5.5 led to sensitivity estimates of 82% and specificity of 45%. 251

#### 253 **DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study investigating ovarian 254 responsiveness to COH as also PGT-SR outcomes in female translocation carriers compared 255 256 to partners of male translocation carriers. Its major advantage is the large number of PGT-SR cycles analysed. Our investigation presents also some limitations. Some confounding factors 257 such as smoking, drinking and environmental toxic exposure are missing. We can probably 258 expect that due to the relative large size of the two groups some factors will be levelled out 259 260 but we cannot exclude this may be a potential bias. Due to French legal issue, blastomere chromosomal analysis was performed by the use of fluorescent in situ hybridization with 261 DNA probes targeting the sole chromosomes involved in the translocation. Therefore, inter 262 263 chromosomal effect could not be assessed (Gianaroli et al., 2002; Pujol et al., 2006; Xie et al., 2018). 264

One of the central findings of the present investigation is the diminished capacity of 265 small antral follicles to respond to exogenous FSH, assessed by the FORT index, in female 266 translocation carriers. The influence of chromosomal abnormalities on ovarian response to 267 268 stimulation was not yet well established. So far, only two contradictory studies evaluating ovarian response in female translocation carriers have been published (Chen et al., 2005; 269 Dechanet et al., 2011). The first one compared 61 cycles in 46 women with balanced 270 271 translocations to 42 cycles in 32 women whose male partner had a balanced translocation. A significantly higher proportion of women carrying balanced translocations responded very 272 poorly (E2 on the day of hCG <1,000 pg/mL) to ovarian stimulation compared to women 273 274 whose partner had a balanced translocation. Conversely, the second study reported that the ovarian response to COS was not impaired by balanced translocation status, considering 79 275 cycles in 33 female translocation carriers and 116 cycles in 55 partners of male translocation 276

277 carriers. To better quantify follicle responsiveness to exogenous FSH, we used the FORT known yet to be a qualitative indicator of ovarian follicular competence (Genro et al., 2011) 278 in infertile patients. FORT has the advantage of being independent of the AFC before FSH 279 administration allowing a better understanding of the capacity of these follicles to respond to 280 ovarian stimulation. As FORT was found to be positively related to IVF-ET outcome in 281 normo-cycling women devoid of polycystic ovaries (Gallot et al., 2012), we excluded women 282 endowed with AFC>25 from both studied groups. We found that female translocation carriers 283 had a significant lower FORT than partners of male translocation carriers. Furthermore, by 284 sorting both groups according FORT categories, we show that the proportion of female 285 translocation carriers with a low FORT value (<35.3%) was higher than in the partners of 286 male carriers. This suggests that in addition to cases of obvious ovarian insufficiency; female 287 translocation carriers may present a functional ovarian impairment. Although, no redundant 288 289 breakpoint region was evidenced in female translocation carriers with a very low FORT, 2 female carriers share the same reciprocal translocation [46,XX,t(6;20) and 46,XX,t(9;11)] as 290 already described in Chen et al. (2005). We hope that the present study will allow information 291 to be shared with other researchers. Indeed, if we could obtain several patients with this 292 phenotype and with the same break points, we could hypothesize that a gene of interest for 293 294 follicular function has been disrupted.

In line with Tur Kaspa et al., (2012), we show that despite the mild functional ovarian impairment in female translocation carriers once the patients had an ET, PGT-SR outcomes were similar. Albeit, in the population of female translocation carriers with a FORT value fewer than 27%, none pregnancy was obtained. Since aging greatly influences the number of small antral follicles available before treatment, female aging could progressively be an additional negative factor. 301 Our second finding was that the embryo-unbalanced rate was significantly higher in female translocation carriers as compared to male translocation carriers. Several hypotheses 302 303 may be raised to explain this result. First, is the progressive removal of abnormal spermatozoa 304 during spermatogenesis, which reduces sperm counts but allows the selection of balanced 305 spermatozoa. The defect of spermatogenesis originated from a mechanical mechanism during meiosis (reviewed by Van Assche et al., 1996). Meiotic arrest may occur by a gradual 306 307 association of the asynaptic region of trivalent or tetravalent with the transcriptional inactive XY body, thus disturbing the meiotic sex chromosomes inactivation (Sciurano et al., 2007). 308 Or only for the fact that asynaptic regions of trivalent or tetravalent might result in autosome 309 meiotic silencing (Naumova et al., 2013). 310

Second, sperm preparation was performed by the use of a discontinuous gradient 311 centrifugation. This technic could improve the selection of spermatozoa with a greater nuclear 312 313 integrity (Centola et al., 1998; Larson et al., 1999) and decrease the proportion of chromosomally unbalanced spermatozoa used during ICSI procedure (Perrin et al., 2011; 314 315 Rouen et al., 2013). Third, the higher unbalanced embryo rate observed in female compared to male carriers may be explained by meiotic segregation pattern. Indeed, some studies 316 reported that male meiosis was different from female with an increased incidence of 3:1 and 317 318 4:0 segregation in females carriers compared to males carriers (Mackie Ogilvie and Scriven, 319 2002; Tease et al., 2002; Ko et al., 2010).

Finally, we tried to determine the minimal number of metaphase II oocytes or embryos biopsied to maximize the chance of achieving an embryo transfer. We analysed ROC curves widely used to predict an outcome according to a specific indicator. The AUCs level for the number of metaphase II oocytes was <0.7 in both groups suggesting that this indicator is weakly informative for evaluating the chance to reach embryo transfer. Some confounding factors such as sperm/egg genetic integrity, *in vitro* procedures and environment should be 326 more deeply analyzed to understand this result. Interestingly, the number of biopsied embryo seems to be more informative. In consistency with the increased unbalanced embryos rate 327 observed in female translocation carriers, the cut-off value for biopsied embryos was higher in 328 329 this group. Despite a low specificity calculated with these cut-offs, the sensitivity was more than 0.8 meaning that if we succeed to biopsy a sufficient number of embryos, the chance to 330 reach ET is fairly good. In practice this suggests that if the number of embryos suitable for 331 332 biopsy does not reach the calculated cut-off, an accumulation of vitrified embryos may be recommended and should increase patient's chances to obtain normal/balanced embryo 333 (Chatziparasidou et al., 2013; Van Landuyt et al., 2013; Chi et al., 2015). This also suggests 334 that we will need more oocytes in female translocation carriers than in partners of male 335 translocation carriers. 336

In conclusion, female translocation carriers may respond poorly to COH and present a higher rate of unbalanced embryos, which means that a higher gonadotropins dose may be used safely in an attempt to increase the number of biopsied embryos. At the same time, while the ovarian response to COH may be decreased, the outcome of PGT-SR is not affected.

### 341 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the staff members at the Reproductive Biology and Clinical Units of the
Antoine Béclère Hospital (Clamart, France).We are grateful to couples-patients who consent
to the use of their medical data.

#### 345 Authors' roles

N.A, A.M., L.H, C.S. and N.F. were involved in the study conception, design, assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation and manuscript writing. S.R. was involved in the genetic analysis of embryonic cells. MG was involved in reviewing the paper. N.F was also involved in the revision and final approval of the manuscript. All authors have approved the finalversion and submission of this article.

# 351 FUNDING

352 No external funding was either sought or obtained for this study.

# 353 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

354 The authors have nothing to disclose.

355

356

#### 357 **REFERENCES**

- Centola, G.M., Herko, R., Andolina, E., Weisensel, S., 1998. Comparison of sperm separation
  methods: effect on recovery, motility, motion parameters, and hyperactivation. Fertil. Steril. 70, 1173–
  1175.
- Chatziparasidou, A., Nijs, M., Moisidou, M., Chara, O., Ioakeimidou, C., Pappas, C., Christoforidis,
   N., 2013. Accumulation of oocytes and/or embryos by vitrification: a new strategy for managing poor
   responder patients undergoing pre implantation diagnosis. F1000Research. 2, 240.
- Chen, S.H., Escudero, T., Cekleniak, N.A., Sable, D.B., Garrisi, M.G., Munne, S., 2005. Patterns of
  ovarian response to gonadotropin stimulation in female carriers of balanced translocation. Fertil.
  Steril. 83, 1504–1509.
- Chi, F., Luo, C., Yin, P., Hong, L., Ruan, J., Huang, M., Duan, T., Tong, G., 2015. Vitrification of day
  3 cleavage-stage embryos yields better clinical outcome in comparison with vitrification of day 2
  cleavage-stage embryos. Zygote Camb. Engl. 23, 169–176.
- Coonen, E., Dumoulin, J.C., Ramaekers, F.C., Hopman, A.H., 1994. Optimal preparation of
  preimplantation embryo interphase nuclei for analysis by fluorescence in-situ hybridization. Hum.
  Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 9, 533–537.
- Dechanet, C., Castelli, C., Reyftmann, L., Hamamah, S., Hedon, B., Dechaud, H., Anahory, T., 2011.
  Do female translocations influence the ovarian response pattern to controlled ovarian stimulation in
- 375 preimplantation genetic diagnosis? Hum. Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 26, 1232–1240.
- Gallot, V., Berwanger Da Silva, A.L., Genro, V., Grynberg, M., Frydman, N., Fanchin, R., 2012.
  Antral follicle responsiveness to follicle-stimulating hormone administration assessed by the Follicular
  Output RaTe (FORT) may predict in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer outcome. Hum. Reprod. Oxf.
  Engl. 27, 1066–1072.
- Genro, V.K., Grynberg, M., Scheffer, J.B., Roux, I., Frydman, R., Fanchin, R., 2011. Serum antiMüllerian hormone levels are negatively related to Follicular Output RaTe (FORT) in normo-cycling
  women undergoing controlled ovarian hyperstimulation. Hum. Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 26, 671–677.
- Hanley, J.A., McNeil, B.J., 1982. The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating
  characteristic (ROC) curve. Radiology 143, 29–36.
- Harper, J.C., Wilton, L., Traeger-Synodinos, J., Goossens, V., Moutou, C., SenGupta, S.B., Pehlivan
- Budak, T., Renwick, P., De Rycke, M., Geraedts, J.P.M., Harton, G., 2012. The ESHRE PGD
- 387 Consortium: 10 years of data collection. Hum. Reprod. Update 18, 234–247.
- Jacobs, P.A., Melville, M., Ratcliffe, S., Keay, A.J., Syme, J., 1974. A cytogenetic survey of 11,680
  newborn infants. Ann. Hum. Genet. 37, 359–376.
- 390 Kato, K., Aoyama, N., Kawasaki, N., Hayashi, H., Xiaohui, T., Abe, T., Kuroda, T., 2016.
- 391 Reproductive outcomes following preimplantation genetic diagnosis using fluorescence in situ
- hybridization for 52 translocation carrier couples with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss. J. Hum.
- **393** Genet. 61, 687–692.

- Ko, D.S., Cho, J.W., Park, S.Y., Kim, J.Y., Koong, M.K., Song, I.O., Kang, I.S., Lim, C.K., 2010.
  Clinical outcomes of preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) and analysis of meiotic segregation
  modes in reciprocal translocation carriers. Am. J. Med. Genet. 152A, 1428–1433.
- Mackie Ogilvie, C., Scriven, P.N., 2002. Meiotic outcomes in reciprocal translocation carriers
   ascertained in 3-day human embryos. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 10, 801–806.
- Mayeur, A., Ahdad, N., Hesters, L., Brisset, S., Romana, S., Tosca, L., Tachdjian, G., Frydman, N.,
  2019. Chromosomal translocations and semen quality: A study on 144 male translocation carriers.
  Reprod. Biomed. Online 38, 46–55.
- Moutou, C., Goossens, V., Coonen, E., De Rycke, M., Kokkali, G., Renwick, P., SenGupta, S.B.,
  Vesela, K., Traeger-Synodinos, J., 2014. ESHRE PGD Consortium data collection XII: cycles from
  January to December 2009 with pregnancy follow-up to October 2010. Hum. Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 29,
  880–903.
- Munné, S., Morrison, L., Fung, J., Márquez, C., Weier, U., Bahçe, M., Sable, D., Grundfeld, L.,
  Schoolcraft, B., Scott, R., Cohen, J., 1998. Spontaneous abortions are reduced after preconception
  diagnosis of translocations. J. Assist. Reprod. Genet. 15, 290–296.
- Munné, S., Sandalinas, M., Escudero, T., Fung, J., Gianaroli, L., Cohen, J., 2000. Outcome of
   preimplantation genetic diagnosis of translocations. Fertil. Steril. 73, 1209–1218.
- Naumova, A.K., Fayer, S., Leung, J., Boateng, K.A., Camerini-Otero, R.D., Taketo, T., 2013.
  Dynamics of response to asynapsis and meiotic silencing in spermatocytes from Robertsonian
  translocation carriers. PloS One 8, e75970.
- Otani, T., Roche, M., Mizuike, M., Colls, P., Escudero, T., Munné, S., 2006. Preimplantation genetic
   diagnosis significantly improves the pregnancy outcome of translocation carriers with a history of
- 416 recurrent miscarriage and unsuccessful pregnancies. Reprod. Biomed. Online 13, 869–874.
- 417 Penna Videaú, S., Araujo, H., Ballesta, F., Ballescá, J.L., Vanrell, J.A., 2001. Chromosomal
  418 abnormalities and polymorphisms in infertile men. Arch. Androl. 46, 205–210.
- Perrin, A., Basinko, A., Douet-Guilbert, N., Gueganic, N., Le Bris, M-J., Amice, V., De Braekeleer,
  M., Morel, F., 2011. Aneuploidy and DNA fragmentation in sperm of carriers of a constitutional
  chromosomal abnormality. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 133, 100–106.
- Rao, K.L., Babu, K.A., Kanakavalli, M.K., Padmalatha, V.V., Deenadayal, M., Singh, L., 2005.
  Prevalence of chromosome defects in azoospermic and oligoastheno-teratozoospermic South Indian
  infertile men attending an infertility clinic. Reprod. Biomed. Online 10, 467–472.
- Rouen, A., Balet, R., Dorna, M., Hyon, C., Pollet-Villard, X., Chantot-Bastaraud, S., Joyé, N., Portnoï,
  M-F., Cassuto, N.G., Siffroi, J-P., 2013. Discontinuous gradient centrifugation (DGC) decreases the
  proportion of chromosomally unbalanced spermatozoa in chromosomal rearrangement carriers. Hum.
  Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 28, 2003–2009.
- 429 Sasagawa, I., Nakada, T., Adachi, Y., Kato, T., Sawamura, T., Ishigooka, M., Hashimoto, T., 1993. Y430 autosome translocation associated with azoospermia. Scand. J. Urol. Nephrol. 27, 285–288.

- 431 Schlessinger, D., Herrera, L., Crisponi, L., Mumm, S., Percesepe, A., Pellegrini, M., Pilia, G.,
  432 Forabosco, A., 2002. Genes and translocations involved in POF. Am. J. Med. Genet. 111, 328–333.
- 433 Sciurano, R., Rahn, M., Rey-Valzacchi, G., Solari, A.J., 2007. The asynaptic chromatin in 434 spermatocytes of translocation carriers contains the histone variant  $\gamma$ -H2AX and associates with the 435 XY body. Hum. Reprod. 22, 142–150.
- Scriven, P.N., Flinter, F.A., Khalaf, Y., Lashwood, A., Mackie Ogilvie, C., 2013. Benefits and
  drawbacks of preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) for reciprocal translocations: lessons from a
  prospective cohort study. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 21, 1035–1041.
- 439 Sermon, K., Van Steirteghem, A., Liebaers, I., 2004. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis. Lancet Lond.
  440 Engl. 363, 1633–1641.
- 441 Simopoulou, M., Harper, J.C., Fragouli, E., Mantzouratou, A., Speyer, B.E., Serhal, P., Ranieri, D.M.,
- 442 Doshi, A., Henderson, J., Rodeck, C.H., Delhanty, J.D.A., 2003. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis of
- chromosome abnormalities: implications from the outcome for couples with chromosomalrearrangements. Prenat. Diagn. 23, 652–662.
- Tease, C., Hartshorne, G.M., Hultén, M.A., 2002. Patterns of meiotic recombination in human fetal
  oocytes. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 70, 1469–1479.
- Therman, E., Susman, B., 1990. The similarity of phenotypic effects caused by Xp and Xq deletions in
  the human female: a hypothesis. Hum. Genet. 85, 175–183.
- 449 Tur-Kaspa, I., 2012. Clinical management of in vitro fertilization with preimplantation genetic
  450 diagnosis. Semin. Reprod. Med. 30, 309–322.
- Van Assche, E., Bonduelle, M., Tournaye, H., Joris, H., Verheyen, G., Devroey, P., Van Steirteghem
  A., Liebaers, I., 1996. Cytogenetics of infertile men. Hum. Reprod. 11, 1–26.
- Van Landuyt, L., Van de Velde, H., De Vos, A., Haentjens, P., Blockeel, C., Tournaye, H., Verheyen,
  G., 2013. Influence of cell loss after vitrification or slow-freezing on further in vitro development and
  implantation of human Day 3 embryos. Hum. Reprod. Oxf. Engl. 28, 2943–2949.
- 456
- 457

- 458 Legends
- 459 Figure 1: Study design

Three hundred and forty-three couples were referred to our PGT center for either male or female chromosomal translocation. For the FORT calculation, we select the first cycle of a sub population of women that met inclusion criteria described in materials and methods. To answer to our second aim, we studied the PGT-SR outcomes of 456 OR from reciprocal translocations (102 females and 119 males) and of 225 OR from Robertsonian translocations (37 females and 73 males).

466 OR: oocyte retrieval. RCT: Reciprocal translocation. ROBT: Robertsonian translocation.

467

Figure 2: Proportion of female carriers and partners of male carriers in each FORT category
We sort the population of female carriers in five FORT categories according to whether
FORT values were under the 20th percentile (<27.0%), between the 20th and the 40th</li>
percentile [27.0%-35.3%], between the 40th and the 60th percentile [35.4%-52.6%], between
the 60th and the 80th percentile [52.7%-70.3%] and above the 80th percentile [70.4%-100%].
Hence we compare the proportion of female carriers and partners of male carriers in each
defined FORT value (\*\*P<0.01).</li>

475

476 Figure 3: Receiver operating characteristic curves for the relationship between the number of
477 biopsied embryos and the outcome of obtaining a PGT-ET in female translocation carriers
478 (dashed line) and partners of male translocation carriers (solid line).



Figure 2



# Figure 3



|  | Females carriers<br>(n=89) | Male carriers<br>(n=145) | P value |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age (years) <sup>a</sup>                     | 32.6±0.4                   | 33.3±0.3                 | NS      |
| BMI $(kg/m^2)^a$                             | 23.5±0.6                   | 23.5±0.4                 | NS      |
| AMH (ng/mL) <sup>a</sup>                     | 3.1±0.2                    | 3.7±0.2                  | NS      |
| <b>AFC (3-8 mm)</b> <sup>a</sup>             | 17.5±0.5                   | 15.2±0.4                 | NS      |
| Agonist protocol (%)                         | 50 (56.2)                  | 68 (46.9)                | NS      |
| Antagonist protocol (%)                      | 39 (43.8)                  | 77 (53.1)                | NS      |
| Duration of COS (days) <sup>a</sup>          | 10.9±0.2                   | 10.9±0.1                 | NS      |
| Total dose of FSH (IU) <sup>a</sup>          | 2883.0±110.2               | 2754.0±68.3              | NS      |
| Estradiol level on dhCG (pg/mL) <sup>a</sup> | 2501±102.1                 | 2956.0±297.0             | NS      |
| <b>PFC</b> (16-22 mm) <sup>a</sup>           | 9.9±0.4                    | 11.8±0.3                 | <0.0001 |
| FORT (%)                                     | 48.1±2.6                   | 59.4±1.                  | <0.001  |

**Table 1:** Female characteristics and COS outcomes in female carriers or partners of male carriers.

<sup>a</sup>Data are expressed as mean±SEM. COS: Controlled Ovarian Stimulation; BMI: Body Mass Index; AMH: Antimullerian Hormone, AFC: Antral Follicle Count at baseline (just before the start of FSH treatment); PFC: Preovulatory Follicles Count on dhCG, FORT: Follicular Output RaTe.

**Table 2:** Listing of karyotypes for female translocation carriers according FORT under the 20th percentile <27.0 %)

| Translocation                 | AFC      | FORT<br>(%) | Retrieved<br>oocytes | Metaphas<br>e II<br>oocytes | Biopsied<br>embryos | Outcome |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| 46,XX,t(17;18)(p13;p31)       | 23       | 13.0        | 8                    | 5                           | 2                   | No ET   |
| 46,XX,t(6;20)(p21;q13)        | 21       | 14.2        | 17                   | 13                          | 3                   | No PR   |
| 46,XX,t(1;17)(q42;q25)        | 21       | 14.2        | 21                   | 13                          | 4                   | No ET   |
| 46,XX,t(8;16)(q11;q12)        | 13       | 15.3        | 2                    | 1                           | 1                   | No ET   |
| 46,XX,t(6;10)(q15;p10)        | 19       | 15.7        | 15                   | 10                          | 6                   | No PR   |
| 46,XX,t(3.1)(q21;q32)         | 24       | 16.6        | 11                   | 8                           | 5                   | No ET   |
| 45,XX,der(13;14)(q10;q10)     | 22       | 18.1        | 4                    | 3                           | 1                   | No ET   |
| 46,XX,t(2;16)(q14;q24)        | 20       | 20.0        | 7                    | 7                           | 0                   | No ET   |
| 45,XX,der(13;14)(q10;q10)     | 24       | 20.8        | 7                    | 7                           | 4                   | No PR   |
| 45,XX,der(14;21)(q10;q10)     | 9        | 22.2        | 8                    | 7                           | 2                   | No PR   |
| 46,XX,t(4;21)(q32;q21)        | 22       | 22.7        | 9                    | 8                           | 3                   | No ET   |
| 46,XX,t(11;22)(q23;q11)       | 21       | 23.8        | 2                    | 2                           | 1                   | No ET   |
| 46,XX,t(6;20;13)(p12;p12;q33) | 24       | 25.0        | 6                    | 6                           | 5                   | No ET   |
| 46,XX,t(4;8)(p16;p23)         | 23       | 26.0        | 16                   | 10                          | 4                   | No ET   |
| 46,XX,t(9;11)(q22;q22)        | 23       | 26.1        | 12                   | 11                          | 7                   | No ET   |
| Mean±SEM                      | 20.6±1.1 | 19.6±1.2    | 9.7±1.4              | 7.4±0.9                     | 3.2±0.5             | NA      |

ET: embryo transfer; PR: pregnancy

|                                   | Female<br>carriers<br>RCT<br>n=102 | Male<br>carriers<br>RCT<br>n=119 | Р     | Female<br>carriers<br>ROBT<br>n=37 | Male<br>carriers<br>ROBT<br>n=73 | Р      |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| Cycles (n)                        | 254                                | 257                              | /     | 82                                 | 167                              | /      |
| OR (n)                            | 223                                | 233                              | /     | 74                                 | 151                              | /      |
| Oocytes retrieved <sup>a</sup>    | 11.5±0.3                           | 11.9±0.3                         | NS    | 12.2±0.6                           | 12.5±0.4                         | NS     |
| Metaphase II oocytes <sup>a</sup> | 9.6±0.3                            | 9.8±0.3                          | NS    | 9.9±0.5                            | 10.2±0.3                         | NS     |
| Embryos obtained (n)              | 1481                               | 1492                             | /     | 496                                | 957                              | /      |
| Good embryos obtained (%)         | 354 (23.9)                         | 313 (21.0)                       | NS    | 96 (19.3)                          | 193 (20.2)                       | NS     |
| Embryos biopsied <sup>a</sup>     | 5.2±0.2                            | 4.7±0.2                          | NS    | 4.8±0.3                            | 4.7±0.2                          | NS     |
| Balanced embryos <sup>a</sup>     | 1.0±0.1                            | 1.2±0.1                          | <0.05 | 1.7±0.2                            | 2.1±0.1                          | < 0.05 |
| Unbalanced embryo rate (%)        | 79.8                               | 71.9                             | <0.01 | 63.2                               | 51.8                             | <0.001 |
| Embryo transfers (% per OR)       | 122 (54.7)                         | 134 (57.5)                       | NS    | 54 (73.0)                          | 126 (83.4)                       | NS     |
| Embryos transferred <sup>a</sup>  | 0.78±0.05                          | 1.02±0.05                        | <0.05 | 1.03±0.11                          | 1.36±0.06                        | < 0.05 |
| Embryos cryopreserved             | 9                                  | 23                               |       | 13                                 | 12                               |        |
| Pregnancies (n)                   | 43                                 | 34                               | /     | 14                                 | 41                               | /      |
| Implantation rate (%)             | 26.3                               | 18.8                             | NS    | 17.7                               | 19.9                             | NS     |
| Clinical pregnancy per OR (%)     | 19.3                               | 14.6                             | NS    | 18.9                               | 27.1                             | NS     |
| Clinical pregnancy per ET (%)     | 35.2                               | 25.4                             | NS    | 25.9                               | 32.5                             | NS     |
| Live Births (n)                   | 37                                 | 27                               | NS    | 13                                 | 30                               | NS     |
| Live Birth Rate per OR (%)        | 16.6                               | 12.0                             | NS    | 17.6                               | 19.9                             | NS     |
| Live Birth Rate per ET (%)        | 30.3                               | 20.1                             | NS    | 24.1                               | 23.8                             | NS     |
| Frozen embryo transfer (n)        | 6                                  | 16                               |       | 10                                 | 7                                |        |
| Frozen live births (n)            | 3                                  | 5                                |       | 1                                  | 0                                |        |
| Cumulative LB rate per OR (%)     | 17.9                               | 13.7                             | NS    | 18.9                               | 19.9                             | NS     |
| Cumulative LB rate per ET (%)     | 31.0                               | 21.3                             | NS    | 21.9                               | 22.5                             | NS     |

Table 3: PGT-SR outcomes according the type of translocation in female or male carriers

<sup>a</sup> Data are expressed as mean±SEM. Unbalanced embryo rate (number of unbalanced embryos/number of diagnosed embryos) x 100. **OR:** oocyte retrieval; **ET:** embryo transfer; **LB:** live birth

# Table 4: Receiver Operating Curves results

|           |         | AUC   | CI          | Sensitivity | Specificity | Cutoff level |
|-----------|---------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|           |         |       |             | (%)         | (%)         |              |
| Number of | Males   | 0.724 | 0.668-0.708 | 82          | 45          | 5.5          |
| embryos   | Females | 0.707 | 0.646-0.767 | 87          | 37          | 6.5          |
| Number of | Males   | 0.595 | 0.535-0.655 | NA          | NA          | NA           |
| oocytes   | Females | 0.627 | 0.563-0.691 | NA          | NA          | NA           |

AUC: Area Under the Curve; CI: 95% Confidence Interval; NA: Not Applicable