

# Why is there plastic packaging in the natural environment? Understanding the roots of our individual plastic waste management behaviours

Mikaël Kedzierski, Dominique Frère, Gwénaël Le Maguer, Stéphane Bruzaud

### ▶ To cite this version:

Mikaël Kedzierski, Dominique Frère, Gwénaël Le Maguer, Stéphane Bruzaud. Why is there plastic packaging in the natural environment? Understanding the roots of our individual plastic waste management behaviours. Science of the Total Environment, 2020, 740, pp.139985 -. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139985 . hal-03490844

## HAL Id: hal-03490844 https://hal.science/hal-03490844v1

Submitted on 21 Jun2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969720335051 Manuscript\_585db598717d4432cbe0b7331e25be13

1	Why is there plastic packaging in the natural environment?			
2	Understanding the roots of our individual plastic waste management behaviours			
3	Mikaël Kedzierski <sup>a</sup> *, Dominique Frère <sup>b</sup> , Gwénaël Le Maguer <sup>c</sup> , Stéphane Bruzaud <sup>a</sup>			
4	<sup>a</sup> IRDL UMR CNRS 6027, Université Bretagne Sud, 56100 Lorient, France			
5	<sup>b</sup> TEMOS UMR CNRS 9016, Université Bretagne Sud, 56100 Lorient, France			
6	<sup>c</sup> Archipel Institute, Université Bretagne Sud, 56100 Lorient, France			
7	*Corresponding author: E-mail address: <u>mikael.kedzierski@univ-ubs.fr</u>			
8	Postal address: Centre de Recherche C. HUYGENS			
9	Rue de Saint-Maudé - BP 92116			
10	F-56321 LORIENT Cedex			
11	Tel: +33 297 874 587			
12	Highlights			
13	- Intention to discard waste is at the heart of the understanding of plastic pollution.			
14	- A cost-benefit balance modulates our individual plastic waste management behaviours.			
15	- Underestimation of plastic impacts is one explanation for individual mismanagement of			
16	waste.			
17	- Landfilling can be linked to the persistence of old waste management behaviour.			
18	- The study of waste reuse behaviour could be a source of innovation to improve waste			
19	management.			
20				
21				
22				

#### 23 Abstract

24 Plastic waste is now a classic contaminant of the natural environment and the origins of the 25 contamination need to be well understood. The transition from a useful object to a waste product 26 is a fundamental moment that, from the point of view of the scientific literature, remains poorly 27 understood. This review therefore aims to highlight some factors controlling this intentionality, but also those that influence individual waste management behaviours. For this purpose, an 28 29 original approach involving the study of the amount of knowledge within different disciplinary 30 fields of research has been employed. The results underline that the low direct impact of the 31 consequences on their users of the discarding of plastic packaging seems to be an important 32 reason for individual mismanagement. Furthermore, the modern individual behaviours of the 33 discarding of plastics are often deeply rooted in the past of the populations. Policies to reduce 34 waste disposal come up against strong individual behavioural constraints that limit the proper 35 management of plastic waste. Thus, incivilities, difficulty in enforcing sanctions, or public 36 opposition to changes in waste management are all factors that contribute to the maintenance 37 waste discarding behaviour. The reuse behaviour of objects that have become useless is also 38 historically attested, but has tended to disappear with the rise of the consumer society. This type 39 of behaviour, whose valorisation is a way of reducing plastic waste abandonment behaviour, 40 remains, however, less scientifically studied than other ways such as recycling.

#### 41 Keywords

42 Plastic waste, waste management behaviours, waste management history, environment

43

44

## 46 Table of contents

48	1. Int	roduction	4	
49	1.1.	The rise of plastic packaging	4	
50	1.2.	Voluntary abandonment of plastic waste: a source of plastics pollution	6	
51	1.3.	From plastic waste to pollution	6	
52	1.4.	Objectives	8	
53 54	2. A c 9	cost-benefit balance that modulates our individual plastic waste management behavio	urs	
55	2.1.	Risk avoidance mechanisms: general cases	9	
56	2.2.	Out of sight, out of mind?	. 10	
57	2.3.	Two particular cases?	. 12	
58	3. Un	controlled landfilling	. 13	
59	3.1.	A behaviour deeply rooted in time	. 13	
60	3.2.	Resistance of populations to good waste management practices	. 14	
61	4. Reuse: a (lost) cultural legacy			
62	4.1.	Management of ceramic packaging waste in the past	. 18	
63	4.2.	The transition to the consumer society	. 19	
64 65	4.3. mana	Reuse behaviour: an under-exploited source of innovation to improve plastic wargement?	iste . 19	
66	5. Sy	nthesis and conclusions	. 21	
67	5.1.	The weight of the consequences	. 21	
68	5.2.	Behavioural issues	. 22	
69	5.3.	Ways to reduce waste abandonment	. 22	
70	Acknowledgement		. 24	
71	Referen	ces	. 24	
72				

### 76 1. Introduction

### 77 1.1. The rise of plastic packaging

Over the millennia, ceramic, glass, wood, wicker and textiles have been the main materials used 78 79 for containing and trading organic products and materials until the recent invention of plastics 80 which marked the dawning of a new era (Fig. 1) (Bevan, 2014). Different properties explain 81 the rise of plastics after the Second World War such as their extremely large physico-chemical 82 properties that enable it to be applied to a wide range of applications at a cost that is often lower 83 than traditional alternatives (e.g. metal, glass, ceramic). Plastics are also very simple to process, 84 making easy to manufacture them in a wide range of objects. Thus, from the 1950s onwards, 85 food packaging and containers, which had previously been made with traditional materials, were increasingly made from plastic materials, thus allowing better preservation of foodstuffs 86 87 (Risch, 2009). At the same time, the world population increased sharply from 2.5 billion to 7.6 88 billion between 1950 and 2017 (United Nations, 2017). These populations also moved, 89 migrating from the countryside to the cities where they tended to concentrate (Cheng and 90 Urpelainen, 2015). Thus, between 1950 and 2019, the world population living in urban areas 91 increased from 30% (751 million inhabitants) to 55% (4.2 billion inhabitants) (United Nations, 92 2018). These populations have increasingly easy access to consumer society, implying an 93 increasing accessibility to consumer goods and services (Paek and Pan, 2004). These three 94 factors (i.e. replacement of traditional manufacturing materials by plastic, increase and 95 concentration of populations, and access to a consumer society) are widely responsible for the 96 increasing use of plastic materials in packaging.



98 Fig. 1. Time lines of packaging history (data from Berger, 2003; Risch, 2009). The first 99 ceramics used to preserve food were dated to the Upper Palaeolithic Period and were widely used for this purpose until the 19<sup>th</sup> century, after which their use gradually declined. The use of 100 101 glass is also ancient since the first traces of its industrialisation can be found as early as the Bronze Age in Egypt. From this period, manufacturing techniques were constantly improving, 102 103 but it was not until the 19th century that the reduction in the cost of manufacturing glass 104 containers made glass containers accessible to a larger part of the population. It was also in the 19th century that cardboard packaging and paper bags were invented. Finally, the first metal 105 cans were developed at the beginning of the 19<sup>th</sup> century. These new kinds of packaging, which 106 107 made it possible to preserve food efficiently and facilitate its transport, experienced a major 108 increase in demand until the beginning of the second half of the 20th century. Plastic materials 109 then began to be mass marketed, passing from 1.7 Mt in 1950 to 348 Mt in 2017 110 (PlasticsEurope, 2018, 2013, 2012). Their physico-chemical properties made it possible, among

other things, to replace glass bottles, paper bags and cardboard. In the end, food packaging will extensively be made of plastic materials. As early as the 1970s, the presence and impacts of plastic waste were observed in the natural environment and from this period, continuous scientific research on plastic pollution began. Nevertheless, it was not until the end of the 2000s that this topic became fully important (Data: Scopus database; Key words: 'plastic' and 'pollution').

### 117 1.2. Voluntary abandonment of plastic waste: a source of plastics pollution

118 First discussed in the 1980s, the amount of knowledge about the sources of plastic waste in the 119 environment has continuously increased since then (Li et al., 2016; Pruter, 1987). These sources 120 are originally divided into two categories: those of accidental origin and those resulting from 121 voluntary human actions. While accidental sources may sometimes be identified as they occur, 122 the individual behaviour of the discarding of plastic waste, although closer to our daily lives, is 123 much more dispersed in the scientific literature. Thus, the transition from 'object' to 'waste' is 124 poorly understood, despite the fact that it is one of the fundamental sources of plastic pollution. 125 According to the United Nations, waste can be defined as 'materials that are not prime products 126 [...] for which the generator has no further use in terms of his/her own purposes of production, 127 transformation or consumption, and of which he/she wants to dispose' (Department for 128 Economic and Social Information and Policy Analysis, 1997). Similarly, the European Union 129 defines waste as 'any substance or object which the holder discards or intends or is required to 130 discard' (European Union, 2008). In these two cases, the intention to discard an object is a 131 central idea to define the waste, perhaps even more than the action itself.

132 1.3. From plastic waste to pollution

Approximately 50% of plastic objects made are intended for single use and only 20% to 25%
for long-term use (Geyer et al., 2017; Hopewell et al., 2009). Thus, as the use of plastic materials

135 has increased, the quantities of waste generated have also increased: of the 8,300 million tonnes 136 of plastic produced worldwide between 1950 and 2015, 4,900 million tonnes were discarded 137 (Geyer et al., 2017). Despite the potential for recovery, about 50% of the plastics produced are of too little value for their recovery to be economically viable. Finally, less than 2% of the 138 139 plastic waste produced since the 1950s has been recycled, less than 6% incinerated and the vast 140 majority (about 92%) has been landfilled or disposed of in the natural environment (Fig. 2) 141 (Geyer et al., 2017). However, plastic waste is not limited to continents since approximately 142 4.8 to 12.7 million tonnes of plastic ends up in the oceans each year (Agamuthu et al., 2019). 143 However, unlike natural organic material such as wood, plastic materials degrade very slowly 144 in the environment. Thus, it is likely that a few decades to a few centuries will be necessary for 145 plastics to degrade if the environment is favourable in terms of physical, chemical and 146 biological conditions. As a result, plastics tend to accumulate in the environment and are not 147 harmless to it (Ballerini et al., 2018; Brandon et al., 2019). This implies implementing the 148 necessary means to reduce their impact, but also to be able to understand the reasons why human 149 beings do not manage their plastic waste properly.



150

151 Fig. 2. Waste management hierarchy (modified after Davies, 2016 and Geyer et al., 2017).

152 1.4. Objectives

153 The objective of this article is therefore to synthesise scientific knowledge on some of the 154 factors that control this intention to dispose our plastic objects, and on those that influence the 155 way we dispose of our waste. Thus, the objective of the first part of the article is to explain why 156 our perception of the risks associated with plastic waste discarded in our environment 157 modulates the way we manage our plastic waste. The second part aims to present more 158 specifically the origins of landfilling and the limits of the means conventionally implemented 159 to fight against this type of practice. The objective of the third part is to present the case of reuse 160 behaviour, and why this type of behaviour constitutes an underestimated way to fight against plastic pollution. Finally, we introduce a synthesis, summarising the main elements highlightedby this publication.

163 This article will also propose an original approach by introducing and illustrating the main 164 elements highlighted by examples from different fields such as ethology, archaeology and 165 history.

166 2. A cost-benefit balance that modulates our individual plastic waste management behaviours

167 2.1. Risk avoidance mechanisms: general cases

168 The risk of infection by parasites is a significant threat to many living organisms and behaviours 169 allowing to avoid this risk is often a first line of defence (Buck et al., 2018; Hart, 1990). Humans 170 generally avoid objects that they believe to be contaminated by potential parasites, and tend to 171 eliminate waste that can harbour or feed parasitic organisms (Curtis, 2014). Disgust is an 172 emotion that is considered as one of these strategies for avoiding pathogens (Buck et al., 2018). 173 It can be induced by different stimuli from senses such as sight, taste and smell (Buck et al., 174 2018; Hart, 1990). This emotion is a powerful way for the body to avoid a situation presenting 175 a risk of contamination by parasites (Buck et al., 2018; Hart, 1990; Weinstein et al., 2018). This 176 can be illustrated by shell middens (i.e. large accumulations of shellfish observed on the coasts 177 around the entire world), which is interpreted by archaeologists as a desire to remove the 178 organic remains whose decomposition can be a source of bad smells from living areas (Barton, 179 2002). Avoidance strategies originate both from innate reflexes, and also in learning from 180 cultural origins or through the experience acquired by the organism (Curtis, 2007). The 181 development of avoidance strategies acquired at a personal or societal level can be illustrated 182 by the example of the major epidemics of the Middle Ages. Thus, waste, particularly organic 183 waste, had a negative impact on the quality of life of people living in the Middle Ages. It is for 184 example the case of organic residues that infiltrated the soil and contaminated drinking water

185 resources (Leguay, 1999). These poor health conditions probably favoured the spread of the 186 Black Death, which ravaged Europe from 1347 to 1351 (Tammemagi, 1999). At the end of 187 these epidemics, the link between heaps of waste and diseases appears in many testimonies 188 (Leguay, 1999). Similarly, it appears from that period that the neighbourhoods the most exposed 189 to waste are more often and more severely affected by disease. The origins of epidemics were 190 unknown at the time and the attributions were often multiple, but the senses, particularly taste 191 and smell, took on an increasingly important role in the perception of the danger posed by waste 192 from this period (Leguay, 1999).

Avoidance behaviours are modulated by a cost-benefit balance (Weinstein et al., 2018). Thus, if the maintenance of a healthy community and a healthy environment is an important motivation for individuals to properly manage their waste, the perceived cost to the individual must be acceptable in relation to the perceived benefit (Davies, 2016; Weinstein et al., 2018).

197 2.2. Out of sight, out of mind?

198 Of all the waste generated every day, plastic materials are probably not the most disgusting. 199 These materials are recent on the evolutionary time scale (PlasticsEurope, 2015) and are 200 therefore not the subject of innate avoidance behaviour on the part of living beings, as shown 201 by the numerous cases of plastic waste ingestion described in the scientific literature (Boerger 202 et al., 2010; Colabuono et al., 2009; Fossi et al., 2016; Nicolau et al., 2016). In addition, most 203 plastic materials can be considered relatively inert on a time scale of a few months to a few 204 years (Hamid, 2000; Hamid and Amin, 1995). Thus, plastic materials do not follow the same 205 degradation processes, such as putrefaction processes for example, which are observable for 206 other biological materials and for which we have an instinctive aversion. Their ageing in our 207 environment does not cause any particular odours for human beings either. Smell, which plays 208 such an important role in the processes of disgust, has no role here. Although specific bacterial 209 communities are known to develop on the surface of plastics, no associated risk has ever been shown (Amaral-Zettler et al., 2015; Dussud et al., 2018; Frère et al., 2018; Zettler et al., 2013).
Thus, in the first approach plastic materials do not seem likely to activate avoidance
mechanisms likely to initiate particular plastic waste management behaviours.

213 However, while the direct consequences of plastics waste mismanagement for the individual 214 are modest, the consequences for the environment and ecosystems are greater. For example, the 215 ingestion of nano- and microplastics by planktonic organisms affects a wide range of functions 216 such as reproduction, growth or feeding behaviour (Besseling et al., 2014; Cole et al., 2015). 217 The ingestion of plastics has an energy cost for organisms that can result in reduced growth, 218 reproduction and health of the organism (Sussarellu et al., 2016; Watts et al., 2015). However, 219 while the ingestion of plastic can be observed by an informed fisherman, when cleaning his 220 catch for example, these kinds of impacts can only be highlighted by scientific means. In 221 addition to direct impacts during ingestion, it should also be noted that plastics interact with 222 additives and micropollutants in the natural environment. Some additives pose significant risks 223 to the environment and health such as DEHP, an endocrine disruptor commonly used as 224 plasticisers in PVC, and which desorbs during the plastic ageing process (Doyle et al., 2013; 225 Jeddi et al., 2015; Kedzierski et al., 2018; Manikkam et al., 2013). Plastics also adsorb 226 micropollutants in water such as metals (Boucher et al., 2016; Brennecke et al., 2016; Holmes 227 et al., 2014, 2012), endocrine disruptors (Fossi et al., 2014, 2012) or persistent organic 228 pollutants (Bakir et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2013; Rios et al., 2007). The ingestion by living 229 organisms of contaminated plastics could therefore constitute an additional pathway for these 230 pollutants, although this type of transfer is probably very secondary to micropollutant transfers 231 occurring between an ingested prey and its predator (Galafassi et al., 2019; Rist et al., 2018). 232 Most of these risks associated with plastic waste are unknown to the general public. Thus, while 233 plastic waste has a clear impact on the marine environment and ecosystems (Wright et al., 234 2013). These impacts are not very sensitive from a human point of view and, even if they can be intellectualised, they are still far removed from the everyday life of users of plastic. This is
undoubtedly a key to understand plastic pollution (Science Advice for Policy by European
Academies, 2019): by not understanding the impact of plastic waste on the natural environment
and by not feeling its impact, users restrict their management efforts to a minimum. This type
of psychological limitation was also identified in studies on factors limiting behavioural change
in the context of climate change (Dietz et al., 2007; Gifford, 2011; van der Linden et al., 2015).

241 2.3. Two particular cases?

While it is likely that the avoidance mechanisms, which normally play an important role in our individual waste management, may not be conducive to the proper management of plastic waste, two particular cases are worth mentioning.

245 In Europe, nearly 40% of the demand for plastic materials comes from the packaging industry 246 (PlasticsEurope, 2018). Most of the plastic materials used in packaging are impermeable to 247 fluids (e.g. blood, fats, drinks) present in food. Nevertheless, traces of these foods can generally 248 be observed only on the surface of the plastic material. Thus, expanded (EPS) and extruded 249 (XPS) poly(styrene) are very often used for food packaging because of their excellent insulating 250 properties, impact resistance and light weight. These materials also have good impermeability 251 to biological fluids despite their very porous structure. The presence of food residues on their 252 surface leads to the necessary management of these wastes to avoid the appearance of odours 253 related to maceration of organic residues. This is the case, for example, for fish trays where 254 organic residues can quickly generate unpleasant odours. This immediate management is also 255 important to avoid the appearance of species attracted by organic residues such as flies, or to 256 limit handling once parasites have contaminated the packaging. Thus, the classic behaviour is 257 to quickly manage this packaging waste in a confined space or at least to keep this packaging 258 waste away from living spaces. Thus, people are more sensitive to health risks, as well as to 259 waste odour issues, than to maintaining a preserved natural environment (Tadesse et al., 2008).

In the case of plastic waste that has been in contact with food, avoidance mechanisms are therefore likely to be involved in waste management behaviours, however, in an indirect way.

262 Plastic waste on beaches or in exceptional natural sites illustrates a second potential example 263 of waste avoidance behaviour (Kaseva and Moirana, 2009). The environmental quality of a 264 beach is often a major concern for tourists (Santos et al., 2005). Apart from affecting the 265 recreational capacities of the environment, most of the plastic waste observed in the natural 266 environment does not pose a direct threat to human beings. However, this waste is perceived as 267 'disgusting' by some users, while others at the same time do not pay attention to it. It seems 268 that certain cultural or experiential aspects of each individual contribute to the perception of 269 these wastes and make the intensity of the experienced discomfort vary from one person to 270 another. In addition, this type of behaviour has economic consequences since the presence of a 271 considerable amount of waste on beaches can result in avoidance behaviours: summer visitors 272 tend to avoid or no longer return to beaches contaminated by plastic waste (Free et al., 2014; 273 Retama et al., 2016; Stolte et al., 2015).

274 3. Uncontrolled landfilling

275 3.1. A behaviour deeply rooted in time

In the epidemic context of the end of the 15th century in Europe, hygienic trends appear and lead to waste management measures being taken (Leguay, 1999). Thus, in the cities of 12th, 13th and 14th century England, household or commercial waste piles were not allowed for more than a few days in the city (Schofield, 2002). In France, a city like Amiens had a waste disposal service and the regulations required that this waste must be disposed of outside the city before sunrise (Leguay, 1999). Waste is often exported out of the city, and is either dumped into rivers or transported to areas of natural or artificial depressions (e.g. wells) (Bertolini, 2002). 283 If in the Middle Ages the export of waste from the cities and its abandonment in the natural 284 environment was considered an adequate management measure, nowadays this type of 285 management is to be proscribed. However, similar mismanagement behaviours are still 286 observed even in the most developed countries. In France, for example, local political actors 287 consider that this type of waste mismanagement has been constant or even increasing over the 288 last ten years or so (ADEME and ECOGEOS, 2019). Packaging waste is often observed in the 289 terrestrial environment in a diffuse manner, but with a high frequency. Packaging waste is often 290 abandoned along roadsides, or near voluntary waste drop-off receptacles. While it can also be 291 abandoned in natural environments, this type of waste is more frequently observed in urban 292 areas and are mainly discarded by the inhabitants of the territory or surrounding areas. They are 293 therefore most of the time abandoned in the immediate vicinity of the place of use.

3.2. Resistance of populations to good waste management practices

295 3.2.1. Incivility

296 Incivility is the main reason evoked by local actors to explain uncontrolled landfilling (ADEME 297 & ECOGEOS, 2019). Public archives of the Middle Ages testify to complaints against 298 individual selfishness and denounce the laxity of law enforcement officials (Leguay, 1999). 299 These complaints were particularly numerous during the 15th century, when the sharp increase 300 in urban populations, as well as epidemics, probably contributed to an awareness of the risks 301 associated with dumping waste on the streets (Leguay, 1999). The lack of awareness among 302 populations of the impact that waste disposal has on the environment and ecosystems is known 303 to be a source of reluctance to change practices. Thus, in Ethiopia, environmental security is a 304 secondary concern for its population (Tadesse et al., 2008). Furthermore, in the particular case 305 of packaging waste, it is often even less of a concern to local authorities than other wastes. In 306 France, the involvement of the police and the judiciary is therefore often less than for other 307 wastes (e.g. asbestos) (ADEME, 2007). The release of waste into the environment may be partly 308 due to a lack of knowledge among the population about the impact of this waste on the 309 environment and its ecosystems (Pettipas et al., 2016). In the case of plastic waste, it has been 310 shown that awareness-raising actions on the theme of plastic pollution, as well as the 311 identification of human behaviour that has a negative impact on the environment, can facilitate 312 behavioural changes (Mcnicholas & Cotton, 2019). More generally, prevention actions ranging 313 in communication actions and the setting up of collection systems are generally developed by 314 local actors. However, these actions are judged to be moderately effective by those working in 315 the field (ADEME & ECOGEOS, 2019).

316 3.2.2. Sanctions

317 The texts of the Middle Ages also highlight the struggle for the authorities to impose the policies 318 necessary to preserve public health. Indifference, hostility of residents disturbed in their habits 319 or forced to take responsibility for keeping clean roads often limits the impact of the decisions 320 taken (Leguay, 1999). Sanctions have often been proposed to impose virtuous waste 321 management behaviours on populations. However, waste regulations appear in the archives of 322 many European cities, but were rarely enforced by residents. Active policies involving 323 sanitation measures, the relocation of polluting activities, the cleaning of watercourses and the 324 development of cleaning and street state management services seem to be more successful 325 (Leguay, 1999). Thus, while in the Middle Ages repressive policies appeared, they generally 326 remained ineffective (Leguay, 1999). Nowadays, the lack of sanctions that could encourage 327 good behaviour is commonly cited by local authorities as a major limit to good practice 328 (ADEME and ECOGEOS, 2019). According to this report, in France sanctions are often 329 initiated by local authorities and sometimes by national entities. They most frequently consist 330 of a reminder of the law, the establishment of infringements and the lodging of a complaint. 331 The contraventions, disciplinary sanctions and reports to higher authorities are much rarer. 332 However, these sanctions face many difficulties. First of all, the identification of the responsible

333 is difficult and requires significant resources (e.g. video surveillance), whereas it is considered 334 by those working in the field as one of the most effective means of reducing this type of 335 pollution. In fact, complaints are often dismissed for lack of a responsible person. Furthermore, 336 sanctions, where they can be applied, are often considered to be too lenient and not very 337 dissuasive. These procedures are also often complex and difficult for local authorities to carry 338 out. Moreover, the procedures are often very long, which limits their effectiveness. Thus, these 339 authorities often lack of resources not only to implement these procedures, but also to enforce 340 these sanctions. The final limitations are organisational: the distribution of competences and 341 powers can be complex to allocate and implement by the different actors involved.

### 342 3.2.3. Impacts of collection facilities on uncontrolled landfills

The possibilities for collecting plastic waste have an influence on the discharge of waste into the natural environment or the creation of uncontrolled landfills (ADEME and ECOGEOS, 2019). These include the cost of proper waste management, the distance to waste management centres and public opposition to the establishment of waste management infrastructure.

347 The problem of waste management costs can be identified in certain texts of the European 348 Middle Ages. For example, waste management initiatives suffer from financial problems, as 349 illustrated by documents from this period describing the difficulties of raising taxes and 350 financing cleaning services in cities. (Leguay, 1999). The question of the cost of waste 351 management was a clear limiting factor to its proper management, and it is still an important 352 issue. Consequently, the most common solution used by populations is to discard waste in 353 natural depressions, rivers, near roads or on land with a low market value (Tadesse et al., 2008). 354 Then, it is often local actors who bear the costs of managing and cleaning up abandoned waste. 355 In the case of beaches frequented by tourists, to limit the economic impact on tourism-356 dependent economic activities that this kind of behaviour has, clean-ups are generally organised 357 by volunteers or local authorities. The costs of cleaning actions can sometimes be compensated when the perpetrators of the pollution are identified. However, as they are difficult to identify, the final cost often lies with the local population and, if it does not have the human and financial means, then the pollution is not eliminated properly. At the global level, the amount of waste management infrastructure is often too small in relation to needs, in particular because of the costs involved in good waste management.

363 The extensive use of pottery such as amphoras has sometimes led to significant accumulations 364 of waste. For example, Monte Testaccio in Rome is entirely made of 30 and 50 million amphora 365 broken between the first century BC and the third century AD and forming an artificial hill of 366 600,000 m3 (Havlíček and Morcinek, 2016). Modern landfilling is a continuous practice of 367 collective waste disposal practises in specific areas and currently the most common and 368 economical solution of waste management (Geyer et al., 2017). In modern times, and more 369 particularly between 1960 and 2002, the mass of household waste collected increased threefold 370 in line with the gradual increase in the population, but also because of the increase in plastic 371 consumption, as well as the best waste collection methods (Miller, 2009). At the same time, 372 waste regulations were becoming increasingly stringent, leading to a gradual decrease in the 373 number of landfills from about 8,000 in 1988 to 1,654 in 2005 (Miller, 2009). A similar 374 observation can be made in some European countries such as France, where between 1990 and 375 2013, the amount of household waste storage centres decreased from 500 to around 220 376 (Haeusler et al., 2017). This reduction in the number of sites may in some cases have led to the 377 exclusion of part of the population, particularly rural populations, from landfilling sites, which 378 are not very efficient from the point of view of good waste management, but have very low 379 management costs. In some cases, this may have encouraged the return of uncontrolled landfills. 380 In general, the reluctance to pay for efficient waste management is also one of the major points 381 to explain the existence of some uncontrolled landfills (ADEME and ECOGEOS, 2019).

382 Reluctance to develop waste management infrastructure can also be observed in some particular 383 context. Waste collection, for example, implies the existence of containers that must be as close 384 as possible to the areas where waste is generated. If the effort to reach the containers is too 385 great, waste is often dumped outside the collection areas. Populations may also oppose the 386 establishment of a collection area, if it is considered too close to residential areas (Tadesse et 387 al., 2008). Thus, sanitary risks, unpleasant smells and inconveniences associated with the 388 presence of this waste are cited by Ethiopian populations to explain the reluctance of using 389 collection facilities. Thus, in this context, the cost-benefit balance is unfavourable to good waste 390 management.

391 4. Reuse: a (lost) cultural legacy

392 The question of the management of packaging and food container waste is a long-standing393 issue. In this context, the reuse of materials was most widely used before final disposal.

394 4.1. Management of ceramic packaging waste in the past

395 If ceramic techniques appeared as early as the Palaeolithic period, it was not until the diffusion 396 of Neolithic pottery that ceramic-based waste appeared in archaeological records (Fig. 2) (Rice, 397 1999; Vandiver et al., 1989; Wu et al., 2012). With them, the first non-degradable packaging 398 waste appears. As early as the 19th century, the Italian geologist Antonio Stoppani proposed 399 considering ceramic fragments as one of the possible markers of the 'Anthropozoic' era due to 400 the high amount of ceramic he found in soils (Stoppani, 1873; Turpin and Federighi, 2012). 401 However, despite their durability, the length of time of their use is sometimes relatively similar 402 to that of a comparable modern packaging. Thus, the duration of the use of an amphora during 403 the Roman Empire is in the order of a few months to a year, comparable to that of modern 404 plastic bottles (Geyer et al., 2017; Peña, 2007). Their relative fragility exposes them to the risk 405 of breakage and since the generated debris were generally quickly disposed of (Bertolini, 2002).

406 These breakages are often reused to make a floor or wall, or crushed for the manufacture of 407 new building materials or to fill a topographic depression (Bertolini, 2002; Peña, 2007).

408

4.2. The transition to the consumer society

409 Waste management choices can be related to different demographic factors such as the level of 410 education, house size, or age of the individual (Tadesse et al., 2008). To the extent that these 411 parameters change over time, the associated behaviours also change. Thus, behaviours 412 involving the reuse of functioning objects and the discarding of damaged and useless objects 413 into the natural environment are deeply rooted in the generations born before the arrival of the 414 consumer society (Yukalang et al., 2018). For those born afterwards, reuse behaviours decrease 415 while those involving the discharge of waste into the environment persist, especially when 416 waste management infrastructure is weak or difficult to access (Tadesse et al., 2008). 417 Considering an object that is perfectly functional to be waste, or that could easily be diverted 418 from its original use, seems to be a major step backwards when compared to the practices 419 observed in the history of mankind. Many reasons can explain why a still functional plastic 420 object is considered as waste. Examples include a low market value or the perception of this 421 object, considered cumbersome or unnecessary at a given time. This is the case, for example 422 whose actual duration of use (i.e. a few weeks to a few months) is no longer correlated to the 423 potential duration of use of the object (*i.e.* a few years to a few decades) (Geyer et al., 2017).

424 4.3. Reuse behaviour: an under-exploited source of innovation to improve plastic waste425 management?

In developing countries, object reuse is the main management behaviour far ahead of reducing
consumption or recycling (Innocent Sinthumule and Helen Mkumbuzi, 2019; United Nations
Development Programme, 2018). This is due in particular to the fact that waste is more easily
perceived as having a value and can therefore be a source of income (Yukalang et al., 2018).

430 However, despite the predominance of this type of behaviour, scientific knowledge about it is 431 comparatively lower than that of recycling (Barr et al., 2005; Corral-Verdugo, 2003; Kaplan et 432 al., 2018). For example, whether it is for articles on recycling or reuse, in both cases, about 70% 433 of these publications were made by countries with a very high Human Development Index 434 (HDI) [0.8-1.0], but which account for about 20% of the world's population (UNDP, 2019; 435 United Nations, 2019) (Fig. 3). Countries with a high HDI ([0.7-0.8]) account for about 20% of 436 the publications. Nevertheless, this result is skewed by the fact that China published between 437 65 and 70% of these publications. The share of scientific publications from countries with HDI 438 below 0.7 is less than 5% for a population of about 3 billion people (about 42% of the world's 439 population). Thus, global scientific research on these behaviours is therefore mainly carried out 440 by the richest countries and probably reflects their needs (recycling rather than reuse). This 441 imbalance leads to a profound lack of knowledge about one of the most important practices 442 involved in the reduction of plastic pollution. Thus, one of the main modes of waste 443 management is poorly documented from the scientific point of view, whilst this type of 444 information could contribute to the development of relevant solutions contributing to the fight 445 against plastic pollution.



Fig. 3. Although reuse behaviours are very common in many countries (Innocent Sinthumule
and Helen Mkumbuzi, 2019; United Nations Development Programme, 2018), scientific
publications including the terms 'recycling' and 'behaviour' in their titles are 4 to 5 times more

450 numerous than titles including the terms 'reuse' and 'behaviour' (data from the SCOPUS
451 database for the period 1985-2019, search carried out on 21 January 2020).

452 5. Synthesis and conclusions

453 5.1. The weight of the consequences

454 The use of plastics takes place in a particular context in the history of mankind: that of the 455 consumer society. Thus, the extensive use of plastics combined with a marginal rate of reuse 456 and recycling has generated significant quantities of plastic waste. Some of them are the result 457 of voluntary disposal, while the other has an accidental origin. The intention and manner of 458 disposing of waste are therefore at the heart of the understanding of plastic pollution. Necessity 459 and uselessness are two elements often put forward to explain the disposal of waste. This 460 necessity to discard waste has much to do with the importance of human beings avoiding risks 461 of injury and infection. To this end, communities developed laws that make it easier to maintain 462 a good quality living environment. Thus, in an epidemic context, hygienic trends emerge or 463 become stronger, and this sometimes leads to specific waste management measures. In the 464 absence of an objective measurement of the risks associated with waste, the senses play a 465 fundamental role in the perception of this risk. These senses can lead to disgust, a feeling that 466 plays a very important protective role, especially against pathogens. This feeling is rooted both 467 in innate reflexes, in our culture, but also in our learning. In the case of plastic waste, the 468 associated risks are new, little known by people and the consequences are not very sensitive in 469 everyday life. Thus, the studies carried out on the impact of plastic waste and microplastics 470 show that if they have consequences on humans, these are mostly indirect and therefore not 471 very obvious from an individual point of view. The underestimation of the social and 472 environmental impact of plastic waste disposal is a part of the explanation for this poor 473 management behaviour. Thus, the dumping of plastic in the natural environment too often 474 remains an act whose consequences are considered harmless.

It also means that the perception of plastics pollution can provide positive feedback on our waste management behaviour. A complex balance must therefore exist between the perceived level of pollution and the way we manage plastics waste. A better understanding of the mechanisms of this balance may help to identify new approaches to address plastics pollution.

479 5.2. Behavioural issues

It is also possible to see the discarding of plastic waste in the natural environment as the persistence of ancient waste management behaviours. In developing countries, a shift has taken place in the chemical composition of everyday objects with the replacement of traditional materials by plastic materials. At the same time, persistence in the habits of waste management (being discarded on the periphery of urbanised areas or directly in the natural environment) is observed and illustrated by the dumping of plastics in the environment, even in the most developed countries.

487 Where they exist, management efforts may be limited by a combination internal or external user 488 factors. Internal factors may include selfishness, indifference to the consequences, or hostility 489 to actions that will disrupt user habits or force users to take responsibility. For example, 490 measures aimed at installing infrastructure for the collection, landfilling, incineration or 491 recycling of plastic waste may be the subject of 'not in my backyard' behaviours. The 492 availability of these waste collection points and waste treatment sites is, however, a major lever 493 for reducing uncontrolled dumping of plastics. At the same time, repressive policies to fight 494 against poor waste management behaviour are not very efficient as they are often difficult to 495 make effective. Active policies including, for example, awareness-raising among the 496 population, seem more efficient, but have a cost that is sometimes difficult to justify, especially 497 in the poorest countries.

498 5.3. Ways to reduce waste abandonment

499 Various emerging ways would make it possible to reduce these individual behaviours. One 500 potential path consists of delaying as long as possible the moment when a plastic object is 501 considered useless by its owner. To do this, several lines of thought can be proposed. First of 502 all, facilitate the conversion of the object for another use. This must be considered as soon as 503 the industrialist thinks about the design of the object. The idea is to identify how an object 504 whose initial use is very limited in time (e.g. <1 year), but durable enough to serve for several 505 years, can be diverted from its initial use for other functions. Next, it is necessary to replace 506 single-use objects such as cutlery or plastic bags with objects designed to withstand several 507 dozen or several hundred phases of use. Banning certain single-use plastic objects is now quite 508 common in many countries around the world. It is also necessary to promote the reuse of the 509 material by avoiding, for example, overly complex formulations and additives that are 510 dangerous for humans and the environment. Finally, it is necessary to restore the value of 511 plastics (e.g. taxation of the plastics that have the greatest impact on man and the environment). 512 Increasing the value of plastic waste and turning it into a source of economic income is an 513 interesting way of encouraging more virtuous behaviour.

It is also important to be able to identify the authors of waste abandonment. For many stakeholders, this issue is an important barrier in the fight against illegal dumping of plastic waste. A reflection should therefore be conducted on the issue of individual traceability of plastic waste in order to identify its last owner. A data file associating the owner and an individual barcode present on the plastic packaging could, in accordance with state legislation, be a relatively simple way for field actors to identify the last owner of a waste.

520 The factors that control our individual plastic waste management behaviours are numerous and 521 others would benefit from further research. One example is the impact of public policies on our 522 plastic packaging management behaviours. It would also be very interesting having a more 523 global vision of the cultural elements that promote or discourage virtuous waste management 524 behaviours. The acquisition of new scientific knowledge on the issue of plastic pollution is 525 fundamental to determine the most relevant solutions. However, if the dynamics are well 526 underway in the 'hard' sciences, the contributions of the humanities and social sciences remain 527 comparatively marginal on this theme. An important investment in favour of the humanities 528 and social sciences applied to the problem of plastic pollution therefore appears indispensable. 529 Garbage archaeology applies the principle of the study of the rubbish testimonies (Rathje and 530 Murphy, 2001). In this sense, garbage is a legacy and a study topic for the knowledge of the 531 way of life of our ancestors. Our descendants will have to face a daunting legacy and will seek 532 the reasons why we were unable to find strategies to effectively solve the problem of plastic 533 end of life.

534 Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the OceanWise project that made this publication possible.
OceanWise is funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) INTERREG
Atlantic Area, under Priority Axis 4: Enhancing biodiversity and the natural and cultural assets.

538 References

539 ADEME, ECOGEOS, 2019. Caractérisation de la problématique des déchets sauvages. Angers.

540 Agamuthu, P., Mehran, S.B., Norkhairah, A., Norkhairiyah, A., 2019. Marine debris: A review

- 541 of impacts and global initiatives. Waste Manag. Res. 37, 987–1002.
  542 https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X19845041
- Amaral-Zettler, L.A., Zettler, E.R., Slikas, B., Boyd, G.D., Melvin, D.W., Morrall, C.E.,
  Proskurowski, G., Mincer, T.J., 2015. The biogeography of the Plastisphere: implications
  for policy. Front. Ecol. Environ. 13, 541–546. https://doi.org/10.1890/150017
- 546 Bakir, A., Rowland, S.J., Thompson, R.C., 2012. Competitive sorption of persistent organic

- pollutants onto microplastics in the marine environment. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 64, 2782–2789.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.09.010
- 549 Ballerini, T., Le Pen, J.-R., Andrady, A., Cole, M., Galgani, F., Kedzierski, M., Pedrotti, M.-
- 550 L., Ter Halle, A., Van Arkel, K., Zettler, E., Amaral-Zettler, L., Brandon, J., Bruzaud, S.,
- 551 Durand, G., Enevoldsen, E., Eriksen, M., Fabre, P., Fossi, M.-C., Frère, L., Avio, C.G.,
- 552 Hardesty, D., Jambeck, J., Lavender-Law, K., Mansui, J., Nafrechoux, E., Schmidt, C.,
- 553 Sempéré, R., Thiel, M., Thompson, R., Wilcox, C., Wong-Wha-Chung, P., 2018. Plastic
- 554 pollution in the ocean: what we know and what we don't know about.
- 555 Barr, S., Gilg, A., Ford, N., 2005. Defining the multi-dimensional aspects of household waste
- 556 management: A study of reported behavior in Devon. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 45, 172–
- 557 192. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2004.12.007
- 558 Barton, N., 2002. The lateglacial or late and final upper palaeolithic colonization of britain, in:
- 559 Hunter, J., Ralston, I. (Eds.), The Archaeology of Britain. Routledge, p. 13.
- 560 Berger, K., 2003. A Brief History of Packaging. EDIS 2003.
- 561 Bertolini, G., 2002. Déchets et archéologie. Déchets, Sci. Tech.
  562 https://doi.org/10.4267/dechets-sciences-techniques.2344
- Besseling, E., Wang, B., Lürling, M., Koelmans, A., 2014. Nanoplastic affects growth of S.
  obliquus and reproduction of D. magna. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 12336–12343.
- 565 Bevan, A., 2014. Mediterranean containerization. Curr. Archaeol. 44, 387–418.
- 566 Boerger, C.M., Lattin, G.L., Moore, S.L., Moore, C.J., 2010. Plastic ingestion by planktivorous
- fishes in the North Pacific Central Gyre. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 60, 2275–2278.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.08.007
- 569 Boucher, C., Morin, M., Bendell, L.I., 2016. The influence of cosmetic microbeads on the

- 570 sorptive behavior of cadmium and lead within intertidal sediments: A laboratory study.
- 571 Reg. Stud. Mar. Sci. 3, 1–7. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2015.11.009
- Brandon, J.A., Jones, W., Ohman, M.D., 2019. Multidecadal increase in plastic particles in
  coastal ocean sediments. Sci. Adv. 5, eaax0587. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax0587
- 574 Brennecke, D., Duarte, B., Paiva, F., Caçador, I., Canning-Clode, J., 2016. Microplastics as 575 vector for heavy metal contamination from the marine environment. Estuar. Coast. Shelf
- 576 Sci. 178, 189–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.12.003
- 577 Buck, J.C., Weinstein, S.B., Young, H.S., 2018. Ecological and Evolutionary Consequences of
  578 Parasite Avoidance. Trends Ecol. Evol. 33, 619–632.
  579 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.05.001
- Cheng, C., Urpelainen, J., 2015. Who should take the garbage out? Public opinion on waste
  management in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Habitat Int. 46, 111–118.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2014.11.001
- Colabuono, F.I., Barquete, V., Domingues, B.S., Montone, R.C., 2009. Plastic ingestion by
  Procellariiformes in Southern Brazil. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 58, 93–96.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.08.020
- Cole, M., Lindeque, P.K., Fileman, E.S., Halsband, C., Galloway, T.S., 2015. The impact of
  polystyrene microplastics on feeding, function and fecundity in the marine copepod
  Calanus helgolandicus. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 1130–1137.
- 589 Corral-Verdugo, V., 2003. Situational and personal determinants of waste control practices in
  590 northern Mexico: a study of reuse and recycling behaviors. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 39,
- 591 265–281. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-3449(03)00032-6
- 592 Curtis, V.A., 2014. Infection-avoidance behaviour in humans and other animals. Trends

- 593 Immunol. 35, 457–464. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2014.08.006
- 594 Curtis, V.A., 2007. Dirt, disgust and disease: a natural history of hygiene. J. Epidemiol.
  595 Community Health 61, 660–664. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2007.062380
- 596 Davies, A.R., 2016. The Geographies of Garbage Governance. Routledge.
  597 https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315557755
- 598 Department for Economic and Social Information and Policy Analysis, 1997. Glossary of
  599 Environment Statistics, Studies in. ed. United Nations, New York, NY.
- Dietz, T., Dan, A., Shwom, R., 2007. Support for Climate Change Policy: Social Psychological
  and Social Structural Influences\*. Rural Sociol. 72, 185–214.
  https://doi.org/10.1526/003601107781170026
- 603 Doyle, T.J., Bowman, J.L., Windell, V.L., McLean, D.J., Kim, K.H., 2013. Transgenerational 604 Effects of Di-(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate on Testicular Germ Cell Associations and 605 Spermatogonial Stem Cells in Mice. Biol. Reprod. 88. 112. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.112.106104 606
- Dussud, C., Meistertzheim, A.L., Conan, P., Pujo-Pay, M., George, M., Fabre, P., Coudane, J.,
  Higgs, P., Elineau, A., Pedrotti, M.L., Gorsky, G., Ghiglione, J.F., 2018. Evidence of niche
  partitioning among bacteria living on plastics, organic particles and surrounding
  seawaters. Environ. Pollut. 236, 807–816.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.12.027
- 612 European Union, 2008. DIRECTIVE 2008/98/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND
  613 OF THE COUNCIL.
- Fossi, M.C., Coppola, D., Baini, M., Giannetti, M., Guerranti, C., Marsili, L., Panti, C., de
  Sabata, E., Clò, S., 2014. Large filter feeding marine organisms as indicators of

- microplastic in the pelagic environment: The case studies of the Mediterranean basking
  shark (Cetorhinus maximus) and fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus). Mar. Environ. Res.
  100, 17–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2014.02.002
- 619 Fossi, M.C., Marsili, L., Baini, M., Giannetti, M., Coppola, D., Guerranti, C., Caliani, I.,
- 620 Minutoli, R., Lauriano, G., Finoia, M.G., Rubegni, F., Panigada, S., Bérubé, M., Urbán
- Ramírez, J., Panti, C., 2016. Fin whales and microplastics: The Mediterranean Sea and the
  Sea of Cortez scenarios. Environ. Pollut. 209, 68–78.
  https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.11.022
- 624 Fossi, M.C., Panti, C., Guerranti, C., Coppola, D., Giannetti, M., Marsili, L., Minutoli, R., 2012.
- Are baleen whales exposed to the threat of microplastics? A case study of the
  Mediterranean fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 64, 2374–2379.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.08.013
- Free, C.M., Jensen, O.P., Mason, S.A., Eriksen, M., Williamson, N.J., Boldgiv, B., 2014. Highlevels of microplastic pollution in a large, remote, mountain lake. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 85,

630 156–163. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.06.001

- Frère, L., Maignien, L., Chalopin, M., Huvet, A., Rinnert, E., Morrison, H., Kerninon, S.,
  Cassone, A.-L., Lambert, C., Reveillaud, J., Paul-Pont, I., 2018. Microplastic bacterial
  communities in the Bay of Brest: Influence of polymer type and size. Environ. Pollut. 242,
- 634 614–625. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.07.023
- 635 Galafassi, S., Nizzetto, L., Volta, P., 2019. Plastic sources: A survey across scientific and grey
- 636 literature for their inventory and relative contribution to microplastics pollution in natural
- 637 environments, with an emphasis on surface water. Sci. Total Environ. 693, 133499.
- 638 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.305
- 639 Geyer, R., Jambeck, J.R., Law, K.L., 2017. Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made.

- 640 Sci. Adv. 3, e1700782. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782
- 641 Gifford, R., 2011. The Dragons of Inaction: Psychological Barriers That Limit Climate Change
  642 Mitigation and Adaptation, American Psychologist. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023566
- Haeusler, L., Saidi Boroujeni, S., Mathery, C., Heyberger-Paroisse, A., 2017. Déchets chiffresclés.
- 645 Hamid, S.H., 2000. Handbook of Polymer Degradation, Environmental Science & Pollution.
  646 CRC Press.
- 647 Hamid, S.H., Amin, M.B., 1995. Lifetime prediction of polymers. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 55,
  648 1385–1394. https://doi.org/10.1002/app.1995.070551003
- Hart, B.L., 1990. Behavioral adaptations to pathogens and parasites: Five strategies. Neurosci.
  Biobehav. Rev. 14, 273–294. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S01497634(05)80038-7
- Havlíček, F., Morcinek, M., 2016. Waste and Pollution in the Ancient Roman Empire. J.
  Landsc. Ecol. 9, 33–49. https://doi.org/10.1515/jlecol-2016-0013
- Holmes, L.A., Turner, A., Thompson, R.C., 2014. Interactions between trace metals and plastic
  production pellets under estuarine conditions. Mar. Chem. 167, 25–32.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2014.06.001
- Holmes, L.A., Turner, A., Thompson, R.C., 2012. Adsorption of trace metals to plastic resin
  pellets in the marine environment. Environ. Pollut. 160, 42–48.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.08.052
- 660 Hopewell, J., Dvorak, R., Kosior, E., 2009. Plastics recycling: challenges and opportunities.
- 661 Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 364, 2115–2126. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0311

- Innocent Sinthumule, N., Helen Mkumbuzi, S., 2019. Participation in Community-Based Solid
  Waste Management in Nkulumane Suburb, Bulawayo, Zimbabwe, Resources.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/resources8010030
- 665 Jeddi, M.Z., Rastkari, N., Ahmadkhaniha, R., Yunesian, M., 2015. Concentrations of phthalates
- 666 in bottled water under common storage conditions: Do they pose a health risk to children?
- 667 Food Res. Int. 69, 256–265. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.11.057
- Kaplan, B.A., Gelino, B.W., Reed, D.D., 2018. A Behavioral Economic Approach to Green
  Consumerism: Demand for Reusable Shopping Bags. Behav. Soc. Issues; Vol 27.
  https://doi.org/10.5210/bsi.v27i0.8003
- Kaseva, M.E., Moirana, J.L., 2009. Problems of solid waste management on Mount
  Kilimanjaro: A challenge to tourism. Waste Manag. Res. 28, 695–704.
  https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X09337655
- Kedzierski, M., D'Almeida, M., Magueresse, A., Le Grand, A., Duval, H., César, G., Sire, O.,
  Bruzaud, S., Le Tilly, V., 2018. Threat of plastic ageing in marine environment.
  Adsorption/desorption of micropollutants. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 127, 684–694.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.12.059
- 678 Lee, J., Hong, S., Song, Y.K., Hong, S.H., Jang, Y.C., Jang, M., Heo, N.W., Han, G.M., Lee,
- 679 M.J., Kang, D., Shim, W.J., 2013. Relationships among the abundances of plastic debris
- 680 in different size classes on beaches in South Korea. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 77, 349–354.
- 681 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.08.013
- 682 Leguay, J.-P., 1999. La pollution au Moyen Âge, Jean-Paul. ed. Paris.
- Li, W.C., Tse, H.F., Fok, L., 2016. Plastic waste in the marine environment: A review of
  sources, occurrence and effects. Sci. Total Environ. 566–567, 333–349.

- Manikkam, M., Tracey, R., Guerrero-Bosagna, C., Skinner, M.K., 2013. Plastics Derived
  Endocrine Disruptors (BPA, DEHP and DBP) Induce Epigenetic Transgenerational
  Inheritance of Obesity, Reproductive Disease and Sperm Epimutations. PLoS One 8,
  e55387. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055387
- 690 Miller, D.A., 2009. Garbage and Recycling.
- 691 Nicolau, L., Marcalo, A., Ferreira, M., Sa, S., Vingada, J., Eira, C., 2016. Ingestion of marine
- 692 litter by loggerhead sea turtles, Caretta caretta, in Portuguese continental waters. Mar.
- 693 Pollut. Bull. 103, 179–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.12.021
- Paek, H.-J., Pan, Z., 2004. Spreading Global Consumerism: Effects of Mass Media and
  Advertising on Consumerist Values in China. Mass Commun. Soc. 7, 491–515.
  https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327825mcs0704\_7
- 697 Peña, J.T., 2007. Roman Pottery in the Archaeological Record. Cambridge University Press,
  698 Cambridge. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511499685
- 699 PlasticsEurope, 2018. Plastics the Facts 2018.
- PlasticsEurope, 2015. Plastics the Facts 2015. An analysis of European plastics production,
  demand and waste data. Plast. Eur. Assoc. Plast. Manuf. Brussels 1–30.
- PlasticsEurope, 2013. Plastics the Facts 2013. An analysis of European latest plastics
  production, demand and waste data. PlasticsEurope.
- 704 PlasticsEurope, 2012. Plastic Packaging: Born to protect. Brussels.
- Pruter, A.T., 1987. Sources, quantities and distribution of persistent plastics in the marine
  environment. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 18, 305–310.

707

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(87)80016-4

- Rathje, W.L., Murphy, C., 2001. Rubbish!: The Archaeology of Garbage. University of Arizona
  Press.
- 710 Retama, I., Jonathan, M.P., Shruti, V.C., Velumani, S., Sarkar, S.K., Roy, P.D., Rodriguez-
- Espinosa, P.F., 2016. Microplastics in tourist beaches of Huatulco Bay, Pacific coast of
  southern Mexico. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 113, 530–535.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.08.053
- Rice, P.M., 1999. On the Origins of Pottery. J. Archaeol. Method Theory 6, 1–54.
  https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022924709609
- Rios, L.M., Moore, C., Jones, P.R., 2007. Persistent organic pollutants carried by synthetic
  polymers in the ocean environment. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 54, 1230–1237.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2007.03.022
- Risch, S.J., 2009. Food Packaging History and Innovations. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 8089–
  8092. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf900040r
- Rist, S., Carney Almroth, B., Hartmann, N.B., Karlsson, T.M., 2018. A critical perspective on
  early communications concerning human health aspects of microplastics. Sci. Total
  Environ. 626, 720–726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.092
- 724 Santos, I.R., Friedrich, A.C., Wallner-Kersanach, M., Fillmann, G., 2005. Influence of socio-
- economic characteristics of beach users on litter generation. Ocean Coast. Manag. 48, 742–
- 726 752. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2005.08.006
- Science Advice for Policy by European Academies, 2019. A scientific Perspective on
  microplastics in nature and society. Berlin.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26356/microplastics

- Stolte, A., Forster, S., Gerdts, G., Schubert, H., 2015. Microplastic concentrations in beach
  sediments along the German Baltic coast. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 99, 216–229.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.07.022
- 733 Stoppani, A., 1873. Corso di Geologia.
- 734 Sussarellu, R., Suquet, M., Thomas, Y., Lambert, C., Fabioux, C., Pernet, M.E., Le Goic, N.,
- 735 Quillien, V., Mingant, C., Epelboin, Y., Corporeau, C., Guyomarch, J., Robbens, J., Paul-
- Pont, I., Soudant, P., Huvet, A., 2016. Oyster reproduction is affected by exposure to
- polystyrene microplastics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113, 2430–2435.
  https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1519019113
- Tadesse, T., Ruijs, A., Hagos, F., 2008. Household waste disposal in Mekelle city, Northern
  Ethiopia. Waste Manag. 28, 2003–2012.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2007.08.015
- Tammemagi, H.Y., 1999. The Waste Crisis: Landfills, Incinerators, and the Search for a
  Sustainable Future.
- 744 Turpin, E., Federighi, V., 2012. A new element, a new force, a new input: Antonio Stoppani's
- Anthropozoic, in: Kruse, E.E.& J. (Ed.), Making the Geologic Now. Punctum books,
  Brooklyn, United States, pp. 34–41.
- 747 UNDP, 2019. Human Development Report 2019. Beyond income, beyond averages, beyond
  748 today:Inequalities in human development in the 21st century. New York.
- 749 United Nations, 2019. World Population Prospects 2019. Volume II: Demographic Profiles.
  750 New York.
- 751 United Nations, 2018. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision. New York.
- 752 United Nations, 2017. World Population Prospects: The 2017 Revision, Key Findings and

753 Advance Tables. New York.

- United Nations Development Programme, 2018. Human development indices and indicators,
  2018 statistical update. New York, NY.
- van der Linden, S., Maibach, E., Leiserowitz, A., 2015. Improving Public Engagement With
- 757 Climate Change: Five "Best Practice" Insights From Psychological Science. Perspect.
- 758 Psychol. Sci. 10, 758–763. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691615598516
- Vandiver, P.B., Soffer, O., Klima, B., Svoboda, J., 1989. The Origins of Ceramic Technology
  at Dolni Věstonice, Czechoslovakia. Science (80-.). 246, 1002–1008.
  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.246.4933.1002
- Watts, A.J., Urbina, M.A., Corr, S., Lewis, C., Galloway, T.S., 2015. Ingestion of Plastic
  Microfibers by the Crab Carcinus maenas and Its Effect on Food Consumption and Energy
  Balance. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 14597–14604.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04026
- Weinstein, S.B., Buck, J.C., Young, H.S., 2018. A landscape of disgust. Science (80-.). 359,
  1213–1214. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aas8694
- Wright, S.L., Thompson, R.C., Galloway, T.S., 2013. The physical impacts of microplastics on
  marine organisms: a review. Environ. Pollut. 178, 483–492.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.02.031
- Wu, X., Zhang, C., Goldberg, P., Cohen, D., Pan, Y., Arpin, T., Bar-Yosef, O., 2012. Early
- Pottery at 20,000 Years Ago in Xianrendong Cave, China. Science (80-. ). 336, 1696–
  1700. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1218643
- Yukalang, N., Clarke, B., Ross, K., 2018. Solid Waste Management Solutions for a Rapidly
  Urbanizing Area in Thailand: Recommendations Based on Stakeholder Input,

- 776 International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.
  777 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15071302
- 778 Zettler, E.R., Mincer, T.J., Amaral-Zettler, L.A., 2013. Life in the "plastisphere": Microbial
- communities on plastic marine debris. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 7137–7146.
- 780 https://doi.org/10.1021/es401288x

