

Antifungal activity of Brazilian red propolis extract and isolation of bioactive fractions by thin-layer chromatography-bioautography

Auriane Dudoit, Christian Mertz, Marc Chillet, Nicolas Cardinault, Pierre

Brat

▶ To cite this version:

Auriane Dudoit, Christian Mertz, Marc Chillet, Nicolas Cardinault, Pierre Brat. Antifungal activity of Brazilian red propolis extract and isolation of bioactive fractions by thin-layer chromatography-bioautography. Food Chemistry, 2020, 327, pp.127060 -. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127060 . hal-03490752

HAL Id: hal-03490752 https://hal.science/hal-03490752v1

Submitted on 22 Aug2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308814620309225 Manuscript_0060b2543b5100adb344db8eae15dbea

1	Antifungal activity of Brazilian red propolis extract and isolation of
2	bioactive fractions by thin-layer chromatography-bioautography
3	
4	Auriane DUDOIT ^{a,b,d} , Christian MERTZ ^b , Marc CHILLET ^c , Nicolas CARDINAULT ^d ,
5	Pierre BRAT ^{b,*}
6	^a Université de Montpellier, Place Eugène Bataillon, Montpellier, France
7	^b CIRAD, UMR Qualisud, F-34398 Montpellier, France
8	^c CIRAD, UMR Qualisud, Station de Ligne Paradis, F-97410 Saint-Pierre, La Réunion,
9	France
10	^d Société Pollenergie, Saint-Hilaire-de-Lusignan, France
11	* Corresponding author: Tel.: +33467614461. E-mail address: pierre.brat@cirad.fr
12	
13	Abstract

Objectives. This study set out to highlight the *in vitro* and *in vivo* antifungal activity of an 14 Ethanolic Extract of Red Brazilian Propolis (EERBP) and identify bioactive fractions 15 effective against Colletotrichum musae. Methods. Active fractions were detected by the thin-16 layer chromatography-bioautography method and characterised by HPLC-MSⁿ. Results. The 17 in vitro results showed that EERBP had strong antifungal properties against C. musae (81 ± 18 1% inhibition at 1.6 g GAE L⁻¹). Medicarpin, (3*S*)-vestitol and (3*S*)-neovestitol were the main 19 compounds identified in the EERBP extract (45% of all detected peaks). Two isolated 20 fractions displayed inhibition percentages of 35 ± 4 and $42 \pm 1\%$, respectively, on C. musae 21 22 mycelial growth compared to the EERBP extract. The biological activity of the two fractions

- 23 displayed an additive effect. **Conclusion.** A further *in vivo* investigation revealed that EERBP
- 24 is a potential natural alternative for controlling banana crown rot.

25 Keywords

- 26 Propolis; Antifungal; Polyphenols; Thin-layer chromatography-bioautography;
- 27 *Colletotrichum musae;* Banana

1. Introduction

Storage disease of fruits and vegetables cause highly significant economic losses each year. 30 Colletotrichum sp. causes substantial damage throughout the world in tropical, subtropical 31 and temperate regions. It colonizes a wide range of hosts, such as cereals, vegetables, coffee, 32 or tropical fruits (banana, mango, papaya, etc.) (Latunde-Dada, 2001). Colletotrichum musae 33 (Berk. and Curt.) is the most important pathogen on green fruits and ripe bananas 34 (Priyadarshanie & Vengadaramana, 2015). Crown rot is caused by the development of a 35 36 parasitic complex, mainly of mycotic origin, but other microorganisms, such as bacteria, may 37 also control the spread of crown rot. Many fungi are involved in this pathology. They include C. musae, Fusarium, such as Fusarium moniliforme, Fusarium oxysporum, Fusarium 38 verticillioides, or Botryodiplodia theobromae. Around twenty plant pathogenic fungi have 39 been isolated from banana crown rot (Wallbridge, 1981). Symptoms usually appear when the 40 41 fruit ripens, and losses can be huge.

The plant protection products currently used to limit and prevent losses caused by plant pathogenic fungi are synthetic fungicides. Risks to the environment and to their users, plus the fact that no resistance phenomena have been noted in the targeted pathogens, are major issues for the development of these natural products.

46 Propolis, a complex, natural resinous substance, is harvested by honeybees (Apis mellifera L.) from exudates of leaves, buds, bark, or leaves of trees and shrubs. Its chemical composition is 47 complex and mainly depends on the botanical species and geographical origin from which the 48 49 resinous substances are collected. It is rich in polyphenolic compounds (mainly flavonoid compounds and phenolic acids) and has many scientifically demonstrated pharmacobiological 50 properties associated with it, such as its antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antitumour or 51 antimicrobial properties (Burdock, 1998). Despite the diversity of compound classes with 52 antifungal potential, all the propolis extracts described in the literature prove to be effective 53

against various phytopathogenic moulds, such as *Aspergillus* sp., *Fusarium* sp., *Penicillium*sp., *Botrytis cinerea*, or *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. Consequently, propolis would appear
to be a potentially interesting candidate for postharvest treatment.

Despite its great biodiversity, five types of propolis predominate in the research and industrial sector. Of them, Brazilian red propolis from *Dalbergia ecastophyllum* has attracted considerable attention for its biological properties, which include antioxidant (Alencar et al., 2007), antibacterial (Rufatto et al., 2018), antimicrobial (Alencar et al., 2007) and antitumour (Rosales et al., 2019) activities. The antifungal properties of Brazilian red propolis (mainly on *Candida* species) have also been studied (das Neves, da Silva, de Oliveira Lima, da Cunha, & Oliveira, 2016; Pippi et al., 2015).

Two other research studies highlighted the marked antifungal activity of an ethanolic extract
of propolis (China & commercial ethanolic extract from São Paulo, Brazil) against 2 strains of *C. gloeosporioides* (Ali, Cheong, & Zahid, 2014; Mattiuz et al., 2015).

Postharvest spoilage of citrus fruits is most commonly caused by Penicillium species. Iraqi 67 EEP (2 and 3%) inhibited the growth of *Penicillium digitatum* on oranges (Matny, 2015). 68 (Matny, Al-warshan, & Ali, 2015) also noted the efficacy of Iraqi EEP (3%) against 69 Penicillium apple decay. A green Brazilian EEP treatment reduced the moulded area 70 (Penicillium expansum) to 66.8% compared to untreated fruits. Similar results have been 71 72 found for the efficacy of postharvest treatments using extracts of green propolis (Brazil) against powdery mildew on naturally inoculated tomato leaves (Moraes, Jesus Junior, Belan, 73 Peixoto, & Pereira, 2011). The main and original characteristic of this type of red propolis is 74 that it is rich in specific isoflavones, isoflavonoids (isoflavans, isoflavones and pterocarpans), 75 triterpenic alcohols, phenylpropene derivatives (Sforcin & Bankova, 2011; Trusheva et al., 76 2006) chalcone (Piccinelli et al., 2011) and polyprenylated benzophenones (Piccinelli et al., 77 2011; Trusheva et al., 2006). 78

Thin-Layer Chromatography (TLC)-bioautography can be used to carry out phytochemical 79 80 screening of complex plant extracts with a view to identifying biologically active compounds. It is a planar chromatographic analysis coupled with a method of demonstrating biological 81 82 activity (antifungal, antibacterial, antiprotozoal, antitumour) (Marston, 2011). Direct bioautographic assaying using TLC plates was chosen because it plays an important role in 83 the search for active compounds from plants, providing quick access to information about 84 both the activity and the localisation of the activity in complex plant matrices (Marston, 85 2011). Yang et al. (2011) used a bioassay-guided fractionation technique to show the 86 antifungal activity of four compounds present in an ethyl acetate fraction (E-Fr) of Chinese 87 propolis. Bioautographic assays of an E-Fr extract (200 mg L⁻¹, weight of crude 88 propolis/volume of solvent) led them to identify four active zones. Among them, in the most 89 active band, pinobanksin, pinocembrin, chrysin and galangin were then identified by HPLC-90 91 MS/MS and were shown to be effective against P. digitatum, citrus blue mould.

To our knowledge, there are no reports in the literature on the antifungal activity of Brazilian red propolis against *C. musae*. The aim of this study was to highlight the *in vitro* and *in vivo* antifungal activity of an Ethanolic Extract of Red Brazilian Propolis (EERBP). In an attempt to go further in the mechanistic understanding, isoflavonoid compounds were chemically characterised by HPLC-MS, and bioactive fractions were isolated/identified by TLCbioautography on *C. musae*.

98

99 **2. Materials and methods**

100 **2.1. Reagents and chemicals**

3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), formic acid, petroleum
ether, sodium carbonate, Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, saccharose, magnesium sulfate (Mg₂SO₄),

potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄), glycerol, ethanol and acetonitrile were purchased 103 from SIGMA-ALDRICH (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France) and the purity of both solvents 104 was of HPLC quality. Bacto-Peptone, bacteriological agar type E and Yeast Extract were 105 106 obtained from (Difco, Saint-Ferréol, France). Liquiritigenin, isoliquiritigenin, formononetin and biochanin A were purchased from Extrasynthese (Genay, France), vestitol and medicarpin 107 from Centre Technique de la Conservation des Produits Agricoles (CTCPA, Avignon, 108 France), and Ortiva® and Fungaflor® 75C from Les producteurs de Guadeloupe (LPG, 109 110 Guadeloupe, France).

111

112 **2.2. Propolis samples**

Crude red propolis was provided by the Pollenergie company (Agen, France). The botanical
origin of this propolis sample, collected in Brazil, was *Dalbergia ecastophyllum*. A frozen,
raw propolis sample was homogenised into a fine powder in a mixer (Thermomix Vorwerk,
France) with liquid nitrogen (Air Liquide, Paris, France). Aliquots (100 g) were then stored at
-80°C pending processing.

118

119

2.3. Fungal strain and culture preparation

Fungal strains of *C. musae* (Co-GLP 40), provided by UMR BGPI (CIRAD, Montpellier, France), were isolated from crown rot disease of Guadeloupean bananas. *C. musae* was maintained on Potato-Dextrose Agar plates (PDA) (SIGMA-ALDRICH, Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France) at 25°C. To obtain conidia, the fungus was grown to sporulate on sterilised modified Mathur's medium containing 10 g saccharose, 1 g bacto peptone, 15 g bacteriological agar type E, 1 g yeast extract, 2.5 g Mg₂SO₄ x 7 H₂O and 2.7 g KH₂PO₄ per litre of distilled water, for 14 days at 25°C (Lassois et al., 2010). A conidial suspension was 127 cryogenically stored in water-diluted glycerol (15%) in sterilised cryotubes at -80°C pending
128 biological assays.

129 **2.4. Preparation of EERBP**

An aliquot of crude propolis (10 g) was dissolved with 50 mL of 70% ethanol. The mixture 130 was protected from light and subjected to moderate shaking for 1 h, at room temperature. The 131 resulting aqueous ethanolic extract was filtered by Whatman filter paper (No. 4) (Dutscher, 132 Issy-les-Moulineaux, France). The residue underwent secondary extraction with the same 133 proportions as the first. Lastly, the two extracts were mixed and topped up to 100 mL with 134 70% ethanol. This final solution, called Ethanolic Extract of Red Brazilian Propolis (code 135 name: EERBP) was stored at -20°C pending use for analytical and antifungal assays. For a 136 137 polyphenol analysis using HPLC, an aliquot of EERBP was filtered through a membrane (0.45 µm pore size) before injection. 138

139

140 **2.5.** Qualitative analysis: HPLC-ESI/MS assay for polyphenolic compounds

The analysis conditions were based on the Biesaga (2011) study, with modifications. The 141 142 system used to analyse polyphenolic compounds was composed of a high-performance liquid chromatograph (model: Finnigan Surveyor, Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA, USA), with a 143 Diode Array Detector (DAD) (model: UV6000LP, Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA, USA), LC 144 pumps (model: P4000, Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA, USA) and an autosampler (model: 145 146 AS3000, Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA, USA). A C18 ACE column (250 x 4 mm, 5 µm 147 particle size) (AIT, Houilles, France) was used as a stationary phase, and a mixture of water with 0.1% formic acid (v/v) (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B) as a mobile phase. The 148 149 initial injection conditions were 3% B. A gradient programme was then run: 0-10 min, 15% 150 B; 10-25 min, 30% B; 25-40 min, 40% B; 40-60 min, 60% B; 60-80 min, 90% B; 80-85 min,

90% B; 85-87 min, 100% B; 87-92 min, 100% B; 92-95 min, 25% B; 95-97 min, 3% B; 97-151 110 min, 3% B. The flow rate was fixed at 1 mL min⁻¹ and the column temperature was set at 152 30°C. The injection volume was 10 µL and detection was monitored at 280, 330 and 360 nm. 153 After passing through the flow cell of the DAD, the column eluate was split and 0.5 mL min⁻¹ 154 was directed to an LCQ ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionisation 155 (ESI) interface (Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA, USA). Experiments were carried out in 156 negative ion mode. The scan range was 90 to 1500 Da. The desolvation temperature was set 157 to 300°C. Both apparata were controlled and monitored by XCalibur acquisition software 158 (Thermo Fischer Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). Polyphenols were identified on the basis of 159 diode array spectral characteristics, retention times and relative elution order compared to 160 standards and literature data. The identification of some polyphenols was confirmed using the 161 standard addition method. 162

163

164 2.6. Quantitative analysis: HPLC-DAD assay for polyphenolic compounds

Polyphenols were analysed by HPLC using an Agilent Technologies 1200 Series (Santa Clara, CA, USA). The column, solvents, detection and gradient conditions were the same as those used in the mass spectrometry analysis. The injection volume was 20 µL. Absorbance was performed with a G1315 photodiode array detector. Agilent Chemstation (Rev.B.02.01) software was used for data analysis. Polyphenols were quantified using external standardisation. Each analysis was carried out in triplicate.

171

172

2.7. Determination of total polyphenol content

The total polyphenols in the ethanolic extract of propolis were estimated by an optimised
Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric method, as described by Georgé, Brat, Alter, & Amiot (2005). A
2.5 mL sample of water-diluted Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (1/10: v/v) was added to the water-

diluted EERBP (1/50: v/v). The mixture was incubated for 2 min at room temperature, and 2
mL of sodium carbonate (75 g L⁻¹) was added. The mixture was incubated for 15 min at 50°C
and finally cooled in a water-ice bath. Specific absorbance was immediately measured at 760
nm using a Specord S600 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Analytik jena – Saint Aubin, France).
All tests were carried out in triplicate and the results were expressed as Gallic Acid
Equivalents (GAE).

182

183 2.8. TLC bioautography study: detection and identification of antifungal compounds 184 in propolis extract

Preliminary tests showed that a toluene/acetone mixture of medium polarity (3/1: v/v) was the appropriate elution solvent system to achieve good separation of the compounds present in our extract on TLC plates. Development was carried out after spraying a universal chemical developer (vanillin) on the surface of the developed TLC plate, after heating for 10 min at 110°C (Figure 2 - a).

190

191 **2.8.1.** TLC analysis

192 The isolation of antifungal compounds from the ethanol extract was guided using the TLC-bioautography agar overlay method, as described by Dissanayake, Ito, & Akakabe 193 (2015), with modifications. Five μ L of EERBP solution (12.8 ± 0.4 g GAE L⁻¹) was directly 194 deposited on normal phase Silica Gel 60 F254 TLC plates (10 x 20 cm) (CAMAG, Muttenz, 195 Switzerland), using a CAMAG LINOMAT 5 automatic sample applicator (Muttenz, 196 197 Switzerland). The application (in bands) was carried out on 6 mm long strips, 7 mm from the lower edge of the plate. All these application parameters were controlled using WinCATS 198 Planar Chromatography Manager software (Muttenz, Switzerland). 199

The TLC plates were developed in a CAMAG ADC2 automatic development chamber (Muttenz, Switzerland). Twenty-five mL of elution solvent (toluene/acetone: 3/1: v/v) was first introduced into the automatic development chamber for the first saturation step for 20 min. Then, 10 mL of the same solvent was introduced into a second chamber for the isocratic development (or elution) step, until the solvent front reached 2 cm from the top of the plates. The developed TLC plates were then removed from the chamber and allowed to air-dry for 48 hours.

A hundred mL of a 1% (w/v) vanillin solution was dissolved in 95% ethanol, then 5 mL of concentrated sulphuric acid was added dropwise to the solution (universal sulphuric vanillin reagent). TLC plates can be chemically revealed by spraying this reagent and heating to 110°C for 10 minutes before reading.

211

212 **2.8.2.** Detection of active fractions by the bioautographic agar overlay method

The TLC plates were each covered with the agar inoculum to obtain the bioautograms. This 213 was done by adding 90 mL of fungal inoculum (3.3 x 10⁶ conidia mL⁻¹) to 210 mL of sterile 214 PDA agar medium while still liquid (~40°C), and homogenising by magnetic stirring. The 215 developed TLC plates were each placed in the centre of a 25 x 25 cm Petri dish. The fungal 216 suspension, in still liquid agar, was poured into the Petri dish. A thin layer of 1 to 2 mm then 217 covered the TLC plates and quickly gelled. The TLC plates thus in contact with the inoculum 218 219 (bioautogram) were incubated for 4 days at $25^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$ in a climatic chamber with 85%220 relative humidity. Lastly, the bioautograms were chemically developed by spraying a MTT solution (2.5 g L⁻¹) and incubating for 4 h at 25°C. MTT, an aqueous solution of tetrazolium 221 222 salt (yellow solution), is reduced by the succinate dehydrogenase (a mitochondrial enzyme) from living fungal cells of C. musae to purple formazan crystals (Lim, Loh, Ting, Bradshaw, 223 & Allaudin, 2015). The intensity of the purple coloration is proportional to the concentration 224

of living cells. In the absence of mitochondrial activity due to cell lysis, the tetrazolium salt is not reduced. The colour of this area remains yellowish-white, indicating the presence of biologically active compounds.

Active bands were thus observed as white bands on a purple background. The relative front value (Rf) was calculated as Rf = distance travelled by solute / distance travelled by solvent.

230

231 **2.8.3.** Desorption of bioactive compounds identified by bioautography

One part of the TLC plate was set aside (non-bioautographed/uncoated with an agar medium containing inoculum) and a second was used to highlight the areas of the biologically active plate by bioautography (Supplemnentary material). The Rf values of the white areas highlighted by MTT on the treated plates were used to identify the active areas on the untreated plates. Bioactive compounds identified in this area were then isolated by desorption of the non-bioautographed TLC plates.

Eighty 5 μL samples of EERBP solution were deposited on TLC plates and developed. Once the active areas were identified by bioautography, silica areas corresponding to active fractions were carefully scraped with a sterilised cutter. In each zone, compounds were then desorbed from the silica by maceration for 45 min in 4 mL of petroleum ether/ethanol solvent (1/1: v/v). The bioactive solution was then filtered on No. 4 Whatman paper (SIGMA-ALDRICH, Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France). All the desorption solvent was removed by nitrogen bubbling. The pellet was finally taken up in 4 mL of 70% ethanol.

The bioactive ethanol fractions were then divided into two parts. The first was analysed by HPLC-DAD and the second was used to study the inhibitory effect on *C. musae* mycelial growth.

248

249

2.9. Antifungal activity assay

250 **2.9.1.** Preparation of conidial suspension

Before use, the homogeneous *C. musae* conidial suspension was revivified by subcultures in
PDA plates at 25°C for 10 days. After incubation, fungal strains were re-inoculated under the
same conditions. Plates were stored at 4°C pending use.

A suspension of spores was prepared by washing a 10-day-old culture of *C. musae* strains with sterilised, distilled water. The number of conidia in suspension was estimated using a Malassez haemocytometer (Dutscher, Issy-les-Moulineaux, France). Inoculum concentration was adjusted to 10^6 conidia mL⁻¹ with sterilised, distilled water for *in vitro* assays and 10^4 conidia mL⁻¹ for *in vivo* assays.

259

260

2.9.2. In vitro assay: mycelial growth inhibitory effects

261 The *in vitro* inhibitory effects of EERBP against C. *musae* were assessed by mycelial growth inhibition testing using the agar dilution method, as described by Stepanović, Antić, Dakić, & 262 Švabić-Vlahović (2003), with some modifications. For the assay, 19 mL of sterilised PDA 263 264 medium (temperature $< 50^{\circ}$ C) was dissolved in 1 mL of EERBP (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.6 g GAE L⁻¹). Twenty mL of the homogeneous mixture was dispensed into Petri dishes. Plates 265 were dried and stored for a day at room temperature. Each Petri dish plate was then inoculated 266 with a vortexed suspension of C. musae (10 μ L - 10⁶ conidia mL⁻¹). Incubation was carried 267 out at 25°C for a week. The first negative control sets were prepared using 70% ethanol 268 269 instead of EERBP, and the second with agar culture medium only. The two perpendicular 270 diameters (mm) of fungal colonies were measured. Percentage mycelial inhibition was 271 calculated by the following formula. Percentage inhibition = $(D_0 - D_{EERBP})/D_0 \times 100$, where

272 D_0 is the colony diameter of the control sets and D_{EERBP} the colony diameter of treated 273 samples. All tests were carried out in triplicate and the results were averaged.

274

275

5 2.9.3. *In vivo* assay: plant material

Fruits (Cavendish var.) were supplied by a local producer in Manapany (Reunion Island). 276 Sampling was carried out on the same day at CIRAD in Saint-Pierre (Reunion), at the Ligne 277 Paradis station, and stored in a coldroom at 13°C for 16 hours. The bananas came from hands 278 279 II and III (comprising between 18 and 22 fruits) of 30 different bunches. The two external fruits of each hand were discarded. Each hand was cut into five bunches of three fruits. They 280 were used to study the effectiveness of the antifungal treatment against crown rot. Each hand 281 II and III of a plant was considered identical and each bunch was considered as a replicate 282 (Jullien, Malézieux, Michaux-Ferrière, Chillet, & Ney, 2001). For each assay, nine bunches of 283 three fingers were formed, so a bunch constituted a Fisher block (with one replication of each 284 treatment). 285

286

287 **2.9.4.** Controlled inoculation of a banana crown by *C. musae*

Bunches of three fingers were cut off the day before the antifungal treatment. The day of the experiment, crowns were refreshed by cutting all their sides cleanly with a sterile scalpel. The cuts were made in such a way that all the crowns were square and had the same penetration surface. The bunches were then placed in distilled water for a few minutes to allow the latex to drain off.

Fifty μ L of *C. musae* spore suspension (10⁴ conidia mL⁻¹) was deposited on each crown. The spore suspension was stirred manually between inoculations (Lassois et al., 2010). The bunches were then stored for 4 hours at room temperature and the crowns were treated later. 296

297

2.9.5. Application of antifungal treatments on bananas

Seven treatments were applied against crown rot. A control inoculated by *C. musae*, but not treated, was carried out with crowns soaked in water only. The solvent, chemical fungicide and EERBP control treatments were carried out by dipping and spraying, to compare the effectiveness of the two application methods.

The polyphenol content of the ethanolic extract of propolis was 10 g GAE L⁻¹. Lastly, a commercial chemical antifungal agent (Ortiva[®], 2 mL L⁻¹ + Fungaflor[®] 75C, 0.5 g L⁻¹) (Guadeloupe Island, France) was tested against banana crown rot.

The antifungal treatments applied by dipping were carried out for 2 minutes. Spray treatments were carried out with a hand-held sprayer. Three successive sprays were applied to each crown.

308

309 **2.9.6.** Banana storage and transport simulation

Bunches were stored for 10 days in a climatic chamber at 13°C. These special storage conditions thus simulated the shipping of bananas for export from the West Indies to mainland France. Afterwards, to initiate fruit ripening, the bananas were exposed to ethylene treatment at 1000 ppm for 24 hours in a thermoregulated chamber at 19°C, and finally the fruits were stored at 20°C pending evaluation.

315

316 **2.9.7.** Assessment of crown rot development

317 After 10 days of fruit storage, a white cottony mycelial down sometimes appeared on the 318 surface of the crowns. The first assessment of the spread of *C. musae* could begin. This stage

corresponded to fruit ripening. Thus, external mycelium development was determined with
the External Lesion Surface index (SEL). Either no necrosis had developed (no visible
mycelium development) (Level 0), or necrosis was less than 25% of the crown surface area
(Level 1), or it was between 25% and 50% (Level 2), or between 50% and 75% (Level 3), or
lastly, necrosis had developed over more than 75% of the total crown surface area (Level 4)
(de Lapeyre de Bellaire, Chillet, & Chilin-Charles, 2005).

325

326 **2.10.** Statistical data analysis

The inhibitory effects of EERBP on mycelial growth were tested by an analysis of variance (ANOVA) on all the data using XLSTAT software (Addinsoft version 19.01, Paris, France). A Fisher LSD test was carried out and considered P < 0.05 as significant.

330

331 3. Results and discussion

332 **3.1.** Characterisation of the main compounds by HPLC-ESI/MS

A polyphenolic analysis of EERBP (botanical origin: *Dalbergia ecastophyllum*) revealed 38 compounds, as shown in Table 1. Twenty-six of the 38 compounds detected could not be identified.

Peaks 21 and 23 were respectively identified as (3*S*)-vestitol and (3*S*)-neovestitol, two isoflavans, a subclass of the isoflavonoids. Peak 26 was medicarpin, a natural pterocarpan. These phenolic compounds were the main compounds (on the basis of the surface area) identified in the extract, in agreement with Inui et al. (2014).

Peak 6 had a UV-visible spectrum (λ max = 278-314) and a molecular ion at m/z = 255, characteristic of liquiritigenin, an isoflavanone. The secondary ions detected (m/z 153 and

 $m/z 135 ((C_7O_3H_3))$ corresponded to fragment-ions obtained following a retro-Diels-Alder rearrangement (Fabre, Rustan, de Hoffmann, & Quetin-Leclercq, 2001). The identification of liquiritigenin was confirmed by co-injection with an authentic standard. Peak 19 had the same characteristic fragmentations as liquiritigenin. Only the maximum absorbance at wavelengths 248 nm and 373 nm differed (presence of a hydroxyl group at position 4 of the B ring). The compound identified and confirmed by co-injection was isoliquiritigenin, a position isomer of liquiritigenin.

349 Two methoxylated isoflavones were identified and confirmed by co-injection with 350 commercial standards: formononetin (peak 20) and biochanin A (peak 32). The specificity of 351 these two compounds is the loss of the CH₃ group (-15 Da) during MS^2 type fragmentation.

An isoflavone, calycosin (peak 8), and an isoflavane, retusapurpurin A (peak 25), were also identified. In addition, luteolin (peak 11), calycosin isomer (peak 16) and retusapurpurin A isomer (peak 28) were identified in EERBP.

The main compounds identified (on the basis of the surface area) in our extract of *Dalbergia ecastophyllum* botanical origin were (3*S*)-vestitol, (3*S*)-neovestitol and medicarpin, as shown in Table 1, accounting for 45% of all detected peaks. The other two compounds mainly present were liquiritigenin and formononetin (nearly 9% compared to all peaks), as described by Piccinelli et al. (2011). Lastly, the other seven compounds detected only amounted to a small percentage (less than 5%) compared to the rest of the polyphenolic fraction.

361

362 **3.2.** Evaluation of antifungal activity on C. musae: *in vitro* study

All the controls involving solvent extraction (i.e. 70% ethanol) showed no effect on mycelialgrowth. The result of this study is presented in Figure 1, where each total polyphenol content

studied is represented by the histogram detailing the percentage of mycelial growth inhibitionon *C. musae*.

The lowest tested content (0.1 g GAE L⁻¹) had no activity. At concentrations of 0.2 and 0.4 g GAE L⁻¹, the inhibition levels observed on *C. musae* mycelial growth were $22 \pm 3\%$ and $24 \pm 4\%$. However, these two tested concentrations were not significantly different. The antifungal potential of EERBP changed from $37 \pm 4\%$ inhibition (0.8 g GAE L⁻¹) to $81 \pm 1\%$ at the highest bioactive compound content (1.6 g GAE L⁻¹). The inhibitory power of our EERBP against this plant pathogenic fungus followed an exponential growth trend, as per Mattiuz et al. (2015).

374 A study by Trusheva et al. (2006) reported that medicarpin and isosativan, identified in an 375 extract of red propolis from Brazil, showed strong antifungal action against Candida albicans. 376 This investigation demonstrated an inhibitory zone of 26 ± 0 and 15 ± 1 mm, respectively. Medicarpin, but also formononetin, biochanin A and methoxylated isoflavones, have also 377 378 been identified as being biologically active against fungus strains (Boulogne, Petit, Ozier-379 Lafontaine, Desfontaines, & Loranger-Merciris, 2012). In addition, biochanin A showed antifungal activity against Trichoderma harzianum as described by Weidenbörner & Jha 380 (1994). Isolated formononetin demonstrated fungicidal activity against five of a total of six 381 382 strains tested (2 C. albicans, 2 C. tropicalis & 2 C. neoformans) with a minimum fungicidal concentration of 200 µg mL⁻¹ (crude ethanolic extract/medium volume) (das Neves et al., 383 2016). This compound could therefore be responsible, at least partially, for the antimicrobial 384 activity of red propolis. 385

Synergistic and/or antagonistic phenomena are potentially the key to the action mechanism of natural complex matrices. To gain a clearer understanding of the action of Brazilian red propolis on *C. musae*, it was fractionated by TLC and its antifungal activity was identified by bioautography agar overlay. 390

391

392

3.3. Screening of active fractions by TLC-bioautography and chemical identification by HPLC-ESI/MS

393 394

3.3.1. Detection and characterisation of isolated bioactive fractions by TLCbioautography

The bioautogram revealed the presence of fractions with antifungal activity (Figure 2 - b). The emergence of two yellowish-white spots corresponded to the areas of *C. musae* mycelial growth inhibition (zone A & B, Figure 2 - b). The values of the front ratios for the two inhibited zones were between 0.48 and 0.56 for zone A and between 0.27 and 0.39 for zone B. The compounds identified by chromatography (mainly isoflavonoids) in the Fa and Fb fractions isolated by TLC are detailed Table 2.

Seven phenolic compounds were detected in the Fa fraction. Five out of seven compounds 401 402 detected in this fraction could not be identified. Medicarpin and biochanin A were identified in the Fa fraction detected and isolated by TLC bioautography (Figure 3). These two 403 compounds displayed a percentage content in this fraction of 69% and 28%, respectively, 404 compared to their content (based on area) in the initial EERBP. Five out of 13 compounds 405 were identified in the Fb fraction, namely liquiritigenin, isoliquiritigenin, (35)-neovestitol, 406 (3S)-vestitol, or formononetin, which displayed a percentage content compared to the initial 407 EERBP of 69%, 43%, 70%, 3% and 4%, respectively. The main compounds ((3S)-vestitol, 408 409 (3S)-neovestitol and medicarpin) present in the initial extract were identified in one and other of the fractions isolated by TLC. 410

411

412 3.3.2. Antifungal properties of isolated fractions identified by the bioautography 413 method

The antifungal potential of the Fa and Fb fractions, recovered by TLC, was assessed for its inhibitory power on *C. musae* mycelial growth, compared to that of the original total extract. A mixture of these two fractions (ratio of 1:1: v/v) was also tested to evaluate a potential synergetic, additive or antagonistic effect. The percentages of mycelial growth inhibition for the four extracts tested are presented in Table 3.

The Fa and Fb fractions displayed mycelial growth inhibition of $35 \pm 4\%$ and $42 \pm 1\%$, 419 respectively. The inhibitory power of the mixture of these two fractions (Fa + Fb) was 75 \pm 420 2%. The sum of the activity values obtained by the two separate fractions resulted in a value 421 of around 77% inhibition. This value tallied completely with the inhibitory power of the 422 mixture of the two fractions (75 \pm 2%). These theoretical fungal inhibitory values were 423 424 similar to that of the original total extract $(81 \pm 1\%)$. These results therefore led us to suppose 425 that the two fractions would have an additive effect on antifungal activity rather than a synergistic effect. 426

The study by Oldoni et al. (2011) on the antimicrobial action of red propolis from Brazil
suggested that the activity did not seem to be due to a synergistic effect between isoflavonoid
((3*S*)-vestitol) and chalcone (isoliquiritigenin), but more to individual compound activity.

Indeed, the addition of the inhibitory power over C. musae mycelial growth of the two 430 extracts taken separately (43% + 52% = 95%), or the inhibitory power of the prior addition of 431 432 the two extracts (93%), showed that these 2 fractions (Fa and Fb) accounted for almost all the activity compared to the initial extract. The Fa and Fb fractions appeared to account for 95% 433 of the activity of the extract, despite the differences in isoflavonoid content compared to the 434 EERBP extract. Even more interestingly, the few compounds described in the literature as 435 having an antifungal potential (medicarpin, (3S)-vestitol, biochanin A and formononetin) have 436 been identified in these two fractions (Boulogne et al., 2012; das Neves et al., 2016). Based on 437 the initial observations, we suggest that the presence of medicarpin and biochanin A in Fa, 438

and formononetin and (3*S*)-vestitol in Fb, could partially contribute to the antifungal potential
of EERBP. Nevertheless, as around half of the compounds isolated from these two inhibition
zones have yet to be identified, only a hypothesis can be made. Indeed, it seems obvious that
either other compounds are involved in EERBP by potentially inhibiting the active
compounds, or unidentified compounds in the Fb fraction play a role in the overall activity.

Biochanin A and medicarpin (Fa) potentially resulted in $35 \pm 4\%$ inhibition of mycelial growth, amounting to 43% of the total activity of the initial extract. In addition, the compounds (3*S*)-vestitol and formononetin potentially accounted for 52% of the total activity of the EERBP extract. It is interesting to note that, despite the presence of these two bioactive compounds in very small quantities in the Fb fraction (4 and 3% respectively), compared to their initial content, this fraction demonstrated high activity, exceeding 50%, compared to the activity of EERBP.

The differential activity (5%) between the mix of the two isolated fractions and the initial extract is too weak to explain these different properties. Nevertheless, an additive effect was observed through the biological activity of the two fractions and the mixture.

454

455 **3.4.** Evaluation of the efficacy of antifungal treatments on banana crown rot

The effectiveness of our EERBP was assessed *in vivo*, in order to confirm our *in vitro* results and determine whether or not its antifungal properties were workable in postharvest treatment against banana crown rot.

Although the disease is caused by a parasitic complex, only the *C. musae* strain was inoculated into the crown before the various antifungal treatments. The efficacy of these treatments (commercial chemical fungicide Fungaflor[®] 75C+ Ortiva[®] and EERBP) was assessed using two application methods: dipping and spraying. Thus, the external

development of the lesions could be determined for the different treatments and application
conditions. The antifungal power of the extraction solvent (70% ethanol) against *C. musae*was also tested. It did not prove to be effective against the strain for mycelium development.

The two sets of application conditions (dipping or spraying) for the different fungicides
(commercial and EERBP) did not show any greater efficacy for either method. No significant
differences were found.

All the treatments carried out proved to be effective against C. musae compared to the 469 untreated control. Indeed, following the antifungal treatments, two days after the ethylene 470 treatment no bananas showed mycelium development greater than 50% of the total surface 471 area of the crown, whereas more than 65% of the untreated bananas already showed 472 473 mycelium development greater than 50%. After 10 days of storage, only 20% of the bananas 474 treated by dipping with EERBP showed necrosis greater than 25% of the total surface area of the crown, and 13% by spraying, this difference being however not significant. With 475 application of the chemical antifungal agent, only 20% of the dipped bananas and no sprayed 476 477 bananas showed necrosis greater than 25% of the crown surface area, respectively. Therefore, as our extract gave equivalent results to the synthetic treatment, we were able to conclude that 478 EERBP is a potentially very interesting candidate as an alternative treatment against banana 479 crown rot diseases. 480

To our knowledge, no studies have assessed the antifungal activity of Brazilian red propolis extract on postharvest diseases of banana. Recent *in vivo* studies have been conducted by applying an ethanolic extract of propolis alone, or film-incorporated, for the bioconservation of fruits in the postharvest stages (Ali et al., 2014; Mattiuz et al., 2015). Mattiuz et al. (2015) reported that the application of a commercial ethanolic extract of propolis from Brazil (1.5% v/v) did not have an impact on the growth of *C. gloeosporioides* on mango fruit after 14 days of incubation. After 7 more days, their treatment led to a significant reduction in the lesion

areas on mango compared to the control. Treatment of pepper fruits with a Chinese ethanolic 488 489 extract of propolis solution (1%, 5% and 10%) affected the development of anthracnose caused by Colletotrichum capsici (Ali, Wei, & Mustafa, 2015). While the two controls in our 490 491 assays (water and 70% ethanol) showed a Disease Incidence (DI = percentage of fruits bearing anthracnose symptoms out of the total number of fruits) of 17.5% and 7.5%, 492 respectively, the propolis extracts showed total efficacy after 28 days of storage (DI = 0%). 493 494 Moreover, 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5% ethanolic extracts of Chinese propolis also partially inhibited the development of C. gloeosporioides on papaya fruit (Ali et al., 2014). However, unlike the 495 work described by Ali et al. (2015), the application of propolis extracts on papayas immersed 496 in a *C. gloeosporioides* spore suspension did not demonstrate total efficacy (20% < DI < 30%)497 compared to controls (water and 70% ethanol) without propolis (DI > 90%). 498

499

500 **4. Conclusion**

The Brazilian red propolis extract (botanical origin: Dalbergia ecastophyllum), showed 501 noteworthy antifungal properties against C. musae in in vitro assays. This is the first literature 502 503 report on the efficacy of this type of propolis on plant pathogenic moulds, and more specifically on C. musae. An exponential growth trend in antifungal power was observed, 504 ranging from $22 \pm 3\%$ inhibition at a 0.2 g GAE L⁻¹ concentration to $81 \pm 1\%$ inhibition at 1.6 505 g GAE L⁻¹. The polyphenolic fraction of EERBP was characterised by HPLC-MSⁿ. This 506 allowed us to identify the isoflavonoid compounds mainly present in the extract: medicarpin, 507 (3S)-vestitol, (3S)-neovestitol, liquiritigenin and formononetin (54% of all detected peaks, on 508 509 the basis of the surface area). Two fractions of EERBP, detected and isolated by TLC-bioautography, displayed inhibition percentages of 43 and 52%, respectively, on the 510 511 mycelial growth of C. musae, compared to EERBP inhibition. These two isolated fractions accounted for 93% of the activity compared to the total EERBP extract. Medicarpin (69% 512

513 content compared to EERBP), biochanin A (28%) identified in the Fa fraction by HPLC-MS, 514 along with formononetin (4%) and vestitol (3%) in the Fb fraction, were already known for 515 their antifungal activity. Our results tended to suggest that these compounds have an additive 516 inhibitory effect. Lastly, the *in vivo* results showed that our EERBP could be a very 517 interesting candidate as an alternative treatment to chemical fungicides in controlling banana 518 crown rot, and maybe other types of postharvest decay of fruits and vegetables.

519

520 Acknowledgments

This work was funded as part of a CIFRE thesis (No. 2013/1120) in partnership with CIRAD
(Centre de coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement)
and co-financed by the French ANRT (Association Nationale de la Recherche et de la
Technologie) and the Pollenergie company.

525

527 **References**

- 528 Alencar, S. M., Oldoni, T. L. C., Castro, M. L., Cabral, I. S. R., Costa-Neto, C. M., Cury, J.
- 529 A., ... Ikegaki, M. (2007). Chemical composition and biological activity of a new type of
- 530 Brazilian propolis: Red propolis. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 113(2), 278–283.
- 531 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JEP.2007.06.005
- Ali, A., Cheong, C. K., & Zahid, N. (2014). Composite effect of propolis and gum arabic to
 control postharvest anthracnose and maintain quality of papaya during storage. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, *16*(6), 1117–1122.
 https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.27913.49768
- Ali, A., Wei, Y. Z., & Mustafa, M. A. (2015). Exploiting propolis as an antimicrobial edible
 coating to control post-harvest anthracnose of bell pepper. *Packaging and Technology and Science*, 28(January), 173–179. https://doi.org/10.1002/pts
- 539Biesaga, M. (2011). Influence of extraction methods on stability of flavonoids. Journal of540ChromatographyA,1218(18),2505–2512.
- 541 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2011.02.059
- Boulogne, I., Petit, P., Ozier-Lafontaine, H., Desfontaines, L., & Loranger-Merciris, G.
 (2012). Insecticidal and antifungal chemicals produced by plants: A review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 10(4), 325–347. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-0120359-1
- Burdock, G. A. (1998). Review of the biological properties and toxicity of bee propolis
 (propolis). *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 36(4), 347–363.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-6915(97)00145-2
- das Neves, M. V. M., da Silva, T. M. S., de Oliveira Lima, E., da Cunha, E. V. L., & Oliveira,
- E. de J. (2016). Isoflavone formononetin from red propolis acts as a fungicide against

- 551 *Candida* sp. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 47(1), 159–166.
 552 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2015.11.009
- de Lapeyre de Bellaire, L., Chillet, M., & Chilin-Charles, Y. (2005). Méthode d'évaluation
 des
- bananiers vis-à-vis des maladies de conservation induites par le *Colletotrichum musae*
- 556 (Berk. & Curt.) Arx. *Numéro spécial du Cahier des Techniques*.
- 557 Dissanayake, M. L. M. C., Ito, S. I., & Akakabe, Y. (2015). TLC bioautography guided detection and biological activity of antifungal compounds from medicinal plant Acorus 558 559 calamus Linn. Asian Journal of Plant Pathology, Vol. 9, pp. 16-26. https://doi.org/10.3923/ajppaj.2015.16.26 560
- Fabre, N., Rustan, I., de Hoffmann, E., & Quetin-Leclercq, J. (2001). Determination of
 flavone, flavonol, and flavanone aglycones by negative ion liquid chromatography
 electrospray ion trap mass spectrometry. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*, *12*(6), 707–715. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-0305(01)00226-4
- 565 Georgé, S., Brat, P., Alter, P., & Amiot, M. J. (2005). Rapid determination of polyphenols and
- vitamin C in plant-derived products. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 53(5),
- 567 1370–1373. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf048396b
- Inui, S., Hatano, A., Yoshino, M., Hosoya, T., Shimamura, Y., Masuda, S., ... Kumazawa, S.
- 569 (2014). Identification of the phenolic compounds contributing to antibacterial activity in
- 570 ethanol extracts of Brazilian red propolis. Natural Product Research, 28(16), 1293-
- 571 1296. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2014.898146
- Jullien, A., Malézieux, E., Michaux-Ferrière, N., Chillet, M., & Ney, B. (2001). Within-bunch
 variability in banana fruit weight: Importance of developmental lag between fruits.
- 574 Annals of Botany, 87(1), 101–108. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.2000.1309

- 575 Lassois, L., Bastiaanse, H., Chillet, M., Jullien, A., Jijakli, M. H., & De Lapeyre De Bellaire,
- 576 L. (2010). Hand position on the bunch and source-sink ratio influence the banana fruit
- 577 susceptibility to crown rot disease. Annals of Applied Biology, 156(2), 221–229.
- 578 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2009.00381.x
- Latunde-Dada, A. O. (2001). *Colletotrichum*: Tales of forcible entry, stealth, transient
 confinement and breakout. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 2(4), 187–198.
 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1464-6722.2001.00069.x
- Lim, S. W., Loh, H. S., Ting, K. N., Bradshaw, T. D., & Allaudin, Z. N. (2015). Reduction of
- 583 MTT to purple formazan by vitamin E isomers in the absence of cells. *Tropical Life* 584 *Sciences Research*, *26*(1), 111–120.
- Marston, A. (2011). Thin-layer chromatography with biological detection in phytochemistry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1218*(19), 2676–2683.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.12.068
- Matny, O. N. (2015). Efficacy evaluation of Iraqi propolis against gray mold of stored orange
 caused by *Penicillium digitatum*. *Plant Pathology Journal*, *14*(3), 153–157.
 https://doi.org/10.3923/ppj.2015.153.157
- Matny, O. N., Al-warshan, S. H. S., & Ali, A. M. (2015). Antifungal evaluation of Iraqi
 Propolis against *Penicillium expansum* and mycotoxin production in apple. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 4(11), 399–405.
 https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.31884.21126
- **554** https://doi.org/10.15140/RG.2.2.51004.21120
- Mattiuz, B.-H., Ducamp-Collin, M.-N., Mattiuz, C. F. M., Vigneault, C., Marques, K. M.,
 Sagoua, W., & Montet, D. (2015). Effect of propolis on postharvest control of
 anthracnose and quality parameters of 'Kent' mango. *Scientia Horticulturae*, *184*, 160–
 168. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCIENTA.2014.12.035

- Moraes, W. B., Jesus Junior, W. C. de, Belan, L. L., Peixoto, L. de A., & Pereira, A. J. (2011).
 Aplicação foliar de fungicidas e produtos alternativos reduz a severidade do oídio do
 tomateiro. *Nucleus*, 8(2), 57–68. https://doi.org/10.3738/1982.2278.554
- Oldoni, T. L. C., Cabral, I. S. R., d'Arce, M. A. B. R., Rosalen, P. L., Ikegaki, M.,
 Nascimento, A. M., & Alencar, S. M. (2011). Isolation and analysis of bioactive
 isoflavonoids and chalcone from a new type of Brazilian propolis. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 77(2), 208–213.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2010.12.007
- Piccinelli, A. L., Lotti, C., Campone, L., Cuesta-Rubio, O., Campo Fernandez, M., &
 Rastrelli, L. (2011). Cuban and Brazilian red propolis: Botanical origin and comparative
 analysis by high-performance liquid chromatography-photodiode array detection/
 electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(12), 6484–6491. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf201280z
- 612 Pippi, B., Lana, A. J. D., Moraes, R. C., Güez, C. M., Machado, M., de Oliveira, L. F. S., ...

613

Fuentefria, A. M. (2015). In vitro evaluation of the acquisition of resistance, antifungal

- 614 activity and synergism of Brazilian red propolis with antifungal drugs on *Candida* spp.
- 615 *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *118*(4), 839–850. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12746
- Priyadarshanie, H. K. R., & Vengadaramana, A. (2015). Some preliminary studies of *Colletotrichum musae* associated with banana anthracnose disease in Jaffna District, Sri
 Lanka. Universal Journal of Agricultural Research, 3(6), 197–202.
 https://doi.org/10.13189/ujar.2015.030603
- Rosales, P. F., Marinho, F. F., Gower, A., Chiarello, M., Canci, B., Roesch-Ely, M., ...
 Moura, S. (2019). Bio-guided search of active indole alkaloids from Tabernaemontana
 catharinensis: Antitumour activity, toxicity in silico and molecular modelling studies.

623 *Bioorganic Chemistry*, 85, 66–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOORG.2018.12.016

- Rufatto, L. C., Luchtenberg, P., Garcia, C., Thomassigny, C., Bouttier, S., Henriques, J. A. P.,
 ... Moura, S. (2018). Brazilian red propolis: Chemical composition and antibacterial
 activity determined using bioguided fractionation. *Microbiological Research*, 214, 74–
- 627 82. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICRES.2018.05.003
- Sforcin, J. M., & Bankova, V. (2011). Propolis: Is there a potential for the development of
 new drugs? *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, *133*(2), 253–260.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JEP.2010.10.032
- 631 Stepanović, S., Antić, N., Dakić, I., & Švabić-Vlahović, M. (2003). *In vitro* antimicrobial
- activity of propolis and synergism between propolis and antimicrobial drugs.
 Microbiological Research. https://doi.org/10.1078/0944-5013-00215
- Trusheva, B., Popova, M., Bankova, V., Simova, S., Marcucci, M. C., Miorin, P. L., ...
- 635 Tsvetkova, I. (2006). Bioactive constituents of Brazilian red propolis. *Evidence-Based*
- 636 *Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 3(2), 249–254.
- 637 https://doi.org/10.1093/ecam/nel006
- Wallbridge, A. (1981). Fungi associated with crown-rot disease of boxed bananas from the
 Windward islands during a two-year survey. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, 77(3), 567–577. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(81)80105-2
- 641 Weidenbörner, M., & Jha, H. C. (1994). Structure-activity relationships among isoflavonoids
- with regard to their antifungal properties. *Mycological Research*, *98*(12), 1376–1378.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)81066-6
- Yang, S. Z., Peng, L. T., Su, X. J., Chen, F., Cheng, Y. J., Fan, G., & Pan, S. Y. (2011).
 Bioassay-guided isolation and identification of antifungal components from propolis
 against *Penicillium italicum*. *Food Chemistry*, *127*(1), 210–215.

647 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2010.12.011

649	Figure	captions
	. .	

650	Figure 1. Effect of amount of Ethanolic Extract of Red Brazilian Propolis (EERBP) on the
651	growth of C. musae by the agar dilution method. Values are means of 3 replicates. Significant
652	differences between treatments at $P < 0.05$ are indicated with a letter as measured by Fisher's
653	LSD test.

Figure 2. Graphical representation of a chemically revealed TLC plate (a) and bioautogram(b) of Ethanolic Extract of Red Brazilian Propolis (EERBP).

658	Figure 3. Superposition of HPLC chromatograms of Ethanolic Extract of Red Brazilian
659	Propolis (EERBP), and the Fa and Fb isolated fractions. Peak assignment refers to Table 1.
660	
661	









Peak	Rt (min)	$\lambda_{max}(nm)$	[M-H] ⁻	MS ²	MS ³	Content $(\%)^{\text{F}}$	Compound
1	20.8	251, 297sh, 327	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
2	21.2	251, 279, 308	271	243, 227	MS ³ (243): 225, 199, 109	-	-
3	22.7	249, 279, 344	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
4	25.3	267	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
5	26.9	252, 301	297	282, 238, 254, 266	n.d.	-	-
6	28.8	278, 314	255	153, 135	MS ³ (153): 135	4.3	liquiritigenin*
7	29.2	281	315	109, 300, 125	n.d.	-	-
8	30.0	251, 293	283	268	224, 240	1.1	calycosin
9	30.3	254, 281, 313	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
10	31.1	260	283	268	224, 240	-	calycosin (isomer)
11	31.9	250, 302sh, 347	285	241	n.d.	0.3	luteolin
12	32.5	254, 278, 313	255	237	209, 193	-	-
13	33.3	240, 282, 344	285	270	179	-	-
14	34.5	285	285	109	n.d.	-	-
15	34.9	295, 346sh	301	286	258, 195	-	-
16	35.8	251, 289	283	268	224, 240	0.6	calycosin (isomer)
17	38.9	250, 283, 420, 480	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
18	39.3	289	301	125	n.d.	-	-
19	40.0	248, 300sh, 373	255	153	n.d.	1.4	isoliquiritigenin*
20	40.7	252, 303	267	252	224, 208, 196	4.3	formononetin*
21	41.3	284	271	253	235	19.5	(3S)-vestitol*
22	43.6	250, 328	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
23	44.7	284	271	253	n.d.	11.2	(3S)-neovestitol
24	45.1	265	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
25	45.6	251, 282, 471	521	506, 491, 397	MS ³ (506): 491, 397	0.2	retusapurpurin A
26	46.5	289	269	254	226	14.7	medicarpin*
27	47.9	242, 282sh, 340sh	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
28	48.2	250, 287, 425sh	521	506, 491, 397	MS ³ (506): 491, 397	-	retusapurpurin A (isomer)
29	48.5	253, 294, 332	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
30	49.2	250, 293, 335sh	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
31	49.6	250, 293, 336sh	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
32	50.2	263, 330sh	283	268	240, 224	0.9	biochanin A*
33	54.8	255, 327sh, 351sh	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
34	55.9	284	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
35	66.7	264, 244sh, 328	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
36	67.6	248	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
37	70.9	224, 276	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
38	73.0	262	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-

Table 1. Tentative identification of compounds in the Ethanolic Extract of Red Brazilian

 Propolis (EERBP)

sh: shoulder; n.d.: not detected

*confirmed by co-injection with authentic standard.

^x content of each molecule compared to the total content of all compounds (%).

Compound _	Content of phenolic compounds ^a				
Compound –	EERBP-Fa	EERBP-Fb			
liquiritigenin	n.d. ^b	69			
isoliquiritigenin	n.d.	43			
formononetin	n.d.	4			
(3S)-vestitol	n.d.	3			
(3S)-neovestitol	n.d.	70			
medicarpin	69	n.d.			
biochanin A	28	n.d.			

Table 2. Content of phenolic compounds in Thin-Layer Chromatography isolated fractions

EERBP-Fa: isolated fraction a; EERBP-Fb: isolated fraction b

^aLevels are expressed as a percentage of the initial content in Ethanolic Extract of Red Brazilian Propolis (EERBP). ^bn.d.: not detected. **Table 3.** Efficacy of different isolated fractions of Ethanolic Extract of Red Brazilian Propolis(EERBP) on *C. musae* mycelial growth

Extract	Mycelial growth inhibition (%) ^a	Mycelial growth inhibition in relation to the total EERBP extract (%)		
EERBP-Fa	35 ± 4	43		
EERBP-Fb	42 ± 1	52		
EERBP-Fa + EERBP-Fb	75 ± 2	93		
EERBP (total extract)	81 ± 1			

EERBP-Fa = isolated fraction a; EERBP-Fb = isolated fraction b

^aAverage of three measurements with standard deviations.