

Intralipid therapy for unexplained recurrent miscarriage and implantation failure: Case-series and literature review

Léo Plaçais, Kamilla Kolanska, Yasmine Ben Kraiem, Jonathan Cohen, Ludovic Suner, Marie Bornes, Lucie Sedille, Audrey Rosefort, Emmanuel Mathieu D'argent, Lise Selleret, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Léo Plaçais, Kamilla Kolanska, Yasmine Ben Kraiem, Jonathan Cohen, Ludovic Suner, et al.. Intralipid therapy for unexplained recurrent miscarriage and implantation failure: Case-series and literature review. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2020, 252, pp.100 - 104. 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2020.06.017. hal-03490509

HAL Id: hal-03490509 https://hal.science/hal-03490509v1

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301211520303808 Manuscript_66642943cd989b09b3d61b0cb0853c15

Intralipid therapy for unexplained recurrent miscarriage and implantation failure: case-series and literature review

3

Léo Placais^{1, 2}, Kamilla Kolanska^{2,3}, Yasmine Ben Kraiem^{2,3}, Jonathan Cohen^{2,3}, Ludovic 4 Suner^{2,4}, Marie Bornes^{2,3}, Lucie Sedille^{2,5}, Audrey Rosefort¹, Emmanuel Mathieu D'Argent^{2,3}, 5 Lise Selleret^{2,3}, Noémie Abisror^{1, 2}, Catherine Johanet⁵, Nathalie Chabbert Buffet^{2,3}. Emile 6 Darai^{2,3} Jean Marie Antoine^{2,3}, Olivier Fain^{,1,2}, Gilles Kayem^{2,5}, Arsène Mekinian^{1,2}. 7 8 ¹AP-HP, Hôpital Saint Antoine, service de médecine interne et Inflammation-9 Immunopathology-Biotherapy Department (DHU i2B), Faculté de Médecine Sorbonne 10 Université, F-75012, Paris, France 11 ² Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Université Paris 06, UMR 7211, F-75005, Paris, France. 12 13 ³AP-HP, Hôpital Tenon, service de gynécologie, obstétrique et PMA, Faculté de Médecine 14 Sorbonne Université, F-75020, Paris, France 15 ⁴AP-HP, Hôpital Saint Antoine, service d'hématologie biologique, Faculté de Médecine 16 Sorbonne Université, F-75012, Paris, France ⁵AP-HP, Hôpital Trousseau, service de gynécologie, obstétrique, Faculté de Médecine 17 18 Sorbonne Université, F-75012, Paris, France 19 20 **Running title:** 21 Intralipid in unexplained recurrent miscarriage and implantation failure 22 Corresponding author: Arsene Mekinian, AP-HP, Hôpital Saint Antoine, service de 23 médecine interne et Inflammation-Immunopathology-Biotherapy Department (DHU i2B), 24 25 Faculté de Médecine Sorbonne Université, F-75012, Paris, France ; arsne.mekinian@aphp.fr

Key words: recurrent miscarriages, implantation failure, intralipids, live birth

29 ABSTRACT

30

31 Introduction:

In retrospective cohort study of women with unexplained recurrent implantation failure (RIF)
and miscarriage (RM), we analyzed the efficacy and safety of intralipid therapy to obtain a
live birth.

35 **Patients and methods**:

Women with unexplained RM and/or RIF were included from 2015 to 2018 from threeFrench university hospitals.

38 **Results:**

39 Among 187 women treated for unexplained recurrent miscarriages and implantation failures, 40 26 women with median age of 36 years (29-43) received intralipid therapy. Among these 26 41 women, 10 women with a median age of 33 years (31-40) had a history of spontaneous 42 recurrent miscarriages, with a median of 5 (4-8) previous miscarriages. Live births occurred in 43 7 (70%) pregnancies under intralipids and were significantly more frequent than in women 44 with recurrent miscarriages who did not receive intralipid therapy (n=20, p=0.02). Age, 45 number of previous miscarriages, and additional therapies did not significantly differ between 46 the two groups. Among the 26 included women, 16 had a history of recurrent implantation 47 failures, with median age of 37 years (29-43) and median 9.5 (3-19) embryo transfers. Clinical pregnancy occurred in 9 (56%) women receiving intralipids after embryo transfers 48 49 under intralipids among which 5 (55%) resulted in a live birth. Comparing successful 50 pregnancies under intralipids with those with fetal loss, no significant differences have been 51 noted.

52

54 Conclusion:

55 Intralipids could be an effective and safe therapy in women with unexplained recurrent 56 miscarriages and infertility.

57 INTRODUCTION

58

59 Recurrent miscarriage (RM) is a frequent condition concerning about 1-3% of couples¹.
60 Recurrent implantation failure (RIF) is considered after at least 3 unsuccessful transfers of
61 good quality embryos, and remain unexplained in 45% of infertile couples². Various
62 conditions can cause RM and RIF. A standard workup includes search for uterine
63 malformations, genetic abnormalities, and endocrine, infectious or autoimmune diseases.

Immune abnormalities have been reported in women with RM and RIF, such as increased blood natural killer (NK) cell levels, regulatory T cell deficiencies and increased proinflammatory cytokines³. Uterine NK cells (uNK) represent up to 70% of the cells in endometrium during the implantation phase and secrete several cytokines (IL-12, IL-15, Fn-14, IL-18, LIF, TWEAK) modulating the uterine environment. An excessive number of uNK cells and the imbalance between IL-12/Fn-14 and IL-18/TWEAK have been recently shown as markers of immune profile dysregulation in women with RIF⁴.

The direct effect of intralipids on fertility is unclear but seems to rely on the inhibition of NK cell cytotoxicity, possibly mediated through short fatty acids stimulating PPARγ receptors. Intralipids were proposed as an immunomodulatory therapy in 1994⁵. A decrease of NK cell cytotoxicity has been shown several weeks after a single infusion of intralipids⁶,⁷. Intralipid therapy has been mostly reported in women with increased peripheral NK cells, whereas recent metanalysis did not demonstrate an association of NK cell rates in preconception with the fetal loss⁸. We analyzed the efficacy and safety of intralipid therapy in a retrospective cohort study of women with unexplained RIF and RM, and compared live birth rates intreated women to untreated women with similar obstetrical history.

80

81 PATIENTS AND METHODS

82 Women with unexplained recurrent miscarriage and/or recurrent implantation failure were 83 included from 2015 to 2018 in three university hospitals (Saint-Antoine, Tenon and Trousseau 84 Hospitals, Assistance Publique-Hopitaux de Paris Sorbonne Université, Paris, France). 85 Inclusion criteria were (1) at least 3 recurrent miscarriages before 12 weeks of gestation 86 and/or at least 3 implantation failures of at least 2 good embryos transfers; (2) absence of any 87 cause for recurrent miscarriages and implantation failures among uterine, genetic, infectious, 88 hormonal, thrombophilia and autoimmune diseases; (3) at least one infusion of intralipids for 89 the treated group.

90 Clinical data were collected as follows: age, number of pregnancies and live births, number of 91 miscarriages, number of in vitro fertilization (IVF)/intracytoplamic sperm injection (ICSI) and 92 embryo transfers, body mass index, tobacco and alcohol use, prior medical and surgical 93 history and medications during each previous pregnancy. For each pregnancy treatments were 94 analyzed: low dose aspirin, LMWH, steroids (prednisone 10 mg/day), progesterone and 95 vitamin D supplementation.

96 Laboratory data included AMH levels, antinuclear and antiphospholipid autoantibodies, 97 peripheral NK cell levels, and levels of circulating cytokines TNFα, IL-1, IL-6 and IL-10 at 98 the time of the first intralipid infusion. The non-criteria anti-phospholipid antibodies (anti-99 phosphatidyléthanolamines IgG and IgM, anti-PS/PT IgG/M and anti-annexin V IgG) were 100 also analyzed. Endometrial immune profiling was performed, as previously described (12), 101 and total uNK cells numbers, IL-15/TWEAK and IL-12/Fn-14 mRNA levels were recorded if available. Overactivated immune profiles were characterized by high IL-18/TWEAK mRNA
ratio, and/or high IL-15/Fn-14 mRNA ratio (12).

Women in the non –IVF group (RM) received intralipid infusion at Day-8 of each cycle, and then at 3, 5 and 9 weeks of gestation in the case of ongoing pregnancy. Women undergoing IVF received an infusion at Day-8 of the cycle of embryo transfer then at 3, 5 and 9 weeks of amenorrhea in the case of ongoing pregnancy. Intralipid therapy was chosen based on endometrial immune overactivation, pervious ineffective steroid therapy, or in overweight women who couldn't receive steroid therapy.

Patients from the control group have been matched on age, number of previous miscarriages
(2 matched controls by case) or previous embryo transfers with implantation failure from our
ongoing cohort (1 matched control by case).

The primary outcome was the live birth rate, and clinical pregnancy rates and safety were alsoanalyzed.

115

116 Literature Review and Meta-analysis

117 Search Strategy

Two investigators (LP and AM) searched MEDLINE via PubMed, Web of Science, congress programs and the Cochrane Library for English-language articles published up to January 2019 by assessing the following keywords in the title and abstract: early RMs, unexplained miscarriages, miscarriages, IVF, in vitro fertilization, fertilization in vitro, intracytoplasmic sperm injection, sperm injection intracytoplasmic, assisted reproductive techniques, embryo transfer, embryo implantation, and intralipids. All articles with sufficient data were included in the literature review.

125 Statistical analysis

Data are described with frequencies (%) for categorical variables and medians (ranges) for continuous variables. Fisher's exact test was used to compare qualitative variables and Mann-Whitney/Wilcoxon test for continuous variables according to the distribution. Linear regression was performed to identify factors associated with fetal losses. A p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism v7.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

132 Literature review and meta-analysis

133 The meta-analysis was performed according to the recommendations of the Cochrane 134 Collaboration (Bero and Rennie 1995). Relative risks from individual studies were meta-135 analyzed with fixed-effects and random-effects models as appropriate. Heterogeneity of the 136 exposure effects was evaluated graphically with forest plots and statistically with the I2 test to 137 quantify heterogeneity across studies. We explored the causes of heterogeneity by population 138 features, exposure and study quality. Meta-analysis involved use of Review Manager 139 (RevMan) v5.3 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, 140 2014).

141

142 **RESULTS**

143

Among 187 women treated for unexplained recurrent miscarriages and implantation failures from 2014 to 2018, 26 women with median age of 36 years (29-43) received intralipid therapy (**Table 1**). Among these 26 women, 10 women with a median age of 33 years (31-40) had a history of spontaneous recurrent miscarriages, with a median of 5 (4-8) previous miscarriages (**Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1**). Intralipids were used at least once during preconception in 9 (90%) women previously refractory to other therapies. Seven of these ten women received additional treatments including combinations of aspirin, prednisone, progesterone, or heparin. Live births occurred in 7 (70%) pregnancies under intralipids and were significantly more frequent than in women with recurrent miscarriages which did not received intralipids (control group; n=20) (p=0.02); whereas age, number of previous miscarriages, and associated therapies were not significantly different (**Table 1**).

155 Among 26 included women, 16 women had recurrent implantation failures, with median age 156 of 37 years (29-43) and 9.5 (3-19) embryo transfers (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2). 157 Intralipids were used at least once before the embryo transfer, as first-line therapy in 10 (63%) 158 women, and in 6 (37%) pregnancies refractory to previous therapies. Additional treatments 159 have been combined in 13 (81%) cases, mostly aspirin, LMWH and prednisone. Age, 160 previous miscarriages and embryo transfers, blood cytokine levels, and additional therapies 161 were not significantly different between women treated or not by intralipids (Table 1). 162 Clinical pregnancy occurred in 9 (56%) women receiving intralipid therapy after embryo 163 transfers and resulted in 5/9 (55%) live births compared to 6 (38%) and 2/6 (33%) in control 164 group (n=16), respectively. Interestingly, in 2 women who suffered fetal losses after embryo 165 transfers, clinical pregnancy occurred under intralipid therapy for the first time in these 166 women who experienced previous embryo transfer failure.

Overall, 16 patients had immune endometrial profiling analysis, and 14 of these women had endometrial over-activated immune responses. Among 12 women with over-activated immune responses and clinical pregnancy, 5 experienced live birth (42%). Among 10 women with live births without immune endometrial profiling before intralipid therapy, 5 women had a live birth (50%).

Age, previous obstetrical history, peripheral NK cell percentages and associated therapies were similar in case of pregnancies under intralipids resulting in live births compared to those with fetal loss, except a trend to higher preconception uNK levels in women with live births 56 (43-100) versus 38 (19-51, p=0.07, **Table 2**). Using univariate analysis, age < 35 years, NK cells > 10%, and associated therapies were not significantly associated with live births in
women with recurrent miscarriages, whereas AMH levels <1 ng/ml and intralipid therapy
were significantly associated. In multivariate analysis, only intralipid therapy was
significantly associated with live births with odds ratio of 17 [95% CI 1.01-286] (p=0.049).

180 No side effects (flushing, nausea, vomiting, fever, sweating) have been noted during or after181 the intralipid infusion.

182

183 Meta-analysis

The literature review of studies evaluating intralipids in RM and infertile populations is presented in **Table 3**. The literature search yielded 5 citations and only 3 studies had relevant data and were included in the meta-analysis. The meta-analysis of 3 studies (including our results) showed an odds ratio of 1.7 [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.1-2.61; p=0.02] live birth in women receiving intralipids as compared to those not receiving intralipid therapy (**Supplementary Figure 1**). The heterogeneity between the studies was high with *I*2 of 56% (*p* =0.1).

191

192 **DISCUSSION**

193 In this cohort study of women with recurrent miscarriages and implantation failures, 194 we analyzed the efficacy of intralipid therapy. Intralipids use resulted in 63% of live births in 195 patients with previous infertility, 70% in women with recurrent miscarriages and 55% with 196 recurrent implantation failures, which was significantly higher than in women not receiving 197 intralipid therapy. Intralipid therapy was the only significant factor associated with live births 198 in women with previous RM in multivariate analysis. Our data were confirmed using meta-199 analysis of studies from the literature, although analyzed studies have important 200 heterogeneities and low number of included patients.

201 Our findings reinforce results of previous studies analyzing the potential benefit of intralipids 202 in infertility (Table 3). In a randomized trial comparing intralipids and immunoglobulins in 203 women with recurrent miscarriages with more than 20% of peripheral NK cell levels, the rate 204 of successful pregnancies were 91% in intralipid group and 88% in immunoglobulin group⁹. 205 A randomized trial using an intralipid infusion on the day of oocyte retrieval versus placebo in 206 296 women with unexplained infertility and peripheral NK cells >12% showed 54% of live 207 births in intralipid group versus 34% under placebo (p=0.005), whereas the frequencies of clinical pregnancy and miscarriages were not different between 2 groups¹⁰. A cohort study of 208 209 127 women with unexplained miscarriages and infertile women having peripheral NK cells >19% showed no differences of live births in comparison to the historical cohort¹¹. Based on 210 211 endometrial immune profiling in 94 women with recurrent implantation failure, the live birth rate was 55% under intralipid therapy¹². Our study analyzed intralipids in women with 212 213 unexplained infertility, showing that intralipid therapy could be beneficial even in women 214 without increased peripheral NK cell levels. We did not find any significant factor associated 215 with live birth except intralipid therapies, even considering blood cytokine levels, peripheral 216 NK cells and endometrial immune profiling. Various intralipid regimens have been previously 217 used, from single infusion to weekly infusions, and the best regimen remains to be 218 determined.

219 While the mechanism underlying improvement of live birth rate with intralipid therapy 220 remains unclear, data previously showed that intralipids modulate several immune functions, 221 in particular NK cell cytotoxicity. NK cell activity was significantly decreased under 222 intralipid therapy⁶, and this effect lasted from 4 to 9 weeks⁷. A previous study also reported an 223 in vitro effect on T lymphocytes (decreased activation) and on cytokine secretion (decreased 224 TNF- α , IL-2 and IL-1 β levels)^{12,13}. In conclusion, intralipids are an effective and safe therapy in women with unexplained recurrent miscarriages and infertility, even in those without increased peripheral NK levels and dysregulated endometrial immune profiling.

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

230 **Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards (Saint Antoine ethical committee).

235 Informed consent: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included236 in the study.

237

238 Authors contributions:

All coauthors participated to the study design, data extraction and analysis, paper review and
 redaction. AM and LP have the responsibility of data provided I nthe manuscript.

241

242 **References:**

243 1. Rai, R. & Regan, L. Recurrent miscarriage. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* **368**, 601–611 (2006).

244 2. Coughlan, C. *et al.* Recurrent implantation failure: definition and management.

245 *Reprod. Biomed. Online* **28**, 14–38 (2014).

246 3. Zhu, L. Y. *et al.* Changes and clinical significance of peripheral blood helper T

247 lymphocyte and natural killer (NK) cells in unexplained recurrent spontaneous abortion

248 (URSA) patients after abortion and successful pregnancy. Clin. Exp. Obstet. Gynecol. 42, 62–

249 66 (2015).

250 4. Lédée, N. et al. The Uterine Immune Profile May Help Women With Repeated

251 Unexplained Embryo Implantation Failure After In Vitro Fertilization. Am. J. Reprod.

252 *Immunol.* **75**, 388–401 (2016).

253 5. Clark, D. A. Intralipid as treatment for recurrent unexplained abortion? *Am. J. Reprod.*254 *Immunol. N. Y. N 1989* 32, 290–293 (1994).

255 6. Roussev, R. G., Ng, S. C. & Coulam, C. B. Natural killer cell functional activity

suppression by intravenous immunoglobulin, intralipid and soluble human leukocyte antigen-

257 G. Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. N. Y. N 1989 57, 262–269 (2007).

258 7. Roussev, R. G., Acacio, B., Ng, S. C. & Coulam, C. B. Duration of intralipid's

suppressive effect on NK cell's functional activity. *Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. N. Y. N 1989* 60,
258–263 (2008).

261 8. Kolanska, K. et al. Proportion of Cytotoxic Peripheral Blood Natural Killer Cells and

262 T-Cell Large Granular Lymphocytes in Recurrent Miscarriage and Repeated Implantation

Failure: Case-Control Study and Meta-analysis. *Arch. Immunol. Ther. Exp. (Warsz.)* 67, 225–
236 (2019).

265 9. Meng, L. *et al.* Effectiveness and potential mechanisms of intralipid in treating

unexplained recurrent spontaneous abortion. Arch. Gynecol. Obstet. 294, 29–39 (2016).

267 10. Dakhly, D. M. R. et al. Intralipid supplementation in women with recurrent

268 spontaneous abortion and elevated levels of natural killer cells. Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet. Off.

269 Organ Int. Fed. Gynaecol. Obstet. 135, 324–327 (2016).

270 11. Martini, A. E., Jasulaitis, S., Fogg, L. F., Uhler, M. L. & Hirshfeld-Cytron, J. E.

271 Evaluating the Utility of Intralipid Infusion to Improve Live Birth Rates in Patients with

272 Recurrent Pregnancy Loss or Recurrent Implantation Failure. *J. Hum. Reprod. Sci.* 11, 261–
273 268 (2018).

12. Lédée, N. et al. Intralipid® may represent a new hope for patients with reproductive

- failures and simultaneously an over-immune endometrial activation. J. Reprod. Immunol. 130,
- 276 18–22 (2018).
- 277 13. Granato, D. et al. Effects of parenteral lipid emulsions with different fatty acid
- 278 composition on immune cell functions in vitro. JPEN J. Parenter. Enteral Nutr. 24, 113–118
- 279 (2000).
- 280
- 281
- 282
- 283

284 Table 1 – Characteristics of women with recurrent miscarriage and implantation failure who received

285 intralipid therapy.

Characteristics	Implantation failure (N=16)	Implantation failure without intralipids (N=16)	Recurrent miscarriages under intralipids (N=10)	Recurrent miscarriages without intralipids (N=20)
Age (n range)	37 (29-43)	36 (29-42)	33 (31-40)	35 (27-41)
Thyroiditis (n,%)	2 (13)	2 (13)	0	0
Endometriosis (n,%)	4 (25)	1 (6)	0	1 (5)
Tubular involvement (n,%)	2 (13)	3 (19)	1 (10)	0
Overweight (n,%)	6 (38)	0	3 (30)	6 (30)
Obstetrical history				
Same conceptor (n,%)	15 (94)	15 (94)	7 (70)	11 (55)
Parity, median (IQR)	0 [0-2]	0 [0-3]	1 [0-2]	1 [0-2]
Gravidity, median (IQR)	1 [0-5]	1 [0-6]	7 [5-10]	6 [4-12]
Number of miscarriages, median (ranges)	0 [0-3]	0 [0-4]	5 [4-8]	6 [4-11]
Cumulative embryo transfers (n,%)	9.5 [2-19]	10.5 [5-14]	-	-
Laboratory data				
Antinuclear antibodies	3 (19)	2 (13)	1 (10)	1 (5)
AntiTPO / TG antibodies	3 (19)	3 (19)	0	1 (5)
Anti-PS/PT/AnnexinV/PE antibodies	0	0	0	0
AMH, median (ranges)	2 [0.1-9.8]	1.3 [0.3-6.7]	2.1 [0.5-7.8]	2.3 [1-7.8]
NK56+ cells, median (ranges)	9.5 [4-32]	12 [5-32]	10 [3-14]	8 [3.5-16]
TNFa, median (ranges)	24 [8-140]	18 [5-53]	25 [13-61]	23 [4.8-48]
IL-1, median (ranges)	19 [13-43]	17 [3-113]	15 [5-45]	9.5 [5.1-26]
IL-6, median (ranges)	5 [1.1-97]	9 [2-13]	3.6 [1.1-82]	9.9 [1.1-15]
Il-10, median (ranges)	0.1 [0.1-1.1]	1.1 [0.1-9]\$	0.1 [0.1-12]	0.1 [0.1-3.3]
uNK, median (ranges)	45 [25-70]	45 [25-54]	45 [19-100]	45 [23-100]
IL-18/TWEAK mRNA ratio	0.14 [0.1-6]	0.2 [0.1-0.3]	0.5 [0.16-3]	-
IL-15/Fn-14 mRNA ratio	9 [1-79]	9 [1.5-23]	15 [7-80]	-
Immune over-activated profile	8/11 (73)	2/5 (40)	5 /5 (100)	-
Pregnancy and treatements				
Clinical pregnancy	9/16 (56)	6/16 (38)	10 (100)	10 (100)
Live births	5/9 (55)	2/6 (33)	7 (70)	3 (15)*
Term live birth	39 [36-40]	39 [36-40]	39 [38-40]	-
Additional therapies	13 (81)	14 (88)	7 (70)	7 (35)
Aspirin / low molecular weighted heparin	13 (81) / 13 (81)	8 (50) / 8 (50)	4 (40)*/ 5 (50)	5 (25) / 4 (20)
Prednisone	11 (69)	8 (50)	6 (60)	2 (10)*
Progesterone / Vitamin D	3 (19) / 14 (88)	7 (44) / 5 (31)\$	1 (10) / 7 (70)	2 (10) / 4 (20)*

BMI – body mass index, AMH – anti-Müllerian hormone, TSH – thyroid stimulating hormone, 1,25-OH vitamin

287 D – 1,25-dihydroxy-vitamine D, ANA – antinuclear antibodies, CH50 – total haemolytic complement, anti-TPO

288 – anti-thyroid peroxidase, anti-TG – anti-thyroid globulin

289 *p<0.05 recurrent miscarriages under intralipids versus recurrent miscarriages without intralipids
 290 \$p<0.05 implantation failures with intralipids versus implantation failures without intralipids

292 Table 2 – Characteristics of women with live births and fetal loss or without pregnancy despite embryo

293 transfer who received preconception intralipid therapy.

294

Characteristics	Live births	Fetal loss	Fetal loss / no pregnancy			
	(N=12)	(if ongoing pregnancy)	despite embryo transfer			
		(N=7)	(N=14)			
Age	32 [31-42]	35 [31-43]	35 [30-43]			
	Medical history					
Thyroiditis (n,%)	0	0	2 (14)			
Endometriosis (n,%)	1 (8)	0	3 (21)			
Tubular involvement (n,%)	1 (8)	2 (29)	2 (14)			
Overweight (n,%)	5 (42)	2 (29)	4 (29)			
	Procreation history					
Same conceptor (n,%)	10 (83)	6 (86)	12 (86)			
Parity, median (ranges)	1 [0-2]	0 [0-1]*	0 [0-1]**			
Gravidity, median (ranges)	5.5 [1-10]	2 [1-7]	1 [0-7]**			
Number of miscarriages, median (ranges)	4 [0-8]	2 [0-6]	0 [0-6]§			
Number of embryo transferred (n,%)	9 [2-19]	16 [10-19]	10 [5-19]			
	Laboratory data					
AMH, median (ranges)	2 [0.1-7.8]	2 [0.2-9.8]	2 [0.2-9.8]			
NK56+ cells, median (ranges)	7 [3-12]	13 [4-32]£	12.5 [4-32]**			
TNFa, median (ranges)	26 [8-140]	24 [10-48]	24 [9-92]			
IL-1, median (ranges)	20 [5-45]	12 [9.5-16]	17 [10-43]			
IL-6, median (ranges)	3.6 [1.1-82]	2 [1.1-21]	4.6 [1.1-97]			
II-10, median (ranges)	0.1 [0.1-12]	0.1 [0.1-3.3]	0.1 [0.1-3.3]			
Uterine NK cells, median (ranges)	56 [43-100]	38 [19-51]£	42 [19-70]			
Immune over-activated profile	5/6 (83)	5/6 (83)	8 /10 (80)			
Pregnancy under intralipids						
Spontaneous pregnancy	7 (58)	3 (43)	3 (21)			
Live births	12 (100)	0	0			
Additional therapies	10 (83)	6 (86)	12 (86)			
Aspirin / low molecular weighted heparin	8 (67) / 6 (50)	5 (71) / 6 (86)	9 (64) / 12 (86)			
Prednisone	8 (67)	4 (57)	9 (64)			
Progesterone / Vitamin D	4 (33)	3 (43)	4 (29)			

295

*p<0.05 live births versus fetal loss

296 \pounds p=0.07 live births versus fetal loss

297 **p<0.05 live births versus fetal loss or no pregnancy

298 § p=0.06 live births versus fetal loss or no pregnancy

- 299
- 300
- . . .
- 301
- 302

Author / year	Type of study N	Inclusion criteria	Age (years)	Number of Miscarriages / embryos transfers	Intralipid protocol	Live birth (%)
Meng 2016	Randomized versus intravenous immunoglobulins N=76 each group	≥3 unexplained miscarriages before 12 wg ; peripheral NK cells >20%	31.6±4.2	3.5±2.7 /NA	On third day of menstrual cycle and every week after pregnancy until 12 weeks	70/76 (91) intralipid vs 67/76 (88) IGIV (p=0.4)
Dakhly 2016	Randomized versus placebo N=144 each group	≥3 unexplained miscarriages or infertility; peripheral NK cells >12%	35.5±3.7	4.1±0.9 / 2.5±0.5	On the day of oocyte retrieval	54 (37.5) vs 34 (22.4) in placebo (p=0.005)
Martini 2018	Retrospective cohort study N=127	≥3 unexplained miscarriages or infertility; peripheral NK cells >19%	35.9±4.5	1.4±1.4 /NA	7-10 days before embryo transfer or insemination and repeated at 6 and 10 weeks of gestation if pregnant	47/127 (37) vs 8/20 (40) in historical cohort (p=0.12)
Ledée 2018	Retrospective cohort study N=94	Implantation failure despite embryo transfer (n=80) or oocyte donation (n=14); based on endometrial over- immune activation	35.8 (28- 43)	NA/ 7 (2-18)	Day-8 of the cycle of embryo transfer and 5 and 9 weeks of amenorrhea if pregnancy	46/88 (54)
Our study	Retrospective cohort study N=26	≥4 unexplained miscarriages or infertility	36 [39- 43]	5 [4-8] / 9.5 [2-19]	Day-8 of the cycle of embryo transfer then at 3, 5 and 9 weeks of amenorrhea	12 (63) vs 5/19 (26)(p=0.005) 7 (70) vs 3 (15)(p=0.005) for recurrent miscarriages groups; 5/9 (55) vs 2/6 (33)(p=0.5) for implantation failures groups