Exploring the landscape and climatic conditions of Neanderthals and anatomically modern humans in the Middle East: the rodent assemblage from the late Pleistocene of Kaldar Cave (Khorramabad Valley, Iran)

Iván Rey-Rodríguez, Juan-Manuel López-García, Hugues-Alexandre Blain, Emmanuelle Stoetzel, Christiane Denys, Mónica Fernández-García, Laxmi Tumung, Andreu Ollé, Behrouz Bazgir

EXPLORING THE LANDSCAPE AND CLIMATIC CONDITIONS OF NEANDERTHALS AND ANATOMICALLY MODERN HUMANS IN THE MIDDLE EAST: THE RODENT ASSEMBLAGE FROM THE LATE PLEISTOCENE OF KALDAR CAVE (KHORRAMABAD VALLEY, IRAN)

Iván Rey-Rodríguez [a,b *], Juan-Manuel López-García [c,d], Hugues-Alexandre Blain [c,d], Emmanuelle Stoetzel [a], Christiane Denys [e], Mónica Fernández-García [b,a], Laxmi Tumung [c,d,a], Andreu Ollé [c,d], Behrouz Bazgir [d]

[a] HNHP UMR 7194, CNRS / Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle / UPVD / Sorbonne Universités, Musée de l'Homme, Palais de Chaillot, 17 place du Trocadéro, 75016 Paris, France

[b] Sezione di Scienze Preistoriche e Antropologiche, Dipartimento di Studi Umanistici, Università degli Studi di Ferrara, C.so Ercole I d'Este, 32 - 44121 Ferrara, Italy

[c] Institut Català de Paleoecologia Humana i Evolució Social (IPHES). Zona Educacional 4, Campus Sescelades URV (Edifici W3) 43007 Tarragona, Spain

[d] Área de Prehistòria, Universitat Rovira i Virgili. Facultat de Lletres, Avinguda Catalunya 35, 43002 Tarragona, Spain

[e] ISYEB UMR 7205, CNRS / Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle / UPMC / EPHE / Sorbonne Universités, Paris, France

* **corresponding author:** ivan.rey-rodriguez@edu.mnhn.fr / ivanreyrguez@gmail.com (Iván Rey-Rodríguez)

Abstract

The Middle East, specially the Zagros region, lies in a strategic position as a crossroads between Africa, Europe and eastern Asia. The landscape of this region that prevailed around the Neanderthal and anatomically modern human occupations is not well known. Only a few sites have been studied in detail in this area, often providing only a faunal list. These reveal that Neanderthals and anatomically modern humans lived in a landscape mainly composed of dry steppes.

Here we extend the data obtained from Kaldar Cave through a systematic study of the rodent assemblage. The site provided evidence of a Pleistocene occupation attested by lithic tools associated with the Middle and Upper Palaeolithic, but it was also occupied during the Holocene, as evidenced by

Neolithic artefacts. First excavations have revealed small vertebrates in Layer 4 (sub-layer 5 and 5II), belonging to the Upper Palaeolithic, and Layer 5 (sub-layers 7 and 7II), belonging to the Middle Palaeolithic.

The rodent assemblage of Kaldar Cave is mainly composed of six arvicoline, two cricetine, one glirid, one dipodid, one gerbilline and two murine species.

This assemblage shows that during the Late Pleistocene the environment around the site was mainly composed of open dry steppes, as indicated by the most abundant taxa, *Microtus*, *Ellobius* and *Meriones*. However, murine species indicate the presence of a vegetation cover. The palaeoclimatic conditions are characterised by lower temperatures and also less precipitation than at present.

The results obtained with the rodent assemblages show that there is no major palaeoenvironmental or palaeoclimatic change that would explain the cultural shift between Layer 5 (Middle Palaeolithic) and Layer 4 (Upper Palaeolithic).

***Keywords**: Rodentia, Middle East, taxonomy, palaeoecology, human occupations*

1. Introduction

Small vertebrates are recognized to be good palaeoecological indicators due to their rapid evolution, the limited geographic range of a species and unique niche requirements, as well as their frequent preservation in archaeological and palaeontological sites. They might be excellent indicators of palaeovegetation type and provide a high-resolution proxy for palaeoenvironmental changes (e.g. Belmaker and Hovers, 2011). Studies of small vertebrates from archaeological sites in the Middle East are still scarce (Belmaker and Hovers, 2011; Belmaker et al., 2016; Demirel et al., 2011; Fernández-Jalvo, 2016a; Kandel et al., 2017; Maul et al., 2015a, 2015b; Smith et al., 2015; Weissbrod and Zaidner, 2014), and they mainly propose preliminary lists of taxa. On the other hand, some studies of extant owl pellet assemblages have been performed in the Middle East (Abi-said et al., 2014; Darvish et al., 2000; Haddadian Shad et al., 2014; Kopij and Liven-schulman, 2013; Obuch and Khaleghizadeh, 2012; Rey-Rodríguez et al., 2019; Shehab et al., 2013), providing taxonomic and some taphonomic reference data in Iran, Lebanon, Israel, Turkey and Syria.

65 The main palaeoecological and palaeoclimatic reconstructions based on faunal
66 assemblages relate to the unique niche requirements of a particular species.
67 Belmaker *et al.* (2011) pointed out that their interpretations, in contrast, are based
68 on analyses of community composition. Analyses of persistence *versus* change
69 over time should take into account species presence-absence, rank abundance
70 and proportional abundance (Belmaker and Hovers, 2011).

71 The Middle East is a prime location to study Neanderthal and anatomically
72 modern human (AMH) interactions during the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic
73 transition (Belmaker et al., 2016). However, sites yielding evidence of both
74 Neanderthal and AMH occupations in the same sequence are rare, only five sites
75 were well excavated (Bazgir et al., 2014; Bazgir et al., 2017). In this framework,
76 Kaldar Cave is a key archaeological site for evaluating the influence of
77 environment on cultural changes in both human species because of its location
78 and the faunal and lithic remains. The main objective of this paper is to infer the
79 landscape composition and the climatic conditions in which Neanderthal and
80 AMH populations pursued their activities through the study of small mammals.
81 We identify and describe the rodent fossils recovered from Kaldar Cave in order
82 to establish the main criteria for further identifications in this region and provide a
83 preliminary basis for future systematic studies.

84 **2. Kaldar Cave**

85 Kaldar Cave is located in the northern part of the Khorramabad valley, Lorestan
86 Province, western Iran (48º17'35" E, 33º33'25" N) at 1290 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1a,b). The
87 cave was discovered in 2007, and the first archaeological intervention was
88 undertaken by an Iranian-Spanish team in 2011-2012, revealing the great
89 potential of the cave (Bazgir et al., 2014). A second excavation was performed in
90 2014-2015. The trench exposed an approximately 2m-thick section of
91 sedimentary deposits, characterized by 5 main layers, themselves divided into
92 several sub-layers (Fig. 1c).



Figure 1: a) Locations of the Late Pleistocene sites with rodent studies in the Middle East, including Kaldar Cave. b) General view of the entrance to Kaldar Cave. c) Stratigraphy of the eastern section of Kaldar Cave, including the location and results of the dated samples, from A. Ollé and B. Bazgir (Bazgir et al., 2017).

Layer 5 (including sub-layers 7 and 7II) consists of an extremely cemented reddish-brown sediment with some small angular limestone blocks and Middle Palaeolithic artefacts with Levallois elements. So far, no chronometric data are available for this layer (Bazgir et al., 2017).

Layer 4 (including sub-layers 5, 5II, 6 and 6II) consists of a silty but compact dark-brown sediment with cultural remains attributed to the Upper and early Upper Palaeolithic. In the uppermost parts of this layer, two fireplaces made of clay were recovered and dated through thermoluminescence, yielding ages that ranged from 23,100 ± 3 300 to 29,400 ± 2 300 BP (Bazgir et al., 2017). The dates obtained show that these fireplaces were made or re-used from existing older sediment from the upper part of this layer in the later stages of the Upper Palaeolithic. AMS radiocarbon dates of 38,650–36,750 cal BP, 44,200–42,350 cal BP, and 54,400–46,050 cal BP have been obtained from charcoal material located below this layer (Bazgir et al., 2017; Becerra-Valdivia et al., 2017).

Layers 1 to 3 (including sub-layers 4 and 4II) consist of ashy sediments of a blackish-green colour containing both thick and thin angular limestone clasts. These layers varied in thickness from 60 to 90 cm and contained many phases dating to the Holocene: Islamic and historical eras, Iron Age, Bronze Age, Chalcolithic and Neolithic. However, due to the presence in these layers of bioturbation mainly by burrowing animals, the phases were recognized only by a preliminary study of the potsherds, metal artefacts and some diagnostic lithic artefacts from the lower layers.

This site provides evidence for the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transition in the Middle East (Bazgir et al., 2017). Moreover, Kaldar Cave has yielded the oldest evidence of *Prunus* spp. in the area, through the study of thirty charcoal remains (Allué et al., 2018), that helps us to reconstruct the environmental conditions, evidencing a wooded vegetation.

3. Material and methods

The rodent fossil remains used in this study, 1112 molars, come from the archaeological excavation campaigns carried out in Kaldar Cave in 2011-2012 and 2014-2015.

The samples comprise disarticulated bones and isolated teeth that were collected in the field by water screening using superimposed 5 and 0.5 -mm mesh screens.

3.1. Taxonomy

In this article we only focus on rodents, because these are one of the most useful tools for palaeoenvironmental and palaeoclimatic reconstructions of archaeological sites, and the other material, as amphibians, squamates, birds, shrews and bats, will be studied later. The taxonomic identification of the rodent remains is based mainly on molar morphology and measurements of the lower m1, the taxonomically most diagnostic tooth.

The remains were identified at a specific level whenever possible, thanks to comparisons with reference collections from the Natural History Museum of London (NHM) and comparative morphological and biometric data from the literature, notably for *Microtus* (Coşkun, 2016; Kryštufek and Shenbrot, 2016;

142 Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009; López-García, 2011; Rusin, 2017; Shenbrot,
143 Kryštufek et al. 2016; Tesakov, 2016), *Cricetulus* (Bogicevic et al., 2011;
144 Kryštufek et al., 2017; Sándor, 2018), *Mesocricetus* (Kryštufek and Vohralík,
145 2009), *Meriones* (Coşkun, 1999; Darvish, 2011; Dianat et al., 2017; Kryštufek and
146 Vohralík, 2009; Souttou and Denys, 2012; Stoetzel et al., 2017), *Allactaga*
147 (Karami et al., 2008; Shenbrot, 2009), *Myomimus* (Gerrie and Kennerley, 2017;
148 Karami et al., 2008; Kennerley and Kryštufek, 2019), *Apodemus* (Amori et al.,
149 2016; Bogicevic et al., 2011; Knitlová and Horáček, 2017; Kryštufek and Vohralík,
150 2009; López-García, 2008) and *Mus* (Darviche et al., 2006; Kryštufek and
151 Vohralík, 2009; Siahsarvie and Darvish, 2008).

152 Quantification of taxonomic frequencies was based on standard measures in
153 zooarchaeological analyses, including the number of identified specimens (NISP)
154 and minimum number of individuals (MNI) (Weissbrod and Zaidner, 2014). This
155 latter was estimated using the most abundant skeletal element present in the
156 assemblage (molars in our case).

157 **3.2. Taphonomy**

158 A preliminary study was performed on the Kaldar Cave micromammal remains.
159 This was based on the systematic descriptive method that examines the
160 modifications of prey bones induced by predation, focusing on the degree of
161 digestion observed in teeth during the identification (Andrews, 1990; Fernández-
162 Jalvo et al., 2016). This study showed a significant number of digested teeth
163 (Bazgir et al., 2017), indicating that predation activity was the origin of at least
164 part of the accumulation.

165 **3.3. Palaeoenvironmental and palaeoclimatic reconstructions**

166 *3.3.1. Habitat weighting method*

167 Palaeoecological interpretations derived from faunal data are based on analyses
168 of community composition (Belmaker and Hovers, 2011). The method used for
169 the palaeoenvironmental reconstruction is the *habitat weighting method*, also
170 named the *taxonomic habitat index* (Evans et al., 1981; Andrews, 2006; modified
171 by Blain et al., 2008; López-García et al., 2011), which is based on the current

distribution of each taxon in the habitat(s) where it can be found nowadays. We assume that the Kaldar Cave species had equivalent ecological requirements to their present-day relatives, because the Late Pleistocene species are the same as those today and no extinct fossil species are found in the assemblage. We adapt the method to our studied area, differentiating the following types of habitats: Forest (Fo), a large area covered with trees; Shrubland (Sh), vegetation dominated by shrubs; Grassland (Gr), an open area covered with grass; Desert (De), an area with little precipitation and no vegetation cover; Wetland (We), an area where water covers the soil; Steppe (St), a dry grassy plain; and Rocky (Ro), a rocky or stony substrate. Each species has a score of 1.00, which is divided between the habitats where the species can be found at present (Table 1), all the species ranges were taken from the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (https://www.iucnredlist.org/resources/spatial-data-download).

Species	**Fo**	**Sh**	**Gr**	**De**	**We**	**St**	**Ro**
Ellobius lutescens			0.33	0.33		0.33	
Ellobius fuscocapillus						1	
Microtus irani			1				
Microtus guentheri			1				
Microtus socialis		0.5				0.5	
Chionomys nivalis							1
Cricetulus migratorius			0.33	0.33		0.33	
Mesocricetus brandti						1	
Meriones persicus		0.33	0.33				0.33
Allactaga sp.				0.5		0.5	
Apodemus sp.	1						
Mus musculus		0.33	0.33		0.33		
Myomimus sp.				1			

Table 1: scores attributed to each rodent species found at Kaldar Cave according to its ecological requirements, used for the *habitat weighting method*: Forest (Fo), Shrubland (Sh), Grassland (Gr), Desert (De), Wetland (We), Steppe (St) and Rocky (Ro).

3.3.2. Bioclimatic Model

In order to reconstruct the climate at Kaldar Cave, we applied the *bioclimatic model* (*BM*), which was developed by Hernández-Fernández (2001) on the basis of the faunal spectrum, assuming that small- and large-mammal species can be

ascribed to ten different climates (Hernández-Fernández, 2001; Hernández-Fernández and Peláez-Campomanes, 2003; Hernández-Fernández et al., 2007). It was first necessary to calculate the climatic restriction index (CRI_i=1/n, where *i* is the climatic zone where the species appear and *n* is the number of zones where the species is present) and the bioclimatic component ($BC_i = (\Sigma\ CRI_i)\ 100/S$, where *i* is the climatic zone and *S* is the number of species). To BC a mathematical model is applied as a regression that allows us to calculate climatic parameters (see Appendix 1).

The different climatic groups defined by Hernández-Fernández (2001) and Hernández-Fernández et al. (2007) are: I *equatorial*; II *tropical with summer rains*; II/III *transition tropical semiarid*; III *sub-tropical arid*; IV, *subtropical* with winter rains and summer droughts; V, *warm temperate* with not very severe winters but high humidity; VI, *typical temperate* with winters that are cold but not very long, but summers that are cool; VII, *arid-temperate* with large temperature contrasts between winter and summer; VIII, *cold-temperate* with cool summers and long cold winters (boreal) and IX, *artic* (Table 2).

Species	I	II	II/III	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
Ellobius lutescens								1		
Ellobius fuscocapillus				0.33	0.33			0.33		
Microtus irani					1					
Microtus socialis					0.5			0.5		
Chionomys nivalis					0.25		0.25		0.25	0.25
Cricetulus migratorius					0.33		0.33	0.33		
Mesocricetus brandti					1					
Meriones persicus				0.5	0.5					
Allactaga sp.				0.5				0.5		
Apodemus sp.							1			
Mus musculus	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	

Table 2: scores attributed to each rodent species found at Kaldar Cave for the bioclimatic model (in accordance with Hernández-Fernández, 2001; Hernández-Fernández et al., 2007). See text for the significance of the Roman numerals corresponding to the climatic groups.

By means of the *BM* we were able to estimate the mean annual temperature (MAT), the mean temperature of the coldest month (MTC), the mean temperature of the warmest month (MTW) and the mean annual precipitation (MAP). This

218 method also allowed us to assess whether there were changes in the temperature
219 and precipitation regimes during the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transition at
220 Kaldar Cave.

221 **4. Results and discussion**

222 **4.1. Taxonomic identifications**

223 In total 264 minimum number of individuals (MNI) were identified (Table 3 and
224 Fig. 2). Some differences were found with respect to the preliminary list previously
225 published by Bazgir et al. (2017). We notably found some new specimens and
226 reconsidered the identifications for *Ellobius talpinus*, *Calomyscus* sp. and
227 *Dryomys* cf*. nitedula*.

Figure 2: Some rodent species identified at Kaldar Cave in comparison with equivalent (analogue) modern specimens from the NHM of London. **1) *Microtus irani***: 1.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 5 (sub-layer 7II), E7, 150-156, right lower m1, number 447. 1.2-modern, NHM205207, Iran (Shiraz), right lower m1; **2) *Microtus guentheri***: 2.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layers 1-3 (sub-layer 4II), F6, 97-107, left lower m1, number 17; **3) *Microtus socialis***: 3.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 4 (sub-layer 5II), F7, 115-118, right lower m1, number 106. 3.2-modern, NHM631799, Turkey (Amasya); **4) *Chionomys nivalis***: 4.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 4 (sub-layer 5), E6, 94-104, left lower m1, number 542. 4.2-modern,

NHM71820, Syria, left lower m1; **5) *Ellobius fuscocapillus***: 5.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 4 (sub-layer 5II), E6, 125-130, right lower m1, number 157. 5.2-modern, NHM86101513, Afghanistan, right lower m1; **6) *Ellobius lutescens***: 6.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 5 (sub-layer 7II), F6, 130-140, right lower m1, number 319. 6.2-NMH916416, Turkey, right lower m1; **7) *Cricetulus migratorius***: 7.1- Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 4 (sub-layer 5II), E6, 135-140, left lower m1, number 259. 7.2-modern, NHM773029, Iran, right lower m1; **8) *Mesocricetus brandti***: 8.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 5 (sub-layer 7II), F6, 135-145, left upper M1, number 549. 8.2- modern, NHM193461226, Caucasus, left upper M1; **9) *Meriones* cf. *persicus***: 9.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 5 (sub-layer 7II), F6, 156-166, left lower m1, number 551. 9.2-modern, NHM510434, Iran, left lower m1; **10) *Allactaga* sp.**: 10.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 5 (sub-layer 7II), E7, 141-147, right lower m3, number 203; **11) *Myomimus* sp.**: 11.1- Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 5 (sub-layer 7II), E7, 141-147, left lower m1 and m2. 11.2-modern, ***Myomimus personatus***, NHM67623, Turkey, left lower mandible; **12) *Apodemus* sp.**: 12.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 4 (sub-layer 5), E6, 94-104, right m1 and m2, number 547; **13) *Mus* cf. *musculus***: 13.1-Kaldar Cave, 2014, Layer 5 (sub-layer 7II), 166-174, left lower m1, number 495. Scale 1 mm

	Layer 5			Layer 4			Layers 1-3		
Taxon	**NISP**	**MNI**	**NISP%**	**NISP**	**MNI**	**NISP%**	**NISP**	**MNI**	**NISP%**
Indet.	3	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	-
Microtus spp.	292	51	52.33	258	31	48.31	4	3	20
Microtus irani	14	9	2.51	0	0	0.00	1	1	5
Microtus guentheri	0	0	0.00	16	9	3.00	1	1	5
Microtus socialis	35	20	6.27	36	20	6.74	3	3	15
Chionomys nivalis	6	3	1.08	17	12	3.18	2	1	10
Ellobius spp.	80	7	14.34	91	8	17.04	2	2	10
Ellobius fuscocapillus	5	4	0.90	5	3	0.94	1	1	5
Ellobius lutescens	10	6	1.79	10	7	1.87	-	-	-
Cricetulus migratorius	5	3	0.90	14	3	2.62	1	1	5
Mesocricetus brandti	7	4	1.25	3	1	0.56	0	0	0
Meriones cf. *persicus*	88	18	15.77	65	17	12.17	3	2	15
Allactaga sp.	1	1	0.18	1	1	0.19	0	0	0
Myomimus sp.	5	3	0.90	0	0	0.00	0	0	0
Apodemus sp.	5	2	0.90	6	3	1.12	2	1	10
Mus cf. *musculus*	2	1	0.36	1	1	0.19	0	0	0
Total	**558**	**132**	100.00	**534**	**116**	100.00	**20**	**16**	100.00

Table 3: Representation of the Kaldar Cave rodent species in terms of number of identified specimens (NISP), minimum number of individuals (MNI) and percentage of the NISP (%).

Order Rodentia Bowdich, 1821

Rodentia indet.

There are 14 teeth (11 in Layer 4 and 3 in Layer 5) that have not been identified to the family or genus level because they were broken.

Family Cricetidae Fisher, 1817

Subfamily Arvicolinae Gray, 1821

Genus *Microtus* Schrank, 1798

***Microtus* spp.**

Material: 554 isolated teeth**. Layer 1-3:** four isolated teeth; three left lower m1 and one right lower m1. **Layer 4:** 258 isolated teeth; 81 m2; 101 m3, 13 right upper M1, 31 right lower m1; four left upper M1 and 28 left lower m1. **Layer 5:** 292 isolated teeth; 119 m2; 53 m3; nine left upper M1, 51 left lower m1; 10 right upper M1; 50 right lower m1.

***Microtus socialis* Pallas, 1773**

Material: 74 isolated teeth. **Layer 1-3:** three isolated teeth, three right lower m1. **Layer 4:** 36 isolated teeth; 20 right lower m1 and 16 left lower m1. **Layer 5:** 35 isolated teeth; 20 right lower m1 and 15 left lower m1.

***Microtus irani* Thomas, 1921**

Material: 15 isolated teeth. **Layer 1-3:** one isolated tooth, one right lower m1. **Layer 5:** 14 isolated teeth; nine right lower m1 and five left lower m1.

***Microtus guentheri* Danford & Alston, 1880**

Material: 17 isolated teeth. **Layer 1-3:** one isolated tooth, one left lower m1. **Layer 4:** 16 isolated teeth; nine right lower m1 and seven left lower m1.

Description and discussion: all the molars are hypsodont and arhizodont with crown cementum in the re-entrant angles. The enamel differentiation is *Microtus*-like. Six extant species of *Microtus* have been identified in Iran: *Microtus arvalis*, *Microtus irani*, *Microtus kermanensis*, *Microtus socialis*, *Microtus transcaspicus* and *Microtus guentheri* (Aşan Baydemir and Duman, 2009; Golenishchev et al., 2019; Firouz, 2005).

Microtus m1 are characterized by four buccal and five lingual re-entrant angles (Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009; Tsytsulina et al., 2017) with a posterior lobe (PL), seven triangles (T) and an anterior cap (AC). We identified the Kaldar specimens on the basis of their measurements (Table 4), the arrangement and morphology of the triangles, and the AC (which may or may not be connected with the other elements).

Species	**NS**	**L (Length)**		**La (Width T4)**		**Li (Width T5)**		**Total Width**	
Reference collection		**Mean**	**Min-Max**	**Mean**	**Min-Max**	**Mean**	**Min-max**	**Mean**	**Max-Min**
Microtus irani	4	3.06	2.98-3.23	0.42	0.40-0.44	0.64	0.62-0.67	1.06	1.03-1.08
Microtus socialis	69	2.78	2.29-3.34	0.42	0.32-0.51	0.6	0.44-0.73	1.02	0.78-1.19
Microtus arvalis	25	2.72	2.45-2.96	0.39	0.32-0.46	0.6	0.50-0.70	1	0.83-1.13
Microtus guentheri	70	2.58	2.2-3						
Kaldar Cave									
Microtus guentheri	17	2.52	2.18-3.01	0.35	0.27-0.43	0.54	0.40-0.68	0.90	0.70-1.10
Microtus irani	15	2.89	2.52-3.39	0.41	0.37-0.50	0.62	0.54-0.70	1.05	0.92-1.18
Microtus socialis	74	2.54	2.06-3.01	0.37	0.28-0.48	0.55	0.40-0.70	0.92	0.70-1.16

Table 4: Measurements of *Microtus* specimens (in mm): NS, number of specimens; L, length; La, T4 width; Li, T5 width.

In our sample we identified *Microtus* spp., *Microtus socialis*, *Microtus guentheri* and *Microtus irani.*

In *Microtus socialis*, the triangles from T1-T5 are closed, but T6-T7 are parallel and broadly confluent with the AC. *Microtus guentheri* is distinguishable from *Microtus socialis* by the rounded shape of the AC, which is of an arhombomorph type (Aşan Baydemir and Duman, 2009), and also by T6-T7, which are separated

from the AC. In accordance with the measurements given in Aşan Baydemir et al. (2009), the size of *Microtus guentheri* and *Microtus socialis* is similar. In *Microtus irani*, triangles T1-T5 are closed, but T6-T7 are open and not parallel with one another; moreover, *Microtus irani* is clearly larger than the other species considered in our reference collection and also in our sample. Finally, we include in *Microtus* spp. all the elements that we cannot attribute to one species or another, in particular because of their bad state of preservation (due to digestion, breakage).

Habitat and distribution: *Microtus irani* occupies grasslands in Iran, Turkey and the Caucasus (Kryštufek and Kefelioğlu, 2001).

Microtus socialis is found in Russia, Ukraine, the Caucasus, Transcaucasia, Turkestan, Iran and Afghanistan (Tsytsulina et al., 2017), although the range is fragmented. It is a highly colonial species, found in steppe habitats and also in agricultural lands, but extending also to bushy scrubs and uncultivated mountain valleys, as well as to open oak forests on dry hillsides (Tsytsulina et al., 2017).

Microtus guentheri occurs from the southeastern Balkans and Turkey through Syria, Lebanon and Israel, with an isolated range segment in northern Libya, Iran and some parts of Europe (Aşan Baydemir and Duman, 2009). This species is present in dry grasslands with sparse vegetation (Amr, 2015).

Genus *Chionomys* Miller, 1908

***Chionomys nivalis* Martins, 1842**

Material: 25 isolated teeth. **Layer 1-3:** two isolated teeth; one left lower m1 and one right lower m1. **Layer 4:** 17 isolated teeth; 12 right lower m1; five left lower m1. **Layer 5:** six isolated teeth; three left lower m1; three right lower m1.

Description and discussion: in our specimens it can be observed that the first lower molars display five triangles and that the morphology of the anteroconid complex (AC) is characteristic of the *nivalis* morphotype, where triangles T6 and T7 are absent and the anterior cap is of an arrowhead or oval shape, inclined towards the labial part. The enamel is of the *Microtus* type with cement (Krystufek, 2017; Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009; López-García, 2011). These specimens

differ from *Microtus* in that they only have five triangles, and the morphology of the AC is the other main characteristic of this species that helps us to differentiate it from *Microtus*.

Habitat and distribution: *Chionomys nivalis* has a global distribution extending from southwestern Europe through southeastern Europe to the Caucasus, Turkey, Israel, Lebanon, Syria and Iran. In Iran it is distributed in the north and also in the west (Shenbrot and Krasnov, 2005; Krystufek, 2017). This is the only *Chionomys* species occurring today in Iran, but two other species (*C. gud, C. roberti*) are represented a little further north (northeastern Turkey, southern Georgia).

Regarding its habitat, it is present in open rocky areas, typically above the tree line and with scarce vegetation cover (Amori, 1999).

Genus *Ellobius* Fischer, 1814

***Ellobius* spp.**

Material: 173 isolated teeth. **Layer 1-3:** two isolated teeth; two left lower m1. **Layer 4:** 91 isolated teeth; seven indet.; eight right lower m1; five left lower m1; 11 upper M1; 43 m2; 17 m3. **Layer 5:** 80 isolated teeth; seven left lower m1; three upper M1; 45 m2; 25 m3.

***Ellobius fuscocapillus* Blyth, 1843**

Material: 11 isolated teeth. **Layer 1-3:** one isolated tooth; one right lower m1. **Layer 4:** five isolated teeth; two right lower m1; three left lower m1. **Layer 5:** five isolated teeth; four left lower m1 and one right lower m1.

***Ellobius lutescens* Thomas, 1897**

Material: 20 isolated teeth. **Layer 4:** 10 isolated teeth; seven right lower m1 and three left lower m1. **Layer 5:** 10 isolated teeth; four left lower m1 and six right lower m1.

Description and discussion: 204 isolated teeth show the typical traits of the genus *Ellobius* (Miller, 1896; Hinton, 1962, Kretzoi, 1969; Coşkun, 2016; Kryštufek & Shenbrot, 2016; Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009; Rusin, 2017;

Shenbrot et al., 2016; Tesakov, 2016). *Ellobius* molars are notably characterized by the presence of roots that are well visible in adults and old individuals, but not always apparent in young specimens (Coşkun, 2016). Moreover, *Ellobius* molars lack cement in the re-entrant angles. The *Ellobius* m1 is composed of the anterior cap (AC), five triangles (T) with three buccal and four lingual salient angles, and one posterior lobe (PL). Both M3 and m3 are reduced and smaller than the other molars, with three triangles on the labial side and two triangles on the lingual side. M1 has three inner and outer folds, and M2 and M3 have two inner and two outer re-entrant folds. The first and second upper molars have three triangles on the lingual and labial sides. However, the re-entrant angle between the first and the second triangles on the lingual side is more superficial (Gharkheloo and Kıvanç, 2003).

In Iran, the genus *Ellobius* is currently represented by three species: *Ellobius fuscocapillus*, *Ellobius lutescens* and *Ellobius talpinus* (Firouz, 2005; Kryštufek & Shenbrot, 2016; Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009; Moradi Gharkheloo, 2003; Rusin, 2017; Shenbrot et al., 2016). The m1 is quite similar among these species, but there are some differences that can help us to differentiate them.

The AC is broad in *Ellobius lutescens*, narrow in *Ellobius talpinus* and elongated in *Ellobius fuscocapillus* (Maul et al., 2015b). The distance between T4 and T5 (W) and the total length (L) differ among the species (Table 5). We have observed in modern specimens from the Natural History Museum of London that *Ellobius fuscocapillus* is the largest and *Ellobius talpinus* the smallest.

		Ellobius fuscocapillus				***Ellobius lutescens***		***Ellobius talpinus***	
		Layer 5, NISP=5	**Layer 4, NISP=5**	**Layer 1-3, NISP=1**	**NHM, NISP=12**	**Layer 5, NISP=9**	**Layer 4, NISP=10**	**NHM, NISP=14**	**NHM, NISP=14**
L	**Min-Max**	3.29-3.84	3.73-3.74	-	3.06-4.05	3.08-3.32	3.05-3.4	3.07-3.3	2.86-3.35
	Mean	3.45	3.74	-	3.53	3.28	3.29	3.21	3.12
W	**Min-Max**	1.32-1.51	1.40-1.54	-	1.3-1.59	1.20-1.45	1.13-1.48	1.11-1.52	1.09-1.72
	Mean	1.45	1.48	1.5	1.41	1.34	1.32	1.34	1.24

Table 5: Measurements of *Ellobius* specimens (in mm): L, total length; W, width. NHM: Natural History Museum of London. NISP: number of identified specimens.

In our sample we identify as *Ellobius* spp. those m1s that are too broken or digested to distinguish, as well as all the m2, m3, M1, M2 and M3 because of the lack of discriminant characters. The identification of *Ellobius lutescens* and *Ellobius fuscocapillus* in the Kaldar material is based on the morphology of the AC and the measurements (L and W). We do not identify *Ellobius talpinus* in our sample, as no specimen displays morphological features characteristic of this species, such as the narrow AC, and because the measured specimens are larger than *E. talpinus*.

Habitat and distribution: *Ellobius* species frequent steppes, grasslands and semi-deserts in eastern Europe and central Asia; these fossorial species are specialised in subterranean life (Coşkun, 2016; Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009). *Ellobius lutescens* is a Palaearctic species distributed across Iran, Iraq, Azerbaijan, Armenia, Transcaucasia and East Anatolia (Thomas, 1905; Ellerman and Morrison-Scott, 1951; Darlington, 1957; Osborn, 1962; Walker, 1964; Lay, 1967; Hassinger, 1973; Roberts, 1977; Corbet, 1978; Corbet and Hill, 1991; Wilson and Reeder, 2005; Coşkun, 1997, 2016; Nowak, 1999; Kryštufek & Shenbrot, 2016). In Iran, this species is found in mountain grasslands, sandy semi-deserts and steppe areas (Kryštufek & Shenbrot, 2016; Tesakov, 2016). *Ellobius fuscocapillus* shows a wide range across eastern Iran, Turkmenistan, Afghanistan and Pakistan. In Iran it is found in open steppes with loose soil (Shenbrot, Kryštufek & Molur, 2016).

Subfamily Cricetinae Fischer, 1817

Genus *Cricetulus* Milne-Edwards, 1867

***Cricetulus migratorius* Pallas, 1773**

Material: 19 isolated teeth. **Layer 1-3:** one isolated tooth; one right lower m3. **Layer 4:** 13 isolated teeth; three left lower m1, two left upper M2; three right lower m1, three right upper M1 and two right upper M2. **Layer 5:** five isolated teeth; three left lower m1 and two right lower m1.

Description and discussion: the first molars (m1 and M1) are brachyodont and cuspidate, with two longitudinal series of cusps. Each series of cusps consists of three pairs. The m1 and M1 are the largest and the m3/M3 the smallest. The lower m3 only has two pairs of cusps (Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009). We identify *Cricetulus migratorius* in all the layers of Kaldar Cave in accordance with the measurements and identification keys for molars based on the morphology and arrangement of the tubercles and cusps provided by Kryštufek and Vohralík (2009). We also draw comparisons with the reference collection from Iran, Afghanistan and Azerbaijan housed in the Natural History Museum of London. The grey hamster, or migratory hamster, is the smallest hamster species (Bogicevic et al., 2011; Sándor, 2018).

Habitat and distribution: *Cricetulus migratorius* extends from eastern Europe through Russia and central Asia to Mongolia and western China (Kryštufek et al., 2017; Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009). In Iran, this species is found all over the country. The habitats of this species are mostly dry grasslands, steppes and semi-deserts. Arid areas with relatively sparse vegetation are preferred (Kryštufek et al., 2017; Maul et al., 2015a).

Genus *Mesocricetus* Nehring, 1898

***Mesocricetus brandti* Nehring, 1898**

Material: 10 isolated teeth. **Layer 4:** three isolated teeth; one left upper M1, one right lower m1 and one right upper M1. **Layer 5:** seven isolated teeth; four left upper M1, one right upper M2 and two right upper M1.

Description and discussion: the specimens from Kaldar Cave are attributed to *Mesocricetus brandti* on the basis of the size and morphology of the teeth. The molars present a similar morphological pattern to *Cricetulus migratorius*, but are significantly larger in size. The first molars have six tubercles, the second and third molars only four. The largest molars are m1 and M1, whereas m2/M2 and m3/M3 are reduced (Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009). In Iran we also find *Mesocricetus raddei*, which presents a similar morphology of the teeth to *Mesocricetus brandti*, but compared with the NHM reference collection of the latter, *Mesocricetus raddei* is bigger

Habitat and distribution: *Mesocricetus brandti* has the largest distributional area of the species belonging to the genus *Mesocricetus*, ranging from Anatolia, Transcaucasia (Armenia, Georgia and Azerbaijan) and southeast Dagestan to northwest Iran (Qazvin in the east, Lorestan in the south; Lay, 1967). This species is found at altitudes from sea level up to 2,600 m. However, the primary range is from 1,000-2,200 m. *Mesocricetus brandti* is found in arid and semi-arid steppe habitats in lowlands and in mountainous areas (Kryštufek et al., 2015; Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009; Neumann et al., 2017)

Family Muridae Illiger, 1811

Subfamily Gerbillinae Gray, 1825

Genus *Meriones*, Illiger 1811

***Meriones* cf. *persicus* Blanford, 1875**

Material: 157 isolated teeth. **Layer 1-3:** three isolated teeth; two left upper M1 and one right lower m1. **Layer 4:** 65 isolated teeth; 21 m2; four m3; 17 left lower m1; eight right upper M1, five left upper M1; 10 right lower m1. **Layer 5:** 88 isolated teeth; eight m3; 21 m2; 18 left lower m1, 10 left upper M1, 16 right upper M1 and 15 right lower m1.

Description and discussion: the genus *Meriones* is one of the most diverse among the tribe Gerbillini in the Palaearctic region, particularly in arid regions of Asia (Darvish et al., 2011; Denys, 2017). The *Meriones* species reported in Iran are: *Meriones crassus*, *Meriones hurrianae, Meriones lybicus, Meriones meridianus, Meriones persicus, Meriones tristrami, Meriones vinogradovi* and *Meriones zarudnyi* (Darvish, 2011; Dianat et al., 2017; Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009; Souttou and Denys, 2012).

The material from Kaldar Cave attributed to the genus *Meriones* displays the typical morphology of this group, including semi-hypsodont molars with prismatic enamel triangles linked by a longitudinal crest and with no trace of cusps. In our sample, we identify first upper molars (M1) with three roots, which is characteristic of *Meriones persicus* and *Meriones tristrami.* Unfortunately, the dental morphology of *Meriones persicus* and *Meriones tristrami* is very similar; there are

three roots in m1, the second molars have two transverse plates and two roots, whereas the third molars are simple and rounded with a single root (Coşkun, 2016; Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009).

Given its current distribution and the morphological traits observed in the reference collection from Iran, Azerbaijan and Pakistan housed in the Natural History Museum of London, we provisionally attribute our specimens to *Meriones* cf. *persicus,* especially in the light of the number of roots and the morphology of M1 and m1, pending a revision of the Middle Eastern species of the genus.

Habitat and distribution: the genus *Meriones* is distributed across North Africa, Central Asia, Transcaucasia, Turkey and Pakistan (Darvish et al., 2014; Stoetzel et al., 2017). It lives mostly in dry steppes of short or tall grass, on open hillside, among rocky outcrops in desolate steppes, or in open dry meadows. The distribution of *Meriones persicus* ranges from the Caucasus (including the southeastern foothills of the Lesser Caucasus and the Talysh Plateau in Azerbaijan) in the west, through northeastern Iraq and Iran to Turkmenistan, Afghanistan (Habibi, 2004) and Pakistan, where it is widely distributed. It generally occurs in arid, rocky or mountainous regions (Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009; Molur & Sozen, 2016).

Family Dipodidae Fischer, 1817

Genus *Allactaga* Cuvier, 1837

***Allactaga* sp.**

Material: two isolated teeth. **Layer 4:** one indet. **Layer 5:** one right lower m3.

Description and discussion: this rodent group is poorly known in the Middle East (Shenbrot, 2009) and sometimes there is a size overlap between the species. In our sample, we only found two items attributed to *Allactaga* sp. on the basis of the complete lower m3, which presents a morphology with two inner folds and one outer fold; the other tooth is broken, which prevents any precise identification.

Habitat and distribution: in Iran four species are currently present: *Allactaga elater*, *Allactaga euphratica*, *Allactaga firouzi* and *Allactaga hotsoni* (Karami et al., 2008). The different species are morphologically very close and remain poorly studied. They are mainly found in steppe vegetation and semi-desert areas (Shenbrot, 2009).

Family Gliridae Thomas, 1897

Genus *Myomimus* Ognev, 1924

***Myomimus* sp.**

Material: five isolated teeth, **Layer 5:** five isolated teeth, one right lower m1, three right lower m2 and one left lower m2.

Description and discussion: the genus *Myomimus* is present in Iran with two species, *Myomimus personatus* and *Myomimus setzeri* (Firouz, 2005; Gerrie & Kennerley, 2017; Karami et al., 2008; Kennerley & Kryštufek, 2019). Regarding the remains found at Kaldar, the m1 is of a trapezium-like shape, and its anterior part tends to be narrower than the posterior part. The m1 has three roots, two in the anterior part and one in the posterior part. The m2 is subrectangular, and its occlusal pattern is simpler than in the m1. There are three roots in total, two in the anterior part and one in the posterior part (Kaya and Kaymakçı, 2018; Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009). The two species are similar to one another and poorly studied. Consequently, we were unable to identify the Kaldar material precisely. Moreover, the reference collection of the Natural History Museum of London only houses *Myomimus personatus* specimens, which could therefore not be compared with *Myomimus setzeri*.

Habitat and distribution: these species are not well known as regards their distribution. They are mainly found in desert areas (Gerrie & Kennerley, 2017; Kennerley & Kryštufek, 2019).

Family Muridae Illiger , 1811

Genus *Apodemus* Kaup, 1829

***Apodemus* sp.**

Material: 13 isolated teeth. **Layer 1-3:** two isolated teeth; one left lower m1 and one left lower m2; **Layer 4:** six isolated teeth; three left lower m1, two right lower m1 and one left upper M1. **Layer 5**: five isolated teeth; two left lower m1, one right lower m1, one right upper M1 and one m2.

Description and discussion: the first lower molar (m1) can be seen to present a low occlusal surface with six main cusps. The anterolabial and posterolabial cusps of m1 converge in an X-shape. The posterior cusp of m1 is low, rounded and well developed, with two or three secondary cusps in the labial part, and a mesial tubercle. We attribute the remains found in Kaldar Cave to *Apodemus* sp. because they present the traits characteristic of the genus *Apodemus* (Amori et al., 2016; Bogicevic et al., 2011; Knitlová and Horáček, 2017; Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009; López-García, 2008).

Habitat and distribution: five *Apodemus* species are currently recognized in Iran: *A. hyrcanicus*, *A. flavicollis*, *A. witherbyi, A. avicennicus* and *A. uralensis*, all belonging to the *Sylvaemus* sub-genus (Jangjoo et al., 2011). *Apodemus* has a large distribution range extending from Great Britain across much of continental Europe to the Urals. It also extends east through Turkey to western Armenia, the Zagros Mountains of Iran and south to Syria, Lebanon and Israel. It inhabits a variety of woodland habitats (Amori et al., 2016).

Genus *Mus* Linnaeus, 1758

***Mus* cf. *musculus* Linnaeus, 1758**

Material: three isolated teeth. **Layer 4**: one isolated tooth; one left upper M1. **Layer 5:** two isolated teeth; one indeterminate and one left lower m1.

Description and discussion: as in other murines, the first upper molar (M1) has three rows of tubercles: the first (t1, t2, t3) and second (t4, t5 and t6) groups situated in the anterior part have three tubercles, and the third group (t7 and t9) has two tubercles (Darviche et al., 2006). In Iran (Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009) both *Mus musculus domesticus* and *Mus macedonicus* may be found.

560 The specimens from Kaldar Cave fit well with *M. musculus* as regards the upper
561 M1 morphology: the lingual row of cusps (t1 and t4) is shifted posteriorly; cusp t7
562 is reduced to an enamel ridge; distal cusps t8 and t9 leave no space for a
563 posterior cingulum or posterolabial cusp t12 (Siahsarvie and Darvish, 2008). The
564 dental ends of the mesial and central cusps on m1 fuse early; the mesiolabial
565 cusp is small. Upper molars normally have three roots each, one lingual and two
566 labial, whereas lower molars are two-rooted (one anterior and one posterior)
567 (Kryštufek and Vohralík, 2009). Despite these observations, bearing in mind the
568 high intra-specific morphological variability, the low quantity of material and the
569 bad state of preservation of some teeth (Fig. 2.13), we only attribute the Kaldar
570 material to *M.* cf. *musculus*.

571 **Habitat and distribution:** *Mus musculus* is a commensal species, well
572 distributed throughout the world: it is present over all the continents except
573 Antarctica. It is found in a wide range of habitats but tends not to be found in
574 forest and deserts. *Mus musculus* is ecologically highly opportunistic but a weak
575 competitor; it can cope with aridity and can also expand into the desert (Kryštufek
576 and Vohralík, 2009). It is also found in arid habitats along the border with Syria
577 and Iraq, in desert landscapes and near the Euphrates River (Kryštufek &
578 Vohralík, 2009; Denys, 2017).

579 **4.2. Taphonomic remarks**

580 According to the different degrees of digestion (mostly light, moderate and, heavy
581 in a few cases) observed in the molars previously studied by one of the authors
582 (M. F.-G) and published in Bazgir et al. (2017), the predator responsible for this
583 accumulation could be a category 3 predator such as the tawny owl (*Strix aluco*)
584 or the Eurasian eagle owl (*Bubo bubo*). Both species are currently present in the
585 area, have opportunistic hunting habits, and are sedentary, so their prey
586 spectrum is assumed to be a good representation of the ecosystem in which they
587 live.

588 **4.3. Past landscape and climate at Kaldar Cave**

589 The small-mammal assemblages from Layers 4 and 5 of Kaldar Cave are
590 dominated by the genus *Microtus* (60 individuals in Layer 4 and 79 in Layer 5),

591 followed by *Ellobius* in Layer 4 (17 individuals) and *Meriones* cf. *persicus* (17
592 individuals in Layer 4 and 18 in Layer 5). These species indicate that the
593 environment in the area was mainly composed of open dry and steppe areas,
594 although we also found *Apodemus* sp. and *Mus* cf. *musculus*, which are related
595 rather to a dense vegetation cover (including trees/bush). All the species
596 identified at Kaldar Cave still occur in the area today.

597 Layers 1-3 do not yield enough material to draw palaeoclimatic inferences (MNI
598 < 30). For Layers 4 and 5, the *bioclimatic model* shows similar results (Table 6).
599 It should be pointed out that some species are not included in the *BM* data matrix,
600 such as *Myomimus* (present in Layer 4) and *Microtus guentheri* (Layer 5),
601 because their values did not appear in the *BM* and could not be used in the
602 analysis. The *BM* based on the small mammals from Kaldar Cave suggests lower
603 temperatures and lower precipitation than at present in both Layers 4 and 5
604 (Table 6). The MAT is around 6ºC lower, and there is a higher temperature
605 amplitude between maximum and minimum mean temperatures. This indicates
606 that Layers 4 and 5 of Kaldar Cave were deposited during a colder period, which
607 is not in agreement with the preliminary interpretations of Bazgir et al. (2017)
608 suggesting a temperate “interstadial”.

	Layer 5	SD	Δ	Layer 4	SD	Δ	Current values
Mean annual temperature	10.90 ºC	3.39	-6ºC	11.73ºC	3.39	-5.17ºC	16.90 ºC
Maximum mean temperature	23.45 ºC	4.77	-6.15ºC	23.10ºC	4.77	-6.5ºC	29.60 ºC
Minimum mean temperature	-1.69 ºC	4.66	-6.69ºC	0.25ºC	4.66	-4.75ºC	5ºC
Mean annual precipitation	258.68mm	533.24mm	-229.32mm	104.08mm	533.24mm	-383.92mm	488mm

609

610

611 Table 6: Bioclimatic model estimates for Kaldar Cave. SD, standard deviation. Δ
612 (difference between the values obtained by analysing the rodents from Kaldar
613 Cave and current values).Current values obtained from: https://en.climate-
614 data.org/asia/iran/lorestan/khorramabad-764550/

The climate in Khorramabad nowadays is warm and temperate. The mean annual temperature is 16.9ºC, the maximum mean temperature occurs in July with 29.6ºC and the minimum mean temperature is in January with 5ºC (https://en.climate-data.org/asia/iran/lorestan/khorramabad-764550/). This contrast with the climactic conditions inferred form the *BM* on Kaldar Cave with lower temperatures and drier conditions. For layer 5, mean annual temperature is 6ºC lower than nowadays, the maximum mean temperature 6.15ºC lower than the current values and the minimum mean temperature is 6.69ºC lower than the extant temperatures; the mean annual precipitation is 229.32mm lower than at present. This tendency is also observable on the Layer 4 mean annual temperature is 5.17ºC lower than at present, the maximum mean temperature 6.5ºC lower than nowadays and the minimum mean temperature is 4.75ºC lower than the extant temperatures; the mean annual precipitation is 383.92mm lower than at present. (Table 6). Comparing both levels Layer 5 is slightly colder than Layer 4, and Layer 4 is drier than Layer 5.

Applying the *habitat weighting method*, our data show a palaeoenvironment mainly composed of steppes in both levels. In Layer 4, grasslands are also well represented, notably through the presence of *Microtus guentheri*. In Layer 5, deserts are the second most represented habitat, thanks to the considerable presence of *Myomimus* sp. The proportion of rocky areas is also significant, indicated by the presence of *Chionomys nivalis* and *Meriones* cf. *persicus* (Figure 3).



644 Figure 3: Results of the *habitat weighting method* for Kaldar Cave (Layer 4 and
645 Layer 5). Forest (Fo), Shrubland (Sh), Grassland (Gr), Desert (De), Wetland
646 (We), Steppe (St) and Rocky (Ro).

647

648 There thus seems to be no major palaeoenvironmental or palaeoclimatic change
649 that can explain the cultural shift between Layer 5 (Middle Palaeolithic) and 4
650 (Upper Palaeolithic). However, as also observed with the climatic parameters,
651 Layer 5 appears slightly colder and drier than Layer 4, with a higher proportion of
652 desert habitats.

653 We can compare these results with previous studies carried out in Kaldar Cave
654 using other palaeoenvironmental proxies. Charcoal analyses (Allué et al., 2018;
655 Bazgir et al., 2017) indicate that there were active water sources or flows, with
656 specific plant communities characteristic of open forest growing in cool and dry
657 conditions (Allué et al., 2018). The presence of forested areas is also supported
658 by the large mammals, with the presence of *Sus scrofa*, *Capreolus* sp. and
659 *Cervus elaphus* (Bazgir et al., 2017). Several amphibian and squamate species
660 have also been found (Bazgir et al., 2017): a toad (*Bufo* sp.), an agamid lizard
661 (Agamidae indet.), a gecko (Gekkonidae indet.), a skink (Scincidae indet.), a
662 lacertid (Lacertidae indet.), a glass lizard (*Pseudopus* sp.), a sand boa (*Eryx* sp.),
663 possibly six types of colubrine snakes (Colubrinae indet.), a cobra (Elapidae
664 indet.), and a viper (Viperidae indet.). Most of these taxa (Agamidae, *Eryx* and
665 Elapidae) live in savannahs, steppes and deserts, with a way of life always linked
666 with warm arid areas in rocky or sandy environments. *Pseudopus* lives in dry and
667 bushy environments, sometimes in open woodlands, but avoids dense forest
668 areas (Bazgir et al., 2017).

669 Our results based on small mammals, combined with previous studies of other
670 proxies, thus suggest a mosaic landscape alternating between dry-steppe areas
671 and wooded patches. Nowadays, the vegetation in the Zagros is a montane
672 grassland-woodland characterised by steppe of grassland and herbs with
673 occasional to fairly common trees. The principal local trees are deciduous oaks
674 and junipers, with maples, walnut, almond and ash at middle elevations, and

675 *Pistacia* and *Olea* in drier areas (Fiacconi and Hunt, 2015). Thus, the landscape
676 during the Middle and Upper Pleistocene at Kaldar Cave was similar to that today,
677 despite a colder climate.
678

679 **4.4. Kaldar Cave in the Middle Eastern context**

680 Kaldar Cave is situated in the Khorramabad Valley, which played a significant
681 role in human adaptation and dispersal during the Quaternary. Some other caves
682 close to Kaldar, such as Gilvaran, Ghamari and Gar Arjene rock shelter, have
683 yielded Mousterian and Aurignacian occupations (Bazgir et al., 2014). Moreover,
684 surveys in Kermanshah have also documented Middle and Upper Palaeolithic
685 sites (Heydari-Guran and Ghasidian, 2020). None of them has given rise to small-
686 mammal studies. However, Gilvaran Cave has been studied from a
687 palaeoenvironmental point of view through charcoal analyses (Allué et al., 2018),
688 showing a similar pattern to Kaldar, where *Prunus* is also present and indicates
689 the presence of open forest areas. Similarly, other proxies such as pollens and
690 charcoals have been analysed at Shanidar (Campana and Crabtree, 2019;
691 Fiacconi and Hunt, 2015) and Gilvaran (Allué et al., 2018), indicating similar
692 environmental conditions to Kaldar.

693 Other studies based on small mammals from the Middle East have been
694 performed in Qesem Cave (Maul et al., 2015a; Smith et al., 2015), Hummal (Maul
695 et al., 2015b), Azokh Cave (Fernández-Jalvo, 2016), Aghitu-3 Cave (Frahm,
696 2019; Kandel et al., 2017; Nishiaki and Akazawa, 2018), Nesher Ramla
697 (Weissbrod and Zaidner, 2014), Amud Cave (Belmaker and Hovers, 2011),
698 Dzudzuana Cave (Belmaker et al., 2016) and Karain Cave (Demirel et al., 2011).
699 These studies did not use *HW* or the *BM* for their palaeoclimatic and
700 palaeoenvironmental reconstructions, and the sites are not always contemporary
701 with Kaldar, except Amud Cave (Belmaker and Hovers, 2011), Aghitu-3 (Djamali
702 et al., 2008; Kandel et al., 2017) and Dzudzuana Cave (Belmaker et al., 2016)
703 (Table 7).

704

705

Site/Author	Layer	Human culture	Chronology	Environmental conditions	Rodent
Amud Cave (Belmaker and Hovers, 2011)	B4	Neanderthals	68.5 ± 3.4ka	Grassland vegetation	*Microtus guentheri*
	B2-B1	Neanderthals	56.5 ± 3.5, 57.6 ± 3.7,respectively	Woodland	*Apodemus* cf. *mystacinus* and *Mus macedonicus*
Dzudzuana Cave (Belmaker et al., 2016)	Unit C	Modern human	27-24ka cal BP	Mild and humid	*Microtus*, *Ellobius*, *Arvicola*, *Apodemus* and *Allactaga*.
Aghitu-3 (Djamali et al., 2008; Kandel et al., 2017)	Level VII-III	Modern human	The oldest layer is VII with a chronology between 39-36,000 cal BP and the most recent is layer III, between 29-24,000 cal BP	This sequence documents that the climate becomes colder	*Microtus* spp., *Chionomys* sp., *Arvicola amphibius* and *Ellobius lutescens*

706 Table 7: Comparison between Middle Eastern sites with small-mammal studies

707

708 Most of these studies highlight problems in identifying Middle Eastern rodent
709 species, as faced in the present work. There are some studies of present-day
710 taxonomy and systematics in Iran, Turkey, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon and Syria
711 (Abi-said et al., 2014; Darvish et al., 2000; Haddadian Shad et al., 2014; Kopij
712 and Liven-schulman, 2013; Obuch and Khaleghizadeh, 2012; Shehab et al.,
713 2013), but these are mostly based on skull morphology and thus not applicable
714 in archaeological or palaeontological contexts where the remains are broken.
715 Very few studies provide descriptions of molars with comparative elements,
716 allowing for the correct identification of fossil specimens. In this context,
717 comparison with modern specimens from museum collections of genetically
718 typed specimens is crucial to establish a correct taxonomic reference.

719 Palaeoenvironmental inferences have been drawn on the basis of small-mammal
720 studies, as well as palynological and anthracological analyses. During the Upper
721 Pleistocene, small-mammal compositions differ from one site to another
722 (Belmaker et al., 2016; Kandel et al., 2017) (Table 8), probably because the sites
723 are not exactly contemporaneous and are likely to belong to different eco-regions.
724 It is noteworthy that the genera *Microtus*, *Chionomys*, *Cricetulus*, *Mesocricetus*,

Ellobius and *Allactaga* are represented in all the sites, allowing us to reconstruct the species communities of this region. Kaldar is the most diverse site in terms of small-mammal species.

	Kaldar Cave			Aghitu-3				Dzudzuana
Taxon	**Layers 1-3**	**Layer 4**	**Layer 5**	**VII**	**VI**	**V-IV**	**III**	**Unit C**
Microtus spp.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Microtus cf. *arvalis*								X
Microtus irani	X		X					
Microtus guentheri	X	X						
Microtus socialis	X	X	X					
Chionomys nivalis	-	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Clethrionomys glareolus								X
Ellobius spp.	X	X	X					
Ellobius fuscocapillus	X	X	X					
Ellobius lutescens	-	X	X	X				X
Cricetus cricetus								X
Cricetulus migratorius	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
Mesocricetus brandti		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Meriones cf. *persicus*	X	X	X					
Allactaga sp.		X	X	X	X		X	X
Myomimus sp.			X					
Apodemus sp.	X	X	X					X
Mus musculus		X	X					X
Arvicola amphibius				X	X	X	X	X

Table 8: comparison of the small-mammal lists from several Upper Palaeolithic sites in the Middle East.

We applied the *habitat weighting method* at other Middle Eastern sites where small-mammal studies have been performed, namely Aghitu-3 and Dzudzuana Cave, but these are located in other eco-regions (Figure 1a). Aghitu-3 is located in Armenian Highlands (46º08'22" E, 39º51'38" N), at an elevation of 1601 m a.s.l. and the current climate is continental with considerable seasonality in temperature. Dzudzuana Cave is located in Georgian Caucasus foothills (43º06'11" E, 42º13'27" N), at an elevation of 560 m a.s.l., and the current climate is warm and temperate. The estimations obtained with the *habitat weighting method* (Figure 4) show similar environmental conditions between Kaldar Cave and Aghitu-3, where the landscape is dominated by steppe (indicated by the

742 presence of *Ellobius lutescens*, *Mesocricetus brandti*, *Cricetulus migratorius* and
743 *Allactaga*), with a relatively high percentage of grassland and forests.

744 To ascertain the palaeoclimatic conditions, we calculated and directly compared
745 our results with Aghitu-3 and Dzudzuana Cave using the *bioclimatic method*
746 (Table 9). The results obtained for Aghitu-3 show higher temperatures and
747 precipitation than at present, whereas Dzudzuana Cave shows the same pattern
748 as Kaldar Cave, with drier conditions and lower temperatures than today. For
749 Amud Cave, it was not possible to calculate *HW* and *BM*, but data from the
750 literature (Belmaker and Hovers, 2011) indicate a grassland environment similar
751 to the one that we reconstructed at Dzudzuana Cave.

752



757

758

759 Figure 4: Results of the *habitat weighting method* for Aghitu-3 and Dzudzuana
760 Cave. Forest (Fo), Shrubland (Sh), Grassland (Gr), Desert (De), Wetland (We),
761 Steppe (St) and Rocky (Ro).

	Aghitu-3						Dzudzuana Cave		
	VII	VI	V-IV	III	SD	Current Values	Unit C	SD	Current Values
Mean annual Temperature	15.46 ºC	19.44 ºC	19.21 ºC	17.87 ºC	3.386	8.5 ºC	5.09 ºC	3.39	9.7 ºC
Maximum mean temperature	23.59 ºC	24.44 ºC	24.09 ºC	24 ºC	4.772	20.2 ºC	18.55 ºC	4.77	20.3 ºC
Minimum mean temperature	7.31 ºC	14.67 ºC	14.72 ºC	11.96 ºC	4.656	-3.8 ºC	-8.26 ºC	4.66	-1.6 ºC
Mean annual precipitation	1169.04 mm	1729.48 mm	1825.99 mm	1484.60 mm	533.236	532 ºC	194.98mm	533.24	868mm

762 Table 9: Estimates using the *bioclimatic method* for Aghitu-3 and Dzudzuana
763 Cave. SD, standard deviation. Current values obtained from Kandel et al. (2017)
764 for Aghitu-3 and https://es.climate-data.org/asia/georgia/imereti/jria-
765 414325/?amp=true for Dzudzuana Cave.

766 **5. Conclusions**

767 This work represents the first study of a Late Pleistocene rodent assemblage from
768 the Middle East with palaeoenvironmental and palaeoclimatic reconstructions,
769 using and adapting the *habitat weighting method* and the *bioclimatic model* to this
770 area. We identified 1112 rodent remains, corresponding to a minimum number of
771 264 individuals. The rodent assemblage is composed of 13 taxa: six arvicoline
772 (*Microtus socialis, Microtus irani, Microtus guentheri, Chionomys nivalis, Ellobius*
773 *fuscocapillus* and *Ellobius lutescens*), two cricetine (*Cricetulus migratorius* and
774 *Mesocricetus brandti*), one glirid (*Myomimus* sp.), one gerbilline (*Meriones* cf.
775 *persicus),* one dipodid (*Allactaga* sp.) and two murine species (*Apodemus* sp.
776 and *Mus* cf. *musculus*). Augmenting the preliminary analysis of the material
777 (Bazgir et al., 2017), new species were identified, such as *Microtus socialis*,
778 *Microtus irani*, *Microtus guentheri*, *Ellobius fuscocapillus* and *Meriones* cf.
779 *persicus*. We also reconsidered the identification of *Ellobius talpinus*,
780 *Calomyscus* sp. and *Dryomys* cf*. nitedula*, based on modern specimens from
781 museum collections and measurements; this is why they do not appear in the
782 new faunal list of Kaldar Cave.

783 Given the scarcity of studies in this biogeographical region, we encountered some
784 difficulties in the identification of species, which could slightly affect the
785 palaeoenvironmental and palaeoclimatic interpretations. In order to counter these
786 potential errors, further studies of small mammals in this region are necessary,
787 as well as research on discriminant characters in molars, using reference
788 collections including genetically typed specimens.

789 The palaeoecological analysis of the rodents from Kaldar Cave revealed lower
790 temperatures and lower precipitation than present-day conditions, and an
791 environment mainly composed of dry steppes with patches of forested areas. The
792 results obtained are supported by palynological, anthracological and large-

mammal studies. At a broader geographical scale, colder conditions were also inferred at Dzudzuana Cave. The genera *Microtus*, *Chionomys*, *Cricetulus*, *Mesocricetus*, *Ellobius* and *Allactaga* are present at all the Middle East sites (Aghitu-3, Dzudzuana and Amud) during the Upper Pleistocene, that have yielded small mammals, allowing us to reconstruct the rodent communities of the area.

Considering all the results from Kaldar Cave and other contemporaneous sites, we can conclude that both Neanderthals and AMH lived in dry steppes with patches of forested areas, without major environmental changes occurring between the Middle and the Late Palaeolithic. Climatic shifts during the MIS 4-3 transition were of a magnitude that did not have a major impact on small mammals in the region, suggesting that climate change may not have had the hypothesized effect on the Neanderthal extinction in the Levant.

Acknowledgments: I. Rey-Rodriguez is the beneficiary of a PhD scholarship funded by the Erasmus Mundus Program (IDQP). J.M. López-García was supported by a Ramón y Cajal contract (RYC-2016-19386) with financial sponsorship from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities. M. Fernández-García is the beneficiary of a PEJ grant (PEJ2018-005222-P) funded by the Spanish National Youth Guarantee System and the European Social Fund. This work was developed within the framework of the projects 2017SGR859, 2017SGR840 and 2017SGR1040 (AGAUR, Generalitat de Catalunya), and 2018PFRURVB291 (Univ. Rovira i Virigli). We thank the head of the Research Institute of Cultural Heritage and Tourism (RICHT) (Dr. B. Omrani) and the head of the Iranian Center for Archaeological Research (ICAR) (Dr. R. Shirazi) for providing us with the necessary support and permissions in studying the materials. We thank the head of the Lorestan Cultural Heritage, Handicraft and Tourism Organization (Mr. A. Ghasemi) for all his support. We also thank the head of International Collaboration and Ties of the RICHT (Mrs. M. Kholghi) for all her cooperation and help. B. Bazgir received his PhD scholarship from the Fundación Atapuerca, for which he is grateful. Laxmi Tumung received her PhD scholarship from the Erasmus Mundus Programme (IDQP). We would like to thank Roberto Portela Miguez, Senior Curator in Charge of Mammals, for his help with the reference collection in the Natural History Museum of London. We would

like to thank Rupert Glasgow for reviewing the English language of the manuscript. We also want to thank to the Editor Prof. José S. Carrión and the two anonymous reviewer for their comments and suggestions that strongly improve the final version of the manuscript.

References

Abi-Said, M.R., Shehab, A.H., Amr, Z.S., 2014. Diet of the Barn Owl. Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences,Vol. 7, Nº 2, 109–112.

Allué, E., Expósito, I., Tumung, L., Ollé, A., Bazgir, B., 2018. Early evidence of *Prunus* and *Prunus* cf. *amygdalus* from Palaeolithic sites in the Khorramabad Valley, western Iran. Comptes Rendus Palevol 17, 335–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crpv.2018.01.001

Amori, G. 1999. *Chionomys nivalis*. In: A. J. Mitchell-Jones, G. Amori, W. Bogdanowicz, B. Kryštufek, P. J. H. Reijnders, F. Spitzenberger, M. Stubbe, J. B. M. Thissen, V. Vohralík and J. Zima (eds), The Atlas of European Mammals, Academi Press, London, UK

Amori, G., Hutterer, R., Kryštufek, B., Yigit, N., Mitsain, G. & Palomo, L.J., 2016. *Apodemus flavicollis*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T1892A115058023. 8235. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T1892A22423256.en

Amr, A., 2015. *Microtus guentheri*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T13463A22349143.

Andrews, P., 1990. Owls, Caves and Fossils. https://doi.org/10.2307/3889096

Andrews, P., 2006. Taphonomic effects of faunal impoverishment and faunal mixing. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 241, 572–589. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.04.012

Aşan Baydemir, N., Duman, L., 2009. Molar patterns in *Microtus guentheri* (Danford and Alston, 1880) (Mammalia: Rodentia) from Kirikkale province. Journal of Applied Biological Sciences 3, 47–54.

Bazgir, B., Ollé, A., Tumung, L., Becerra-Valdivia, L., Douka, K., Higham, T.,

856 Van Der Made, J., Picin, A., Saladié, P., López-Garciá, J.M., Blain, H.-A.,
857 Allué, E., Fernández-Garciá, M., Rey-Rodríguez, I., Arceredillo, D.,
858 Bahrololoumi, F., Azimi, M., Otte, M., Carbonell, E., 2017. Understanding
859 the emergence of modern humans and the disappearance of Neanderthals:
860 Insights from Kaldar Cave (Khorramabad Valley, Western Iran). Scientific
861 Reports 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43460

862 Bazgir, B., Otte, M., Tumung, L., Ollé, A., Deo, S.G., Joglekar, P., López-
863 García, J.M., Picin, A., Davoudi, D., van der Made, J., 2014. Test
864 excavations and initial results at the middle and upper paleolithic sites of
865 Gilvaran, Kaldar, Ghamari caves and Gar Arjene Rockshelter,
866 Khorramabad Valley, western Iran. Comptes Rendus - Palevol 13, 511–
867 525. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crpv.2014.01.005

868 Becerra-Valdivia, L., Douka, K., Comeskey, D., Bazgir, B., Conard, N.J.,
869 Marean, C.W., Ollé, A., Otte, M., Tumung, L., Zeidi, M., Higham, T.F.G.,
870 2017. Chronometric investigations of the Middle to Upper Paleolithic
871 transition in the Zagros Mountains using AMS radiocarbon dating and
872 Bayesian age modelling. Journal of Human Evolution 109, 57–69.
873 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2017.05.011

874 Belmaker, M., Bar-Yosef, O., Belfer-Cohen, A., Meshveliani, T., Jakeli, N.,
875 2016. The environment in the Caucasus in the Upper Paleolithic (Late
876 Pleistocene): Evidence from the small mammals from Dzudzuana cave,
877 Georgia. Quaternary International 425, 4–15.
878 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2016.06.022

879 Belmaker, M., Hovers, E., 2011. Ecological change and the extinction of the
880 Levantine Neanderthals: Implications from a diachronic study of
881 micromammals from Amud Cave, Israel. Quaternary Science Reviews 30,
882 3196–3209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.08.001

883 Blain, H.-A., Bailon, S., Cuenca-Bescós, G., 2008. The Early–Middle
884 Pleistocene palaeoenvironmental change based on the squamate reptile
885 and amphibian proxies at the Gran Dolina site, Atapuerca, Spain.
886 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 261, 177–192.
887 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2008.01.015

888 Bogicevic, K., Nenadic, D., Mihailovic, D., Lazarevic, Z., Milivojevic, J., 2011.
889 Late Pleistocene rodents (Mammalia: Rodentia) from the Baranica cave
890 near Knjaževac (eastern Serbia): Systematics and palaeoecology. Rivista
891 Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia, Vol. 117, Nº2, 331-346.

892 Campana, D. V., Crabtree, P., 2019. Evidence for skinning and craft activities
893 from the Middle Paleolithic of Shanidar Cave, Iraq. Journal of
894 Archaeological Science: Reports 25, 7–14.
895 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2019.03.024

896 Corbet, G.B., 1978. The Mammals of the Palearctic Region: A Taxonomic
897 Review. London, Brit. Mus.(Nat. Hist.). Cornell Univ. Press. 117- 118.

898 Corbet, G.B. and Hill, J.E., 1991. A World List of Mammalian Species. 3rd ed.
899 London, UK: British Museum (Natural History).

900 Coşkun, Y., 2016. Review of unique odd chromosome-numbered underground
901 rodent species of the Palearctic region: *Ellobius Lutescens* Thomas 1897
902 (Rodentia: Cricetidae). Turkish Journal of Zoology 40, 831–841.
903 https://doi.org/10.3906/zoo-1509-53

904 Coşkun, Y., 1999. Morphological characteristics of *Meriones tristrami* Thomas,
905 1892 (Rodentia: Gerbillinae) from Diyarbakır, Turkey. Turk. J. Zool 23,
906 345–355.

907 Coşkun,Y., 1997. *Ellobius lutescens* Thomas, 1897 (Rodentia: Cricetidae) Turk.
908 J. of Zoology. 21, 349-354.

909 Darlington, P.J., 1957. Zoogeography: The Geographical Distribution of
910 Animals. New York, NY, USA: John Wiley and Sons.

911 Darviche, D., Orth, A., Michaux, J., 2006. *Mus spretus* et *M. musculus*
912 (Rodentia, Mammalia) en zone méditerranéenne: Différenciation
913 biométrique et morphologique: Application à des fossiles marocains
914 pléistocènes. Mammalia 70, 90–97.
915 https://doi.org/10.1515/MAMM.2006.010

916 Darvish, J., Mohammadi, Z., Mahmoudi, A., Siahsarvie, R., 2014. Faunistic and
917 taxonomic study of Rodents from northwestern Iran. Iranian Journal of

Animal Biosystematics (IJAB) 10, 119–136.

Darvish, J., 2011. Morphological comparison of fourteen species of the genus *Meriones* Illiger , 1811 (Rodentia : Gerbillinae) from Asia and North Africa. Iranian Journal of Animal Biosystematics 7, 49–74.

Darvish, J., Ghiyasi, R., Khosravi, M., 2000. Recognition of rodents of Robat Sharaf pellets owl by morphological and neontological studies. Journal of Sciences 12.

Denys, C., 2017. Subfamily Deomyinae, Gerbillinae, Leimacomyinae, Lophiomyinae species accounts. Pp. 598-650. in : Wilson, D.E., Lacher, T.E., Jr. & Mittermeier, R.A. eds. Handbook of the Mammals of the World. Vol.7. Rodents II. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.

Demirel, A., Andrews, P., Yalçinkaya, I., Ersoy, A., 2011. The taphonomy and palaeoenvironmental implications of the small mammals from Karain Cave, Turkey. Journal of Archaeological Science 38, 3048–3059. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2011.07.003

Dianat, M., Darvish, J., Cornette, R., Aliabadian, M., Nicolas, V., 2017. Evolutionary history of the Persian Jird, *Meriones persicus*, based on genetics, species distribution modelling and morphometric data. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 55, 29–45. https://doi.org/10.1111/jzs.12145

Djamali, M., de Beaulieu, J.L., Shah-hosseini, M., Andrieu-Ponel, V., Ponel, P., Amini, A., Akhani, H., Leroy, S.A.G., Stevens, L., Lahijani, H., Brewer, S., 2008. A late Pleistocene long pollen record from Lake Urmia, NW Iran. Quaternary Research 69, 413–420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2008.03.004

Ellerman, J.R, and Morrison-Scott, T.C.S., 1951. Checklist of Palearctic and Indian Mammals, 1780 to 1946. Brit. Mus. Nat. Hist. London, 1-810.

Evans, E.M.N., Van Couvering, J.A.H., Andrews, P., 1981. Palaeoecology of Miocene sites in western Kenya. J. Hum. Evol. 10, 99-116.

Fernández-Jalvo Y., King T., Yepiskoposyan L. & Andrews P. (eds.), 2016a.

948 Azokh Cave and the Transcaucasian Corridor, Vertebrate Paleobiology and
949 Paleoanthropology Series, Springer

950 Fernández-Jalvo, Y., Andrews, P., Denys, C., Sesé, C., Stoetzel, E., Marin-
951 Monfort, D., Pesquero, D., 2016b. Taphonomy for taxonomists: Implications
952 of predation in small mammal studies. Quaternary Science Reviews 139,
953 138–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.03.016

954 Fiacconi, M., Hunt, C.O., 2015. Pollen taphonomy at Shanidar Cave (Kurdish
955 Iraq): An initial evaluation. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 223,
956 87–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2015.09.003

957 Firouz, E., 2005. The complete fauna of Iran. Ed. I.B.Tauris & Co Ltd

958 Frahm, E., 2019. Upper Palaeolithic Settlement and Mobility in the Armenian
959 Highlands : Agent-Based Modeling , Obsidian Sourcing , and Lithic
960 Analysis at Aghitu-3 Cave.

961 Gerrie, R., Kennerley, R., 2017. *Myomimus personatus*. The IUCN Red List of
962 Threatened Species 2017: e.T14088A22222124 8235.
963 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-
964 2.RLTS.T14088A22222124.en

965 Gharkheloo, M., Kivanç, E., 2003, A study on the morphology, karyology and
966 distribution of *Ellobius* Fischer, 1814 (Mammalia: Rodentia) in Iran. Turkish
967 Journal of Zoology 27(4):281-292

968 Golenishchev, F.,Malikov, V., Nazari, F., Vaziri, A.,Sablina, O., Polyakov, A.,
969 2002. New species of vole of "*guentheri* " group (Rodentia, Arvicolinae,
970 *Microtus*) from Iran. Russia Journal of Theriology 1 (2), 117-123

971 Golenishchev, F., Malikov, V., Petrova, T., Bodrov, S., Abramson, N., 2019.
972 Toward assembling a taxonomic puzzle: Case study of Iranian gray voles
973 of the subgenus *Microtus* (Rodentia, Cricetidae). Mammalian Biology 94,
974 98–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2018.06.007

975 Habibi, K. 2004. Mammals of Afghanistan. Zoo Outreach Organisation/USFWS,
976 Coimbatore, India.

977 Haddadian Shad, H., Darvish, J., Mohammadian, T., Mahmoudi, A., Alaie

Kakhki, N., Ghanbarifardi, M., Molavi, F., Barani-Beiranvand, H., 2014. Preliminary study of rodents using pellets of predatory birds in Iran. Iranian Journal of Animal Biosystematics 10, 36–50.

Hassinger, J.D., 1973. A survey of the mammals of Afghanistan: Resulting from the 1965 Street Expedition (Excluding Bats). Fieldiana Zoology, Vol. 60. Chicago, IL, USA: Field Museum of Natural History.

Hernández Fernández, M., 2001. Bioclimatic discriminant capacity of terrestrial mammal faunas. Global Ecology and Biogeography 10, 189–204. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1466-822x.2001.00218.x

Hernandez Fernández, M., Peláez-Campomanes, P., 2003. The bioclimatic model: A method of palaeoclimatic qualitative inference based on mammal associations. Global Ecology and Biogeography 12, 507–517. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1466-822X.2003.00057.x

Hernández Fernández, M., Álvarez Sierra, M.Á., Peláez-Campomanes, P., 2007. Bioclimatic analysis of rodent palaeofaunas reveals severe climatic changes in Southwestern Europe during the Plio-Pleistocene. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 251, 500–526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2007.04.015

Heydari-Guran, S., Ghasidian, E., 2020. Late Pleistocene hominin settlement patterns and population dynamics in the Zagros Mountains : Kermanshah region. Archaeological Research in Asia 21, 100161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ara.2019.100161

Hinton, M.A.C., 1926. Monograph of the Voles and Lemmings (Microtinae) Living and Extinct. London: British Museum (Natural History). Vol.1. 488 p.

Jangjoo, M., J.Darvish & J. D. Vign 2011. Application of outline analysis on fossil and modern specimens of *Apodemus*. – Iranian J. Anim. Biosystem., 7 (2): 143-155.

Kandel, A.W., Gasparyan, B., Allué, E., Bigga, G., Bruch, A.A., Cullen, V.L., Frahm, E., Ghukasyan, R., Gruwier, B., Jabbour, F., Miller, C.E., Taller, A., Vardazaryan, V., Vasilyan, D., Weissbrod, L., 2017. The earliest evidence for Upper Paleolithic occupation in the Armenian Highlands at Aghitu-3

1009 Cave. Journal of Human Evolution 110, 37–68.
1010 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2017.05.010

1011 Karami, M., Hutterer, R., Benda, P., Siahsarvie, R., Kryštufek, B., 2008.
1012 Annotated check-list of the mammals of Iran. Lynx (Praha) 39, 63–102.

1013 Kaya, F., Kaymakçı, N., 2018. Systematics and dental microwear of the late
1014 Miocene Gliridae (Rodentia, Mammalia) from Hayranlı, Anatolia:
1015 Implications for paleoecology and paleobiodiversity. Palaeontologia
1016 Electronica 16. https://doi.org/10.26879/385

1017 Kennerley, R., Kryštufek, B., 2019. *Myomimus setzeri*. The IUCN Red List of
1018 Threatened Species 2019: e.T14089A22222049. 8235.
1019 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-
1020 1.RLTS.T14089A22222049.en

1021 Knitlová, M., Horáček, I., 2017. Late Pleistocene-Holocene paleobiogeography
1022 of the genus *Apodemus* in Central Europe. PLoS ONE 12, 1–23.
1023 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173668

1024 Kopij, G., Liven-Schulman, I., 2013. Zoology in the Middle East Diet of the
1025 Lesser Kestrel, *Falco naumanni*, in Israel 7140.
1026 https://doi.org/10.1080/09397140.2012.10648914

1027 Kretzoi, M., 1969. Skizze einer Arvicoliden Phylogenie -Stand 1969 //
1028 Vertebrata Hungarica. Vol.11. No.1–2. P.155–193.

1029 Kryštufek, B., Bukhnikashvili, A., Sozen, M., Isfendiyaroglu, S., 2017. *Cricetulus*
1030 *migratorius*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016:
1031 e.T5528A115073390 8235.
1032 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-
1033 3.RLTS.T5528A22391440.en

1034 Kryštufek, B., Yigit, N., Amori, G., 2015. *Mesocricetus brandti* , Brandt ' s
1035 Hamster. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2008:
1036 e.T13220A3421550. 8235.
1037 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2008.RLTS.T13220A3421
1038 550.en

Kryštufek, B. , Shenbrot, G., 2016. *Ellobius lutescens* , Transcaucasian Mole Vole. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T7655A22340006. 8235. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-2.RLTS.T7655A22340006.en

Krystufek, B., 2017. *Chionomys nivalis* , European Snow Vole. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T4659A115069366 8235. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T4659A22379147.en

Kryštufek, B., Kefelioğlu, H., 2001. Redescription and species limits of *Microtus irani* Thomas, 1921, and description of a new social vole from Turkey (Mammalia: Arvicolinao).

Kryštufek, B., Vohralík, V., 2009. Mammals of Turkey and Cyprus, Rodentia II: Cricetinae, Muridae, Spalacidae, Calomyscidae, Capromyidae, Hystricidae, Castoridae. https://doi.org/10.1644/10-MAMM-R-221.1

Lay, D.M., 1967. A Study of the Mammals of Iran, Resulting from the Street Expedition of 1962-63. Fieldiana Zool., 54: 168-171.

López-García, J.M., 2011. Los micromamíferos del Pleistoceno superior de la Península Ibérica: Evolución de la diversidad taxonómica y cambios paleoambientales y paleoclimáticos. Editorial Académica Española

López-García, J.M., Blain, H.-A., Cuenca-Bescós, G., Alonso, C., Alonso, S., Vaquero, M., 2011. Small vertebrates (Amphibia, Squamata, Mammalia) from the late Pleistocene-Holocene of the Valdavara-1 cave (Galicia, northwestern Spain). Geobios 44, 253–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geobios.2010.10.001

Maul, L.C., Bruch, A.A., Smith, K.T., Shenbrot, G., Barkai, R., Gopher, A., 2015a. Palaeoecological and biostratigraphical implications of the microvertebrates of Qesem Cave in Israel. Quaternary International. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2015.04.032

Maul, L.C., Smith, K.T., Shenbrot, G., Bruch, A.A., Wegmüller, F., Le Tensorer, J.M., 2015b. Microvertebrates from unit G/layer 17 of the archaeological site of Hummal (El Kowm, Central Syria): Preliminary results. Anthropologie

(France) 119, 676–686. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anthro.2015.10.010

Miller, G.S., 1896. Genera and subgenera of voles and lemmings// North American Fauna. Vol.12. P.1–85.

Molur, S. & Sozen, M., 2016. *Meriones persicus*, Persian Jird. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T13166A22433231. 8235. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-2.RLTS.T13166A22433231.en

Moradi Gharkheloo, M., 2003. A Study on the Morphology , Karyology and Distribution of *Ellobius* Fischer , 1814 (Mammalia : Rodentia) in Iran. Turkish Journal of Zoology 27, 281–292.

Neumann, K., Yiğit, N., Fritzsche, P., Çolak, E., Feoktistova, N., Surov, A., Michaux, J., 2017. Genetic structure of the Turkish hamster (*Mesocricetus brandti*). Mammalian Biology 86, 84–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2017.06.004

Nishiaki, Y., Akazawa, T., 2018. The Middle and Upper Paleolithic Archeology of the Levant and Beyond. Replacement of Neanderthals by Modern Humans Series 35–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-6826-3_3

Nowak, R.M., 1999. Walker's Mammals of the World. 6th ed. Baltimore, MD, USA: The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Obuch, J., Khaleghizadeh, A., 2012. Spatial Variation in the Diet of the Barn Owl Tyto alba in Iran 6, 103–116.

Osborn, D.J., 1962. Rodents of subfamily Microtinae from Turkey. J. Mammalia, 43: 515-529.

Rey-Rodríguez, I., Stoetzel, E., López-García, J.M., Denys, C., 2019. Implications of modern Barn owls pellets analysis for archaeological studies in the Middle East. Journal of Archaeological Science 111, 105029. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAS.2019.105029

Roberts, T.J., 1977. The Mammals of Pakistan. London, UK: Ernst Benn Ltd.

1099 Rusin, M., 2017. *Ellobius talpinus* , Northern Mole Vole. The IUCN Red List of
1100 Threatened Species 2016: e.T7656A115085720. 8235.
1101 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-
1102 3.RLTS.T7656A22339917.en

1103 Sándor, A.D., 2018. Rediscovered after half a century: A new record of the grey
1104 dwarf hamster, *Cricetulus migratorius* (Mammalia: Cricetidae), in Romania.
1105 Turkish Journal of Zoology 42, 495–498. https://doi.org/10.3906/zoo-1712-
1106 15

1107 Shehab, A., Daoud, A., Kock, D., Amr, Z., 2013. Zoology in the Middle East
1108 Small mammals recovered from owl pellets from Syria (Mammalia:
1109 Chiroptera,Rodentia). https://doi.org/10.1080/09397140.2004.10638061

1110 Shenbrot, G., Kryštufek, B., Molur, S., 2016. *Ellobius fuscocapillus* , Southern
1111 Mole Vole. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016:
1112 e.T7654A22339730. 8235.
1113 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-
1114 2.RLTS.T7654A22339730.en

1115 Shenbrot, G., 2009. On the conspecifity of *Allactaga hotsoni* Thomas, 1920 and
1116 *Allactaga firouzi* Womochel, 1978 (Rodentia: Dipodoidea). Mammalia 73,
1117 231–237. https://doi.org/10.1515/MAMM.2009.043

1118 Shenbrot, G.I. and Krasnov, B.R. 2005. An Atlas of the Geographic Distribution
1119 of the Arvicoline Rodents of the World (Rodentia, Muridae: Arvicolinae).
1120 Pensoft Publishers, Sofia.

1121 Siahsarvie, R., Darvish, J., 2008. Geometric morphometric analysis of Iranian
1122 wood mice of the genus *Apodemus* (Rodentia, Muridae). Mammalia 72,
1123 109–115. https://doi.org/10.1515/MAMM.2008.020

1124 Smith, K.T., Christian, L., Flemming, F., Barkai, R., Gopher, A., 2016. The
1125 microvertebrates of Qesem Cave : A comparison of the two concentrations.
1126 Quaternary International 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2015.04.047

1127 Souttou, K., Denys, C., 2012. Small Mammal Bone Modifications in Black-
1128 Shouldered Kite *Elanus caeruleus* Pellets from Algeria : Implications for
1129 Archaeological Sites. Journal of Taphonomy 10, 1–19.

Stoetzel, E., Cornette, R., Lalis, A., Nicolas, V., Cucchi, T., Denys, C., 2017. Systematics and evolution of the *Meriones shawii/grandis* complex (Rodentia, Gerbillinae) during the Late Quaternary in northwestern Africa: Exploring the role of environmental and anthropogenic changes. Quaternary Science Reviews 164, 199–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.04.002

Tesakov, A.S., 2016. Early Middle Pleistocene *Ellobius* (Rodentia , Cricetidae , Arvicolinae) from Armenia. Russian J. Theriol. 15 (2): 151–158.

Thomas, O., 1905. *Ellobius woosnami*. Abstr. Proc. Zool. Soc. 23; Proc. Zool. Soc. 526 London.

Tsytsulina, K., Kryštufek, B., Yigit, N., Bukhnikashvili, A., Shenbrot, G., 2017. *Microtus socialis* , Social. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T13458A115114745. 8235.https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T13458A22348936.en

Walker, E.P., 1964. Mammals of the World. Vol. 2. Baltimore, MD,USA: The Johns Hopkins Press.

Weissbrod, L., Zaidner, Y., 2014. Taphonomy and paleoecological implications of fossorial microvertebrates at the Middle Paleolithic open-air site of Nesher Ramla, Israel. Quaternary International 331, 115–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2013.05.044

Wilson, D.E. and Reeder, D.M., 2005. Mammal Species of the World. Washington, DC, USA: Smithsonian Institution Scholarly Press.