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# IRREDUCIBLE DECOMPOSITION OF POWERS OF EDGE IDEALS 

MARCEL MORALES AND NGUYEN THI DUNG


#### Abstract

In this paper by using some tools from graph theory, mainly the theorem on ear decomposition of factor-critical graphs given by L. Lovász and the canonical decomposition of a graph given by Edmonds and Gallai, we can describe each irreducible component of powers of edge ideals of a graph. As an application we use our results to persistence of irreducible components, to bound dstab and to count the number of irreducible components of powers of edge ideals of a graph.
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## 1. Introduction

Irredundant irreducible decomposition of ideals is an important tool in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry. It leads to the notions of primary decomposition, associated primes as well as to arithmetic properties. Many recent works (see [2], [5], [6], [12],[13],[18], [22], [23], [24]) concern associated primes of powers of a square free monomial ideal. The most recent work in this topic is the preprint in [13] in which the authors describe the set of associated primes of powers of an edge ideal $I_{G} \subset R:=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$ of a graph $G$. Let recall that the asymptotic stability of $\operatorname{Ass}\left(R / I^{k}\right)$ was proved by M. Brodmann [1]. For an edge ideal $I_{G}$, Chen, Morey and Sung [2] give a process to described prime ideals in $\operatorname{Ass}\left(R / I_{G}^{k}\right)$, in particular they proved that if $G$ is a simple connected non bipartite graph then $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(R / I_{G}^{k}\right)$ for $k$ large

[^0]enough. On the other hand Martinez-Bernal, Morey and Villarreal [18] proved that $\operatorname{Ass}\left(R / I_{G}^{k}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ass}\left(R / I_{G}^{k+1}\right)$ for $k \geq 1$, this result is known as persistence of associated primes for edge ideals of graphs. If $G$ is a simple connected bipartite graph then by Theorem 5.9 of [22] we know that $\operatorname{Ass}\left(R / I_{G}^{l}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}\left(R / I_{G}^{l+1}\right)$ for $l \geq 1$, the smallest $k$ such that $\operatorname{Ass}\left(R / I_{G}^{l}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}\left(R / I_{G}^{l+1}\right)\left(\right.$ or $\operatorname{depth}\left(R / I_{G}^{l}\right)=0$, respectively) for all $l \geq k$ is denoted by $\operatorname{astab}\left(I_{G}\right)$ (or dstab $\left(I_{G}\right)$, respectively). Note that since depth $\left(R / I_{G}^{l}\right)=0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(R / I_{G}^{l}\right)$, we have that $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right) \leq \operatorname{astab}\left(I_{G}\right)$. Recently, T.N. Trung [24] has improved the upper bound for $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)$ resulting from the upper bound for $\operatorname{astab}\left(I_{G}\right)$ in [2].

It is well known that for any monomial ideal $J$, the irredundant irreducible decomposition of $J$ is unique up to order. The set of ideals appearing in the irredundant irreducible decomposition of $J$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Irr}(J)$ and its elements are called irreducible components of $J$. In this work for the first time we are able to describe explicitly the set $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ and so we improve some results contained in the mentioned papers. To be more precise, it is well known that an irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$ can be written as $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}:=\left(x_{i}^{b_{i}} \mid b_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, d\right) R$, where $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$. We associate to $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}$ the sets $U=\left\{x_{i} \mid b_{i} \geq 1\right\}, Z=\left\{x_{i} \mid b_{i}=0\right\}$ and the vector $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ defined by $a_{i}=b_{i}-1$ if $b_{i} \geq 2$ and $a_{i}=0$ otherwise. In all this work we study the relations between the sets $U, Z$ and the vector a.

In section 2 we recall some facts about irreducible decomposition of monomial ideals and their translation in terms of corner elements as studied in the book [20]. We also give some definitions and basic properties of edge ideals of a graph.

In section 3 we describe the non embedded irreducible components and especially give a formula for the number of non embedded irreducible components of powers of edge ideals $I_{G}$ of a graph $G$ (see Theorem 3.1). It coincides with a polynomial of degree the big height of $I_{G}$ in accord with the main result in [3]. The above result can be applied to the case of square free monomial ideals, it will be published in our forthcoming paper.

In section 4 we give important properties of graphs related to factor-critical. In particular we recall Lovász's Theorem [15] on an ear decomposition of factor-critical graphs and the canonical decomposition of a graph given by Edmonds and Gallai into three sets $A(G), C(G), D(G)$, known as the Gallai-Edmonds Structure Theorem (see [4] and [7]).

In section 5 we prove that embedded irreducible components of powers of edge ideals of graphs are described in terms of factor-critical sets by using Gallai-Edmonds Structure Theorem. Concretely, we prove in Theorem 5.3 that an irreducible component is given by a vector a such that the replication $S=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ has $C(S)=\emptyset$ (see Definition 2.10). As a consequence we can show that graphs $G$ with $C(G)=\emptyset$ play a crucial role in this subject. We prove in Corollary 5.14 the strong persistence of associated primes: namely if $J$ is an irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$ then we can describe several irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k+1}$ that comes directly from $J$ and have the same radical as $J$, which improves the result in [18]. If $G$ is a simple connected bipartite graph in Corollary 5.12 we get a short proof of Theorem 5.9 of [22].

In section 6 , we will apply our main results to study the set $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ for $k \gg 0$. From one side we improve the main results of [2] and [24] by giving short and conceptual proofs in Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.9. From the other side we can precise the main result of [3] that counted the number of irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$ for $k \gg 0$ (see Theorem 6.11). Moreover, we also improve the results in [12] and [23] by describing graphs which have dstab $\left(I_{G}\right) \leq 3$ with a short proof (see Corollary 6.6).

## 2. IRREDUCIBLE DECOMPOSITION AND CORNER ELEMENTS

Let $K$ be a field, $R:=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$ a polynomial ring, $\mathfrak{m}:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ its unique graded maximal ideal and $J \subset R$ be a monomial ideal. We denote by $[[R]]$ the set of all monomials of $R, V=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$, and $\mu(J)$ the number of minimal generators of $J$.

Notation 2.1. (i) For a non zero vector $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$, set $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}=x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{d}^{a_{d}}$, $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}}:=\left(x_{i}^{a_{i}} \mid a_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, d\right) R$ and $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}\right):=\left\{x_{i} \mid a_{i}>0\right\}$.
(ii) For every set $S \subset V$, let $\mathbf{1}_{S}$ be its characteristic vector, i.e. its $i^{\text {th }}$-coordinate is 1 if $x_{i} \in S$ and 0 otherwise. For instance we have $\mathbf{1}_{V}=(1, \ldots, 1)$.
(iii) In this article we use the same notation for a subset $F \subset V$ and the induced subgraph $G[F]$ on $F$, unless is ambiguous.

Now we need some results from [20].
Definition 2.2. A monomial $M \in[[R]]$ is a $J$-corner element if $M \notin J$ but $x_{1} M, \ldots, x_{d} M \in J$. The set of corner elements of $J$ in $[[R]]$ is denoted by $C_{R}(J)$.

Fact 2.3. (i) It is clear that the $J$-corner elements are precisely the monomials in $\left(J:_{R} \mathfrak{m}\right) \backslash J$, or in other words, $C_{R}(J)=\left[\left[\left(J:_{R} \mathfrak{m}\right)\right]\right] \backslash[[J]]$.
(ii) The set $C_{R}(J)$ is finite.
(iii) If $\operatorname{rad}(J)=\mathfrak{m}$, then it is well known that $t(R / J)=\operatorname{card}\left(C_{R}(J)\right)$ is the type of the ring $R / J$.

The following theorem give us some methods for computing irreducible decompositions for monomial ideals (see [20], Theorem 6.3.5, Theorem 7.5.3 and Theorem 7.5.5). Set $\operatorname{Irr}(J)$ be the set of irredundant irreducible components of a monomial ideal $J$. Let recall that every irreducible ideal in the ring $R$ is of the type $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}$ for some non zero vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $J \subset R$ be a monomial ideal.
(i) Assume that $\operatorname{rad}(J)=\mathfrak{m}$. Let $C_{R}(J)=\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}} \mid \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, j=1, \ldots, t(R / J)\right\}$ be the set of corner elements of $J$. Then $J=\cap_{j=1}^{t(R / J)} \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{1}_{V}}$ is the unique irredundant irreducible decomposition of $J$.
(ii) Assume that $\operatorname{rad}(J) \neq \mathfrak{m}$ and $J=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}}} \mid \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, j=1, \ldots, \mu(J)\right) R$. Let $m$ be an integer which is equal or bigger than every coordinate of the vectors $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}}$. Set $J^{\prime}:=J+\mathfrak{m}^{(m+1) \mathbf{1}_{V}}$ and $C_{R}\left(J^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}} \mid \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, j=1, \ldots, t\left(R / J^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ be the set of corner elements of $J^{\prime}$. Then $J=\cap_{j=1}^{t\left(R / J^{\prime}\right)} \widehat{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{1}_{V}}}$ is the unique irredundant irreducible
decomposition of $J$, where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{c}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{1}_{V}}}$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{1}_{V}}$ by deleting all monomials of the type $x_{1}^{m+1}, \ldots, x_{d}^{m+1}$ from its generators.

Remark 2.5. (i) With the notations of Theorem 2.4, let $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}}$ be a corner element of $J^{\prime}:=J+\mathfrak{m}^{(m+1) \mathbf{1}_{V}}$. Note that since $J^{\prime}$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, we have all coordinates of $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}$ are non zero. Let $U_{j}:=\left\{x_{i} \mid \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}_{i}}<m\right\}, Z_{j}:=V \backslash U_{j}$. We can write $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}=\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}+m \mathbf{1}_{Z_{j}}$, with $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)=U_{j}$. Then $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{1}_{U_{j}}}$ is an irreducible component of $J$. Note that $U_{j}$ is independent of $m$ and in fact for all $i, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}_{i}}<\max \left\{\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}_{i}} \mid 1 \leq j \leq \mu(J), 1 \leq i \leq d\right\}$, where $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}}}$ is generators of $J$.
(ii) It follows that any irreducible component of a monomial ideal $J \subset R$ is of the type $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\boldsymbol{1}_{U}}$ for some vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \subset U \subset V$.

From now on, let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple connected graph with the vertex set $V=V(G)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$, the edge set $E=E(G)$ and $I_{G}:=\left(x_{i} x_{j} \mid x_{i} x_{j} \in E\right) R$ its edge ideal. Now we need some definitions.

Definition 2.6. (i) A set $C \subset V$ is a vertex cover of $G$ if for every edge $x y \in E$ we have either $x \in C$ or $y \in C$.
(ii) A set $S \subset V$ is called a clique set of $G$ if the induced subgraph $G[S]$ is a complete graph and it is called a coclique (or independent set) of $G$ if the induced subgraph $G[S]$ has no edges. The family of coclique sets of $G$, denoted by $\Delta(G)$, is the simplicial complex called independence complex of $G$.
(iii) A matching of $G$ is a set of disjoint edges of $G$. The maximum cardinal of all matchings in $G$, denoted by $\nu(G)$, is called the matching number of $G$. A maximum matching of $G$ is a matching whose cardinal is $\nu(G)$. A perfect matching of $G$ is a matching that all the vertices of $G$ are involved.

For a set $S \subset V$ we denote by $N(S)$ the set of vertices that are adjacent to some element in $S$.

Remark 2.7. (i) A set $C \subset V$ is a vertex cover of $G$ if and only if $V \backslash C$ is a coclique and $C$ is a minimal vertex cover of $G$ if and only if $V \backslash C$ is a maximal coclique.
(ii) A set $Z \subset V$ is a coclique if and only if $N(Z) \cap Z=\emptyset$ and $Z$ is a maximal coclique if and only if $V=N(Z) \cup Z$.

Let $U \cup Z=V$ be a partition of $V$. With the notations in 2.1, we can resume the above facts for edge ideals in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \geq k$ and $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \subset U$ (See Remark 2.5). The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) The ideal $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$.
(ii) $a_{i}<k$ for all $i=1, \ldots, d$ and the ideal $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}+\mathfrak{m}^{(m+1) \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}+\mathfrak{m}^{(m+1) \mathbf{1}_{V}}\right)$.
(iii) $a_{i}<k$ for all $i=1, \ldots, d$ and the monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}}$ is a corner element of $I_{G}^{k}+\mathfrak{m}^{(m+1) \mathbf{1}_{V}}$.
(iv) $a_{i}<k$ for all $i=1, \ldots, d$ and we have
(1) $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{z}} \notin I_{G}^{k}+\mathfrak{m}^{(m+1) \mathbf{1}_{V}}$.
(2) For every $u \in V$ we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}+\mathfrak{m}^{(m+1) \mathbf{1}_{V}}$.
(v) $a_{i}<k$ for all $i=1, \ldots, d$ and we have
(1) $\mathrm{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathrm{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \notin I_{G}^{k}$.
(2) For every $u \in U$ we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$.

Remark 2.9. Let $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$, where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \subset U$ and $Z=V \backslash U$. We have
(i) The set $Z$ is a coclique. Indeed, it is certainly true if $\sharp Z \leq 1$. We can assume $\sharp Z \geq 2$. Suppose that there exist $u \neq v \in Z$ such that $u v \in I_{G}$. Then $(u v)^{m} \in I_{G}^{m} \subset I_{G}^{k}$ for $m \geq k$, which implies $\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}+\mathfrak{m}^{(m+1) \mathbf{1}_{V}}$, a contradiction.
(ii) For any $u \notin Z$ we have either $u \in N(\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}))$ or $u \in N(Z)$.

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ be a non zero vector and we set $A_{i}=\left\{x_{i}=x_{i}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{i}^{\left(a_{i}\right)}\right\}$ for each $a_{i}>0$. The graph $S:=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ with the vertex set $V(S)=\cup_{a_{i}>0} A_{i}$ and the edge set $E(S)=\left\{x_{i}^{(l)} x_{j}^{(m)} \mid x_{i} \in A_{i}, x_{j} \in A_{j}, x_{i} x_{j} \in E\right\}$ is called the replication of $G$ by the vector a. The support of $S$ is the set $\operatorname{Supp}(S):=V(S) \cap V=\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$, we denote $N_{G}(S)=N(V(S) \cap V)$. For small values of $a_{i} \leq 3$ sometimes we will write $x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}, x_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ instead of $x_{i}^{(1)}, x_{i}^{(2)}, x_{i}^{(3)}$.

Example 2.11. As an application of the above result, let us compute the irreducible decomposition of $I_{G}$. Since $I_{G}$ is a square free ideal, any ideal in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is of the type $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ for some $U \subset V$. Let $Z=V \backslash U$, then $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{1}_{Z}}$ is a corner element of $I_{G}+\mathfrak{m}^{2\left(\mathbf{1}_{V}\right)}$, which implies that $Z$ is a coclique. Moreover, it is a maximal coclique in $V$, since for every $u \in U$, we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{1}_{z}} \in I_{G}+\mathfrak{m}^{2\left(\mathbf{1}_{V}\right)}$, which implies that there exists some $v \in Z$ such that $u v$ is an edge in $G$.

This proves that the irreducible (prime) ideals in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}\right)$ are of the type $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ for some set $U \subset V$ such that $Z=V \backslash U$ is a maximal coclique in $V$. This also shows that $I_{G}$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal associated to $\Delta(G)$. Note that the set $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is also the set of minimal associated primes of $I_{G}^{k}$, for any $k \geq 1$.

Example 2.12. Let $G$ be the graph with $\nu(G)=4$ in Figure 1. Let consider the 11 -variables polynomial ring $K[a, b, \ldots, k]$. Then we have 22 maximal coclique sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{a, d, h, j, k\},\{a, d, g, i\},\{b, d, h, j, k\},\{b, d, g, i\},\{c, d, h, j, k\},\{c, d, i\},\{a, e, h, j, k\}, \\
& \quad\{a, e, g, i\},\{b, e, h, j, k\},\{b, e, g, i\},\{c, e, h, j, k\},\{c, e, i\},\{a, f, h, j, k\},\{a, f, i\} \\
& \{b, f, h, j, k\},\{b, f, i\},\{c, f, h, j, k\},\{c, f, i\},\{a, d, g, j, k\},\{b, d, g, j, k\},\{a, e, g, j, k\},\{b, e, g, j, k\} .
\end{aligned}
$$ Hence $I_{G}$ has 22 irreducible components.

## 3. Non embedded irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$

Now we can describe the non embedded components of $I_{G}^{k}$ for any $k$. The proof with minor changes can be extended to hypergraphs and will appear in a forthcoming paper on hypergraphs.


Figure 1. $I_{G}$ has 22 irreducible components
Theorem 3.1. (i) Let $Z \subset V$ be a maximal coclique, $U:=V \backslash Z$ and $M$ a monomial. Then $M \mathbf{x}^{k \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{z}}}$ is a corner element of $I_{G}^{k}+\mathfrak{m}^{(k+1) \mathbf{1}_{V}}$ if and only if $M$ is a monomial of degree $k-1$ with support in $U$.
(ii) Every non embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$ can be written as $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ for some set $U \subset V$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \subset U, Z:=V \backslash U$ is a maximal coclique inside $V$ and $M:=\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is a monomial of degree $k-1$.
(iii) Let $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{\rho}$ be the maximal coclique sets inside $V$ and $\mu_{i}=d-\sharp Z_{i}$. Then the number of non embedded irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$ is exactly $\sum_{i=1}^{\rho}\binom{\mu_{i}-1+k-1}{\mu_{i}-1}$, it coincides with a polynomial of degree $\operatorname{bight}\left(I_{G}\right)-1$, where $\operatorname{bight}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is the biggest height of irreducible components of $I_{G}$.

Proof. (i) Let $M$ be a monomial of degree $k-1$ with support in $U$. Since $Z$ is a maximal coclique, for each $u \in U$ we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}$. Hence we have that $M \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} \mathbf{1}_{Z} \in I_{G}^{k-1}$ and $u M \mathbf{x}^{k 1_{z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$. Now we prove that $M \mathbf{x}^{k \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \notin I_{G}^{k}$. Assume conversely, so there exist generators $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}$ of $I_{G}$ and a monomial $N$ such that $M \mathrm{x}^{k 1_{Z}}=M_{1} \cdots M_{k} N$. If every monomial $M_{i}$ contains at least one variable of $M$ then we have a contradiction with the fact that $M$ is a monomial of degree $k-1$. Hence there is a monomial, say $M_{1}$, not containing any variable in $M$. That means $\operatorname{Supp} M_{1} \subset Z$. It is a contradiction since $Z$ is an independent set.

Conversely, let $M$ be any monomial such that $M \mathbf{x}^{k 1_{Z}}$ is a corner element of $I_{G}^{k}+$ $\mathfrak{m}^{(k+1) b f 1_{V}}$. Note that since $M \mathbf{x}^{k 1_{Z}} \notin I_{G}^{k}+\mathfrak{m}^{(k+1) \mathbf{1}_{V}}$, we have Supp $(M) \subset U$. Since $Z$ is maximal coclique, for any $u \in U$, we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{1}_{z}} \in I_{G}$. Hence if $\operatorname{deg}(M)>k$ then $M \mathbf{x}^{k 1_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$, a contradiction. So $\operatorname{deg}(M) \leq k-1$. Also for $u \in U$ we have $u \mathbf{x}^{k 1_{z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$, then there exist generators $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}$ of $I_{G}$ and a monomial $N$ such that $u M \mathrm{x}^{k 1_{Z}}=M_{1} \ldots M_{k} N$. For $i=1, \ldots, k$, every monomial generator $M_{i}$ of $I_{G}$ contains at least one variable of $u M$. This implies $\operatorname{deg}(u M) \geq k$, so $\operatorname{deg}(M) \geq k-1$. Therefore we get that $\operatorname{deg}(M)=k-1$ and the support of $M$ is contained in $U$ as required.
(ii) Since any non embedded irreducible component corresponds to a maximal coclique set, this claim is implied immediately from (i).
(iii) In order to count the number of non embedded irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$ we have to count the monomials of degree $k-1$ with support in the complement of each maximal coclique set. Assume that $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{\rho}$ are maximal coclique sets inside $V$. Then for each $Z_{i}$ we have a complement set $U_{i}=V \backslash Z_{i}$ with its cardinal is $\mu_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, \rho$. It is well known that the number of monomials of degree $k-1$ with support in $U_{i}$ is
provided by the Hilbert function $H(k)=\binom{\mu_{i}-1+k-1}{\mu_{i}-1}$ of the polynomials ring with $\mu_{i}$ variables. It coincides with a polynomial of degree $\mu_{i}-1$ for $k \geq 0$. Therefore the number of non embedded irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$ is exactly $\sum_{i=1}^{\rho}\binom{\mu_{i}-1+k-1}{\mu_{i}-1}$.

Example 3.2. In Example 2.12, the graph $G$ has 22 maximal coclique sets, where 13 with 5 elements, 4 with 4 elements and 5 with 3 elements. Hence there are 22 minimal vertex cover sets, where 13 with 6 elements, 4 with 7 elements and 5 with 8 elements. By Theorem 3.1 there are exactly $13\binom{5+k}{k}+4\binom{6+k}{k}+5\binom{7+k}{k}$ non embedded irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k+1}$ for $k \geq 0$.

## 4. Factor-critical graphs, Gallai-Edmonds's Canonical decomposition

In this section we study factor-critical graphs. The notion factor-critical graph was introduced by Gallai [8]. Factor-critical graphs may be characterized in several different ways, other than their definition by Gallai [8], Edmonds [4], Lovász [15]. For basic definitions please refer to the books of Lovász and Plummer [17], Yu and Lu [26] and of Schrijver [21].

Definition 4.1. A graph $G$ is called factor-critical if for any vertex $v$ in $G$ the graph $G-v$ has a perfect matching. A set $F \subset V$ is called factor-critical if the induced subgraph on $F$ is factor-critical. A set $H \subset V$ is called matching-critical if the induced subgraph on $H$ is a disjoint union of factor-critical graphs.

A path $P$ in $G$ is a subgraph, given by a sequence of distinct vertices $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k}$ such that $v_{i} v_{i+1}$ is an edge in $G$ for all $i=0, \ldots, k-1$. The vertices $v_{0}, v_{k}$ are called the end points of $P$. A circuit or closed path is a subgraph of $G$ with a vertex set $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k}$ and an edge set all the edges $v_{i} v_{i+1}$ for $i=0, \ldots, k$, where $v_{k+1}=v_{0}$. Note that this definition implies that $P$ has no chords, but like an induced subgraph of $G$ it can have chords.

Remark 4.2. (i) Let $v \in G$, set $F=\{v\}$, then $F$ is factor-critical.
(ii) It is clear that odd circuits are factor-critical.
(iii) If $F$ is factor-critical, then
(1) The number of vertices of $F$ is odd and $\nu(F)=\frac{\sharp(F)-1}{2}$.
(2) Every graph $\widetilde{F}$ such that $V(\widetilde{F})=V(F)$ and $E(F) \subset E(\widetilde{F})$ is factor-critical. In particular complete odd graphs are factor-critical.

Definition 4.3. ([9]) An ear decomposition $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}=G$ of a graph $G$ is a sequence of graphs with the first graph $G_{0}$ being a vertex, edge, even cycle, or odd cycle, and each graph $G_{i+1}$ is obtained from $G_{i}$ by adding an ear.

Adding an ear is done as follows: take two vertices $a$ and $b$ of $G_{i}$ and add a path $P_{i}$ from $a$ to $b$ such that all vertices on the path except $a$ and $b$ are new vertices (present in $G_{i+1}$ but not in $G_{i}$ ). An ear with $a \neq b$ is called open, otherwise, closed. An ear with $P_{i}$ having an odd (even) number of edges is called odd (even).

The following result in [15] and [17] gives us a nice characterization of a graph $G$ being factor-critical.

Theorem 4.4. A simple graph $G$ has an odd ear decomposition $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{r}=G$ if and only if $G$ is factor-critical.

Let $G$ be a factor-critical graph such that $\sharp(V) \geq 3$. We have the following remark.
Remark 4.5. (i) Let $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{r}=G$ be an odd ear decomposition of $G$. Then
(1) $G_{0}$ can be either a vertex or an odd circuit. If $G_{0}$ is a vertex then $G_{1}$ is an odd circuit. Hence without loss of generality we can assume that $G_{0}$ is an odd circuit.
(2) We can assume that the circuit $G_{0}$ is chord-less, since otherwise $G_{0}$ can be decomposed in an odd circuit and an odd ear.
(ii) For any vertex $u \in V$, there is an odd ear decomposition $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{r}=G$ with $u \in V\left(G_{0}\right)$. In that case the $G_{0}$ can have chords.
(iii) For any vertex $u \in V$, the set $N(u)$ contains at least two vertices. In particular $G$ does not have leaves.
(iv) If $G=p_{\mathbf{a}}(H)$ for some graph $H$ with $l=\sharp V(H)$, $\mathbf{a}:=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{l} \backslash\{0\}$ and $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{r}=G$ is an odd ear decomposition, then we can assume that $G_{0} \subset V(H)$ and $G_{0}$ is chord-less.
(v) A factor-critical graph can have several odd ear decompositions.

Example 4.6. (i) A factor-critical graphs has 3 vertices if and only if it is a triangle.
(ii) A factor-critical graph $G$ has 5 vertices if and only if
(1) It is the union of two triangles with a common vertex.
(2) It is a pentagon with a set (eventually empty) of chords.
(iii) If $G$ has an ear decomposition given by a triangle and an open ear of length 3 then $G$ is a pentagon with chords.

Concerning odd ear decompositions we have some results.
Lemma 4.7. (i) Let $F$ be factor-critical with $\sharp(F) \geq 3$ and an edge ab such that $a \in F, b \notin F$. Then $p_{\mathbf{1}_{\{a, b\}}+\mathbf{1}_{F}}(G)$ is factor-critical with $\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{1}_{\{a, b\}}+\mathbf{1}_{F}}(G)\right)=\nu(F)+1$.
(ii) Let $F$ be factor-critical and an edge ab in $F$. Then $p_{1_{\{a, b\}}+\mathbf{1}_{F}}(G)$ is factor-critical.
(iii) Let $F$ be factor-critical with $\sharp(F) \geq 3, F_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}=F$ an odd ear decomposition, i.e. $F_{i+1}$ is obtained from $F_{i}$ by adding an odd ear $P_{i}$ (open or closed) with end points $a_{i}, b_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, r$. Then $p_{\mathbf{1}_{\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}}+\mathbf{1}_{F}}(G)$ is factor-critical.
(iv) Let $F, F^{\prime}$ be factor-critical graphs with $\sharp(F), \sharp\left(F^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$ such that $F \cap F^{\prime}=\{a\}$. Then $F \cup F^{\prime}$ is factor-critical.
(v) Let $F, F^{\prime}$ be factor-critical graphs with $\sharp(F), \sharp\left(F^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$ such that $F \cap F^{\prime}=\emptyset$ and assume that there is an edge ab in $G$ such that $a \in F, b \in F^{\prime}$. Then $p_{\mathbf{1}_{\{a\}}+\mathbf{1}_{F}+\mathbf{1}_{F^{\prime}}}(G)$ is factor-critical.

Proof. In order to prove that replicated graphs are factor-critical, by Theorem 4.4 we need only to consider their ear decompositions.
(i) Let $F_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}=F$ be an odd ear decomposition. Let $c \in V(F)$ be a neighbor of $a$ and $P$ the path with edges $c a^{\prime}, a^{\prime} b, b a$. Then $F_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}, F_{r} \cup P$ is an odd ear decomposition of $p_{\mathbf{1}_{\{a, b\}}+\mathbf{1}_{F}}(G)$.
(ii) Do similarly to the claim (i) by choosing the path $P$ with edges $b a^{\prime}, a^{\prime} b^{\prime}, b^{\prime} a$.
(iii) Recall that $F_{i+1}$ is obtained from $F_{i}$ by adding an odd ear $P_{i}$. Let $P_{i}$ : $a_{i} d_{1}, d_{1} d_{2}, \ldots, d_{l} b_{i}$ be a path, where $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{l} \notin V\left(F_{i}\right)$. On the other hand there exist $c, e \in F_{i}$ such that $c$ is a neighbor of $a_{i}$ and $e$ is a neighbor of $b_{i}$. Let $P_{i}^{\prime}: c a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i}^{\prime} d_{1}, d_{1} d_{2}, \ldots, d_{l} b_{i}^{\prime}, b_{i}^{\prime} e$ be a path. Now we change the path $P_{i}$ by $P_{i}^{\prime}$ in the ear decomposition of $F$, the other ears are unchangeable, we get an ear decomposition of $p_{\mathbf{1}_{\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}}+\mathbf{1}_{F}}(G)$.
(iv) Let $F_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}=F$ and $F_{0}^{\prime}, F_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, F_{s}^{\prime}=F^{\prime}$ be odd ear decompositions of $F$ and $F^{\prime}$, respectively such that $F \cap F^{\prime}=\{a\}$. By Remark 4.5 we can assume that $a \in F_{0}^{\prime}$. Then $F_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}, F_{0}^{\prime} \cup F, F_{1}^{\prime} \cup F, \ldots, F_{s}^{\prime} \cup F$ is an odd ear decomposition of $F \cup F^{\prime}$.
(v) By (i) we have that $p_{\mathbf{1}_{\{a, b\}}+\mathbf{1}_{F}}(G)$ is factor-critical having only a common point with $F^{\prime}$, so we can apply (iv).

For any simple graph $G$, denote by $D(G)$ the set of all vertices in $G$ which are missed by at least one maximum matching of $G$, and $A(G)$ the set of vertices in $V-D(G)$ adjacent to at least one vertex in $D(G)$. Let $C(G)=V-A(G)-D(G)$ and odd $(D(G))$ be the number of odd connected components of $D(G)$. More generally let $S \subset V$ and $G[S]$ its induced subgraph of $G$. The induced graphs $A(G[S]), D(G[S]), C(G[S])$ will be denoted by $A(S), D(S), C(S)$.

At first, we recall the Gallai-Edmonds Structure Theorem given independently by J. Edmonds [4] and T. Gallai [7]. We give here a condensed version of [26, Theorem 1.5.3].

Theorem 4.8. Let $G$ be a graph. Then
(i) Every odd component $H$ of $G-A(G)$ is factor-critical and $V(H) \subseteq D(G)$.
(ii) Every even component $H$ of $G-A(G)$ has a perfect matching and $V(H) \subseteq C(G)$.
(iii) For every non empty set $X \subseteq A(G)$, the set $N_{G}(X)$ contains vertices in at least $\sharp(X)+1$ odd components of $G-A(G)$.
(iv) $\nu(G)=\frac{1}{2}[\sharp(V(G))-$ odd $(D(G))+\sharp(A(G))]$.

Following Lovász [16] we can describe maximum matchings in $G$ as follows: Every maximum matching of $G$ is a union of a perfect matching of $C(G)$, a matching from $A(G)$ to the components of $D(G)$, that is a set of $\sharp(A(G))$ edges, each such edge contains a vertex in $A(G)$ and a vertex in some component of $D(G)$ and a maximum matching of each component of $D(G)$.

The set $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{G})$ is also called Gallai-Edmonds set and is the unique subset of $G$ satisfying the Gallai-Edmonds Structure Theorem as expressed in the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.9. Let $G=A \cup D \cup C$ be a partition such that $D$ is a matching-critical set, $C$ has a perfect matching and for any $X \subset A$, the set $N_{G}(X)$ contains vertices in at least $\sharp(X)+1$ odd components of $D$. Then $A(G)=A, D(G)=D$ and $C(G)=C$.

As an application we have the following result.
Lemma 4.10. Let $A(G), D(G), C(G)$ be the canonical decomposition of the graph $G$, $e=\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$ an edge in $D(G)$ and $S=p_{\mathbf{1}_{e}+1_{G}}(G)$. Then $A(S)=A(G), D(S)=$ $D(G) \cup\left\{x_{i}^{(2)}, x_{j}^{(2)}\right\}$ and $C(S)=C(G)$.

Proof. Let $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{s}$ be the connected components of $D(G)$, we can assume that $e$ is an edge of $F_{1}$. Then we have by Lemma 4.7, (ii) that $p_{1_{e}+\mathbf{1}_{F_{1}}}(G)$ is factor-critical. So $p_{\mathbf{1}_{e}+\mathbf{1}_{F_{1}}}(G) \cup F_{2} \cup \ldots \cup F_{s}=p_{\mathbf{1}_{e}+\mathbf{1}_{D(G)}}(G)$ is matching-critical. Now we apply Corollary 4.9 to $S$ with $A=A(G), D=p_{\mathbf{1}_{e}+\mathbf{1}_{D(G)}}(G)$ and $C=C(G)$. Note that vertices of $e$ in $\mathbf{1}_{e}+\mathbf{1}_{D(G)}$ have coordinate 2 while the other in $D(G)$ have coordinate 1 . Hence we have $A(S)=A(G), D(S)=D(G) \cup\left\{x_{i}^{(2)}, x_{j}^{(2)}\right\}$ and $C(S)=C(G)$ as required.

We will need the following particular case of Gallai-Edmonds Structure Theorem.
Corollary 4.11. If $C(G)=\emptyset$ then
(i) $\nu(G)=\nu(D(G))+\sharp(A(G))$.
(ii) All elements in $A(G)$ are involved in any maximum matching of $G$ and each edge of this matching contains at most one element of $A(G)$.
(iii) For any odd component $F$ of $D(G)$ and every $u \in F$, each maximum matching $\mathcal{M}$ of $G-u$ provides a perfect matching of $F-u$.
(iv) $\sharp(A(G))<$ odd $(D(G))$.
(v) For every subset $X \in A(G)$, the set $N_{G}(X)$ contains vertices in at least $\sharp X+1$ components of $D(G)$.

The following corollary is a part of the Stability Lemma [26, Theorem 1.5.5].
Corollary 4.12. Let $A^{\prime}$ be a subset of $A(G)$. Then

$$
A\left(G-A^{\prime}\right)=A(G)-A^{\prime}, D\left(G-A^{\prime}\right)=D(G), C\left(G-A^{\prime}\right)=C(G)
$$

## 5. Embedded irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$

In this section, we will study embedded irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$ by using GallaiEdmonds Structure Theorem 4.8. We know that any embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$ can be written as $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$, where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ is a non zero vector and $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \subset U \subset V$. In Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.7, we will give necessary and sufficient conditions for ideals of the form $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathrm{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ belong to $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$. At first, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. Let $M, M^{\prime}$ be two monomials of $R$.
(i) Let $G$ be a simple graph. Suppose that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cap N(\operatorname{Supp} M)=\emptyset$ and $\operatorname{Supp}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is a coclique set. Then $M \in I_{G}^{k}$ if and only if $M M^{\prime} \in I_{G}^{k}$.
(ii) Let $I, J$ be monomial ideals. If $M \in I+J$ and $M \notin J$ then $M \in I$.

From now on, let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ a nonzero vector and $S:=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ the replication of $G$ by vector a (see Definition 2.10).

Lemma 5.2. Let $D(S), A(S)$ and $C(S)$ be the Gallai-Edmonds decomposition of $S$. Then we have $x_{i}^{(j)} \in D(S)$ (or in $A(S)$ or in $C(S)$, respectively) if and only if $x_{i}^{(1)} \in$ $D(S)$ (or in $A(S)$ or in $C(S)$, respectively), for all $i=1, \ldots, d$ and $j=1, \ldots, a_{i}$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the necessary condition, for $j \in\left\{2, \ldots, a_{i}\right\}$. Firstly, suppose that $x_{i}^{(1)} \in D(S)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a maximum matching of $S$ avoiding $x_{i}^{(1)}$. We have to consider two cases:
(a) If $\mathcal{M}$ avoids $x_{i}^{(j)}$ then we have $\nu\left(S-x_{i}^{(1)}\right)=\nu\left(S-x_{i}^{(j)}\right)=\nu(S)$.
(b) If $\mathcal{M}$ does not avoid $x_{i}^{(j)}$ then by interchanging $x_{i}^{(1)}$ with $x_{i}^{(j)}$, we get a maximum matching $\mathcal{M}_{j}$ of $S$ containing $x_{i}^{(1)}$, but avoiding $x_{i}^{(j)}$. Then $\nu\left(S-x_{i}^{(j)}\right)=\nu(S)$.

Thus both cases imply that $x_{i}^{(j)} \in D(S)$ by definition.
Secondly, suppose that $x_{i}^{(1)} \in A(S)=N_{S}(D(S))-D(S)$. Then there exists $u \in D(S)$ such that $u$ is a neighbor of $x_{i}^{(1)}$. It implies that $x_{i}^{(j)}$ is a neighbor of $u$, but $x_{i}^{(j)} \notin D(S)$, otherwise by the first claim $x_{i}^{(1)} \in D(S)$, a contradiction. Hence $x_{i}^{(j)} \in A(S)$.

Finally, the claim for the set $C(S)$ follows by the claims for $D(S)$ and $A(S)$.
Theorem 5.3. Let $k \geqslant 2$ be an integer, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ be a nonzero vector, $U \subset V$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \subset U$. Let denote $Z:=V \backslash U$ and $S:=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ the replication of $G$ by a. Assume that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \cap N(Z)=\emptyset$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$.
(ii) The sets $S$ and $Z$ satisfy the following properties
(1) $Z$ is a coclique set, $\nu(S)=k-1$ and $V=N_{G}(D(S)) \cup Z \cup N(Z)$.
(2) $C(S)=\emptyset$, i.e. $S=D(S) \cup A(S)$ in the Gallai-Edmonds's canonical decomposition.

Proof. Firstly, if we choose $m=k$ in Corollary 2.8(v) then we have that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\boldsymbol{1}_{U}}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{k 1_{Z}} \notin I_{G}^{k}$ and for any $u \in U$ we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{k 1_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$, by Remark 2.9 and Example 2.11, $Z$ is a non maximal coclique set and $V \backslash Z \cup N(Z) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in V \backslash Z \cup N(Z)$, we will prove that $u \in N(D(S))$. Since $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{k \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \notin I_{G}^{k}$ we have $\nu(S)<k$. Moreover since $u \in U$ we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{k \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$. Since $Z$ is a coclique set and Supp $\mathbf{a} \cap N(Z)=\emptyset$, by Lemma 5.1, (i) we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \in I_{G}^{k}$. Then $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}=M_{e_{1}} \ldots M_{e_{k}} M^{\prime}$, for some $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k} \in E(G)$, but since $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \notin I_{G}^{k}$, the vertex $u$ must belong to some of the edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}$. Hence there exists $1 \leq i \leq k, v \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$ such that $e_{i}=u v$. It follows that $k>\nu(S) \geq \nu(S-v) \geq k-1$, which implies $\nu(S)=\nu(S-v)=k-1$. Therefore $v \in D(S)$ by definition of $D(S)$, so $u \in N(D(S))$ as required and claim (1) is over.

In order to prove claim (2), note that by Theorem $4.8, D(S)$ is matching-critical. By hypothesis $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \cap(Z \cup N(Z))=\emptyset$, so by (1) we have $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \subset N_{G}(D(S))$. Since $(S \backslash D(S)) \cap V \subset \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \subset N_{G}(D(S))$, we have $(S \backslash D(S)) \cap V \subset A(S) \subset S \backslash D(S)$ by definition. We can see from Lemma 5.2 that $S \backslash D(S) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $(S \backslash D(S)) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and $S \backslash D(S) \subset A(S)$, hence $S \backslash D(S)=A(S)$ and we have $C(S)=\emptyset$ by definition.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Since $\nu(S)=k-1$, we have $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \in I_{G}^{k-1} \backslash I_{G}^{k}$. On the other hand $Z$ is a coclique set and $N(\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})) \cap Z=\emptyset$. Hence $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{k \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \notin I_{G}^{k}$ by Lemma 5.1 (i). In order to complete our claim we have to prove that for any $u \in U$ we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{k 1_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$. We have two cases:

- If $u \in U \cap N(Z)$ then there exists $v \in Z$ such that $u v \in I_{G}$. So $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{k 1_{z}}=$ $(u v) \mathrm{x}^{\mathbf{a}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}^{k 1} Z}{v}\right) \in I_{G}^{k}$.
- If $u \in U \backslash N(Z)$ then by hypothesis $u \in N_{G}(D(S))$. Hence there exists $w \in D(S)$ such that $u w \in I_{G}$. By the definition of $D(S)$ we have $\nu(S)=\nu(S-w)$, which implies that $\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}}{w} \in I_{G}^{k-1}$, hence $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}=(u w) \frac{\mathrm{x}^{\mathbf{a}}}{w} \in I_{G}^{k}$.

With the notations of the above Theorem we will show in Lemma 5.5 that every connected component of $D(S)$ contains an odd circuit. In the next example we illustrate this condition.

Example 5.4. In Figure 2 we have $A(G)=\{j, k\}, D(G)$ has four connected components $F_{1}=\{a, b, c\}, F_{2}=\{d, e, f\}, F_{3}=\{g, h, i\}, F_{4}=\{l\}$ and $C(G)=\emptyset$. Note that $F_{4}$ is an isolated point in $D(G)$. We have $a \cdots l \in I_{G}^{5} \backslash I_{G}^{6}$ but is not a corner element of $I_{G}^{6}$, since $l a \cdots l \notin I_{G}^{6}$. This shows that $\left(a^{2}, \ldots, l^{2}\right)$ is not an irreducible component of $I_{G}^{6}$.


Figure 2. $\left(a^{2}, \ldots, l^{2}\right)$ is not an irreducible component of $I_{G}^{6}$

Note that for any sets $F, Z \subset V, F \cap N(Z)=\emptyset$ is equivalent to $N(F) \cap Z=\emptyset$.
Lemma 5.5. Let $F, Z \subset V$ be two disjoint sets and $D \subset F$. Suppose that $Z$ is a coclique set and $N(F) \cap Z=\emptyset$.
(i) The following statements are equivalent:
(1) $V=N(D) \cup Z \cup N(Z)$
(2) $N(F) \backslash N(Z) \subset N(D)$ and $Z$ is maximal such that $N(F) \cap Z=\emptyset$.
(ii) If one of the above conditions (1) or (2) is satisfied then $D$ has no isolated vertices. In addition, if $D$ is matching-critical then every connected component of the induced graph on $D$ contains an odd circuit.

Proof. (i) (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$. Let $u \in N(F) \backslash N(Z)$. Since $N(F) \cap Z=\emptyset$ we have $u \notin Z$. Hence the equality $V=N(D) \cup Z \cup N(Z)$ implies $u \in N(D)$. Now suppose that $Z$ is
not maximal such that $N(F) \cap Z=\emptyset$. Then there exists $Z^{\prime} \supsetneq Z$ a coclique set such that $N(F) \cap Z^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Let $v \in Z^{\prime} \backslash Z$. Then we have $v \notin N(F)$ and therefore $v \notin N(D)$. Hence the equality $V=N(D) \cup Z \cup N(Z)$ implies $v \in N(Z)$, a contradiction since $Z^{\prime}$ is coclique.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. Let $u \in V \backslash Z \cup N(Z)$. Then the set $Z^{\prime}=Z \cup\{u\}$ is coclique. If $u \notin N(F)$ then we have $N(F) \cap Z^{\prime}=\emptyset$, it is a contradiction to the maximality of $Z$. Hence $u \in N(F) \backslash N(Z) \subset N(D)$. Our claim is done.
(ii) We have $D \cap(N(Z) \cup Z)=\emptyset$ by the hypothesis $F \cap(N(Z) \cup Z)=\emptyset$. By (1), we have $D \subset N(D)$, i.e. for every vertex $u \in D$, there is at least an edge $u v$ with $v \in D$. Moreover, if $D$ is matching-critical, every connected component of the induced graph on $D$ contains an odd circuit by Theorem 4.4 and Remark 4.5.

Lemma 5.6. Let $G$ be a simple connected graph. $Z:=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{\lambda}\right\} \subset V$ be any non empty coclique set and $R^{\prime}=R\left[z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{\lambda}^{-1}\right]$. Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}\right) \subset N(Z)$ and $M$ a monomial with $\operatorname{Supp}(M) \subset V \backslash(Z \cup N(Z))$. Then for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $M \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_{G}^{l} R^{\prime} \backslash I_{G}^{l+1} R^{\prime}$ if and only if $M \in I_{G}^{l-|\mathbf{b}|} \backslash I_{G}^{l+1-|\mathbf{b}|}$.

Proof. Since $Z$ is coclique $I_{G} R^{\prime}$ is a proper ideal. Note that for every $u \in N(Z)$, there exists some $j$ such that $u z_{j} \in I_{G}$ and $u=u z_{j} z_{j}^{-1}$. Hence we have $u \in I_{G} R^{\prime}$. This implies that $I_{G} R^{\prime}=I_{G \backslash(N(Z) \cup Z)} R^{\prime}+\mathfrak{N} R^{\prime}$, where $\mathfrak{N}$ is the ideal generated by $N(Z)$.

Suppose that $M \mathrm{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_{G}^{l} R^{\prime} \backslash I_{G}^{l+1} R^{\prime}$. Since $I_{G}^{l} R^{\prime}$ is a proper monomial ideal, there are monomial generators $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}$ of $I_{G} R^{\prime}$ and a monomial $N \in R^{\prime}$ such that $M \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}=$ $f_{1} \ldots f_{l} N$. It is an equality in $R^{\prime}$, so we can assume that no unit appears in the right member. Since $M \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \notin I_{G}^{l+1} R^{\prime}$ we have $\operatorname{Supp}(N) \subset V \backslash(N(Z) \cup Z)$. Suppose that $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{i} \in I_{G \backslash(N(Z) \cup Z)} R^{\prime}$ and $f_{j} \in N(Z)$ for every $j>i$. Because of the equality of monomials we have $i=l-|\mathbf{b}|$. Hence $M=f_{1} \ldots f_{l-|\mathbf{b}|} N$ with $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l-|\mathbf{b}|} \in I_{G}$, which means that $M \in I_{G}^{l-|\mathbf{b}|}$. On the other hand if $M \in I_{G}^{l+1-|\mathbf{b}|}$ then $M \in I_{G}^{l+1-|\mathbf{b}|} R^{\prime}$ since $\operatorname{Supp}(M) \subset V \backslash(Z \cup N(Z))$. So we have $M \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_{G}^{l+1} R^{\prime}$, a contradiction. Hence $M \in I_{G}^{l-|\mathbf{b}|} \backslash I_{G}^{l+1-|\mathbf{b}|}$ as required.

Conversely, suppose that $M \in I_{G}^{l-|\mathbf{b}|} \backslash I_{G}^{l+1-|\mathbf{b}|}$. Since $\operatorname{Supp}(M) \subset V \backslash(Z \cup N(Z))$ and $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}\right) \subset N(Z)$ we have $M \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_{G}^{l} R^{\prime}$. Assume that $M \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_{G}^{l+1} R^{\prime}$. Since $I_{G}^{l+1} R^{\prime}$ is a proper monomial ideal, there are monomial generators $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l+1}$ of $I_{G} R^{\prime}$ and a monomial $N \in R^{\prime}$ such that $M \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}=f_{1} \ldots f_{l+1} N$. It is an equality in $R^{\prime}$, so we can assume that no unit appears in the right member. If there exists $u \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}\right)$ that divides $N$ then we can cancel it in both sides of the equality. Hence we can suppose that $M \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}^{\prime}}=f_{1} \ldots f_{l+1} N^{\prime}$ for some monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}^{\prime}}$ dividing $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\operatorname{Supp}\left(N^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$. Every variable appearing in $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}^{\prime}}$ should appear in $f_{1} \ldots f_{l+1}$. By canceling in both sides the variables in $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}^{\prime}}$ we get $M \in I_{G}^{l+1-\left|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right|} \subset I_{G}^{l+1-|\mathbf{b}|}$, a contradiction.
Theorem 5.7. Let $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ be an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$ and $Z=V \backslash U$. Assume that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \cap N(Z) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}$ with $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ the unique decomposition such that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{b})=\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \cap N(Z), \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{c})=\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \backslash N(Z)$ and $\delta=|\mathbf{b}|$. Then $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap N(Z)=\emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c + 1}} \mathbf{1}_{U}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k-\delta}$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a + 1}} \boldsymbol{1}_{U}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$, by Remark 2.9, $Z$ is a coclique set and by Example 2.11, $Z$ is not maximal. Hence we need only to prove that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k-\delta}\right)$. For $m \geq k$, we have by Corollary $2.8(\mathrm{v})$ that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \notin I_{G}^{k} \text { (1) and for every } u \notin Z u \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k} \text { (2). }
$$

By definition of $\mathbf{c}$, we have $N(\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{c})) \cap Z=\emptyset$. In order to prove $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k-\delta}\right)$, again by Corollary $2.8(\mathrm{v})$ we need to prove

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \notin I_{G}^{k-\delta}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \text { and for every } u \notin Z u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k-\delta}\left(2^{\prime}\right)
$$

Suppose conversely $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k-\delta}$. Then there are $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k-\delta}$ monomial generators of $I_{G}$ and a monomial $N$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}}=f_{1} \ldots f_{k-\delta} N$. If there exist $z \in Z$ and an index $i$ such that $f_{i}=z u$ then $u \in N(Z)$, it is impossible since $(\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cup Z) \cap N(Z)=\emptyset$. It follows that $\mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}}$ divides $N$. Hence $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}}=f_{1} \ldots f_{k-\delta} N^{\prime}$. On the other hand, by the definition of $\mathbf{b}$ and note that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{c})=\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \backslash N(Z)$, we have $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}\right) \subset N(Z)$. So for $m \geq \delta$ we have $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{\delta}$. Finally, we get $\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}}=\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$, a contradiction to (1). Therefore ( $1^{\prime}$ ) is proved.

Now we prove ( $2^{\prime}$ ). Let $u \notin Z$ and $R^{\prime}=R\left[z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{\lambda}^{-1}\right]$ be a localization of $R$ by $Z$. We have from (2) that $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k} R$, which implies $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_{G}^{k} R^{\prime}$. By definition of localization, the condition $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_{G}^{k+1} R^{\prime}$ implies that $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k+1} R$ for $m \gg 0$, writing $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}}$ as a product of $k+1$ generators of $I_{G} R$ gives us a contradiction to (1). Hence $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \notin I_{G}^{k+1} R^{\prime}$. Now by applying Lemma 5.6 for two cases, we have: if $u \in N(Z)$ then $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \in I_{G}^{k-1-\delta}$, which implies $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k-\delta}$; if $u \in V \backslash(N(Z) \cup Z)$, then we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \in I_{G}^{k-\delta}$, hence $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k-\delta}$ as required.
Theorem 5.8. Let $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ be an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ be a monomial with support in $N(Z)$. Suppose that $N(\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})) \cap Z=\emptyset$. Then $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k+|\mathbf{b}|}$.

Proof. Similar to Theorem 5.7. Since $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$, the coclique set $Z$ is not maximal, hence we need only to prove that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in$ $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k-\delta}\right)$. By Theorem 5.3 and Corollary $2.8(\mathrm{v})$, for $m \geq k$ we have that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{z}} \notin I_{G}^{k}$ and $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$ for every $u \notin Z$. By Corollary $2.8(\mathrm{v})$ we have to prove that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \notin I_{G}^{k+|\mathbf{b}|}$ for every $m \geq k+|\mathbf{b}|$ and $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k+|\mathbf{b}|}$ for every $u \notin Z$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k+|\mathbf{b}|}$. Then there are $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k+|\mathbf{b}|}$ monomial generators of $I_{G}$ and a monomial $N$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{z}}=f_{1} \ldots f_{k+|\mathbf{b}|} N$. Let $v \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}\right)$, then either $v$ divides $N$ or $f_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k+|\mathbf{b}|$. In both cases we have that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{1}_{v}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k+|\mathbf{b}|-1}$. Repeating this argument we will have $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$, a contradiction.

Let $u \notin Z$ and $x^{\mathbf{b}}=x_{1}^{b_{1}} \ldots x_{d}^{b_{d}}$. For each $b_{i}>0$, there exist $z_{i} \in Z$ such that $x_{i} z_{i} \in E$ which implies $\left(x_{i} z_{i}\right)^{b_{i}} \in I_{G}^{b_{i}}$. Hence $\prod_{i}\left(x_{i} z_{i}\right)^{b_{i}} \in I_{G}^{|\mathbf{b}|}$. Note that even if an element $z_{i}$ can appear several times in this product then its power is at most $|\mathbf{b}|$. So $\prod_{i}\left(x_{i} z_{i}\right)^{b_{i}}$ divides $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{|\mathbf{b}| \mathbf{1}_{Z}}$ which implies $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{|\mathbf{b}| \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{|\mathbf{b}|}$. On the other hand since for any $u \notin Z$
and $m \geq k$ we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{m \mathbf{1}_{Z}} \in I_{G}^{k}$, so we have $u \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}^{(|\mathbf{b}|+m) \mathbf{1}_{z}} \in I_{G}^{k+|\mathbf{b}|}$. Our claim is done.

Remark 5.9. By the Theorem 5.7, in order to describe the embedded irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$, it is necessary and sufficient to describe the pair $\left(S:=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G), Z\right)$ as in Theorem 5.3, where $S=A(S) \cup D(S)$ is in the Gallai-Edmonds decomposition such that $\nu(S)=k-1$, and $Z$ is a coclique set such that $N_{G}(S) \cap Z=\emptyset, V=N_{G}(D(S)) \cup N(Z) \cup Z$. In this situation, let $\mathbf{b}$ be any vector with support in $N(Z)$. Then the irreducible component associated to $p_{\mathbf{a + b}}(G)$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k+|\mathbf{b}|}$.

Example 5.10. Let $G$ be the graph as in Figure 3, with $V=\{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$ and $E=\{a b, a c, b c, a d, d e, e f, f g\}$. Consider to the ring $R=K[a, b, c, d, e, f, g]$ and $I_{G}=(a b, a c, b c, a d, d e, e f, f g) \subset R$. We have only one matching-critical subgraph $Y \subset G$ which is the triangle with vertices $a, b, c$. There are two coclique sets $Z_{1}=\{e, g\}$ and $Z_{2}=\{f\}$ such that $N(Y) \cap Z_{1}=\emptyset, N(Y) \cap Z_{2}=\emptyset$ and maximal for this property. Hence $\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d, f\right),\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d, e, g\right)$ are irreducible components of $I_{G}^{2}$. On the other hand we have $N\left(Z_{1}\right)=\{d, f\}, N\left(Z_{2}\right)=\{e, g\}$. Hence by applying Theorem 5.7, Theorem 5.8 and Remark 5.9 we have $\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d^{2}, f\right),\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d, f^{2}\right)$, and $\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d, e, g^{2}\right),\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d, e^{2}, g\right)$ are irreducible components of $I_{G}^{3}$.


Figure 3. $\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d^{2}, f\right),\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d, f^{2}\right),\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d, e, g^{2}\right),\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d, e^{2}, g\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$

Corollary 5.11. There is at least an embedded component in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ if and only if there is an odd circuit $C$ in $G$ with $\nu(C) \leq k-1$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ be an embedded component and $Z:=V \backslash U$. Let $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}$ be the decomposition given in Theorem 5.7, in particular we have $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{b}) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \cap$ $N(Z)$ and $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap N(Z)=\emptyset$. So $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c + 1}} \boldsymbol{1}_{U}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k-|\mathbf{b}|}$ by Theorem 5.7. Set $S:=p_{\mathbf{c}}(G)$, then we have by Theorem 5.3 that $S=A(S) \cup$ $D(S)$ in the Gallai-Edmonds decomposition, and $\nu(S)=k-|\mathbf{b}|-1$. Let $F_{1} \subset D(S)$ be a factor-critical connected component of the matching-critical set $D(S)$. We have from Theorem 4.4 that $F_{1}$ has an odd ear decomposition $P_{0} \subset P_{1} \subset \ldots \subset P_{r}=F_{1}$ such that $P_{0}$ is an odd circuit. We can assume by Remark 4.5 that $P_{0}$ is a subgraph of $G$. Hence we have a circuit $P_{0}$ in $G$ with $\nu\left(P_{0}\right) \leq \nu\left(F_{1}\right) \leq \nu(D(S)) \leq \nu(S)=k-|\mathbf{b}|-1$.

Conversely, let $C$ be an odd circuit with $\nu(C) \leq k-1$. Then $C$ is factor-critical. Let $Z$ be any coclique set such that $N(C) \cap Z=\emptyset$ maximal for this property and $U=$ $V \backslash Z$. It implies by Theorem 5.3 that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{C}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded irreducible component
of $I_{G}^{k-|\mathbf{b}|} I_{G}^{\nu(C)+1}$. Let $e$ be any edge of $C$. Then by Lemma $4.7 p_{\mathbf{1}_{C+}(k-\nu(C)-1) \mathbf{1}_{e}}$ is factorcritical and hence we have $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{C}+(k-\nu(C)-1) \mathbf{1}_{e}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$ by Theorem 5.3.

It is well known that a graph $G$ has no odd cycles if and only if is bipartite. As an immediate consequence of Corollary 5.11 we can recover and precise Theorem 5.9 of [22].

Corollary 5.12. A graph $G$ is a bipartite graph if and only if $I_{G}^{k}$ has no embedded irreducible components for every $k \geq 1$. For bipartite graphs Theorem 3.1 describes all irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$ for any $k \geq 1$. In particular the number of irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$ coincides with a polynomial of degree $\operatorname{bight}\left(I_{G}\right)-1$.

The next result improves Theorem 3.1 of [12] and Theorem 2.8 of [23].
Corollary 5.13. Every embedded component in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{2}\right)$ equals $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{F}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$, where $U \cup Z$ is a partition of $V, Z$ is a coclique set and $F \subset U$ is a triangle such that $N(F) \cap Z=$ $\emptyset, V=N(F) \cup N(Z) \cup Z$.
Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{2}\right)$ be an embedded component. From the proof of Corollary 5.11 we get $1 \leq \nu\left(P_{0}\right) \leq \nu\left(F_{1}\right) \leq \nu(D(S)) \leq \nu(S)=2-|\mathbf{b}|-1$. This implies that the vector $\mathbf{b}$ is null and $P_{0}=S$ such that $\nu\left(P_{0}\right)=1$. Therefore $S$ is a triangle in $G$ by Example 4.6. Now take $F:=S$, then we have $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1}_{F}$.

Reciprocally let $F$ be a triangle, $\nu(F)=1$, we know that $F$ is factor-critical. Let $Z$ be any coclique set such that $N(F) \cap Z=\emptyset$, maximal for this property and $U=V \backslash Z$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{F}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded component of $I_{G}^{2}$ by Lemma 5.5 and Theorem 5.3.

As a consequence of our results in this section we get the following strong persistence which improves [18, Theorem 2.15].
Corollary 5.14. Let $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+1_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$. Then we have at least one irreducible component $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}^{\prime}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k+1}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}\right)$ and $a_{i} \leq a_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, d$. In particular if $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an associated prime of $I_{G}^{k}$ then $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an associated prime of $I_{G}^{l}$ for all $l \geq k$.
Proof. If $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ is a non embedded component then the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.1. If $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ is an embedded component, let $Z:=V \backslash U$ and $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}$ be the decomposition given in Theorem 5.7. Let $S:=p_{\mathbf{c}}(G)$. From the proof of of Corollary 5.11 we get $\nu(S)=k-|\mathbf{b}|-1$ and $S=A(S) \cup D(S)$ in the GallaiEdmonds decomposition, with $D(S)$ a matching-critical set. Let $e$ be any edge in $D(S)$ and $S^{\prime}=S:=p_{\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}_{e}}(G)$, by Lemma 4.10 we have $A\left(S^{\prime}\right)=A(S), C\left(S^{\prime}\right)=C(S)=\emptyset$ and $D\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from $D(S)$ by replication of the edge $e$. So $\nu\left(D\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right)=\nu(D(S))+1$ which implies $\nu\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\nu(S)+1=k-|\mathbf{b}|$. Moreover $D(S) \cap V=D\left(S^{\prime}\right) \cap V$, so $N_{G}\left(D\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right)=N_{G}(D(S))$. Hence $S^{\prime}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 5.3, and $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}_{e}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k-|\mathbf{b}|+1}\right)$. By applying Theorem 5.8 we have $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{e}+\mathbf{1}_{U}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k+1}\right)$ Taking $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{e}$ we get the result.

## 6. Behavior of $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ For $k$ Large enough

The edge subring $K[G]:=K[e \mid e \in E] \subset R$ of $G$ is the subalgebra of $R$ generated by the edges of $G$ by considering each edge as a monomial in $R$. In other words, to any edge $e \in E$ of $G$ we associate a variable $Y_{e}$ and have a morphism $\varphi: K\left[Y_{e} \mid e \in E\right] \rightarrow R$ from a polynomial ring to $R$ defined by $\varphi\left(Y_{e}\right)=e$. Let $I(G)$ be the kernel of $\varphi$, then we have $K\left[Y_{e} \mid e \in E\right] / I(G)=K[G]$ and $I(G)$ called toric edge ideal is a toric ideal generated by binomials. Note that the edge subring of $G$ is a graded algebra generated in degree 2 , thus it can be regarded as a standard graded algebra by assigning degree 1 to its generators and there is a natural homogeneous isomorphism between the edge subring $K[G]$ and the special fiber ring of the edge ideal $I_{G}$ of $G$. Therefore, the Krull dimension of $K[G]$ equals the Krull dimension of the special fiber ring of the edge ideal $I_{G}$, which is called the analytic spread and denoted by $l\left(I_{G}\right)$. It follows from [10, Theorem 3.3] that $l\left(I_{G}\right)=\operatorname{dim} K[G]=\sharp V$ if $G$ contains an odd circuit and $l\left(I_{G}\right)=\operatorname{dim} K[G]-1=\sharp V-1$ if $G$ is bipartite.

In this section we will apply our main results to study the set $\operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ for $k \gg 0$. From one side we improve the main results of [2] and [24] by giving short and conceptual proofs in Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.9. From the other side we can precise the main result of [3] that counted the number of irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$ for $k \gg 0$.

First we give a direct corollary of Theorem 5.3 for irreducible components of $I_{G}^{k}$ such that its radical is the maximal ideal.

Corollary 6.1. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ and $S=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$. Then $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{V}}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$ if and only if
(i) $\nu(S)=k-1, V=N_{G}(D(S))$.
(ii) $S=A(S) \cup D(S)$ in the Gallai-Edmonds decomposition, that is $C(S)=\emptyset$.

Proof. The claim follows by applying Theorem 5.3 with $Z=\emptyset$.
We have by virtue of Lemma 5.5 that every connected component of the matchingcritical set $D(S)$ in 6.1 contains an odd cycle.

Let $S=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ be a matching-critical set. Note that $\nu(S)=|\mathbf{a}|-\beta_{0}(\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}))$, where $\beta_{0}(\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}))=\beta_{0}(S)$ is the number of connected components of $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$.

Lemma 6.2. Let $G$ be a simple connected non bipartite graph and $F$ a factor-critical subset of $G$. Then
(i) If $F \varsubsetneqq G$ then there exists a vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ with $F \subset \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$ such that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is factor-critical, $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \varsubsetneqq N_{G}\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)=V, E_{0} \subset V \backslash \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)=$ $\sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})-\nu(F)-1$, where $E_{0}$ is the set of leaves in $G$.
(ii) There exists a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{b})=V$ such that $p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)$ is factor-critical and $\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)\right)=\sharp V-\nu(F)-1$.

Proof. (i) We consider two cases. If $N(F)=V$ then we take $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1}_{F}$, so $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)=F$ satisfies all conditions.

If $N(F) \neq V$ then there exists an independent set $Z$ which is maximal such that $N(F) \cap Z=\emptyset$. We have by Lemma 5.5 that $V=N(F) \cup Z \cup N(Z)$. Now set $F_{1}:=$
$F, \mathbf{a}_{1}=\mathbf{1}_{F}$. Since $G$ is connected we have $F_{1} \varsubsetneqq N\left(F_{1}\right) \backslash E_{0}$. Let $u v \in E(G)$ such that $u \in$ $N\left(F_{1}\right) \backslash\left(E_{0} \cup \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)\right)$ and $v \in F_{1}$, by applying Lemma 4.7 we have that $p_{\mathbf{a}_{1}+\mathbf{1}_{\{u, v\}}}(G)$ is factor-critical. Proceeding similarly for all vertices in $N\left(F_{1}\right) \backslash\left(E_{0} \cup \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)\right)$ we can construct a factor-critical graph $F_{2}=p_{\mathbf{a}_{2}}(G)$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}\right)=N\left(F_{1}\right) \backslash E_{0}$ and $\nu\left(F_{2}\right)=\nu\left(F_{1}\right)+\sharp \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)$. Similarly, by successive applications of Lemma 4.7, we can construct factor-critical graphs $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{\tau}$ such that for for $i=2, \ldots, \tau$ $F_{i}=p_{\mathbf{a}_{i}}(G), \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}\right)=N_{G}\left(F_{i-1}\right) \backslash E_{0}, \nu\left(F_{i}\right)=\nu\left(F_{i-1}\right)+\sharp \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{i-1}\right)$ and $F_{\tau} \varsubsetneqq N\left(F_{\tau}\right)=V$. On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(F_{2}\right) & =\nu\left(F_{1}\right)+\sharp \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right) \\
\nu\left(F_{3}\right) & =\nu\left(F_{2}\right)+\sharp \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{3}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}\right) \\
\quad \ldots & \\
\nu\left(F_{\tau}\right) & =\nu\left(F_{\tau-1}\right)+\sharp \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\tau}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\tau-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $\nu\left(F_{\tau}\right)=\nu\left(F_{1}\right)+\sharp \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\tau}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)$, but $\sharp \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)=\sharp F_{1}=2 \nu\left(F_{1}\right)+1$. Hence $\nu\left(F_{\tau}\right)=\nu\left(F_{1}\right)+\sharp \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\tau}\right)-\sharp \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)=\sharp \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\tau}\right)-\nu\left(F_{1}\right)-1$. Our claim is proved by taking $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a}_{\tau}$.
(ii) By the claim (i), there exists a vector a such that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is factor-critical and $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \varsubsetneqq N_{G}\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)=V$. Let $V \backslash \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}):=\left\{x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{\sigma}}\right\}$ and $e_{j}$ an edge which one vertex is $x_{i_{j}}$ and the second vertex is in $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$, for $j=1, \ldots, \sigma$. Let $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{a}+\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{e_{j}}$. Then we have by Lemma 4.7 that $p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)$ is factor-critical with $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{b})=V$ and $\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)\right)=\sharp V-\nu(F)-1$.

Now we can improve the main result of [24].
Theorem 6.3. Let $G$ be a simple connected non bipartite graph, $I_{G}$ its edge ideal and $F$ a factor-critical subset of $G$ with the biggest matching number. Then $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$ for $k=\sharp V-\varepsilon_{0}(G)-\nu(F)$, where $\varepsilon_{0}(G)$ is the number of leaves in $G$. In particular $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right) \leq \sharp V-\varepsilon_{0}(G)-\nu(F)$.

Proof. If $G$ is factor-critical then $G$ has the biggest matching number among all factorcritical subgraphs of $G$. Factor-critical graphs do not have leaves and $\nu(G)=\sharp V$ -$\nu(G)-1$. We can apply Corollary 6.1 to $S=p_{\mathbf{1}_{V}}(G)=G$ and $k=\nu(G)+1$ to get that $\mathfrak{m}^{2\left(\mathbf{1}_{V}\right)} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{2\left(1_{V}\right)}\right)=\mathfrak{m}$ we have $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right) \leq k=\sharp V-\nu(G)$. So we can assume that $F$ is a proper factor-critical subset of $G$ with the biggest matching number. By Lemma 6.2 there exists a vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ with $F \subset \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$ such that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is factor-critical, $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \varsubsetneqq N_{G}\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)=V, E_{0} \subset V \backslash \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)=$ $\sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})-\nu(F)-1$, where $E_{0}$ is the set of leaves in $G$. We can apply Corollary 6.1 to $S=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ and $k=\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)+1$ to get that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{S}+\mathbf{1}_{V}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{1_{S}+\mathbf{1}_{V}}\right)=\mathfrak{m}$, we have $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right) \leq \nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)+1=\sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})-\nu(F) \leq \sharp V-\varepsilon_{0}(G)-\nu(F)$.

If $G$ is not factor-critical and has no leaves then we can get better bounds for dstab $\left(I_{G}\right)$ and $\operatorname{astab}\left(I_{G}\right)$.

Corollary 6.4. Let $G$ be a simple connected non bipartite graph without leaves. If $G$ is not factor-critical then $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)<\sharp V-\nu(F)$ for any proper factor-critical subgraph $F$ of $G$.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)=\sharp V-\nu(F)$ for some proper factor-critical subgraph $F$ of $G$. By Lemma 6.2 there exist a vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ with $F \subset \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$ such that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is factor-critical, $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \varsubsetneqq N_{G}\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)=V$ and $\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)=\sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})-\nu(F)-1$. Therefore it implies

$$
\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)=\sharp V-\nu(F) \leq \nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)+1=\sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})-\nu(F) .
$$

So $\sharp V \leq \sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$, but $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \subseteq V$, hence $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})=V$. It is a contradiction to the fact that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \varsubsetneqq N_{G}\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)=V$.

In practice we can find the best bound by working on matching-critical sets, but the process is more difficult to control. A set $D \subset V$ is called dominant in $G$ if $V=N(D)$. We also say that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is dominant in $G$ if $V=N_{G}\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)$.

Corollary 6.5. Let $G$ be a simple connected non bipartite graph. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{V}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$. Then there exists $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}_{V}} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k^{\prime}}\right)$, with $k^{\prime} \leq k$, $c_{i} \leq a_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, d$ and $p_{\mathbf{c}}(G)$ is matching-critical with dominant in $G$. As a consequence we have

$$
\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)=\min \left\{\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)+1 \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, p_{\mathbf{a}}(G) \text { is matching-critical, dominant in } G\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $S=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$. Then we have by Corollary 6.1 that $S=D(S) \cup A(S)$. Now let $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ such that $D(S)=p_{\mathbf{c}}(G)$, we can see $D(S)$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 6.1. Hence $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c + 1}} \boldsymbol{1}_{V} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{k^{\prime}}\right)$ with $k^{\prime}=\nu(D(S))+1 \leq \nu(S)+1$. Moreover, we have by Corollary 5.14 that $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is the smallest $k$ such that $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(I_{G}^{k}\right)$. So by computing the minimum in the formula for $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)$, it is enough to consider vectors a such that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is matching-critical and dominant in $G$. Note that by Lemma 5.5 every connected component of $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ contains an odd cycle.

The next result recovers and extends Theorem 3.1 of [12] and Theorem 2.8 of [23].
Corollary 6.6. Let $G$ be a simple connected non bipartite graph (i) dstab $\left(I_{G}\right)=2$ if and only if there is a dominant triangle in $G$.
(ii) $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)=3$ if and only if no triangle is dominant in $G$ and $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is dominant in $G$, where $\mathbf{a}$ is one of the following vectors:
(1) $\mathbf{1}_{\Delta}+\mathbf{1}_{\{u, v\}}$, where $\Delta$ is a triangle, $u \in \Delta, v \notin \Delta, u v \in E$.
(2) $\mathbf{1}_{\Delta_{1}}+\mathbf{1}_{\Delta_{2}}$, where $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ are triangles with at most one common vertex.
(3) $\mathbf{1}_{\Gamma}$, where $\Gamma$ is a pentagon.

Proof. (i) By Corollary 6.5, $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)=2$ if and only if there is a vector a such that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is matching-critical dominant in $G$ with $\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)=1$. Since $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ contains an odd cycle, we have $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is a triangle.
(ii) We have by Corollary 6.5 that $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)=3$ if and only if there is a vector a such that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is matching-critical dominant in $G$ with $\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)=2$. If $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is not
connected then $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is the union of two disjoint triangles. If $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is connected, then $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is factor-critical and contains an odd cycle, so we have $3 \leq \sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \leq 5$. If $\sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})=3$ then the triangle defined by $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$ is matching-critical dominant in $G$, hence $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)=2$, a contradiction so $4 \leq \sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \leq 5$. We have two cases:

If $\sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})=4$, then let $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$. So we can assume that $V\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right):=\left\{u_{1}, u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$. Since $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is factor-critical we have that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)-u_{4}$ has a perfect matching, which is necessarily $u_{1} u_{2}, u_{1}^{\prime} u_{3}$. On the other hand $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)-u_{3}$ has a perfect matching, which is necessarily $u_{1} u_{2}, u_{1}^{\prime} u_{4}$. Similarly $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)-u_{1}$ has a perfect matching, which is necessarily either (a) $u_{1} u_{2}, u_{3} u_{4}$ or (b) $u_{1} u_{3}, u_{2} u_{4}$ or (c) $u_{1} u_{4}, u_{2} u_{3}$. In case the (a) we have $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}}+\mathbf{1}_{\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}}$. In case the (b) we have $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{4}\right\}}+\mathbf{1}_{\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}\right\}}$ and in the case (c) we have $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}}+\mathbf{1}_{\left\{u_{1}, u_{4}\right\}}$. So in all the cases $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1}_{\Delta}+\mathbf{1}_{\{u, v\}}$, where $\Delta$ is a triangle, $u \in \Delta, v \notin \Delta, u v \in E$.

If $\sharp \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})=5$ then since $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is factor-critical, all coordinates of the vector a which are in $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a})$ equal to 1 . Hence $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ is a factor-critical subgraph of $G$, so is either a pentagon with eventually some chords or a union of two triangles with only a common vertex and eventually some chords.

In the following example we compute the first irreducible component which radical is the maximal ideal.

Example 6.7. Let $V=\{a, \ldots, l\}$ (see Figure 4) and choose $S=\{a, \ldots, j\}$. Hence we can check that $C(S)=\emptyset, D(S)=\{a, \ldots, i\}$ and $A(S)=\{j\}$. Therefore $S$ satisfies the second condition of Corollary 6.1 but not the first. By applying Lemma 4.7, (i) we have that the graph $S^{\prime}:=p_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{s}}+\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}\}}}(G)$ is matching-critical with $C\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset, D\left(S^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left\{a, \ldots, i, i^{\prime}, k\right\}$ and $A\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$. Hence $S^{\prime}$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 6.1 with $\nu\left(S^{\prime}\right)=4$. Thus $\left(a^{2}, \ldots, h^{2}, i^{3}, j, k^{2}, l\right) \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}^{5}\right)$. In this example, the bound given by [2] and [24] is $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right) \leq 11$, but we have seen that $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right) \leq 5$. In fact by using Corollary 6.5 we can prove that $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)=5$.


Figure 4. $\operatorname{dstab}\left(I_{G}\right)=5$

Lemma 6.8. Let $L$ be the set of leaves in $G$. Then for any set $A \subset V$ such that every connected component of the induced subgraph $G[A]$ contains an odd circuit, we have $N(A)=N(A \backslash L)$.

Proof. It is clear that $N(A \backslash L) \subset N(A)$. We have to prove that $N(A) \subset N(A \backslash L)$. Let $u \in N(A)$, so we have $N(u) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. We have two cases:

If $N(u) \cap A \not \subset L$ then there exists $v \notin A \backslash L$ such that $u v \in E$, so $u \in N(A \backslash L)$.
If $N(u) \cap A \subset L$, let $l \in L$ such that $l \in N(u) \cap A$, since $A$ has no isolated vertices there exists $v \in A$ such that $l v \in E$, but $l$ is a leaf in $G$ so we have $u=v \in A$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be the connected component of $G[A]$ containing $u$ and $w$ be a vertex in a circuit contained in $A^{\prime}$. Since $A^{\prime}$ is connected, there is a path $v_{0}:=u, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{l}=w$ in $A^{\prime}$. If $w=v_{1}$ then $v_{1} \notin L$ and if $w \neq v_{1}$ then $v_{1}$ has at least $u, v_{2}$ as neighbors. So we have $v_{1} \notin L$ and $v_{1} \in N(u)$, a contradiction or the second case can not happen.

Now we can improve the main result of [2]. Note that parts (i) and (ii) are a weak version of [13, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 6.9. Let $G$ be a simple connected non bipartite graph, $C$ a smallest odd circuit in $G$ and $L$ the set of leaves in $G$.
(i) If $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded associated prime of $I_{G}^{k}$ and $Z:=V \backslash U$ then there exist a set $H \subset U \backslash L$ such that every connected component of the induced graph on $H$ contains an odd circuit and $U=N(H) \cup N(Z)$.
(ii) Let $U \subset V$ such that $Z:=V \backslash U$ is a coclique set and there exist a set $H \subset U \backslash L$ such that every connected component of the induced graph on $H$ contains an odd circuit and $U=N(H) \cup N(Z)$. Then $\mathfrak{m}^{1_{U}}$ is an embedded associated prime of $I_{G}^{k}$, for some $k \leq \sharp H-\nu(C)$.
(iii) $\operatorname{astab}\left(I_{G}\right) \leq \sharp(V \backslash L)-\nu(C)$.
(iv) Suppose that $G$ is not factor-critical and has no leaves. Then $\operatorname{astab}\left(I_{G}\right)<\sharp V-$ $\nu(C)$.

Proof. We know that $\mathfrak{m}^{1_{U}}$ is an embedded associated prime of $I_{G}^{k}$ if an only if there exists some vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \subset U$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k}$. We have by Remark 2.9 that $Z=V \backslash U$ is a coclique set.
(i) We can suppose that $k$ is the smallest possible number by Theorem 5.14. Let $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}$ be the decomposition given in Theorem 5.7, in particular we have $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{b}) \subset$ $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{a}) \cap N(Z)$ and $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{c}) \cap N(Z)=\emptyset . \quad$ So $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k-|\mathbf{b}|}$, which implies that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded associated prime of $I_{G}^{k-|\mathbf{b}|}$. Since $k$ is the smallest possible number such that $\mathfrak{m}^{1_{U}}$ is an embedded associated prime of $I_{G}^{k}$, we have $\mathbf{b}$ is null. Set $S:=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$, we have by Theorem 5.3 that $S=A(S) \cup D(S)$ in the Gallai-Edmonds decomposition, and $\nu(S)=k-1$. From Corollary 4.11 we have $\nu(S)=\nu(D(S))+\sharp(A(S))$. Let $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ be the vector defined by $a_{i}^{\prime}=0$ if $x_{i} \in A(S) \cap V$ and $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i}$ if $x_{i} \in D(S) \cap V$. Then we have by Theorem 5.3 that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}^{\prime}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{k-\sharp(A(S))}$ and hence $\mathfrak{m}^{1_{U}}$ is an embedded associated prime of $I_{G}^{k-\sharp(A(S))}$. Therefore $A(S)=\emptyset$ and $S=D(S)$ by the smallest property of $k$.

Thus $S$ is matching-critical such that $N_{G}(S) \cap Z=\emptyset$ and $U=N_{G}(S) \cup N(Z)$. Now we need to show that there exists some set $H \subset U \backslash L$ such that $N(H)=N_{G}(S)$. Indeed, let $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{s}$ be the connected components of $S$. Since $S_{i}$ is factor-critical for each $i=1, \ldots, s$, we can assume by Remark 4.5 that there is an odd circuit $C_{i}$ such that $C_{i} \subset V\left(S_{i}\right) \cap V$. Moreover, since any vertex in $C_{i}$ is not a leaf we have $C_{i} \subset\left(V\left(S_{i}\right) \cap V\right) \backslash L$. Therefore, applying Lemma 6.8 to $A:=V(S) \cap V$ we have $N(V(S) \cap V)=N((V(S) \cap V) \backslash L)$. Now choose the set $H:=(V(S) \cap V) \backslash L$ and taking notice that $N_{G}(S)=N(V(S) \cap V)$, we get the result.
(ii) Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{s}$ be the connected components of the induced subgraph on $H$. Since $H_{i}$ is connected and contains an odd circuit $C_{i}$, by applying Lemma 6.2, there exists a vector $\mathbf{b}_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ with $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i}\right)=H_{i}$ such that $p_{\mathbf{b}_{i}}(G)$ is factor-critical and $\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{b}_{i}}(G)\right)=\sharp H_{i}-\nu\left(C_{i}\right)-1$. Let $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{b}_{1}+\ldots+\mathbf{b}_{s}$. Then $p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)$ is matching-critical and since $N(H)=N_{G}\left(p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)\right)$ we have $U=N_{G}\left(p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)\right) \cup N(Z)$. It implies by Theorem 5.3 that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded irreducible component of $I_{G}^{\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)\right)+1}$. So $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded associated prime of $I_{G}^{k}$ for $k=\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)\right)+1$. Our claim is over.
(iii) Let $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ be an embedded associated prime of $I_{G}^{k}$ for some $k$ and $H \subset U \backslash L$ a set defined as in (i). Thanks to (ii), we can choose $k=\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)\right)+1$ and hence we have

$$
\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{b}}(G)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\sharp H_{i}-\nu\left(C_{i}\right)-1\right) \leq \sharp H-\nu(C)-1 \leq \sharp(V \backslash L)-\nu(C)-1,
$$

which implies by Corollary 5.14 that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{1}_{U}}$ is an embedded associated prime of $I_{G}^{l}$ for every $l \geq \sharp(V \backslash L)-\nu(C)$. This shows that $\operatorname{astab}\left(I_{G}\right) \leq \sharp(V \backslash L)-\nu(C)$.
(iv) Let $\mathfrak{m}^{1_{U}}$ be an embedded associate prime of $I_{G}^{k}$. We assume that $k$ is the smallest possible number. We consider to two cases:
(1) If $U=V$ then by Corollary 6.4 we have that $k<\sharp V-\nu(C)$.
(2) If $U \neq V$ then there exists $H \subset U$ as in (i) such that $k \leq \sharp H-\nu(C) \leq \sharp U-\nu(C)<$ $\sharp V-\nu(C)$. It then follows that $\operatorname{astab}\left(I_{G}\right)<\sharp V-\nu(C)$, as required.

Let $I \subset R$ be an ideal, $\operatorname{bight}(I)$ the biggest height of the associated primes of $R / I$ and $l(I)$ the analytic spread of $I$. Denote $\operatorname{ir}_{I}(k)$ be the number of irreducible components of $I^{k}$. Now we recall the main result of [3].

Theorem 6.10. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$. Then there exists a polynomial $\operatorname{Ir}_{I}(k)$ with rational coefficients such that $\operatorname{ir}_{I}(k)=\operatorname{Ir}_{I}(k)$ for sufficiently large $k$. Moreover we have

$$
\operatorname{bight}(I)-1 \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{Ir}_{I}(k)\right) \leq l(I)-1
$$

For edge ideals we will prove in the next theorem that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{Ir}_{I_{G}}(k)\right)$ characterize if a graph is bipartite or not and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{Ir}_{I_{G}}(k)\right)$ can take only one of the two extreme values.
Theorem 6.11. Let $G$ be a simple connected graph. Then
(i) If $G$ is bipartite then for $k \geq 1$, the function $\operatorname{ir}_{I_{G}}(k)$ coincides with a polynomial with rational coefficients $\operatorname{Ir}_{I_{G}}(k)$ of degree $\operatorname{bight}\left(I_{G}\right)-1$.
(ii) If $G$ is non bipartite then the function $\operatorname{ir}_{I_{G}}(k)$ is bounded bellow by the Hilbert function of $K[G](-l)$, where $l=\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)\right)+1$ is the smallest number such that there
is a factor-critical graph $p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ with support $V$. In particular for $k \gg 0$ the function $\operatorname{ir}_{I_{G}}(k)$ coincides with a polynomial with rational coefficients $\operatorname{Ir}_{I_{G}}(k)$ of degree $\sharp V-1$.

Proof. (i) If $G$ is bipartite then our claim follows immediately from Corollary 5.12.
(ii) Now we assume that $G$ contains an odd circuit. By Lemma 6.2, there exists a replicated graph $S=p_{\mathbf{a}}(G)$ which is factor-critical and with support $V$, hence $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}_{V}}$ is an irreducible component of $I_{G}^{\nu(S)+1}$. Let $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{e}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{E}, k_{\Lambda}=\sum_{e \in E} \lambda_{e}$ and set $P_{\Lambda}=\prod_{e \in E, \lambda_{e} \neq 0} e^{\lambda_{e}} \in[[R]]$. It follows from Lemma 4.7 that $p_{\mathbf{a}+\sum_{e \in E} \lambda_{e} \mathbf{1}_{e}}(G)$ is factorcritical with $\nu\left(p_{\mathbf{a}+\sum_{e \in E} \lambda_{e} \mathbf{1}_{e}}(G)\right)=\nu(S)+k_{\Lambda}$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\left(\sum_{e \in E} \lambda_{e} \mathbf{1}_{e}\right)+\mathbf{1}_{V}}$ is an irreducible component of $I_{G}^{\nu(S)+k_{\Lambda}+1}$. In terms of corner elements it is equivalent to say that $P_{\Lambda} \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is a corner element of $I_{G}^{\nu(S)+k_{\Lambda}+1}+\mathfrak{m}^{(m+1) 1_{V}}$ where $m$ is an integer bigger or equal than $\nu(S)+k_{\Lambda}+1$. Two vectors $\Lambda, \Gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{E}$ give the same irreducible component of $I_{G}^{\nu(S)+k_{\Lambda}+1}$ if and only if $P_{\Lambda} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}, P_{\Gamma} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ give the same corner element of $I_{G}^{\nu(S)+k_{\Lambda}+1}+$ $\mathfrak{m}^{(m+1) 1_{V}}$, that is $P_{\Lambda} \mathrm{x}^{\mathbf{a}}=P_{\Gamma} \mathrm{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ which is equivalent to $P_{\Lambda}=P_{\Gamma}$. It is equivalent to say that $\prod_{e} Y_{e}^{\lambda_{e}}-\prod_{e} Y_{e}^{\gamma_{e}} \in I(G)$ where $I(G)$ is the toric edge ideal. It follows that the number of irreducible components of the type $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{a}+\left(\sum_{e \in E} \lambda_{e} \mathbf{1}_{e}\right)+\mathbf{1}_{V}}$ in $I_{G}^{\nu(S)+k_{\Lambda}+1}$ is given by $H_{K[G]}\left(k_{\Lambda}\right)$, where $H_{K[G]}$ is the Hilbert function of the ring $K[G]$. Hence $\operatorname{ir}_{I_{G}}\left(\nu(S)+k_{\Lambda}+1\right)$ is bounded bellow by $H_{K[G]}\left(k_{\Lambda}\right)$. From one side we know that for $k$ big enough $H_{K[G]}(k)$ coincides with a polynomial of degree $\operatorname{dim} K[G]-1=\sharp V-1$. From another side by Theorem 6.10 for $k$ big enough we have $\operatorname{ir}_{I_{G}}(k)$ coincides with a polynomial of degree at most $\sharp V-1$. Our claim is over.
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