

Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) Controls Major Senescence Hallmarks

Corinne Abbadie, Olivier Pluquet

► To cite this version:

Corinne Abbadie, Olivier Pluquet. Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) Controls Major Senescence Hallmarks. Trends in Biochemical Sciences, 2020, 45 (5), pp.371 - 374. 10.1016/j.tibs.2020.02.005 . hal-03490330

HAL Id: hal-03490330 https://hal.science/hal-03490330v1

Submitted on 22 Aug2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	UNFOLDED PROTEIN RESPONSE (UPR) CONTROLS MAJOR
2	SENESCENCE HALLMARKS
3	
4	
5	Olivier Pluquet ^{1*} , Corinne Abbadie ¹
6	
7	¹ Univ Lille, CNRS, Inserm, CHU Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille, UMR9020- UMR-S1277 -
8	Cancer Heterogeneity, Plasticity and Resistance to Therapies, F-59000 Lille, France
9	
10	
11	*Corresponding author: <u>olivier.pluquet@ibl.cnrs.fr</u>
12	
13	
14	Running title: UPR and senescence

15 Abstract

- 16 Senescence is a complex cellular state which can be considered as a stress response phenotype.
- 17 However, the mechanisms through which cells acquire and maintain this phenotype are not
- 18 fully understood. Here, we argue that the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) may represent a
- 19 signalling platform that is associated with the major senescence hallmarks.
- 20

21 Keywords (up to 6) :

- 22 Senescence, Endoplasmic reticulum, Unfolded Protein Response, secretome, metabolism,
- 23 proteostasis

Senescence is a cellular state characterized by a stable proliferation arrest and accompanied by 24 molecular changes, including epigenetic changes, changes in membrane lipid composition, 25 increased oxidative stress, persistent DNA damage, increase in autophagic activity, and 26 metabolic reprograming, as well as substantial morphological changes, such as cell 27 enlargement. Remarkably, senescent cells secrete a wide array of proteins constituting the so-28 called senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) which is enriched in pro-29 inflammatory cytokines, growth factors, and enzymes remodelling the extracellular matrix. 30 Senescence can be induced by various types of stress, including telomere shortening, oxidative 31 stress, exposure to radio- or chemotherapy, UV radiation, and activation of oncogenes [1]. 32 33 Therefore, senescence can be regarded as an adaptive response to stress. Upon stress, cells 34 trigger dynamic signalling pathways, including the unfolded protein response (UPR) elicited by the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). We and others have shown that ER stress/UPR activation 35 36 occur at senescence [2]. Here, we discuss how these processes contribute to the senescent cell 37 specific properties.

38

39 Evidence of altered ER homeostasis during senescence

40 The ER plays a key role in proteostasis and controls much of the membrane proteome and secretome. When ER homeostasis is disturbed by intrinsic and/or extrinsic stress, the UPR is 41 induced as an adaptive response. It is initiated by the activation of three sensors (PERK, ATF6 α , 42 and IRE1 α), which results in the induction of their effectors in order to counteract the 43 44 proteostasis imbalance (**Box 1**). Different cell types undergoing senescence upon different 45 kinds of stress display structural alterations of the ER and elicit the UPR activation. For example, dermal fibroblasts undergoing replicative senescence (RS) and melanocytes 46 47 undergoing oncogene (HRAS)-induced senescence (OIS) present enlarged ER and the activation of the three arms of the UPR [3][4]. Lymphoma cells undergoing therapy-induced 48 49 senescence (TIS) have disorganized rough ER cisternae and the activation of at least the IRE1α/XBP1 and PERK/ATF4 branches of the UPR [5]. However, other reports indicate that 50 the UPR arms can be involved in senescence in a more differential manner. For example, in 51 HRAS-driven senescent keratinocytes, IRE1 α , but not PERK, was activated, and the IRE1 α 52 53 capacity to induce senescence implicated its RNAse activity independently of XBP1 splicing but through cleavage of *ID1* messenger RNA (mRNA) [6]. These few studies highlight that the 54 55 UPR could be a major basic mechanism on which the senescent phenotype relies, however with

some subtleties and specificities in the involvement of the numerous complex UPRsubpathways.

58

59 Evidence that UPR controls several senescence hallmarks

Since the UPR is activated at senescence, the questions are whether and how it contributes to 60 the establishment or maintenance of the specific properties/markers of senescent cells. First of 61 all, we and others reported that ER stress/UPR inducers (e.g. thapsigargin, dithiothreitol and 62 63 UV) applied to proliferating cells induce all major senescent hallmarks (e.g. SA-β-Gal activity 64 and DNA damage) [3][7]. However, converse approaches of invalidating the main UPR sensors or effectors in already senescent cells have given a more nuanced picture. For example, 65 silencing ATF6 α , IRE1 α , or PERK in replicative senescent fibroblasts failed to alleviate the 66 senescent cell cycle arrest [3]. In contrast, silencing PERK and ATF6a, but not IRE1a, in 67 68 senescent keratinocytes, whose senescence is oxidative stress-dependent, significantly restored their proliferation [8]. In HRAS-induced senescence, the control of the cell cycle arrest by the 69 70 UPR pathway is time-dependent. Indeed, HRAS activation induces hyperproliferation in the 71 first one or two days, followed by senescence within five to ten following days. Knockingdown IRE1a reduced proliferation of the first phase, and blocked the induction of senescence 72 73 and sustained proliferation during the second phase. This activity was shown to be independent of *Xbp1* splicing since XBP1 knock-down blocked proliferation and accelerated senescence [6]. 74

75 The senescent cell cycle arrest is mainly the result of the persistence of unrepaired DNA 76 damage. Interconnections between DNA damage/repair and ER stress/UPR in the context of senescence were, up to now, poorly investigated. However, based on transcriptomic profiling, 77 78 a recent study predicted that ATF4, one component of the PERK pathway, might play a role in DNA damage repair during senescence [9]. Another obvious in vitro senescence marker is the 79 80 increase in cell size and spreading. We have shown that the silencing of $ATF6\alpha$, and ATF6 α only, in replicative senescent fibroblasts reduced cell spreading and restored a fusiform 81 shape similar to that of exponentially growing fibroblasts. Interestingly, these effects were 82 83 correlated with reversed ER expansion [3].

The third widely recognized marker of senescence is the senescence-associated β -Galactosidase (SA- β -Gal) activity, reflecting the increase in lysosome and autophagic vacuole content. According to the cell type and senescence inducer, invalidation experiments highlighted either ATF6 α and IRE1 α , or ATF6 α and PERK as the main factors responsible for increased SA- β -Gal activity [3][4][7][8]. Accordingly, silencing of ATF4 (PERK effector) in TIS glioblastoma cells significantly decreased the level of MAP1LC3-II, which is indispensableto the autophagic activity [10].

Finally, regarding the SASP, a study indicated that ER stress may trigger a negative feedback loop attenuating the SASP gene expression [11], suggesting that ER stress/UPR activation might have an impact on the secretome composition. It was also shown that the increased demand for protein synthesis to ensure the SASP component production during HRAS-mediated senescence induces a proteotoxic stress and UPR activation [5][11].

These above-mentioned results raise the question of whether UPR is the cause or the 96 97 consequence of senescence. The answer is probably that both are true because of additional layers of auto-amplification loops. Therefore, determining the temporal sequence of the cause-98 99 effect relationship between UPR and senescence will be the next challenge in the field. Another pending question is whether the proteotoxic stress (due to oxidized misfolded protein or SASP 100 101 protein overload) is the only mechanism that induces/maintains UPR at senescence. 102 Interestingly, changes in membrane lipid composition occur during senescence [12] and structural changes of the ER lipids can directly activate the ATF6a and IRE1a/XBP1s UPR 103 arms. These two pathways, in turn, are known to activate lipid biosynthesis [13], indicating an 104 105 interdependency between lipids and UPR, both of which may lead to cellular senescence 106 independently of proteotoxic stress. Overall, these data support the hypothesis that UPR is a signalling platform associated with senescence hallmarks (Figure 1). 107

108

109 Modulating UPR to limit senescence and age-related diseases

110 Senescent cells accumulate during aging in most tissues and organs. Eliminating these senescent cells using a dedicated mouse model or senolytic drugs (drugs able to kill senescent 111 cells) increased life span and decreased the incidence of most age-related pathologies [14]. The 112 UPR is established as a survival mechanism enabling cells to resist and resolve stress, but also, 113 when sustained because of a stress that remains unresolved, as a mechanism leading to cell 114 death by apoptosis, mainly through the activation of the CHOP transcription factor [15]. In 115 senescent cells, the UPR is activated in a long term, and CHOP is often activated 116 [3][4][5][8][10][11], but, surprisingly, does not lead to senescent cell death. Therefore, 117 senescent cells might require an optimal UPR activity (specific subpathways at specific levels 118 of activation with specific kinetics) for their long-term survival. If this is true, pharmacological 119 120 compounds inhibiting the UPR subpathways might act as senolytics. However, none of the 121 above cited studies where some UPR pathways were invalidated led to this conclusion. In contrast, they have shown that invalidating the UPR led to a regression of the senescent 122

hallmarks. Therefore, inhibiting some of the UPR sensors or effectors (e.g. ATF6 α in replicative senescence or IRE1 α in Hras-driven senescence) by specific pharmacological compounds could be beneficial to delay, limit, or even suppress the deleterious aspects of senescence, in particular the inflammatory SASP. Hence, they would work as senomorphics, i.e. drugs able to suppress all or part of deleterious senescence hallmarks, and which could have less detrimental secondary effects than senolytics. Of course, further *in vivo* experiments are needed to better understand this therapeutic potential.

130

131 Acknowledgements

- 132 This work was supported by a grant from CPER Longevity (granted to O.P) and two grants
- 133 from the French Ligue Contre le Cancer (from Comité du Nord to O.P. and from Comité de la
- 134 Somme to C.A.).

135 **References**

- Hernandez-Segura, A. *et al.* (2018) Hallmarks of Cellular Senescence. *Trends Cell Biol.* 28, 436–453
- Pluquet, O. *et al.* (2015) The unfolded protein response and cellular senescence. A review
 in the theme: cellular mechanisms of endoplasmic reticulum stress signaling in health and
 disease. *Am. J. Physiol.*, *Cell Physiol.* 308, C415-425
- Druelle, C. *et al.* (2016) ATF6α regulates morphological changes associated with
 senescence in human fibroblasts. *Oncotarget* DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.11505
- 4 Denoyelle, C. *et al.* (2006) Anti-oncogenic role of the endoplasmic reticulum
 differentially activated by mutations in the MAPK pathway. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8, 1053–1063
- Dörr, J.R. *et al.* (2013) Synthetic lethal metabolic targeting of cellular senescence in cancer therapy. *Nature* 501, 421–425
- Blazanin, N. *et al.* (2017) ER stress and distinct outputs of the IRE1α RNase control
 proliferation and senescence in response to oncogenic Ras. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*114, 9900–9905
- Kim, H.S. *et al.* (2019) The p38-activated ER stress-ATF6α axis mediates cellular
 senescence. *FASEB J.* 33, 2422–2434
- Brullion, C. *et al.* (2018) Pre-malignant transformation by senescence evasion is
 prevented by the PERK and ATF6alpha branches of the Unfolded Protein Response. *Cancer Lett.* 438, 187–196
- School, Z. *et al.* (2019) Dynamic transcriptome profiling in DNA damage-induced cellular
 senescence and transient cell-cycle arrest. *Genomics* DOI: 10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.07.020
- 10 Wang, J. *et al.* (2018) Inhibition of glioma growth by flavokawain B is mediated through
 endoplasmic reticulum stress induced autophagy. *Autophagy* 14, 2007–2022
- 11 Chen, H. *et al.* (2015) MacroH2A1 and ATM Play Opposing Roles in Paracrine
 Senescence and the Senescence-Associated Secretory Phenotype. *Mol. Cell* 59, 719–731
- 161 12 Lizardo, D.Y. *et al.* (2017) Regulation of lipids is central to replicative senescence. *Mol Biosyst* 13, 498–509
- 13 Fun, X.H. and Thibault, G. (2019) Lipid bilayer stress and proteotoxic stress-induced
 unfolded protein response deploy divergent transcriptional and non-transcriptional
 programmes. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids* DOI:
 10.1016/j.bbalip.2019.04.009
- 167 14 Paez-Ribes, M. *et al.* (2019) Targeting senescent cells in translational medicine. *EMBO* 168 *Mol Med* 11, e10234
- 15 Hetz, C. and Papa, F.R. (2018) The Unfolded Protein Response and Cell Fate Control.
 Mol. Cell 69, 169–181
- 171

172 Box 1: Activation of the three arms of the UPR pathway

The UPR acts through three sensors: PERK, IRE1 and ATF6a. The PERK kinase reduces cap-173 dependent protein synthesis through eIF2 α phosphorylation, and induces the transcription of 174 175 genes encoding specific chaperones by activating the ATF4 transcription factor. Activated IRE1*a* induces unconventional splicing of the XBP1s mRNA which gives rise to an active 176 transcription factor whose main targets are the genes whose products are involved in the quality 177 control of the ER proteins. Other mRNAs are cleaved by IRE1a in a process called Regulated 178 179 IRE1α-dependent decay (RIDD). However, the physiological consequences of this mechanism are not yet fully known. ATF6a, upon activation, exits the ER and integrates the Golgi 180 apparatus membrane where it is cleaved by the Site-1 protease (S1P) and Site-2 protease (S2P) 181 182 to its active form which acts as a transcription factor targeting genes encoding chaperones (Figure 1). If the cell adaptation through UPR is not possible due to prolonged or unresolved 183 ER stress, cell death can be induced through the IRE1 α /JNK or the ATF4 pathways. 184

185 Figure 1: Interconnection between UPR and senescence

186 All three ER stress sensors (PERK, IRE1 α , and ATF6 α) activate signaling events that lead to 187 the attenuation of protein synthesis as well as to the induction of a specific transcriptional

188 program both aiming to restore ER proteostasis and more broadly cellular homeostasis. Some

189 of the UPR downstream signaling events, yet unknown, control the establishment and/or

190 maintenance of the main senescence hallmarks including cell cycle arrest, DNA repair capacity,

191 morphological changes, metabolic changes, the secretory pathway, and changes in membrane

192 lipid composition. Arrows, positive regulation; Dead end arrow, negative regulation; JNK, c-

193 Jun N-terminal kinase; S1P/S2P, Site-1/2 protease; P, phosphorylation; ATF4, Activating

194 Transcription Factor 4; XBP-1s, spliced form of X-box binding protein 1.

