

Pregnancy outcome in women with cystic fibrosis and poor pulmonary function

Quitterie Reynaud, Christine Rousset Jablonski, Stéphanie Poupon-Bourdy, Angélique Denis, Muriel Rabilloud, Lydie Lemonnier, Raphaële Nove-Josserand, Stéphane Durupt, Sandrine Touzet, Isabelle Durieu

▶ To cite this version:

Quitterie Reynaud, Christine Rousset Jablonski, Stéphanie Poupon-Bourdy, Angélique Denis, Muriel Rabilloud, et al.. Pregnancy outcome in women with cystic fibrosis and poor pulmonary function. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis, 2020, 19, pp.80 - 83. 10.1016/j.jcf.2019.06.003. hal-03489990

HAL Id: hal-03489990

https://hal.science/hal-03489990

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1569199319308045 Manuscript f7518d796ef8b3b0cb5e5160bc9d5558

Pregnancy outcome in women with cystic fibrosis and poor pulmonary function

Running title: pregnancy outcome in women with poor pulmonary function

Quitterie Reynaud^{1, 2}, Christine Rousset Jablonski^{2, 3}, Stéphanie Poupon-Bourdy⁴, Angélique Denis⁴, Muriel Rabilloud⁴, Lydie Lemonnier⁵, Raphaële Nove-Josserand¹, Stéphane Durupt¹, Sandrine Touzet^{2,}

³, Isabelle Durieu^{1, 2}; participating centers of the French Cystic Fibrosis Registry.

¹ Centre de référence Adulte de la Mucoviscidose, Service de médecine interne, Hospices Civils de

Lyon, F-69495 Pierre Bénite, France

² Université de Lyon, Équipe d'Accueil Health Services and Performance Research (HESPER) 7425, F-

69003 Lyon, France

³ Service d'obstétrique et gynécologie, Hospices Civils de Lyon, F-69495 Pierre Bénite, France

⁴ Pôle de santé publique, Hospices Civils de Lyon, F-69003 Lyon, France

⁵ Association Vaincre la Mucoviscidose, F-75000 Paris, France

Corresponding author:

Quitterie Reynaud

Centre de Référence de la Mucoviscidose

Département de Médecine Interne

Hospices Civils de Lyon, Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud

F-69495, Pierre-Bénite, France

Tel: (+33) 4 78 86 19 79 - Fax (+33) 4 78 86 32 64

 $\hbox{E-mail: quitterie.reynaud@chu-lyon.fr}$

Key words: pregnancy, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary function, maternal decline, pregnancy outcomes,

CFTR modulators

1

© 2019 published by Elsevier. This manuscript is made available under the CC BY NC user license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Word count abstract: 250/250

Word count text: 2231 /3000

Number of tables and figures: 3/5

References: 19/30

Declarations of interest: none

Authorship:

Conception and design of the study: QR, SPB, MR, AD, ST, ID

Acquisition of data: QR, SPB, AD, LL

Drafting the article: QR, LL, ST, ID

Final approval of the version to be submitted: QR, CRJ, SPB, AD, MR, LL, RNJ, SD, ST, ID

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND:

To investigate how poor pre-gestational pulmonary function influenced pregnancy outcome and clinical status evolution in women with cystic fibrosis.

METHODS:

Pregnancies in women without lung transplantation with a first delivery reported to the French cystic fibrosis registry between 2000 and 2012 were identified. Pregnancy outcomes and clinical trends (body mass index – BMI, and pulmonary function) over a 4-year follow-up in women with poor pregestational pulmonary function, defined as forced expiratory volume (FEV₁) \leq 50%, were compared to those in women with FEV₁ > 50%.

RESULTS:

A total of 149 women had a first delivery and 36 (24.2%) of these had pre-gestational FEV₁ \leq 50%. There was no significant difference in age or frequency of assisted conception between the 2 groups. The rate of cesarean section was significantly higher in women with FEV₁ \leq 50% (43.7% vs. 21.1%, p = 0.01). The frequency of preterm birth did not differ significantly between the two groups, but median infant birthweight was significantly lower in women with FEV₁ \leq 50% (2705g; range: 650-3700 vs. 3044g; range: 1590-3860, p = 0.003). Despite significantly lower FEV₁ and BMI the year before pregnancy for women with poor pulmonary function, the decline in these parameters during the study period did not differ significantly between the two groups.

CONCLUSION:

Poor pre-gestational pulmonary function in women with cystic fibrosis was associated with a higher rate of cesarean section and a clinically significant impact on fetal growth, but was not associated with more important pulmonary and nutritional decline over the study period.

Introduction

Improved survival and overall health in cystic fibrosis (CF) [1] has led to an increased number of CF women making childbearing decisions and long-term survival does not appear to be negatively impacted by pregnancy [2–5]. Women with CF who become pregnant have been found to have similar respiratory decline compared to non-pregnant women with CF [5,6]. A number of studies have shown that CF itself does not affect maternal pregnancy survival [2,7] and does not affect maternal lung function, nutrition, and exacerbation rate [8]. We recently reported no increased risk of deterioration of maternal pulmonary and nutritional status in CF women with pre-pregnancy diabetes [9]. Negative outcomes seem mostly associated with poor lung function (FEV $_1$ < 50%) [10,11]. Since the published pregnancy outcome data are limited for CF women with poor pulmonary function (< 50%), we compared pregnancy outcome and clinical evolution (body mass index and pulmonary function) of women with and without poor pre-gestational pulmonary function over a total period of four consecutive years starting the year before pregnancy until two years after pregnancy.

Methods

Study population, collected data, outcome measures were previously described [9]. According to the current French legislation, institutional review board approval was not needed; the study did receive approval from the relevant data protection agencies (Comité consultatif sur le traitement de l'information en matière de recherche dans le domaine de la santé, registration number 10536, December 2015) and from the national data protection commission (Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés, registration number 1202233, February 2016). For the purpose of the analyses herein we studied CF women with a first pregnancy leading to birth between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2012, and with a two-year follow up delivery without a second pregnancy. Women were categorized according to their pulmonary status the year before pregnancy: poor pulmonary function (FEV₁ \leq 50%) and non-poor pulmonary function (FEV₁ > 50%). Women with lung transplantation were excluded from the study. Endpoints were outcomes of pregnancy and change in FEV₁ and BMI evolution over the study period. To analyze FEV₁ and BMI, we considered for each women a study period of four consecutive years starting the year before pregnancy until two years after pregnancy. Data were therefore collected over the 2000 to 2014 period. Clinical data are exctracted from the French CF registry which is completed annually for each patient. Data extracted for the present study included forced expiratory volume in one-second (FEV1) (best value of the year) and body mass index (BMI; value measured at the time of best FEV1). These values are the one

considered in the Registry and correspond to the best result of the year for each patient for FEV1.

None of the patients included were treated with CFTR modulators.

Descriptive data are presented as median with range and number of available data. For comparisons between groups, the Chi-square or Fisher's exact test was performed for qualitative variables and Wilcoxon's test for quantitative variables.. We fit a linear mixed effects model to examine whether the trend in FEV1 and BMI over time was different according the pulmonary status the year before the pregnancy. The time scale for the analysis was years since pregnancy. The model contained randomand fixed-effects of time since pregnancy, pulmonary status group (FEV1>50% vs FEV1≤50% the year before the pregnancy), and a time-by-group interaction. A Wald test of the interaction effect was used to test the change in trend between groups.

All analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.3 (SAS Institute; Cary, NC, USA). All p-values reported are two-tailed; values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Women characteristics and pregnancy outcomes

A total of 149 pregnancies were analyzed: 36 (24.2%) pregnancies occurred in women with FEV₁ \leq 50% and 113 (85.8%) in women with FEV₁ > 50%. No death or lung transplantation was observed during the two years of follow-up after pregnancy. Age was not significantly different between the two groups (p = 0.70), and median BMI was lower for FEV₁ \leq 50% women (p \leq 0.001). Data concerning chronic colonization with Pseudomonas aeruginosa were not available. No significant difference was observed between the two groups for diabetes (10/36 (27.8%) in the group with FEV₁ \leq 50% and 23/111 (20.7%) in the group with FEV₁ > 50%, p = 0.38; two missing data), or the pancreatic insufficiency (respectively 30/35 (85.7%) and 81/96 (84.4%), p=0.85, 18 missing data). There was no significant difference in the frequency of assisted conception between women with FEV₁ \leq 50% (35.3%) and women with FEV₁ \geq 50% (39.4%), p = 0.80; and the frequency of cesarean section was significantly higher in women with FEV₁ \leq 50% (43.7% vs. 21.1%, p = 0.01). The frequency of preterm birth did not differ significantly between the two groups. Median infant birthweight was significantly lower in women with FEV₁ \leq 50% (2705g, range: 650-3700 vs. 3044g, range: 1590-3860; p = 0.003; Table 1).

Change in FEV₁ and BMI over the study period

The median (range) FEV₁ at Y-1 was 42.3% (32.5-49.9) and 76.6% (50.1-131.5) respectively in the group with FEV₁ \leq 50% and FEV₁> 50%; it was 41.1% (24.3-59.9) and 73.7% (31.8-118.9) the year of pregnancy, 39.0% (22.1-93.2) and 70.4% (24.4-131.4) at Y+1, and 38.3% (18.3-67.5) and 69.2% (13.7-128.4) at Y+2, respectively. The mean FEV₁ change per year over the four-year study period was -0.9% (95% CI: -2.5

to 0.8, p=0.31) in the group $FEV_1 \le 50\%$ women whereas it was estimated at -2.3% (95% CI: -3.3 to 0.6) in the group $FEV_1 > 50\%$ women. The change in FEV1 over time was not significantly different between groups (p=0.16, Table 2).

The median (range) BMI at Y-1 was 18.7 (15.4-25.1) and 20.4 (15.1-34.1) respectively in the group with $FEV_1 \le 50\%$ and $FEV_1 > 50\%$; it was 19.3 (15.6-28.8) and 21.1 (13.0-34.5) the year of pregnancy, 19.1 (14.5-27.6) and 20.7 (14.5-31.2) at Y+1, and 18.7 (14.9-28.0) and 20.0 (15.8-37.0) at Y+2, respectively. The mean BMI change per year over the four-year study period was estimated at -0.1 kg/m² (CI95%: -0.4 to 0.1) in the group $FEV_1 \le 50\%$ women and was not significant (p=0.25). No significant difference in BMI change over time was shown between groups (p=0.41).

Considering only a three-year study period starting the year of pregnancy for BMI evolution analysis given the nonlinear trend in BMI before and after pregnancy (Figure 2), we observed a significant mean BMI change per year in the group $FEV_1 \le 50\%$ (-0.5 kg/m²; CI95%: -0.8 to -0.1; p=0.02). The change in BMI over time was not significantly different in the group $FEV_1 > 50\%$ compared to the group with $FEV_1 \le 50\%$ (p=0.52, Table 3).

Discussion

The present study found that despite lower FEV₁ and BMI the year before pregnancy the change in these parameters following pregnancy was not greater for women with poor pre-gestational pulmonary function. We observed a similar rate of medically assisted conception in both groups of women. This is in favor of a similar fertility function in healthy and severely ill CF patients, with sufficient fertility in women with poor pulmonary function to achieve a spontaneous or medically assisted pregnancy[12]. The more frequent cesarean section for women with poor pulmonary function may be explained by the fear of poor tolerance of vaginal delivery for these patients. For such patients, an individual management plan for delivery should be made, taking in to account gestational age, ultrasound estimated fetal weight, disease severity, and assessed fetal wellbeing. In most cases, if maternal health is maintained and if the fetus is growing normally, vaginal delivery at term is an option and maternal lung function should be optimized prior to delivery [13]. One reason for higher rate of cesarean in women withlower pulmonary function might be that obstetrical center have different practices concerning delivery in CF without clear recommendations editing by expert. However, the birth weight of newborns was significantly lower in the group with FEV₁ \leq 50%. This difference may partly be explained by the frequency of preterm birth, which was close to 42% in the group with poor pulmonary function, although not statistically significant. Furthermore, Cheng et al. demonstrated that mothers with an FEV₁ < 50% gave birth to infants of significantly lower weight

despite similarities in gestational age [14]. One hypothesis may also be that women with low lung function spend more energy, therefore less of the gained energy is transferred to the growing baby.

As women affected by CF now live longer, pregnancy in those with poor pulmonary function is an important consideration for both medical teams and patients. It is of importance to discuss the decision to become pregnant and to explain the risk in terms of pregnancy outcomes and clinical course after pregnancy with such patients. Herein, we provide reassuring data as there was no significant difference in pulmonary and nutritional status decline according to FEV₁ status (FEV₁ \leq 50% vs. > 50%). The reasons of such stability may be partly explained by reinforcement of treatment for pregnant CF women including specific nutritional and physiotherapy care, and more frequent CF center visits during pregnancy. However, a selection bias cannot be excluded that non-clinically stable CF women would not have chosen pregnancy. In addition, it is of note that there was no death or pulmonary transplantation related to pregnancy in the present study, but also that there was no case of colonized with Burkholderia cepacia. This could be of importance for pregnant CF patients as Tanser et al. reported that among 3 out of 4 case reports of death during pregnancy were colonized with this bacteria [15]. This study is retrospective and potential missing data may have induce bias and contribute to the absence of difference between our 2 groups. A sensitive analysis of women with very poor pulmonary function was not realized due to the small sample size of the group with low pulmonary function as it would not have been relevant on a statistical point of view, but might also contribute to the absence of difference.

In the present study, none of the patients were treated with CFTR modulators owing to the study period. However, fertility in healthy and severely ill CF patients could be theoretically improved by systemic treatments that improve CFTR functionality such as ivacaftor [16], however there is a lack of data from clinical trials [17]; the only data available are from unintended pregnancies which led to the birth of healthy babies [18,19].

Conclusion

The changes in maternal pulmonary and nutritional status during and after pregnancy in pregnant women with CF were not influenced by pre-gestational pulmonary function status. Further work is required to assess the risks and benefits of CFTR modulators in this context.

Acknowledgements

We thank all of the participating centers of the French CF Registry and Vaincre La Mucoviscidose that contributed to this work.

Conflict of interest statement

All authors disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organisations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work.

Funding sources

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- [1] MacKenzie T, Gifford AH, Sabadosa KA, Quinton HB, Knapp EA, Goss CH, et al. Longevity of patients with cystic fibrosis in 2000 to 2010 and beyond: survival analysis of the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation patient registry. Ann Intern Med 2014;161:233–41. doi:10.7326/M13-0636.
- [2] Goss CH, Rubenfeld GD, Otto K, Aitken ML. The effect of pregnancy on survival in women with cystic fibrosis. Chest 2003;124:1460–8.
- [3] Thorpe-Beeston JG, Madge S, Gyi K, Hodson M, Bilton D. The outcome of pregnancies in women with cystic fibrosis--single centre experience 1998-2011. BJOG 2013;120:354–61. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.12040.
- [4] Burden C, Ion R, Chung Y, Henry A, Downey DG, Trinder J. Current pregnancy outcomes in women with cystic fibrosis. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2012;164:142–5. doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2012.06.013.
- [5] McMullen AH, Pasta DJ, Frederick PD, Konstan MW, Morgan WJ, Schechter MS, et al. Impact of pregnancy on women with cystic fibrosis. Chest 2006;129:706–11. doi:10.1378/chest.129.3.706.
- [6] Tonelli MR, Aitken ML. Pregnancy in cystic fibrosis. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2007;13:537–40. doi:10.1097/MCP.0b013e3282f01120.
- [7] Gilljam M, Antoniou M, Shin J, Dupuis A, Corey M, Tullis DE. Pregnancy in cystic fibrosis. Fetal and maternal outcome. Chest 2000;118:85–91.
- [8] Ahluwalia M, Hoag JB, Hadeh A, Ferrin M, Hadjiliadis D. Cystic fibrosis and pregnancy in the modern era: a case control study. J Cyst Fibros 2014;13:69–73. doi:10.1016/j.jcf.2013.08.004.
- [9] Reynaud Q, Poupon-Bourdy S, Rabilloud M, Al Mufti L, Rousset Jablonski C, Lemonnier L, et al. Pregnancy outcome in women with cystic fibrosis-related diabetes. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2017;96:1223–7. doi:10.1111/aogs.13185.
- [10] Edenborough FP, Mackenzie WE, Stableforth DE. The outcome of 72 pregnancies in 55 women with cystic fibrosis in the United Kingdom 1977-1996. BJOG 2000;107:254–61.

- [11] Whitty JE. Cystic fibrosis in pregnancy. Clin Obstet Gynecol 2010;53:369–76. doi:10.1097/GRF.0b013e3181deb448.
- [12] Shteinberg M, Lulu AB, Downey DG, Blumenfeld Z, Rousset-Jablonski C, Perceval M, et al. Failure to conceive in women with CF is associated with pancreatic insufficiency and advancing age. J Cyst Fibros 2018. doi:10.1016/j.jcf.2018.10.009.
- [13] Edenborough FP, Borgo G, Knoop C, Lannefors L, Mackenzie WE, Madge S, et al. Guidelines for the management of pregnancy in women with cystic fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros 2008;7 Suppl 1:S2-32. doi:10.1016/j.jcf.2007.10.001.
- [14] Cheng EY, Goss CH, McKone EF, Galic V, Debley CK, Tonelli MR, et al. Aggressive prenatal care results in successful fetal outcomes in CF women. J Cyst Fibros 2006;5:85–91. doi:10.1016/j.jcf.2006.01.002.
- [15] Tanser SJ, Hodson ME, Geddes DM. Case reports of death during pregnancy in patients with cystic fibrosis--three out of four patients were colonized with Burkholderia cepacia. Respir Med 2000;94:1004–6. doi:10.1053/rmed.2000.0884.
- [16] Jones GH, Walshaw MJ. Potential impact on fertility of new systemic therapies for cystic fibrosis. Paediatr Respir Rev 2015;16 Suppl 1:25–7. doi:10.1016/j.prrv.2015.07.013.
- [17] Kroon M a. GM, Akkerman-Nijland AM, Rottier BL, Koppelman GH, Akkerman OW, Touw DJ. Drugs during pregnancy and breast feeding in women diagnosed with Cystic Fibrosis An update. J Cyst Fibros 2018;17:17–25. doi:10.1016/j.jcf.2017.11.009.
- [18] Kaminski R, Nazareth D. A successful uncomplicated CF pregnancy while remaining on Ivacaftor. J Cyst Fibros 2016;15:133–4. doi:10.1016/j.jcf.2015.11.013.
- [19] Trimble A, McKinzie C, Terrell M, Stringer E, Esther CR. Measured fetal and neonatal exposure to Lumacaftor and Ivacaftor during pregnancy and while breastfeeding. J Cyst Fibros 2018. doi:10.1016/j.jcf.2018.05.009.

-	Women with FEV ₁ \leq 50% Women with FEV ₁ $>$ 50%		
	n = 36	n = 113	p
Women characteristics			
Median age, in year (range)	26 (18-41)	26 (17-41)	0.70
Median FEV ₁ , in % (range)	42.3 (32.5-49.9)	76.6 (50.1-131.5)	≤ 0.001
Median BMI, in Kg/m² (range)	19.3 (15.6-28.8)	21.1 (13.0-34.5)	≤ 0.001
Median IV antibiotic curses, in day/year (range)	30.0 (0-105.0)	0 (0-89.0)	≤ 0.001
Median IV antibiotic curses, in number/year (range)	2.0 (0-14.0)	0 (0-6.0)	≤ 0.001
Pregnancies characteristics			
Medically assisted conception (%)	12 (35.3)	39 (39.4)	0.80
Caesarean-section delivery (%)	14 (43.7)	20 (21.1)	0.01
Medical interruption of pregnancy (%)	2 (5.6)	2 (5.6)	0.13
Abortion (%)	0	7 (6.2)	0.13
Newborns characteristics			
Premature birth (%)	13 (41.9)	27 (28.7)	0.17
Birth weight in g (range)	2705 (650-3700)	3044 (1590-3860)	0.003
Dead newborns (%)	0	0	

Table 1: Women characteristics the year of pregnancy and newborns characteristics

BMI: Body Mass Index, FEV₁: Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second (%), g: grams.

Table 2 : Results of linear mixed-effect model analysis of change in FEV1 according to the pulmonary status the year before pregnancy

Factor	Coefficient (SD)	[CI95%]	p-value
Intercept*	41.8 (2.9)	[36;47.6]	< 0.01
Time (in year)**	-0.9 (0.8)	[-2.5;0.8]	0.31
FEV ₁ >50%	33.7 (3.4)	[27;40.3]	< 0.01
Time * FEV ₁ >50%***	-1.4 (1)	[-3.3;0.6]	0.16

^{*} mean FEV1 inthe year of pregnancy for women in the group with FEV1≤50%

SD: Standard Deviation, CI: Confident Interval

^{**} slope i.e mean FEV₁ change per year for women in the group with FEV₁≤50%

^{***} change in slope for women in the group with FEV₁>50%

Table 3: Results of linear mixed-effect model analysis of change in BMI according to the pulmonary status the year before pregnancy

Factor	Coefficient (SD)	[CI95%]	p-value
Intercept*	19.3 (0.4)	[18.4;20.1]	< 0.01
Time(in year)**	-0.1 (0.1)	[-0.4;0.1]	0.25
FEV ₁ >50%	1.9 (0.5)	[0.9;2.9]	< 0.01
Time * FEV ₁ >50%***	0.1 (0.1)	[-0.2;0.4]	0.41

^{*} mean BMI (kg/m²) in the year of pregnancy for women in the group with FEV1≤50%

^{**} slope i.e mean BMI change per year for women in the group with FEV₁≤50%. Data from the year beforepregnancy were excluded of the linear mixed-effects model analyses given the nonlinear trend in BMI before and after pregnancy

^{***} change in slope for women in the group with FEV₁>50%

SD : Standard Deviation, CI : Confident Interval

- The rate of cesarean section was significantly higher in women with $FEV_1 \le 50\%$
- The frequency of preterm birth did not differ significantly between the two groups
- Median infant birthweight was significantly lower in women with FEV₁ ≤ 50%
- Poor FEV₁ was not associated with more important pulmonary and nutritional decline