

Molecular testing for respiratory pathogens in sickle cell disease adult patients presenting with febrile acute chest syndrome

A. Raffetin, G. Melica, E. Audureau, A. Habibi, J.W. Decousser, S. Fourati, K. Razazi, R. Lepeule, C. Guillaud, M. Khellaf, et al.

► To cite this version:

A. Raffetin, G. Melica, E. Audureau, A. Habibi, J.W. Decousser, et al.. Molecular testing for respiratory pathogens in sickle cell disease adult patients presenting with febrile acute chest syndrome. Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses, 2020, 50, pp.49 - 56. 10.1016/j.medmal.2019.04.391. hal-03489819

HAL Id: hal-03489819 https://hal.science/hal-03489819v1

Submitted on 7 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0399077X18307583 Manuscript_33e140ce415a92ed87883ab4eafbe082

Apport de la biologie moléculaire dans la détection des pathogènes respiratoires chez les patients drépanocytaires adultes présentant un syndrome thoracique aigu

Molecular testing for respiratory pathogens in sickle cell disease adult patients presenting with febrile acute chest syndrome

A Raffetin¹, G Melica¹, E Audureau^{2,3}, A Habibi⁴, JW Decousser^{3,5}, S Fourati^{3,5}, K Razazi⁶, R Lepeule⁵, C Guillaud⁷, M Khellaf⁷, P Bartolucci^{3,4}, S Gallien^{1,3}

¹Service d'Immunologie clinique et Maladies infectieuses ² Département de Biostatistiques, ³Université Paris Est Créteil, ⁴Unité des Maladies Génétiques du Globule Rouge, ⁵Service de Bactériologie, Hygiène, Virologie, ⁶Service de Réanimation médicale, ⁷Service d'Accueil des Urgences

All in Hôpital Henri Mondor, Créteil, 51 avenue du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France

Corresponding author: Sébastien Gallien, Service d'Immunologie Clinique et Maladies infectieuses, Hôpital Henri Mondor, Créteil, 51 avenue du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France; Tel: +33 (0)1 49 81 44 33 ; Fax: 00 33 1 49 81 24 69; Email: sebastien.gallien@aphp.fr

REGISTRATION: ANSM n° ID-RCB 2014-A01749-38, Ethics committee lle-de-France

Preliminary results presented at the 27th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Vienna, Austria, April 22-25, 2017 (Poster abstract P0038).

Mots clés : biologie moléculaire, drépanocytose, pneumopathie aiguë communautaire

Keywords: nucleic acid detection, sickle cell disease, acute community-acquired pneumonia

RÉSUMÉ

Introduction. Lors d'un épisode pulmonaire aigu fébrile chez un sujet drépanocytaire, il est parfois difficile de différencier un syndrome thoracique aigu (STA) d'une pneumopathie infectieuse (ressemblance sémiologique, absence fréquente de documentation microbiologique). Notre objectif était d'évaluer l'apport de la PCR pour détecter des pathogènes respiratoires, en association avec les examens microbiologiques standards, dans la documentation microbiologique des STA fébriles des patients drépanocytaires adultes.

Matériels et méthodes. Étude prospective, monocentrique et observationnelle réalisée de février 2015 à avril 2016. Des échantillons sanguins, respiratoires et urinaires ont été prélevés systématiquement avant et après antibiothérapie à des fins de cultures, antigénuries, sérologies et PCR pour détecter des pathogènes respiratoires.

Résultats. Un pathogène a été détecté dans 12 des 61 STA fébriles (19,7 %) : quatre virus (6.6 %) (Rhinovirus ; Influenza A/B), sept bactéries (11.4 %) (*S. aureus, S. pneumoniae, K. pneumoniae, L. pneumophila, M. pneumoniae*), une co-infection (1.6 %) (*S. aureus* et Influenza B). La PCR a détecté une seule infection à *L. pneumophila* (sérogroupe 2). Si la durée d'antibiothérapie était significativement plus courte (6,1 vs. 7,8 jours, p=0,045) dans le groupe des STA sans documentation microbiologique, aucune autre différence n'a été mise en évidence entre le groupe des STA microbiologiquement documentés et de ceux non documentés.

Conclusion. Chez les patients drépanocytaires adultes, l'utilisation de la PCR à la recherche de pathogènes respiratoires améliore modérément le diagnostic microbiologique des STA fébriles, dans lesquels la part infectieuse est secondaire.

ABSTRACT

Background. Differentiating acute chest syndrome (ACS) from community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) is challenging in adults presenting with major sickle cell disease (SCD) (semiological similarity, rare microbiological documentation). We aimed to assess the usefulness of nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) for respiratory pathogens, in combination with standard bacteriological investigations, in febrile ACS adult patients presenting with major SCD.

Methods. We performed a prospective, monocentric, observational study of 61 SCD adults presenting with febrile ACS from February 2015 to April 2016. Systematic blood, urine, and respiratory specimens were collected, before antibiotic initiation, for culture, urinary antigen tests, serology, and NAAT for respiratory pathogens.

Results. A pathogen was detected in 12 febrile ACS (19.7%): four viruses (6.6%) (Rhinovirus; Influenza A/B), seven bacteria (11.4%) (*S. aureus, S. pneumoniae, K. pneumoniae, L. pneumophila, M. pneumoniae*), one mixed infection (1.6%) (*S. aureus* and Influenza B). NAAT only detected *L. pneumophila* in one case (serogroup 2). Apart from a significantly shorter antibiotic therapy duration (6.1 vs. 7.8 days, *p*=0.045), no difference was observed between undocumented and microbiologically-documented febrile ACS.

Conclusion. Using NAAT for the detection of respiratory pathogens in adults presenting with SCD slightly improved the microbiological diagnostic of febrile ACS, although respiratory infections are not the main etiological factor.

INTRODUCTION

Acute chest syndrome is one of the leading causes of hospitalization and death in adult patients presenting with sickle cell disease [1].

Distinguishing acute chest syndrome from community-acquired pneumonia is difficult because of semiological similarities and of the low rates of microbiological documentation in both acute chest syndrome and community-acquired pneumonia [2–4]. Functional asplenia in sickle cell disease predisposes patients to encapsulated bacterial infection, particularly to *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and such infections are a classical trigger of acute chest syndrome [5].

The use of nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT) for respiratory pathogen detection has improved the microbiological diagnostic yield in community-acquired pneumonia [6]. The sensitivity of NAATs is superior to the traditional procedures. Furthermore, the widespread use of pneumococcus and influenza vaccinations in sickle cell disease patients may have changed the distribution of pulmonary pathogens [1].

However, before generalizing the use of NAAT in routine practice, two studies conducted on sickle cell disease adults presenting with acute chest syndrome reported discrepant results concerning the microbiological detection rates in respiratory samples [2,3]. Maître *et al.* did not report any pathogen in 107 episodes of acute chest syndrome, but the bronchoscopy sampling was carried out after antibiotic initiation [2]. Vichinsky *et al.* detected pathogens in 32% of acute chest syndrome episodes, mainly *Chlamydophila pneumoniae*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, and respiratory viruses [3].

The aim of this study was to assess the usefulness of NAAT, in combination with standard bacteriological investigations, in the microbiological detection of respiratory pathogens in febrile acute chest syndrome in adults presenting with major sickle cell disease.

METHODS

Patients and data collection

We conducted an observational prospective monocentric study from February 2015 to April 2016 in the sickle cell disease Reference Center of Henri Mondor University Hospital (Créteil, France). This center manages a cohort of around 3,500 patients, with an annual rate of 1,000 hospitalizations and 200 acute chest syndromes.

Patients were deemed eligible for enrolment if they: *i*) presented with acute chest syndrome with fever strictly above 38°C, *ii*) were aged 18 years or above, and *iii*) had hemoglobin of phenotype SS, SC, or S-beta-thalassemia on electrophoretic analysis of the hemoglobin chains. Informed consent was obtained from patients beforehand.

The diagnosis of acute chest syndrome was based on respiratory symptoms (chest pain, tachypnea, cough, wheezing) and/or abnormal chest sounds, and a new pulmonary infiltrate on chest X-rays. If radiological findings at admission were normal with clinical features of acute chest syndrome, a new chest X-rays or CT-scan was performed 48 hours after hospitalization.

Standardized and anonymized forms were used to collect data.

The study was approved by the ethics committee IIe-de-France V (January 2015) and registered at the French Agency for the Safety of Health Products (French acronym ANSM) (ID-RCB 2014-A01749-38).

Specimen collection and laboratory testing

Before introducing antibiotics, we collected high-quality sputum for Gram stain and culture, blood samples for culture, and urines for Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1, and pneumococcal urinary antigen tests (BinaxNOW, Alere). Serological tests for Mycoplasma pneumoniae (ELISA-Medac®, Germany), Chlamydophila pneumoniae (ELISA-plus Medac® for IgG; ELISA-Medac[®] for IgM, Germany), and Legionella spp. (ELISA-Zeus scientific[®], and if positive IFI-Eurobio[®]) were performed at baseline and at Week 2. NAATs were performed on sputum, irrespective of sample quality, for the detection of Legionella spp. (Diagenode[®], France), and on nasopharyngeal swab for Influenza A/B viruses, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), metapneumovirus (hMPV), rhinovirus/enterovirus (Rhino&EV/CC), adenovirus/bocavirus (AD/hBoV), coronavirus/parainfluenza virus (HCoV/HPIV), C. pneumoniae, M. pneumoniae, and Bordetella spp. (respiratory multi-well system r-geneTM®, Argene/bioMérieux Marcy l'Etoile, France).

White blood cell count, hemoglobin level, lactate dehydrogenase, C-reactive protein, and procalcitonin (PCT) at admission and in the first 72 hours of hospitalization were measured.

Microbiological diagnostic criteria

Bacterial pneumonia, except for atypical bacterial agents, was defined by the detection of Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria in the sputum or in blood samples, in the absence of other sources of infection, or by a positive pneumococcal urinary antigen test.

Chlamydophila pneumoniae pulmonary infection was defined by a positive serology (presence of IgM >1.1 and kinetics of IgG titer of a primary infection; or absence of IgM but increased IgG titer by a factor of at least four more than two weeks after the onset of infection; or IgG >512 AU/mL at admission with a positive PCR, consistent with reinfection), or a positive PCR on nasopharyngeal swab only if associated with a positive serology [7]. The serology for *C. pneumoniae* was matched with a serology for *Chlamydia trachomatis* to check the absence of cross-reactivity.

Mycoplasma pneumoniae pulmonary infection was defined by a positive serology (presence of IgM or IgA >10 and IgG >64 AU/mL and kinetics of IgG titer of a primary infection; or absence of IgM and IgA but increased IgG titer by a factor of at least four two weeks or more after infection onset, consistent with reinfection), or by a positive PCR on nasopharyngeal swab [8].

Legionella spp. pulmonary infection was defined by a positive serology (indirect immunofluorescence with presence of IgM and IgG >256 AU/mL at admission; or positive IgM with an increase in IgG titer by a factor of at least four 15 days later, with a second titer >128 AU/mL for *L. pneumophila* and >256 AU/mL for other species), or by a positive PCR on sputum; or by a positive urinary antigen test for *L. pneumophila* serotype 1; or by a positive culture of sputum or blood [9-11].

Viral bronchopulmonary infection was determined if any of the respiratory viruses included in the multiplex PCR was detected on a nasopharyngeal swab.

Treatment protocol

All sickle cell disease patients were treated according to the French guidelines for the management of acute chest syndrome: intravenous rehydration, multimodal analgesia with controlled-release morphine if needed, anxiety treatment, preventive anticoagulation, nasal cannula for oxygen therapy, and respiratory kinesiotherapy (incentive spirometry) [1]. Patients received red blood cell transfusion if recommended [1]. Antimicrobials were chosen as per European guidelines for the management of community-acquired pneumonia and French guidelines for the management of acute chest syndrome [1,12].

Statistical analysis

Descriptive results are expressed as medians (range) and proportions. Comparisons according to microbiologically-documented or undocumented acute chest syndrome status were performed using Mann Whitney tests for quantitative variables (duration of antibiotic course and hospital stay) and Fisher's exact test for qualitative variables (treatment by hydroxyurea). All analyses were performed using Stata V14.1 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

<u>RESULTS</u>

Characteristics of patients and acute chest syndrome episodes

Sixty-one patients were included. Demographics and sickle cell disease characteristics are summarized in Table I.

Characteristics of the acute chest syndrome episodes are presented in Table I. The main symptoms associated with fever were chest pain (n=58, 95%) and tachypnea (n=42, 68.9%). A vaso-occlusive crisis preceded acute chest syndrome in 39 patients (63.9%) with a mean interval of two days. Routine blood work results of patients presenting with vaso-occlusive crisis were typical [13]. Patients with documented bacterial infection had elevated PCT [0.2-2.4 μ g/L], except one patient with pneumococcal pneumonia (0.2 μ g/L). With PCT threshold >0.5 μ g/L for a bacterial infection, its sensitivity and specificity were 91% and 61%, respectively. PCT positive and negative predictive values were 38.5% and 96.2%, respectively. Overall, no other difference regarding the recorded variables was observed between microbiologically documented and undocumented febrile acute chest syndrome episodes.

Detection of pathogens in febrile acute chest syndrome

Various samples were collected for the analyses, e.g. blood to perform culture from 57 patients (93.4%), high-quality sputum specimens for culture from 41 patients (67.2%), sputum specimens for *Legionella* spp. PCR from 21 patients (51.2%), nasopharyngeal swabs for multiplex PCR from 54 patients (88.5%), and urine samples to perform *Legionella* and pneumococcal urinary antigen tests from 51 patients (83.6%). Blood samples for *C. pneumoniae* and *M. pneumoniae* serological tests were collected from 45 patients (77%) at

admission and 19 patients (31%) 15 days later. *Legionella* spp. serology was performed for 42/61 patients (68.8%) at admission and 18/61 patients (29.5%) 15 days later. All patients had at least three samples collected for microbiological detection. These results are detailed in Table 1.

Microbiological characteristics of febrile acute chest syndrome are summarized in Table II. We detected the following pathogens in 12 patients (19.7%): bacteria in seven patients (11.4%) including methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (n=2), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (n=2), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (n=1), *Legionella pneumophila* (n=1), and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* (n=1); viruses in four (6.6%) including Rhinovirus (n=2), and Influenza virus (n=2) (one type A and one type B); and one co-infection with methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and Influenza virus B (1.6%). The multiplex PCR enabled the detection of five viral pathogens (influenza viruses and rhinoviruses, but no respiratory syncytial virus). In one patient, serogroup 2 *L. pneumophila* was detected by specific PCR on sputum. All PCR assays for *C. pneumoniae* and *M. pneumoniae* were negative.

Treatment and outcomes

The in-hospital course and administered treatments are summarized in Table III. Twentythree patients (37.7%) were admitted to the intensive care unit but none died. The mean hospital stay was 8.6 [2-22] days, up to 12.1 [7-22] days for bacterial pneumonia and 9.5 [9-10] days for atypical pneumonia. No statistically significant difference was observed in hospital stay between microbiologically-documented and undocumented acute chest syndrome (Table I). All patients received oxygen therapy and four (6.5%) required mechanical ventilation. A red blood cell transfusion was administered to 14 patients (22.9%): for symptomatic anemia with Hb <6 g/dL (n=2), unfavorable acute chest syndrome outcome after three days of hospitalization (n=2), splenic sequestration (n=1), pregnancy (n=1), and chronic transfusion therapy (n=8).

An empirical antibiotic course was introduced in 52 patients (85.2%) and was later adapted according to the bacterial detection results in nine patients. The mean time from initial empirical therapy to adapted specific therapy was 3.1 [2-7] days. Overall, prescribed antibiotics were amoxicillin (n=30), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (n=9), cefotaxime (n=18), spiramycin (n=22), telithromycin (n=8), levofloxacin (n=1), piperacillin-tazobactam (n=1), oxacillin (n=1), and linezolid (n=1). Some were prescribed as part of a combination therapy.

The mean duration of the whole antibiotic therapy was 6.4 [1-19] days. A statistically significant difference in the antibiotic therapy duration was observed between microbiologically-documented (7.8 days) and undocumented (6.1 days) acute chest syndromes (p=0.045). We did not observe more infections in patients on hydroxyurea than in those without it (Table I).

All patients with influenza virus infections were treated with oseltamivir.

Nine patients did not receive any antibiotic therapy as they presented few clinical signs, moderate inflammatory features (temperature <38.5°C, CRP <50 mg/L, PCT <0.5 μ g/L), and no pathogen was detected among them.

Overall, except for a significant shorter duration of antibiotic therapy in patients with undocumented acute chest syndrome, no other difference regarding recorded variables was observed between microbiologically documented and undocumented febrile acute chest syndromes.

DISCUSSION

Our use of NAAT for the detection of respiratory pathogens, combined with standard bacteriological investigations, slightly increased the yield of microbiological diagnosis in sickle cell disease adults presenting with febrile acute chest syndrome, especially for viral detection. For bacterial pathogens, only one case of serotype 2 *Legionella pneumophila* was detected by NAAT. No significant difference was observed between microbiologically-documented and undocumented febrile acute chest syndromes apart from the statistically significant shorter duration of antibiotic therapy in patients in whom no pathogen was detected.

The use of NAAT for atypical bacterial and viral respiratory pathogens has considerably been evaluated over the past years, and has increased the microbiological diagnostic yield [6,14]. It should enable early de-escalation of empirical broad-spectrum antimicrobials to prevent the emergence of bacterial resistance, potential side effects, and drug interactions. By using NAAT, albeit performant, we detected fewer atypical microorganisms than previously reported in studies using traditional methods [3].

We detected few respiratory viruses despite them being the leading pathogens in respiratory tract infections [6,14] and despite our study being conducted during the winter epidemics. No respiratory syncytial virus infection was diagnosed, despite an ongoing outbreak in France during the study period [15]. The microbiological diagnosis of patients

who tested positive for influenza virus infection (thus switched to specific oseltamivir treatment) could have been achieved by flu-targeted specific PCR, which is less expensive. If viruses play a role in sickle cell disease children presenting with acute chest syndrome, [5] they are less frequently observed in adults. The overall vaccination coverage in our cohort was higher than that of other targeted French populations (elderly people, immunocompromised patients, or respiratory insufficiency) [16-18]. Less than 5% of influenza infections were detected; this could be due to the high flu vaccine coverage (60%). We detected only two pneumococcal pneumonia cases (3.3%), which is less than in community-acquired pneumonia usually reported in immunocompetent adults [7,14]. This could be related to the conjugate anti-pneumococcal vaccine effectiveness previously administered in 85% of our patients.

As bacterial clearance is fast for both *Legionella* and *M. pneumoniae*, there is no asymptomatic respiratory carriage of these pathogens [19,20]. Their detection suggests an acute respiratory tract infection but does not differentiate between upper and lower respiratory tract infection, hence the need to interpret PCR results according to the clinical findings [19,20]. We documented one pneumonia caused by serogroup 2 *L. pneumophila* by PCR. Conversely, respiratory carriage of *C. pneumoniae* is common and can last several months [21]; therefore, the microbiological criteria of *C. pneumoniae* infection is both a positive PCR and serology [7]. This latter diagnostic definition was not taken into consideration in some previous studies where positive PCR for *C. pneumophila* was considered sufficient to confirm the diagnosis [3,22]. This discrepancy may have underestimated the incidence of *C. pneumoniae* respiratory tract infections in our cohort compared with previous ones [3,22].

Usual standard tests for microbiological detection have lower sensitivity and specificity than NAAT. Sputum culture has excellent specificity (up to 100%), but rather moderate sensitivity (60% to 85%), especially after the administration of antibiotics [23]. Blood cultures are even less sensitive in bacterial pneumonia (0% to 14%), except in severe pneumonia [24]. No blood culture was positive in our samples. Pneumococcal urinary antigen testing has moderate sensitivity (60% to 70%) and good specificity (90% to 97%) [25] and may remain positive six months after pneumococcal pneumonia or after pneumococcal vaccination [26,27]. One of our patients, who was diagnosed with pneumococcal pneumonia based on a positive urinary antigen test, had not reported pneumococcal infection in the previous year. *Legionella* urinary antigen test has sensitivity of 79.7% and specificity of 97% [28] and only detects serogroup 1 *L. pneumophila* (>90% in France), [10]. An early negative test does not rule out the diagnosis and a positive test cannot be interpreted in case of repeated pneumonia.

Another explanation for the low pathogen detection rate could be the suboptimal quality of the swab sample. Successful NAAT requires a correct technique of sampling which could be difficult in a busy standard healthcare setting. The result of the swab test differs according to the sampling site, e.g. testing on nasal swabs is less sensitive than on nasopharyngeal swabs [29]. We observed one false negative *M. pneumoniae* PCR result, yet with a positive serology and consistent clinical findings of pneumonia. After excluding potential PCR inhibitors, we hypothesized a poor quality of the nasopharyngeal swab. Unfortunately, a control swab was not performed.

Guidelines for the use of antibiotics in acute chest syndrome are based on clinical experience and are oriented to minimize the risk of encapsulated bacterial infections in functional asplenic sickle cell disease patients [1,6] with antipneumococcal penicillin-based antibiotics. Our findings revealed that *S. aureus* was also one of the leading detected pathogens. In febrile acute chest syndrome in sickle cell disease adults, empirical antibiotics could be broadened to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid as first-line therapy, at least during the flu epidemics. Macrolides combined with beta-lactams should be kept for severe acute chest syndrome or when *Legionella* spp. infection is suspected, as recommended [1,12,30].

This study has several limitations. First, we were not able to enroll every consecutive eligible patient. However, the high incidence of acute chest syndrome in our hospital allowed us to constitute a representative cohort of patients during the study period. Secondly, we only included febrile acute chest syndrome episodes at admission, which may have excluded initially afebrile infections such as viral infections, or sickle cell disease patients who are used to take acetaminophen for pain. Given that in previous studies around 90% of patients with acute chest syndrome were febrile at admission [2,3], it provided additional evidence that this clinical feature is associated with acute chest syndrome. Thirdly, we did not perform a comparative study. Finally, not all of the required specimens were obtained from patients who had chest pain and non-productive cough, which may have underestimated the incidence of some pathogens.

CONCLUSION

NAAT slightly improves the microbiological diagnosis of infections in sickle cell disease adults presenting with febrile acute chest syndrome, in whom pathogen detection is low. Beyond the technical limits of NAAT in standard clinical settings, these findings suggest the limited role of infections in the pathophysiology of acute chest syndrome. However, as antibiotics are largely prescribed to acute chest syndrome patients, the use of atypical bacterial and viral PCR could lead to early de-escalation of antimicrobials, particularly for macrolides. This should be considered in future prospective studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Pr Olivier Bouchaud, Pr Jean Dupouy-Camet, Dr Simon Escoda, Pr Frédéric Galacteros, Dr Justine Gellen-Dautremer, Dr Ludovic Lassel, Pr Jean-Daniel Lelièvre, Dr Nicolas Limal, Pr Bernard Maitre, Dr Alexis Mandelcwacj, Dr Olivier Patey, and Dr Christophe Rodriguez.

FUNDING

None

DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

CONTRIBUTION OF AUTHORS

A.R. collected and analyzed the data, and drafted the article. G.M. supervised the study and reviewed the article. E.A. performed the biostatistics and reviewed the article. A.H., K.R., R.L., C.G., M.K., and P.B. collected the data and reviewed the article. JW.D. and S.F. performed the microbiological tests and reviewed the article. S.G. supervised the study, analyzed the data, drafted and reviewed the article. G.M. and S.G. personally reviewed the data, understood the statistical methods employed for efficacy analysis, and confirmed an understanding of this analysis, that the methods are clearly described and that they are a fair way to report the results. They confirm that the study objectives and procedures are honestly disclosed. They also reviewed study execution data and confirmed that procedures were followed to an extent that convinces all authors that the results are valid and generalizable to a population similar to that enrolled in this study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Habibi A, Arlet J-B, Stankovic K, et al. French guidelines for the management of adult sickle cell disease: 2015 update. Rev Med Int 2015;36(Suppl 1):583-584.
- 2. Maitre B, Habibi A, Roudot-Thoraval F, et al. Acute chest syndrome in adults with sickle cell disease. Chest 2000;117:1386–1392.
- Vichinsky EP, Neumayr LD, Earles AN, et al. Causes and outcomes of the acute chest syndrome in sickle cell disease. National Acute Chest Syndrome Study Group. N Engl J Med 2000;342:1855–1865.
- Srinivasan A, Wang WC, Gaur A, et al. Prospective evaluation for respiratory pathogens in children with sickle cell disease and acute respiratory illness. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2014;61:507–511.
- Wong WY, Powars DR, Chan L, et al. Polysaccharide encapsulated bacterial infection in sickle cell anemia: a thirty-year epidemiologic experience. Am J Hematol 1992;39:176– 182.
- Gadsby NJ, Russell CD, McHugh MP, et al. Comprehensive molecular testing for respiratory pathogens in community-acquired pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis 2016;62:817– 823.
- Société Française de Microbiologie. *Chlamydia spp.* In: Société Française de Microbiologie, Référentiel de microbiologie médicale, REMIC. Vol 2. 5^{ème} édition. Cachan: Librairie Lavoisier 2015;484–486.

- Société Française de Microbiologie. *Mycoplasma spp.* In: Société Française de Microbiologie, Référentiel de microbiologie médicale, REMIC. Vol 2. 5^{ème} édition. Cachan: Librairie Lavoisier 2015;561–565.
- Société Française de Microbiologie. Legionella spp. In: Société Française de Microbiologie, Référentiel de microbiologie médicale, REMIC. Vol 2. 5^{ème} édition. Cachan: Librairie Lavoisier 2015;515–521.
- 10. Boer JW, Yzerman EPF. Diagnosis of *Legionella* infection in Legionnaires' disease. *Eur J* Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2004;23:871–878.
- 11. Murdoch DR, Podmore RG, Anderson TP, et al. Impact of routine systematic polymerase chain reaction testing on case finding for Legionnaires' disease: a pre-post comparison study. Clin Infect Dis 2013;57:1275–1281.

12. Woodhead M., Blasi F., Ewig S., et al. Joint Taskforce of the European Respiratory Society and European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Guidelines for the management of adult lower respiratory tract infections. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011;7(Suppl 6):1-11.

13. Piel FB, Steinberg MH, Rees DC. Sickle cell disease. N Engl J Med 2017;376:1561–1573.

- 14. Jain S, Self WH, Wunderink RG, et al. Community-acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization among U.S. adults. N Engl J Med 2015;373:415–427.
- 15. Bulletin épidémiologique bronchiolite. Situation au 16 décembre 2015. Situation épidémiologique de la bronchiolite en France métropolitaine. Available from: http://www.invs.sante.fr/Dossiers-thematiques/Maladies-infectieuses/Infections-

respiratoires/Bronchiolite/Situation-epidemiologique-de-la-bronchiolite-en-Francemetropolitaine/Archives/Bulletin-epidemiologique-bronchiolite.-Situation-au-16decembre-2015. Accessed on May 8, 2016.

- 16. Bulletin épidémiologique grippe. Point au 27 janvier 2016. Available from: http://www.invs.sante.fr/Dossiers-thematiques/Maladies-infectieuses/Maladies-aprevention-vaccinale/Grippe/Grippe-generalites/Donnees-desurveillance/Archives/Bulletin-epidemiologique-grippe.-Point-au-27-janvier-2016. Accessed May 8, 2016.
- Pneumocoque. Données. Couverture vaccinale. Available from: http://www.invs.sante.fr/Dossiers-thematiques/Maladies-infectieuses/Maladies-aprevention-vaccinale/Couverture-vaccinale/Donnees/Pneumocoque. Accessed May 8, 2016.
- Haemophilus influenzae b. Données. Couverture vaccinale. Available from: http://www.invs.sante.fr/Dossiers-thematiques/Maladies-infectieuses/Maladies-aprevention-vaccinale/Couverture-vaccinale/Donnees/Haemophilus-influenzae-b. Accessed May 8, 2016.
- 19. Fields BS, Benson RF, Besser RE. *Legionella* and Legionnaires' Disease: 25 Years of Investigation. Clin Microbiol Rev 2002;15:506–526.
- 20. Nilsson AC, Bjorkman P, Persson K. Polymerase chain reaction is superior to serology for the diagnosis of acute *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* infection and reveals a high rate of persistent infection. BMC Microbiol 2008;8:93.

21. de Barbeyrac B, Bébéar C. Chlamydial pathogenesis: diagnostic and therapeutic consequences. Arch Pédiatrie 2005;12(Supp I1):S26-31.

22. Dean D, Neumayr L, Kelly DM, et al. Chlamydia pneumoniae and acute chest syndrome in patients with sickle cell disease. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2003;25(1):46-55.

- 23. Anevlavis S, Petroglou N, Tzavaras A, et al. A prospective study of the diagnostic utility of sputum Gram stain in pneumonia. J Infect 2009;59:83–89.
- 24. Waterer GW, Wunderink RG. The influence of the severity of community-acquired pneumonia on the usefulness of blood cultures. Respir Med 2001;95:78–82.
- 25. Gutiérrez F, Masiá M, Rodríguez JC, et al. Evaluation of the immunochromatographic Binax NOW assay for detection of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* urinary antigen in a prospective study of community-acquired pneumonia in Spain. Clin Infect Dis 2003;36:286–292.
- 26. Andreo F, Prat C, Ruiz-Manzano J, et al. Persistence of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* urinary antigen excretion after pneumococcal pneumonia. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009; 28:197–201.
- 27. Vázquez EG, Marcos MA, Vilella A, Yagüe J, Bayas JM, Mensa J. Assessment of a commercial rapid urinary antigen test to detect *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in patients who received 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2004;23:927–929.

- 28. Avni T, Bieber A, Green H, Steinmetz T, Leibovici L, Paul M. Diagnostic accuracy of PCR alone and compared to urinary antigen testing for detection of *Legionella spp.*: a systematic review. J Clin Microbiol 2016;54:401–411.
- 29. Irving SA, Vandermause MF, Shay DK, Belongia EA. Comparison of nasal and nasopharyngeal swabs for Influenza detection in adults. Clin Med Res 2012;10:215–218.
- 30. Martí-Carvajal AJ, Conterno LO, Knight-Madden JM. Antibiotics for treating acute chest syndrome in people with sickle cell disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015;3:CD006110.

 Table I. Characteristics of patients and febrile acute chest syndrome episodes

Tableau I. Caractéristiques cliniques des patients et des syndromes thoraciques aigusfébriles

| Characteristics | All patients | No | With | Р |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| | (N=61) | microbiological | microbiological | values |
| | | documentation | documentation | |
| | | (N=49) | (N=12) | |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male (%) | 29 (47) | 23 (47) | 6 (50) | 1.000 |
| Female (%) | 32 (52) | 26 (53) | 6 (50) | |
| Mean age (years) (standard | 31.2 (± 9.28) | 31.4 (± 9.91) | 30.3 (± 6.34) | 0.716 |
| deviation) | | | | |
| Type of sickle cell disease | | | | |
| SS (%) | 55 (90) | 44 (90) | 11 (92) | 0.748 |
| SC (%) | 3 (5) | 2 (4) | 1 (8) | |
| S-beta-thal (%) | 3 (5) | 3 (6) | 0 | |
| Treatment | | | | |
| Hydroxyurea (%) | 24 (39) | 16 (33) | 8 (67) | 0.047 |
| Chronic transfusion therapy (%) | 9 (15) | 6 (12) | 3 (25) | 0.361 |

| Bloodletting (%) | 4 (7) | 2 (17) | 0.170 | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-------|
| Vaccinations | | | | |
| Seasonal flu vaccination (%) | 38 (74) | 28 (57) | 10 (83) | 0.250 |
| Haemophilus influenzae type B | 55 (90) | 43 (88) | 12 (100) | 0.572 |
| vaccination (%) | | | | |
| Pneumococcal vaccination (%) | 50 (82) | 40 (82) | 10 (83) | 1 |
| Characteristics of acute chest syndro | ome episodes | | | |
| Fever >38°C (%) | 61 (100) | 49 (100) | 12 (100) | |
| Cough (%) | 28 (46) | 19 (39) | 9 (75) | 0.049 |
| Chest pain (%) | 58 (95) | 46 (94) | 12 (100) | 1 |
| Expectoration (%) | 21 (34) | 12 (25) | 9 (75) | 0.002 |
| Tachypnea (%) | 42 (69) | 31 (63) | 11 (92) | 0.083 |
| Oxygen saturation (median %) [| 96 [96-98] | 97 [96-98] | 95 [94-98] | 0.086 |
| range] | | | | |
| Baseline laboratory values (median) | [range] | | | |
| Leucocytes (Giga/I) | 1.52 [1.11-1.95] | 1.54 [1.11-2.02] | 1.435 [1.105- | 0.284 |
| | | | 1.585] | |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 8.3 [7.3;9.1] | 8.3 [7.3-8.9] | 8.2 [6.8-10.6] | 0.554 |

| CRP (mg/L) | 104.2 [35.1- | 106.50 [59.0- | 0.231 | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| | 156.0] | | 164.8] | |
| LDH (IU/L) | 559 [396-728] | 553 [392-725] | 698 [510;941] | 0.132 |
| PCT (µg/L) | 0.4 [0.2-1.0] | 0.4 [0.2-1] | 0.6 [0.2-2.4] | 0.549 |
| Samples obtained for microbiologica | al detection | | | |
| Blood cultures (%) | 57 (93) | 45 (74) | 12 (100) | - |
| Sputum for culture (%) | 41 (67) | 32 (65) | 9 (75) | - |
| Urinary antigen tests for Streptococcus pneumoniae and Legionella pneumophila (%) | 51 (84) | 39 (80) | 12 (100) | - |
| PCR for respiratory virus and Chlamydophila pneumoniae and Mycoplasma pneumoniae (%) | 56 (92) | 44 (90) | 12 (100) | - |
| PCR for Legionella pneumophila (%) | 21 (34) | 15 (31) | 6 (50) | - |
| Chlamydophila pneumoniae and Mycoplasma pneumoniae serology on Day 0 (%) | 47 (77) | 38 (78) | 9 (75) | - |
| Chlamydophila pneumoniae and Mycoplasma pneumoniae serology >Day 15 (%) | 19 (31) | 14 (29) | 5 (42) | - |
| <i>Legionella pneumophila s</i> erology on Day 0 (%) | 42 (69) | 33 (67) | 9 (75) | - |
| Legionella pneumophila serology >Day 15 (%) | 18 (29) | 14 (29) | 4 (33) | - |
| Duration of antibiotic therapy | 6.4 (± 3.89) | 6.1 (± 3.96) | 7.8 (± 3.38) | 0.045 |
| (mean days) | | | | |

| Duration of hospitalization (mean | 8.6 (± 4.10) | 8.4 (± 3.79) | 9.2 (± 5.33) | 0.813 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--|
| days) | | | | | |

 Table II. Microbiological characteristics of the 61 febrile acute chest syndrome episodes

Tableau II. Caractéristiques microbiologiques des 61 épisodes de syndrome thoracique aigufébrile

| Characteristics | Patients | Blood | Sputum | Urinary | PCR | Serology | Serology |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-----|----------|----------|
| | N=61 (%) | culture | culture | antigen | | Day 0 | Day 15 |
| | | | | test | | | |
| No pathogen detection | 49 (80.3) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bacterial infections | 7 (11.4) | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 2 (3.3) | 0 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae | 2 (3.3) | 0 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Legionella pneumophila | 1 (1.6) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae | 1 (1.6) | 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mycoplasma pneumoniae | 1 (1.6) | - | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Haemophilus influenzae | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Chlamydophila pneumoniae | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Salmonella spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Viral infections | 4 (6.6) | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| Influenza virus A | 1 (1.6) | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |

| Influenza virus B | 1 (1.6) | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
|-----------------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rhinovirus | 2 (3.3) | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Respiratory syncytial virus | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Parainfluenza virus 1, 2, 3 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Coronavirus | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Metapneumovirus | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Bocavirus | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Adenovirus | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Enterovirus | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Co-infections* | | | | | | | |
| Influenza B and MRSA | 1 (1.6) | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |

MRSA: methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.

*Co-infection included in bacterial and viral infections.

 Table III. Characteristics of the in-hospital course and administered treatments

 Tableau III. Caractéristiques de l'évolution hospitalière et des traitements reçus par les

 patients

| Characteristics | N=61 (%) |
|--|-----------|
| Department of admission, number (%) | |
| Emergency room | 22 (36.1) |
| Intensive care unit | 23 (37.7) |
| Internal medicine | 16 (26.2) |
| Oxygen therapy | 61 (100) |
| Mechanical ventilation, number (%) | 4 (6.5) |
| Red blood cell transfusion, number (%) | 14 (22.9) |
| Antibiotic therapy, number (%) | 52 (85.2) |
| Types of antimicrobials, number (%) | |
| amoxicillin +/- clavulanic acid | 39 (43) |
| cefotaxime | 18 (20) |
| spiramycin | 22 (24) |
| telithromycin | 8 (9) |
| Other antibiotic treatments ¹ | 4 (4) |

| oseltamivir | 5 (8.2) |
|-------------|---------|
| | |

¹ levofloxacin (n=1), piperacillin-tazobactam (n=1), oxacillin (n=1), linezolid (n=1)