

Insulin-like Growth Factor Binding Protein 2 predicts mortality risk in heart failure

Manon Barutaut, Pauline Fournier, William F. Peacock, Maria Francesca Evaristi, Céline Caubère, Annie Turkieh, Franck Desmoulin, Luc W.M. Eurlings, Sandra van Wijk, Hans-Peter Brunner-La Rocca, et al.

To cite this version:

Manon Barutaut, Pauline Fournier, William F. Peacock, Maria Francesca Evaristi, Céline Caubère, et al.. Insulin-like Growth Factor Binding Protein 2 predicts mortality risk in heart failure. International Journal of Cardiology, 2020, 300, pp.245 - 251. 10.1016/j.ijcard.2019.09.032. hal-03489675

HAL Id: hal-03489675 <https://hal.science/hal-03489675v1>

Submitted on 21 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Insulin-like Growth Factor Binding Protein 2 predicts mortality risk in heart failure

- 2 Manon Barutaut, PhD^{*}, Pauline Fournier, MD^{*†}, William F. Peacock MD[†], Maria
- Francesca Evaristi, Pharm.D-PhD*, Céline Caubère, PhD*, Annie Turkieh, PhD*, Franck

4 Desmoulin, PhD*, Luc W.M. Eurlings, MD[#], Sandra van Wijk, MD[§], Hans-Peter

5 Brunner-La Rocca, MD-PhD[§], Javed Butler, MD-MPH[¶], François Koukoui, MD-PhD^{*},

6 Camille Dambrin, MD-PhD^{*‡}, Serge Mazeres, PhD[∞], Servane Le Page, MSc^{*}, Clement

- 7 Delmas, MD[‡], Michel Galinier, MD-PhD^{*‡}, Christian Jung, MD-PhD[£], Fatima Smih,
- 8 PhD^{*∆} and Philippe Rouet, PhD^{*}.

*LA Maison de la MItochondrie (LAMMI), Obesity and heart failure: molecular and clinical investigations. UMR CNRS 5288. 1 Avenue Jean Poulhes BP 84225 31432

Toulouse cedex 4. France. INI-CRCT F-CRIN, GREAT Networks.

- † Emergency Medicine at the Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, Texas, U.S.A.
- ‡University Hospital of Toulouse, Cardiology department, F-31432 Toulouse, France.
- #Department of Cardiology, VieCuri Medical Center, Venlo, The Netherlands.

§Department of Cardiology, Maastricht University Medical Center, CARIM, Maastricht, The Netherlands.

- ¶Department of Medicine, Stony Brook University, NY, USA [∞] Institute of Pharmacology and Structural Biology (IPBS), Toulouse, France.
- £Division of Cardiology, Pulmonology, and Vascular Medicine, Medical Faculty, University Duesseldorf, Germany.
- 21 [∆]Spartacus-Biomed, Clermont Le Fort, France.
-
- Manon Barutaut, PhD and Pauline Fournier MD, contributed equally to this work.
-
- Brief title: IGFBP2, a potential prognostic biomarker for HF
- **Acknowledgements:** This work was funded by Fondation Coeur et Recherche (FCR).
- FCR had no role in the design and conduct of the study, in the collection, analysis, and

interpretation of the data, and in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

We thank Sandrine Masson for samples and clinical data collection.

-
- Relationship with industry: None
- Address for correspondence: Dr Philippe Rouet, LA Maison de la MItochondrie
- (LAMMI), Obesity and heart failure: molecular and clinical investigations. UMR CNRS
- 5288. 1 Avenue Jean Poulhes BP 84225 31432 Toulouse cedex 4. France.
- Tel: +33 5 31 22 41 25; email: philippe.rouet@inserm.fr

- **ABSTRACT**
-

Background

Insulin-like Growth Factor Binding Protein 2 (IGFBP2) showed greater heart failure (HF)

- diagnostic accuracy than the "grey zone" B-type natriuretic peptides, and may have prognostic utility as well.
-
- Objectives
- To determine if IGFBP2 provides independent information on cardiovascular mortality in HF.
-
- Methods

A retrospective study of 870 HF patients from 3 independent international cohorts. Presentation IGFBP2 plasma levels were measured by ELISA, and patients were followed from 1 year (Maastricht, Netherlands) to 6 years (Atlanta, GA, USA and Toulouse, France). Multivariate analysis, Net Reclassification Improvement (NRI) and Integrated Discrimination Improvement (IDI) were performed in the 3 cohorts. The primary outcome was cardiovascular mortality.

Results

In multivariate Cox proportional hazards analysis, the highest quartile of IGFBP2 was associated with mortality in the Maastricht cohort (adjusted hazard ratio 1.69 (95 % CI, 1.18-2.41), p=0.004) and in the combined Atlanta and Toulouse cohorts (adjusted hazard ratio 2.04 (95%CI, 1.3-3.3), p=0.003). Adding IGFBP2 to a clinical model allowed a 61 reclassification of adverse outcome risk in the Maastricht cohort (NRI=18.7 % p=0.03; 62 IDI=3.9 % p=0.02) and with the Atlanta/Toulouse patients (NRI of 40.4% p=0.01, 31.2% 63 p=0.04, 31.5% p=0.02 and IDI of 2.9% p=0,0005, 3.1% p=0,0005 and 4.2 %, p=0.0005, for a follow-up of 1, 2 and 3 years, respectively).

Conclusion

In 3 international cohorts, IGFBP2 level is a strong prognostic factor for cardiovascular

mortality in HF, adding information to natriuretic monitoring and usual clinical markers,

that should be further prospectively evaluated for patients' optimized care.

Key words: IGFBP2**/**biomarker / Heart failure / prognosis / natriuretic peptides/ cardiovascular mortality

Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a considerable social and economic burden in terms of mortality, morbidity, and associated health care costs. Its current median survival rate is less than 5 years, even in countries with excellent healthcare systems [1]. Despite significant therapeutic strategy improvement, HF patients have a poor prognosis, with death rates approximating 40% within a year after a first hospitalization [2]. Biomarkers for the diagnosis of HF are available, and while the greatest focus has been on natriuretic peptides [3], several other candidates have been proposed [4, 5]. Unfortunately, most of the currently available HF biomarkers have significant specificity limitations. This includes natriuretic peptides, where elevated levels can be associated with non-HF comorbidities, such that natriuretic peptide concentrations in the "grey zone" frequently render the test inconclusive [6].

Prognostic HF biomarkers may be of utility as an aid to guide therapy, as well as identify patients at high risk of mortality. However, given the complex pathophysiology of HF, the wide range of clinical presentations, and the numerous confounding pathologies, it is not clear that a single biomarker is adequate. Some have suggested a multiple biomarker strategy may be required to provide a complete picture of clinical status in the HF patient [7, 8].

Early data suggest that measurement of Insulin-like Growth Factor Binding Protein 2 (IGFBP2) may be both a potential diagnostic and prognostic test in the setting of suspected HF. IGFBP2 is a member of the IGFBP family, and is the second most abundant in the circulation. IGFBP2 biology was investigated in many studies [9]. This protein is mainly expressed in the heart and liver, as well as the reproductive and central nervous systems [10, 11]. However, IGFBP2 mRNA levels were strongly increased in hearts and not in other organs from a rat model of ischemia induced HF [12]. It manages transport and bioavailability regulation of Insulin-like Growth Factor 1(IGF-1) [10, 11,

13]. In the circulation, IGFBP2 predominately has an inhibitory effect on IGF-1 actions. This is because of its high affinity binding, as compared to that of IGF-1 for the IGF-1 receptor [14, 15].

The inhibition of IGF-1 is mechanistically important in the genesis of HF, as it has been demonstrated in both human and experimental HF that it is cardioprotective by downregulating the effects of the renin angiotensin system [16-20]. In fact, a lack of increase in circulating levels of IGF-1 after acute MI is associated with worse left ventricular function and worse outcomes [21, 22]. Conversely, elevated IGF-1 is associated with improved cardiac remodeling and function post-myocardial infarction.Thus, as elevated IGFBP2 levels may be associated with IGF-1 inhibition that results in unopposed renin angiotensin effects, elevated IGFBP2 may actually modulate LV dysfunction [9].

That IGFBP2 may be both a diagnostic and prognostic HF marker is further supported by our recent report in patients presenting with acute dyspnea, where IGFBP2 displayed greater diagnostic accuracy than "grey zone" B-type natriuretic peptides [12].

Thus, in view of the above, our purpose was to determine the ability of IGFBP2 to predict outcomes using a large cross sectional HF data base. To assess the potential use of IGFBP2 for HF prognosis, we evaluated 3 international cohorts with varying severity of disease.

Methods

We used 3 previously described international HF datasets. This included chronic hemodynamically stable HF data [23] from the Toulouse University Hospital (Identification of BLOod Marker of Asymptomatic left Ventricular Dysfunction (IBLOMAVED)) [23], acute HF data from Maastricht University Medical Center

(Netherlands) [24], and chronic hemodynamically outpatient HF data from the Atlanta Cardiomyopathy Consortium [25]. Cardiovascular mortality was used as endpoint and determined by reviewing the patient clinical registry.

Using -80°C stored plasma from each institution, IGFBP2 levels were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA), 145 performed per the manufacturer's specifications. The detection range for this assay is 62.5 146 pg/ml to 4000 pg/ml. We defined an appropriate dilution of the plasma $(1/800^{\circ})$ in order to monitor IGFBP2 levels in the linear quantitative range of values.

Chronic Stable HF

The IBLOMAVED cohort study (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01024049) enrolled patients receiving care at the cardiology department of the University Hospital of Toulouse (France) from July 2007 to November 2013. This data set consists of 197 stable HF patients, defined as being without any HF decompensation for at least 3 months, and with complete survival data [23].The diagnosis of HF was per clinical observations, transthoracic echocardiography (TTE), and BNP monitoring.

This research protocol was approved by the institution's human research (COSSEC) and regional ethics committee (Comité de Protection des Personnes (CPP) # DC 2008-452) and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legally authorized representatives.

Emergency Department (ED) HF

Maastricht University Medical Center (Netherlands) prospectively enrolled 678 patients presenting with dyspnea to the emergency department from June 2007 to October 2009. Of these, 367 had acute HF and formed our study population. HF was diagnosed by a

senior cardiologist [24], and patient characteristics were determined by clinical chart review. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was obtained from echography, when available, within a range of 1 year before to 1 month after presentation. All patients were followed for 1 year. Follow-up data were obtained via chart review and the patient's general practitioner, or by inquiry of the municipal register. The primary outcome measure was 1-year cardiovascular mortality. All investigational procedures were approved by the institutional review board (Medical Ethical Committee, Maastricht University Medical Center) and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Outpatient HF

The Atlanta Cardiomyopathy Consortium (TACC) prospective cohort study [25] enrolled 335 patients from November 2007 to February 2014, at 3 university-affiliated hospitals in the greater metropolitan Atlanta area (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT00599014). All patients underwent history surveys, physical examination, and collection of blood samples at baseline. Cardiovascular mortality data were collected through medical record review, family members, and U.S. social security death index query. Of 335 patients, 306 (91.3%) had plasma available for IGFBP2 assessment. The primary outcome was any major clinical event, defined as a composite of death, heart transplantation, or left ventricular assist device placement. The Institutional Review Board has approved the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legally authorized representatives.

Statistical methods and identification of biomarkers

Evaluation of normally distributed continuous variables was by Student's t-test, otherwise a Mann-Whitney rank sum test was used. Evaluation of categorical variables was by chi square test. We tested the survival of HF patients, stratified by IGFBP2 level, using Kaplan Meier curves and multivariate Cox regression analyses, performed with Medcalc software (version 14.8.1, Medcalc software bva, Belgium). Poisson regression analysis was performed using R computation language with "Modern Applied Statistics with S" package version 7.3-35. Net Reclassification Improvement (NRI) and Integrated Discriminant Improvement (IDI) analyses for improvement in predictive accuracy for mortality by the addition of IGFBP2 to clinical models were performed using R software and the "survIDINRI" package version 1.1-1. Clinical risk factors for cardiovascular death were chosen as age, gender, diabetes status and creatinine and age, gender, ALT, hs-CRP, creatinine to include relevant parameters in the risk prediction model for heamodynamically stable or acute HF, respectively.

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the total dataset are presented in **Table 1**. While the Toulouse and Atlanta chronic stable HF had a number of similarities, the ED cohort had several important differences. ED patients had more than double median IGFBP2 levels compared to the chronic stable and outpatient cohorts; 393 vs 165 and 172 ng/mL, respectively. ED patients were also older (median age 78, vs 60 and 57 years) and more likely women (46%, vs 21 and 36%) than the chronic stable HF and cardiomyopathy clinic cohort patients, respectively. ED patients also had less guideline recommended care in every single category, with the exception of angiotensin receptor 210 blocker administration, for which patients were 50% more likely to receive this than in 211 the chronic stable HF cohort.

Chronic stable HF: The median follow up of the 197 chronic stable HF IBLOMAVED patients was 3.6 years (43 months) [IQR, 2.9-14.2;(35-50 months)]. Of the 197, 57 (29%) died from a cardiac cause and 140 (71%) were end-of-study censored. Overall, the median IGFBP2 level was 165 ng/ml [IQR, 75-391], and levels were higher in patients who died compared to survivors; 289 ng/ml (95% CI, 206 – 444) vs 126 ng/ml (95% CI, 217 114 – 165), respectively ($p \le 0.001$). Patients ($n=49$) with IGFBP2 in the highest quartile, i.e.>391 ng/ml, had a much higher 6 year mortality, Hazard Ratio (HR) = 6.25 (95% CI, 2.73-7.93), p<0.0001, and a median survival time of 1.7 years (95% CI, 0.6 – 3.3). This 220 compared to all other patients (n=148) whose mean survival was 5.1 years (95% CI, 4.7 – 5.3). Separate analysis of Atlanta and Toulouse cohorts provided the same results for cardiovascular mortality with median value IGFBP2 concentration of 172 and 165 ng/mL, respectively (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

Combined chronic HF patients cohorts (Atlanta and Toulouse IBLOMAVED)

In this combined chronic HF patient cohort, admission IGFBP2 level was associated with 2 years cardiovascular mortality across the concentration range (**Figure 1A**), although hazard ratios were non-significant in the lowest 3 quartiles (HR=1.0, 1.2 and 1.8, 228 respectively, p >0.05). However, the fourth quartile was associated with 35 % 2 years mortality risk (HR=3.3 (95% CI, 1.4-4.7), p=0.02).

Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis adjusted for age, gender, diabetes status, creatinine, IGFBP2 and BNP levels dichotomized by highest quartile, showed that IGFBP2 is an independent predictor of poor outcome (HR=2.04 (95%CI, 1.3-3.3), p=0.003) (**Table 2A**).

Interaction Forest plot provides a global view of interactions between IGFBP2 plasmatic level and the heart failure patient's clinical characteristics value. Interaction Forest plot representation and analysis of the interaction between IGFBP2 prognostic value and clinical data (sodium, diabetes, creatinine, BNP, gender, age) did not show evidence of heterogeneity (p values >0.05). This indicates that even with fluctuation of these clinical parameters, IGFBP2 remains a prognostic biomarker.

(**Supplementary figure 2A and Supplementary Table 1**).

241 Addition of IGFBP2 dichotomized by upper quartile to a clinical model (age, gender, diabetes status and creatinine) improved reclassification of HF patients according to survival over 1 to 3 years of follow-up (**Table 2B**). Addition of IGFBP2 to the clinical 244 model containing BNP allowed a better reclassification at 1 year (NRI=40.4% p=0.0005), 245 2 years (NRI=25.9%, p=0.04) and 3 years (NRI=28.5% p=0.01) of follow-up. IDI values 246 ranged from 1.6 % (p=0.06) at one year to 3% (p=0.01) at 3 years of follow-up in the clinical model by addition of BNP. However, addition of IGFBP2 to the clinical model 248 led to an IDI of 2.9 to 4.2 $\%$ (p=0.0005). Combined integration of BNP and IGFBP2 with the clinical model did not improve IDI.

ED patients: In the ED cohort, admission IGFBP2 level was associated with 251 cardiovascular mortality across the concentration range (Log-rank for test for trend, $p =$ 10^{-5} (**Figure 1B**). IGFBP2 level in the third and the fourth quartile was associated with a significant increase in cardiovascular mortality over 1 year of follow up; HR=2.2 (95%CI, 1.4-3.5), p=0.001 and HR=3.3 (95%CI, 2.2-5.1) p<0.0001, respectively.

The median survival for a patient with IGFBP2 level in the highest quartile (>551 ng/ml) was 191 days (95% CI, 96-286), whereas median survival for a patient with a concentration below 240 ng/ml exceeded 1 year. Results of multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analyses adjusted for age, gender, levels of ALT, hs-CRP, creatinine, sodium, and IGFBP2 and NT-proBNP levels dichotomized by upper quartile, are presented in **Table 3A**. Creatinine and IGFBP2 in the highest quartile (>551 ng/ml) were 261 independent predictors of poor outcome, $HR = 1.02(95\% \text{ CI}, 1.01-1.03)$, p=0.005 and HR=1.69 (95% CI, 1.2-2.4), p=0.004 respectively. NT-proBNP was not associated with 1 year mortality.

Forest plot representation analysis of the interaction between IGFBP2 prognostic value and key clinical data (sodium, creatinine, hs-CRP, ALT, NT-proBNP, gender and age) did not show evidence of heterogeneity with p values over 0.05 (**Supplementary figure 2B and Supplementary Table 2**).

Adding IGFBP2 levels to a clinical model (including age, gender, sodium, ALT, hs-CRP, creatinine) allowed a significant reclassification of HF patients for 1 year survival (NRI=18.7% p=0.03), even when NT-proBNP was included in the clinical model 271 (NRI=19.1% p=0.02). NT-proBNP added to the clinical model didn't reclassify patients (NRI=6.2% p=0.458) nor did provide a significant IDI. Furthermore, addition of IGFBP2 273 in the clinical model led to an IDI of 3.9 % ($p=0.02$) which did not remain significant by adding NT-proBNP (IDI=3.8 % p=0.05) analysis (**Table 3B**).

Discussion

In a mixed cohort of 870 HF patients with different acuity profiles, ranging from the emergency department acute decompensated HF patient, to stable chronic HF patients, we describe the relationship between elevated IGFBP2 levels and the prognosis for both acute and long-term outcomes. The association between IGFBP2 levels and prognosis was independent of, and complementary to the standard of care prognostic natriuretic peptide markers measured in each cohort.

This international 3 cohorts design results in broad variations of underlying standard of care treatments that are likely to result in confounders between outcomes and IGFBP2 levels in and of themselves. However, this variation and our consistent results provide reassurance that our findings are the consequence of underlying pathology and not simply treatment effects. This supports the utility of IGFBP2 in that its prognostic capacity remains valid despite potentially confounding clinical situations, treatments, and range of acuity. Finally, the potential value of IGFBP2 must be considered in light of prior work [12] that has shown that IGFBP2 has better diagnostic discrimination for HF than natriuretic peptides. Natriuretic peptides are in most studies adding prognostic value to clinical models. However, use of natriuretic peptides for clinical improvement needs further studies [26, 27]. Thus, the combination of both diagnostic and prognostic

capacities that are equivalent or even superior to natruretic peptides suggests that IGFBP2 has potential utility in altering treatment of patients pending results of future trials.

In this analysis, it must be considered that the median value of IGFBP2 was different in the chronic and acute HF cohorts. In ED patients, a population most likely to have the greatest acuity at presentation, the median level was the highest (393 ng/ml). This compares to the lower and similar levels in the chronic stable and outpatient cardiomyopathy clinic patients (median levels of 165 and 172 ng/ml, respectively). These findings support the concept that IGFBP2 levels are influenced by the clinical characteristics of the cohorts. Ultimately our results are consistent with the expectation that an accurate diagnostic and prognostic biomarker would be affected by the severity of illness of the evaluated population.

It is known that IGFBP2 is expressed in renal tissue [28]. Moreover, few studies have correlated IGFBP2 plasmatic levels to renal function in pathological conditions, like diabetes [29] or chronic renal failure [30]. However, in Toulouse University Hospital and Atlanta Outpatient HF cohorts IGFBP2 was a strong mortality predictor (HR=2.14, p=0.002) and creatinine level was not (HR=0.99, p=0.49; Table 2 and Supplementary Figure 2A). Furthermore in Maastricht ED cohort (Table 3 and Supplementary Figure 2B), IGFBP2 displayed a elevated HR (HR=1.64, p=0.006) whereas creatinine level was not providing a strong HR but significant (HR=1.02; p=0.006). Therefore, if renal function influence on IGFBP2 levels cannot be excluded, in our cohort the renal failure patients were excluded from recruitment, the pronostic value of IGFBP2 remains strong in HF patients. Furthermore, IGFBP2 prognostic value tested in interaction Forest plot and calculation of the interaction p-value did not show evidence of heterogeneity. A recent meta-analysis of IGFBPs plasma levels in 16,024 men from 22 studies showed that blood IGFBP2 levels fluctuate in non HF patients [31] but remain very low compared to patients with acute or chronic heart failure. We observed that IGFBP2 plasma concentration can be as low a few ng/ml (5-10 ng/ml) in healthy individuals and can rise

up to over 3000 ng/ml in very severe heart failure patients [12]. These observations are in favor of a possible use of IGFBP2 in the clinic.

It is well known that many of the currently available HF biomarkers display, to some extent, prognostic capacities. For instance, in a meta-analysis of 19 studies evaluating natriuretic peptides for death or cardiovascular events, BNP, NT-proBNP, and ANP were shown to have prognostic value in HF. They report that a BNP increase of 100 pg/ml in HF was associated with a 35% elevation of the relative risk of death [32]. A more recent meta-analysis of seventy-nine studies, with a follow-up interval from 14 days to 7 years, showed that higher levels of BNP or NT-proBNP on admission were associated with greater risk of mortality, and that a post-admission decrease was associated with a better outcome [33]. In our analysis, natriuretic peptides were predictor of mortality in the chronic heart failure cohorts (Atlanta and Toulouse) but not in the Maastricht Emergency 333 Department cohort (HR = 1.31 (95% CI 0.91-1.89); p=0.144). The reason may be that this cohort contained only 51 % of patients with a left ventricular ejection fraction below 40% (as is common in the ED population), whereas the chronic heart failure cohorts had higher rates of reduced EF (76 % and 60 % for Toulouse and Atlanta, respectively). This may explain that, despite a rise in NT-proBNP for some patients, NT-proBNP levels were globally lowered for this cohort and therefore its prognostic value was impaired.

These observations lead us to propose that future trials include serial analysis of biomarker levels and direct comparison of available biomarkers and IGFBP2 for outcome prediction. An evaluation of the serial assessment of IGFBP2 is needed to determine if level change occurs with alterations in clinical status[23, 34].

[35][36][37][38][39]In the present study IGFBP2 provides a very high NRI (20 to 40 % range) and rather high IDI (2.9 to 4.2 %), for both acute and chronic heart failure patients. The high NRI and IDI confirm high clinical relevance of IGFBP2 for prognosis and

supports the hypothesis that patients with high IGFBP2 levels could have improved and adapted care to avoid their predicted poor outcomes.

IGFBP2 has essentially a role by its in vivo regulation of the activity of Insulin Growth Factor 1 (IGF1), by interacting with membrane receptors, such as the PTP β receptor, whose inhibition by IGFBP2 prevents inactivation of the pathway PI3K / Akt, stimulated by IGF1, resulting in a pro-proliferative effect and anti-apoptotic [34]. On the other hand higher IGFBP2 concentrations results in inhibition of this pathway [35, 36].

IGF1 is involved in left ventricular remodeling, associated with an increase in left ventricular end-diastolic volume and a resulting increase in systolic ejection volume of the cardiac output when injected into the rat or man [37, 38]. Moreover, IGF1 addition showed in vitro the proliferation of cardiomyocytes favoring this left ventricular hypertrophy [39].

Finally, IGFBP2 has an activity unrelated to the modulation of IGF, as positive regulator of gene expression, since its nuclear translocation under oxidative stress led to a parallel increase in VEGF expression [40]. As a consequence, IGFBP2 has a pro-proliferative and anti-apoptotic effects mediated by VEGF.

IGFBP2 may act as a modulator of left ventricular remodeling, promoting beneficial remodeling for left ventricular function, where sustained activation over time of IGF1 could switch this remodeling mechanism to a deleterious mode, with too much dilation altering left ventricular contractility according to Frank-Starling's law. IGFBP2's role in cardiac remodeling needs to be further investigated in animal models of heart dysfunction and failure.

This study is not without limitations. As a retrospective analysis, our findings are limited to hypothesis generating associations. Second, as clinicians were blinded to the IGFBP2 results, no statement on how IGFBP2 levels could affect outcomes by changes in therapy can be considered. Third, since we used retrospective cohorts with cardiovascular mortality records only we could not provide all-cause mortality influence. Fourth, hospitalization prediction was not studied in this work h. Fifth, we did not compare IGFBP2 prognosis value to the one provided by other biomarkers such as cardiac troponins or sST2. However, prognosis value comparison of natriuretic peptides with these biomarkers was described previously [41].

377 Finally, further prospective multicenter studies investigating the benefit of IGFBP2 378 measurement on patients outcome and defining the consequence of confounding 379 conditions for IGFBP2 levels are needed before IGFBP2 can be uniformly adopted in the

380 clinic.

381 **Conclusions:**

382 IGFBP2 provides strong prognostic information for cardiovascular mortality risk in

383 patients with HF. Further studies are needed to demonstrate that knowledge of IGFBP2

384 levels during patient care will result in improved outcomes.

385

386 **REFERENCES**

387 [1] Maison P, Desamericq G, Hemery F, Elie N, Del'volgo A, Dubois-Rande JL, et al.

388 Relationship between recommended chronic heart failure treatments and mortality over 389 8 years in real-world conditions: a pharmacoepidemiological study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 390 2013;69:901-8.

- 391 [2] Gheorghiade M, Vaduganathan M, Fonarow GC, Bonow RO. Rehospitalization for 392 heart failure: problems and perspectives. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61:391-403.
- 393 [3] Adams KF, Jr., Felker GM, Fraij G, Patterson JH, O'Connor CM. Biomarker guided 394 therapy for heart failure: focus on natriuretic peptides. Heart Fail Rev. 2010;15:351-70.
- 395 [4] Rocchiccioli JP, McMurray JJ, Dominiczak AF. Biomarkers in heart failure: a clinical 396 review. Heart Fail Rev. 2010;15:251-73.
- 397 [5] de Boer RA, Daniels LB, Maisel AS, Januzzi JL, Jr. State of the Art: Newer biomarkers in 398 heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. 2015;17:559-69.
- 399 [6] Coste J, Pouchot J. A grey zone for quantitative diagnostic and screening tests. Int J 400 Epidemiol. 2003;32:304-13.
- 401 [7] Richter B, Koller L, Hohensinner PJ, Zorn G, Brekalo M, Berger R, et al. A multi-402 biomarker risk score improves prediction of long-term mortality in patients with 403 advanced heart failure. Int J Cardiol. 2013;168:1251-7.
- 404 [8] Apple FS, Murakami MM, Pearce LA, Herzog CA. Multi-biomarker risk stratification of 405 N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, and
- 406 cardiac troponin T and I in end-stage renal disease for all-cause death. Clin Chem. 407 2004;50:2279-85.
- 408 [9] Haywood NJ, Slater TA, Matthews CJ, Wheatcroft SB. The insulin like growth factor 409 and binding protein family: Novel therapeutic targets in obesity & diabetes. Mol Metab. 410 2019;19:86-96.
- 411 [10] Kang HS, Kim MY, Kim SJ, Lee JH, Kim YD, Seo YK, et al. Regulation of IGFBP-2 412 expression during fasting. Biochem J. 2015;467:453-60.
- 413 [11] Wheatcroft SB, Kearney MT. IGF-dependent and IGF-independent actions of IGF-
- 414 binding protein-1 and -2: implications for metabolic homeostasis. Trends Endocrinol 415 Metab. 2009;20:153-62.
- 416 [12] Berry M, Galinier M, Delmas C, Fournier P, Desmoulin F, Turkieh A, et al. Proteomics 417 analysis reveals IGFBP2 as a candidate diagnostic biomarker for heart failure. IJC 418 Metabolic & Endocrine. 2015;6:5–12.
- 419 [13] Ricort JM. Insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP) signalling. Growth 420 Horm IGF Res. 2004;14:277-86.
- 421 [14] Pintar JE, Schuller A, Cerro JA, Czick M, Grewal A, Green B. Genetic ablation of
- 422 IGFBP-2 suggests functional redundancy in the IGFBP family. Prog Growth Factor Res. 423 1995;6:437-45.
- 424 [15] Hedbacker K, Birsoy K, Wysocki RW, Asilmaz E, Ahima RS, Farooqi IS, et al. 425 Antidiabetic effects of IGFBP2, a leptin-regulated gene. Cell Metab. 2010;11:11-22.
- 426 [16] Duerr RL, Huang S, Miraliakbar HR, Clark R, Chien KR, Ross J, Jr. Insulin-like growth 427 factor-1 enhances ventricular hypertrophy and function during the onset of 428 experimental cardiac failure. J Clin Invest. 1995;95:619-27.
- 429 [17] Jin H, Yang R, Gillett N, Clark RG, Ko A, Paoni NF. Beneficial effects of growth 430 hormone and insulin-like growth factor-1 in experimental heart failure in rats treated 431 with chronic ACE inhibition. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol. 1995;26:420-5.
- 432 [18] Yang R, Bunting S, Gillett N, Clark R, Jin H. Growth hormone improves cardiac 433 performance in experimental heart failure. Circulation. 1995;92:262-7.
- 434 [19] Fazio S, Sabatini D, Capaldo B, Vigorito C, Giordano A, Guida R, et al. A preliminary 435 study of growth hormone in the treatment of dilated cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med. 436 1996;334:809-14.
- 437 [20] Genth-Zotz S, Zotz R, Geil S, Voigtlander T, Meyer J, Darius H. Recombinant growth
- 438 hormone therapy in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy : effects on hemodynamics,
- 439 left ventricular function, and cardiopulmonary exercise capacity. Circulation. 440 1999;99:18-21.
- 441 [21] Lee WL, Chen JW, Ting CT, Lin SJ, Wang PH. Changes of the insulin-like growth factor
- 442 I system during acute myocardial infarction: implications on left ventricular remodeling. 443 J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1999;84:1575-81.
- 444 [22] Conti E, Andreotti F, Sciahbasi A, Riccardi P, Marra G, Menini E, et al. Markedly
- 445 reduced insulin-like growth factor-1 in the acute phase of myocardial infarction. J Am 446 Coll Cardiol. 2001;38:26-32.
- 447 [23] Koukoui F, Desmoulin F, Galinier M, Barutaut M, Caubere C, Evaristi MF, et al. The 448 prognostic value of plasma galectin-3 in chronic heart failure patients is maintained 449 when treated with mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists. PLoS One. 450 2015;10:e0119160.
- 451 [24] Eurlings LW, Sanders-van Wijk S, van Kimmenade R, Osinski A, van Helmond L, 452 Vallinga M, et al. Multimarker strategy for short-term risk assessment in patients with 453 dyspnea in the emergency department: the MARKED (Multi mARKer Emergency 454 Dyspnea)-risk score. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;60:1668-77.
- 455 [25] Marti CN, Georgiopoulou VV, Giamouzis G, Cole RT, Deka A, Tang WH, et al. Patient-456 reported selective adherence to heart failure self-care recommendations: a prospective
- 457 cohort study: the Atlanta Cardiomyopathy Consortium. Congest Heart Fail. 2013;19:16-
- 458 24.
- 459 [26] Don-Wauchope AC, Santaguida PL, Oremus M, McKelvie R, Ali U, Brown JA, et al. 460 Incremental predictive value of natriuretic peptides for prognosis in the chronic stable 461 heart failure population: a systematic review. Heart Fail Rev. 2014;19:521-40.
- 462 [27] Pufulete M, Maishman R, Dabner L, Higgins JPT, Rogers CA, Dayer M, et al. B-type
- 463 natriuretic peptide-guided therapy for heart failure (HF): a systematic review and meta-464 analysis of individual participant data (IPD) and aggregate data. Syst Rev. 2018;7:112.
- 465 [28] Fujinaka H, Katsuyama K, Yamamoto K, Nameta M, Yoshida Y, Yaoita E, et al. 466 Expression and localization of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins in normal and
- 467 proteinuric kidney glomeruli. Nephrology (Carlton). 2010;15:700-9.
- 468 [29] Narayanan RP, Fu B, Heald AH, Siddals KW, Oliver RL, Hudson JE, et al. IGFBP2 is a 469 biomarker for predicting longitudinal deterioration in renal function in type 2 diabetes. 470 Endocr Connect. 2012;1:95-102.
- 471 [30] Fouque D, Le Bouc Y, Laville M, Combarnous F, Joly MO, Raton P, et al. Insulin-like 472 growth factor-1 and its binding proteins during a low-protein diet in chronic renal 473 failure. J Am Soc Nephrol. 1995;6:1427-33.
- 474 [31] Watts EL, Perez-Cornago A, Appleby PN, Albanes D, Ardanaz E, Black A, et al. The
- 475 associations of anthropometric, behavioural and sociodemographic factors with 476 circulating concentrations of IGF-I, IGF-II, IGFBP-1, IGFBP-2 and IGFBP-3 in a pooled 477 analysis of 16,024 men from 22 studies. Int J Cancer. 2019.
- 478 [32] Doust JA, Pietrzak E, Dobson A, Glasziou P. How well does B-type natriuretic peptide
- 479 predict death and cardiac events in patients with heart failure: systematic review. BMJ. 480 2005;330:625.
- 481 [33] Santaguida PL, Don-Wauchope AC, Oremus M, McKelvie R, Ali U, Hill SA, et al. BNP 482 and NT-proBNP as prognostic markers in persons with acute decompensated heart 483 failure: a systematic review. Heart Fail Rev. 2014;19:453-70.
- 484 [34] Sharples AP, Al-Shanti N, Hughes DC, Lewis MP, Stewart CE. The role of insulin-like-485 growth factor binding protein 2 (IGFBP2) and phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) 486 in the regulation of myoblast differentiation and hypertrophy. Growth Horm IGF Res.
- 487 2013;23:53-61. 488 [35] Hoeflich A, Nedbal S, Blum WF, Erhard M, Lahm H, Brem G, et al. Growth inhibition 489 in giant growth hormone transgenic mice by overexpression of insulin-like growth
- 490 factor-binding protein-2. Endocrinology. 2001;142:1889-98.
- 491 [36] Shen X, Xi G, Maile LA, Wai C, Rosen CJ, Clemmons DR. Insulin-like growth factor 492 (IGF) binding protein 2 functions coordinately with receptor protein tyrosine 493 phosphatase beta and the IGF-I receptor to regulate IGF-I-stimulated signaling. Mol Cell 494 Biol. 2012;32:4116-30.
- 495 [37] Donath MY, Sutsch G, Yan XW, Piva B, Brunner HP, Glatz Y, et al. Acute
- 496 cardiovascular effects of insulin-like growth factor I in patients with chronic heart failure. 497 J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1998;83:3177-83.
- 498 [38] Osterziel KJ, Ranke MB, Strohm O, Dietz R. The somatotrophic system in patients 499 with dilated cardiomyopathy: relation of insulin-like growth factor-1 and its alterations 500 during growth hormone therapy to cardiac function. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2000;53:61-8.
- 501 [39] McDevitt TC, Laflamme MA, Murry CE. Proliferation of cardiomyocytes derived from
- 502 human embryonic stem cells is mediated via the IGF/PI 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway. J 503 Mol Cell Cardiol. 2005;39:865-73.
- 504 [40] Azar WJ, Azar SH, Higgins S, Hu JF, Hoffman AR, Newgreen DF, et al. IGFBP-2 505 enhances VEGF gene promoter activity and consequent promotion of angiogenesis by
- 506 neuroblastoma cells. Endocrinology. 2011;152:3332-42.
- 507 [41] Mallick A, Januzzi JL, Jr. Biomarkers in acute heart failure. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed). 508 2015;68:514-25.
- 509

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: (A) **Kaplan-Meyer survival curves for the combined Toulouse University**

- **Hospital and Atlanta Outpatient HF cohorts**. Significance of stratification according to
- the plasma IGFBP2 quartile group. Cardiovascular mortality trend was evaluated with a Log-rank test. HR: hazard ratio Q: quartile.

(B) Kaplan-Meyer survival curves for the Maastricht University hospital cohort according to the plasma IGFBP2 quartile group. Significance of stratification of patients according to IGFBP2 quartile to estimate mortality trend was evaluated with a Log-rank test. HR: hazard ratio. Q: quartile.

FIGURE 1

Table 1

Demographic and clinical characteristics of dataset, stratified by site

*hs-CRP † Atlanta cardiomyopathy consortium cohort was 51% white, 45% African American and 63% of the 306 patients had ICD/CRT device.

Table 2

Combined Toulouse University Hospital and Atlanta Outpatient HF cohorts,

(A) Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis

* Adjusted model for age, gender, diabetes status, creatinine, BNP and IGFBP2, significance level of overall model fit p=0.0002 with 23% number events for a total number of cases of 503.

[†] Stepwise method for selection of better predictors (enter variable if $p \le 0.1$, remove variable if $p > 0.2$).

‡ HR=1 (referent) cases with concentration lower than the 75th percentile i.e. 391 ng/ml and 274 ng/ml for IGFBP2 and 672 pg/ml and 659 pg/ml for BNP, in Toulouse University Hospital and Atlanta Outpatient HF cohorts, respectively. Q: quartile.

(B) Net Reclassification Improvement (NRI) analysis and Integrated Discrimination Improvement (IDI).

Model	$NRI(\%)$	NRI <i>p</i> value	$\mathbf{IDI}(\%)$	IDI p value
Model $1* + BNP^*$	$27.6*1$	0.04	<u>1.6</u>	0.06
	25.7 \$2	0.03	<u>1.9</u>	0.03
	27.3 \$3	0.04	3.0	0.01
Model 1^* + IGFBP2 ^{\ddagger}	40.4 $1	0.01	2.9	0.0005
	31.2 \$2	0.04	3.1	0.0005
	31.5 \$3	0.02	$\overline{4.2}$	0.0005
Model 2^+ + IGFBP2 ^{\ddag}	40.4 $1 25.9 \$2 28.5 \$3	0.0005 0.04 0.01	2.9 3.0 3.9	0.01 0.01

* Model 1 includes the following parameters: age, gender, diabetes status and creatinine (µmol/l). [†] Model 2 includes Model 1 with BNP[‡] level. [‡] Plasmatic values dichotomized as =0 if inferior or $=1$ if superior or equal to the 75th percentile i.e 659 pg/ml and 672 pg/ml for BNP in Atlanta and Toulouse cohort, respectively, and 274 ng/ml and 391 ng/ml for IGFBP2 in Atlanta and Toulouse cohort, respectively. $$1, $2, $3$$ follow-up time of 1, 2 or 3years.

Table 3

 (A) Maastricht ED cohort, multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis.

* Adjusted model for age, gender, ALT, hs-CRP, creatinine, NT-proBNP and IGFBP2, significance level of overall model fit p<0.0001 with 46% number events for a total number of cases of 367.

[†] Stepwise method for selection of better predictors (enter variable if $p \le 0.1$, remove variable if $p > 0.2$).

 \pm HR=1 (referent) cases with concentration lower than the 75th percentile i.e. 551 ng/ml and 1459 pg/ml for IGFBP2 and NT-proBNP, respectively.

(B) Maastricht ED cohort, Net Reclassification Improvement (NRI) analysis and Integrated Discrimination Improvement (IDI).

Model	$NRI(\%)$	NRI <i>p</i> value	$IDI(\%)$	IDI p value
Model 1^* + NT-proBNP (pg/ml)	6.2	0.458	0.3	0.58
Model 1^* + IGFBP2 (ng/ml)	18.7	0.03	3.9	0.02
Model 2^+ + IGFBP2 (ng/ml)	19.1	0.02	3.8	0.05

* Model 1 includes the following parameters: age, gender, sodium (mmol/L), ALT (U/ml), hs CRP (mg/l) and creatinine (μ mol/l).

† Model 2 includes Model 1 and NT-proBNP (pg/ml).

1-year follow-up.