

Milnor-Witt cycle modules Niels Feld

▶ To cite this version:

Niels Feld. Milnor-Witt cycle modules. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 2020, 224, pp.106298 -. $10.1016/\rm{j}.\rm{jpaa}.2019.106298$. hal-03489554

HAL Id: hal-03489554 https://hal.science/hal-03489554v1

Submitted on 7 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Milnor-Witt Cycle Modules

NIELS FELD^{*†}

$Q4 \ 2018$

Abstract

We generalize Rost's theory of cycle modules [Ros96] using the Milnor-Witt K-theory instead of the classical Milnor K-theory. We obtain a (quadratic) setting to study general cycle complexes and their (co)homology groups. The standard constructions are developed: proper pushfoward, (essentially) smooth pullback, long exact sequences, spectral sequences and products, as well as the homotopy invariance property; in addition, Gysin morphisms for lci morphisms are constructed. We prove an adjunction theorem linking our theory to Rost's. This work extends Schmid's thesis [Sch98].

Keywords— Cycle modules, Milnor-Witt K-theory, Chow-Witt groups, A1-homotopy MSC— 14C17, 14C35, 11E81

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Main Example: the Milnor-Witt K-theory	5
3	Milnor-Witt Cycle Premodules	9
4	Milnor-Witt Cycle Modules	14
5	The Five Basic Maps	16
6	Compatibilities	18
7	Milnor-Witt Cycle Complexes and Chow-Witt Groups	20
8	Acyclicity for Smooth Local Rings	21
9	Homotopy Invariance	23
10	Gysin Morphisms	24
11	Products	29
12	Adjunction between MW-Cycle Modules and Rost Cycle Modules	31
\mathbf{A}	Virtual Objects	36

^{*}Address: Institut Fourier, 100 Rue des Mathématiques, Grenoble, France. †E-mail address: <niels.feld@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr>.

1 Introduction

Historical approach

Back in the nineties, Rost developed the theory of cycle modules [Ros96] which was used in the famous proof of Milnor conjecture by Voevodsky (see [SV00] or [Voe11]). Indeed, a crucial step in the proof was the construction of the motive associated to some quadratic forms [Ros98]. In [Ros96], a cycle module M over a perfect field k is the data of a Z-graded abelian group M(E) for every finitely generated field extension E/k, equipped with restriction maps, (finite) corestriction maps, a Milnor K-theory module action and residue maps ∂ . These data are subject to some axioms $(r1a), \ldots, (r3e)$ such that Milnor K-theory groups form a Rost cycle module. From the formal definitions, Rost produced a general theory that encompassed previous ones (Quillen K-theory and étale cohomology, for instance). Moreover, Rost's theory is fundamentally linked with the theory of motives: cycle modules can be realized geometrically. This can be illustrated by the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Déglise). [Dé03] Let k be a perfect field. The category of Rost cycle modules over k is equivalent to the heart of the category of Voevodsky's motives $DM(k,\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the homotopy t-structure.

The idea of \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory, due to Morel and Voevodsky, was to apply techniques from algebraic topology to the study of schemes (the affine line \mathbb{A}^1 playing the role of the unit interval [0,1]). This idea gave rise to many important results and new categories, such as $DM(k,\mathbb{Z})$ mentioned above and SH(k), the stable homotopy category [MV99].

In his study of SH(k), Morel (in joint work with Hopkins) defined for a field E the Milnor-Witt K-theory $\mathbf{K}^{MW}_{*}(E)$ (see [Mor12, Definition 3.1]). This \mathbb{Z} -graded abelian group behaves in positive degrees like Milnor K-theory groups $\mathbf{K}^{M}_{n}(E)$, and in non-positive degrees like Grothendieck-Witt and Witt groups of quadratic forms GW(E) and W(E). The Milnor-Witt K-theory was used for solving some splitting problems for projective modules. For instance, generalizing ideas from the theory of Chow groups, one can use the Rost-Schmid complex of Morel to define the Chow-Witt groups $\widetilde{CH}^{*}(X)$ for a smooth k-scheme X (recalled in 7.5), and the Euler class of a vector bundle of rank r over X (with a given trivialization of its determinant) as an element in $\widetilde{CH}^{r}(X)$. When $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ is a 2-dimensional smooth affine variety and r = 2, Barge and Morel proved in [BM00] that the Euler class associated to a projective module P of rank 2 over A vanishes if and only if $P \simeq P' \oplus A$ for some projective module P'.

Current and future work

In this paper, we develop a conjectured¹ theory that studies general cycle complexes $C^*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$ and their (co)homology groups $A^*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$ (called *Chow-Witt groups with coefficients*) in a quadratic² setting. The general coefficient systems M for these complexes are called Milnor-Witt cycle modules. The main example of such a cycle module is given by the Milnor-Witt K-theory (see Theorem 4.13); other examples can be deduced from Claim 4, Example 4.7 or Theorem 12.5 (e.g. the representability of hermitian K-theory in SH(k) will lead to a MW-cycle module, associated with hermitian K-theory). A major difference with Rost's theory is that the grading to be considered is not \mathbb{Z} but the category of virtual bundles (or, equivalently, the category of virtual vector spaces), where a virtual bundle \mathcal{V} is, roughly speaking, the data of an integer n and a line bundle \mathcal{L} (see Appendix A).

Intuitively, Milnor-Witt cycle modules are given by (twisted) graded abelian groups equipped with extra data (restriction, corestriction, \underline{K}^{MW} -action and residue maps). The difficult part was to find good axioms mimicking Rost's and taking into account the twists naturally arising in the non-oriented setting (virtual vector bundles play a major role). One important difference with the construction of Rost cycle modules [Ros96, Definition 1.1] is that we only need a weakened rule R1c: we do not

¹See [Ros96, Remark 2.6] and [Mor12, Remark 5.37].

²Or rather: symmetric bilinear, since we allow fields of characteristic 2.

consider multiplicities (we will show in future work that formulas involving multiplicities do hold as a consequence of our axioms). Moreover, we had to add another rule in our definition in order to link our theory with the classical one later (see R4a and Section 12).

Following [Ros96, §3], we define the necessary operations needed on: pushforwards, pullbacks, multiplication with units and the motivic Hopf map η , and boundary maps. Since our rules do not handle multiplicities, we first define pullbacks only for (essentially) smooth morphisms. We prove the usual functoriality theorems and show how to compute the (co)homology groups in special cases.

We can summarize the results as follows:

Theorem 2. (See Section 5 and Section 6) For any scheme X, any virtual bundle \mathcal{V}_X and any Milnor-Witt cycle module M, there is a complex $C^*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$ equipped with pushforwards, pullbacks, a Milnor-Witt K-theory action and residue maps satisfying the standard functoriality properties.

This formalism generalizes Schmid's thesis [Sch98] and provides more details to Morel's work [Mor12], regarding twists by virtual vector bundles.

An example of an application is as follows. Consider the localization sequence:

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{CH}}_p(Z) \to \widetilde{\operatorname{CH}}_p(X) \to \widetilde{\operatorname{CH}}_p(X \setminus Z),$$

where $Z \subset X$ is a closed subvariety. Milnor-Witt cycle modules can be used to extend this exact sequence on the left and right, this is done in Section 7.

In Section 8, we prove a result similar to the Gersten Conjecture: the cohomology groups $A^p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$ are trivial when X is a smooth semi-local scheme, \mathcal{V}_X a virtual bundle over X and p > 0. The proof is akin to Rost's Theorem 6.1 [Ros96] and follows the classical ideas of Gabber and Panin.

Moreover, we prove the much expected homotopy invariance property, framing our work in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory:

Theorem 3. (see Theorem 9.4) Let X be a scheme, V a vector bundle over $X, \pi : V \to X$ the canonical projection and \mathcal{V}_X a virtual vector bundle over X. Then, for every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the canonical morphism

$$\pi^*: A^q(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to A^q(V, M, -\mathcal{T}_{V/X} + \mathcal{V}_V)$$

is an isomorphism.

Our proof of this theorem does not follow Rost's ideas. Indeed, in his original paper [Ros96, §7], Rost constructs a cycle module $A^q[\rho, M]$ from any cycle module M and any scheme morphism $\rho: Q \to B$, then uses a spectral sequence involving this new cycle module to prove the theorem. We could not easily extend the construction to our setting for some reasons related to twists. However, following ideas of Déglise (see [Dé14, §2]), we define a coniveau spectral sequence that helps us to reduce to the known case (see (H)). Note that we could also prove the result by giving a homotopy inverse on the complex level (as Rost did in [Ros96, §8]; see also [Mor12, Theorem 4.38]).

In [Ros96, §12], Rost defines pullback maps f^* (or *Gysin morphisms*) for morphisms $f: X \to Y$ between smooth schemes. In Section 10, we do the same but for a more general set of maps, the classical local complete intersection (lci) morphisms, between possibly singular schemes. We prove functoriality and base change theorems which will prove to be useful later when considering multiplicities.

In Section 11, we construct products in our setting. Using the Gysin morphisms, we define then an intersection product on the homology groups of smooth schemes. In particular, we recover the intersection product already defined for Chow-Witt groups (see [Fas18, §3.4]). We will use these notions to study the multiplicities naturally arising. Note however that we do not define a tensor product between Milnor-Witt cycle modules (but it can be obtained from Claim 4 below).

In future work, we will prove that Milnor-Witt cycle modules have a geometric interpretation in SH(k) as expected:

Claim 4. Let k be a perfect field. The category of Milnor-Witt cycle modules is equivalent to the heart of the Morel-Voevodsky stable homotopy category (with respect to the homotopy t-structure):

$$\mathfrak{M}_k^{MW} \simeq \mathrm{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit}.$$

This result generalizes Theorem 1 and answers affirmatively an old conjecture of Morel (see [Mor12, Remark 2.49]). Note that we already have a description of the heart $SH(k)^{\heartsuit}$ in terms of homotopy modules (which are strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant Nisnevich sheaves with an additional structure defined over the category of smooth schemes, see [Mor03, §5.2] or [Dé11, §1]). Cycle modules may be easier to work with since they are defined over fields. An important corollary of Claim 4^3 is the following result (which was proved independently by Ananyevskiy and Neshitov in [AN18, Theorem 8.12]):

Corollary 5. Let k be a perfect field. The heart of the Morel-Voevodsky stable homotopy category is equivalent to the heart of the category of MW-motives [DF18] (both equipped with their respective homotopy t-structures):

$$\mathrm{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit} \simeq \widetilde{\mathrm{DM}}(k)^{\heartsuit}$$

In a forthcoming work, we will generalize the theory of Milnor-Witt cycle modules over k to any base scheme S. We will also define general flat pullbacks and study the associated multiplicities.

Outline of the paper

In Section 2, we recall some known properties of the Milnor-Witt K-theory (residue maps, specialization maps, transfer maps, homotopy invariance). Our main references are the original work of Morel [Mor12, §2] and also work of Calmès-Fasel (see [Fas18]). This will constitute our central example of a Milnor-Witt cycle module.

In Section 3 and Section 4, we define the main objects of our theory: Milnor-Witt cycle modules. These are Milnor-Witt cycle premodules satisfying two axioms (FD) and (C) which allow the associated complexes to have well-defined differentials.

In Section 5 and Section 6, we define the basic operations needed further on: pushforwards, pullbacks, multiplication by units and the motivic Hopf map η , and boundary maps. We prove the basic compatibility properties for these operations.

In Section 7, we define homology groups called *Chow-Witt groups with coefficients* and describe how they are related to the classical Chow-Witt groups. We show how to compute these groups in some special cases in Section 8 and Section 9.

In Section 10, we define Gysin pullbacks for regular closed immersions and lci morphisms. We then proceed to construct products on the level of complexes in Section 11.

In Section 12, we define a pair of functors between our category of Milnor-Witt cycle modules and Rost's category of classical cycle modules. We can infer from Claim 4 that this is an adjunction but, for completeness, we give an elementary proof of this fact (conditionally to Claim 3.10 whose proof is postponed to another paper).

Finally, in Appendix A, we show how to define the category of virtual objects associated to an exact category and recall its basic properties (see [Del87, §4]).

Notation

Throughout the paper, we fix a (commutative) field k and we assume moreover that k is perfect (of arbitrary characteristic). We consider only schemes that are noetherian and essentially of finite type⁴ over k. All schemes and morphisms of schemes are defined over k.

³This result seems closely related to the work of Bachmann and Yakerson. Indeed, in [BY18], the authors construct (for a strictly homotopy invariant sheaf M, a smooth k-scheme X and a natural number q) a cycle complex $C^*(X, M, q)$ which may be compared to the complex defined in Section 5.

⁴That is, isomorphic to a limit of finite type schemes with affine étale transition maps.

By a field E over k, we mean a finitely generated extension of fields E/k.

We denote by \mathbb{F}_k the category of fields over k (with obvious morphisms).

If A is a commutative ring, denote by $\mathbb{V}(A)$ the category of projective A-modules of finite type and $\mathfrak{V}(A)$ the category of virtual projective A-modules of finite type (see [Del87, §4] which uses the notation $\underline{K}(A)$; see also Appendix A for more details). Recall that there is a *contravariant* equivalence functor from $\mathbb{V}(A)$ to the category of vector bundles over $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ (we follow the conventions of [GD71, §9]). We will sometimes go from one category to the other without mentioning this functor.

Let $f: X \to S$ be a morphism of schemes and \mathcal{V}_S be a virtual bundle over S. We denote by \mathcal{V}_X or by $f^*\mathcal{V}_S$ or by $\mathcal{V}_S \times_S X$ the pullback of \mathcal{V}_S along f.

Now let \mathfrak{F}_k be the category whose objects are couples (E, \mathcal{V}_E) where E is a field over k and $\mathcal{V}_E \in \mathfrak{V}(E)$ is a virtual vector space (of finite dimension over E). A morphism $(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$ is the data of a morphism $E \to F$ of fields over k and an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_E \otimes_E F \simeq \mathcal{V}_F$ of virtual F-vector spaces⁵.

A morphism $(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$ in \mathfrak{F}_k is said to be finite (resp. separable) if the field extension F/E is finite (resp. separable).

Let F/E be a field extension, we denote by $\Omega_{F/E}$ the F-vector space of relative (Kähler) differentials. We use the same notation to denote its canonical image in the category of virtual vector spaces. Dually, let X/S be a scheme morphism, we denote by $\mathcal{T}_{X/S}$ the sheaf of modules of differentials.

Let *E* be a field (over *k*) and *v* a (discrete) valuation on *E*. We denote by \mathcal{O}_v its valuation ring, by \mathfrak{m}_v its maximal ideal and by $\kappa(v)$ its residue field. We consider only valuations on *E* of *geometric type*, that is we assume: $k \subset \mathcal{O}_v$, the residue field $\kappa(v)$ is finitely generated over *k* and satisfies tr. $\deg_k(\kappa(v)) + 1 = \operatorname{tr.} \deg_k(E)$ (in particular, *E* cannot be *k*).

Let E be a field and v be a valuation on E. We denote by \mathcal{N}_v the $\kappa(v)$ -vector space $\mathfrak{m}_v/\mathfrak{m}_v^2$ and call it the normal bundle of v.

For E a field (resp. X a scheme), denote by \mathbb{A}^1_E (resp. \mathbb{A}^1_X) the virtual vector space of dimension 1 over E (resp. the virtual affine space of rank one over X).

Acknowledgment

I deeply thank my two PhD advisors Frédéric Déglise and Jean Fasel. I would also like to thank the referee for their many insightful comments and suggestions. This work received support from the French "Investissements d'Avenir" program, project ISITE-BFC (contract ANR-IS-IDEX-OOOB).

2 Main Example: the Milnor-Witt K-theory

We describe the Milnor-Witt K-theory, as defined by Morel (see [Mor12, §3] or [Fas18, §1.1]).

Definition 2.1. Let *E* be a field. The Milnor-Witt K-theory algebra of *E* is defined to be the quotient of the free \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra generated by the symbols [a] of degree 1 for any $a \in E^{\times}$ and a symbol η in degree -1 by the following relations:

- [a][1-a] = 0 for any $a \in E^{\times} \setminus \{1\}$.
- $[ab] = [a] + [b] + \eta[a][b]$ for any $a, b \in E^{\times}$.
- $\eta[a] = [a]\eta$ for any $a \in E^{\times}$.
- $\eta(\eta[-1]+2) = 0.$

The relations being homogeneous, the resultant algebra is \mathbb{Z} -graded. We denote it by $\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}_{*}(E)$.

2.2. NOTATION We will use the following notations.

• $[a_1,\ldots,a_n] = [a_1]\ldots[a_n]$ for any $a_1,\ldots,a_n \in E^{\times}$.

⁵This category satisfies a universal property over \mathbb{F}_k (one could generalize [Del87, §4] for fibred categories).

• $\langle a \rangle = 1 + \eta[a]$ for any $a \in E^{\times}$.

•
$$\varepsilon = -\langle -1 \rangle.$$

• $n_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle (-1)^{i-1} \rangle$ for any $n \ge 0$, and $n_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon(-n)_{\varepsilon}$ if n < 0.

2.3. TWISTED MILNOR-WITT K-THEORY Let E be a field and \mathcal{V}_E a virtual vector bundle over E with rank n and determinant \mathcal{L}_E . The group E^{\times} of invertible elements of E acts naturally on \mathcal{L}_E^{\times} , the set of non-zero elements in \mathcal{L}_E ; hence the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}_E^{\times}]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[E^{\times}]$ -module. Define

$$\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{V}_E) = \mathbf{K}_n^{\mathrm{MW}}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E^{\times}]} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}_E^{\times}].$$

By abuse of notation, we might denote by $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ the element $(\boldsymbol{\eta} \otimes 1) \in \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, -\mathbb{A}^1)$ and by [u] the element $([u] \otimes 1) \in \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathbb{A}^1)$ (where $u \in E^{\times}$).

Let \mathcal{V}_E and \mathcal{W}_E be two virtual bundles over E. The product of the Milnor-Witt K-theory groups induces a product

$$\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{W}_E) \to \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{V}_E + \mathcal{W}_E) (x \otimes l, x' \otimes l') \mapsto (xx') \otimes (l \wedge l'),$$

so that $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, -)$ is a lax monoidal functor from the category of virtual bundles over E to the category of abelian groups.

2.4. RESIDUE MORPHISMS (see [Mor12, Theorem 3.15]) Let E be a field endowed with a discrete valuation v. We choose a uniformizing parameter π . As in the classical Milnor K-theory, we can define a residue morphism

$$\partial_v^{\pi} : \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{MW}}_*(E) \to \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{MW}}_{*-1}(\kappa(v))$$

commuting with the multiplication by η and satisfying the following two properties:

- $\partial_v^{\pi}([\pi, a_1, \dots, a_n]) = [\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}]$ for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{O}_v^{\times}$.
- $\partial_v^{\pi}([a_1,\ldots,a_n]) = 0$ for any $a_1,\ldots,a_n \in \mathcal{O}_v^{\times}$.

This morphism does depend on the choice of π . Indeed, if we consider another uniformizer π' and write $\pi' = u\pi$ where u is a unit, then we have $\partial_v^{\pi}(x) = \langle u \rangle \partial_v^{\pi'}(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{MW}}_*(E)$. Nevertheless, by making a choice of isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_v \simeq \mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(v)}$ (where $\mathcal{N}_v = \mathfrak{m}_v/\mathfrak{m}_v^2$ is the normal cone of v), we can define a twisted residue morphism that does not depend on π :

$$\frac{\partial_v : \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(v), -\mathcal{N}_v + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)})}{x \otimes l \mapsto \partial_v^{\pi}(x) \otimes (\bar{\pi}^* \wedge l)}$$

where \mathcal{V} is a virtual vector bundle over \mathcal{O}_v , $\bar{\pi}$ is the canonical projection of π modulo \mathfrak{m}_v and $\bar{\pi}^*$ its canonical associated linear form (see also Example A.3).

We have the following proposition (see [Mor12, Lemma 3.19]).

Proposition 2.5. Let $j : E \subset F$ be a field extension, and let w be a discrete valuation on F which restricts to a discrete valuation v on E with ramification index e. Let $i : \kappa(v) \to \kappa(w)$ be the field extension of the residue fields. Let \mathcal{V} be a virtual vector bundle over \mathcal{O}_v . Then, we have a commutative diagram

where the right vertical map $e_{\varepsilon} \cdot i_*$ is defined by

$$x \otimes \bar{\rho}^* \otimes l \mapsto e_{\varepsilon} i(x) \otimes \bar{\pi}^* \otimes l$$

where π is a uniformizer for w and $\rho = \pi^e$ is a uniformizer for v.

2.6. Specialization MAP (see [Mor12, Lemma 3.16]) Keeping the previous notations, we also have a specialization map

$$s_v^{\pi}: \mathbf{K}_*^{\mathrm{MW}}(E) \to \mathbf{K}_*^{\mathrm{MW}}(\kappa(v))$$

commuting with the multiplication by η and satisfying

$$s_v^{\pi}([\pi^{m_1}a_1,\ldots,\pi^{m_n}a_n]) = [\overline{a_1},\ldots,\overline{a_n}]$$

for any $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{O}_v^{\times}$ and any integers m_1, \ldots, m_n . If π' is another uniformizer, write $\pi' = \pi u$ where u is a unit. Then, for any $x \in \mathbf{K}_*^{\mathrm{MW}}(E)$, we have $s_v^{\pi'}(x) = s_v^{\pi}(x) - [\bar{u}]\partial_v^{\pi'}(x)$.

It is related with the residue map as follows:

$$s_v^{\pi}(\alpha) = \langle -1 \rangle \partial_v^{\pi}([-\pi] \cdot \alpha)$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{MW}}_{*}(E)$. One can define a twisted specialization map (also denoted by s_{v}^{π}) as follows:

$$s_v^{\pi}: \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{V}_E)$$
$$x \otimes l \mapsto s_v^{\pi}(x) \otimes l.$$

It satisfies:

$$s_v^{\pi} = \Theta_{\pi} \circ \partial_v \circ \gamma_{[-\pi]}$$

where $\gamma_{[-\pi]} : \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathbb{A}_E^1 + \mathcal{V}_E)$ is the multiplication by $([-\pi] \otimes 1)$ and where Θ_{π} is induced by the composite of two isomorphisms $-\mathcal{N}_v + \mathbb{A}_E^1 \simeq \mathbb{A}_E^1 + (-\mathcal{N}_v) \simeq 0$ of virtual vector bundles (the first one is the canonical switch isomorphism; the second one comes from the choice of uniformizer π).

2.7. THE HOMOTOPY EXACT SEQUENCE Let E be a field with a virtual vector bundle \mathcal{V}_E , and let E(t) be the function field of E in the variable t. Any point x of codimension 1 in \mathbb{A}^1_E corresponds to a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_x in E[t], generated by a unique irreducible monic polynomial π_x which is a uniformizing parameter of the \mathfrak{m}_x -adic valuation v_x on E(t). Additionally, the valuation v_{∞} at ∞ is given by $v_{\infty}(f/g) = \deg(g) - \deg(f)$ and we can choose (as in [Mor12, §4.2]) the rational function -1/t as uniformizing parameter. For any $a \in E(t)^{\times}$, there is only a finite number of x such that $v_x(a) \neq 0$. Furthermore, since the Milnor-Witt K-theory of E is generated by elements of degree 1 (and η in degree -1), it follows that the total residue map

$$d: \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E(t), \Omega_{E(t)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{E(t)}) \to \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}_{E}^{1})^{(1)}} \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E(x), \Omega_{E(x)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{E(x)})$$

with $d = \sum_x \Theta_x \circ \partial_{v_x}$ is well-defined (where Θ_x comes from the canonical isomorphism $-\mathcal{N}_{v_x} + \Omega_{E(t)/k} \otimes_{E(t)} E(x) \simeq \Omega_{E(x)/k}$ of virtual vector bundles). The following theorem (see [Mor12, Theorem 3.24] for a non-twisted statement) is one of the most fundamental results of Milnor-Witt K-theory. Let $i_* : \underline{K}^{MW}(E, \mathbb{A}^1_E + \Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{V}_E) \to \underline{K}^{MW}(E(t), \Omega_{E(t)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{E(t)})$ be the morphism induced by the field extension $E \subset E(t)$ and the canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{A}^1_{E(t)} + \Omega_{E/k} \otimes_E E(t) \simeq \Omega_{E(t)}$ of virtual vector bundles.

Theorem 2.8 (Homotopy invariance). With the previous notations, the following sequence is split exact

$$0 \to \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathbb{A}_E^1 + \Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{V}_E) \xrightarrow{i_*} \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E(t), \Omega_{E(t)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{E(t)}) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}_E^1)^{(1)}} \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(x), \Omega_{\kappa(x)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(x)}) \to 0.$$

Proof. See [Mor12, Theorem 3.24], [Fas18, Proposition 1.20].

2.9. TRANSFER MAPS Let $\varphi : E \to F$ be a monogeneous finite field extension with \mathcal{V}_E a virtual vector bundle over E and choose $x \in F$ such that F = E(x). The homotopy exact sequence implies that for any $\beta \in \underline{K}^{MW}(F, \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{V}_F)$ there exists $\gamma \in \underline{K}^{MW}(E(t), \Omega_{E(t)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{E(t)})$ with the property that $d(\gamma) = \beta$. Now the valuation at ∞ yields a morphism $\partial_{\infty}^{-1/t} : \underline{K}^{MW}(E(t), \Omega_{E(t)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{E(t)}) \to \underline{K}^{MW}(E, \Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{V}_E)$ which vanishes on the image of i_* . We denote by $\varphi^*(\beta)$ or by $\operatorname{Tr}_E^F(\beta)$ the element $-\partial^{-1/t}(\gamma)$; it does not depend on the choice of γ . This defines a group morphism

$$\varphi^*: \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(F, \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{V}_F) \to \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{V}_E)$$

called the *transfer map* and also denoted by Tr_E^F . The following result completely characterizes the transfer maps.

Lemma 2.10. Keeping the previous notations, let

$$d: \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E(t), \Omega_{F(t)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{E(t)}) \to (\bigoplus_{x} \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E(x), \Omega_{E(x)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{E(x)})) \oplus \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{V}_{E})$$

be the total twisted residue morphism (where x runs through the set of monic irreducible polynomials in E(t)). Then, the transfer maps $\operatorname{Tr}_{E}^{E(x)}$ are the unique morphisms such that $\sum_{x} (\operatorname{Tr}_{E}^{E(x)} \circ d_{x}) + d_{\infty} = 0$.

Proof. See [Mor12, §4.2].

Let $\varphi: E \to F$ be a finite field extension. We can find a factorization

$$E = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_n = F$$

such that F_i/F_{i-1} is monogeneous for any i = 1, ..., n. We set $\operatorname{Tr}_E^F = \operatorname{Tr}_E^{F_1} \circ \cdots \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{F_{n-1}}^F$. Morel proved (see [Mor12, Theorem 4.27]) that the definition does not depend on the choice of the factorization (thanks to the twists).

The pullback Tr_E^F is functorial by definition. It satisfies the usual projection formulae and the following base change theorem.

Proposition 2.11 (Base change). Let $\varphi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$ and $\psi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (L, \mathcal{V}_L)$ with φ finite and ψ separable. Let R be the ring $F \otimes_E L$. For each $p \in \text{Spec } R$, let $\varphi_p : (L, \mathcal{V}_L) \to (R/p, \mathcal{V}_{R/p})$ and $\psi_p : (F, \mathcal{V}_F) \to (R/p, \mathcal{V}_{R/p})$ be the morphisms induced by φ and ψ . One has

$$\psi_* \circ \varphi^* = \sum_{p \in \text{Spec } R} (\varphi_p)^* \circ \Theta_p \circ (\psi_p)_*$$

where Θ_p is induced by the canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{F/E} \otimes_F (R/p) \simeq \Omega_{(R/p)/L}$ (since ψ is separable).

Proof. This follows from general base change theorem on the Milnor-Witt K-theory (see [Fas08, Corollaire 12.3.7]).

Finally, transfer maps are compatible with residue maps in the following sense.

Proposition 2.12. Let $E \to F$ be a finite extension of fields over k, let v be a valuation on E and let \mathcal{V} be a virtual \mathcal{O}_v -module. For each extension w of v, we denote by $\varphi_w : (\kappa(v), \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)}) \to (\kappa(w), \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(w)})$ the morphism induced by $\varphi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$. We have:

$$\partial_v \circ \varphi^* = \sum_w \varphi^*_w \circ \partial_w.$$

Proof. This follows from a more general result of Morel: transfer maps induce morphims of the corresponding Rost-Schmid complexes [Mor12, $\S5$].⁶

⁶The reader looking for a more elementary proof of the proposition may try to follow the ideas of [Sus80].

3 Milnor-Witt Cycle Premodules

We now define the main object of this paper. See the subsequent remarks for more details.

Definition 3.1. A Milnor-Witt cycle premodule M (also written: MW-cycle premodule) is a functor from \mathfrak{F}_k to the category $\mathcal{A}b$ of abelian groups with the following data D1,..., D4 and the following rules R1a,..., R4a.

- **D1** Let $\varphi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$ be a morphism in \mathfrak{F}_k . The functor M gives a morphism $\varphi_* : M(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to M(F, \mathcal{V}_F)$.
- **D2** Let $\varphi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$ be a morphism in \mathfrak{F}_k where the morphism $E \to F$ is *finite*. There is a morphism $\varphi^* : M(F, \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{V}_F) \to M(E, \Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{V}_E)$.
- **D3** Let (E, \mathcal{V}_E) and (E, \mathcal{W}_E) be two objects of \mathfrak{F}_k . For any element x of $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{W}_E)$, there is a morphism

$$\gamma_x: M(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to M(E, \mathcal{W}_E + \mathcal{V}_E)$$

so that the functor $M(E, -) : \mathfrak{V}(E) \to \mathcal{A}b$ is a left module over the lax monoidal functor $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, -) : \mathfrak{V}(E) \to \mathcal{A}b$ (see [Yet03, Definition 39]; see also remarks below).

D4 Let *E* be a field over *k*, let *v* be a valuation on *E* and let \mathcal{V} be a virtual projective \mathcal{O}_v -module of finite type. Denote by $\mathcal{V}_E = \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} E$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)} = \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \kappa(v)$. There is a morphism

$$\partial_v: M(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to M(\kappa(v), -\mathcal{N}_v + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)}).$$

R1a Let φ and ψ be two composable morphisms in \mathfrak{F}_k . One has

$$(\psi \circ \varphi)_* = \psi_* \circ \varphi_*.$$

R1b Let φ and ψ be two composable finite morphisms in \mathfrak{F}_k . One has

$$(\psi \circ \varphi)^* = \varphi^* \circ \psi^*.$$

R1c Consider $\varphi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$ and $\psi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (L, \mathcal{V}_L)$ with φ finite and ψ separable. Let R be the ring $F \otimes_E L$. For each $p \in \operatorname{Spec} R$, let $\varphi_p : (L, \mathcal{V}_L) \to (R/p, \mathcal{V}_{R/p})$ and $\psi_p : (F, \mathcal{V}_F) \to (R/p, \mathcal{V}_{R/p})$ be the morphisms induced by φ and ψ . One has

$$\psi_* \circ \varphi^* = \sum_{p \in \operatorname{Spec} R} (\varphi_p)^* \circ (\psi_p)_*.$$

- **R2** Let $\varphi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$ be a morphism in \mathfrak{F}_k , let x be in $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{W}_E)$ and y be in $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(F, \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{W}'_F)$ where (E, \mathcal{W}_E) and (F, \mathcal{W}'_F) are two objects of \mathfrak{F}_k .
- **R2a** We have $\varphi_* \circ \gamma_x = \gamma_{\varphi_*(x)} \circ \varphi_*$.
- **R2b** Suppose φ finite. We have $\varphi^* \circ \gamma_{\varphi_*(x)} = \gamma_x \circ \varphi^*$.
- **R2c** Suppose φ finite. We have $\varphi^* \circ \gamma_y \circ \varphi_* = \gamma_{\varphi^*(y)}$.
- **R3a** Let $E \to F$ be a field extension and w be a valuation on F which restricts to a non trivial valuation v on E with ramification e. Let \mathcal{V} be a virtual \mathcal{O}_v -module so that we have a morphism φ : $(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$ which induces a morphism $\overline{\varphi} : (\kappa(v), -\mathcal{N}_v + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)}) \to (\kappa(w), -\mathcal{N}_w + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(w)})$. We have

$$\partial_w \circ \varphi_* = \gamma_{e_\varepsilon} \circ \overline{\varphi}_* \circ \partial_v.$$

R3b Let $E \to F$ be a finite extension of fields over k, let v be a valuation on E and let \mathcal{V} be a \mathcal{O}_v -module. For each extension w of v, we denote by $\varphi_w : (\kappa(v), \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)}) \to (\kappa(w), \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(w)})$ the morphism induced by $\varphi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$. We have

$$\partial_v \circ \varphi^* = \sum_w (\varphi_w)^* \circ \partial_w.$$

R3c Let $\varphi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$ be a morphism in \mathfrak{F}_k and let w be a valuation on F which restricts to the trivial valuation on E. Then

 $\partial_w \circ \varphi_* = 0.$

R3d Let φ and w be as in R3c, and let $\overline{\varphi} : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (\kappa(w), \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(w)})$ be the induced morphism. For any uniformizer π of v, we have

$$\partial_w \circ \gamma_{[-\pi]} \circ \varphi_* = \overline{\varphi}_*$$

R3e Let E be a field over k, v be a valuation on E and u be a unit of v. Then

$$\partial_v \circ \gamma_{[u]} = \gamma_{\varepsilon[\overline{u}]} \circ \partial_v$$
 and
 $\partial_v \circ \gamma_n = \gamma_n \circ \partial_v.$

R4a Let $(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \in \mathfrak{F}_k$ and let Θ be an endomorphism of (E, \mathcal{V}_E) (that is, an automorphism of \mathcal{V}_E). Denote by Δ the canonical map⁷ from the group of automorphisms of \mathcal{V}_E to the group $\mathbf{K}^{MW}(E, 0)$. Then

$$\Theta_* = \gamma_{\Delta(\Theta)} : M(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to M(E, \mathcal{V}_E).$$

Here is a series of remarks about our definition.

3.2. One could expand the definition and work with coefficients in an arbitrary abelian category instead of $\mathcal{A}b$.

3.3. The maps φ_* and φ^* are respectively called the restriction and corestriction morphisms. We also use the notations $\varphi_* = \operatorname{res}_{F/E}$ and $\varphi^* = \operatorname{cores}_{F/E}$.

The map ∂_v is called the residue map.

3.4. D1 and R1a are redundant, given the fact that M is a (covariant) functor.

w

3.5. Intuitively, the data D3 state that we have a left $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{W}_E \in \mathfrak{V}(E)} \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{W}_E)$ -module structure on the abelian group

$$\bigoplus_{\mathcal{V}_E \in \mathfrak{V}(E)} M(E, \mathcal{V}_E).$$

This statement is inaccurate for the following reasons. First, one should avoid the use of infinite direct sums (our theory ought to work if we replace Ab by any abelian category). Moreover, we have implied that

$$\bigoplus_{E \in \mathfrak{V}(E)} \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{W}_E)$$

is a ring which is, rigorously speaking, not true. Among other reasons, the multiplicative structure on this abelian group does not have an identity element because we do not have strict equality between a virtual vector bundle \mathcal{W}_E and $0 + \mathcal{W}_E$ (there is only a canonical isomorphism). Instead, we should say that $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, -) : \mathfrak{V}(E) \to \mathcal{A}b$ is a lax monoidal functor (see [Yet03, Definition 5]) and that $M(E, -) : \mathfrak{V}(E) \to \mathcal{A}b$ is a left module over $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, -)$ (see [Yet03, Definition 39]). Finally, we add that the functor $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, -)$ is skewed or antisymetric in the sense that for

Finally, we add that the functor $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, -)$ is skewed or antisymetric in the sense that for any virtual vector bundles \mathcal{V}_E and \mathcal{W}_E of rank m, n (respectively), and for any $x \in \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{V}_E)$ and $y \in \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{W}_E)$, we have $xy = (-1)^{nm}\Theta(yx)$ where Θ is induced by the canonical switch isomorphism $\mathcal{W}_E + \mathcal{V}_E \simeq \mathcal{V}_E + \mathcal{W}_E$. Intuitively, the switch isomorphism Θ corresponds to multiplication by $\langle -1 \rangle^{nm} = (-\varepsilon)^{nm}$ hence we say that the abelian group equipped with the obvious multiplication maps

$$\bigoplus_{\mathcal{W}_E \in \mathfrak{V}(E)} \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{W}_E)$$

⁷See 3.17 for more details.

is ε -commutative.

3.6. A MW-cycle premodule M can be equipped with a right $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}$ -module action as follows. For $x \in \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{W}_E)$, define

$$\rho_x: M(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to M(E, \mathcal{V}_E + \mathcal{W}_E)$$

to be the composite $(-1)^{nm} \Theta \circ \gamma_x$ where Θ is induced by the canonical switch isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_E + \mathcal{W}_E \simeq \mathcal{W}_E + \mathcal{V}_E$ and where m, n are the ranks of $\mathcal{W}_E, \mathcal{V}_E$, respectively.

3.7. With the notations of D4, note that we have a short exact sequence of $\kappa(v)$ -vector space

$$0 \to \mathcal{N}_v \to \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_v/k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \kappa(v) \to \Omega_{\kappa(v)/k} \to 0$$

and that we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_v/k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \kappa(v) \simeq \Omega_{E/k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \kappa(v)$$

so that the data D4 is equivalent to having a map

$$\delta_v: M(E, \Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{V}_E) \to M(\kappa(v), \Omega_{\kappa(v)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)})$$

3.8. We assume that R1b also contains the rule $\varphi^* = \text{Id}$ when φ is the identity map.

3.9. In R1c, the fact that the extension is separable means that there are no multiplicities to consider. This rule is weaker than the corresponding one used by Rost (see [Ros96, Definition 1.1.(R1c)]) but it is sufficient for our needs. Indeed, we will (in a future paper) prove the following theorem (see also Theorem 10.13).

Claim 3.10 (Strong R1c). Let $\varphi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (F, \mathcal{V}_F)$ and $\psi : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (L, \mathcal{V}_L)$ with φ finite. Let R be the ring $F \otimes_E L$. For each $p \in \text{Spec } R$, let $\varphi_p : (L, \mathcal{V}_L) \to (R/p, \mathcal{V}_{R/p})$ and $\psi_p : (F, \mathcal{V}_F) \to (R/p, \mathcal{V}_{R/p})$ be the morphisms induced by φ and ψ . One has

$$\psi_* \circ \varphi^* = \sum_{p \in \text{Spec } R} m_p \cdot (\varphi_p)^* \circ (\psi_p)_*$$

where m_p are some quadratic forms.

The multiplicities m_p remain to be defined. One expected property is that the rank of m_p is the usual multiplicity as defined in [Ros96, §1] (that is, the length of the localized ring $R_{(p)}$).

3.11. In the rules R2a, R2b and R2c, $\varphi_*(x)$ and $\varphi^*(y)$ are defined as in 2.9. Moreover, these three rules are sometimes called *projection formulae* and could be illustrated with the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_*(x \cdot \rho) &= \varphi_*(x) \cdot \varphi_*(\rho), \\ \varphi^*(\varphi_*(x) \cdot \mu) &= x \cdot \varphi^*(\mu), \\ \varphi^*(y \cdot \varphi_*(\rho)) &= \varphi^*(y) \cdot \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Rigorously, we should write R2b as $\Theta \circ \varphi^* \circ \Theta' \circ \gamma_{\varphi_*(x)} = \gamma_x \circ \varphi^*$ where Θ, Θ' are induced by the canonical isomorphisms $\mathcal{W}_F + \Omega_{F/k} \simeq \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{W}_F$ and $\Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{W}_E \simeq \mathcal{W}_E + \Omega_{E/k}$, respectively.

3.12. With the notation of R3a, notice that we do have an isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_v \otimes_{\kappa(v)} \kappa(w) \simeq \mathcal{N}_w$ of virtual vector spaces defined as in 2.5. Moreover, we consider e_{ε} to be an element of $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(w), 0)$. We will show in a future paper that we could weaken this rule by considering only unramified extensions.

3.13. The rule R3b could be understood as

$$\delta_v \circ \varphi^* = \sum_w (\varphi_w)^* \circ \delta_w.$$

3.14. In the rule R3d, the element $[-\pi]$ is considered to be in $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(F, \mathbb{A}_F^1)$ so that the map $\partial_w \circ \gamma_{[-\pi]} \circ \varphi_*$ takes value in $M(\kappa(w), -\mathcal{N}_w + \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(w)}^1 + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(w)})$ (not in $M(\kappa(w), \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(w)})$, as needed). In order to fix the issue, we make (as in the previous Section) a choice of isomorphism between $\mathbb{A}_{\kappa(w)}^1$ and \mathcal{N}_w using the uniformizer π so that we can consider the composite of the following two⁸ isomorphisms $-\mathcal{N}_w + \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(w)}^1 \simeq \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(w)}^1 + (-\mathcal{N}_w) \simeq 0$. Thanks to the data D1, this induces an isomorphism:

$$\Theta_{\pi}: M(\kappa(w), -\mathcal{N}_w + \mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(w)} + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(w)}) \to M(\kappa(w), \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(w)}).$$

The attentive reader might be pleased to see the rule R3d stated as:

$$\Theta_{\pi} \circ \partial_w \circ \gamma_{[-\pi]} \circ \varphi_* = \overline{\varphi}_*.$$

Actually, we want more flexibility regarding the grading so that we may worry less about hidden isomorphisms. Indeed, keeping the previous notations, consider an isomorphism $\mathcal{W} \simeq \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{O}_w} + \mathcal{V}$ of virtual \mathcal{O}_w -modules. It induces (via D1) two group isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\kappa(w)} &: M(\kappa(w), -\mathcal{N}_v + \mathcal{W}_{\kappa(w)}) \to M(\kappa(w), -\mathcal{N}_v + \mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(w)} + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(w)}) \text{ and} \\ \Theta_F &: M(F, \mathcal{W}_F) \to M(F, \mathbb{A}^1_F + \mathcal{V}_F). \end{aligned}$$

The rule R3d should state that we have the identity:

$$\Theta_{\pi} \circ \Theta_{\kappa(w)}^{-1} \circ \partial_{w} \circ \Theta_{F} \circ \gamma_{[-\pi]} \circ \varphi_{*} = \overline{\varphi}_{*}.$$

In this paper, we will encounter a number of similar cases where equality holds only up to a canonical isomorphism. It will always be an isomorphism Θ coming from the data D1 and an isomorphism between virtual spaces.

Definition 3.15. With the previous notations, we denote by s_w^{π} the morphism

$$\Theta_{\pi} \circ \partial_{w} \circ \gamma_{[-\pi]} : M(F, \mathcal{V}_F) \to M(\kappa(w), \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(w)})$$

and we call it the specialization map.

3.16. A more rigorous way to state R3e would be to write:

$$\partial_{v} \circ \gamma_{[u]\otimes 1} = -\Theta \circ \gamma_{[\overline{u}]\otimes 1} \circ \partial_{v} \text{ and } \\ \partial_{v} \circ \gamma_{\eta\otimes 1} = -\Theta' \circ \gamma_{\eta\otimes 1} \circ \partial_{v},$$

where Θ (resp. Θ') is induced by the isomorphism $\mathbb{A}_F^1 + (-\mathcal{N}_v) \simeq -\mathcal{N}_v + \mathbb{A}_F^1$ (resp. $-\mathbb{A}_F^1 + (-\mathcal{N}_v) \simeq -\mathcal{N}_v + (-\mathbb{A}_F^1)$) of virtual vector bundles. As in the previous remark concerning R3d, we actually want to be flexible regarding the grading and allow isomorphisms of virtual vector bundles in the axioms.

3.17. The rule R4a does not appear in the classical theory of [Ros96], obviously. We mainly need this rule to prove the adjunction theorem (see 12.5). Keeping the notations of this rule, we note that the automorphism $\Theta : \mathcal{V}_E \to \mathcal{V}_E$ of virtual vector spaces induces an automorphism $\det(\Theta) : \det(\mathcal{V}_E) \to \det(\mathcal{V}_E)$ (of dimension 1 vector spaces) which corresponds to a unit $\delta_{\Theta} \in F^{\times}$; by definition $\Delta(\Theta) = \langle \delta_{\Theta} \rangle$ (recall the notation defined in 2.2).

3.18. As in [Ros96, $\S1.1$], the rule R3e implies:

R3f Let (E, \mathcal{V}_E) and (E, \mathcal{W}_E) be two objects in \mathfrak{F}_k , let v be a valuation on E and π be a uniformizer of v. For any $x \in \underline{K}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{W}_E)$ and $\rho \in M(E, \mathcal{V}_E)$, we have

$$\partial_v(x \cdot \rho) = \partial_v(x) \cdot s_v^{\pi}(\rho) + (-1)^n \Theta_s(s_v^{\pi}(x) \cdot \partial_v(\rho)) + (-1)^n \Theta_{\pi}([-1] \cdot \partial_v(x) \cdot \partial_v(\rho)),$$

$$s_v^{\pi}(x \cdot \rho) = s_v^{\pi}(x) \cdot s_v^{\pi}(\rho),$$

⁸Beware of the switch isomorphism $-\mathcal{N}_w + \mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(w)} \simeq \mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(w)} + (-\mathcal{N}_w)$ which corresponds (in some sense) to multiplication by $\langle -1 \rangle$.

where $n = \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{W}_E)$, Θ_s is induced by the switch isomorphism $\mathcal{W}_E + (-\mathcal{N}_v) \simeq -\mathcal{N}_v + \mathcal{W}_E$ and Θ_{π} is induced by the composite isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{A}^{1}_{\kappa(v)} + (-\mathcal{N}_{v})) + \mathcal{W}_{\kappa(v)} + (-\mathcal{N}_{v}) + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)} \simeq 0 + \mathcal{W}_{\kappa(v)} + (-\mathcal{N}_{v}) + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)} \simeq -\mathcal{N}_{v} + \mathcal{W}_{\kappa(v)} + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)}.$$

3.19. With the previous notations, if π' is another uniformizer of v, put $\pi' = u\pi$. Then (by R3e and R4a)

$$s_v^{\pi'}(x) = s_v^{\pi}(x) - \Theta_{\pi'}([\overline{u}] \cdot \partial_v(x)),$$

where $\Theta_{\pi'}$ is induced by the isomorphism $\mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(v)} + (-\mathcal{N}_v) \simeq 0$ defined by π' .

The following theorem follows from the results of Section 2.

Theorem 3.20. The functor $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}$ is a MW-cycle premodule.

Proof. The Milnor-Witt K-theory is indeed equipped with the data D1 (see 2.3), D2 (see 2.9), D3 (see 2.3) and D4 (see 2.4). Rule R1a and rule R1b are obvious from the definitions. Rule R1c is Theorem 2.11. The projection formulae R2a, R2b and R2c are straightforward computations. Rule R3a is Theorem 2.5. Rule R3b is Theorem 2.12. Rule R3c, rule R3d and rule R3e are straightforward computations (see [Mor12, Theorem 3.15 and Proposition 3.17]).

We prove Rule R4a. Let $(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \in \mathfrak{F}_k$ and let Θ be an endomorphism of (E, \mathcal{V}_E) . The map Θ induces a morphism of dimension 1 vector spaces

$$\det(\mathcal{V}_E) \to \det(\mathcal{V}_E) \\ l \mapsto \delta_{\Theta} \cdot l$$

where $\delta_{\Theta} \in E^{\times}$. We need to prove that

$$\Theta_* = \gamma_{\Delta(\Theta)} : \mathbf{K}_n^{\mathrm{MW}}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E^{\times}]} \det(\mathcal{V}_E) \to \mathbf{K}_n^{\mathrm{MW}}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E^{\times}]} \det(\mathcal{V}_E)$$

where *n* is the rank of \mathcal{V}_E and $\Delta(\Theta)$ is the quadratic form $\langle \delta_{\Theta} \rangle$. Let $x \in \mathbf{K}_n^{\mathrm{MW}}(E)$ and $l \in \det(\mathcal{V}_E)$, we have $\Theta_*(x \otimes l) = x \otimes (\delta_{\Theta} \cdot l) = (x \cdot \langle \delta_{\Theta} \rangle) \otimes l = \gamma_{\Delta(\Theta)}(x \otimes l)$, hence the result.

Definition 3.21. A pairing $M \times M' \to M''$ of MW-cycle premodules over k is given by bilinear maps for each $(E, \mathcal{V}_E), (E, \mathcal{W}_E)$ in \mathfrak{F}_k

$$M(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \times M'(E, \mathcal{W}_E) \to M''(E, \mathcal{V}_E + \mathcal{W}_E)$$
$$(\rho, \mu) \to \rho \cdot \mu$$

which respect the $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}$ -module structure and which have the properties P1, P2, P3 stated below.

P1 For $x \in \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(E, \mathcal{W}_E), \rho \in M(E, \mathcal{V}_E), \mu \in M'(E, \mathcal{V}'_E),$

- **P1a** $(x \cdot \rho) \cdot \mu = x \cdot (\rho \cdot \mu),$
- **P1b** $(\rho \cdot x) \cdot \mu = \rho \cdot (x \cdot \mu).$

P2 Let $\varphi : E \to F$ (finite in P2b, P2c), $\lambda \in M(E, \mathcal{V}_E)$, $\nu \in M(F, \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{W}_F)$, $\rho \in M'(E, \mathcal{V}'_E)$, $\mu \in M'(F, \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{W}'_E)$,

- **P2a** $\varphi_*(\lambda \cdot \rho) = \varphi_*(\lambda) \cdot \varphi_*(\rho)$,
- **P2b** $\Theta(\varphi^*(\Theta'(\varphi_*(\lambda) \cdot \mu))) = \lambda \cdot \varphi^*(\mu)$ where Θ, Θ' are induced by the canonical isomorphisms $\mathcal{W}_F + \Omega_{F/k} \simeq \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{W}_F$ and $\Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{W}_E \simeq \mathcal{W}_E + \Omega_{E/k}$, respectively,

P2c
$$\varphi^*(\nu \cdot \varphi_*(\rho)) = \varphi^*(\nu) \cdot \rho$$

P3 For a valuation v on E, $x \in M(E, \mathcal{V}_E)$, $\rho \in M'(E, \mathcal{W}_E)$ and a uniformizer π of v, one has

$$\partial_v(x \cdot \rho) = \partial_v(x) \cdot s_v^{\pi}(\rho) + (-1)^n \Theta_s(s_v^{\pi}(x) \cdot \partial_v(\rho)) + (-1)^n \Theta_{\pi}([-1] \cdot \partial_v(x) \cdot \partial_v(\rho))$$

where *n* is the rank of \mathcal{V}_E , where Θ_s is induced by the switch isomorphism $\mathcal{W}_E + (-\mathcal{N}_v) \simeq -\mathcal{N}_v + \mathcal{W}_E$ and where Θ_{π} is induced by the composite isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{A}^{1}_{\kappa(v)} + (-\mathcal{N}_{v})) + \mathcal{W}_{\kappa(v)} + (-\mathcal{N}_{v}) + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)} \simeq 0 + \mathcal{W}_{\kappa(v)} + (-\mathcal{N}_{v}) + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)} \simeq -\mathcal{N}_{v} + \mathcal{W}_{\kappa(v)} + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)}.$$

A ring structure on a MW-cycle premodule M is a pairing $M \times M \to M$ which induces on $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{V}_E \in \mathfrak{V}(E)} M(E, \mathcal{V}_E)$ an associative and ε -commutative ring structure (see also 3.5).

Example 3.22. By definition, a Milnor-Witt cycle premodule M comes equipped with a pairing $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW} \times M \to M$. When $M = \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}$, this defines a ring structure on M.

4 Milnor-Witt Cycle Modules

4.1. Throughout this section, M denotes a Milnor-Witt cycle premodule over k.

Let X be a scheme over k and \mathcal{V}_X be a virtual bundle over X.

For x in X the virtual bundle $\mathcal{V}_X \times_X \operatorname{Spec} \kappa(x)$ over $\operatorname{Spec} \kappa(x)$ corresponds to a virtual vector space \mathcal{V}_x over $\kappa(x)$ via the equivalence between vector bundles over $\operatorname{Spec} \kappa(x)$ and $\kappa(x)$ -vector spaces. Throughout this paper, we write

$$M(x, \mathcal{V}_X) = M(\kappa(x), \Omega_{\kappa(x)/k} + \mathcal{V}_x).$$

If X is irreducible, we write ξ_X or ξ for its generic point.

If X is normal, then for any $x \in X^{(1)}$ the local ring of X at x is a valuation ring so that D4 gives us a map $\partial_x : M(\xi, \mathcal{V}_X) \to M(x, \mathcal{V}_X)$.

Now suppose X is an arbitrary scheme over k and let x, y be two points in X. We define a map

$$\partial_y^x : M(x, \mathcal{V}_X) \to M(y, \mathcal{V}_X)$$

as follows. Let $Z = \overline{\{x\}}$. If $y \notin Z$, then put $\partial_y^x = 0$. If $y \in Z$, let $\tilde{Z} \to Z$ be the normalization and put

$$\partial_y^x = \sum_{z|y} \operatorname{cores}_{\kappa(z)/\kappa(y)} \circ \partial_z$$

with z running through the finitely many points of \tilde{Z} lying over y.

Definition 4.2. A Milnor-Witt cycle module M over k is a Milnor-Witt cycle premodule M which statisfies the following conditions (FD) and (C).

- (FD) FINITE SUPPORT OF DIVISORS. Let X be a normal scheme, \mathcal{V}_X be a virtual vector bundle over X and ρ be an element of $M(\xi_X, \mathcal{V}_X)$. Then $\partial_x(\rho) = 0$ for all but finitely many $x \in X^{(1)}$.
- (C) CLOSEDNESS. Let X be integral and local of dimension 2 and \mathcal{V}_X be a virtual bundle over X. Then

$$0 = \sum_{x \in X^{(1)}} \partial_{x_0}^x \circ \partial_x^{\xi} : M(\xi_X, \mathcal{V}_X) \to M(x_0, \mathcal{V}_X)$$

where ξ is the generic point and x_0 the closed point of X.

4.3. Of course (C) makes sense only under presence of (FD) which guarantees finiteness in the sum. More generally, note that if (FD) holds, then for any scheme X, any virtual bunde \mathcal{V}_X over X, any $x \in X$ and any $\rho \in M(x, \mathcal{V}_X)$ one has $\partial_y^x(\rho) = 0$ for all but finitely many $y \in X$.

4.4. If X is integral and (FD) holds for X, we put

$$d = (\partial_x^{\xi})_{x \in X^{(1)}} : M(\xi, \mathcal{V}_X) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} M(x, \mathcal{V}_X).$$

Definition 4.5. A morphism $\omega : M \to M'$ of Milnor-Witt cycle modules over k is a natural transformation which commutes⁹ with the data D1,..., D4 (in other words, it is a left map in the sense of [Yet03, Definition 40]).

Remark 4.6. We denote by $\mathfrak{M}_k^{\text{MW}}$ the category of Milnor-Witt cycle modules (where arrows are given by morphisms of MW-cycle modules). This is an abelian category.

Example 4.7. Let M be a Milnor-Witt cycle module. For any integer n, we define a Milnor-Witt cycle module $M\{n\}$ by

$$M\{n\}(E, \mathcal{V}_E) = M(E, n \cdot \mathbb{A}_E^1 + \mathcal{V}_E)$$

for any (finitely generated) field E/k and any virtual bundle \mathcal{V}_E over E.

Now, we define a Milnor-Witt cycle module $M[\eta^{-1}]$ as follows. Let I be the category of finite ordinal numbers (objects are natural numbers n and arrows are given by the relation $n \leq m$). We consider the functor $F_M : I \to \mathfrak{M}_k^{MW}$ that takes a natural number n to $M\{-n\}$ and an arrow $n \leq m$ to the multiplication by η^{m-n} . By definition, the Milnor-Witt cycle module $M[\eta^{-1}]$ is the colimit of the diagram F_M . In particular, for $M = \underline{K}^{MW}$ and for any field E, we obtain the following group isomorphism which respects the multiplication in some obvious sense:

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}[\boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1}](E, n \cdot \mathbb{A}_E^1) \simeq \mathbf{K}_*^{MW}(E)[\boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1}].$$

The ring $\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}_{*}(E)[\boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1}]$ is known to be isomorphic to $W(E)[\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\pm 1}]$.

4.8. In the following, let F be a field over k, \mathcal{V}_F be a virtual bundle over Spec F and $\mathbb{A}_F^1 = \operatorname{Spec} F[t]$ be the affine line over Spec F with function field F(t).

Proposition 4.9. Let M be a Milnor-Witt cycle module over k. With the previous notations, the following properties hold.

(H) HOMOTOPY PROPERTY FOR \mathbb{A}^1 . We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to M(F, \mathbb{A}_F^1 + \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{V}_F) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} M(F(t), \Omega_{F(t)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{F(t)}) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}_F^1)^{(1)}} M(\kappa(x), \Omega_{\kappa(x)/k} + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(x)}) \to 0$$

where the map d is defined in 4.4.

(RC) RECIPROCITY FOR CURVES. Let X be a proper curve over F and \mathcal{V}_F a virtual bundle¹⁰ over Spec F. Then

$$M(\xi_X, \mathcal{V}_X) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} M(x, \mathcal{V}_X) \xrightarrow{c} M(F, \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{V}_F)$$

is a complex, that is $c \circ d = 0$ (where $c = \sum_{x} \operatorname{cores}_{\kappa(x)/F}$).

4.10. Axiom (FD) enables one to write down the differential d of the soon-to-be-defined complex $C_*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$, axiom (C) guarantees that $d \circ d = 0$, property (H) yields the homotopy invariance of the Chow groups $A_*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$ and finally (RC) is needed to establish proper pushforward.

4.11. For an integral scheme X and \mathcal{V}_X a virtual bundle over X, we put

 $^{^{9}}$ In particular, it commutes with multiplication by η (up to canonical isomorphisms). This fact will be important for Theorem 12.5.

¹⁰We also write \mathcal{V}_F for the corresponding virtual *F*-vector space.

$$A^{0}(X, M, \mathcal{V}_{X}) = \ker d = \bigcap_{x \in X^{(1)}} \ker \partial_{x}^{\xi} \subset M(\xi_{X}, \mathcal{V}_{X}).$$

Theorem 4.12. Let M be a Milnor-Witt cycle premodule over the perfect field k. Then M is a cycle module if and only if the following properties (FDL) and (WR) hold for all fields F over k and all virtual F-vector space \mathcal{V}_F .

- **(FDL)** FINITE SUPPORT OF DIVISORS ON THE LINE. Let $\rho \in M(F(t), \mathcal{V}_{F(t)})$. Then $\partial_v(\rho) = 0$ for all but finitely many valuations v of F(t) over F.
- (WR) WEAK RECIPROCITY. Let ∂_{∞} be the residue map for the valuation of F(t)/F at infinity. Then

$$\partial_{\infty}(A^0(\mathbb{A}_F^1, M, \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}_F^1})) = 0.$$

The proofs of Proposition 4.9 and Theorem 4.12 are almost the same as Rost's (see [Ros96, Theorem 2.3]). Indeed, we can check that all involved twists match (up to canonical isomorphisms) and, of course, we use the identity $[ab] = [a] + [b] + \eta[a][b]$ every time Rost use [ab] = [a] + [b] (this causes no harm to the proof since η commutes with our data).

Theorem 4.13. The Milnor-Witt K-theory \underline{K}^{MW} is a MW-cycle module.

Proof. We already know that it is a Milnor-Witt cycle premodule. For the two remaining axioms, it suffices to prove (FD) and (H) which are true (see Section §2.7 and Theorem 2.8; see also [Mor12, Theorem 3.24]).

5 The Five Basic Maps

The purpose of this section is to introduce the cycle complexes and each operation on them needed further on. Note that the five basic maps defined below are analogous to those of Rost (see [Ros96, §3]); they are the basic foundations for the construction of more refined maps such as Gysin morphisms (see Section 10).

5.1. Let M and N be two Milnor-Witt cycle modules over k, let X and Y be two schemes, let \mathcal{V}_X and \mathcal{V}_Y be two virtual bundles over X and Y respectively, and let $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ be subsets. Given a morphism

$$\alpha: \bigoplus_{x \in U} M(x, \mathcal{V}_X) \to \bigoplus_{y \in V} M(y, \mathcal{V}_Y),$$

we write $\alpha_y^x : M(x, \mathcal{V}_X) \to M(y, \mathcal{V}_Y)$ for the components of α .

5.2. MILNOR-WITT CYCLE COMPLEXES. Let M be a Milnor-Witt cycle module, let X be a scheme, \mathcal{V}_X be a virtual bundle over X and p be an integer. Put $X_{(p)}$ the set of p-dimensional points of X. Define

$$C_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_{(p)}} M(x, \mathcal{V}_X)$$

and

$$d: C_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to C_{p-1}(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$$

where $d_y^x = \partial_y^x$ as in 4.1. This definition makes sense by axiom (FD).

Proposition 5.3. With the previous notations, we have $d \circ d = 0$.

Proof. Same as in [Ros96, §3.3]. Axiom (C) is needed.

Definition 5.4. The complex $(C_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X), d)_{p \ge 0}$ is called the *Milnor-Witt complex of cycles on X* with coefficients in M.

5.5. PUSHFORWARD Let $f: X \to Y$ be a k-morphism of schemes, let \mathcal{V}_Y be a virtual bundle over Y and denote by \mathcal{V}_X its pullback along f. Define

$$f_*: C_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to C_p(Y, M, \mathcal{V}_Y)$$

as follows. If y = f(x) and if $\kappa(x)$ is finite over $\kappa(y)$, then $(f_*)_y^x = \operatorname{cores}_{\kappa(x)/\kappa(y)}$. Otherwise, $(f_*)_y^x = 0$. 5.6. PULLBACK Let $f: X \to Y$ be an *essentially smooth* morphism of schemes. Let \mathcal{V}_Y a virtual bundle over Y and \mathcal{V}_X be its pullback along f. Suppose X connected and denote by s the relative dimension of f. Define

$$f^*: C_p(Y, M, \mathcal{V}_Y) \to C_{p+s}(X, M, -\mathcal{T}_{X/Y} + \mathcal{V}_X)$$

as follows. If f(x) = y, then $(f^*)_x^y = \Theta \circ \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(x)/\kappa(y)}$, where Θ is the canonical isomorphism induced by $\mathcal{T}_{\operatorname{Spec}\kappa(x)/\operatorname{Spec}\kappa(y)} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{X/Y} \times_X \operatorname{Spec}\kappa(x)$. Otherwise, $(f^*)_x^y = 0$. If X is not connected, take the sum over each connected component.

Remark 5.7. The fact that the morphism f is (essentially) smooth implies that there are no multiplicities to consider. We do not consider the case of flat morphisms in this paper (this can be done after studying the multiplicities mentioned in Claim 3.10). However, we define Gysin morphisms for lci projective morphisms in Section 10.

5.8. MULTIPLICATION WITH UNITS Let a_1, \ldots, a_n be global units in \mathcal{O}_X^* , let \mathcal{V}_X be a virtual bundle. Define

$$[a_1,\ldots,a_n]: C_p(X,M,\mathcal{V}_X) \to C_p(X,M,n \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_X + \mathcal{V}_X)$$

as follows. Let x be in $X_{(p)}$ and $\rho \in M(\kappa(x), \Omega_{\kappa(v)/k} + \mathcal{V}_x)$. We consider¹¹ $\langle -1 \rangle^{np}[a_1(x), \ldots, a_n(x)]$ as an element of $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(x), n \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(x)})$. If x = y, then put $[a_1, \ldots, a_n]_y^x(\rho) = \Theta(\langle -1 \rangle^{np}[a_1(x), \ldots, a_n(x)] \cdot \rho)$ where Θ is induced by the canonical isomorphism $n \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(x)} + \Omega_{\kappa(x)/k} \simeq \Omega_{\kappa(x)/k} + n \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(x)}$. Otherwise, put $[a_1, \ldots, a_n]_y^x(\rho) = 0$.

5.9. Multiplication with η Define

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}: C_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to C_p(X, M, -\mathbb{A}^1_X + \mathcal{V}_X)$$

as follows. If x = y, then $\eta_y^x(\rho) = \gamma_{\eta}(\rho)$. Otherwise, $\eta_y^x(\rho) = 0$.

5.10. BOUNDARY MAPS Let X be a scheme of finite type over k with a virtual bundle \mathcal{V}_X , let $i: Z \to X$ be a closed immersion and let $j: U = X \setminus Z \to X$ be the inclusion of the open complement. We will refer to (Z, i, X, j, U) as a boundary triple and define

$$\partial = \partial_Z^U : C_p(U, M, \mathcal{V}_U) \to C_{p-1}(Z, M, \mathcal{V}_Z)$$

by taking ∂_y^x to be as the definition in 4.1 with respect to X. The map ∂_Z^U is called the boundary map associated to the boundary triple, or just the boundary map for the closed immersion $i: Z \to X$. 5.11. GENERALIZED CORRESPONDENCES We will use the notation

$$\alpha: [X, \mathcal{V}_X] \bullet \to [Y, \mathcal{V}_T]$$

or simply

to denote maps of complexes which are sums of composites of the five basics maps f_* , g^* , [a], η , ∂ for schemes over k. Unlike Rost in [Ros96, §3], we look at these morphisms up to quasi-isomorphisms so that a morphism $\alpha : X \bullet Y$ may be a weak inverse of a well-defined morphism of complexes.

¹¹Instead of multiplying by $\langle -1 \rangle$ and using R4a, we could make a canonical choice of isomorphism with determinant (-1) and use D1 (by R4a, any choice will work).

6 Compatibilities

In this section we establish the basic compatibilities for the maps considered in the last section. Fix M a Milnor-Witt cycle module.

Proposition 6.1. 1. Let $f: X \to Y$ and $f': Y \to Z$ be two morphisms of schemes. Then

$$(f' \circ f)_* = f'_* \circ f_*.$$

2. Let $g: Y \to X$ and $g': Z \to Y$ be two essentially smooth morphisms. Then (up to the canonical isomorphism given by $\mathcal{T}_{Z/X} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{Z/Y} + (g')^* \mathcal{T}_{Y/Z}$):

$$(g \circ g')^* = g'^* \circ g^*.$$

3. Consider a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} U \xrightarrow{g'} Z \\ f' \downarrow & \downarrow f \\ Y \xrightarrow{q} X \end{array}$$

with f, f', g, g' as previously. Then

$$g^* \circ f_* = \Theta \circ f'_* \circ g'^*$$

where Θ is the canonical isomorphism induced by $\mathcal{T}_{U/Z} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{Y/X} \times_Y U$.

Proof. 1. This is clear from the definition and by R1b.

- 2. The claim is trivial by R1a (again, there are no multiplicities).
- 3. This reduces to the rule R1c (see [Ros96, Proposition 4.1]).

Proposition 6.2. Let $f: Y \to X$ be a morphism of schemes. If a is a unit on X, then

$$f_* \circ [\hat{f}^*(a)] = [a] \circ f_*$$

where $\tilde{f}^* : \mathcal{O}_X^* \to \mathcal{O}_Y^*$ is induced by f.

Proof. This comes from R2b.

Proposition 6.3. Let a be a unit on a scheme X.

1. Let $g: Y \to X$ be an essentially smooth morphism. One has

$$g^* \circ [a] = [\tilde{g}^*(a)] \circ g^*.$$

2. Let (Z, i, X, j, U) be a boundary triple. One has

$$\partial_Z^U \circ [\tilde{j}^*(a)] = \varepsilon [\tilde{i}^*(a)] \circ \partial_Z^U.$$

Moreover,

$$\partial_Z^U \circ \boldsymbol{\eta} = \boldsymbol{\eta} \circ \partial_Z^U.$$

Proof. The first result comes from R2a, the second from R2b and R3e.

Proposition 6.4. Let $h: X \to X'$ be a morphism of schemes. Let $Z' \hookrightarrow X'$ be a closed immersion. Consider the induced diagram given by $U' = X' \setminus Z'$ and pullback:



1. If h is proper, then

$$f_* \circ \partial_Z^U = \partial_{Z'}^{U'} \circ g_*.$$

2. If h is essentially smooth, then

$$f^* \circ \partial_{Z'}^{U'} = \partial_Z^U \circ g^*.$$

Proof. This will follow from Proposition 6.6.

Lemma 6.5. Let $g: Y \to X$ be a smooth morphism of schemes of finite type over a field of constant fiber dimension 1, let $\sigma: X \to Y$ be a section of g and let $t \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ be a global parameter defining the subscheme $\sigma(X)$. Moreover, let $\tilde{g}: U \to X$ be the restriction of g where $U = Y \setminus \sigma(X)$ and let ∂ be the boundary map associated to σ . Then

$$\Theta \circ \partial \circ [t] \circ \tilde{g}^* = (\mathrm{id}_X)_* \text{ and } \partial \circ \tilde{g}^* = 0,$$

with Θ the canonical isomorphism given by $\mathcal{T}_{U/X} \simeq \mathbb{A}^1_U$.

Proof. In order to simplify the notations, we identify X with $\sigma(X)$ through σ and we forget the canonical isomorphism Θ . By definition, the map $\partial \circ [t] \circ \tilde{g}^*$ is

$$\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in g^{-1}(x) \setminus \{x\}} \partial_x^y \circ [t] \circ \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(y)/\kappa(x)} : \bigoplus_{x \in X} M(x, \mathcal{V}_X) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X} M(x, \mathcal{V}_X).$$

Fix $x \in X$ and let $y \in g^{-1}(x) \setminus \{x\}$. If $y = \xi_x$ is the generic point of $g^{-1}(x)$, then we have

$$\partial_x^{\xi_x} \circ [t] \circ \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(\xi_x)/\kappa(x)} = \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(x)/\kappa(x)} = \operatorname{Id}$$

according to R3d. Otherwise

$$\partial_x^y \circ [t] \circ \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(y)/\kappa(x)} = \varepsilon \cdot [t] \cdot \partial_x^y \circ \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(y)/\kappa(x)} = 0$$

according to R3e and R3c. The second equality is proved in a similar fashion.

Proposition 6.6. 1. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a proper morphism of schemes. Then

$$d_Y \circ f_* = f_* \circ d_X.$$

2. Let $g: Y \to X$ be an essentially smooth morphism. Then

$$g^* \circ d_X = d_Y \circ g^*.$$

3. Let a be a unit on X. Then

$$d_X \circ [a] = \varepsilon[a] \circ d_X.$$

Moreover,

$$d_X \circ \boldsymbol{\eta} = \boldsymbol{\eta} \circ d_X.$$

4. Let (Z, i, X, j, U) be a boundary triple. Then

$$d_Z \circ \partial_Z^U = -\partial_Z^U \circ d_U.$$

Proof. Same as [Ros96, Proposition 4.6]. The first assertion comes from Proposition 6.1.1 and R3b, the second from R3c, Proposition 6.1.3, Proposition 6.6.1 and R3a (note that the proof is actually much easier in our case since there are no multiplicities to consider). The third assertion follows from the definitions and Proposition 6.3.2, the fourth from the fact that $d \circ d = 0$.

Remark 6.7. Note the quadratic form ε playing the role of (-1) in the third formula but not in the fourth.

7 Milnor-Witt Cycle Complexes and Chow-Witt Groups

7.1. MILNOR-WITT CYCLE COMPLEXES Let M be a Milnor-Witt cycle module, let X be a scheme with a virtual bundle \mathcal{V}_X and p an integer. We have defined (see Definition 5.4)

$$C_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_{(p)}} M(x, \mathcal{V}_X)$$

with differential

$$d = d_X : C_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to C_{p-1}(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X).$$

In the same way, we can define

$$C^p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} M(x, \mathcal{V}_X)$$

with differential

$$d = d_X : C^p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to C^{p+1}(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$$

and show that this gives us another complex.

Definition 7.2. The Chow-Witt group of p-dimensional cycles with coefficients in M is defined as the p-th homology group of the complex $C_*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$ and denoted by $A_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$. Similarly, we define a cohomology group $A^p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$ with $C^*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$.

7.3. According to the previous section (see Proposition 6.6), the morphisms f_* for f proper, g^* for g essentially smooth, multiplication by $[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ or η , ∂_Y^U (anti)commute with the differentials.

7.4. Let (Z, i, X, j, U) be a boundary triple. We can split the complex $C_*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$ as

$$C_*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) = C_*(Z, M, \mathcal{V}_Z) \oplus C_*(U, M, \mathcal{V}_U)$$

so that there is a long exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\partial} A_p(Z, M, \mathcal{V}_Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} A_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \xrightarrow{j^*} A_p(U, M, \mathcal{V}_U) \xrightarrow{\partial} A_{p-1}(Z, M, \mathcal{V}_Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} \dots$$

7.5. CLASSICAL CHOW-WITT GROUPS. Recall some definitions about the classical theory of Chow-Witt groups (see [Fas08]). We note that the results below are true in any characteristic.

Let X be a smooth scheme and let $p, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $x \in X^{(p)}$, \mathcal{N}_x is a virtual $\kappa(x)$ -vector space of dimension p. Denote $\Lambda_x = \bigwedge^p \mathcal{N}_x$ its determinant and Λ_x^* its dual.

The Rost-Schmid complex in Milnor-Witt K-theory $C(X, \mathbf{K}_r^{\mathrm{MW}})$ is the complex

$$\cdots \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} \mathbf{K}_{r-p}^{\mathrm{MW}}(\kappa(x), p, \Lambda_x^*) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p+1)}} \mathbf{K}_{r-p-1}^{\mathrm{MW}}(\kappa(x), p+1, \Lambda_x^*) \to \dots$$

where $\mathbf{K}_{r-p}^{\text{MW}}(\kappa(x), p, \Lambda_x^*) = \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(x), \Lambda_x^* + (r-p-1) \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(x)}^1)$ (see also [Mor12, Definition 5.7]). Denote by $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^p(X)_r$ its *p*-th cohomology group. By definition, the classical Chow-Witt group is $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^p(X)_p$ and is simply denoted by $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^p(X)$. It is related with our previous constructions as follows. **Proposition 7.6.** Let X be a smooth scheme and let $p, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$A^p(X, \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}, -\mathcal{T}_{X/k} + r \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_X) \simeq \widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)_r.$$

In particular with r = p,

$$A^p(X, \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}, -\mathcal{T}_{X/k} + p \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_X) \simeq \widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$$

Proof. Let x be in $X^{(p)}$. We have the following canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}_{r-p}^{\mathrm{MW}}(\kappa(x), p, \Lambda_x^*) &\simeq & \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(x), \Lambda_x^* + (r-p-1) \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(x)}), \\ &\simeq & \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(x), -\Lambda_x + 2 \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(x)} + (r-p-1) \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(x)}), \\ &\simeq & \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(x), -(\Lambda_x + (p-1) \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(x)}) + r \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(x)}), \\ &\simeq & \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(x), -\mathcal{N}_x + r \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(x)}), \\ &\simeq & \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(x), \Omega_{\kappa(x)/k} - \mathcal{T}_{X/k} \times x \kappa(x) + r \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(x)}), \\ &\simeq & \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}(\kappa(x, -\mathcal{T}_{X/k} + r \cdot \mathbb{A}_X), \end{split}$$

and so

$$\bigoplus_{\in X^{(p)}} \mathbf{K}_{r-p}^{\mathrm{MW}}(\kappa(x), p, \Lambda_x^*) \simeq C^p(X, \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}, -\mathcal{T}_{X/k} + r \cdot \mathbb{A}_X)$$

By definition, the differentials agree, hence the result.

8 Acyclicity for Smooth Local Rings

Fix M a MW-cycle module over k. We follow [Ros96, §6]. We prove that the cohomology of the complex associated to M can be computed using the Zariski sheaf given by

$$U \mapsto A^0(U, M)$$

In a future paper, we will show a similar result corresponding to the Nisnevich topology.

Theorem 8.1. Let X be an essentially smooth and semi-local scheme, and let be \mathcal{V}_X a virtual bundle over X. Then

$$A^p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) = 0$$

for p > 0.

The proof is postponed till the end of the section; we will need the following lemmas.

Let V be a vector space over k and let $\mathbb{A}(V)$ be the associated affine space. For a linear subspace W of V let

$$\pi_W : \mathbb{A}(V) \to \mathbb{A}(V/W), \\ \pi_W(v) = v + W$$

be the projection.

Lemma 8.2. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}(V)$ be an equidimensional closed subscheme of dimension d and let Y be a closed subscheme with dim Y < d. Moreover, let $S \subset Y$ be a finite subset such that X is smooth in S. Then for a generic (d-1)-codimensional linear subspace W of V the following conditions hold.

- The restriction $\pi_W | Y : Y \to \mathbb{A}(V/W)$ is finite.
- The restriction $\pi_W | X : X \to \mathbb{A}(V/W)$ is locally around S smooth.

Proof. See [Ros96, Proposition 6.2].

The existence of the generic space W is not guaranteed over finite base fields. Note that if X is semi-local, then $X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(k(t))$ is also semi-local and essentially smooth over k. The following lemma shows that we can assume k to be infinite.

Lemma 8.3. Let X be a scheme over k and let $g: X_{k(t)} \to X$ be the (smooth) base change. Then

$$g^*: A^*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to A^*(X_{k(t)}, M, -\mathcal{T}_{X_{k(t)}/X} + \mathcal{V}_{X_{k(t)}})$$

is injective.

Proof. (see also 10.9) Let $U \subset \mathbb{A}^1_k$ be an open set containing 0 and write $X^U = X \times_k (U \setminus \{0\})$. Define s_U^* as the composition

This defines a morphism on the homology groups, also denoted by s_U^* . Consider the map $g_U^*: A_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to A_{p+1}(X^U, M, -\mathcal{T}_{X^U/X} + \mathcal{V}_{X^U})$ (induced by the canonical projection $g_U: X^U \to X$) so that we have $g^* = \operatorname{colim}_U g_U^*$ where the limit is taken over the open sets $U \subset \mathbb{A}_k^1$ containing 0. Define likewise $s^* = \operatorname{colim}_U s_U^*$. Since $s_U^* \circ g_U^* = \operatorname{id}$ for all U (by R3d, see also the proof of Lemma 6.5), we see that s^* is a section of g^* .

Proposition 8.4. Let X be a smooth scheme over a field and let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subscheme of codimension ≥ 1 . Then for any finite subset $S \subset Y$ there is an open neighborhood X' of S in X such that the map

$$i_*: A_*(Y \cap X', M, \mathcal{V}_{Y \cap X'}) \to A_*(X', M, \mathcal{V}_{X'})$$

is the trivial map. Here $i: Y \cap X' \to X'$ is the inclusion.

Proof. Same as in [Ros96, Proposition 6.4]. This uses Lemma 6.5.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. We may assume that X is connected. Put $d = \dim X$. Consider pairs (U, S) where U is a smooth d-dimensional scheme of finite type over k and $S \subset U$ is a finite subset such that X is the localization of U in S. Then

$$C^*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) = \operatorname{colim}_{(U,S)} C^p(U, M, \mathcal{V}_U).$$

Moreover,

$$C^{p}(U, M, \mathcal{V}_{U}) = C_{d-p}(U, M, \mathcal{V}_{U}) = \operatorname{colim}_{Y} C_{d-p}(Y, M, \mathcal{V}_{Y})$$

where Y runs over the closed p-codimensional subsets of U. Hence

$$A^{p}(X, M, \mathcal{V}_{X}) = \operatorname{colim}_{(U,S)} A^{p}(U, M, \mathcal{V}_{U}) = \operatorname{colim}_{(U,S)} \operatorname{colim}_{Y} A_{d-p}(Y, M, \mathcal{V}_{Y}).$$

Finally, Proposition 8.4 tells that the map $A_{d-p}(Y, M, \mathcal{V}_Y) \to A^p(U, M, \mathcal{V}_U) \to A^p(U', M, \mathcal{V}_{U'})$ is trivial for $U' \subset U$ small enough.

Corollary 8.5. Let X be a smooth scheme over k, let \mathcal{V}_X be a virtual bundle over X and let \mathcal{M}_X be the Zariski sheaf on X given by

$$U \mapsto A^0(U, M, \mathcal{V}_U) \subset M(\xi_X, \mathcal{V}_X)$$

for open subsets U of X. There are natural isomorphisms

$$A^p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to H^p_{Zar}(X, \mathcal{M}_X)$$

Proof. Same as [Ros96, Corollary 6.5].

9 Homotopy Invariance

Following [Dé14, §2], we define a coniveau spectral sequence that will help us reduce the homotopy invariance property to the known case (H).

Proposition 9.1. Let F be a field, $X = \operatorname{Spec} F$ its spectrum, \mathbb{A}^n_X the affine vector bundle of rank n over $X, \pi : \mathbb{A}^n_X \to X$ the canonical projection and \mathcal{V}_X a virtual vector bundle over X. Then, for every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the canonical morphism

$$\pi^*: A^q(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to A^q(\mathbb{A}^n_X, M, -\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{A}^n_X/X} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^n_X})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Same as [Sch98, Satz 6.1.1] or [Fas08, Théorème 11.2.4]. If $q \neq 0$, then the map is trivial. We assume q = 0. By induction, we can reduce to the case n = 1. In this case, we have $\mathbb{A}^1_X = \operatorname{Spec} F[t]$ for some parameter t. By definition, $A^0(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) = M(F, \Omega_{F/k} + \mathcal{V}_F)$ and $A^0(\mathbb{A}^n_X, M, -\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{A}^1_X/X} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^n_X})$ is the cokernel of the map $d: M(F(t), \Omega_{F(t)/k} - \mathbb{A}^1_{F(t)} + \mathcal{V}_{F(t)}) \to \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}^1_F)^{(1)}} M(\kappa(x), \Omega_{\kappa(x)/k} - \mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(x)} + \mathcal{V}_{\kappa(x)})$ defined in 4.4. Thus, the result follows from Proposition 4.9, (H).

Let X be a scheme with a virtual bundle \mathcal{V}_X , let V be a scheme and let $\pi : V \to X$ be an essentially smooth morphism.

A flag on X is a decreasing sequence $(Z^p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of closed subschemes of X such that $\operatorname{codim}_X(Z^p) \ge p$ where, by convention, $Z^p = X$ if p < 0 and $Z^p = \emptyset$ if $p > \dim X$. The set of flags of X is denoted by $\operatorname{Flag}(X)$ and it is ordered by the inclusion termwhise so that it becomes a filtrant set.

Let $\mathfrak{Z} = (Z^p)_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a flag of X and define $\pi^* \mathfrak{Z} = (\pi^* Z^p)_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a flag over V by $\pi^* Z^p = V \times_X Z^p$. For $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$D_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{p,q} = A^{p+q-1}(V - \pi^* Z^p, M, \mathcal{V}_{(X-\pi^* Z^p)}),$$

$$E_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{p,q} = A^q(\pi^* Z^p - \pi^* Z^{p+1}, M, \mathcal{V}_{(\pi^* Z^p - \pi^* Z^{p+1})}).$$

We have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow D_3^{p+1,q-1} \xrightarrow{j_p^*} D_3^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\partial_p} E_3^{p,q} \xrightarrow{i_{p,*}} D_3^{p+1,q} \longrightarrow \dots$$

so that $(D_3^{p,q}, E_3^{p,q})_{p,q\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an exact couple where j_p^* and $i_{p,*}$ are induced by the canonical immersions. By the general theory (see [McC01, Chapter 3]), this defines a spectral sequence that converges to $A^{p+q}(V, M, \mathcal{V}_V)$ because the $E_1^{p,q}$ -term is bounded (since the dimension of V is finite).

For $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by

$$D_{1,\pi}^{p,q} = \operatorname{colim}_{\mathfrak{Z} \in \operatorname{Flag}(X)} D_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{p,q}, \\ E_{1,\pi}^{p,q} = \operatorname{colim}_{\mathfrak{Z} \in \operatorname{Flag}(X)} E_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{p,q}$$

where the colimit is taken over the flags \mathfrak{Z} of X (see Proposition 6.4 for functoriality). Since filtered direct limits are exact in the derived category of abelian groups, the previous spectral sequences give the following theorem.

Theorem 9.2. We have the convergent spectral sequence

$$E_{1,\pi}^{p,q} \Rightarrow A^{p+q}(V, M, \mathcal{V}_V).$$

We need to compute this spectral sequence. This is done in the following theorem.

Theorem 9.3. For $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$E_{1,\pi}^{p,q} \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} A^q(V_x, M, \mathcal{V}_{V_x}).$$

Proof. (see [Dé14, §4] for a similar result in the oriented case) Denote by \mathcal{I}_p the set of pairs (Z, Z') where Z is a reduced closed subscheme of X of codimension p and $Z' \subset Z$ is a closed subset containing the singular locus of Z. Notice that any such pair (Z, Z') can be (functorially) extended into a flag of X. Moreover, for any x in X, consider $\overline{\{x\}}$ the reduced closure of x in X and $\mathfrak{F}(x)$ be the set of closed subschemes Z' of $\overline{\{x\}}$ containing its singular locus. The following equalities are all canonical isomorphisms:

$$E_{1,\pi}^{p,q} \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{\mathfrak{Z}\in\operatorname{Flag}(X)} A^{q}(V \times_{X} (Z^{p} - Z^{p+1}), M, \mathcal{V}_{(V \times_{X} (Z^{p} - Z^{p+1}))})$$

$$\simeq \operatorname{colim}_{(Z,Z')\in\mathcal{I}_{p}} A^{q}(V \times_{X} (Z - Z'), M, \mathcal{V}_{(V \times_{X} (Z - Z'))})$$

$$\simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} \operatorname{colim}_{Z'\in\mathfrak{F}(x)} A^{q}(V \times_{X} (\overline{\{x\}} - Z'), M, \mathcal{V}_{V \times_{X} (\overline{\{x\}} - Z')})$$

$$\simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} A^{q}(V_{x}, M, \mathcal{V}_{V_{x}}).$$

Theorem 9.4 (Homotopy Invariance). Let X be a scheme, V a vector bundle of rank n over X, $\pi: V \to X$ the canonical projection and \mathcal{V}_X a virtual vector bundle over X. Then, for every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the canonical morphism

$$\pi^*: A^q(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to A^q(V, M, -\mathcal{T}_{V/X} + \mathcal{V}_V)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. From a noetherian induction and the localization sequence 7.4, we can reduce to the case where $V = \mathbb{A}_X^n$ is the affine vector bundle of rank n. With the previous notations, Theorem 9.2 gives the spectral sequence

$$E_{1,\pi}^{p,q} \Rightarrow A^{p+q}(V,M,-\mathcal{T}_{V/X}+\mathcal{V}_V)$$

where $E_{1,\pi}^{p,q}$ is (abusively) defined as previously, but twisted accordingly. By Theorem 9.3, the page $E_{1,\pi}^{p,q}$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} A^q(V_x, M, -\mathcal{T}_{V_x/X_x} + \mathcal{V}_{V_x})$. According to Proposition 9.1, this last expression is isomorphic (via the map π) to $\bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} A^q(\operatorname{Spec} \kappa(x), M, \mathcal{V}_x)$ (in other words, the theorem is true when X is the spectrum of a field). Using again 9.3, this group is isomorphic to $E_{1,\operatorname{Id}_X}^{p,q}$, which converge to $A^{p+q}(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$. By Proposition 6.4, the map π induces a morphism of exact couples $(D_{1,\operatorname{Id}_X}^{p,q}, E_{1,\operatorname{Id}_X}^{p,q}) \to (D_{1,\pi}^{p,q}, E_{1,\pi}^{p,q})$ hence we have compatible isomorphisms on the pages which induce the pullback $\pi^* : A^q(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to A^q(V, M, -\mathcal{T}_{V/X} + \mathcal{V}_V)$ (see also [Wei94, 5.2.12]).

10 Gysin Morphisms

Gysin morphisms for regular embeddings

We define Gysin morphisms for regular closed immersions and prove functoriality theorems. As always, the main tool is the deformation to the normal cone.

Let $i: Z \to X$ be a closed immersion, and let \mathcal{V}_X be a virtual bundle over the scheme X. Let t be a parameter such that $\mathbb{A}_k = \operatorname{Spec} k[t]$, and let $q: X \times_k (\mathbb{A}_k^1 \setminus \{0\}) \to X$ be the canonical projection. Denote by $D = D_Z X$ the deformation space such that $D = U \sqcup N_Z X$ where $U = X \times_k (\mathbb{A}_k^1 \setminus \{0\})$ (see [Ros96, §10] for more).

Consider the morphism

$$J(X,Z) = J_{Z/X} : C_*(X,M,\mathcal{V}_X) \to C_*(N_Z X,M,\mathcal{V}_{N_Z X})$$

defined by the composition:

where the multiplication with t is twisted by the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U/X} \simeq \mathbb{A}^1_U$ (which only depends on t) and ∂ is the boundary map as in 5.10. This defines a morphism (also denoted by J(X, Z) or $J_{Z/X}$) by passing to homology.

Assume moreover that $i: Z \to X$ is regular of codimension m, the map $\pi: N_Z X \to Z$ is a vector bundle over X of dimension m. By homotopy invariance (Theorem 9.4), we have an isomorphism

$$\pi^*: A_p(Z, M, \mathcal{N}_Z X + \mathcal{V}_Z) \to A_{p+m}(N_Z X, M, \mathcal{V}_{N_Z X})$$

where $\mathcal{N}_Z X$ is the virtual vector bundle associated to $N_Z X$ (we have used the canonical isomorphism $\pi^*(\mathcal{N}_Z X) = \mathcal{T}_{N_Z X/Z}$). Denote by $r_{Z/X} = (\pi^*)^{-1}$ its inverse.

Definition 10.1. With the previous notations, we define the map

$$i^*: A_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to A_{p-m}(Z, M, \mathcal{N}_Z X + \mathcal{V}_Z)$$

by putting $i^* = r_{Z/X} \circ J_{Z/X}$ and call it the *Gysin morphism of i*.

The following lemmas are needed to prove functoriality of the previous construction (see Theorem 10.6).

Lemma 10.2. Let $i: Z \to X$ be a regular closed immersion and $g: V \to X$ be an essentially smooth morphism. Denote by N(g) the projection from $N(V, V \times_X Z) = N_Z(X) \times_X V$ to $N_Z X$. Then

$$\Theta \circ J(V, V \times_X Z) \circ g^* = N(g)^* \circ J(X, Z),$$

where Θ comes from the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{N(V,Y\times_X V)/N(X,Y)} \simeq T_{V/X} \times_V N(V,Y\times_X V).$

Proof. See [Ros96, Lemma 11.3]. This follows from our Proposition 6.1.2, Proposition 6.4.2 and Proposition 6.3.2. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 10.3. Let $Z \to X$ be a closed immersion and let $p: X \to Y$ be essentially smooth. Let \mathcal{V}_Y be a virtual vector bundle over Y. Suppose that the composite

$$q: N_Z X \to Z \to X \to Y$$

is essentially smooth of same relative dimension as p. Then

$$\Theta \circ J(X,Z) \circ p^* = q^*$$

where Θ comes from the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{N_Z X/Y} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{V/Y} \times_X N_Z X$.

Proof. Same as [Ros96, Lemma 11.4] except that Rost only needs the composite morphism

$$f: D(X, Z) \longrightarrow X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1_k \xrightarrow{p \times \mathrm{Id}} Y \times_k \mathbb{A}^1_k$$

to be flat. We need moreover (in order to use our Proposition 6.5) the fact that f is essentially smooth which is true because it is flat and its fibers are essentially smooth.

Lemma 10.4. Let $\rho : T \to T'$ be a morphism, let $T'_1, T'_2 \subset T'$ be closed subschemes and let $T_i = T \times_{T'} T'_i$ for i = 1, 2. Let $\mathcal{V}_{T'}$ be a virtual bundle vector bundle over T'.

Put $T_3 = T \setminus (T_1 \cup T_2)$, $T_0 = T_1 \subset T_2$, $\tilde{T_1} = T_1 \setminus T_0$, $\tilde{T_2} = T_2 \setminus T_0$ and let $\partial_1^3, \partial_0^1, \partial_2^3, \partial_0^2$ be the boundary maps for the closed immersions

$$\tilde{T}_1 \to T \setminus T_2, T_0 \to T_1, \tilde{T}_2 \to T \setminus T_1, T_0 \to T_2,$$

respectively. Then

$$0 = \partial_0^1 \circ \partial_1^3 + \partial_0^2 \circ \partial_2^3 : [T_3, \mathcal{V}_{T_3}] \leftrightarrow [T_0, \mathcal{V}_{T_0}]$$

at the homology level (recall the notation introduced in 5.11).

Proof. Corresponding to the set theoretic decomposition of T we have

$$A_*(T, M, \mathcal{V}_T) = A_*(T_0, M, \mathcal{V}_{T_0}) \oplus A_*(T_1, M, \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{T}_1}) \oplus A_*(T_2, M, \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{T}_2}) \oplus A_*(T_3, M, \mathcal{V}_{T_3}).$$

Then $d_T \circ d_T = 0$ gives the result.

Lemma 10.5. Let $T = \overline{D} = \overline{D}(X, Y, Z)$, $T_1 = \overline{D}|(\{0\} \times \mathbb{A}^1_k)$, $T_2 = \overline{D}(\mathbb{A}^1_k \times \{0\})$ where \overline{D} is the double deformation cone (see [Ros96, §10.5]). We keep the notations of Lemma 10.4. Then $T_3 = X \times_k (\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus \{0\})$ and $T_0 = \overline{D}|\{0,0\}$. Let $\pi : T_3 \to X$ be the projection and let t, s be the coordinates of $\mathbb{A}^2_k = \operatorname{Spec} k[t,s]$, so that $T_1 = \{t = 0\}$, $T_2 = \{s = 0\}$.

Let $Z \to Y \to X$ be regular closed immersions. Then (up to canonical isomorphisms Θ and Θ')

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0^1 \circ \partial_1^3 \circ [t,s] \circ \pi^* &= \Theta \circ J(N_Y X, N_Y X | Z) \circ J(X,Y) \\ \partial_0^2 \circ \partial_2^3 \circ [s,t] \circ \pi^* &= \Theta' \circ J(N_Z X, N_Z Y) \circ J(X,Z). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Same as [Ros96, Lemma 11.7] except one has to be careful with the twists (this uses Lemma 10.2). \Box

Theorem 10.6. Let $l: Z \to Y$ and $i: Y \to X$ be regular closed immersions of respective codimension n and m. Then $i \circ l$ is a regular closed immersion of codimension m + n and (up to a canonical isomorphism)

$$(i \circ l)^* = l^* \circ i^*$$
 as morphism $A_p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to A_{p-m-n}(Z, M, \mathcal{N}_Z X + \mathcal{V}_Z).$

Proof. The first assertion follows from [Gro67, 19.1.5.(iii)] which also gives the canonical isomorphism of virtual vector spaces $\mathcal{N}_Z X = \mathcal{N}_Z Y + \mathcal{N}_Y X \times_Y Z$. The second assertion follows from 10.2, 10.4, 10.5 and 10.3 as in [Ros96, Theorem 13.1].

We conclude with one interesting property.

Proposition 10.7 (Base change for regular closed immersions). Consider the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{c} Z \xrightarrow{i} X \\ \downarrow^g & \downarrow^f \\ Z' \xrightarrow{i'} X' \end{array}$$

where i, i' are regular closed immersions and f, g are proper morphisms. Suppose moreover that we have a canonical isomorphism of virtual vector spaces $\mathcal{N}_{Z'}X' \simeq \mathcal{N}_Z X \times_X X'$. Then (up to the canonical isomorphism Θ induced by the previous isomorphism)

$$\Theta \circ g_* \circ i^* = i'^* \circ f_*.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that the following diagram is commutative (recall the notation introduced in 5.11):

with obvious notations (see the definition of Gysin morphisms). The (cartesian) squares (1) and (4) commute by the base change theorem for essentially smooth morphisms (see Proposition 6.1.3). The squares (2) and (3) commute by Proposition 6.2.1 and Proposition 6.4.1, respectively. \Box

Gysin morphisms for lci projective morphisms

We define Gysin morphisms for lci projective morphisms¹² and prove functoriality theorems (see [Dé08, §5] for similar results in the classical oriented case). One could also define Gysin morphisms for morphisms between two essentially smooth schemes as in [Ros96, §12].

Lemma 10.8. Consider a regular closed immersion $i : Z \to X$ and a natural number n. Consider the pullback square



Then $l^* \circ p^* = q^* \circ i^*$ (up to the canonical isomorphism induced by $\mathcal{T}_q - q^* \mathcal{N}_i \simeq l^* \mathcal{T}_p - \mathcal{N}_l$).

Proof. This follows from the definitions and Lemma 10.2.

Lemma 10.9. Consider a natural number n and an essentially smooth scheme X. Let $p : \mathbb{P}^n_X \to X$ be the canonical projection. Then for any section $s : X \to \mathbb{P}^n_X$ of p, we have $s^*p^* = \text{Id}$ (up to a canonical isomorphism).

Proof. We can assume that $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$, then apply rule R3d (see also the proof of Lemma 6.5). \Box

Lemma 10.10. Consider the following commutative diagram:



where i, i' are regular closed immersions and p, q are the canonical projection. Then $i^* \circ p^* = i'^* \circ q^*$ (up to the canonical isomorphism induced by $\mathcal{N}_{i'} - (i')^* \mathcal{T}_q \simeq \mathcal{N}_i - i^* \mathcal{T}_p$).

Proof. Let us introduce the following morphisms:



Applying Proposition 6.1.2, we are reduced to prove $i^* = \nu^* q'^*$ and $i'^* = \nu^* p'^*$. In other words, we are reduced to the case m = 0 and $q = \operatorname{Id}_X$.

In this case, we introduce the following morphisms:

¹²By definition, a map $f: Y \to X$ between two schemes is called a *local complete intersection projective* morphism (or *lci projective*) if there exist a natural number n and a factorization $Y \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}_X^n \xrightarrow{p} X$ of finto a regular closed immersion followed by the canonical projection.



Then the lemma follows from Lemma 10.8, Lemma 10.9 and Theorem 10.6.

Let X and Y be two schemes and let $f: Y \to X$ be a projective lci morphism. Consider an arbitrary factorization $Y \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}^n_X \xrightarrow{p} X$ of f into a regular closed immersion followed by the canonical projection. By the preceding lemma, the composition i^*p^* does not depend on the chosen factorization.

We recall the definition of \mathcal{T}_f , the virtual tangent bundle of f (see [Fas18, §3]; see also [Ful98, B.7.6]). Consider a factorization $Y \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}_X^n \xrightarrow{p} X$ as before and define $\mathcal{T}_{pi} = i^* \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}_X^n/X} - \mathcal{N}_Y(\mathbb{P}_X^n)$ the relative (virtual) bundle with respect to the factorization f = pi. Consider next a commutative diagram



where pi = qi' = f are two factorizations of f and where h is smooth. By taking the pullback, we can construct a third factorization $f = \pi(i \times i')$ such that we have canonical isomorphisms of virtual vector bundles



Hence we can define \mathcal{T}_f as the limit (over all factorizations) of \mathcal{T}_{pi} .

Definition 10.11. Keeping the previous notations, we define the Gysin morphism associated to f as the morphism

$$f^* = \Theta \circ i^* \circ p^* : A^*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \to A^*(Y, M, -\mathcal{T}_f + \mathcal{V}_Y)$$

where Θ is the canonical isomorphism coming from $\mathcal{T}_f \simeq \mathcal{T}_{pi}$.

Proposition 10.12. Consider projective morphisms $Z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} X$. Then (up to the canonical isomorphim induced by $\mathcal{T}_{fg} \simeq \mathcal{T}_g + g^* \mathcal{T}_f$):

$$g^* \circ f^* = (f \circ g)^*.$$

Proof. (see also [Dé08, Proposition 5.14] for the classical oriented case)

We choose a factorization $Y \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}^n_X \xrightarrow{p} X$ (resp. $Z \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{P}^m_X \xrightarrow{q} X$) of f (resp. fg) and we introduce the diagram



in which p' is deduced from p by base change, and so on for q' and q''. Then, by using the factorization given in the preceding diagram, the proposition follows from 10.8, 10.6, 10.10 and 6.1.2.

Now consider a cartesian square of schemes



with f proper and g lci. Suppose morever that the square is *tor-independent*, that is for any $x \in X$, $y' \in Y'$ with y = f(x) = g(y') and for any i > 0 we have

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x},\mathcal{O}_{Y',y'})=0.$$

From the fact that cotangent complex is stable under derived base change, it follows that there is a canonical isomorphism $f'^* \mathcal{T}_g \simeq \mathcal{T}_{g'}$ so that the following proposition makes sense.

Proposition 10.13 (Base change for lci morphisms). *Keeping the previous assumptions, we have (up to the canonical isomorphism induced by the previous isomorphism):*

$$f'_* \circ g'^* = g^* \circ f_*.$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where g is the projection of a projective bundle or a regular closed immersion. It follows from Proposition 6.1 in the first case and from Proposition 10.7 in the second.

11 Products

11.1. CROSS PRODUCTS Let $M \times M' \to M''$ be a pairing of MW-cycle modules over k. Let Y and Z be two essentially smooth schemes over k equipped with virtual vector bundles \mathcal{V}_Y and \mathcal{W}_Z . We define the cross product

$$\times: C_p(Y, M, \mathcal{V}_Y) \times C_q(Z, M', \mathcal{W}_Z) \to C_{p+q}(Y \times Z, M'', \mathcal{V}_{Y \times Z} + \mathcal{W}_{Y \times Z})$$

as follows. For $y \in Y$, let $Z_y = \operatorname{Spec} \kappa(y) \times Z$, let $\pi_y : Z_y \to Z$ be the projection and let $i_y : Z_y \to Y \times Z$ be the inclusion. For $z \in Z$ we understand similarly $Y_z, \pi_z : Y_z \to Y$ and $i_z : Y_z \to Y \times Z$. We give the following two equivalent definitions:

$$\begin{split} \rho\times\mu &= \sum_{y\in Y_{(p)}}(i_y)_*(\rho_y\cdot\pi_y^*(\mu)),\\ \rho\times\mu &= \sum_{z\in Z_{(q)}}(i_z)_*(\pi_z^*(\rho)\cdot\mu). \end{split}$$

We give more details on this definition. The map

$$(i_y)_*: C_q(Z_y, M, \mathcal{V}_{Z_y} + \mathcal{W}_{Z_y}) \to C_{p+q}(Y \times Z, M, \mathcal{V}_{Y \times Z} + \mathcal{W}_{Y \times Z})$$

is by definition the inclusion corresponding to $Z_{y(q)} \subset (Y \times Z)_{(p+q)}$. Let $y \in Y$ and denote by ρ_y the y-component of ρ in $M(\kappa(y), \Omega_{\kappa(y)/k} + \mathcal{V}_y)$. Write $\pi_y^*(\mu) = \sum_{u \in Z_{y(q)}} (\pi_y^*(\mu))_u$. By definition,

$$\rho_y \cdot \pi_y^*(\mu) = \sum_{u \in Z_{y(q)}} \Theta_u(\operatorname{res}_{\kappa(u)/\kappa(y)}(\rho_y) \cdot (\pi_y^*(\mu))_u),$$

where Θ_u is the canonical isomorphism induced by $\mathcal{T}_{Z_y/Z} \times \operatorname{Spec} \kappa(u) \simeq \mathcal{T}_{\kappa(y)/k} \times \operatorname{Spec} \kappa(u)$.

To check equality of the two definitions, consider the *u*-component for $u \in Y \times Z$. Let y, z be the images of *u* under the projections $Y \times Z \to Y, Z$. The *u*-components are either trivial or given by

$$(\rho \times \mu)_u = \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(u)/\kappa(y)}(\rho_y) \cdot \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(u)/\kappa(z)}(\mu_z)$$

11.2. Associativity Consider four pairings

$$\begin{array}{l} M \times M' \to N, \\ M' \times M'' \to N', \\ N \times M'' \to N'', \\ M \times N' \to N''. \end{array}$$

For X another scheme and $\nu \in C_r(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$, we have the identity

$$\nu \times (\rho \times \mu) = (\nu \times \rho) \times \mu.$$

Indeed, consider the u-component for $u \in X \times Y \times Z$. Let x, y, z be the images of u in X, Y, Z, respectively. The u-component is either trivial or given by

$$(\nu \times \rho \times \mu)_u = \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(u)/\kappa(x)}(\nu_x) \cdot \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(u)/\kappa(y)}(\rho_y) \cdot \operatorname{res}_{\kappa(u)/\kappa(z)}(\mu_z)$$

where the right hand of the equality makes sense if we assume the pairings to be compatible in some obvious sense.

11.3. COMMUTATIVITY Let M be a MW-cycle module with a ring structure. Let $\tau : Y \times Z \to Z \times Y$ be the interchange of factors. For $\rho \in C_p(Y, M, \mathcal{V}_Y)$ and $\mu \in C_q(Z, M, \mathcal{W}_Z)$ one has

$$\tau_*(\rho \times \mu) = (-1)^{mn} \Theta(\mu \times \rho) \in C_{p+q}(Z \times Y, M, \mathcal{V}_{Z \times Y} + \mathcal{W}_{Z \times Y})$$

where m and n are the rank of \mathcal{V}_Y and \mathcal{W}_Z (respectively) and where Θ is induced by the switch isomorphism $\mathcal{W}_{Z\times Y} + \mathcal{V}_{Z\times Y} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{Z\times Y} + \mathcal{W}_{Z\times Y}$.

This is immediate from the definitions.

11.4. CHAIN RULE For $\rho \in C_p(Y, M, \mathcal{V}_Y)$ and $\mu \in C_q(Z, M, \mathcal{W}_Z)$ one has

$$d(\rho \times \mu) = d(\rho) \times \mu + \varepsilon^n \rho \times d(\mu)$$

where $n = \operatorname{rk} \mathcal{V}_Y$. The proof comes from R3a, R3c, R3d and P3 (see also [Ros96, §14.4] for more details).

11.5. INTERSECTION For X smooth, the product induces a map

$$A^p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \times A^q(X, M, \mathcal{W}_X) \to A^{p+q}(X \times X, M, \mathcal{V}_{X \times X} + \mathcal{W}_{X \times X}).$$

By composing with the Gysin morphism

$$\Delta^*: A^{p+q}(X \times X, M, \mathcal{V}_{X \times X} + \mathcal{W}_{X \times X}) \to A^{p+q}(X, M, -\mathcal{T}_{\Delta} + \mathcal{V}_X + \mathcal{W}_X)$$

induced by the diagonal $\Delta: X \to X \times X$, we obtain the map

 $A^p(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X) \times A^q(X, M, \mathcal{W}_X) \to A^{p+q}(X, M, -\mathcal{T}_\Delta + \mathcal{V}_X + \mathcal{W}_X).$

The preceding considerations and the functoriality of the Gysin maps prove the following theorem.

Theorem 11.6. If M is a MW-cycle module with a ring structure and X a smooth scheme over k, the pairing turns $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{V}_X \in \mathfrak{V}(X)} A^*(X, M, \mathcal{V}_X)$ into a graded commutative associative algebra over $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{V}_X \in \mathfrak{V}(X)} A^*(X, \underline{K}^{MW}, \mathcal{V}_X)$ (see also 3.5 for another formulation).

In particular, we obtain a product on $\widetilde{CH}(X)$ which coincides with the intersection product (defined in [Fas18, §3.4], see also [Fas08]). Indeed, our construction of Gysin morphisms follows the classical one (using deformation to the normal cone) and our cross products correspond to the one already defined for the Milnor-Witt K-theory (see [Fas18, §3]).

12 Adjunction between MW-Cycle Modules and Rost Cycle Modules

12.1. Denote by \mathbf{F}_k the category whose objects are couple (E, n) where E is a (finitely generated) field over k and n an integer, and where a morphism $(E, m) \to (F, n)$ is the data of a field extension $E \to F$ and the identity m = n.

Consider M a classical cycle module (à la Rost, see [Ros96, §1]). By definition, M is a functor from \mathbf{F}_k to the category of abelian groups with some data $(d1), \ldots, (d4)$ and rules $(r1a), \ldots, (r3e), (fd)$ and (c) (we use small letters to designate Rost's axioms so that (d1) corresponds to D1, etc). Equivalently, M is a functor from the category of finitely generated fields to the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded abelian groups satisfying the same conditions.

We define a Milnor-Witt cycle module $\Gamma_*(M)$ as follows. Let (E, \mathcal{V}_E) be in \mathfrak{F}_k and put

$$\Gamma_*(M)(E, \mathcal{V}_E) = M(E, \operatorname{rk} \mathcal{V}_E).$$

The data D1,..., D4 are defined in an obvious way (for D2 notice that $\operatorname{rk} \Omega_{F/k} = \operatorname{rk} \Omega_{E/k}$ if F/E is finite; for D3 the generator η acts trivially).

Moreover, we can check that this defines a fully faithful exact functor

$$\Gamma_*: \mathfrak{M}_k^{\mathrm{M}} \to \mathfrak{M}_k^{\mathrm{MW}}.$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_k^{\mathrm{M}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{M}_k^{\mathrm{MW}}$) is the category of Rost cycle modules (resp. Milnor-Witt cycle modules).

Remark 12.2. Let \mathcal{V}_X be a virtual vector bundle of rank *n* over a scheme *X* and let *p* be an integer. With the notations of Section 7 and the one in [Ros96, §5], we have

$$C_p(X, \Gamma_*(M), \mathcal{V}_X) = C_p(X, M, n).$$

In order to define the adjoint functor, we need to following lemma.

Lemma 12.3. Let (E, \mathcal{V}_E) be in \mathfrak{F}_k and let $\Theta : \mathcal{V}_E \to n \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_E$, $\Theta' : \mathcal{V}_E \to n \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_E$ be two trivializations. The induced isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_* : M(E, \mathcal{V}_E) &\to M(E, n \cdot \mathbb{A}_E^1), \\ \Theta'_* : M(E, \mathcal{V}_E) &\to M(E, n \cdot \mathbb{A}_E^1) \end{aligned}$$

are related as follows:

$$(\Theta - \Theta')_*(M(E, \mathcal{V}_E)) \subset \boldsymbol{\eta}[u] \cdot M(E, n \cdot \mathbb{A}_E^1),$$

for some $u \in E^{\times}$. Hence, they are equal modulo η .

Proof. By R1a, write $(\Theta - \Theta')_* = ((\Theta \circ \Theta'^{-1})_* - \mathrm{Id}) \circ \Theta'_*$. The rule R4a gives an element $u \in E^{\times}$ such that

$$(\Theta \circ \Theta'^{-1})_* = \gamma_{\langle u \rangle}.$$

Since $\eta[u] = \langle u \rangle - 1$, the result follows.

12.4. Let M be a Milnor-Witt cycle module. We want to define a cycle module à la Rost $\Gamma^*(M)$. If E is a field over k and n an integer, denote by $\mathcal{M}_n(E) = \mathcal{M}(E, n \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_E)$ and consider the graded group

$$\mathcal{M}(E) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_n(E).$$

This is in fact a module over the ring $\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}_{*}(E)$. Now consider $\mathcal{I}(E) = \mathcal{M}(E)\boldsymbol{\eta}$ the left sub- $\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}_{*}(E)$ -module generated by $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. Define $\Gamma^{*}(M)$ as follows:

$$\Gamma^*(M)(E) = \mathcal{M}(E)/\mathcal{I}(E)$$

This is a \mathbb{Z} -graded abelian group.

• (d1) Let $\varphi: E \to F$ be a morphism of fields. The collection of maps

$$\mathcal{M}_n(E) \to \mathcal{M}_n(F)$$

given by D1 defines a map

$$\varphi_*: \Gamma^*(M)(E) \to \Gamma^*(M)(F)$$

of degree 0.

• (d2) Let $\varphi : E \to F$ be a finite morphism of fields. Denote by $r = \operatorname{rk} \Omega_{F/k} = \operatorname{rk} \Omega_{E/k}$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, We define a map φ_{Θ}^* as in the following commutative diagram.

$$\mathcal{M}_{n}(F) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\Theta}^{*}} \mathcal{M}_{n}(E)$$
$$\bigoplus_{\Theta \downarrow \simeq} \simeq \downarrow_{\Theta'}$$
$$M(F, \Omega_{F/k} + (n-r) \cdot \mathbb{A}_{F}^{1}) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*}} M(E, \Omega_{E/k} + (n-r) \cdot \mathbb{A}_{E}^{1})$$

where Θ and Θ' are isomorphisms induced by $\Omega_{F/k} \simeq r \cdot \mathbb{A}_F^1$ and $\Omega_{E/k} \simeq r \cdot \mathbb{A}_E^1$, respectively. Hence (by R2a and R2b) we have a morphism of graded groups

$$\varphi^*_{\Theta,\Theta'}:\Gamma^*(M)(F)\to\Gamma^*(M)(E)$$

of degree 0. By Lemma 12.3, this morphism does not depend on Θ, Θ' .

- (d3) We have an obvious action of $\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}_{*}(E)$ on $\Gamma^{*}(M)$ where $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ acts trivially.
- (d4) Let *E* be a field with a valuation *v*. Let $\Theta : \mathcal{N}_v \to \mathbb{A}^1_{\kappa(v)}$ be an isomorphism of virtual $\kappa(v)$ -vector spaces. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, this defines a map ∂_{Θ} as in the following commutative diagram.

Hence (by R3e) we have a morphism of graded groups

$$\partial_{\Theta}: \Gamma^*(M)(E) \to \Gamma^*(M)(\kappa(v))$$

of degree (-1). By Lemma 12.3, this morphism does not depend on Θ .

Since ε modulo η is (-1), we easily check that $\Gamma^*(M)$ satisfies all axioms of Rost's cycle modules, except for R1c which can be deduced from Claim 3.10.

Moreover, we can check that this defines a functor

 $\Gamma^* : \mathfrak{M}_k^{\mathrm{MW}} \to \mathfrak{M}_k^{\mathrm{M}}.$

We now prove the following adjunction theorem (hence our theory extends Rost's).

Theorem 12.5 (Adjunction Theorem). The two previously defined functors form an adjunction $\Gamma^* \dashv \Gamma_*$ between the category of Milnor-Witt cycle modules and the category of classical cycle modules:

$$\Gamma^*: \mathfrak{M}_k^{\mathrm{MW}} \rightleftharpoons \mathfrak{M}_k^{\mathrm{M}}: \Gamma_*.$$

Proof. Let M be a Milnor-Witt cycle module and N a Rost cycle module. We define a map

 $\Phi: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{M}_{h}^{M}}(\Gamma^{*}(M), N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{M}_{h}^{MW}}(M, \Gamma_{*}(N)).$

Let E be a field. By definition, $\Gamma^*(M)(E)$ is a Z-graded abelian group; we write:

$$\Gamma^*(M)(E) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma^*(M)(E, n).$$

We consider $\Gamma^*(M)$ as a functor $(E, n) \mapsto \Gamma^*(M)(E, n)$ with data $(d1), \ldots, (d4)$ and rules $(r1a), \ldots, (r3e), (fd)$ and (c). Let α be a morphism from $\Gamma^*(M)$ to N. By definition, we have (for any field E and any integer n) maps

$$\alpha_{(E,n)}: \Gamma^*(M)(E,n) \to N(E,n)$$

compatible (in an obvious sense) with data $(d1), \ldots, (d4)$ (see [Ros96, Definition 1.3]).

Let E be a field and \mathcal{V}_E be a virtual vector bundle of rank n over E. We want to define a map:

$$\Phi(\alpha)_{(E,\mathcal{V}_E)}: M(E,\mathcal{V}_E) \to \Gamma_*(N)(E,\mathcal{V}_E).$$

Consider $\Theta : \mathcal{V}_E \simeq n \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_E$ a trivialisation. We have a map $\Phi(\alpha)^{\Theta}_E$ defined as the composite:

where ι_n and p_n are the canonical maps (recall that $\Gamma_*(N)(E, \mathcal{V}_E) = N(E, n)$ by definition). By Lemma 12.3, the map $\Phi(\alpha)_{(E,\mathcal{V}_E)}^{\Theta}$ does not depend on Θ and is denoted by $\Phi(\alpha)_{(E,\mathcal{V}_E)}$. In order to prove that $\Phi(\alpha) : (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \mapsto \Phi(\alpha)_{(E,\mathcal{V}_E)}$ is a morphism of Milnor-Witt cycle modules, we have to check that it is compatible with D1, D2, D3 and D4.

We prove compatibility with D1. Let $\varphi : E \to F$ be an extension of fields and \mathcal{V}_E a virtual vector bundle of rank *n* over *E*. We want to prove that the following diagram (1) is commutative:

where the maps are defined as before. The left back square is commutative according to R2a and R4a. The left front square is commutative since α is compatible with (d1). The remaining squares are commutative by definition. Hence the square (1) is commutative.

We prove compatibility with D2. Let $\psi : F \to E$ be a finite extension of fields and \mathcal{V}_F a virtual vector bundle of rank *n* over *F*. Denote by *r* the rank of $\Omega_{E/k}$. We want to prove that the following diagram (2) is commutative:

$$\begin{split} M(E, \Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{V}_E) & \xrightarrow{\Theta} M(E, (r+n) \cdot \mathbb{A}_E^1) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{(r+n)}} \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M(E, m \cdot \mathbb{A}_E^1) \\ & & \downarrow^{\phi^{(\alpha)}(E, \Omega_{E/k} + \mathcal{V}_E)} & \downarrow^{\psi^*} &$$

where the maps are defined as before. The left back square is commutative according to R2b and R4a. The left front square is commutative since α is compatible with (d2). The remaining squares are commutative by definition. Hence the square (2) is commutative.

We prove compatibility with D3. Let E be a field and \mathcal{V}_E a virtual vector space of dimension n over E. Let $u \in E^{\times}$ be a unit and consider multiplication with $[u] \in \underline{K}^{MW}(E, \mathbb{A}^1)$. We want to prove that the following diagram (3) is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{c} M(E,\mathcal{V}_E) & \xrightarrow{\Theta} M(E,n\cdot\mathbb{A}_E^1) & \xrightarrow{\iota_n} \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} M(E,m\cdot\mathbb{A}_E^1) \\ & & \downarrow^{\gamma_{[u]}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi(\alpha)_{(E,\mathbb{A}_E^1+\mathcal{V}_E)}} & \downarrow^{\gamma_{[u]}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{[u]}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma$$

where the maps are defined as before. The left back square is commutative according to R4a. The left front square is commutative since α is compatible with (d3). The remaining squares are commutative by definition. Hence the square (3) is commutative. The same proof works for the generator η , hence $\Phi(\alpha)$ is compatible with D3.

We prove compatibility with D4. Let E be a field over k, let v be a valuation on E and let \mathcal{V} be a virtual projective \mathcal{O}_v -module of finite type. Denote by $\mathcal{V}_E = \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} E$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa(v)} = \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \kappa(v)$. We want to prove that the following diagram (4) is commutative:



where the maps are defined as before. The left back square is commutative according to R4a. The left front square is commutative since α is compatible with (d4). The remaining squares are commutative by definition. Hence the square (4) is commutative.

Thus, we have defined a map

$$\Phi: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{M}_{k}^{\mathrm{M}}}(\Gamma^{*}(M), N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{M}_{k}^{\mathrm{MW}}}(M, \Gamma_{*}(N))$$
$$\alpha \mapsto \Phi(\alpha)$$

which is natural in M and N.

Let M be a Milnor-Witt cycle module and N a Rost cycle module, we now define a map

$$\Psi : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{M}^{MW}}(M, \Gamma_*(N)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{M}^M}(\Gamma^*(M), N).$$

Let β be an element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\iota}^{MW}}(M, \Gamma_{*}(N))$. Let E be a field. By definition, we have maps¹³

$$\beta_{(E,n)}: M(E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to N(E, n)$$

for any virtual vector space \mathcal{V}_E of rank *n* over *E*. These maps are compatible with data *D*1, *D*2, *D*3 and *D*4 according to Definition 4.5. Thus, we can define a map

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{(E,n)} : \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M(E, n \cdot \mathbb{A}^1_E) \to \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} N(E, n)$$

which can be factorized as

$$\Psi(\beta)_E: \Gamma^*(M(E)) \to N(E) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} N(E, n)$$

since β commutes with the $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{MW}$ -action and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ acts trivially on the Milnor-Witt cycle module $\Gamma_*(N)$ by definition. Since β commutes with data D1, D2, D3 and D4, we can prove that the map $\Psi(\beta) : E \mapsto \Psi(\beta)_E$ is a morphism of Rost cycle modules.

Thus, we have defined a map

$$\Psi: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{M}_{k}^{\mathrm{MW}}}(M, \Gamma_{*}(N)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{M}_{k}^{\mathrm{M}}}(\Gamma^{*}(M), N)$$
$$\beta \mapsto \Psi(\beta)$$

which is natural in M and N.

Since the map Ψ is inverse to Φ , we conclude that the two functors Γ^* and Γ_* form an adjunction $\Gamma^* \dashv \Gamma_*$ between the category of Milnor-Witt cycle modules and the category of classical cycle modules:

$$\Gamma^*:\mathfrak{M}_k^{\mathrm{MW}}\rightleftharpoons\mathfrak{M}_k^{\mathrm{M}}:\Gamma_*.$$

¹³The fact that β commutes with D1 implies that these maps depend only on the rank *n* of \mathcal{V}_E .

A Virtual Objects

In this section, we closely follow [Del87, §4] and show how to construct the category $\mathfrak{V}(\mathcal{A})$ of virtual objects from an exact category \mathcal{A} .

A.1. Recall that an exact category is an additive category \mathcal{A} equipped with a set of exact sequences $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ satisfying various axioms (see [Buh10, Definition 2.1]). Our main example consists in the category of vector bundles over a scheme X (with locally split short exact sequences).

A.2. A (commutative) Picard category is a non-empty category \mathcal{P} where all arrows are isomorphisms, with a functor $+ : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ following some associativity and commutativity constraints, and such that for any object P, the two functors $X \mapsto X + P$ and $X \mapsto P + X$ are autoequivalences of \mathcal{P} .

One can show that there is a zero object 0 (unique up to unique isomorphism) and that any object X has an inverse -X (unique up to unique isomorphism) such that $X + (-X) \simeq 0$. The commutativity condition gives switch isomorphisms $X + Y \simeq Y + X$ compatible with the associative data. Beware that these switch isomorphisms are not identities in general.

The functor $X \mapsto -X$ is compatible with + as follows: the isomorphism $(X+Y)+((-X)+(-Y)) \simeq (X + (-X)) + (Y + (-Y)) \simeq 0 + 0 \simeq 0$ is such that (-X) + (-Y) is (isomorphic to) -(X + Y). Nevertheless, beware that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((-X)+X)+(-X) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (-X)+(X+(-X)) \\ & \downarrow^{\simeq} & \downarrow^{\simeq} \\ 0+(-X) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (-X) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (-X)+0 \end{array}$$

is not commutative.

Example A.3 (Graded line bundles, see also [Fas18], §1.3). Let S be a scheme and $\mathcal{L}(S,\mathbb{Z})$ be the category of graded line bundles over S. An object of this category is a pair (a, L) where L is a line bundle (an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module) and a is a locally constant integer (an element of $\Gamma(S,\mathbb{Z})$). A map $(a, L) \to (a', L')$ between two such objects is an isomorphism $L \to L'$ of line bundles with the data a = a'. By definition, we have $(a, L) + (a', L') = (a + a', L \otimes L')$. The associativity constraint is deduced from the one ruling the tensor product and the commutativity constraint $(a, L) + (a', L') \simeq (a', L') + (a, L)$ is given by $l \wedge l' \mapsto (-1)^{aa'} l' \wedge l$ (also called Koszul sign convention). The unit object 0 is $(0, \mathcal{O}_X)$. For any object (a, L), there is an isomorphism $L \otimes L^{\vee} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ induced by the pairing $L \times L^{\vee} \to \mathcal{O}_X, (l, \varphi) \mapsto \varphi(l)$ (where L^{\vee} denotes the dual of L). Hence the following isomorphism:

$$(a, L) + (-a, L^{\vee}) \simeq (0, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

A.4. Let \mathcal{A} be an exact category. We construct the category $\mathfrak{V}(\mathcal{A})$ of virtual objects of \mathcal{A} . Denote by \mathcal{A}_{iso} the category with the same objects as \mathcal{A} but with morphisms the isomorphisms of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{P} be a Picard category. Consider the functors $[-]: \mathcal{A}_{iso} \to \mathcal{P}$ with data (a), (b) and rules (c), (d), (e) described as follows:

- (a) (additivity) For any short exact sequence $\Sigma : A' \to A \to A''$, we have a morphism $[\Sigma] : [A] \to [A'] + [A'']$, functorial in morphisms of short exact sequences.
- (b) If 0 is a zero object in \mathcal{A} , then we have an isomorphism $[0] \to 0$.
- (c) Let $\varphi : A \to B$ be an isomorphism in \mathcal{A} . Denote by Σ the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to B$ (resp. $A \to B \to 0$). Then $[\varphi]$ (resp. $[\varphi]^{-1}$) is the composite

$$[A] \xrightarrow{[\Sigma]} [0] + [B] \xrightarrow{(b)} [B]$$

(resp.

$$[B] \xrightarrow{[\Sigma]} [B] + [0] \xrightarrow{(b)} [A]).$$

(d) (associativity) Consider the following filtration $C \supset B \supset A \supset 0$. Then the diagram (with morphisms given by (a))

$$[C] \longrightarrow [A] + [C/A]$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$[B] + [C/B] \longrightarrow [A] + [B/A] + [C/B]$$

is commutative.

(e) ((a) is additive) For any $A = A' \oplus A''$, the short exact sequences $\Sigma : A' \to A \to A''$ and $\Sigma' : A'' \to A \to A'$ give a commutative triangle



For any commutative Picard category \mathcal{P} , the functors $[-]: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}$ satisfying the conditions (a),...,(e) define a category denoted by $[[-]]_{\mathcal{P}}$. One can prove that there is a (commutative) Picard category $\mathfrak{V}(A)$ with functors $[-]_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A}_{iso} \to \mathfrak{V}(A)$ satisfying the conditions (a),...,(e) which is universal in the sense that for any Picard category \mathcal{P} with functors $[-]: \mathcal{A}_{iso} \to \mathcal{P}$ satisfying (a),...,(e), the category $[[-]]_{\mathcal{P}}$ is equivalent to the category of additive functors $\mathfrak{V}(A) \to \mathcal{P}$. By definition, $\mathfrak{V}(A)$ is the category of virtual objects of \mathcal{A} .

A.5. Let $T : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be an exact functor between two exact categories (it respects short exact sequences). With the previous notations, the composition $[-] \circ T : \mathcal{A}_{iso} \to \mathfrak{V}(\mathcal{B})$ is a functor satisfying (a),...,(e) thus it induces an additive functor $\mathfrak{V}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathfrak{V}(\mathcal{B})$.

A.6. Let S be a scheme and denote by $\operatorname{Vect}(S)$ the category of vector bundle over S. We put $\mathfrak{V}(S) = \mathfrak{V}(\operatorname{Vect}(S))$ (also denoted by $\underline{K}(S)$ in [Del87, §4]). Any scheme morphism $f: X \to S$ defines a pullback $f^*: \mathfrak{V}(S) \to \mathfrak{V}(X)$.

A.7. Let S be a scheme and V be a vector bundle over S. The rank $\operatorname{rk}(V)$ of V is a locally constant integer on S. The determinant $\det(V)$ of V is the line bundle $\Lambda^{\operatorname{rk}(V)}(V)$. We also call determinant of V (and write $\operatorname{Det}(V)$) the graded line bundle $(\operatorname{rk}(V), \det(V))$. For any short exact sequence

$$V' \rightarrowtail V \twoheadrightarrow V'',$$

we have an isomorphism

$$\det(V') \otimes \det(V'') \to \det(V)$$

illustrated by the symbols

$$(e'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e'_n) \otimes (e''_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e''_m) \mapsto e'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e'_n \wedge \tilde{e}''_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}''_m$$

This induces an isomorphism between the associated line bundles which satisfies conditions (a),...,(e). The universal property gives a factorization of Det : $\operatorname{Vect}(S) \to \mathcal{L}(S,\mathbb{Z})$ through a functor $\mathfrak{V}(S) \to \mathcal{L}(S,\mathbb{Z})$ also denoted by Det.

Note in particular that for a vector bundle $V = V' \oplus V''$, the two short exact sequences give the following commutative diagram:



where n, m are the rank of V', V'', respectively.

If \mathcal{V} is a virtual vector bundle over S (i.e. an object of $\mathfrak{V}(S)$), then we can write the graded line bundle $\text{Det}(\mathcal{V})$ as $(\text{rk}(\mathcal{V}), \det(\mathcal{V}))$ so that we can define the rank and the determinant of \mathcal{V} in an obvious way.

In this article, we are mostly interested in the case where S = Spec(A) is a local scheme. One can then prove that the functor $\text{Det} : \mathfrak{V}(S) \to \mathcal{L}(S,\mathbb{Z})$ is an equivalence.

References

- [AN18] A. Ananyevskiy and A. Neshitov. Framed and MW-transfers for homotopy modules. arXiv:1710.07412v3, 2018.
- [BM00] J. Barge and F. Morel. Groupe de Chow des cycles orientés et classe d'Euler des fibrés vectoriels. C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I, Math., 330(4):287–290, 2000.
- [Buh10] T. Buhler. Exact categories. Expo. Math., 28(1):1–69, 2010.
- [BY18] T. Bachmann and M. Yakerson. Towards conservativity of \mathbb{G}_m -stabilisation. arXiv:1811.01541, 2018.
- [Del87] P. Deligne. Le déterminant de la cohomologie. (The determinant of the cohomology). Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry, Proc. Summer Res. Conf., Arcata/Calif. 1985, Contemp. Math. 67, 93-117 (1987)., 1987.
- [DF18] F. Déglise and J. Fasel. MW-motivic complexes. arXiv:1708.06095, 2018.
- [Dé03] F. Déglise. Modules de cycles et motifs mixtes. C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris, 336(1):41–46, 2003.
- [Dé08] F. Déglise. Around the Gysin triangle. II. Doc. Math., 13:613–675, 2008.
- [Dé11] F. Déglise. Modules homotopiques. Doc. Math., 16:411-455, 2011.
- [Dé14] F. Déglise. Suite spectrale du coniveau et t-structure homotopique. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Math. (6), 23(3):591–609, 2014.
- [Fas08] J. Fasel. Groups de Chow-Witt. Mém. Soc. Math. Fr., Nouv. Sér., 113:1–197, 2008.
- [Fas18] J. Fasel. Lectures on Chow-Witt groups. arXiv:1911.08152, 2018.
- [Ful98] W. Fulton. Intersection theory. 2nd ed., volume 2. Berlin: Springer, 2nd ed. edition, 1998.
- [GD71] A. Grothendieck and J. A. Dieudonné. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I., volume 166. Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [Gro67] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas (Quatrième partie). Rédigé avec la colloboration de Jean Dieudonné. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 32:1–361, 1967.
- [McC01] J. McCleary. A user's guide to spectral sequences. 2nd ed., volume 58. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2nd ed. edition, 2001.
- [Mor03] Fabien Morel. An introduction to A¹-homotopy theory. In Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory. Proceedings of the school and conference on algebraic K-theory and its applications, ICTP, Trieste, Italy, July 8–19, 2002. Dedicated to H. Bass on the occasion of his 70th birthday, pages 361–441. Trieste: ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, 2003.
- [Mor12] F. Morel. \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology over a field., volume 2052. Berlin: Springer, 2012.
- [MV99] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky. A¹-homotopy theory of schemes. Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci., 90:45–143, 1999.

- [Ros96] M. Rost. Chow groups with coefficients. Doc. Math., 1:319–393, 1996.
- [Ros98] M. Rost. The motive of a Pfister form, 1998. https://www.math.unibielefeld.de/ rost/data/motive.pdf.
- [Sch98] M. Schmid. Witthomologie. Master's thesis, 1998.
- [Sus80] A. A. Suslin. Reciprocity laws and the stable rank of polynomial rings. *Math. USSR, Izv.*, 15:589–623, 1980.
- [SV00] A. Suslin and V. Voevodsky. Bloch-Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients. In The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles. Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute, Banff, Canada, June 7–19, 1998, pages 117–189. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- [Voe11] V. Voevodsky. On motivic cohomology with Z/l-coefficients. Ann. Math. (2), 174(1):401–438, 2011.
- [Wei94] C. A. Weibel. An introduction to homological algebra., volume 38. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [Yet03] D. N. Yetter. Abelian categories of modules over a (lax) monoidal functor. Adv. Math., 174(2):266–309, 2003.