

Combination of molecular network and centrifugal partition chromatography fractionation for targeting and identifying Artemisia annua L. antioxidant compounds

Souhila Messaili, Cyril Colas, Laëtitia Fougère, Emilie Destandau

▶ To cite this version:

Souhila Messaili, Cyril Colas, Laëtitia Fougère, Emilie Destandau. Combination of molecular network and centrifugal partition chromatography fractionation for targeting and identifying Artemisia annua L. antioxidant compounds. Journal of Chromatography A, 2020, 1615, pp.460785 -. 10.1016/j.chroma.2019.460785 . hal-03489537

HAL Id: hal-03489537 https://hal.science/hal-03489537

Submitted on 20 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Combination of Molecular Network and Centrifugal Partition Chromatography fractionation for targeting and identifying Artemisia annua L. antioxidant compounds

- 4 Souhila Messaili¹, Cyril Colas^{1,2}, Laëtitia Fougère¹, Emilie Destandau^{1*}
- ⁵
 ¹Institut de Chimie Organique et Analytique, Université d'Orléans-CNRS, UMR 7311 BP
 7 6759, 45067 Orléans CEDEX 2, France.
- ²Centre de Biophysique Moléculaire, CNRS-Université d'Orléans, UPR 4311, 45071 Orléans
 9 CEDEX 2, France.
- 10

11 *Corresponding author : emilie.destandau@univ-orleans.fr

- 12 Phone number: +33 2 38 41 70 74
- 13

14 Abstract

Artemisia annua L. is an annual weedy herb belonging to the Asteraceae family. As a 15 traditional Chinese herb, Artemisia annua is a major source of artemisinin, an 16 antimalarial drug. In addition to artemisinin, this plant contains several other 17 molecular families presenting a wide range of biological properties. To facilitate the 18 19 screening and the identification of active compounds, the present study describes their targeting by combining the dereplication information obtained by means of 20 Molecular Networks and a crude extract fractionation by Centrifugal Partition 21 Chromatography to obtain and test simplified fractions. This simple and fast approach 22 was developed focused on the antioxidant activity of Artemisia annua with the aim of 23 screening and identifying the antioxidant molecules for further cosmetic uses. Firstly, 24 25 the aerial parts of Artemisia annua were extracted and their antioxidant activity was evaluated by DPPH, ABTS, CUPRAC, FRAP and iron (II) chelating assays. Extract 26 with a positive response was subjected to UHPLC-HRMS with autoMS/MS 27 experiments in order to build a Molecular Network using the GNPS (Global Natural 28 Products Social Molecular Networking) platform. Secondly, the crude extract was 29 fractionated using CPC with an adapted Arizona solvent system. The fractions 30 obtained were evaluated for antioxidant activity to focus on active compounds, which 31 32 were located on the Molecular Network and identified thanks to their MS/MS spectra. Using this approach, the major phenolic compound contributing to the antioxidant 33 activity of Artemisia annua extract was identified. 34

35 Keywords

Artemisia annua L.; Molecular Network; Centrifugal Partition Chromatography; Antioxidant activity.

38

39

40 **1. Introduction**

Artemisia annua is one of the 500 species of the *Artemisia* genus [1] belonging to the Asteracea family. It is an annual weedy herb traditionally used for the treatment of malaria throughout Asia and Africa in the form of tea or pressed juice [2]. *Artemisia annua* is the major source of artemisinin, an endoperoxide sesquiterpene lactone [3]

responsible for antiplasmodial activity [4] used as the active ingredient in anti-malarial 45 drugs. In addition to artemisinin, Artemisia annua has abundant nutrient profiles such 46 as amino acids, vitamins and mineral elements [5]. Over the years, more than 600 47 secondary metabolites have been identified in the whole plant [6] including several 48 sesquiterpenoids, triterpenoids, monoterpenoids, steroids, flavonoids, coumarins, 49 alkaloids and benzenoids [7]. This wide variety of compounds and extract richness 50 gives Artemisia annua a number of biological properties that have attracted the 51 interest of researchers e.g. hepatoprotective [8], antifungal [9], antitumoral [10], and 52 antioxidant [11] activities. In order to promote Artemisia annua in the cosmetic field 53 and to develop new cosmetic ingredients, the antioxidant activity was targeted in this 54 study. Antioxidant activity is important to protect the biological system, since reactive 55 oxygen species (ROS) play an important role in oxidation, which may oxidize 56 proteins, lipids, or DNA in tissues, leading to degenerative/chronic diseases including 57 cancer, diabetes or cardiovascular disease [12]. The main role of antioxidant 58 compounds is to delay or inhibit the oxidation of lipids or other molecules by 59 preventing the initiation or propagation of oxidizing chain reactions [13]. Antioxidant 60 compounds are of great interest in cosmetic applications as they prevent skin 61 damage and early aging. A number of studies describe simple, cost effective, easily 62 63 interpreted, colorimetric techniques adapted for the estimation of antioxidant power. The most commonly used methods include DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl), 64 ABTS (2,2'-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)), FRAP (ferric reducing 65 66 antioxidant power), cupric reducing antioxidant capacity (CUPRAC) assays, and total reducing capacity [14,15]. Such assays rely on either single electron transfer (SET) 67 or hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) reaction kinetics and display either reduction 68 69 capacity (FRAP, CUPRAC) or direct free radical inhibition (DPPH, ABTS) [16]. The results obtained with in vitro tests cannot directly be transferred to an in vivo 70 antioxidant effect for cosmetic applications to prevent skin damage and early aging, 71 but these assays are still first-line tests before a deeper study of plant extract activity 72 73 and the development of a cosmetic product. Several studies have demonstrated the antioxidant capability of Artemisia annua [11,17,18], which could be due to the 74 75 presence of phenolic compounds [10, 18]. However, the active molecules were not identified. In cosmetic products, entire extracts being generally used directly in the 76 formulas, we sought to develop an approach to target the molecules that contribute 77 78 the most to the extract activity without purifying and identifying all the extracted compounds. Therefore we combined: i) the dereplication information obtained by 79 means of Molecular Networks (MN) that compare the MS/MS spectra of the analyzed 80 compounds and group them in clusters according to the similarities of their 81 fragmentation pathway [19-21]. MN are used to visualize the structural relationship 82 between compounds belonging to a molecular family, facilitating the identification of 83 unknown metabolites; ii) the crude extract fractionation by Centrifugal Partition 84 Chromatography (CPC), a liquid-liquid preparative chromatography technique which 85 presents numerous benefits over preparative LC due to the absence of solid 86 stationary phase (e.g. irreversible adsorption, solute deactivation, solid waste and the 87 ability to recover all the extract without loss) [22], making possible to obtain different 88 simplified fractions from a complex crude extract. Using this combination of 89 techniques, a simple and fast approach to produce and test simplified fractions, was 90 developed, thus facilitating the screening and identification of the bioactive 91 92 metabolites.

93

94 **2. Material and methods**

95 **2.1 Chemicals**

The following reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Quentin Fallavier, 96 France): 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), potassium persulfate (99.99%), 3-(2-97 pyridyl)-5,6-diphenyl-1,2,4-triazine-p,p'-disulfonic acid monosodium salt hydrate 98 (97%), copper (II) chloride (99.99%), 2,4,6-tris(2-pyriodyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ) (≥ 98%), 99 sodium acetate (≥ 99%), 2.2'-azobis(2-methylpropionamidine) dihydrochloride 100 granular (97%), trolox (\geq 98%), ferric chloride (97%), neocuproine (\geq 98%), glacial 101 acetic acid, formic acid, ammonium acetate and ferric chloride hexahydrate. 2,2'-102 azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzathiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) (98%) reagent was 103 purchased from ThermoFisher-AlfaAesar (Kandel, Germany). All the solvents used 104 for the plant extraction, CPC fractionation and UHPLC-HRMS analysis were HPLC 105 106 grade: ethanol, isopropanol, *n*-heptane, methanol and ethyl acetate were purchased from VWR (Fontenay-sous-Bois, France); HPLC PLUS gradient grade acetonitrile 107 used for UHPLC-HRMS analysis was from SDS Carlo Erba (Val de Reuil, France). 108 Ultrapure water was produced with a PurelabFlex system from Veolia (Wissous, 109 110 France). Artemisinin (98%) was purchased from Extrasynthese (Genay, France). The stock solution of artemisinin was prepared by dissolving pure powder in ethanol at 111 1 mg/mL. 112

113

114

2.2 Plant material and sample preparation

A sample of *Artemisia annua* was obtained from stems and leaves collected in 2017 at the Comité de Développement Horticole de la Région Centre-Val de Loire (CDHRC, Saint Cyr en Val, France). 5 g of crushed dried areal parts were extracted in 50 mL of ethanol during 1 h using ultrasound assisted extraction. The supernatant was recovered and centrifuged 10 min at 10000 g before evaporation of the solvent under nitrogen flow to obtain the dry crude extract. Extraction yield defined as the mass of dry crude extract on the mass of dry raw material was 2.3%.

122

2.3 UHPLC-UV-MS/HRMS and FIA-HRMS analysis

Chromatographic analyses were performed using an ultimate 3000 RSLC system 123 composed of an autosampler, a binary pump, a thermostated column compartment 124 and a DAD detector (200-800 nm) (Dionex, Germering, Germany). The column was a 125 (150 x 2.1 mm; 1.7 µm) UHPLC Fortis-C18 (AIT, Houilles, France). The mobile phase 126 was composed of water (A) and acetonitrile/isopropanol (50/50) (B) both acidified 127 with 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 300 µL/min. The mobile phase gradient was: 0-128 0.1 min, 10% B; 0.1-9 min, 100% B; 9-11.5 min, 100% B; 11.5-12 min, 10% B; 12-15 129 min, 10% B. The compound separation was carried out at 60°C. The extract was 130 dissolved in ethanol at a concentration of 10 mg/mL, and 0.2 µL were injected. 131

132 The MS and MS/MS experiments were carried out on a maXis UHR-Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker, Bremen, Germany) using the data dependent acquisition mode 133 (DDA) with an electrospray ion source (ESI) working in positive ionization mode. 134 Nitrogen was used as drying gas at a flow rate of 9 L/min heated at 200°C and as 135 nebulizing gas at a pressure of 2 bar. The mass spectra were recorded in the m/z136 range 50-1650 at 1.6 Hz for MS and MS/MS. Capillary voltage was set at 4.5 kV. 137 Three precursor ions were selected per cycle and fragmented at two collision 138 energies, averaged into one MS/MS spectrum, set at 20 and 45 eV for m/z 140; 35 139 140 and 78 eV at m/z 1000; collision energies were proportional for other m/z. All the MS

data were processed using DataAnalysis 4.4 (Bruker). The molecular formulae were generated using elemental composition (C, H, O) without any restriction, Na \leq 1 and N \leq 5 with a mass accuracy \leq 3 ppm.

144 CPC fractions were quickly analyzed (less than 1 min) by Flow Injection Analysis 145 (FIA) in the mass spectrometer. 15 μ L of the fraction were diluted in 250 μ L of 146 methanol and 0.2 μ L were injected. The solvent was a mixture of acidified water 147 (0.1% formic acid) and acetonitrile (35/65) at 200 μ L/min.

The AutoMS(n) algorithm of DataAnalysis 4.4 software was applied to generate the peak-list with the following parameters: retention time window: 0.3 min, intensity threshold TIC (AlIMSn): 50, maximum number of compounds: 7500. The generated peak-list was exported into a mgf file including deconvoluted ions and the 10 most abundant non deconvoluted ones with an intensity superior to 100. This format expresses the MS/MS spectra into a peak-list containing precursor ions (tr, *m/z*) and fragment ions (*m/z*, intensity, charge).

2.4 Molecular Network design

The Molecular Network was designed using the Global Natural Products Social 156 Molecular Networking (GNPS) platform [http://gnps.ucsd.edu]. All the MS/MS spectra 157 obtained were exported to the GNPS platform in the form of a peaklist (mgf file) 158 containing all the needed information about the analysis. Then, MS/MS spectra were 159 compared pairwise to search for spectral similarities *i.e.* the same fragment ions 160 and/or neutral losses. The optimum parameters were: tolerance 0.02 Da for parent 161 mass and fragments; cosine score \geq 0.65; matched peaks \geq 3; network topK 10; 162 maximum connected component size 100; minimum cluster size 1, No run 163 MSCluster. The results were downloaded and exported to be visualized on 164 Cytoscape 3.6.1 software [https://cytoscape.org]. 165

The identification of compounds was supported by GNPS's spectral libraries. The MS/MS spectra of *Artemisia annua* compounds were compared to MS/MS spectra of compounds contained in the GNPS library platform, using the following parameters: library search min matched peaks: 4; score threshold: 0.7; maximum analog search mass difference: 100.

1712.5Fractionation by Centrifugal Partition Chromatography172(CPC)

173 CPC experiments were performed with a semi-preparative 50 mL FCPC (Rousselet 174 Robatel Kromaton, Annonay, France) associated to a preparative LC-8A pump 175 (Shimadzu, Japan). The sample was introduced in the column using a 6-port high 176 pressure Rheodyne injection valve (AIT, Houilles, France) equipped with a 10 mL 177 loop.

The biphasic solvent system Arizona M (heptane / ethyl acetate / methanol / water with a 5/6/5/6 volumetric ratio) was prepared by mixing the different solvents in a separating funnel. The two phases were separated after funnel agitation and equilibration. The aqueous phase was selected as the mobile phase and the organic one as the stationary phase (descending mode).

The stationary phase was firstly introduced into the column (9 mL/min, 500 rpm), then the mobile phase was pumped through the stationary phase (3 mL/min, 2000 rpm) until an equilibrium stage was reached ($V_{mobile phase} = 20$ mL, 60% of retention). 186 116 mg of the *Artemisia annua* ethanolic extract were solubilized in 4 mL of a mixture 187 stationary phase/mobile phase (50/50) at a concentration of 29 mg/mL and were 188 injected after the equilibration step. The elution (3 mL/min, 2000 rpm) and extrusion 189 (9 mL/min, 500 rpm) steps were performed to recover all the compounds from the 190 column.

191 **2.6** *In-vitro* antioxidant capability

Antioxidant capability was evaluated using DPPH, ABTS, FRAP, CUPRAC and Iron (II) chelating assays. It was determined by measuring the absorbance of reagent in the presence of the different samples (solvent for blank, trolox for positive control, crude extract or fractions) because the oxidation/reduction and complexation reactions are highlighted by a color change of the solution.

Evaluation of biological activity was performed in 96-well plates; each sample was deposited at 3 cascading concentrations. Ethanol was used to dilute the samples and for the negative control. Trolox was the positive control and was evaluated at 6 cascading concentrations ranging from 0.005 mg/mL to 0.5 mg/mL. Stock solutions of crude extract and CPC fractions were at 10 mg/mL, thus after dilution, the concentrations of 0.1, 0.25 and 0.5 mg/mL were evaluated. All the assays were done in triplicate (n=3).

204 **DPPH radical scavenging activity assay**

²⁰⁵ DPPH assays were performed by readjusting the method described by Lee *et al.* [23]. ²⁰⁶ Briefly, 10 μ L of sample were mixed with 190 μ L of DPPH reagent at 60 μ M in ²⁰⁷ ethanol and incubated 30 min in the dark at room temperature. The absorbance was ²⁰⁸ recorded using a microplate reader (MULTISCAN GO, ThermoFisher, USA) at ²⁰⁹ 516 nm.

ABTS radical cation decolorization assay

ABTS assays were carried out by readjusting the method described by Tagliazucchi 211 et al. [24]. In brief, the mixture of 7 mM of ABTS solution and 2.45 mM potassium 212 persulfate solution at equal volume were incubated in the dark for 16 h under 213 agitation at room temperature. Next, the mixture was diluted with ethanol/water 214 (25/75) in the following volume proportion (1:12.5). Then, 190 µL of the diluted 215 mixture were mixed with 10 µL of sample and the absorbance was recorded after 30 216 min of incubation in the dark at room temperature using a microplate reader at 734 217 218 nm.

219 **FRAP (Ferric ion Reducing Antioxidant Power) assay**

A modified FRAP protocol was used according to the method outlined by Benzie and Strain [25]. Concisely, the FRAP reagent is composed of FeCl₃ (20 mM) and TPTZ (10 mM, solubilized in HCl 40 mM) with acetate buffer (300 mM) in a 1:1:10 (v/v/v) ratio. Thereafter, 10 μ L of sample were mixed with 190 μ L of FRAP solution and incubated 30 min in the dark at room temperature. Finally, the absorbance was measured using a microplate reader at 590 nm.

226 **CUPRAC (Cupric ion reducing antioxidant activity) assay**

227 CUPRAC assays were carried out according to the method described by Apak *et al.* 228 [26] with slight modifications. In short, 10 μ L of sample were mixed with 190 μ L of 229 CUPRAC reagent prepared by combining 10 mM Cu(II) with 7.5 mM Neocuproine and 1 M acetate ammonium buffer in a 1:1:1 (v/v/v) ratio. Finally, the mixture was incubated 30 min in the dark at room temperature and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader.

233 Iron (II) chelating assay

The ability of *Artemisia annua* compounds to chelate Fe^{2+} was evaluated using a method described by Denis *et al.* [27] with some modifications. The reagent was prepared by combining 1 mM FeCl₃ and 0.3 mM ferrozine at a 1:1 (v/v) ratio. Next, 10 µL of sample were mixed with 190 µL of reagent and incubated 30 min in the dark at room temperature. Finally, the absorbance was measured using a microplate reader at 562 nm.

240 Statistical Analysis

Absorbance results (n=3) were average and data were presented as mean \pm standard deviation. MetaboAnalyst 4.0 software was used to perform statistical analyses. The samples were from the same population. Finally, the multi-comparison was performed using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by *F*protected least significant difference (Tukey's HSD) *post hoc* comparisons.

246 **Results and discussion**

The objective of this work was to target and identify the main antioxidant markers 247 from Artemisia annua extract. The Base Peak Chromatogram (BPC) obtained with 248 249 MS detection is presented in Figure 1. It offers a first molecular fingerprint of the sample and highlights its complexity. This analysis allows the detection of hundreds 250 of chromatographic peaks with a wide range of polarities, from 10 up to 100% of 251 organic solvent. Positive ionization mode with an ESI source was chosen because it 252 allowed the ionization of more compounds than the negative one and a good 253 fragmentation of the molecular ions giving suitable MS/MS spectra. It would be 254 possible to determine the antioxidant compounds by identifying each peak of the 255 chromatogram in Figure 1, knowing that each peak is composed of an MS and an 256 MS/MS spectrum for the precise identification. However, to dispense with the time-257 consuming interpretation of numerous spectra, the Molecular Network approach was 258 259 used to sort and group molecules according to spectral similarities.

260

2.7 Molecular Network

Molecular Networks constitutes an accessible and adaptable means to visualize and 261 target natural products, enabling biological research and biotechnological 262 applications in a wide range of fields [28]. Most of highlighted strategies aimed 263 efficient isolation of natural products exhibiting significant levels of bioactivity and/or 264 novel and chemo-diverse chemical entities mainly for drug discovery purposes [29]. A 265 review focused on illustrating the new thinking on the isolation of natural products by 266 drawing a parallel between the different philosophies underlying the use of Molecular 267 268 Networks in the targeting of natural compounds, as developed by a growing number of research groups [30]. 269

Generation of the Molecular Network was based on mass spectrometry analysis of the crude extract. MS/MS spectra of the compounds were compared pairwise to find similarities in fragmentation pathways, *i.e.* the same fragment ions or similar neutral losses. To obtain suitable MS/MS spectra with a convenient number of fragment ions, several collision energies were tested for the MS/MS experiments to find the optimal

ones that fragmented the rigid structures while producing good spectra for molecules 275 that fragment more easily. The molecules presenting similar fragmentation patterns 276 were grouped in the same cluster while the molecules with different MS/MS spectra 277 were not associated [31-33]. Once the clusters had been built, the identification of 278 some compounds by the injection of standard molecules or by using public spectral 279 libraries such as GNPS or by reference to the known literature is sufficient to 280 determine the molecular family of the associated compounds that facilitates the 281 identification of unknown compounds. In addition, the emergence of the annotation 282 tools enable, today, to search for in silico generated structures in natural product 283 databases, which is a huge step forward compared to the traditional dereplication 284 process based on molecular formulas and/or exact masses or NMR chemical shifts 285 searches [30]. 286

287 The Molecular Network of Artemisia annua crude extract visualized using Cytoscape 3.6.1 software is presented in Figure 2. This MN contains 2 major clusters 288 and 8 small clusters which can be linkened to molecular families or molecular groups. 289 Each green node represents a compound, inside which the molecular mass of the 290 parent ion *i.e.* molecular ion, fragment ion, or adduct mass of the compound is 291 indicated, linked by a line designating the similarity between the compounds. It is 292 therefore possible to find several nodes that express the same compound, because if 293 a molecule is ionized under different forms (adducts, multi-charged ions) or 294 fragmented during the ionization process, these different ions may give MS/MS 295 spectra with many similarities, and then the nodes representing them will be linked. 296 Considering selected parameters it is also possible to find different nodes indicating 297 the same m/z, they represent different isobaric compounds eluted at different 298 retention times. 299

The main clusters were associated to molecular families by annotating the standards 300 (e.g. artemisinin) and some compounds representative of the different molecular 301 families already described in the literature as present in aerial parts of Artemisia 302 annua ethanolic extract (e.g. coumarin, circiliol, casticin...) [7] on the MN. The 303 structures of annotated compounds presented in Table 1 were also recognized and 304 confirmed by GNPS spectral libraries (e.g. Massbank: FIO00415 Artemisinin). These 305 results highlight the presence of 3 major molecular families in Artemisia annua 306 307 extract: terpenes, coumarins and flavonoids. Small clusters containing only a few nodes were not identified. In accordance with the well-known richness of Artemisia 308 annua, terpenes appear to be the largest family with numerous associated nodes. 309 Coumarins were also well represented in this ethanolic extract with a fairly large 310 cluster, while flavonoids were less numerous. 311

The MN allowed the rapid identification of the major molecular families and their 312 molecules contained in the plant extract by clustering compounds according to their 313 structures without interpreting all the MS spectra and without annotating the entire 314 network but only those compounds already describted in the literature. Before further 315 detailing the results, in view of the molecular families identified, it can be 316 317 hypothesized that the antioxidant molecules should belong more to flavonoids and/or even coumarins [34,35] than to terpenes. But, in order to target only the antioxidant 318 compounds among all these molecules, the extract was simplified into several 319 fractions using CPC. 320

321 **2.8 Centrifugal Partition Chromatography**

The choice of a good biphasic system is important for the separation of compounds 322 in CPC because it represents the mobile and stationary phases. Since the antioxidant 323 324 molecules were not determined, the objective of the CPC method was to split the complex crude extract of Artemisia annua without losing any molecules and obtain 325 simplified fractions to focus on the active ones. To do this, several biphasic systems 326 were tested to find the optimal one that allowed a good solubility of the extract and 327 different partition of the compounds between the two phases leading to their 328 separation in different fractions. 329

Six different solvent systems from the ARIZONA table (heptane / ethyl acetate / 330 methanol / water) were tested: D (1/6/1/6), H (1/3/1/3), M (5/6/5/6), P (6/5/6/5), R 331 (2/1/2/1) and U (4/1/4/1). These systems cover a wide range of polarities related to 332 the identified molecular families, thus increasing the chances of targeting the 333 optimum biphasic system. The D and H systems resulted in very long settling times; 334 the U system has a good stabilization time but the majority of compounds remained 335 in the organic phase. Finally, the M and P systems with short settling times of about 336 337 10 s gave a satisfactory partition of the compounds between the two phases. Organic and aqueous phases of both systems were analyzed by HPLC and the M system was 338 selected as it offered a better compound partitioning. The Kd values of the main 339 compounds were greater than 1 to avoid eluting in the dead volume and sufficiently 340 different from each other to be separated in different fractions. The compounds with 341 an intermediate Kd exited the column during the elution step, while some non-polar 342 compounds with high Kd were recovered in the organic phase during the extrusion 343 344 step.

After having fractionated the complex crude extract of *Artemisia annua* into 13 fractions with different molecular distributions, the antioxidant activity of the simplified fractions was evaluated using antioxidant assays to target the active fractions.

348 **2.9** *In-vitro* antioxidant capability

A complete evaluation of the antioxidant activity of *Artemisia annua* extract and all CPC fractions was performed using five different types of assays. The five assays were based on different mechanisms *i.e* hydrogen atom transfer (DPPH, ABTS), single electron transfer (FRAP, CUPRAC) or iron (II) chelating assays. Figure 3 presents the results of antioxidant assays; absorbance was plotted as a function of the concentration of the sample.

The antioxidant capabilities of all the CPC fractions of *Artemisia annua* were compared with the positive control and the crude extract to target the active fractions.

357 The 5 graphs in Figure 3 show the same order of activity indicating that the 5 tests rank the fractions in the same order. Crude extract and Fraction 2 invariably showed 358 359 the best results, with the highest antioxidant activity. Fraction 1 showed a slight activity but much lower than that of Fraction 2, while no activity was observed for the 360 other fractions. DPPH and iron (II) chelating assays gave better results with 361 absorbance values at 0.5 mg/mL for Fraction 2 close to that of trolox. Activities 362 363 determined with ABTS, FRAP and CUPRAC assays were weaker, with values far from trolox. Table 2 presents the percentage of activity calculated for the highest 364 tested concentration: 0.5 mg/mL for the crude extract and the 13 fractions. ANOVA 365 analysis was performed on these results and showed that for all the assays, crude 366 extract, Fraction 2 and Fraction 1 were significantly different from other fractions, 367 confirming the tendency observed in Figure 3. Differences of activity level between 368

assays based on hydrogen atom transfer mechanism such as DPPH and ABTS have 369 already been observed [15,16]. It can probably be explain because DPPH is a free 370 radical that accepts electrons or hydrogen radicals from donor compounds [36] while 371 ABTS assay determines the scavenging ability of antioxidant activity by reacting with 372 a strong antioxidant agent (potassium persulfate) in the presence of ABTS salt so it is 373 374 based on the inhibition of the formation of ABTS by one-electron oxidants [37,38]. 375 The reduction capacity of the Artemisia annua extract and of the fractions was low compared to that of positive standard (trolox) indicating that compounds mainly act 376 by hydrogen atom transfer rather than single electron transfer. High values obtained 377 for crude extract and Fraction 2 for Iron chelation assay suggest the ability of 378 compound to easily form complex that could be due to specific structure such as 379 flavonoids [39]. 380

The combination of these results indicated that the antioxidant compounds of *Artemisia annua* extract were mainly eluted in CPC Fraction 2. This method highlighted the most active fraction among the 13 CPC ones. In order to identify the antioxidant compounds of *Artemisia annua*, the fractions were analyzed by mass spectrometry

386

387 2.10 FIA-HRMS Analysis

To avoid long post fractionation analysis time, CPC fractions were quickly analyzed 388 using FIA-HRMS. Main ions obtained on the mass spectrum could be reported on the 389 crude extract Molecular Network to identify molecules contained into the fraction. 390 Fraction 2 analysis in Figure 4 showed one main ion at m/z 361.0915 and its sodium 391 adduct at m/z 383.0728. Although the original purpose of the CPC was not to purify 392 molecules, it turned out that this fraction seemed to contain one major compound. 393 UHPLC-DAD analysis (Figure 4B) of Fraction 2 gave the same result detecting one 394 main peak at 6.3 min. The molecular formula for the ion of interest m/z 361.0915 was 395 calculated using SmartFormula algorithm from DataAnalysis software and gave two 396 propositions: C₁₈H₁₇O₈ (0.1 ppm) and C₁₉H₁₃N₄O₄ (3 ppm). The second formula was 397 discarded because its isotopic pattern is too different from the experimental one. 398

The molecular formula C₁₈H₁₆O₈ was then submitted to public databases for structure 399 search PubChem, Chemspider, ChEBI, DNP. Between 161 and 179 compounds 400 were proposed as potential structures corresponding to this formula. The ion of 401 interest was extracted from the mass spectrum and located on the Molecular Network 402 to facilitate compound identification and structure selection among all the 403 propositions. The active compound was found in the flavonoid cluster as shown in 404 Figure 4 D. Its MS/MS spectrum showed fragment ions characteristic to methylated 405 flavonoids (*m/z* 346. 0674 C₁₇H₁₄O₈ due to CH₃ loss. *m/z* 328.0577 C₁₇H₁₂O₇ due to 406 CH₃ and H₂O loss, m/z 303.0497 C₁₅H₁₁O₇ due to CH₃ and C₂H₂O loss, m/z407 285.0391 C₁₅H₉O₆ due to H₂O loss from *m/z* 303.0497, *m/z* 257.0443 C₁₄H₉O₅ due to 408 409 CO loss from *m/z* 285.0391, *m/z* 161.0233 C₉H₅O₃ and 137.0231 C₇H₅O₃ obtained by Retro Diels Alder cleavage). This MS/MS spectrum was also submitted to the GNPS 410 libraries and 2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-5-hydroxy-3,6,7-trimethoxy-4H-1-411 the benzopyran-4-one (CCMSLIB00000848806) also named chrysosplenol D was found 412 with the CAS Registry Number 14965-20-9 in SciFinder. The confidence level of 413 identification is assigned as 2 according to the Metabolomics Standards Initiative of 414 the Metabolomics Society [40]. Moreover Wang et al. recently studying major 415

416 flavonoid from *Artemisia Annua* reported the MS/MS spectrum of chrysosplenol D 417 which is consistent with our results [41].

The ion of interest was rapidly obtained by FIA-HRMS analysis of the active fraction. After its annotation on the Molecular Network, the molecular family of the compound was determined and its identification facilitated. This molecule has already been described in the literature as being present in *Artemisia annua* [7,41], but it was never correlated to the antioxidant capability of this plant. This compound was also detected in the low active Fraction 3 but at a lower concentration than in Fraction 2

Focusing on this cluster revealed that four additional methylated flavonoid molecules 424 were present in the extract and could have antioxidant activity given their similar 425 structure. Thus these compounds were also targeted in the CPC fractions. The 426 molecule with m/z 345.0897 which could correspond to penduletin was contained in 427 428 Fraction 1 which is moderately active. This molecule possess only one free hydroxyl group on the B ring that could explain its lower antioxidant activity. The other 429 compounds in the group *i.e.* Casticin m/z 375.1074, Retusin m/z 359.1125 and 430 Artemitin m/z 389.1231 were found in other fractions that did not present antioxidant 431 activity. This can be explained either by their presence in low concentrations in the 432 fractions or by the lack of activity of these structures despite their similarity to the 433 active compound, they have only OMe group on B ring so they possess less H to be 434 435 transferred.

436

437 **3. Conclusion**

A combination of Molecular Network with a CPC fractionation approach was developed to target and identify the active compounds of crude extract. This method was applied to *Artemisia annua* crude ethanolic extract in order to highlight and identify the molecules responsible for its antioxidant capability.

The Molecular Network designed by means of MS/MS spectra of Artemisia annua 442 showed terpenes, flavonoids and coumarins as the major families of the ethanolic 443 crude extract. The complex extract was thereafter split and simplified into CPC 444 fractions with different molecular distributions. Then, antioxidant properties of CPC 445 fractions were evaluated using DPPH, ABTS, FRAP, CUPRAC and iron (II) chelating 446 assays, and only one of the fractions showed high antioxidant capability at the same 447 level as the total extract. FIA-HRMS analysis of the active fraction highlighted one 448 main compound of interest, which was identified using the Molecular Network and 449 GNPS spectral library. Finally, thanks to the combination of these two approaches, 450 the flavonoid compound chrysosplenol D with the molecular formula C₁₈H₁₆O₈ was 451 targeted, among the hundreds of compounds of the extract, and identified as the 452 major molecule contributing for the antioxidant activity of Artemisia annua extract. 453 454 The developed methodology could be extended to target new bioactive compounds with different kind of activity. 455

456 Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Cosmetosciences, a global training and research program dedicated to the cosmetic industry. Located in the heart of the Cosmetic Valley, this program led by University of Orléans is funded by the Région Centre-Val de Loire.

461 **References**

- [1] Bora, K. S., & Sharma, A. (2011). The genus *artemisia* : A comprehensive review.
- 463 *Pharmaceutical Biology*, *49*(1), 101-109.
- 464 https://doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2010.497815

[2] Ćavar, S., Maksimović, M., Vidic, D., & Parić, A. (2012). Chemical composition
and antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of essential oil of *Artemisia annua* L. from
Bosnia. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *37*(1), 479-485.

- 468 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2011.07.024
- [3] Zalkow, L. H., Harris, R. N., Van Derveer, D., & Bertrand, J. A. (1977).
 Isocomene : A novel sesquiterpene from Isocoma Wrightii. X-Ray crystal structure of
 the corresponding diol. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications*,
 (13), 456. https://doi.org/10.1039/c39770000456
- [4] Who. (1994). The role of artemisinin and its derivatives in the current treatment of
 malaria (1994-1995) (Geneva : World health organization), (Unpublished document,
 who/mal/94. 1067).
- [5] Brisibe, E. A., Umoren, U. E., Brisibe, F., Magalhäes, P. M., Ferreira, J. F. S.,
 Luthria, D., Prior, R. L. (2009). Nutritional characterisation and antioxidant capacity of
 different tissues of *Artemisia annua* L. *Food Chemistry*, *115*(4), 1240-1246.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.01.033
- [6] Van der Kooy, F., & Sullivan, S. E. (2013). The complexity of medicinal plants:
 The traditional *Artemisia annua* formulation, current status and future perspectives. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, *150*(1), 1-13.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2013.08.021
- 484 [7] Tu, Y. (2017). From Artemisia annua L. to Artemisinins : The discovery and
 485 development of artemisinins and antimalarial agents.
 486 http://public.eblib.com/choice/publicfullrecord.aspx?p=4908207
- [8] El-Askary, H., Handoussa, H., Badria, F., El-Khatib, A. H., Alsayari, A., Linscheid,
 M. W., & Abdel Motaal, A. (2019). Characterization of hepatoprotective metabolites
 from *artemisia annua* and cleome droserifolia using hplc/pda/esi/ms–ms. *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia*, *29*(2), 213-220.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjp.2018.10.001
- 492 [9] Li, K.-M., Dong, X., Ma, Y.-N., Wu, Z.-H., Yan, Y.-M., & Cheng, Y.-X. (2019).
 493 Antifungal coumarins and lignans from *Artemisia annua*. *Fitoterapia*, *134*, 323-328.
 494 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2019.02.022
- [10] Lang, S. J., Schmiech, M., Hafner, S., Paetz, C., Steinborn, C., Huber, R.,
 Simmet, T. (2019). Antitumor activity of an *Artemisia annua* herbal preparation and
 identification of active ingredients. *Phytomedicine*, *62*, 152962.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2019.152962

[11] Wan, X. L., Niu, Y., Zheng, X. C., Huang, Q., Su, W. P., Zhang, J. F., Wang, T.
(2016). Antioxidant capacities of *Artemisia annua* L. leaves and enzymatically treated
Artemisia annua L. in vitro and in broilers. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *221*, 27-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.08.017

503 [12] Lee, J., Koo, N., & Min, D. B. (2004). Reactive oxygen species, aging, and 504 antioxidative nutraceuticals. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food* 505 *Safety*, *3*(1), 21-33. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-4337.2004.tb00058.x

[13] Zheng, W., & Wang, S. Y. (2001). Antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds
in selected herbs. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *49*(11), 5165-5170.
https://doi.org/10.1021/jf010697n

[14] Chen, Z., Bertin, R., & Froldi, G. (2013). EC50 estimation of antioxidant activity in
DPPH assay using several statistical programs. *Food Chemistry*, 138(1), 414-420.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.11.001

- [15] Kandi Sridhar, Albert Linton Charles. (2019). In vitro antioxidant activity of Kyoho
 grape extracts in DPPH and ABTS assays: Estimation methods for EC50 using
 advanced statistical programs. Food Chemistry 275 (2019) 41–4942
- [16] Wootton-Beard P.C., Moran A., Ryan L. (2011). Stability of the total antioxidant
 capacity and total polyphenol content of 23 commercially available vegetable juices
 before and after in vitro digestion measured by FRAP, DPPH, ABTS and Folin–
 Ciocalteu methods. Food Research International 44 (2011) 217–224
- [17] Gouveia, S. C., & Castilho, P. C. (2013a). Artemisia annua L.: Essential oil and
 acetone extract composition and antioxidant capacity. Industrial Crops and Products,
 45, 170-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.12.022
- [18] Iqbal, S., Younas, U., Chan, K. W., Zia-UI-Haq, M., & Ismail, M. (2012). Chemical
 composition of artemisia annua I. Leaves and antioxidant potential of extracts as a
 function of extraction solvents. *Molecules*, 17(5), 6020 6032.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules17056020
- [19] Smith, C. A., Maille, G. O., Want, E. J., Qin, C., Trauger, S. A., Brandon, T. R.,
 Siuzdak, G. (2005). Metlin : A metabolite mass spectral database. *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring*, *27*(6), 747-751. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ftd.0000179845.53213.39
- [20] Lang, G., Mayhudin, N. A., Mitova, M. I., Sun, L., van der Sar, S., Blunt, J. W., 529 Munro, M. H. G. (2008). Evolving trends in the dereplication of natural product 530 extracts: New methodology for rapid, small-scale investigation of natural product 531 532 extracts. Journal of Natural Products. 71(9), 1595-1599. https://doi.org/10.1021/np8002222 533
- [21] Little, J. L., Williams, A. J., Pshenichnov, A., & Tkachenko, V. (2012).
 Identification of "known unknowns" utilizing accurate mass data and chemspider. *Journal of The American Society for Mass Spectrometry*, *23*(1), 179-185.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-011-0265-y
- 538 [22] Marchal, L., Legrand, J., & Foucault, A. (2003). Centrifugal partition 539 chromatography: A survey of its history, and our recent advances in the field. *The* 540 *Chemical Record*, *3*(3), 133-143. https://doi.org/10.1002/tcr.10057
- [23] Lee SK, Mbwambo ZH, Chung H, Luyengi L, Gamez EJ, Mehta RG, Kinghorn
 AD, Pezzuto JM. Evaluation of the antioxidant potential of natural products. *Comb Chem High Throughput Screen*. 1998 Apr;1(1) 35-46. PMID: 10499128.

[24] Tagliazucchi, D., Verzelloni, E., Bertolini, D., & Conte, A. (2010). In vitro bioaccessibility and antioxidant activity of grape polyphenols. *Food Chemistry*, *120*(2),
599-606. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.10.030

[25] Benzie, I. F. F., & Strain, J. J. (1996). The ferric reducing ability of plasma (Frap)
as a measure of "antioxidant power": The frap assay. *Analytical Biochemistry*, *239*(1), 70-76. https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1996.0292

[26] Apak, R., Güçlü, K., Özyürek, M., & Karademir, S. E. (2004). Novel total 550 antioxidant capacity index for dietary polyphenols and vitamins c and e, using their 551 cupric ion reducing capability in the presence of neocuproine: Cuprac method. 552 Food Chemistry, Journal Agricultural and *52*(26), 7970-7981. 553 of https://doi.org/10.1021/jf048741x 554

- 555 [27] Dinis, T. C. P., Madeira, V. M. C., & Almeida, L. M. (1994). Action of phenolic 556 derivatives (Acetaminophen, salicylate, and 5-aminosalicylate) as inhibitors of 557 membrane lipid peroxidation and as peroxyl radical scavengers. *Archives of* 558 *Biochemistry* and *Biophysics*, 315(1), 161-169. 559 https://doi.org/10.1006/abbi.1994.1485
- [28] Allard, S., Allard, P., Morel, I., & Gicquel, T. (2019). Application of a molecular
 networking approach for clinical and forensic toxicology exemplified in three cases
 involving 3-MeO-PCP, doxylamine, and chlormequat. *Drug Testing and Analysis*,
 11(5), 669 677. https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.2550
- [29] Wolfender, J.-L., Litaudon, M., Touboul, D., & Queiroz, E. F. (2019). Innovative
 omics-based approaches for prioritisation and targeted isolation of natural products –
 new strategies for drug discovery. *Natural Product Reports*, 36(6), 855-868.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C9NP00004F

[30] Fox Ramos, A. E., Evanno, L., Poupon, E., Champy, P., Beniddir, M. A. (2019).
Natural products targeting strategies involving molecular networking: different
manners, one goal. *Natural Product Reports*, 36(7), 960-980.
https://doi.org/10.1039/C9NP00006B

- 572 [31] Frank, A. M., Bandeira, N., Shen, Z., Tanner, S., Briggs, S. P., Smith, R. D., & 573 Pevzner, P. A. (2008). Clustering millions of tandem mass spectra. *Journal of* 574 *Proteome Research*, 7(1), 113-122. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr070361e
- [32] Watrous, J., Roach, P., Alexandrov, T., Heath, B. S., Yang, J. Y., Kersten, R.
 D.,Dorrestein, P. C. (2012). Mass spectral molecular networking of living microbial
 colonies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*(26), E1743-E1752.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203689109
- [33] Wang, M., Carver, J. J., Phelan, V. V., Sanchez, L. M., Garg, N., Peng, Y.,
 Bandeira, N. (2016). Sharing and community curation of mass spectrometry data with
 Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking. *Nature Biotechnology*, *34*(8),
 828-837. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3597

[34] Borges Bubols, G., da Rocha Vianna, D., Medina-Remon, A., von Poser, G.,
Maria Lamuela-Raventos, R., Lucia Eifler-Lima, V., & Cristina Garcia, S. (2013). The
antioxidant activity of coumarins and flavonoids. *Mini Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry*, *13*(3), 318-334. https://doi.org/10.2174/138955713804999775

- [35] Ferreira, J. F. S., Luthria, D. L., Sasaki, T., & Heyerick, A. (2010). Flavonoids
 from artemisia annua I. As antioxidants and their potential synergism with artemisinin
 against malaria and cancer. *Molecules*, 15(5), 3135 3170.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules15053135
- 591 [36] Chen, Z., Bertin, R., & Froldi, G. (2013). EC50 estimation of antioxidant activity in 592 DPPH assay using several statistical programs. *Food Chemistry*, 138, 414–420.
- [37] Shalaby A., Sanaa M. M. Shanab. (2013). Comparison of DPPH and ABTS
 assays for determining antioxidant potential of water and methanol extracts of
 Spirulina platensis. *Indian journal of geo-marine sciences* 42(5): 556-564
- [38] Tirzitis, G., & Bartosz, G. (2010). Determination of antiradical and antioxidant
 activity: Basic principles and new insights. *Acta Biochimica Polonica*, 57(2).
 https://doi.org/10.18388/abp.2010_2386
- [39] Mira, L., Tereza Fernandez, M., Santos, M., Rocha, R., Helena Florêncio, M., &
 Jennings, K. R. (2002). Interactions of flavonoids with iron and copper ions: A
 mechanism for their antioxidant activity. *Free Radical Research*, 36(11), 1199-1208.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/1071576021000016463
- [40] Schymanski, E. L., Jeon, J., Gulde, R., Fenner, K., Ruff, M., Singer, H. P., &
 Hollender, J. (2014). Identifying small molecules via high resolution mass
 spectrometry: Communicating confidence. *Environmental Science & Technology*,
 48(4), 2097-2098. https://doi.org/10.1021/es5002105
- [41] Wang, S., Cai, T., Liu, H., Yang, A., & Xing, J. (2019). Liquid chromatography
 tandem mass spectrometry assay for the simultaneous determination of three major
 flavonoids and their glucuronidated metabolites in rats after oral administration of
 Artemisia annua L. extract at a therapeutic ultra low dose. *Journal of Separation Science*, 42(21), 3330 3339. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201900668

Table 1: Annotated compounds on the Molecular Network of *Artemisia annua* ethanolic extract

Meas	ured	Molecular	Calculated	Error	Compound	References	Cosine
<i>m/z</i> [N	/I+H]⁺	formula [M]	<i>m/z</i> [M+H]+	(ppm)	assignment		score
283.1	1540	C15H22O5	283.1540	0.1	Artemisinin	Standard	0.73
147.0)439	$C_9H_6O_2$	147.0441	1.2	Coumarin	[7]	-
331.0)813	C17H14O7	331.0812	0.4	Circiliol	[7]	-
249.1	1485	$C_{15}H_{20}O_{3}$	249.1485	0.0	Arteannuin acid	[7]	-
375.1	1074	C ₁₉ H ₁₈ O ₈	375.1074	0.1	Casticin	[7]	0.80
315.0)865	$C_{17}H_{14}O_6$	315.0863	0.5	Cisimaritin	[7]	-
235.1	699	$C_{15}H_{22}O_2$	235.1693	2.7	Artemisinic acid	[7]	0.77
389.1	236	C ₂₀ H ₂₀ O ₈	389.1231	0.5	Artemetin	[7]	-
359.1	1126	C ₁₉ H ₁₈ O ₇	359.1125	0.3	Retusin	[7]	-
361.0)915	C ₁₈ H ₁₆ O ₈	361.0915	0.1	chrysosplenol D	[7]	0.89

Table 2: Activity of the crude extract and the 13 CPC fractions at 0.5 mg/mL evaluated on

617	DPPH, ABTS, FRAP,	, CUPRAC and Iron chelation a	assays
-----	-------------------	-------------------------------	--------

	DPPH % radical inhibition	ABTS % radical inhibition	FRAP % reduction capacity	CUPRAC % reduction capacity	Iron Chelation % chelation capacity
Artemisia					
annua extract	64.13±0.8 [°]	29.9±0.6 [°]	22.2±0.7 [^]	12.35±0.2 [°]	100.7±0.8 ^{**}
Fraction 1	24.8±0.5*	15.6±0.3 [*]	12.6±0.5*	5.0±0.3*	74.7±0.6*
Fraction 2	76.3±0.8 [*]	20.5±0.4 [*]	25.9±0.7*	10.6±0.3 [*]	100.7±0.8**
Fraction 3	19.3±0.3 [*]	6.5±0.1	7.8±0.3	2.9±0.1	53.3±0.6
Fraction 4	5.5±0.1	4.2±0.4	7.2±0.1	3.2±0.1	55.0±0.3
Fraction 5	5.3±0.3	3.0±0.6	7.5±0.1	4.4±0.2	55.1±0.5
Fraction 6	5.3±0.1	3.1±0.4	6.5±0.1	2.4±0.1	47.5±0.2 [*]
Fraction 7	1.7±0.1	3.3±0.2	5.0±0.1	1.6±0.05	35.9±0.2*
Fraction 8	4.2±0.2	1.4±0.3	4.6±0.2	1.6±0.05	52.2±0.8
Fraction 9	1.6±0.1	1.6±0.3	4.4±0.1	1.6±0.05	51.4±0.8
Fraction 10	2.3±0.1	0.2±0.05	4.6±0.1	1.6±0.1	52.52±0.4
Fraction 11	4.6±0.1	3.9±0.1	5.4±0.2	2.0±0.05	58.92±0.8
Fraction 12	5.5±0.2	3.0±0.1	5.2±0.1	1.7±0.05	57.3±0.6
Fraction 13	3.8±0.3	1.8±0.1	4.9±0.1	1.6±0.05	55.2±0.7

618

619 ^{*} denotes significantly different (ANOVA *p*-value < 0.05)

620 ** denotes significantly different from the other but not significantly different between them

621

622 Figure Captions

Figure 1: Base Peak Chromatogram (BPC) of *Artemisia annua* ethanolic extract obtained using UHPLC-HRMS in positive ionization mode (ESI+). The separation was performed at 60°C on a Fortis C18 column (150 x 2.1 mm; 1.7 μ m) with gradient elution of water and acetonitrile/isopropanol (50/50) both acidified with 0.1% of formic acid.

Figure 2: Molecular Network of *Artemisia annua* crude extract obtained using GNPS platform and visualized with Cytoscape 3.6.1 software.

Figure 3: Antioxidant activity results of *Artemisia annua* extract with its CPC fractions.

Figure 4: (A) Focusing in the Molecular Network on the ion of interest contained in the active CPC Fraction 2. (B) The UV chromatogram of fraction 2 at $\lambda = 280$ nm, the separation was performed on a Fortis C18 column (150 x 2.1 mm; 1.7 µm) at 60°C using water and acetonitrile/isopropanol (50/50) both acidified with 0.1% formic acid, the flow was 300 µL/min. (C) FIA-HRMS mass spectrum of active CPC Fraction 2 of *Artemisia annua* crude extract. (D) Proposed structure for the main compound of Fraction 2.

- 638
- 639
- 640







