

Somatic complications and nutritional management of anorexia nervosa

Camille Gosseaume, Marika Dicembre, Pauline Bemer, Jean-Claude Melchior,

Mouna Hanachi

▶ To cite this version:

Camille Gosseaume, Marika Dicembre, Pauline Bemer, Jean-Claude Melchior, Mouna Hanachi. Somatic complications and nutritional management of anorexia nervosa. Clinical Nutrition Experimental, 2019, 28, pp.2 - 10. 10.1016/j.yclnex.2019.09.001. hal-03489280

HAL Id: hal-03489280 https://hal.science/hal-03489280v1

Submitted on 21 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Intestinal microbiota and Anorexia Nervosa				
2					
3	Dr. Jonathan Breton ¹ , Pr. Pierre Déchelotte ^{1,2} and Dr. David Ribet ^{1,*}				
4					
5	1. Nutrition, Inflammation et Dysfonction de l'Axe Intestin-Cerveau, INSERM U1073,				
6	Institute for Research and Innovation in Biomedicine (IRIB), Normandie Université,				
7	Université de Rouen, Rouen, France.				
8	2. Rouen University Hospital, Nutrition Department, Rouen, France				
9					
10					
11	* Correspondence : david.ribet@inserm.fr				
12	U1073 – UFR Santé				
13	22 Boulevard Gambetta				
14	76183 ROUEN CEDEX				
15	Tel : +33 2 35 14 82 40				
16					
17	KEYWORDS				
18	microbiota; anorexia nervosa; eating disorder; functional gastrointestinal disorders; anxiety;				

19 depression

20 ABSTRACT

21

22 Anorexia nervosa is an eating disorder characterized by a severe malnutrition, an intense fear of gaining weight and a disturbed self-body image. Anorexia is conventionally 23 24 considered as a multifactorial disease depending on biological, psychological and socio-25 cultural factors. Among the different biological factors involved in this eating disorder, the 26 gut microbiota has recently gained a lot of attention. Indeed, the identification of an intestinal 27 microbiota dysbiosis in individuals with anorexia has opened new and promising lines of 28 research. These researches focus in particular on the role of intestinal micro-organisms in the functional gastrointestinal disorders associated with Anorexia, in anxiety and depression-29 30 related disorders as well as in the regulation of eating behavior. This review aims to present the current knowledge about the putative role of the gut microbiota in the pathogenesis, 31 32 course and treatment of Anorexia nervosa.

34

Anorexia Nervosa (AN) is a highly morbid disease characterized by a significant 35 malnutrition (Body Mass Index (BMI) below 18.5 kg.m⁻²), an intense fear of gaining weight, 36 and a disturbed self-body image (DSM-V diagnostic criteria) (1). This disease mainly occurs 37 in adolescent girls or young women. Prevalence of AN has been estimated to 1.4% for women 38 39 and 0.2% for men, and steadily increases in most countries (2). Anorexia Nervosa has the 40 highest mortality rate of any psychiatric illness, with a standardized mortality ratio >5 (ratio 41 of observed deaths in anorectic patients to expected deaths in the general population) (3). Two 42 subtypes of AN can be distinguished: restricting type (where patients limit their food intake to 43 decrease body weight) and purging type (where patients use self-induced vomiting or take laxatives to counter act food intake) (1). Anorectic patients often present with comorbid 44 45 anxiety and depression (4,5). Treatment of Anorexia Nervosa is currently based on 46 psychological approaches and refeeding approaches. Refeeding is unfortunately reported to be 47 unconformable and distressing to patients, and often fail to restore a healthy body fat 48 composition (6). Weight restoration is however of critical importance to the healing process. Indeed, higher BMI correlates with better outcome after treatment and prevent depression and 49 50 severe somatic sequalae, including osteoporosis and infertility (7-9). Anorexia Nervosa is 51 conventionally considered as a multifactorial disease depending on biological, psychological 52 and socio-cultural factors. Unfortunately, the etiology and the pathophysiology of this disease 53 remain incompletely understood and treatments targeting the causal factors of AN are still 54 lacking (10). As a consequence, current treatment efficacy remains limited since 40% of 55 anorectic patients after 10 years of medical care still show prolonged symptoms and 56 disabilities (11). This highlights the importance to develop new alternative therapies against 57 AN.

58 Among the different biological factors potentially involved in this eating disorder, the 59 gut microbiota has recently gained a lot of attention. Indeed, the intestinal microbiota, which 60 corresponds to the community of micro-organisms living in the intestine, has recently been 61 involved in weight regulation, fat storage and energy harvest from diet, as well as in eating behavior, anxiety and depression (12-15). As these parameters constitute key facets of AN, 62 this has logically raised the question of the potential role of the gut microbiota in Anorexia 63 Nervosa onset and maintenance, which constitutes a new and promising area of research (16-64 65 25). This review aims to present the current knowledge about the putative role of the gut microbiota in the pathogenesis, course and treatment of AN. 66

67

68 Why gut microbiota may be a critical player during AN?

69

70 The intestinal microbiota is an ecosystem composed by bacteria, archaea, microeukaryotes (including fungi and protozoans) and viruses, living in symbiotic 71 72 relationships within the human host. Bacteria outnumber archaea and microeukaryotes in the 73 human microbiome (26). Recent estimations determined that the number of bacteria in the gut is of the same order as the number of human cells in the whole body (i.e. $\sim 4.0 \times 10^{13}$ bacteria 74 75 per individual (27)). The dominant bacterial phyla in the gut are Firmicutes ($\sim 60-65\%$), 76 Bacteroidetes (~20-25%), Proteobacteria (~5-10%) and Actinobacteria (~3%)(13). Despite the consistency of these phyla, the hundreds of bacterial species present in the gut greatly vary 77 between individuals. In contrast to bacterial species variability, the functional gene profiles of 78 bacteria are quite similar between individuals, suggesting the existence of shared core 79 80 functions (28). The functions performed by intestinal micro-organisms include digestion and 81 fermentation of nutrients, in particular carbohydrates and amino acids, and production of essential metabolites such as short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and vitamin B and K. In 82

addition, the microbiota plays a key role in the development and the stimulation of the
immune system, and constitutes an efficient barrier against exogenous pathogens (29).

The abundance and the composition of the gut microbiota is influenced by many 85 86 factors, including diet (30-32). Indeed, long-term as well as short-term dietary changes can induce measurable microbial shifts (30,32). Particular cases such as severe malnutrition were 87 reported to trigger gut microbiota imbalance (also called dysbiosis). Kwashiorkor, for 88 example, is a severe acute form of malnutrition often observed in developing countries 89 90 characterized by generalized edema, hepatic steatosis, ulcerative rashes and anorexia. This 91 malnutrition was correlated to an altered composition of the gut microbiota, which, in turn, 92 can influence the consequences of this malnutrition (33). Indeed, transplantation of bacterial 93 species from children with Kwashiorkor into germ-free mice fed with a low caloric density and nutrient-deficient diet resulted in a significantly greater weight loss in recipient mice than 94 95 those harboring the microbiota of healthy infants (33). Given that diet strongly influences gut 96 microbiota composition, it is not surprising that many studies have now established that 97 anorectic patients' intestinal microbiota differ in composition from healthy individuals (see 98 below). Indeed, the diet of anorectic patients is strongly altered, both quantitatively and qualitatively (low in fat and calorie intakes, and high in fiber). An obvious interpretation 99 100 would be that this altered diet reshapes anorectic patients gut microbiota, which may 101 exacerbate or perpetuate AN by modulating weight loss, eating behavior, mood and intestinal 102 physiology. Another interesting hypothesis would be that a gut dysbiosis may precede the 103 onset of AN and triggers the start of a vicious cycle where the aberrant diet of these patients 104 further alter the composition of the intestinal ecosystem, which may then exacerbate the 105 clinical symptoms of this disease.

106

109

110 The first results suggesting that the intestinal microbiota from anorectic patients may 111 differ from healthy individuals came from the quantification of specific bacterial and archaeal 112 species, using quantitative PCR, in stools from anorectic patients compared to healthy 113 individuals (34). These studies observed an increased level in the methanogen 114 Methanobrevibacter smithii in the intestinal microbiota from 9 AN patients compared to 20 115 normal-weight healthy controls (34). Interestingly, M. smithii, as well as other methanogens, 116 improves microbial fermentation and the transformation of nutrients into calories by 117 metabolizing H₂ excess from the gut into methane. This increase in *M. smithii* could thus 118 reflect an adaptive response towards energy extraction optimization from the very low calory 119 diet absorbed by anorectic patients (34). This increase in M. smithii could also be related to constipation, a frequent functional intestinal disorder observed in anorectic patients (see 120 121 below). Indeed, increase in methane producing-bacteria has been reported in patients 122 suffering from constipation, and more particularly in patients with a constipation-predominant 123 Irritable Bowel Syndrome (C-IBS) (35,36). In these patients, the load of M. smithii is 124 negatively correlated with the stool frequency and there is now some evidence suggesting 125 that methane slows gastrointestinal motility down and thus may contribute to constipation 126 (35-37).

Following this first evidence of gut dysbiosis occurring in anorectic patients, a study confirmed the increased levels of *M. smithii* in fecal samples from individuals with BMI<25 kg.m⁻² compared to individuals with BMI>25 kg.m⁻² (38). Another analysis, using culturebased experiments, identified 11 previously undescribed bacterial species in a stool sample from a single AN patient (39). Whether these species are uniquely associated with AN was not addressed. These three first studies were rapidly completed by several large-scale analysis

of the microbiota composition in AN patients, that provided new insights in the dysbiosis 133 134 associated with anorexia (40-46) (Fig. 2). Of note, the dysbiosis occurring in AN patients 135 does not affect only Eubacteria and Archaea but most probably all the intestinal ecosystem of 136 these individuals, which includes viruses and eukaryotes. Consistently, a study focusing on 137 the gut microeukaryotes of a patient suffering from AN identified a decrease in fungi diversity 138 and detected four species previously unreported in the human gut (47). Again, these results 139 need to be generalized to other AN patients and completed by large-scale analysis of the 140 diversity of viruses and eukaryotes in these patients.

141 Measurement of bacterial abundance and alpha diversity (*i.e.* bacterial species richness 142 in one individual) of anorectic patients' microbiota are diverging between studies: some 143 studies observed decreases while other studies showed no alteration of these parameters 144 compared to healthy individuals (40-43,45,46). It is thus difficult to draw definitive 145 conclusions on the modification of these parameters during AN. Regarding the 146 Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio, or the identity of bacterial taxa showing altered levels in 147 anorectic patients, diverging results are also observed between studies (Fig. 2). No clear 148 pattern was actually consistently observed in anorectic patients in currently published studies. 149 There are several reasons that may explain these discrepancies such as the sample collection 150 procedures, the quantification method of bacterial species in feces samples, the selection 151 method of the control group, the choice of timepoint for investigation, or the level of calorie 152 intake by patients (21). It is also important to note that the number of patients included in 153 these cohorts is quite low (from 10 to 60 patients) compared to other studies focusing on 154 disease-related alteration of gut microbiota (such as obesity or type 1 diabetes, where several 155 hundreds of patients are included).

Thus, there is now evidence that the gut microbiota composition is altered in anorecticpatients. However, the exact features of this dysbiosis remain to be unraveled. This will

require large and controlled studies where anorectic patients will be precisely phenotyped, in particular regarding their intestinal transit time (stool consistency) or the occurrence of functional gastrointestinal disorder or comorbidities, such as anxiety and depression (see below). This better characterization of the gut dysbiosis in anorectic patients would be instrumental to understand the exact role of the intestinal microbiota in the different features of AN.

164 Of note, most of the studies discussed here focused on bacteria recovered from faeces 165 samples. It should be kept in mind that faeces samples only constitute proxies to characterize 166 the gut microbiome and that the actual ecosystems present in the colon or in the small 167 intestine may significantly diverge. It is also important to consider the importance of 168 longitudinal studies in the case of anorexia. In particular, refeeding protocols during 169 hospitalization of these patients may strongly affect their gut microbiota composition. Indeed, 170 the diet of AN patients after hospitalization is quickly changed to a high-calorie diet rich in fat 171 and carbohydrates. Although some studies have started to dissect microbiota changes before 172 and after refeeding during anorexia, more systematic longitudinal studies would be informative to identify specific micro-organisms associated with either health recovery or 173 174 relapse (22,41,42,44).

Finally, in addition to these studies of patients' gut microbiota, analysis of gut dysbiosis can be performed in animal models of AN. Interestingly, several changes in bacterial species levels have been observed in the Activity-based Anorexia (ABA) rat model (48) (Fig. 2). This suggests that animal models may constitute a reliable tool to study microbiota-dependent mechanisms involved in AN.

180

181 Potential involvement of gut microbiota in anorexia nervosa pathophysiology

• Functional gastrointestinal disorders

184

AN is frequently associated with functional gastrointestinal disorders, which exacerbate patient distress, decrease their quality of life and complicate disease treatment (49,50). In particular, anorectic patients frequently suffer from delayed gastric emptying, constipation or visceral hypersensitivity. These disorders challenge refeeding strategies resulting in low treatment acceptance and high dropout, increased anxiety linked to food consumption, and finally lead to prolonged nutritional and digestive impairments (49,50).

191 A link between gut microbiota and gastrointestinal disorders has been proposed in 192 other pathological processes such as Irritable Bowel Syndrome or chronic constipation 193 (51,52,53). Indeed, it has been shown that transplantation of fecal microbiota from patients 194 with chronic constipation into recipient mice leads to a reduction of intestinal peristalsis, a 195 decrease in defecation frequency and an increase in gastrointestinal transit time (51). 196 Similarly, transplantation of fecal microbiota from patients suffering from IBS with diarrhea 197 (IBS-D) into recipient mice is correlated with a faster gastrointestinal transit, dysfunctions of 198 the intestinal barrier as well as anxiety-like behavior (53). Finally, hypersensitivity to colonic 199 distention of IBS patients can be transferred to rats through their fecal microbiota (54). 200 Disruption of the intestinal barrier and increased intestinal permeability has been involved in 201 the pathophysiology of functional gastrointestinal disorders (55). Interestingly, the gut 202 microbiota plays a pivotal role in the regulation of the gut barrier permeability, in particular 203 via the regulation of tight junctions proteins expression (55). As a consequence, dysbiosis 204 may lead to gene expression dysregulation followed by an increase in intestinal barrier 205 permeability and a low-grade inflammation. Increased intestinal permeability and low-grade 206 inflammation in the colon have been observed in the Activity-Based Anorexia rodent model 207 (56,57). In AN patients, a decrease in intestinal permeability has been reported in the small intestine, based on urinary recovery of lactulose (58). Whether intestinal permeability isincreased in the colon of anorectic patients remains currently unknown.

210 According to these established links between intestinal micro-organisms and 211 gastrointestinal dysfunctions, we can hypothesize that the observed gut dysbiosis in anorectic 212 patients may contribute to the onset or maintenance of functional gastrointestinal disorders 213 associated with AN. As already discussed above, the observed increase in M. smithii in the 214 intestine of anorectic patients may for instance contribute to constipation through the 215 production of methane by this Archaea, which slows down intestinal motility (36). The 216 putative role of the microbiota in functional gastrointestinal disorders has recently been 217 highlighted by a study on severely malnourished anorectic patients, which identified 218 correlations between changes in specific bacterial genera with severity of functional 219 gastrointestinal disorders (46). Characterization of the involvement of gut microbes in these 220 functional intestinal disorders is essential as it would help to identify new putative therapeutic 221 targets in order to decrease these disorders and improve quality of life and treatment 222 efficiency of AN.

223

• Anxiety- and depression-related disorders

225

Psychiatric disorders such as anxiety, depression, affective disorders, substance use
disorder or personality disorders are often observed in anorectic patients. For example, up to
80% of individuals with AN will experience major depression at some point in their lifetime,
and up to 75% will suffer from anxiety disorders (4,5). These disorders constitute risk factors
for poorer long-term outcomes (4,59,60). In particular, anorectic patients with a psychiatric
comorbidity have higher mortality rates than those without comorbidities (60).

232 As in the case of functional gastrointestinal disorders, several evidence are now 233 linking gut microbes with psychiatric disorders such as anxiety or depression (14,61). Fecal 234 content transfer from an innately stress-sensitive mouse strain to non-anxious mice, for 235 example, is sufficient to induce anxiety-like phenotypes in recipient mice (62). Along the 236 same line, fecal microbiota transfer from depressed patients to rats induce behavioral and 237 psychological features characteristic of depression in recipient rodents (63). In addition, 238 comparisons of fecal samples from patients with depression with healthy controls highlighted 239 alterations in the levels of several bacterial genera, although no clear consensus has yet 240 emerged from existing human studies (64).

Identifying whether specific intestinal micro-organisms correlate with anxiety and depression in anorectic patients is of interest as it will help understanding the role of the intestinal microbes in these comorbidities of AN. Interestingly, preliminary studies correlating anorectic patients dysbiosis and their level of anxiety and depression identified negative association between the number of observed bacterial species and the level of depression in patients, thereby strengthening the hypothesis of a role of gut microbiota in psychiatric disorders during AN (41).

248

	249	٠	Regulation	of eating behavio	r
--	-----	---	------------	-------------------	---

250

Appetite in humans is regulated by the balance between energy intake and expenditure. A shift towards low food intake and high energy expenditure normally increases appetite. In the case of AN, patients are unable to adapt their feeding behavior to the body's energy expenditure. This raised the hypothesis that anorectic patients exhibit dysfunctions in appetite regulation and more particularly in the hypothalamic orexigenic/anorexigenic neuropeptides pathways (10,65). Of course, this dysregulation in neuropeptides controlling

appetite may not be the only determinant in this complex diseases and other mechanisms such
as abnormally high reward process for starvation and maintenance of thinness have also been
proposed for AN (10,66).

260 Interestingly, recent evidence suggests that appetite may not only be regulated by the 261 body's energy expenditure but also by the energy needs from gut bacteria, which may be 262 distinct from those of the host (15). Indeed, there might be conflicts between host and 263 microbes in the gut which are fully dependent on their hosts for providing the nutrients 264 necessary for their survival. Thus, intestinal micro-organisms may manipulate host eating 265 behavior to promote their own fitness (67). Several mechanisms have now been characterized 266 showing how gut microbes manipulate eating behavior of their host (15,67). These 267 mechanisms rely on the production of a variety of molecules that may either regulate the production of neurohormones involved in mood and eating behavior or act directly as 268 269 neurohormone-like molecules. For instance, the production of SCFAs, as a result of 270 polysaccharides fermentation by intestinal bacteria, can directly act on enteroendocrine cells 271 of the intestinal epithelium and activate the release of hormones contributing to satiation such 272 as PYY (Peptide tyrosine tyrosine) or GLP1 (Glucagon-Like Peptide 1) (68). Bacteria may 273 also affect eating behavior by producing compounds reaching the bloodstream and acting at 274 distal sites. The targets of these bacteria-derived molecules are thus not only the local enteric 275 nervous system but many other distant organs including the brain (69).

In contrast to other endocrine organs of the human body, the gut microbiota thus constitutes a "metabolic organ" that has the potential to produce hundreds of different "signaling" molecules. Some of these molecules can be identical to human neurotransmitters or hormones. This is the case for gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), produced by strains of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* (70), or for monoamines such as noradrenaline, dopamine or serotonin, produced by diverse strains of intestinal bacteria (69). The level of these

282 molecules in the body can thus, at least in part, be regulated by gut microbes. Other molecules 283 produced by bacteria can mimic host hormones. This is the case for the bacterial ClpB protein 284 (for Caseinolytic peptidase B protein homologue), that shares some homology with the alpha-285 melanocyte-stimulating (alpha-MSH) hormone, involved in appetite control (15,71). Whereas 286 intragastric gavage of E. coli in mice reduces food intake and body weight, gavage of ClpB-287 deficient E. coli failed to modulate food consumption, suggesting an important role of this 288 protein in host eating behavior (71). ClpB, which is produced by intestinal bacteria, can be 289 detected in the plasma of both rodents and humans and can directly activate neurons 290 producing pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC), a precursor of the anorexigenic alpha-MSH 291 neuropeptide. ClpB thus constitutes an example of a bacteria-derived molecule linking the gut 292 microbiota with hypothalamic circuits controlling host appetite (72,73).

Taken together, these data on the role of the gut microbiota in the regulation of eating behavior suggest that the observed dysbiosis in anorectic patients may be involved in the disbalance of food intake control and may favor the maintenance or onset of this eating disorder. This hypothesis is strengthened by the recent observation of elevated ClpB levels in a variety of eating disorders (73). Comparing the levels of bacterial metabolites between anorectic patients and healthy individuals will help to identify new putative bacteria-derived molecules involved in the aberrant eating behavior of individuals with AN.

300

301 *Conclusion*

302

Whereas several studies established that anorectic patients have a dysbiotic intestinal microbiota, the putative consequences of this dysbiosis remain hypothetical. A major challenge in the microbiota field is how to move from observational to mechanistic studies. In other words, how to move from correlations to the demonstration of the role of the microbiota

307 in a given pathology? Much efforts is now required to identify how remodeling of the gut 308 microbiota may impact the onset or the course of anorexia. Animal models of AN may 309 constitute interesting tools to tackle these questions (74). Transplantation of microbiota from 310 anorectic patients to mice and measurement of intestinal physiology alterations, metabolic and 311 behavioral changes specific to the composition of the grafted microbiota would also greatly 312 help to identify enteric micro-organisms that have a detrimental or beneficial impact in AN. 313 This would in particular help to identify bacterial species whose promotion or elimination 314 would improve the efficiency of weight restoration, as well as patients' quality of life. These 315 bacteria may in particular constitute targets to decrease functional gastrointestinal disorders 316 and anxiety or depression disorders associated with AN. The publication of preliminary data 317 on the clinical efficacy of fecal microbiota transfer in anorectic patients has recently 318 confirmed the therapeutic potential of gut microbiota targeting in Anorexia Nervosa (75). 319 Taken together, these experiments will pave the way for the development of innovative 320 treatments, including pharmaconutrition, microbiota modulation or the use of microbiota-321 derived drugs, as a complement to refeeding and psychological strategies to fight this frequent 322 and highly morbid disease.

323 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

324

We apologize to all colleagues whose work we were unable to include owing to space constraints. Authors received support from INSERM, Rouen University, Rouen University Hospital, the iXcore Foundation for Research and Janssen Horizon.

328

329 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

330

- 331 Jonathan Breton: Writing-Original draft, Review & editing. Pierre Déchelotte : Writing-
- 332 Original draft, Review & editing. **David Ribet:** Writing-Original draft, Review & editing.

333

334 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

335 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

336 REFERENCES

Call C, Walsh BT, Attia E. From DSM-IV to DSM-5: changes to eating disorder
 diagnoses. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2013;26(6):532-6.

339 2. Galmiche M, Déchelotte P, Lambert G, Tavolacci M. Prevalence of eating disorders
340 over the 2000-2018 period: a systematic literature review. Am J Clin Nutr. (in press)

341 3. Arcelus J, Mitchell AJ, Wales J, Nielsen S. Mortality rates in patients with anorexia
and other eating disorders. A meta-analysis of 36 studies. Arch Gen Psychiatry.
2011;68(7):724-31.

Kaye WH, Bulik CM, Thornton L, Barbarich N, Masters K. Comorbidity of anxiety
 disorders with anorexia and bulimia nervosa. Am J Psychiatry. 2004;161(12):2215-21.

5. Fernandez-Aranda F, Pinheiro AP, Tozzi F, Thornton LM, Fichter MM, Halmi KA, et
al. Symptom profile of major depressive disorder in women with eating disorders. Aust N Z J
Psychiatry. 2007;41(1):24-31.

Mayer L, Walsh BT, Pierson RN, Jr., Heymsfield SB, Gallagher D, Wang J, et al.
Body fat redistribution after weight gain in women with anorexia nervosa. Am J Clin Nutr.
2005;81(6):1286-91.

352 7. Kaplan AS, Walsh BT, Olmsted M, Attia E, Carter JC, Devlin MJ, et al. The slippery
353 slope: prediction of successful weight maintenance in anorexia nervosa. Psychol Med.
354 2009;39(6):1037-45.

355 8. Misra M, Golden NH, Katzman DK. State of the art systematic review of bone disease
356 in anorexia nervosa. Int J Eat Disord. 2016;49(3):276-92.

357 9. Meehan KG, Loeb KL, Roberto CA, Attia E. Mood change during weight restoration
358 in patients with anorexia nervosa. Int J Eat Disord. 2006;39(7):587-9.

359 10. Gorwood P, Blanchet-Collet C, Chartrel N, Duclos J, Dechelotte P, Hanachi M, et al.
360 New Insights in Anorexia Nervosa. Front Neurosci. 2016;10:256.

Rigaud D, Pennacchio H, Bizeul C, Reveillard V, Verges B. Outcome in AN adult
patients: a 13-year follow-up in 484 patients. Diabetes Metab. 2011;37(4):305-11.

363 12. Torres-Fuentes C, Schellekens H, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. The microbiota-gut-brain axis
364 in obesity. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017;2(10):747-56.

365 13. Rosenbaum M, Knight R, Leibel RL. The gut microbiota in human energy
366 homeostasis and obesity. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2015;26(9):493-501.

367 14. Foster JA, McVey Neufeld KA. Gut-brain axis: how the microbiome influences368 anxiety and depression. Trends Neurosci. 2013;36(5):305-12.

369 15. Fetissov SO. Role of the gut microbiota in host appetite control: bacterial growth to370 animal feeding behaviour. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2017;13(1):11-25.

- 371 16. Kleiman SC, Carroll IM, Tarantino LM, Bulik CM. Gut feelings: A role for the
 372 intestinal microbiota in anorexia nervosa? Int J Eat Disord. 2015;48(5):449-51.
- 373 17. Carr J, Kleiman SC, Bulik CM, Bulik-Sullivan EC, Carroll IM. Can attention to the
 374 intestinal microbiota improve understanding and treatment of anorexia nervosa? Expert Rev
 375 Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016;10(5):565-9.
- 18. Karakula-Juchnowicz H, Pankowicz H, Juchnowicz D, Valverde Piedra JL, MaleckaMassalska T. Intestinal microbiota a key to understanding the pathophysiology of anorexia
 nervosa? Psychiatr Pol. 2017;51(5):859-70.
- Herpertz-Dahlmann B, Seitz J, Baines J. Food matters: how the microbiome and gutbrain interaction might impact the development and course of anorexia nervosa. Eur Child
 Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017;26(9):1031-41.
- 382 20. Aurigemma NC, Koltun KJ, VanEvery H, Rogers CJ, De Souza MJ. Linking the Gut
 383 Microbiota to Bone Health in Anorexia Nervosa. Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2018;16(1):65-75.
- Schwensen HF, Kan C, Treasure J, Hoiby N, Sjogren M. A systematic review of
 studies on the faecal microbiota in anorexia nervosa: future research may need to include
 microbiota from the small intestine. Eat Weight Disord. 2018;23(4):399-418.
- 387 22. Ruusunen A, Rocks T, Jacka F, Loughman A. The gut microbiome in anorexia
 388 nervosa: relevance for nutritional rehabilitation. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2019.
- 389 23. Seitz J, Trinh S, Herpertz-Dahlmann B. The Microbiome and Eating Disorders.
 390 Psychiatr Clin North Am. 2019;42(1):93-103.
- 391 24. Mendez-Figueroa V, Biscaia JM, Mohedano RB, Blanco-Fernandez A, Bailen M,
- Bressa C, et al. Can Gut Microbiota and Lifestyle Help Us in the Handling of AnorexiaNervosa Patients? Microorganisms. 2019;7(2).
- 394 25. Roubalova R, Prochazkova P, Papezova H, Smitka K, Bilej M, Tlaskalova-Hogenova
 395 H. Anorexia nervosa: Gut microbiota-immune-brain interactions. Clin Nutr. 2019.
- 26. Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, et al. A human gut
 microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature.
 2010;464(7285):59-65.
- 399 27. Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. Revised Estimates for the Number of Human and Bacteria
 400 Cells in the Body. PLoS Biol. 2016;14(8):e1002533.
- 401 28. Lozupone CA, Stombaugh JI, Gordon JI, Jansson JK, Knight R. Diversity, stability
 402 and resilience of the human gut microbiota. Nature. 2012;489(7415):220-30.

- 403 29. Libertucci J, Young VB. The role of the microbiota in infectious diseases. Nat
 404 Microbiol. 2019;4(1):35-45.
- 30. Dore J, Blottiere H. The influence of diet on the gut microbiota and its consequencesfor health. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2015;32:195-9.
- Graf D, Di Cagno R, Fak F, Flint HJ, Nyman M, Saarela M, et al. Contribution of diet
 to the composition of the human gut microbiota. Microb Ecol Health Dis. 2015;26:26164.
- 32. Zmora N, Suez J, Elinav E. You are what you eat: diet, health and the gut microbiota.
 Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018.
- 33. Smith MI, Yatsunenko T, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Mkakosya R, Cheng J, et al. Gut
 microbiomes of Malawian twin pairs discordant for kwashiorkor. Science.
 2013;339(6119):548-54.
- 414 34. Armougom F, Henry M, Vialettes B, Raccah D, Raoult D. Monitoring bacterial
 415 community of human gut microbiota reveals an increase in *Lactobacillus* in obese patients
 416 and Methanogens in anorexic patients. PLoS One. 2009;4(9):e7125.
- 417 35. Fiedorek SC, Pumphrey CL, Casteel HB. Breath methane production in children with
 418 constipation and encopresis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 1990;10(4):473-7.
- Ghoshal U, Shukla R, Srivastava D, Ghoshal UC. Irritable Bowel Syndrome,
 Particularly the Constipation-Predominant Form, Involves an Increase in *Methanobrevibacter smithii*, Which Is Associated with Higher Methane Production. Gut Liver. 2016;10(6):932-8.
- 422 37. Gottlieb K, Wacher V, Sliman J, Pimentel M. Review article: inhibition of
 423 methanogenic archaea by statins as a targeted management strategy for constipation and
 424 related disorders. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2016;43(2):197-212.
- 425 38. Million M, Angelakis E, Maraninchi M, Henry M, Giorgi R, Valero R, et al.
 426 Correlation between body mass index and gut concentrations of *Lactobacillus reuteri*,
- 427 Bifidobacterium animalis, Methanobrevibacter smithii and Escherichia coli. Int J Obes
- 428 (Lond). 2013;37(11):1460-6.
- 429 39. Pfleiderer A, Lagier JC, Armougom F, Robert C, Vialettes B, Raoult D. Culturomics
- 430 identified 11 new bacterial species from a single anorexia nervosa stool sample. Eur J Clin
- 431 Microbiol Infect Dis. 2013;32(11):1471-81.
- 432 40. Morita C, Tsuji H, Hata T, Gondo M, Takakura S, Kawai K, et al. Gut Dysbiosis in
 433 Patients with Anorexia Nervosa. PLoS One. 2015;10(12):e0145274.
- 434 41. Kleiman SC, Watson HJ, Bulik-Sullivan EC, Huh EY, Tarantino LM, Bulik CM, et al.
- 435 The Intestinal Microbiota in Acute Anorexia Nervosa and During Renourishment:

436 Relationship to Depression, Anxiety, and Eating Disorder Psychopathology. Psychosom Med.
437 2015;77(9):969-81.

438 42. Mack I, Cuntz U, Gramer C, Niedermaier S, Pohl C, Schwiertz A, et al. Weight gain
439 in anorexia nervosa does not ameliorate the faecal microbiota, branched chain fatty acid
440 profiles, and gastrointestinal complaints. Sci Rep. 2016;6:26752.

441 43. Borgo F, Riva A, Benetti A, Casiraghi MC, Bertelli S, Garbossa S, et al. Microbiota in
442 anorexia nervosa: The triangle between bacterial species, metabolites and psychological tests.
443 PLoS One. 2017;12(6):e0179739.

444 44. Kleiman SC, Glenny EM, Bulik-Sullivan EC, Huh EY, Tsilimigras MCB, Fodor AA,
445 et al. Daily Changes in Composition and Diversity of the Intestinal Microbiota in Patients
446 with Anorexia Nervosa: A Series of Three Cases. Eur Eat Disord Rev. 2017;25(5):423-7.

447 45. Morkl S, Lackner S, Muller W, Gorkiewicz G, Kashofer K, Oberascher A, et al. Gut
448 microbiota and body composition in anorexia nervosa inpatients in comparison to athletes,
449 overweight, obese, and normal weight controls. Int J Eat Disord. 2017;50(12):1421-31.

46. Hanachi M, Manichanh C, Schoenenberger A, Pascal V, Levenez F, Cournede N, et al.
Altered host-gut microbes symbiosis in severely malnourished anorexia nervosa (AN) patients
undergoing enteral nutrition: An explicative factor of functional intestinal disorders? Clin
Nutr. 2018.

454 47. Gouba N, Raoult D, Drancourt M. Gut microeukaryotes during anorexia nervosa: a
455 case report. BMC Res Notes. 2014;7:33.

48. Queipo-Ortuno MI, Seoane LM, Murri M, Pardo M, Gomez-Zumaquero JM, Cardona
F, et al. Gut microbiota composition in male rat models under different nutritional status and
physical activity and its association with serum leptin and ghrelin levels. PLoS One.
2013;8(5):e65465.

460 49. Sato Y, Fukudo S. Gastrointestinal symptoms and disorders in patients with eating461 disorders. Clin J Gastroenterol. 2015;8(5):255-63.

462 50. Hetterich L, Mack I, Giel KE, Zipfel S, Stengel A. An update on gastrointestinal463 disturbances in eating disorders. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2018.

464 51. Cao H, Liu X, An Y, Zhou G, Liu Y, Xu M, et al. Dysbiosis contributes to chronic
465 constipation development via regulation of serotonin transporter in the intestine. Sci Rep.
466 2017;7(1):10322.

467 52. Tap J, Derrien M, Tornblom H, Brazeilles R, Cools-Portier S, Dore J, et al.
468 Identification of an Intestinal Microbiota Signature Associated With Severity of Irritable
469 Bowel Syndrome. Gastroenterology. 2017;152(1):111-23 e8.

53. De Palma G, Lynch MD, Lu J, Dang VT, Deng Y, Jury J, et al. Transplantation of
fecal microbiota from patients with irritable bowel syndrome alters gut function and behavior
in recipient mice. Sci Transl Med. 2017;9(379).

473 54. Crouzet L, Gaultier E, Del'Homme C, Cartier C, Delmas E, Dapoigny M, et al. The
hypersensitivity to colonic distension of IBS patients can be transferred to rats through their
fecal microbiota. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2013;25(4):e272-82.

55. Shin A, Preidis GA, Shulman R, Kashyap P. The Gut Microbiome in Adult and
Pediatric Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019;17(2):256274.

479 56. Jesus P, Ouelaa W, Francois M, Riachy L, Guerin C, Aziz M, et al. Alteration of
480 intestinal barrier function during activity-based anorexia in mice. Clin Nutr. 2014;33(6):1046481 53.

482 57. Belmonte L, Achamrah N, Nobis S, Guerin C, Riou G, Bole-Feysot C, et al. A role for
483 intestinal TLR4-driven inflammatory response during activity-based anorexia. Sci Rep.
484 2016;6:35813.

- 485 58. Monteleone P, Carratu R, Carteni M, Generoso M, Lamberti M, Magistris LD, et al.
 486 Intestinal permeability is decreased in anorexia nervosa. Mol Psychiatry. 2004;9(1):76-80.
- 487 59. Calugi S, El Ghoch M, Conti M, Dalle Grave R. Depression and treatment outcome in
 488 anorexia nervosa. Psychiatry Res. 2014;218(1-2):195-200.

489 60. Kask J, Ekselius L, Brandt L, Kollia N, Ekbom A, Papadopoulos FC. Mortality in
490 Women With Anorexia Nervosa: The Role of Comorbid Psychiatric Disorders. Psychosom
491 Med. 2016;78(8):910-9.

492 61. Sarkar A, Harty S, Lehto SM, Moeller AH, Dinan TG, Dunbar RIM, et al. The
493 Microbiome in Psychology and Cognitive Neuroscience. Trends Cogn Sci. 2018;22(7):611494 36.

495 62. Bercik P, Denou E, Collins J, Jackson W, Lu J, Jury J, et al. The intestinal microbiota
496 affect central levels of brain-derived neurotropic factor and behavior in mice.
497 Gastroenterology. 2011;141(2):599-609, e1-3.

- Kelly JR, Borre Y, C OB, Patterson E, El Aidy S, Deane J, et al. Transferring the
 blues: Depression-associated gut microbiota induces neurobehavioural changes in the rat. J
 Psychiatr Res. 2016;82:109-18.
- 501 64. Cheung SG, Goldenthal AR, Uhlemann AC, Mann JJ, Miller JM, Sublette ME.
 502 Systematic Review of Gut Microbiota and Major Depression. Front Psychiatry. 2019;10:34.

- 503 65. Kaye WH, Fudge JL, Paulus M. New insights into symptoms and neurocircuit
 504 function of anorexia nervosa. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009;10(8):573-84.
- 505 66. Kaye WH, Wierenga CE, Bailer UF, Simmons AN, Bischoff-Grethe A. Nothing tastes
 506 as good as skinny feels: the neurobiology of anorexia nervosa. Trends Neurosci.
 507 2013;36(2):110-20.
- 508 67. Alcock J, Maley CC, Aktipis CA. Is eating behavior manipulated by the
 509 gastrointestinal microbiota? Evolutionary pressures and potential mechanisms. Bioessays.
 510 2014;36(10):940-9.
- 511 68. Chambers ES, Morrison DJ, Frost G. Control of appetite and energy intake by SCFA:
 512 what are the potential underlying mechanisms? Proc Nutr Soc. 2015;74(3):328-36.
- 513 69. Clarke G, Stilling RM, Kennedy PJ, Stanton C, Cryan JF, Dinan TG. Minireview: Gut
 514 microbiota: the neglected endocrine organ. Mol Endocrinol. 2014;28(8):1221-38.
- 515 70. Barrett E, Ross RP, O'Toole PW, Fitzgerald GF, Stanton C. gamma-Aminobutyric
 516 acid production by culturable bacteria from the human intestine. J Appl Microbiol.
 517 2012;113(2):411-7.
- 518 71. Tennoune N, Chan P, Breton J, Legrand R, Chabane YN, Akkermann K, et al.
 519 Bacterial ClpB heat-shock protein, an antigen-mimetic of the anorexigenic peptide alpha520 MSH, at the origin of eating disorders. Transl Psychiatry. 2014;4:e458.
- 521 72. Breton J, Tennoune N, Lucas N, Francois M, Legrand R, Jacquemot J, et al. Gut
 522 Commensal *E. coli* Proteins Activate Host Satiety Pathways following Nutrient-Induced
 523 Bacterial Growth. Cell Metab. 2016;23(2):324-34.
- 524 73. Breton J, Legrand R, Akkermann K, Jarv A, Harro J, Dechelotte P, et al. Elevated
 525 plasma concentrations of bacterial ClpB protein in patients with eating disorders. Int J Eat
 526 Disord. 2016;49(8):805-8.
- 527 74. Mequinion M, Chauveau C, Viltart O. The use of animal models to decipher
 528 physiological and neurobiological alterations of anorexia nervosa patients. Front Endocrinol
 529 (Lausanne). 2015;6:68.
- 530 75. de Clercq NC, Frissen MN, Davids M, Groen AK, Nieuwdorp M. Weight Gain after
 531 Fecal Microbiota Transplantation in a Patient with Recurrent Underweight following Clinical
 532 Recovery from Anorexia Nervosa. Psychother Psychosom. 2019;88(1):58-60.
- 533 76. Cole JR, Wang Q, Fish JA, Chai B, McGarrell DM, Sun Y, et al. Ribosomal Database
 534 Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Res.
 535 2014;42(Database issue):D633-42.

536 FIGURE LEGENDS

537

Figure 1 : Putative role of the gut microbiota in the onset and maintenance of Anorexia nervosa Dramatic changes in diet may profoundly impact the composition of the gut microbiota. The

resulting dysbiosis may participate to the onset and/or maintenance of disorders frequently associated with Anorexia nervosa such as functional gastrointestinal disorders, anxiety- and depression-related disorders, as well as appetite dysregulation.

544

545 Figure 2 : Alterations in bacteria levels observed in individuals with Anorexia nervosa

List of bacteria with significant increased (blue) or decreased (yellow) levels in patients with
AN compared to normal weight individuals (NW) (summary from eight independent studies).
Alterations in bacteria levels in a rat model of anorexia (Activity-Based Anorexia model,
ABA) are shown in parallel. The phylogeny of bacteria was obtained from the Ribosomal
Database Project (76).