

Acute Clostridioides difficile Infection in Hospitalized Persons Aged 75 and Older: 30-Day Prognosis and Risk Factors for Mortality

Arnaud Caupenne, Pierre Ingrand, Isabelle Ingrand, Emmanuel Forestier, Claire Roubaud-Baudron, Gaëtan Gavazzi, Marc Paccalin

▶ To cite this version:

Arnaud Caupenne, Pierre Ingrand, Isabelle Ingrand, Emmanuel Forestier, Claire Roubaud-Baudron, et al.. Acute Clostridioides difficile Infection in Hospitalized Persons Aged 75 and Older: 30-Day Prognosis and Risk Factors for Mortality. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 2020, 21 (1), pp.110 - 114. 10.1016/j.jamda.2019.07.002 . hal-03489068

HAL Id: hal-03489068 https://hal.science/hal-03489068

Submitted on 21 Jul2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Title: Acute *Clostridiodes difficile* infection in hospitalized persons aged 75 and older: 30-day prognosis and risk factors for mortality.

Authors names and affiliations: Caupenne Arnaud^a MD; Ingrand Pierre^{b,c} MD, PhD; Ingrand Isabelle^{b,c} PhD; Forestier Emmanuel^d MD; Roubaud-Baudron Claire^e MD,PhD; Gavazzi Gaëtan^f MD, PhD; Paccalin Marc^{a,c} MD, PhD.

^aPôle de Gériatrie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Poitiers, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France;

^bPôle Biologie, Pharmacie et Santé Publique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Poitiers, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France;

^cCentre d'Investigation Clinique CIC 1402, INSERM, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Poitiers, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France;

^dService de Maladies infectieuses, Centre hospitalier Métropole Savoie, Chambery, France;

^ePôle de Gérontologie clinique, Hôpital Xavier Arnozan, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bordeaux, Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2 ; Bordeaux, France;

^fService de Gériatrie clinique, Centre hospitalier Universitaire Grenoble Alpes, EA 7408, Grenoble, France;

Corresponding author: Arnaud CAUPENNE MD, Pôle de Gériatrie, CHU la Milétrie, 86021 Poitiers Cedex, <u>arnaud.caupenne@chu-poitiers.fr</u>, tel : +3354944445287

Running title: *Clostridioides difficile* infection in the elderly

Key words: elderly, Clostridioides difficile, infection, prognosis, recurrence, mortality

Funding sources: This work was supported by Astellas Pharmaceuticals Europe (Grant 10000€).

Word, reference, and graphics count: 1944 words, 36 references, 2 graphics and 2 tables

Brief summary

Clostridioides difficile infection in the elderly was associated with a 30-day mortality of 12.6%. Comorbid illness and new heart, lung, or kidney failure predicted a poor prognosis.

Acknowledgments: Maulin MD, Puigserver MD (Aix); Fraisse MD (Alès); Pignon MD, Tremblay Nguyen MD (Angers); Jarry MD (Angoulême), Piet MD (Annecy); Bard MD (Belley); Geffroy MD, Friocourt MD (Blois); Bourdel-Marchasson MD PHD, Dubos MD, Roubaud MD, PhD (Bordeaux); Dardalhon MD, Forestier MD (Chambery); Ghazali MD (Châtellerault); Hauler MD (Colmar); Dadet MD (Clermont-Ferrand); Suel MD (Dieppe); Piroth MD PhD, Putot MD (Dijon); Berode MD (Dunkerque); Dascalita MD (St Etienne); Carriere MD (St Galmier); Gavazzi MD PhD, Pavese MD (Grenoble); Derrien MD (Landerneau); Albrand MD, Chala-Hayet MD, Federico MD, Gaujard MD, Martin-Gaujard MD (Lyon); Jeandel MD PhD, Terminet MD (Montpellier); Arlaud MD, Turpin MD (Nice); Viala MD, de Wazières MD PhD (Nîmes); Kahlifa MD (Niort); Bourgeois MD (Orléans); Breining MD, Durand-Gasselin MD, Greffe MD, Moulias MD, Rouveix MD PhD (Paris); Eden MD, Silga MD (Perpignan); Aribi MD (Plaisir); Chartier MD (Rochefort); Guignery-Kadri MD, Kloul MD, Renaudier MD (Rouen); Bernard MD PhD, Lamande MD (Tours); Marechal MD, Pietropaoli MD (Trevoux); Fevrier MD, Decours MD, Guimard MD, Martin MD, Morier MD, Pelerin MD (Hôpital de Vendée); Jestin MD (St Yvi).

1 Abstract

Objectives: To assess the 30-day mortality predictive markers in the oldest patients with *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) and to analyze the accuracy of the European severity
risk markers in this population.

5 *Design:* Observational prospective multicenter cohort study conducted by the French 6 Infectious Diseases Society and Geriatrics Society networks. An electronic questionnaire was 7 sent to the members of both societies regarding their participation. Each investigator used an 8 online survey to gather the data.

9 Setting and Participants: Patients aged \geq 75 years hospitalized in French geriatric or 10 infectious wards with confirmed diagnosis of CDI between March 1, 2016 and May 1, 2017.

Methods: Clinical and laboratory parameters included medical history and comorbidities with the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS). Criteria increasing the risk of severe disease were recorded as listed in the European guidelines. Therapeutic management, recurrence and mortality rates were assessed at day 30 after diagnosis.

15 Results: Included patients numbered 247; mean age was 87.2 (SD 5.4). Most of the CDI incidences (66.4%) were health-care associated infections with 81% diagnosed within 30 days 16 17 of hospitalization; CIRS mean score was 16.6 (SD 6.6). Markers of severity \geq 3 included 97 patients (39.3%). Metronidazole was the main initial treatment (51.0%). Clostridioides 18 19 difficile infection in the elderly was associated with a 30-day mortality of 12.6%. Multivariate analysis showed that baseline CIRS score (HR 1.06 per one-point increase, 95% CI 1.00-1.12) 20 21 and evidence of cardiac, respiratory, or renal decompensation (HR 3.04, 95% CI 1.40-6.59) 22 were significantly associated with mortality.

Conclusions and implications: European severity markers are adequate in the oldest old.
Organ failure and comorbidities appeared to be the main markers of prognosis, and these
should raise the awareness of practitioners. Although antibiotic treatment was not predictive
of mortality, our results point out the lack of adherence to current guidelines in this
population.

28 Introduction

29 Clostridium difficile, recently reclassified as Clostridioides difficile, represents the most common pathogen cause of health care associated diarrhea in developed countries.^{1,2} Over the 30 31 past ten years, there has been an important increase in both incidence and severity of CD infection (CDI).³ In a national study conducted in 2009, the incidence in France was estimated 32 as up to 2.28 cases/10,000 patient-days in acute care and 1.14 cases/10,000 patient-days in 33 rehabilitation and long-term care.⁴ The risk of recurrence is estimated between 10 and 30%⁵⁻⁷ 34 and all-cause CDI-related mortality ranges from 4.5% to 33.2%.⁸⁻¹² European guideline 35 36 documents based on several studies report that elderly patients are at increased risk of recurrence and mortality.¹³ Patients aged 65 and older represent 60% to 70% of CDI cases.¹⁴⁻ 37 ¹⁶ This age group is vulnerable because of more frequent and prolonged hospitalizations, 38 senescence of immune mechanisms and altered intestinal microbiota.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Still, few studies 39 focused on patients over 75 years of age^{10,20-22} and predictive markers for short-term mortality 40 in this population are scarcely described.^{8,23,24} We set up a national survey, named CLOdi, to 41 assess the 30-day mortality predictive markers in the oldest patients and to analyze the 42 43 accuracy of the European severity risk markers in this population.

44

45

46 Methods

47 CLOdi is a French observational prospective survey that included patients aged 75 years and
48 over with diagnosis of CDI, hospitalized in geriatric and infectious wards between March 1,
49 2016 and May 1, 2017.

50 Data collection

The study was conducted by the French Infectious Diseases Society and Geriatrics Society networks (*Société de Pathologies Infectieuses de Langue Française and Société Française de Gériatrie et de Gérontologie*) and approved by the French regulatory authorities, the *Comité Consultatif sur le Traitement de l'Information en matière de Recherche dans le domaine de la Santé* (CCTIRS) (Consultative committee on information-processing in health research) in September 2015, and the *Commission Nationale Informatique et Libertés* (CNIL) (Commission for protection of private data) in December 2015.

An electronic questionnaire was sent to the members of both societies regarding their 58 59 participation on a voluntary basis. Each investigator who agreed to participate used an online 60 survey to include patients at diagnosis, filled in the data during the course of CDI, and 61 assessed case evolution 30 days after CDI diagnosis. The diagnosis of CDI was based on clinical symptoms and positivity of both CDI laboratory tests (glutamate dehydrogenase and 62 toxins A or B) in stool samples. Health-care associated CDI was defined when infection 63 64 occurred 48 hours or more after index hospitalization. When CDI was the cause of hospitalization or occurred within 48 hours of hospitalization, it was considered as 65 66 community-acquired. The clinical and laboratory parameters were collected, as well as the markers of risk of severity and the CDI antibiotic sequences. The European markers of risk of 67 severity are as follows: age ≥ 65 years, leukocyte count > 15 000/mm³, rise in serum 68

69 creatinine level \geq 50% of the premorbid level and blood albumin < 30g/L and severe underlying disease.¹³ Comorbidities were evaluated at admission by the Cumulative Illness 70 Rating Scale (CIRS).^{25,26} Total CIRS score was recorded at the onset of CDI. We decided to 71 use the median score to define comorbidity as severe. Organ failure was arbitrarily assessed 72 73 during the course of CDI, relying either on intravenous use of loop diuretics for cardiac 74 insufficiency, and/or need for oxygen, and/or rise in serum creatinine level \geq 50% of the premorbid level, for renal decompensation, according to the European guidelines 75 documents.¹³ 76

Treatment and outcome (length of hospital stay, recurrence of CDI, mortality) were recorded.
Recurrence was defined as new CDI confirmed after the onset of a previous episode, provided
the symptoms from the previous episode resolved for at least 48 hours after completion of
initial treatment.

81

82 Data analysis

Bescriptive statistics were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables or absolute number and percentage for categorical variables. Unadjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated from univariate Cox regression analysis. Adjusted HRs were calculated for significant variables (P < .05) from multivariate Cox analysis performed by stepwise elimination from an initial model including variables with univariate P < .25. The proportional risk assumption has been assessed. Analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

90 **Results**

91 Baseline characteristics

Investigators (63) included 247 hospitalized patients (mean age 87.2, SD 5.4, range 75-99) from 34 hospitals during the 14-month study period (Table 1); 31 (12.6%) patients came from nursing homes; CDI was diagnosed during an acute care or rehabilitation hospitalization; 195 (78.9%) patients were hospitalized in acute care hospitals and 52 (21.1%) in rehabilitation hospitals; CDI was community-acquired, including residents of nursing homes, in almost a third of patients. Main reasons for hospitalization were an infectious disease (27.1%), diarrhea (24.6%), and asthenia (8.5%). A past history of CDI was reported in 33 patients (13.4%).

99 CDI diagnosis had occurred within 30 days following admission in 199 (81%) cases and 100 within 90 days in 240 (98%) cases; 106 patients (42.9%) had antibiotic exposure at the time of 101 CDI, mainly betalactamins (89%), which were discontinued in 68 cases (64.1%). Baseline 102 CIRS mean score was 16.6 (SD 6.6, range 2-34, median 14) and 80 (32.4%) patients were 103 classified with severe comorbidity (CIRS score > 14). Mean CIRS score was 14.4 (SD 6.5) in 104 patients diagnosed and treated in a rehabilitation hospital and 12.2 (SD 6.4) in patients 105 diagnosed and treated in an acute care hospital (P = .023). Sixty-two (25.1%) patients 106 presented with either use of loop diuretics and/or rise in serum creatinine level ≥ 1.5 times the 107 premorbid level and/or need for oxygen at the time of CDI, but none was admitted to 108 intensive care. Ninety-seven (39.3%) had \geq 3 severity risk markers. Metronidazole was the 109 most common initial treatment (51.0%), administered intravenously in 16 patients (12.7%). 110 Thirty-six (14.6%) patients had a second line treatment with fidaxomicin (52.8%), 111 vancomycin (41.7%) and metronidazole (the last in two cases).

112

113 Course of infection

114

Median length of hospitalization was 26 days (range 5-254) with 89 patients (36%) staying
over 30 days. Ten patients died before 10 days of treatment. At day 30 after CDI diagnosis,

mortality rate was 12.6% with 58.1% of these patients dying during CDI treatment; Mortality rate at day 30 was similar in acute care hospitals and rehabilitation hospitals (P = .27). One patient died before prescription of any CDI treatment; 38 patients did not have discontinuation of the likely offending antibiotic at the onset of CDI, with mortality rate of 28.9% at day 30 (11/38).

Recurrence rate at day 30 was 13%. When recurrence occurred, treatment was the same as the one for the first episode in 9 cases (28.1%), vancomycin was prescribed in 10 cases (31.2%), fidaxomicin in 7 cases (21.9%) and metronidazole in one case. Three patients (9.4%) were treated with combination of antibiotics and two did not have any therapeutic management. Among the 31 deaths at day 30, 9 (29%) patients had presented with a recurrence.

Univariate analysis showed that occurrence of organ failure, CIRS score, severe comorbidity (CIRS > 14) and a higher number of severity risk markers (\geq 3) were significantly associated with mortality (Table 2). Multivariate analysis showed that CIRS score (HR 1.06 per onepoint increase, 95% CI 1.00-1.12) and occurrence of organ failure as defined (HR 3.04, 95% CI 1.40-6.59) were significantly associated with mortality at day 30 (Table 2, Figures 1 and 2). Thus an increase of 10 points of the CIRS score would increase the risk of mortality up to 78%.

134

135 **Discussion**

136 CDI is known as a severe disease, but data in the oldest old are scarce. Our study reports 137 management and short-term prognosis in 247 patients, hospitalized in geriatrics and infectious 138 diseases wards with a mean age of 87.2 (SD 5.4) years. We wanted to focus the analysis 139 within the 30-day interval after CDI diagnosis to better consider the weight of the infection at 140 short term. Our results show a rate of 12.6% of all-cause mortality at day 30, 58.1% occurring 141 during CDI episode treatment. The rate of mortality was the same in acute care hospitals and in rehabilitation hospitals. Important to note that in France, rehabilitation centers are
considered as rehabilitation hospitals and a patient with CDI in a rehabilitation hospital is
treated in the rehabilitation hospital. This rate is lower than 30-day mortality rates reported in
literature, which were between 16.5% and 32.2% in patients aged over 65 and 80.^{21,27,28}

146 Organ failure defined as, relying on intravenous loop diuretics, and/or need for oxygen, and/or 147 rise in serum creatinine level \geq 50% of the premorbid level was the most predictive parameter 148 of short-term mortality (HR 3.04, CI 95% 1.40-6.59). Among these criteria, renal failure 149 occurring during the course of CDI illness and relying on rise in serum creatinine level ≥ 1.5 150 times the premorbid level was the most relevant (Table 2). These results underline the 151 predictivity of a vulnerable status. Indeed, our results highlight the weight of comorbidities in 152 this very old population having a CIRS score significantly associated with mortality (P =153 .033). Other studies conducted in similar aged populations focused on mortality risk factors,^{22,29-32} but none of them had assessed comorbidities with CIRS. ^{22,30-32} We used the 154 criteria "CIRS score > 14" to define the comorbidity as severe (figure 2). Our results show 155 156 that this criterion was significantly associated (P = .013) with mortality in univariate analysis. 157 It confirms the central place of the CIRS score in CDI mortality prognosis in the elderly. 158 Despite its significance, we could not logically insert this median score in multivariate 159 analysis with the CIRS continuous variable.

160 The adequacy of European markers of the risk of severity with mortality, including 161 comorbidities, were already reported on in previous meta-analysis and retrospective 162 studies.^{7,29} Our results also showed that patients with \geq 3 markers of risk of severity had a 163 higher risk of mortality (*P* = .022).

Almost half of deaths (48.4%) occurred before 10 days of therapeutic management, verifying the weight of organ failure and questioning the adequacy of the initial antibiotic. Although antibiotic prescription was not associated with mortality at day 30, metronidazole was the 167 most frequent initial treatment (51.0%), as reported in the literature.^{16,33} Vancomycin and 168 fidaxomicin were more frequently prescribed as a second-line treatment and upon recurrence. 169 This highlights the lack of adherence to the guidelines, which do not recommend prescription 170 of metronidazole for patients profiled with disease severity markers.^{13,34} Fidaxomicin 171 represents a small part of the CDI initial treatment.³³ This molecule is recent, with a higher 172 cost, which may be a barrier in clinical practice despite the lower risk of recurrence associated 173 with this treatment.³⁵⁻³⁶

However, due to the weight of comorbidity and risk of organ failure in CDI short-term
prognoses, our results enhance the need to better control modifiable risk factors of CDI, such
as antibiotics prescription and hygiene control.

On the one hand, the practices that we observe adhere to European and US guidelines for diagnosis of CDI, as all patients had both clinical symptoms and positive laboratory tests. On the other hand, the practices deviate from treatment guidelines, as patients > 65 years old with CDI should not be treated with metronidazole.^{13,34}

Our study has some limitations. First, it is a survey. All elderly patients with CDI may not have been included by investigators during the study period. Second, a longer follow-up should be also performed to assess markers associated with recurrences and the real impact of CDI antibiotic treatment.

185 **Conclusions and Implications**

This prospective survey, in hospitalized patients aged over 75 with CDI, confirms the high risk of short-term mortality in the elderly, with over half of deaths occurring before the end of therapeutic management. European severity markers are adequate in the oldest old. Organ failure, mainly renal failure, and comorbidities appeared to be the main markers of prognosis. These markers should raise awareness of practitioners to anticipate prognosis of this infection. 191 Although antibiotic treatment was not predictive of short term mortality, our results point out

192 the lack of adherence to current guidelines in this vulnerable population.

193

194 **Conflicts of interest**

195 We declare that none of the authors have any conflicts of interest.

196

197 **References**

- Lessa FC, Mu Y, Bamberg WM, et al. Burden of *Clostridium difficile* Infection in the
 United States. N Engl J Med 2015;372:825-834.
- Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, et al. Multistate Point-Prevalence Survey of Health
 Care–Associated Infections. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1198-1208.
- 202 3. Evans CT, Safdar N. Current Trends in the Epidemiology and Outcomes of *Clostridium* 203 *difficile* Infection. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am 2015;60:66-71.
- 4. Eckert C, Coignard B, Hebert M, et al. Clinical and microbiological features of
 Clostridium difficile infections in France: the ICD-RAISIN 2009 national survey. Med
 Mal Infect 2013;43:67-74.
- 5. Mizusawa M, Doron S, Gorbach S. *Clostridium difficile* diarrhea in the Elderly: Current
 Issues and Management Options. Drugs Aging 2015;32:639-647.
- 209 6. Surawicz CM. *Clostridium difficile* Infection: Risk Factors, Diagnosis and Management.
 210 Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol 2015;13:121-129.
- 211 7. Garey KW, Sethi S, Yadav Y, et al. Meta-analysis to assess risk factors for recurrent
 212 *Clostridium difficile* infection. J Hosp Infect 2008;70:298-304.

- 8. Hensgens MPM, Goorhuis A, Dekkers OM, et al. All-cause and disease-specific mortality
 in hospitalized patients with *Clostridium difficile* infection: a multicenter cohort study.
 Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am 2013;56:1108-1116.
- 216 9. Cober Eric D, Malani Preeti N. *Clostridium difficile* Infection in the "Oldest" Old:
 217 Clinical Outcomes in Patients Aged 80 and Older. J Am Geriatr Soc 2009;57:659-662.
- 218 10. Lee HC, Kim KO, Jeong YH, et al. Clinical Outcomes in Hospitalized Patients with
 219 *Clostridium difficile* Infection by Age Group. Korean J Gastroenterol Taehan Sohwagi
 220 Hakhoe Chi 2016;67:81-86.
- 11. Roncarati G, Dallolio L, Leoni E, et al. Surveillance of *Clostridium difficile* Infections:
 Results from a Six-Year Retrospective Study in Nine Hospitals of a North Italian Local
 Health Authority. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2017;14:16.
- 12. Shorr AF, Zilberberg MD, Wang L, et al. Mortality and Costs in *Clostridium difficile*Infection Among the Elderly in the United States. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol
 2016;37:1331-1336.
- 13. Debast SB, Bauer MP, Kuijper EJ. European Society of Clinical Microbiology and
 Infectious Diseases: Update of the Treatment Guidance Document for *Clostridium difficile* Infection. Clin Microbiol Infect 2014;20:1-26.
- 14. Bauer MP, Notermans DW, van Benthem BHB, et al. *Clostridium difficile* infection in
 Europe: a hospital-based survey. Lancet 2011;377:63-73.
- 15. Asempa T, Nicolau D. *Clostridium difficile* infection in the elderly: an update on
 management. Clin Interv Aging 2017;12:1799-1809.

- 234 16. Leffler DA, Lamont JT. *Clostridium difficile* Infection. N Engl J Med 2015;372:1539235 1548.
- 17. Shin JH, High KP, Warren CA. Older Is Not Wiser, Immunologically Speaking: Effect of
 Aging on Host Response to *Clostridium difficile* Infections. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med
 Sci 2016;71:916-922.
- 18. Shin JH, Gao Y, Moore JH, et al. Innate Immune Response and Outcome of *Clostridium difficile* Infection Are Dependent on Fecal Bacterial Composition in the Aged Host. J
 Infect Dis 2018;217:188-197.
- 242 19. Trifan A, Girleanu I, Stanciu C, et al. *Clostridium difficile* infection in hospitalized
 243 octogenarian patients. Geriatr Gerontol Int 2017;18:315-320.
- 244 20. Marshall LL, Peasah S, Stevens GA. *Clostridium difficile* Infection in Older Adults:
 245 Systematic Review of Efforts to Reduce Occurrence and Improve Outcomes. Consult
 246 Pharm 2017;32:24-41.
- 247 21. Leibovitz A, Yarovoy A, Sharshar N, et al. *Clostridium difficile*-associated disease: A
 248 primary clinical evaluation of elderly patients in a geriatric hospital. Am J Infect Control
 249 2016;44:1158-1160.
- 250 22. Leibovici-Weissman Y, Atamna A, Schlesinger A, et al. Risk factors for short- and long251 term mortality in very old patients with *Clostridium difficile* infection: A retrospective
 252 study. Geriatr Gerontol Int 2017;17:1378-1383.
- 253 23. McGowan AP, Lalayiannis LC, Sarma JB, et al. Thirty-day mortality of Clostridium
 254 difficile infection in a UK National Health Service Foundation Trust between 2002 and
 255 2008. J Hosp Infect. 2011;77:11-5

- 256 24. Miller M, Gravel D, Mulvey M, et al. Health care-associated *Clostridium difficile*257 infection in Canada: patient age and infecting strain type are highly predictive of severe
 258 outcome and mortality. Clin Infect Dis 2010;50:194-201.
- 259 25. Salvi F, Miller MD, Grilli A, et al. A manual of guidelines to score the modified
 260 cumulative illness rating scale and its validation in acute hospitalized elderly patients. J
 261 Am Geriatr Soc 2008;56:1926-1931.
- 262 26. Miller MD, Paradis CF, Houck PR, et al. Rating chronic medical illness burden in
 263 geropsychiatric practice and research: application of the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale.
 264 Psychiatry Res 1992;41:237-248.
- 265 27. Karas JA, Bradshaw S, Mahmud W, et al. Mortality in hospitalized older adults associated
 266 with *Clostridium difficile* infection at a district hospital. Infect Dis Rep 2010;2:e8.
- 267 28. Wenisch JM, Schmid D, Kuo H-W, et al. Hospital-acquired Clostridium difficile
 268 infection: determinants for severe disease. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis
 269 2012;31:1923-30.
- 270 29. Abou Chakra CN, Pepin J, Sirard S, et al. Risk Factors for Recurrence, Complications and
 271 Mortality in *Clostridium difficile* Infection: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE
 272 2014;9:e98400.
- 30. Bhangu S, Bhangu A, Nightingale P, et al. Mortality and risk stratification in patients with
 Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea. Colorectal Dis 2010;12:241-6.
- 275 31. Lungulescu OA, Cao W, Gatskevich E, et al. CSI: a severity index for Clostridium
 276 difficile infection at the time of admission. J Hosp Infect 2011;79:151-4.

277	32. Welfare MR, Lalayiannis LC, Martin KE, et al. Co-morbidities as predictors of mortality
278	in Clostridium difficile infection and derivation of the ARC predictive score. J Hosp
279	Infect 2011:79:359-63.

280 33. Lefevre-Tantet-Etchebarne D, Sivadon-Tardy V, Davido B, et al. Community-acquired
 281 *Clostridium difficile* infections in emergency departments. Med Mal Infect 2016;46:372 282 379.

34. McDonald LC, Gerding DN, Johnson S, et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for *Clostridium difficile* Infection in Adults and Children: 2017 Update by the Infectious
Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of
America (SHEA). Clin Infect Dis 2018;66:987-994.

- 287 35. Louie TJ, Miller MA, Mullane KM, et al. Fidaxomicin versus Vancomycin for
 288 *Clostridium difficile* Infection. N Engl J Med 2011;364:422-431.
- 289 36. Louie TJ, Miller MA, Crook DW, et al. Effect of age on treatment outcomes in
 290 *Clostridium difficile* infection. J Am Geriatr Soc 2013;61:222-230.

291 Figure Legends

- 292 Fig.1.
- 293 Kaplan-Meyer Plot of 30-day survival probability after *Clostridioides difficile* infection
- 294 diagnosis comparing patients with or without organ failure.
- 295 Organ failure was assessed when use of diuretics or rise in serum creatinine level ≥ 1.5 times
- the premorbid level, or need for oxygen.

297 Fig.2.

- 298 Kaplan-Meyer Plot of 30-day survival probability after *Clostridioides difficile* infection
- 299 diagnosis according to Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS) score (cut-off of 14).

Table 1

Characteristics of patients with *Clostridioides difficile* infection.

Variable	Population (N = 247)
Women n (%)	162 (65.6)
Mean age (years), (SD)	87.2 (5.4)
Men	86.8 (5.4)
Women	87.4 (5.4)
Abode	
Community-dwelling (%)	216 (87.4)
Nursing home (%)	31(12.6)
Baseline CIRS score, mean (SD)	12.6 (6.6)
Number of medications, mean (SD)	7.4 (3.5)
PPI, n (%)	129 (52.2)
Antibiotic therapy at diagnosis (%)	109 (44.1)
Penicillin (%)	61 (56.0)
Cephalosporin (%)	36 (33.0)
Health-care associated CDI, n (%)	164 (66.4)
Organ failure during the course of CDI*, n (%)	62 (25.1)
- Use of intravenous loop diuretics (%)	29 (46.8)
- Renal failure (%)	53 (85.5)
- Respiratory failure (%)	15 (24.2)
Mean duration of isolation precaution (days) (range)	10 (2-82)
First line treatment, n (%)	
- Metronidazole (%)	126 (51)
- Vancomycin (%)	91 (36.9)
- Fidaxomicin (%)	25 (10.1)
Combination of antibiotics (%)	4 (1.6)
Median duration of antibiotic therapy (days), (range)	10 (1-40)
Severity risk markers (\geq 3), n (%)	97 (39.3)
- Age (> 65 years)	247 (100)
- Leukocyte count > $15 000/\text{mm}^3$	72 (29.1)
- Rise in serum creatinine level ^{\dagger}	53 (21.5)
- Blood albumin < 30g/L	130 (52.6)
Severe comorbidity [‡]	182 (73.7)

CIRS: Cumulative Illness Rating Scale; PPI: proton pump inhibitors; CDI: *Clostridioides difficile* infection Severity risk markers were assessed according to the European guideline documents.¹³

*Organ failure was assessed when use of intra-venous loop diuretics or rise in serum creatinine level ≥ 1.5 times the premorbid level or need for oxygen.

[†]Rise in serum creatinine level ≥ 1.5 times the premorbid level.

[‡]Severe comorbidity (CIRS score > 14).

Table 2 Risk factors for mortality at day-30, in patients with *Clostridioides difficile* infection.

				Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
Variables		Alive patients (N = 216)	Dead patients (N = 31)	P Value	Hazard Ratio	P Value	Hazard Ratio
Women, n (%)		145 (67.1)	17 (54.8)	.19	1.62 [0.78 – 3.27]	-	
Age (years), mean (SD)*		87.0 (5.4)	88.8 (4.9)	.088	1.06 [0.99 – 1.13]	-	
Antibiotic therapy in previous 3 months n (%)		130 (60.2)	13 (41.9)	.061	1.97 [0.97 – 4.10]	-	
Number of medications mean (SD)*		7.3 (3.6)	8.1 (3.5)	.25	1.06 [0.96 – 1.16]	-	
Non-CDI antibiotic therapy at time of diagnosis, n (%)		91 (42.1)	18 (58)	.090	1.84 [0.90 - 3.76]	-	
Health-care associated CDI, n (%)		141 (65.3)	23 (74.2)	.21	1.67 [0.75 – 4.22]	-	
CIRS, mean (SD)*		12.1 (6.3)	16.0 (6.9)	.0037	1.08 [1.03 – 1.14]	.033	1.06 [1.00 – 1.12]
Organ failures during the course of CDI**, n (%)		46 (21.3)	16 (51.6)	.0005	3.64 [1.80–7.36]	.0048	3.04 [1.40 - 6.59]
Treatments during the course of infection, n (%)							
Metronidazole		116 (53.7)	15 (48.4)	.54	0.80 [0.39 – 1.63]	-	
Vancomycin		95 (43.9)	14 (45.2)	.89	1.05 [0.51 – 2.13]	-	
Fidaxomicin		44 (20.4)	5 (16.1)	.56	0.76 [0.26 – 1.82]	-	
	≥ 3 markers	77 (35.6)	20 (64.5)	.0028	3.07 [1.50 - 6.62]	-	
	Age (> 65 yrs)	216 (100)	31 (100)	-		-	
Severity risk	Leukocyte count > 15,000/mm ³	61 (28.2)	11 (35.5)	.39	1.39 [0.64 – 2.84]	-	
markers, n	Rise in serum creatinine level ⁺	42 (19.4)	11 (35.5)	.048	2.18 [1.01 - 4.46]	-	
(70)	Blood albumin < 30 g/l	110 (50.9)	20 (64.5)	.15	1.71 [0.83 – 3.69]	-	
	Severe comorbidity $^{\pm}$	64 (29.6)	16 (51.6)	.013	2.50 [1.22 - 5.21]	-	

CDI: *Clostridioides difficile* infection; CIRS: Cumulative Illness Rating Scale; Severity risk markers were assessed according to the European guideline documents.¹³

**Organ failure was assessed when intravenous use of diuretics and/or rise in serum creatinine level ≥ 1.5 times the premorbid level and/or need for oxygen during the course of CDI.

⁺Rise in serum creatinine level: ≥ 1.5 times the premorbid level.

[±]Severe comorbidity was assessed when baseline CIRS score > 14.

^{*}per unit change



Fig.1.

Kaplan-Meyer Plot of 30-day survival probability after *Clostridioides difficile* infection diagnosis comparing patients with or without organ failure.

Organ failure was assessed when use of diuretics or rise in serum creatinine level ≥ 1.5 times the premorbid level or need for oxygen.



Fig.2.

Kaplan-Meyer Plot of 30-day survival probability after *Clostridioides difficile* infection diagnosis according to Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS) score (cut-off of 14).