



HAL
open science

Optimization of lactic acid production using immobilized *Lactobacillus Rhamnosus* and carob pod waste from the Lebanese food industry

Hajar Bahry, Rawa Abdalla, Agnès Pons, Samir Taha, Christophe Vial

► To cite this version:

Hajar Bahry, Rawa Abdalla, Agnès Pons, Samir Taha, Christophe Vial. Optimization of lactic acid production using immobilized *Lactobacillus Rhamnosus* and carob pod waste from the Lebanese food industry. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 2019, 306, pp.81 - 88. 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2019.09.017. hal-03488625

HAL Id: hal-03488625

<https://hal.science/hal-03488625v1>

Submitted on 21 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Optimization of Lactic Acid Production Using Immobilized *Lactobacillus Rhamnosus* and**
2 **carob Pod waste from the Lebanese Food Industry**

3

4 Hajar Bahry^{1, 2*}, Rawa Abdalla², Agnès Pons¹, Samir Taha², Christophe Vial¹

5

6 ¹Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, Sigma Clermont, Institut Pascal, F-63000, Clermont-
7 Ferrand, France

8 ²Lebanese University; Doctoral school for sciences and technology; AZM Centre for research in
9 biotechnology and its applications; Laboratory of applied biotechnology for biomolecules,
10 biotherapy and bioprocess; El mitein street, Tripoli, Lebanon.

11

12

13

14

Corresponding author : Hajar BAHRY

15

E-mail : Hajar-bahry91@outlook.com

16

Tel: +961 3 172 891

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 **Abstract**

30 The valorization of a solid carob waste from the Lebanese industry was investigated by
31 optimizing the production of lactic acid using immobilized *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* in alginate
32 beads and response surface methodology. The results showed that pH and alginate concentration
33 had a significant effect on the production of lactic acid. The fermentation of non-enriched carob
34 waste juice needed an additional nitrogen source to improve lactic acid production and yield.
35 From extracts with 65 g/L sugars, the optimum conditions were found to be 2% for the
36 concentration of alginate, 4% bacteria cells entrapped in beads, 80 rpm agitation speed and pH
37 6.4. Lactic acid concentration obtained under these conditions was 22 g/L with a yield of 76.9 g/g
38 consumed sugar and a productivity of 1.22 g/L/h. The use of invertase pretreatment increased
39 lactic acid concentration from 22 to 40 g/L, but reduced yield at 66.6%. Finally, cells
40 immobilized in alginate beads could be used for at least five successive cycles.

41 **Keywords:** carob by-product; cell immobilization; lactic acid; *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*; response
42 surface methodology

43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **1. Introduction**

56 The carob tree (*Ceratonia siliqua*) belongs to the family of leguminous plants that are grown in
57 the Mediterranean regions (ZENGIN et al., 2008). This is often used as an ornamental plant and
58 in the reforestation of areas damaged by erosion or desertification, given its low cultivation
59 requirements and its tolerance of poor soils (Haddarah et al., 2013). The carob fruit consists of
60 pulp and seeds. With a content of 64%, the carob pulp is particularly rich in sugar, richer than
61 sugar cane and sugar beet (Haankuku et al., 2015; Limtong et al., 2007), and is currently used as
62 a substitute for cacao. In recent years carob pulp has been used to produce value-added products
63 such as mannitol, citric acid and pullulan by fermentation, while the seeds are used for the
64 extraction of galactomannan to obtain locust bean gum (Haddarah et al., 2013).

65 Lactic acid is a valuable chemical platform that has extensive applications in food, cosmetics,
66 pharmaceutical and chemical industries. Demand for lactic acid increases each year by 5 to 8%
67 (Yadav et al., 2011). One of the main applications of lactic acid is its capacity to form polylactic
68 acid (PLA), a polymer of great interest (Tian et al., 2018). The demand for PLA increases, while
69 its production is only 450,000 tons per year (Okano et al., 2010). Lactic acid can be produced by
70 chemical or fermentation routes. The chemical pathway leads to the production of a racemic
71 mixture of DL-lactic acid, which increases the cost of separation, while fermentation leads to the
72 production of D- or L-lactic acid depending on the organism used, and opens the opportunity to
73 use cheaper substrates with low energy consumption and to develop a more environment-friendly
74 process (Zhao et al., 2016). In general, fermentation is carried out using free cells, but the
75 immobilization of cells sometimes improves productivity (Zhao et al., 2016). In addition, in
76 relation with free cells, immobilization offers several advantages: namely, a reduced
77 susceptibility to contamination, a decrease in substrate/product inhibition, and cell reuse in
78 recycling steps. Calcium alginate is the most commonly used immobilization matrix (Zhao et al.,

79 2016) . Idris and Suzanna (Idris and Suzana, 2006) investigated the production of lactic acid from
80 pineapple waste using *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* immobilized in alginate. Similarly,
81 Sirisansaneeyakul et al.(Sirisansaneeyakul et al., 2007) studied the optimization of lactic acid
82 production by immobilization of *Lactococcus lactis*. On the other hand, several alternative
83 immobilization matrices are available, such as calcium pectate and chemically-modified chitosan
84 gels (Kourkoutas et al., 2005). Zhao et al.(Zhao et al., 2016) found that the immobilization of *L.*
85 *rhamnosus* in mesoporous silica-based material increased the fermentation efficiency by 4.1%
86 over free cells.

87 In Lebanon, carob molasses, called “dibs”, is industrially produced from carob pods and widely
88 consumed as a sweetener. The carob bean juice used for the preparation of molasses is obtained
89 by two successive steps of maceration of carob pods cut into pieces of size close to 5 mm. The
90 solid waste from this preparation is either discharged or used for animal feed. This waste has
91 demonstrated its interest due to its high sugar content (44% of dry matter), and its ability to be
92 fermented in bioethanol of second generation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Bahry et al., 2017).
93 The only work investigating lactic acid production from carob juice has been carried out by
94 Turhan et al.(Turhan et al., 2010a). **Conversely, no data can be found on the lactic acid**
95 **production from carob waste which is less rich in sugar. In addition many nutrients could be**
96 **removed in the dibs preparation.**

97 Thus, the purpose of this work is to explore another efficient route to valorize the residual
98 carbohydrates of this carob waste by producing lactic acid using immobilized *Lactobacillus*
99 *rhamnosus* in alginate beads, which differs from Turhan et al.(Turhan et al., 2010a) who worked
100 directly on pods, using *Lactobacillus casei* in the liquid phase. The factors influencing the
101 production, such as pH, alginate concentration, pre-culture volume and agitation speed were
102 optimized using response surface methodology (RSM), as well as the number of possible

103 fermentation cycles using the same beads.

104

105 **2. Materials and methods**

106 *2.1. Strain*

107 The strain *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* ATCC 53103 was grown at 37°C for 48 hours in a medium
108 containing 20 g of glucose, 4 g of yeast extract, 0.2 g of MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.05 g of MnSO₄·7H₂O,
109 0.5 g of K₂HPO₄, 5 g of sodium acetate, and 0.5 g of KH₂PO₄ per liter of deionized water. The
110 culture was stored at 4°C and subcultured bi-weekly in order to maintain viability. For a long-
111 term storage, stock cultures were maintained in 20% glycerol at -80°C.

112

113 *2.2. Extraction of sugars from carob waste*

114

115 Carob waste (2.5 cm size) issued from molasses preparation was used in this work. Residual
116 sugar extraction was obtained by maceration at room temperature for a liquid/solid ratio
117 (L/S=3), without stirring for 90 minutes (Bahry et al., 2017). The concentration of total sugars in
118 the juice was 65 g/L (54 ± 2% saccharose, and 46 ± 2% hexose, among which glucose and
119 fructose are the most abundant).

120 *2.3. Immobilization of Lactobacillus rhamnosus*

121

122 Inoculum was prepared in the MRS medium (de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe) 24 hours before the
123 fermentation step. Three volumes of pre-culture relative to the volume of work in the reactor (2,
124 4 and 6%) were used for the immobilization in alginate beads. After centrifugation in 50 mL
125 sterile tubes at 3800 rpm and 4°C for 20 min, the pellet was mixed with 20 mL of alginate
126 solution prepared in three concentrations (2, 3 and 4%). The bacteria were encapsulated in

127 alginate beads by the method of extrusion/gelation of the previously prepared solution using a
128 pump (Gilson-Minipuls 2, France) and a syringe needle (type 20G, 0.9x40 mm) in 4% (w/w)
129 CaCl₂ solution, leading to the production of beads of 5 mm approximate diameter. Then, the
130 beads were left for 4 hours, and washed with a sterile 0.85% NaCl solution to remove non-
131 adherent cells and the excess of calcium and chloride ions.

132 *2.4. Batch fermentation*

133 The fermentation was carried out in a 500-mL volume reactor, with a working volume of 400
134 mL. The carob juice was either used alone as unenriched carob waste (UECW), or supplemented
135 with yeast extract, 10 g/L; MgSO₄ 7H₂O, 0.5 g/L; MnSO₄ H₂O, 0.03 g/L; K₂HPO₄, 3 g/L;
136 CH₃COONa H₂O, 2g/L and Tween 80, 1 mL/L, then denoted enriched carob waste (ECW). The
137 fermentation medium was sterilized in the reactor at 121°C for 20 minutes. The alginate beads
138 were transferred to the reactor in a sterile manner. Temperature was set at 37°C for all the tests
139 and pH was adjusted by automatic addition of 5M NaOH. Lactic acid fermentation was studied
140 for 24h and followed by sampling every 2h during the first 12h and then every 6h. Samples were
141 analyzed for the determination of lactic acid and residual sugars.

142 In order to test the ability of reuse alginate beads, several batch fermentation runs were carried
143 out in the reactor using the same beads. After 24h, the fermentation broth was removed and
144 replaced by the same volume of sterile carob juice. Samples were taken for the analysis of lactic
145 acid and residual sugars. To convert sucrose extracted from carob waste into monosaccharides,
146 technical invertase from baker's yeast (*S. cerevisiae*) of 60 U/mg enzyme activity was employed
147 at 55°C and pH 5.5.

148 *2.5. Chemical analysis*

149 Lactic acid concentration was determined using an HPLC device. The apparatus (HPLC 1260
150 Infinity Quaternary LC system, from Agilent Technology, USA) was equipped with two ion

151 exclusion columns connected in series (Rezex ROA 300X7.8 mm, Phenomenex, USA). The
152 mobile phase was a 2 mM sulfuric acid solution, in ultrapure water (Millipore, MilliQmore),
153 continuously degassed, and flow rate was fixed at 0.7 mL/min. Lactic acid was detected using a
154 refractometer (HP 1100 series, Agilent Technologies, USA). Before analysis, samples were
155 deproteinized to prevent clogging of the column. This was carried out as follows: 250 μ L of
156 barium hydroxide solution (BaOH_2 , 0.3M) and 250 μ L of zinc sulfate solution ($\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 5%
157 w/v) were added to a 2 mL sample, which caused the precipitation of proteins; after
158 centrifugation for 5 min at 10,000 rpm, the supernatant was finally filtered using a cellulose
159 acetate syringe filter (0.45 μ m).

160 Total sugars were determined using the method of Dubois et al. (Dubois et al., 1956), and
161 reducing sugars were analyzed by the DNS method of Miller (Miller, 1959).

162

163 *2.6. Experimental design and statistical analysis*

164 Experiments were defined using the Design of Experiments (DOE) methodology. For the
165 optimization of fermentation conditions, response surface methodology (RSM) was applied.

166 Four factors were studied:

- 167 • Alginate concentration (A): the range chosen was between 2 and 4%, according to Idris and
168 Suzana (Idris and Suzana, 2006), because for a concentration lower than 1%, the beads are
169 easily broken, whereas a too high concentration causes a delay in sugar consumption by
170 bacteria.
- 171 • pH (B): the range was fixed between 4.5 and 6.5 which is the optimal pH range for the growth
172 of *Lactobacillus* (Nancib et al., 2001).
- 173 • Agitation speed (C): the range was between 50 and 150 rpm to maintain sufficient mass

174 transfer, without breaking the beads.

175 • Amount of bacteria Cells Entrapped in Beads, referred to as ACEB (D): this amount ranged
176 between 2 and 6%, which is classical in alginate beads (Ercan et al., 2013).

177 The minimum and maximum values of these four main factors and their coded levels are
178 summarized in Table 1. A four-factor Box–Behnken design was applied to examine the
179 relationship between one or more response variables and for process optimization (Box and
180 Behnken, 1960). This included 27 runs, including 3 replications of the center point. The response
181 variables were the amount of lactic acid produced (P, g/L), the yield (YP/S, %), and the
182 maximum production rate (QP, g/L/h).

183 The free software environment R (Team, 2014) was used for the creation and analysis of
184 experimental designs and multiple comparison. These were analyzed using ANOVA. Parameters
185 with a p-value (p) of 0.05 or less were considered significant.

186 **Table 1**

187 **3. Results and discussion**

188 *3.1. Preliminary experiments*

189 Preliminary experiments involving the carob waste highlighted that *Lactobacillus* was able to
190 use saccharose or glucose as the substrate when a single carbon source was used. Conversely,
191 when saccharose and glucose were added simultaneously, only the hexose was consumed. As
192 carob waste extracts contains 35 g/L and 30 g/L saccharose and hexoses, respectively, this
193 shows that *L. rhamnosus* prefers monosaccharides as the substrate and that metabolic adaptation
194 from glucose to saccharose is not rapid.

195 *3.2. Analysis of the experimental design*

196 *3.2.1. ANOVA and model assessment*

197 The experimental results of Box–Behnken design are summarized in Table 2. This clearly
198 displays a significant evolution of lactic acid production P (from 15 to 22 g/L), yield YP/S (from
199 65.0 to 77%) and productivity Q_P (from 0.45 to 1.22 g/L/h) as a function of the four main factors.
200 A quadratic model with interactions was applied to fit experimental data. The ANOVA tables for
201 the three response variables are summarized in Table 3. The determination coefficient R^2 is
202 satisfactory for P and Q_P , $R^2=0.941, 0.947$, respectively. Conversely, it is weak for YP/S, about
203 0.77. In addition, the lack-of-fit of the model is statistically significant only for YP/S, which
204 implies that the linear model is not adapted for fitting the evolution of this parameter. Another
205 information that arises from Table 3 is that many predictors do not play a significant role among
206 the interaction and the quadratic terms, so that the models can be significantly reduced to a few
207 influential predictors. A descending method was applied: this consists in removing iteratively the
208 predictor with the highest p-value until all the predictors are statistically significant.

209 **Table 2**

210 The reduced models are summarized in Table 4 for P and Q_P , while no significant model could
211 be deduced for YP/S, as expected from Table 3. R^2 was 0.87 and 0.92 for P and Q_P , respectively,
212 which is satisfactory. Finally, A, B, the quadratic term B^2 and the interaction AD (interaction
213 between alginate concentration and the Amount of bacteria Cells Entrapped in Beads) exhibited
214 a significant effect on P, while D and the same predictors were significant on Q_P .

215 The final model equation for acid lactic production based on coded levels was:

$$216 \quad P = 20.3 - 1.38 \times A + 2.12 \times B + 0.9 \times AD - 0.9 \times B^2$$

217 In parallel, the reduced model for Q_P was:

$$218 \quad Q_P = 1.01 - 0.15 \times A + 0.21 \times B + 0.05 \times D + 0.08 \times AD - 0.12 \times B^2$$

219 The good agreement between the observed values and those predicted by these equations
220 arises from Figure 1. The optimum conditions determined from these models for both
221 variables were very close, so that it was not necessary to define a desirability function for
222 multiple responses: the minimum value of alginate concentration (A) studied, 2%, with a pH (B)
223 of 6.4, close to the maximum value studied. For C and D factors, which played a secondary role,
224 intermediate values of 80 rpm for agitation speed (C) and 4% for ACEB (D) were retained. The
225 value of lactic acid production corresponding to these parameters was 22.2 g/L, while
226 productivity was 1.24 g/L/h. Experimental runs with these optimal conditions were carried out
227 and the experimental values of 22.1 ± 0.2 g/L and 1.22 ± 0.08 g/L/h for production and
228 productivity were observed, respectively, which was in very good accordance with the model.

229 **Table 3**

230 In comparison to Turhan et al. (Turhan et al., 2010a), the initial sugar concentration was between
231 100–105 g/L sugar because these authors used directly carob pods for sugar extraction, while a
232 waste was used in this work. This explains why fermentation started only with 65 g/L sugar, but
233 shows that the waste remains very rich in extractable sugars. As a result, (Turhan et al., 2010a)
234 achieved 31.35 g/L lactic acid with a supplemented medium, while it was only 22.1 g/L in this
235 work. However, YP/S was only 68.6% in their study, while 77% was reached in the present
236 work. As the medium and the microorganism both differ, it is not possible to conclude
237 definitively on the role of immobilization. In addition, sugar utilization was about 44% in both
238 studies, which shows that immobilization does not significantly change this parameter.

239 **Figure 1**

240 **Table 4**

241 However, it arises clearly from Table 2 that *L. rhamnosus* immobilized in alginate beads is able

242 to produce effectively lactic acid. But as in Turhan et al.(Turhan et al., 2010a) with *L. casei*, it
243 seems that *L. rhamnosus* is only able to use monosaccharides as the substrate, which could
244 explain the high amount of unconsumed sugar at the end of each run in both studies.

245 3.2.2. Effect of alginate concentration (A)

246 Experimental results clearly demonstrate that the increase in alginate concentration has a
247 negative effect on the yield of lactic acid (Fig.2). They show that 2% leads to a lactic acid
248 concentration of 22 g/L and a yield of 77% (Table 2). Higher concentrations than 2% alginate may
249 lead to a delay in the consumption of glucose and fructose because it would be more difficult for
250 sugars to percolate into the cell pores (Ercan et al., 2013). As already mentioned, for an or
251 alginate concentration lower than 1%, beads were too soft and were, therefore, easily broken due
252 to their low mechanical strength, thus causing bacteria to leak throughout the beads. These
253 conclusions are consistent with those found by Idris and Suzana(Idris and Suzana, 2006) on the
254 production of lactic acid by *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* using pineapple waste as substrate.
255 Similarly, Givry et al.(Givry et al., 2008) found a significant difference in the yield of lactic acid
256 obtained by *Lactobacillus bifementans* on hemicellulose hydrolyzates with 2 to 4% alginate.
257 The same results have also been reported by Najafpour et al. (Najafpour et al., 2004)and Ercan et
258 al. (Ercan et al., 2013), but in the production of ethanol. As a conclusion, the results of Table 2
259 fully agree with the literature and *L. rhamnosus* displays the same behavior as other
260 microorganisms when immobilization in alginate beads is applied.

261 3.2.3. Effect of pH (B)

262 pH is a very important parameter in fermentation, as it affects at least two aspects of
263 microbial metabolism: the metabolism of enzymes and the transport of nutrients into the cells
264 (Panesar et al., 2010). In Table 2 and from ANOVA in Table 3, it emerges that it affects
265 significantly lactic acid production, which also emerges in Figure 2. Contrary to alginate

266 concentration, both B and B² predictors are statistically significant and the coefficient
267 estimates are of opposite sign, which means that a parabolic shape with a maximum is
268 expected. With *lactobacilli*, the critical pH value is 4 and the optimal pH of growth is between
269 4.5 and 6.4 (Nancib et al., 2001). It is known that when the pH approaches the pK_a of lactic
270 acid (about 3.9), the undissociated form of lactic acid plays a more inhibitory effect than the
271 dissociated lactate form. At a pH lower than 5, the undissociated acid form cannot be
272 neglected, whereas a pH greater than 6 leads to almost complete dissociation of lactic acid,
273 which explains why the optimal pH of fermentation around 6 (Pal et al., 2009). This is in
274 agreement with Figure 2 in which the effect of pH is stronger between 4.5 and 5.5 than
275 between 5.5 and 6.5. A pH of 5.5 was found by Ghaly et al.(Ghaly et al., 2004) as for the
276 optimum production of lactic acid by *L. helveticus*. From the results obtained, a pH of 6.4 was
277 found to be the optimal value. This result is close to that of Idris and Suzana (Idris and Suzana,
278 2006) in which 6.5 was reported to maximize the production of lactic acid by *L. delbrueckii*.
279 Similarly, Panesar et al.(Panesar et al., 2010) found a maximum conversion rate of lactose
280 and an optimum lactic acid production of 33.5 g/L with a pH value of 6.5. Krischke et al.(Krischke
281 et al., 1991) also reported that a pH interval between 6.0 and 6.5 is optimal for lactic acid
282 production by *Lactobacillus casei*. As a conclusion, the optimum pH observed for immobilized
283 *L. rhamnosus* is close to the optimum values reported in the literature for similar microorganisms
284 in liquid phase, as expected.

285

286 **Figure 2**

287

288 3.2.4. Effect of agitation rate (C)

289 Agitation speed is a key parameter for the efficient transfer of nutrients from the bulk to the cells

290 in the fermentation process (Liu and Shen, 2008). In this work, Table 3 clearly shows that this
291 factor is not statistically significant. Contradictory results can be found in the literature on this
292 topic. Bai et al.(Bai et al., 2003) reported that lactic acid production increased by 42% when
293 stirring was increased from 0 to 300 rpm. The same conclusion was drawn in Roukas (Roukas,
294 1994), where alcoholic fermentation on carob juice was enhanced by higher agitation speed.
295 Ercan et al.(Ercan et al., 2013) selected 150 rpm as the optimum agitation rate to produce ethanol
296 from carob bean juice by immobilized *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells. However, Panesar et
297 al.(Panesar et al., 2010) found that there was no difference in the pro- duction of lactic acid
298 between fermentation with and without agitation, in agreement with this work. Finally, this work
299 highlights that contrary to expectations, mass transfer is not the limiting step, even when
300 agitation speed is 50 rpm. This shows that immobilization does not slow down lactic
301 fermentation because of mass transfer limitation.

302 3.2.5. Effect of ACEB (D)

303
304 Based on the results of the experimental design, this parameter does not exhibit a significant
305 effect on the production of lactic acid and has a weak role on productivity (Table 4). However,
306 the interaction AD with alginate concentration is always significant, which shows that this factor
307 may have a weak non-linear behavior which is not accounted for by the model. In this work,
308 4% was retained for the volume of inoculum, which does not exactly fit the optimized values
309 because the optimum is not the same when P and QP are considered. This behavior differs
310 significantly from the other main factors, A and B. ACEB has a slight positive effect on QP,
311 but this is compensated by the AD interaction: when QP is maximized at low pH (i.e. when A
312 tends towards -1), the AD and the D terms are, therefore, of opposite sign in the QP expression
313 and their sum plays a weak role in the model. Finally, 4% agrees with the work of Panesar et

314 al.(Panesar et al., 2010) in which the maximum concentration of lactic acid was obtained with
315 an inoculum size between 2 and 4%. The increase in inoculum size is supposed to induce an
316 increase in biomass concentration and consumption and production rates, which explains
317 why D has a stronger influence on QP in this work. The reason of an optimum inoculum, volume
318 can be found in Laluce et al.(Laluce et al., 2009) who reported that a high cell density can
319 negatively affect ethanol production due to a lack of nutrients, a limit of space and too strong
320 cell interactions. Similarly, 3% was the optimal inoculum size for ethanol production by *S.*
321 *cerevisiae* in Izmirlioglu and Demirci (Izmirlioglu and Demirci, 2012) and in Turhan et
322 al.(Turhan et al., 2010b).

323 *3.2.6. Effect of the AD (Alginate×ACEB) interaction*

324
325 The role of the alginate×ACEB interaction has been slightly studied in the literature. Figure 3
326 illustrates AD interaction for P and QP. As already mentioned, the AD interaction compensates
327 the effect of D in P. For QP, it appears clearly that the effect of D becomes insignificant when
328 A= -1 in Figure 3. More generally, it also arises from this figure that when A is decreased, D
329 should also be decreased to enhance lactic acid production. Actually, concentrations of alginate
330 higher than 2% may delay the diffusion of sugars in the beads. So, an increase of alginate
331 concentration requires an increase of the inoculum to maintain the nutrient accessibility to
332 biomass. This explains why the AD interaction is significant both on P and QP in Table 4.

333 **Figure 3**

334 *3.3. Further improvements of the fermentation process*

335 *3.3.1. Batch fermentation using UECW*

336 In order to study whether carob waste juice can be considered as a complete fermentation

337 medium, a negative control has been achieved on carob juice without enrichment. Experimental
338 results display a significant difference for the kinetic parameters between the enriched carob
339 waste (ECW) and the unenriched carob waste (UECW) in Table 5. The concentration of lactic
340 acid produced on ECW media was 22 g/L, whereas this value was only 14 g/L for the UECW
341 medium. In addition, there was also a significant difference in maximum production rate: for
342 ECW, QP was 1.22 g/L/h, whereas this value was reduced to 0.43 g/L/h for UECW. Similarly,
343 the fermentation of ECW displayed a yield of 77%, while the yield YP/S was decreased to
344 48.5% for UECW. This result agrees with the data from Turhan et al.(Turhan et al., 2010a) in
345 which YP/S fell at 41% without enrichment. This result highlights that the fermentescibility of
346 the carob waste does not differ significantly from that of the fruit, which means that similar
347 nutrients must be added to enhance lactic acid production. As in Turhan et al.(Turhan et al.,
348 2010a), the issue is that carob and, consequently, the carob waste does not contain an adequate
349 nitrogen source. Both contain only proteins (Bahry et al., 2017), while among the nutritional
350 elements, yeast extract was considered essential for *Lactobacillus* to obtain efficient production
351 of lactic acid (Aeschlimann and Von Stockar, 1990). In the study of Göksungur and Güvenç
352 (Göksungur and Güvenç, 1997), the presence of nitrogen source improved lactic acid production
353 from 10 g/L to 60 g/L. Similarly, Nancib et al.(Nancib et al., 2001) reported that the
354 concentration of lactic acid rose from 30 ± 2.4 g/L without yeast extract, to 46 g/L with yeast
355 extract. In addition, manganese ions, a constituent of lactate dehydrogenase, have been shown to
356 have a strong effect on lactic acid production. On date juice, supplementation by yeast extract
357 has been shown to improve production yield, compared to non-enriched date juice (Nancib et al.,
358 2001). Similar conclusions were drawn by Turhan et al. (Turhan et al., 2010a) who compared
359 five nitrogen sources among which the yeast extract maximized the production of lactic acid.
360 Thus, all the kinetic parameters show the need to enrich the culture medium with a source of

361 nitrogen and elements that are necessary for the growth of *Lactobacillus* bacteria. This
362 conclusion can be generalized for Carob pods and carob waste, as similar results were reported
363 concerning the importance of enrichment of culture medium during the production of
364 bioethanol (Bahry et al., 2017; Germec et al., 2015).

365

366

Table 5

367 3.3.2. Reusability of alginate beads

368 Among the objectives of immobilization, the ability to re-use beads with immobilized cells after
369 replacement of the culture medium is one of the most relevant. For that purpose, repeated-
370 batch fermentation was carried out to assess the number of possible cycles performed by the
371 immobilized cells while maintaining the stability of the alginate beads. The same optimum
372 fermentation conditions defined in section 3.2 were always applied (2% alginate, pH 6.4, stirring
373 rate 80rpm and ACEB 4%; total initial sugar concentration: 65 g/L).

374 From the results obtained in Table 5, cells immobilized in alginate beads can be used for five
375 successive cycles with only a small reduction in yield. At the fifth cycle, the yield decreased by
376 up to $72 \pm 2\%$, with a small leakage of the cells into the culture medium; this can be explained by
377 the possible combination of lactic acid with phosphate, citrate and calcium ions leading to the
378 disintegration of calcium alginate. However, the P and QP did not significantly change among
379 the fifth run, which highlights that more cycles could probably be applied. This result agrees
380 with Ganguly et al. (Ganguly et al., 2007) who investigated the production of lactic acid with
381 loofa sponge immobilized in *Rhizopus oryzae* RBU2-10 could be carried out for 10 cycles with a
382 high productivity (1.66–1.84 g/L/h) during the first 5 cycles. Similar results were also reported
383 on ethanol production using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* immobilized in alginate by Ercan et
384 al. (Ercan et al., 2013). As a conclusion, this result highlights the interest of *L. rhamnosus*

385 immobilization for lactic acid production.

386 3.3.3. Pretreatment of carob juice by invertase enzyme

387 In the extracts, the major sugars are glucose, fructose and sucrose. During fermentation with
388 *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, glucose and fructose were consumed by the microorganism, whereas
389 sucrose was not metabolized. These results are similar to those found by Nancib et al.(Nancib et
390 al., 2001) using *L. rhamnosus* and date juice as the substrate. During lactic acid fermentation on
391 pineapple syrup, sucrose was not easily metabolized by *Lactococcus lactis* because bacteria
392 preferentially used glucose and fructose (Ueno et al., 2003). The same conclusion was drawn by
393 Turhan et al. (Turhan et al., 2010a) using carob juice and *L. casei*. This may be explained by the
394 fact that enzymes for sucrose catabolism are not synthesized when glucose and fructose exist in
395 the medium, indicating that glucose and fructose have probably caused the repression of sucrose
396 catabolism. In a study involving the simultaneous fermentation of D-xylose and glucose by
397 *Candida shehatae*, Kastner et al.(Kastner et al., 1998) concluded that glucose inhibits the
398 catabolism of D-xylose by suppressing the induction of D-xylose enzymes. For this purpose, a
399 conversion of sucrose was necessary. As a result, only a pretreatment of the extracts by an
400 invertase enzyme, as in Turhan et al.(Turhan et al., 2010a), was able to lead to the total
401 conversion of sucrose into glucose and fructose and enhance lactic fermentation. Thus, the
402 fermentation was replicated on carob waste juice with a total concentration of reducing sugars of
403 65 g/L.

404

405 The carob waste juice was treated with an invertase prior to the addition of pre-culture, under
406 optimum conditions previously described. A DNS analysis was performed to validate the total
407 conversion of sucrose into simple sugars. After the pre-culture was added, the fermentation
408 was started by keeping the same optimum conditions of fermentation found by the

409 experimental design. The results show that, after treatment with the invertase enzyme, sugar
410 utilization, and lactic acid production and productivity increased up to 92%, 40 g/L and 1.66
411 g/L/h, compared to 44%, 22 g/L and 1.2 g/L/h without enzymatic treatment, respectively (Table
412 6). The yield decreased from 77% to 66.6%, which shows that increasing sugar concentration
413 promotes biomass growth at the expense of lactic acid productivity. Similar conclusions were
414 drawn by Turhan et al. (Turhan et al., 2010a). Similarly, (Ueno et al., 2003) have improved the
415 production of lactic acid from pineapple waste by *Lactococcus lactis* after using another
416 invertase enzyme extracted from grape.

417 **Table 6**

418 As a conclusion, low cost invertase can be used to subsequently enhance sugar utilization,
419 lactic acid production and productivity, but at the expense of yield. This stems from the sugar
420 composition of extracts from carob waste which contains both mono- and disaccharides. The
421 inability of lactic bacteria to ferment at the same time these mono- and disaccharides seems
422 general in the literature, which means that it is favored or repressed neither by the waste, nor by
423 immobilization. Similarly, *L. casei* and *L. rhamnosus* display apparently the same behavior, but
424 the reusability of immobilized cells remains a key advantage of this study.

425 **4. Conclusions**

426 The solid carob waste resulting from molasses production in the Lebanese food industry is an
427 attractive source to produce lactic acid. Extraction process is able to provide extracts
428 containing 65 g/L sugars. However, the enrichment of the culture medium, especially in yeast
429 extract as a nitrogen source, is necessary to significantly increase the yield of lactic acid
430 production at constant sugar utilization. The optimum conditions deduced from response
431 surface methodology lead to a final concentration of lactic acid of 22 g/L, a yield of 76.9% and a
432 productivity of 1.22 g/L/h. Further improvements included a pretreatment with invertase, so

433 that a final concentration of 40 g/L and a productivity of 1.66 g/L/h could be achieved, but with
434 a reduced yield of 66.6%. Immobilization was shown to be an efficient process for the reuse of
435 microorganisms, which allowed an easy separation of the biomass from the liquid phase. The
436 reusability of the beads up to five cycles was demonstrated. A techno-economic analysis
437 must be carried out, now, to optimize the process from sugar extraction to lactic acid
438 purification and determine whether a pre-concentration step of sugar is necessary.

439 **Acknowledgements:** This study was supported financially by the Lebanese University and
440 Pascal Institute (France).

441 REFERENCES

- 442 Aeschlimann, A., Von Stockar, U., 1990. The effect of yeast extract supplementation on the
443 production of lactic acid from whey permeate by *Lactobacillus helveticus*. *Appl.*
444 *Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 32, 398–402.
- 445 Bahry, H., Pons, A., Abdallah, R., Pierre, G., Delattre, C., Fayad, N., Taha, S., Vial, C., 2017.
446 Valorization of carob waste: Definition of a second-generation bioethanol production
447 process. *Bioresour. Technol.* 235, 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.03.056>
- 448 Bai, D.-M., Jia, M.-Z., Zhao, X.-M., Ban, R., Shen, F., Li, X.-G., Xu, S.-M., 2003. (+)-lactic acid
449 production by pellet-form *Rhizopus oryzae* R1021 in a stirred tank fermentor. *Chem.*
450 *Eng. Sci.* 58, 785–791.
- 451 Box, G.E.P., Behnken, D.W., 1960. Simplex-sum designs: a class of second order rotatable
452 designs derivable from those of first order. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 31, 838–864.
- 453 Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P. t, Smith, F., 1956. Colorimetric method for
454 determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal. Chem.* 28, 350–356.
- 455 Ercan, Y., Irfan, T., Mustafa, K., 2013. Optimization of ethanol production from carob pod
456 extract using immobilized *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells in a stirred tank bioreactor.
457 *Bioresour. Technol.* 135, 365–371.
- 458 Ganguly, R., Dwivedi, P., Singh, R.P., 2007. Production of lactic acid with loofa sponge
459 immobilized *Rhizopus oryzae* RBU2-10. *Bioresour. Technol.* 98, 1246–1251.
- 460 Germec, M., Turhan, I., Karhan, M., Demirci, A., 2015. Ethanol production via repeated-batch
461 fermentation from carob pod extract by using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in biofilm
462 reactor. *Fuel* 161, 304–311.
- 463 Ghaly, A.E., Tango, M.S.A., Mahmoud, N.S., Avery, A.C., 2004. Batch propagation of
464 *Lactobacillus helveticus* for production of lactic acid from lactose concentrated cheese
465 whey with microaeration and nutrient supplementation. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*
466 20, 65–75.
- 467 Givry, S., Prevot, V., Duchiron, F., 2008. Lactic acid production from hemicellulosic
468 hydrolyzate by cells of *Lactobacillus bifermentans* immobilized in Ca-alginate using
469 response surface methodology. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 24, 745–752.

470 Göksungur, Y., Güvenç, U., 1997. Batch and continuous production of lactic acid from beet
471 molasses by *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* IFO 3202. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. Int. Res.*
472 *Process Environ. Clean Technol.* 69, 399–404.

473 Haankuku, C., Epplin, F.M., Kakani, V.G., 2015. Industrial sugar beets to biofuel: field to fuel
474 production system and cost estimates. *Biomass Bioenergy* 80, 267–277.

475 Haddarah, A., Ismail, A., Bassal, A., Hamieh, T., Ioannou, I., Ghoul, M., 2013. Morphological
476 and chemical variability of Lebanese carob varieties. *Eur. Sci. J. ESJ* 9.

477 Idris, A., Suzana, W., 2006. Effect of sodium alginate concentration, bead diameter, initial pH
478 and temperature on lactic acid production from pineapple waste using immobilized
479 *Lactobacillus delbrueckii*. *Process Biochem.* 41, 1117–1123.

480 Izmirlioglu, G., Demirci, A., 2012. Ethanol production from waste potato mash by using
481 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl. Sci.* 2, 738–753.

482 Kastner, J.R., Jones, W.J., Roberts, R.S., 1998. Simultaneous utilization of glucose and D-xylose
483 by *Candida shehatae* in a chemostat. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 20, 339–343.

484 Kourkoutas, Y., Xolias, V., Kallis, M., Bezirtzoglou, E., Kanellaki, M., 2005. *Lactobacillus casei*
485 cell immobilization on fruit pieces for probiotic additive, fermented milk and lactic acid
486 production. *Process Biochem.* 40, 411–416.

487 Krischke, W., Schröder, M., Trösch, W., 1991. Continuous production of l-lactic acid from whey
488 permeate by immobilized *Lactobacillus casei* subsp. *casei*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*
489 34, 573–578.

490 Laluçe, C., Tognolli, J.O., De Oliveira, K.F., Souza, C.S., Morais, M.R., 2009. Optimization of
491 temperature, sugar concentration, and inoculum size to maximize ethanol production
492 without significant decrease in yeast cell viability. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 83, 627–
493 637.

494 Limtong, S., Sringiew, C., Yongmanitchai, W., 2007. Production of fuel ethanol at high
495 temperature from sugar cane juice by a newly isolated *Kluyveromyces marxianus*.
496 *Bioresour. Technol.* 98, 3367–3374.

497 Liu, R., Shen, F., 2008. Impacts of main factors on bioethanol fermentation from stalk juice of
498 sweet sorghum by immobilized *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (CICC 1308). *Bioresour.*
499 *Technol.* 99, 847–854.

500 Miller, G.L., 1959. Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar. *Anal.*
501 *Chem.* 31, 426–428.

502 Najafpour, G., Younesi, H., Ismail, K.S.K., 2004. Ethanol fermentation in an immobilized cell
503 reactor using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Bioresour. Technol.* 92, 251–260.

504 Nancib, N., Nancib, A., Boudjelal, A., Benslimane, C., Blanchard, F., Boudrant, J., 2001. The
505 effect of supplementation by different nitrogen sources on the production of lactic acid
506 from date juice by *Lactobacillus casei* subsp. *rhamnosus*. *Bioresour. Technol.* 78, 149–
507 153.

508 Okano, K., Zhang, Q., Yoshida, S., Tanaka, T., Ogino, C., Fukuda, H., Kondo, A., 2010. D-lactic
509 acid production from cellooligosaccharides and β -glucan using L-LDH gene-deficient
510 and endoglucanase-secreting *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 85,
511 643–650.

512 Pal, P., Sikder, J., Roy, S., Giorno, L., 2009. Process intensification in lactic acid production: a
513 review of membrane based processes. *Chem. Eng. Process. Process Intensif.* 48, 1549–
514 1559.

515 Panesar, P.S., Kennedy, J.F., Knill, C.J., Kosseva, M., 2010. Production of L (+) lactic acid using
516 *Lactobacillus casei* from whey. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* 53, 219–226.

517 Roukas, T., 1994. Kinetics of ethanol production from carob pods extract by
518 immobilized *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 44, 49–64.

519 Sirisansaneeyakul, S., Luangpipat, T., Vanichsriratana, W., Srinophakun, T., Chen, H.H.-H.,
520 Chisti, Y., 2007. Optimization of lactic acid production by immobilized *Lactococcus*
521 *lactis* IO-1. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 34, 381.

522 Team, R.C., 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
523 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2013.

524 Tian, X., Wang, Y., Chu, J., Mohsin, A., Zhuang, Y., 2018. Exploring cellular fatty acid
525 composition and intracellular metabolites of osmotic-tolerant mutant *Lactobacillus*
526 *paracasei* NCBIO-M2 for highly efficient lactic acid production with high initial glucose
527 concentration. *J. Biotechnol.* 286, 27–35.

528 Turhan, I., Bialka, K.L., Demirci, A., Karhan, M., 2010a. Enhanced lactic acid production from
529 carob extract by *Lactobacillus casei* using invertase pretreatment. *Food Biotechnol.* 24,
530 364–374.

531 Turhan, I., Bialka, K.L., Demirci, A., Karhan, M., 2010b. Ethanol production from carob extract
532 by using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Bioresour. Technol.* 101, 5290–5296.

533 Ueno, T., Ozawa, Y., Ishikawa, M., Nakanishi, K., Kimura, T., 2003. Lactic acid production
534 using two food processing wastes, canned pineapple syrup and grape invertase, as
535 substrate and enzyme. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 25, 573–577.

536 Yadav, A.K., Chaudhari, A.B., Kothari, R.M., 2011. Bioconversion of renewable resources into
537 lactic acid: an industrial view. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 31, 1–19.

538 ZENGİN, E., SAĞLIKER, H.A., Darici, C., 2008. Carbon mineralization of *Ceratonia siliqua* L.
539 soils under different temperature and humidity conditions. *Turk. J. Bot.* 32, 123–127.

540 Zhao, Z., Xie, X., Wang, Z., Tao, Y., Niu, X., Huang, X., Liu, L., Li, Z., 2016. Immobilization of
541 *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* in mesoporous silica-based material: An efficiency continuous
542 cell-recycle fermentation system for lactic acid production. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* 121, 645–
543 651.

544 **Figure legends**

545 **Figure 1:** Plot of predicted vs. observed P and QP values.

546 **Figure 2:** Illustration of the influence of alginate concentration and pH on lactic acid production
547 (Fig.2A) and productivity (Fig. 2B).

548 **Figure 3:** Interaction plots between alginate concentration and ACEB (D). Effect on: (Fig.3A)
549 Lactic acid production p (g/L); (Fig.3B) productivity Qp (g/L/h).

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568 **Figure 1**

569




Fig 1.A





Fig 1.B

577 **Figure 2**




578

579

Fig 2.A

580



581

582

Fig 2.B

583


584

585

586

587 **Figure 3**

588



589

590

591 **Fig 3.A**

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616


617

618

619

620

621



591 **Fig 3.B**

622 **Tables**

623 **Table 1.** Range and levels of the independent variables in the Box–Behnken design.
624

Factor	Code	-1	0	+1
Alginate concentration (%)	A	2	3	4
pH	B	4.5	5.5	6.5
Agitation speed (rpm)	C	50	100	150
ACEB (%)	D	2	4	6

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648 **Table 2.** Experimental data (lactic acid production P, yield YP/S, and maximum productivity QP)

649 collected in the runs of the Box–Behnken design as a function of the four main factors.

650 collected in the runs of the Box–Behnken design as a function of the four main factors.

651

Run	Alginate concentration (%)	pH	Agitation speed (rpm)	ACEB (%)	P (g/L)	YP/S (%)	QP (g/L/h)
1	0	0	0	0	20	73.6	1.06
2	0	0	0	0	21	74	1.10
3	0	0	0	0	19.8	73.3	0.97
4	-1	-1	0	0	18	72.0	0.78
5	+1	-1	0	0	15	65.2	0.45
6	-1	+1	0	0	22	76.9	1.22
7	+1	+1	0	0	19	76.6	0.95
8	0	0	-1	-1	18	69.2	0.90
9	0	0	+1	-1	18.6	70.0	0.98
10	0	0	-1	+1	19.52	72.3	1.04
11	0	0	+1	+1	20	74.0	1.07
12	-1	0	0	-1	20.8	74.3	1.13
13	+1	0	0	-1	17.6	73.4	0.70
14	-1	0	0	+1	19	67.9	1.12
15	+1	0	0	+1	19.4	77.6	1.01
16	0	-1	-1	0	15.5	62.0	0.62
17	0	+1	-1	0	21.5	75.5	1.18
18	0	-1	+1	0	16.9	70	0.69
19	0	+1	+1	0	20.5	78.8	1.20
20	-1	0	-1	0	21	76.4	1.15
21	+1	0	-1	0	17.1	70.6	0.86
22	-1	0	+1	0	21.5	77.7	1.19
23	+1	0	+1	0	17.8	69.5	0.87
24	0	-1	0	-1	16.9	70.7	0.77
25	0	+1	0	-1	19.8	75.5	1.04
26	0	-1	0	+1	16	64.0	0.80
27	0	+1	0	+1	20.9	76.8	1.10

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

Table 3. ANOVA analysis for the three responses (significant p-values are in bold).

Predictor	P (g/L)		YP/S (%)		QP (g/L/h)	
	Sum of Squares	p-value	Sum of Squares	p-value	Sum of Squares	p-value
A	22.4	0.0179	12.3	0.0100	0.255	0.0169
B	53.7	0.0076	262	0.0005	0.555	0.0079
C	0.599	0.3520	16.4	0.0075	0.00521	0.3917
D	0.811	0.2962	0.0234	0.7076	0.0320	0.1150
A ²	1.104	0.2438	1.75	0.0647	0.0102	0.2684
AB	0.000	1.0000	10.6	0.0116	0.0009	0.6964
AC	0.0100	0.8907	1.45	0.0765	0.000225	0.8427
AD	3.24	0.1074	28.6	0.0044	0.0256	0.1382
B ²	8.06	0.0476	7.42	0.0165	0.0800	0.0512
BC	1.440	0.2029	5.45	0.0222	0.000625	0.7434
BD	1.00	0.2601	16.0	0.0077	0.000225	0.8427
C ²	1.280	0.2205	4.67	0.0258	0.000075	0.9084
CD	0.0036	0.9342	0.216	0.3193	0.000625	0.7434
D ²	2.36	0.1395	4.95	0.0244	0.0012	0.6547
Lack-of-fit	4.979	0.5361	113.393	0.0110	0.0504	0.5552
Pure error	0.826		0.2504		0.00886	
Total error	97.54		487.32		1.026	

660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672

673 **Table 4.** Summary of ANOVA and coefficient estimates of the reduced models for P and QP,
 674 including df (degree of freedom), p (p-value) and the standard error of the estimates.

675

P (g/L)							
Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p	Coefficient	Error
A	22.4133	1	22.4133	34.03	0.0000	-1.38	0.23
B	53.7633	1	53.7633	81.63	0.0000	2.12	0.23
AD	3.24	1	3.24	4.92	0.0436	0.9	0.40
B ²	5.49643	1	5.49643	8.35	0.0119	-0.9	0.31
Lack-of-fit	3.41657	8	0.427072	0.65	0.7265		
Pure error	9.2202	14	0.658586				
Total (corr.)	97.5499	26					
QP(g/L/h)							
Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p	Coefficient	Error
A	0.255208	1	0.255208	127.47	0.0000	-0.146	0.013
B	0.5547	1	0.5547	277.06	0.0000	0.216	0.013
D	0.0320333	1	0.0320333	16.00	0.0039	0.0517	0.013
AD	0.0256	1	0.0256	12.79	0.0072	0.08	0.023
B ²	0.0806667	1	0.0806667	40.29	0.0002	-0.122	0.017
Lack-of-fit	0.0620417	13	0.00477244	2.38	0.1107		
Pure error	0.0160167	8	0.00200208				
Total (corr.)	1.02627	26					

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692
693
694

Table 5. Evolution of kinetic parameters of lactic acid production when recycling alginate beads

Kinetic parameters	Optimum condition (ECW)	Cycle 1 (ECW)	Cycle 2 (ECW)	Cycle 3 (ECW)	Cycle 4 (ECW)	Cycle 5 (ECW)	Culture on UECW
Sugars Consumed (g/L)	29 ^a ±1.2	28 ^a ±4	28.0 ^a ±0.9	29 ^a ±3	28 ^a ±2	29 ^a ±4	29 ^b ±4
Lactic acid (g/L)	22 ^a ±0.9	22 ^a ±2	21.4 ^a ±0.5	22 ^a ±4	21 ^a ±2	20 ^a ±3	14 ^b ±3
Yield (%)	77 ^a ±2	79 ^a ±4	76.0 ^a ±0.9	75 ^a ±5	75 ^a ±4	72 ^b ±3	49 ^c ±3
Production rate (g/L/h)	1.22 ^a ±0.08	1.16 ^a ±0.02	1.2 ^a ±0.6	1.1 ^a ±0.1	1.2 ^a ±0.9	1.2 ^a ±0.3	0.43 ^b ±0.08

695
696

In each line, the same superscript letter within columns indicates homogeneous subset.

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713 **Table 6.** Kinetic parameters of lactic acid production from carob waste (CW)
714 and carob waste treated with invertase enzyme(CWI).

715

Kinetic parameters	CW	CWI
Initial sugar concentration (g/L)	65 ^a	65 ^a
Sugars consumed (g/L)	28.6 ^a	60 ^b
Lactic acid produced, P (g/L)	22 ^a	40 ^b
Yield, P (%)	76.9 ^a	66.6 ^b
Max production rate, QP (g/L/h)	1.22 ^a	1.66 ^b

716 In each line, the same superscript letter within columns indicates homogeneous subset.

717