

# Cardiorespiratory fitness, blood pressure, and cerebral oxygenation during a dual-task in healthy young males

Roman Goenarjo, Olivier Dupuy, Sarah Fraser, Anaick Perrochon, Nicolas Berryman, Laurent Bosquet

### ▶ To cite this version:

Roman Goenarjo, Olivier Dupuy, Sarah Fraser, Anaick Perrochon, Nicolas Berryman, et al.. Cardiorespiratory fitness, blood pressure, and cerebral oxygenation during a dual-task in healthy young males. Behavioural Brain Research, 2020, 380, pp.112422 -. 10.1016/j.bbr.2019.112422 . hal-03488606

## HAL Id: hal-03488606 https://hal.science/hal-03488606

Submitted on 21 Jul2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

| 1  | CARDIORESPIRATORY FITNESS, BLOOD PRESSURE, AND CEREBRAL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | <b>OXYGENATION DURING A DUAL-TASK IN HEALTHY YOUNG MALES</b>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Roman Goenarjo <sup>1,2</sup> , Olivier Dupuy <sup>1</sup> , Sarah Fraser <sup>3</sup> , Anaick Perrochon <sup>4</sup> , Nicolas Berryman <sup>5,6</sup> , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Laurent Bosquet <sup>1</sup>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | <sup>1</sup> Laboratory MOVE, University of Poitiers, Poitiers, France   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | <sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Physiology, University of Indonesia, Depok, Indonesia   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | <sup>3</sup> Interdisciplinary School of Health Sciences, University of Ottawa, Canada   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | <sup>4</sup> Laboratory HAVAE, University of Limoges, Limoges, France  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | <sup>5</sup> Department of Sports Studies, Bishop's University, Sherbrooke, Canada   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | <sup>6</sup> Centre de Recherche de l'Institut Universitaire de Gériatrie de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | All authors participated in the conception of the study, the supervision of the tests and the writing  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | of the manuscript.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Total words: 6677 (inclusive of abstract, references, and figure legends)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Keywords: cardiorespiratory fitness; arterial pressure; cortical oxygenation; working memory;  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | young males; dual-task   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Running title: Cardiovascular determinants of dual-task performance  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Grants: Roman Goenarjo is funded by (Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education) LPDP.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Potential conflicts of interest: None  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Name and complete address for correspondence:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Laurent Bosquet, Ph.D, Laboratory MOVE (EA6314), University of Poitiers, Poitiers, France,
 Phone number: +33 (0) 549 454 115 Fax number: +33 (0) 549 453 396 Email:
 laurent.bosquet@univ-poitiers.fr

#### 1 Abstract

2 This study aimed to evaluate the effects of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) on the prefrontal cortex (PFC) oxygenation and dual-task performance in healthy young 3 4 males. Changes in the concentration of oxygenated ( $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub>) and deoxygenated hemoglobin ( $\Delta$ HHb) in the right and left PFC were examined during a cognitive auditory 2-back task. Cognitive 5 6 performance (2-back task's accuracy) and walking motor performances were measured in single-7 tasks (single motor and single cognitive) and dual-task (2-back task + walking). Thirty-six young 8 males were ranked according to their  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak. The second tertile was excluded to generate two groups of different CRF (high fit group: n=12 and  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak = 56.0±6.7 ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>; low-fit 9 group: n=12 and  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak = 36.7±4.1 ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>). The CRF groups were further split into two 10 subgroups according to 24-hour MAP (higher-MAP, lower-MAP). Two-way ANOVA (CRF x n-11 12 back conditions) revealed a significant interaction between the CRF and cognitive task condition on 13 2-back accuracy (p = .007) and a main effect of CRF on  $\Delta$ HHb in the right and left PFC (p < .05). These results suggest that in healthy young males: 1) for CRF, only low-fit individuals demonstrate 14 15 dual-task costs in accuracy (Dual < Single), and 2) that in comparison to the low-fit group, the high 16 fit-group demonstrated greater changes in PFC oxygenation in  $\Delta$ HHb, but not  $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub>.

17

| 18 | Highl | ights: |
|----|-------|--------|

Aerobic fitness (CRF), mean arterial pressure, prefrontal oxygenation, and dual-task were
 examined

In comparison to those with lower CRF, those with higher CRF demonstrated more accurate
 working memory performance in dual-task condition

• Higher CRF was associated with greater PFC oxygenation on  $\Delta$ HHb, but not  $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub>.

Keywords: cardiorespiratory fitness; cerebral oxygenation; near-infrared spectroscopy; dual-task;
young males

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

2 There is an increasing amount of knowledge supporting a close relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), brain health, and cognitive performance in older adults [1]-[5]. This 3 link is particularly well-established for fluid intelligence. This high-level cognitive function, which 4 5 declines with aging [6], refers to the ability to solve novel problems [7] and is commonly used as a 6 predictor of general intelligence [8]. Working memory (WM), which is the executive function that correlates the most with fluid intelligence, represents the ability to hold short-term information in 7 8 mind while using that information to accomplish a task [9]. One of the typical tests used to assess 9 WM is the n-back task, which consists in presenting a series of stimuli and ask the participant to 10 respond to the stimuli they saw or heard "n" items-back. Several studies have shown that n-back task performance, which is under the control of the prefrontal cortex (PFC), was a predictor of inter-11 individual differences in fluid intelligence and was associated with CRF in older adults [10], [11]. 12 13 Studies in younger adults have reported a lack of association between CRF and n-back task performance [12], [13] even though higher-fit younger adults have exhibited better WM performance 14 than lower-fit young adults using a different WM tasks such as the Automatic Operation Span 15 (Aospan) task [14] and Sternberg's Working Memory Search (SMS) task [15]. This inconsistency in 16 the younger adult findings relating to CRF and WM initiates a debate on the potential links between 17 18 CRF, PFC, and WM, especially in younger adults.

Younger adults typically have better WM performance than older adults [16]. Therefore, considering their larger cognitive reserve and physical performance capacity, the level of task complexity required for the CRF to become a limiting factor is much greater in younger adults compared to older adults [16], [17]. In this regard, the dual-task walking paradigm may provide the increased task complexity needed for younger adults [18]. The dual-task walking paradigm is a procedure that requires an individual to perform a motor and a cognitive task simultaneously (or dual-task), in order to compare performance with single-task conditions (i.e., walking alone or

1 responding to cognitive task alone). Considering the high cognitive demands of this task, a reduced 2 cognitive reserve may result in an alteration of motor performance, cognitive performance or both 3 [19]–[21]. In addition, low CRF has been associated with less automaticity in movement and greater 4 cognitive control during complex motor tasks [22]. It has recently been suggested that increased gait instability and higher stride variability that has been observed in individuals with low CRF could be 5 6 partly compensated by cognitive strategies, thus replacing automated sensorimotor processing with 7 effortful higher-order functions [19]. When cognitive resources are allocated for locomotion, 8 capacity theories [23] propose that these cognitive resources are less available for other activities 9 while walking. Therefore, regardless of age, individuals with low CRF may have more difficulty than those with a high CRF to walk and concurrently engage in a cognitive activity [24], [25]. 10

Several underlying mechanisms, involving both the structure and the function of the brain, 11 12 have been proposed to explain this beneficial effect of exercise on executive functions [3], [4], [26], 13 [27]. In fact, CRF has been positively associated with white matter integrity, cortical thickness, and gray matter volume of the PFC in older adults [28], [29]. From a functional point of view, oxygen 14 15 availability appears to play a major role in cerebral functioning [30]. Cerebral oxygen availability is determined in part by the interaction between cerebral vasculature and the regulation of cerebral 16 17 blood flow (CBF) but also by the availability of oxygen in the environment as suggested by studies showing cognitive performance impairments during hypoxia [31]–[33]. Differences in CRF seem to 18 19 play a key role in cerebral oxygenation since high-fit older adults display higher cerebral 20 oxygenation during a cognitive task [11], [34], [35]. In parallel, some evidence has suggested that blood pressure is involved in the association between CRF and executive performance, including 21 WM [36]. In fact, both hypertension and hypotension have been associated with alterations in CBF 22 23 which may lead to a decrease in perfusion and oxygenation of the brain, a decrease in a vascular reserve capacity, and ultimately a decrease in executive performance capacity [36]. This potential 24 role of blood pressure has also been supported by the association between blood pressure and 25

cognitive impairment, even in normotensive individuals [37]. Finally, some studies reported that a
higher CRF predicted a lower mean arterial pressure (MAP) [38] and CBF regulation [39] in healthy
young adults. However, the degree to which CRF and MAP associated with the PFC oxygenation
and dual-task performance still remains to be determined, particularly in younger adults.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the interactions between CRF, MAP 5 6 and PFC oxygenation during dual-task walking in healthy young males. Considering the literature 7 and previous studies, we expected that a higher CRF level would be associated with a better 2-back 8 accuracy in healthy young males, especially in the dual-task condition. Secondly, we expected that a 9 higher CRF level would also be associated with a greater change in  $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub> and  $\Delta$ HHb during 2-back task performance, especially in dual-task condition. Thirdly, we expected that MAP would influence 10 11 the relationship between CRF, cerebral oxygenation and WM in healthy young males. We 12 hypothesized that: 1) WM assessed in dual-task would be better in high-fit individuals compared to 13 low-fit, 2) PFC oxygenation would be greater in individuals with better WM, and 3) MAP would affect the link between WM, cerebral oxygenation, and CRF. 14

15

#### 16 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 17 2.1 Participants

In this study, considering the influence of estrogen on PFC, we decided to focus specifically on males [40]–[42]. Young healthy males aged between 18 and 35 years old were considered for inclusion in this study. Thirty-nine young males were recruited for this study. All participants were university students in Poitiers (France) and were recruited via announcements in the university's media. Participants were excluded if they had cardiovascular, musculoskeletal or rheumatoid disorders that are exacerbated by exercise, a psychiatric disorder, a gait abnormality or were currently being treated for cardiovascular disease or psychiatric disorder. After inclusion, 2 participants were unable to perform the dual-task correctly and one participant did not complete the
study. Therefore, the final sample of participants is thirty-six young males who have completed this
protocol. All participants provided written informed consent under an approved ethical board
protocol from the research ethics board in physical activity and sports sciences (CERSTAPS #2017231117).

#### 6 2.2 Experimental design

On day one, participants came to the lab and completed the informed consent form. 7 8 Afterward, a Beck's Depression Inventory-II questionnaire was given and all participants underwent 9 cognitive screening (Montreal Cognitive Assessment). Then, participants completed anthropometry measurements to measure height, weight, body fat percentage using the Leicester height measure and 10 11 a bioelectric impedance device (Tanita BC418, Tanita Corp., Tokyo, Japan). Following, these questionnaires and measures, the dual-task protocol was performed by the participants. At the end of 12 the first session, all participants were given a 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitor. The arm cuff 13 was attached and instructions were provided so that 24-h blood pressure monitoring could be started. 14 On day two, participants completed the maximal continuous graded exercise test. To avoid any 15 residual fatigue induced by recent workout, participants were asked to refrain from strenuous 16 exercise the day before each session. They were also asked to abstain from alcohol and caffeine-17 containing beverages 24 hours before the test in order to avoid any influence of these beverages on 18 19 the regulation of the cardiovascular system. All sessions were administered between 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. 20

Once the experimental sessions were completed, participants were sorted according to their peak oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_2$ peak). Based on the participants'  $\dot{V}O_2$ peak, the sample was split into tertiles. The second tertile was excluded to generate two groups of different physical fitness (high and low-fit). Then, a median split was performed to generate two subgroups with different MAP (higher and lower-MAP) within each physical fitness group. In the end, 4 groups (higher-MAP lowfit; lower-MAP low-fit; higher-MAP high-fit; and lower-MAP low-fit) of 6 participants were
generated to test our hypotheses. Their characteristics are presented in Table 1.

4 2.3 Maximal continuous graded exercise test

5 This test was performed on a motorized treadmill (Valiant 2 sport, Lode B.V., Groningen, 6 Netherlands). Modified Balke walking protocol was used to measure peak oxygen consumption  $(\dot{V}O_2 \text{ peak})$ . Walking protocol was chosen because it does not require running and has a slow 7 increase in workload. This test is well suited to evaluate aerobic fitness in heterogeneous populations 8 9 that vary in terms of fitness level, age, adiposity or health status in general [43]. The velocity was set 10 at 5.6 km/h throughout the test, with an initial 0% grade. The inclination was increased by 6% after 11 the first minute, and then by 2% every minute until voluntary exhaustion. Verbal encouragement was given every minute throughout the test [44]. Oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_2$ , in ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>) was determined 12 continuously on a 30-s basis using a portable cardiopulmonary exercise testing system (MetaMax 13 14 Cortex 3B, CORTEX Biophysik GmbH, Germany). Gas analyzers were calibrated before each test using ambient air and a gas mixture of known concentration (15% O<sub>2</sub> and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). The turbine was 15 calibrated before each test using a 3-1 syringe at several flow rates. The highest  $\dot{VO}_2$  over a 30-s 16 period during the test was considered as the peak oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_2$  peak, in ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>). 17 Perceived exertion (RPE) was assessed at the end of the test with the 15-point Borg scale (in which 18 higher scores represent higher perceived exertion) [45]. 19

20 2.4 Blood pressure measurement

Blood pressure parameters were measured with an ambulatory blood pressure measurement device (Mobil-O-Graph, I.E.M GmbH, Stolberg, Germany). Systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP; MAP = 1/3 SBP + 2/3 DBP) were measured every 20 minutes during a 24-h period and were divided into daytime (8 am to 10 pm) and night-time
 (from 10 pm to 8 am) periods.

3 2.5 Measurement of motor and cognitive performances

The n-back task is a cognitive task which frequently used to measure working memory [46]. 4 A series of numbers (0-9) were presented auditorially, and the participants were asked to respond to 5 6 the stimuli that they heard "n" items-back (0-back or 2-back). In the lowest load version (0-back), 7 participants were asked to remember and say the number they just heard. As the number of itemsback increases, the working memory load increases the attentional demands of the individual [47]. 8 9 The dual-task condition with a 2-back version of the n-back task has better reliability than the 1-back 10 or 3-back version [20]. Previous work with this task [24] has shown that the 2-back version of this task adequately challenges younger adults and therefore this was the version used in this study. In 11 this study, the cognitive load was manipulated by using two different conditions: single- and dual-12 13 task, and not by manipulating the n-back load. In the single-task condition, participants were instructed to perform either a self-paced walk (motor task) or the 2-back task (cognitive task). In the 14 dual-task condition, participants performed both self-paced walking and 2-back task simultaneously. 15

The numbers were pseudo-randomly ordered to ensure that there were no repeats (1-1) and 16 no sequenced series (1–2–3). The numbers were recorded with a female voice, and sound files (wav 17 18 files) of lists of numbers were created with Audacity version 2.2.6 (general public license). The 19 numbers were presented through wireless headphones (RS 165, Sennheiser Electronic, Wedemark, Germany). In the 2-back version, a participant might hear the series "0 - 4 - 1 - 5....", once they 20 heard "1", they would have to say "0", and once they heard "5" they would have to say "4", always 21 22 keeping in mind the digit heard two positions back. The experimenter noted the participants' 23 responses and their accuracy. A 0-back version of the task with 12 items was used in a single-task 24 condition and only in practice session to ensure an adequate hearing for testing. All participants were 25 given instructions before each task condition (0-back single-task, 2-back single-task, self- paced

1 walking, and 2-back dual-task walking). The practice trial consisted of two trials for each task 2 condition. All participants reached 100% accuracy on a 0-back version during practice. Then, the 3 experimental test consisted of 10 blocks organized as follows: single-task 2-back (2 blocks), single-4 task (self-selected pace) walking (1 block), dual-task 2-back (4 blocks), single-task (self-selected 5 pace) walking (1 block), and single-task 2-back (2 blocks). Each block of single and dual-task 2-back consisted of 12 items. Each item was presented in 1.5 s, and there was a 1 s response interval (total 6 7 trial time 2.5 s) before the next item was presented. Each block lasted 40 seconds with a 60-second 8 rest period between blocks. The protocol for the 2-back task and the general experimentation are 9 presented in Figure 1.

10 Cognitive performance was measured as a percentage of correct responses out of all possible 11 responses on the 2-back task. Single-task cognitive performance is the percentage of participants' 12 correct responses on the 2-back tasks while seated on a chair. The dual-task cognitive performance is 13 the percentage of participants' correct responses on the 2-back tasks while walking at their self-14 selected pace. In this study, participants were given instructions to give equal priority for both 15 cognitive and motor tasks.

Temporal and spatial parameters of motor performances of walking were studied using an instrumented walkway system (GAITRite system; CIR Systems Inc, Clifton, NJ, USA). This system consists of a 4.6 m electronic walkway with sensors arranged in a grid-like pattern to identify footsteps contacts. Participants stood a distance of 5 meters away from the beginning of the walkway and were instructed to walk at their self-selected pace across the instrumented walkway. Participants began and finished walking according to the sound cues from the headphones.

Walk speed and stride length variability were quantified to represent motor performances.
Single-task motor performance was the speed and stride length variability of their self-selected-pace
walk (alone). The dual-task motor performances were the walking speed and stride length variability
at their self-selected-pace walk while responding to the 2-back task.

1 2.6 Measurement and analysis of PFC oxyhemoglobin (HbO<sub>2</sub>) and deoxyhemoglobin (HHb)

2 The concentration changes of HbO<sub>2</sub> ( $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub>) and HHb ( $\Delta$ HHb) were measured with the PortaLite fNIRS system (Artinis Medical Systems, Elst, Netherlands). This system utilizes 3 4 continuous-wave near-infrared lights, which penetrates the skull and brain but is absorbed by hemoglobin (Hb) chromophores in the cortical layer. The lights were transmitted with two different 5 wavelengths, 760 and 850 nm. Based on different Hb absorption spectra, concentration changes of 6 7 HbO<sub>2</sub> and HHb in the PFC area are calculated from the changes in detected light intensity. The 8 calculation was done using the modified Lambert-Beer law, assuming constant light scattering [48]. 9 A probe of PortaLite has three transmitters and one receiver, with transmitter-receiver distances of 30, 35, and 40 mm. The differential pathlength factor (DPF) was specified for DPF807, which is 10 determined using the formula: DPF807 =  $4.99 + (0.067 \text{ x Age}^{0.814})$  [49], and data were sampled with 11 12 a frequency of 10 Hz.

Two probes were placed on the forehead of the participants, at a height corresponding to 10 % of the nasion-inion distance from nasion, and the middle of the device was placed at 5 % of the head circumference to the left and right from midline, corresponding to the Fp1 and Fp2 according to the international EEG 10–20 system. These locations roughly target left and right Brodmann's areas 9 and 10, which represent the dorsolateral and anterior PFC. Probes were shielded from ambient light with a black cloth fixed by an elastic strap. Oxysoft version 3.0 (Artinis Medical Systems, Elst, Netherlands) was used for data collection.

Measurement started with a measure of resting PFC oxygenation in a sitting condition for 2 minutes. During the practice phase, no PFC oxygenation data was acquired. The resting PFC oxygenation values were subtracted in single-task condition. During the 2-back task conditions, the last 30 s of each block was used as a measurement of PFC oxygenation. In the dual-task condition, the PFC oxygenation values from the self-selected pace walking block were subtracted to isolate the dual-task condition. The PortaLite uses wireless technology (Bluetooth), which gives a possibility to measure the cerebral oxygenation during walking without restriction of wires. During the experimentation, a tight-fitting band and no long wires pulling on optodes were applied in order to minimize noise in the hemodynamic signals. For the same objective, our participants were asked to always facing forward during the test, avoid making a sudden head movement, clenching their jaw, frowning, and other facial expressions.

6 NIRS data analysis was performed on unfiltered data as an average of each task condition. 7 The artifacts in the signal were identified by visual inspection and replaced by interpolation of 8 adjacent data. Conversion of optical density to HbO<sub>2</sub> and HHb signals occurred in the Oxysoft 9 software with the modified Beer-Lambert law. The change in prefrontal oxygenation was calculated 10 as the average of the three channels of NIRS on the same side of the prefrontal cortex. As in all 11 fNIRS calculations, the experimental condition activity was subtracted from the baseline activity in 12 order to have a change in cerebral oxygenation for each condition.

#### 13 2.7 Statistical Analysis

Normal Gaussian distribution of the data was verified by the Shapiro-Wilk test, and 14 homogeneity of variance by a modified Levene test. Independent t-test was used to compare means 15 between characteristics of groups and subgroups. Mann-Whitney test was used when homogeneity of 16 variance or normality of distribution between groups or subgroups was violated. The magnitude of 17 18 the difference for each test was assessed by Cohen's d. The scale proposed by Cohen [50] was used 19 for interpretation. The magnitude of the difference was considered small (0.2), moderate (0.5), or large (0.8). Three-way 2 x 2 x 2 ANOVAs with the following design: fitness (high-fit vs. low-fit) x 20 MAP (higher-MAP vs. lower-MAP) x 2-back conditions (single-task vs. dual-task) as independent 21 22 variables, with 2-back accuracy and PFC oxygenation parameters (HbO<sub>2</sub>, HHb, and tHb) as dependent variables. Tukey's post-hoc test was conducted for cognitive performance, motor 23 performance, and PFC oxygenation data. Since there was no control group, the pooled standard 24

deviation was used to compute this statistic. The association between CRF or MAP and cognitive
performance was tested using nonparametric Spearman's rank correlation test using the data from all
participants as a continuum. Statistical significance was set at α ≤ 0.05 level for all analyses. All
calculations were made with Statistica 7.0 (StatSoft, Tulsa, USA). The values are presented as mean
± SD.

6

#### 7 **3. RESULTS**

Characteristics of our participants are presented in Table 1. As expected, we found a large 8 difference in the VO<sub>2peak</sub> for high-fit (M = 56.0, SD = 6.7) and low-fit (M = 36.7, SD = 4.1) group, 9 10 t(22) = 8.54, p < .001, d = 3.5. Average  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak of the high-fit group corresponded to the 90th percentile of the ACSM norm [51], while the low-fit group was ranked in the 20th percentile. 11 12 Although both groups could be considered as normotensive (i.e., 24-hour APBM < 130/80 mmHg), low-fit individuals had higher MAP levels (M = 90.8, SD = 6.1) than high-fit individuals (M = 82.8, 13 SD = 5.9, t(22) = -3.23, p = .003, d = 1.3. More precisely, the difference was reported in the 14 diastolic blood pressure in high-fit (M = 67.6, SD = 6.8) and low-fit (M = 80.3, SD = 7.9) group, 15 t(22) = -4.18, p < .001, d = 1.7, but not in the systolic blood pressure. The characteristic differences 16 17 between CRF groups were also found in weight; high-fit (M = 68.3, SD = 5.8) and low-fit (M = 79.8, SD = 16.8, t(22) = -2.23, p = .04, d = 0.9, and in body mass index (BMI); high-fit (M = 21.9, SD = 16.8), t(22) = -2.23, p = .04, d = 0.9, and in body mass index (BMI); high-fit (M = 21.9, SD = 16.8), t(22) = -2.23, p = .04, d = 0.9, and in body mass index (BMI); high-fit (M = 21.9, SD = 16.8), t(22) = -2.23, p = .04, d = 0.9, and in body mass index (BMI); high-fit (M = 21.9, SD = 16.8), t(22) = -2.23, p = .04, d = 0.9, and in body mass index (BMI); high-fit (M = 21.9, SD = 16.8), t(22) = -2.23, p = .04, d = 0.9, and in body mass index (BMI); high-fit (M = 21.9, SD = 16.8), t(22) = -2.23, p = .04, d = 0.9, and in body mass index (BMI); high-fit (M = 21.9, SD = 16.8). 18 2.4) and low-fit (M = 26.2, SD = 5.3), t(22) = -2.52, p = .02, d = 1.0. 19

Within the CRF category, in the high-fit group, we found a large MAP difference between lower-MAP (M = 78.3, SD = 4.7) and higher-MAP (M = 87.3, SD = 2.9) group, t(10) = -3.95, p < .002, d = 2.3. Also a large difference in systolic blood pressure; lower-MAP (M = 110.0, SD = 4.8) and higher-MAP (M = 116.2, SD = 3.1), t(10) = -2.66, p = .02, d = 1.5, and in diastolic blood pressure; lower-MAP (M = 62.5, SD = 5.0) and higher-MAP (M = 72.8, SD = 3.7), t(10) = -4.06, p < .002; d = 2.3. In the low-fit group, we found a large MAP difference between lower-MAP (M = 86.9,
 SD = 1.8) and higher-MAP (M = 94.6, SD = 6.6) group, t(10) = -2.73, p = .02, d = 1.6. As well a
 large difference in the diastolic blood pressure, lower-MAP (M = 75.2, SD = 4.6) and higher-MAP
 (M = 85.5, SD = 7.3), t(10) = -2.91, p = .01, d = 1.7, but not in the systolic blood pressure.

5 No differences were found in age, height, MoCA score and years of education both between
6 CRF groups and MAP subgroups.

Characteristics of the CRF groups and MAP subgroups are presented in Table 1. Cognitive
and motor performances during single-task and dual-task conditions are presented in Table 2, Figure
2 and Figure 3, while PFC oxygenation characteristics are shown in Table 3.

#### 10 *Motor Performance*

There was a main effect of task condition on motor performance ( $F_{(1,20)} = 68.02, p = .001$ ), indicating that the stride length variability (CoV) for single-task motor condition (M = 0.9, SD = 0.2) was significantly lower than the dual-task condition (M = 1.4, SD = 0.4). No interaction was found between cognitive task condition and CRF on the stride length variability. Neither main effect nor interaction was found between walking speed and CRF.

#### 16 *CRF and Cognitive Performance*

The ANOVA revealed a main effect of condition on 2-back accuracy ( $F_{(1,20)} = 4.45$ , p = .047), indicated that the accuracy for the single-task condition (M = 97.73%, SD = 3.03) was significantly greater than the dual-task condition (M = 95.73%, SD = 5.84). Also, there was a main effect of CRF on 2-back accuracy ( $F_{(1,20)} = 8.49$ , p = .009), showing that the overall accuracy for the high-fit participants (M = 98.58%, SD = 3.07) was significantly greater than the low-fit participants (M =94.88%, SD = 5.36). The ANOVA also revealed a significant interaction between the cognitive task condition and CRF on 2-back accuracy ( $F_{(1,20)} = 8.93$ , p = .007). Post-hoc analyses indicated that 1 low-fit participants were significantly less accurate in dual-task condition, as compared to single-task 2 condition (p = .02), but there was no difference between conditions in high-fit participants. Also, 3 post-hoc analyses revealed that dual-task performance is better for higher fit participants compared to 4 lower fit participants (p = .04). These results are presented in Figure 2. No significant correlation was 5 found between  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak and dual task cognitive performance.

#### 6 CRF and Cerebral Oxygenation

Neither main effect nor interaction was found on both sides of PFC on  $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub>. The ANOVA 7 performed on relative changes in  $\Delta$ HHb showed a main effect of CRF on  $\Delta$ HHb ( $F_{(1,20)} = 7.98$ , p =8 9 .01) in the right PFC, indicating that the  $\Delta$ HHb for the high-fit participants (M = -0.31, SD = 0.28) was significantly greater than the low-fit participants (M = -0.11, SD = 0.21). A correlation between 10 11  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak and  $\Delta$ HHb was confirmed (r = -.43, p = .03) but no significant correlation was found between accuracy and  $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub> nor between accuracy and  $\Delta$ HHb (r = -.29, p = .07). In the left PFC, 12 there was a main effect of CRF on  $\Delta$ HHb ( $F_{(1,20)} = 4.43$ , p = .048), indicating that the  $\Delta$ HHb for the 13 14 high-fit participants (M = -0.21, SD = 0.15) was significantly greater than the low-fit participants (M= -0.12, SD = 0.13). A correlation between  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak and  $\Delta$ HHb was also confirmed (r = -.36, p = 15 .04). However, no significant correlation was found between accuracy and  $\Delta HbO_2$  nor accuracy and 16  $\Delta$ HHb (r = -.32, p = .06). 17

#### 18 MAP and Cognitive Performance

The ANOVA reported a significant interaction between the cognitive task condition and MAP on 2-back accuracy ( $F_{(1,20)} = 4.27$ , p = .04) in healthy younger adults, in which higher-MAP participants were significantly less accurate in dual-task condition, compared to single-task condition (p = .03), but there were no differences between conditions in lower-MAP participants. The results 1 are presented in Figure 2. Again, these results were further supported by a significant correlation 2 between MAP and dual-task 2-back accuracy (r = -.47, p = .01).

Finally, we performed an exploratory analysis to test the possible interaction between CRF, MAP and cognitive task conditions on 2-back accuracy. The results are presented in Figure 3. Although the interaction does not reach statistical significance ( $F_{(1,20)} = 3.91$ , p = .06), it seems that MAP modulates the general effect described previously between CRF and cognitive performance. This result seems to support that lower-fit with higher-MAP group have poorer dual-task performance compared to other groups. The small sample size does not allow to provide a definitive answer, but these results underscore the need for further investigations.

10

#### 11 MAP and Cerebral Oxygenation

12

The ANOVA revealed no effect of MAP on cerebral oxygenation.

13

#### 14 **4. DISCUSSION**

The study investigated the interactions between CRF, MAP, PFC oxygenation and WM 15 performances in healthy young males. Based on the literature, we hypothesized that individuals with 16 high-CRF would have better WM performances than individuals with low-CRF. Our main findings 17 were that 1) only lower fit participants demonstrated dual-task performance costs in WM (Dual-task 18 < Single), while higher-fit participants maintained their performance level and 2) PFC oxygenation 19 20 during the dual-task condition, specifically in  $\Delta$ HHb, was greater in high-fit participants compared to lower fit. 3) Neither walking speed nor stride length variability during the 2-back task was associated 21 22 with CRF. 4) MAP did not significantly change the association between CRF and WM performances. 23

1 Regarding motor performance, although there was a main effect of conditions that both 2 groups had better motor control in single-task condition than in dual-task condition, no significant 3 main effects of CRF or interactions with CRF and conditions were found suggesting that task 4 conditions had the same effect in both CRF-group. The increased stride length variability in the dualtask condition in both groups suggests that, even in healthy young males, walking is not a purely 5 motor task. A dual-task study by Bloem et al. (2001) in 50 healthy young and 13 healthy elderly 6 7 participants showed that healthy participants prioritize performance of motor tasks over performance 8 of cognitive tasks [52]. Moreover, the younger participants were more likely to use this strategy than 9 older adults. Based on this finding, it seems that in healthy young individuals, a self-paced motor task may be a less sensitive parameter than cognitive task performance when examining the 10 11 influence of CRF on dual-task performance.

12 Regarding 2-back accuracy, we found a main effect of CRF, since high-fit individuals were more accurate than low-fit individuals. We also found an interaction between CRF and 2-back 13 conditions, suggesting that this effect of CRF was specific to the dual-task condition. Specifically, 14 15 the increased the complexity of the task (i.e., from a seated single-task to dual-task walking) resulted in a higher error rate in low-fit individuals than high-fit individuals. This finding is in line with the 16 17 literature on CRF-related differences in working memory in Agbangla et al. (2019), in which high-fit older adults showed were more accurate in the 3-back condition but not in 1- or 2-back condition 18 [28]. This higher sensitivity of highly demanding cognitive tasks to CRF has already been 19 20 underscored in older adults [53]. Indeed, Dupuy et al [53], reported that higher fit older adults had better cognitive performance and lesser error rate in visual-auditory dual-task performance than 21 lower fit counterparts. All these results suggest that a good CRF allows for better cognitive 22 23 performance in cognitively demanding tasks that involve executive functions. These results support the hypothesis, that executive function is the most sensitive cognitive function to CRF [54]. 24

1 Several underlying neurophysiological mechanisms could explain our results. The CRF is 2 associated with greater white and grey matter of the brain and more particularly of the PFC [28]. 3 Also, structural modifications in the blood vessels are observed in subjects with better CRF [55]. 4 These changes are often accompanied by increased cerebral blood flow and brain oxygenation in 5 older subjects [32], [35], [53]. Neuronal activation consumes energy which is met by oxidation of lactate present in the extracellular space, resulting in a temporary decrease in lactate levels and 6 7 oxygen concentrations [56]. Neurovascular response resulting in larger arteriole dilation and greater 8 blood flow at the activated brain regions, consequently providing a temporary increase in  $HbO_2$  and a 9 relative decrease in HHb, due to the increased HbO<sub>2</sub> concentration [57].

It is a continuing discussion in the fNIRS studies to determine which parameter (HbO<sub>2</sub> or HHb) is best for capturing relevant cerebral hemodynamic changes. HbO<sub>2</sub> is reported producing higher signal-to-noise ratio [58], higher reproducibility [59], [60], and be more sensitive to the changes in regional blood flow [61] especially in participants with pathologies [62] than HHb. HHb described to have less physiological noise [30], [63] and spatially more focused than HbO<sub>2</sub> [64], [65]. Also, the inverse relationship between HHb and blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signal of fMRI has been reported by Ekkekakis (2009) and Strangman et al. (2002) [58], [66].

In our study, concerning the changes in PFC oxygenation, we found that high-fit individuals 17 had a greater decrease in AHHb than low-fit individuals in both hemispheres. The absence of 18 interaction between CRF and task conditions  $\Delta$ HHb suggested that this difference was true for both. 19 single-task and dual-task. This observation was supported by the association between VO<sub>2</sub>peak and 20 21 dual-task  $\Delta$ HHb in the right and left PFC. Altogether, these results showed that healthy young males with greater CRF displayed a greater decrease of  $\Delta$ HHb in both hemispheres of PFC during a dual-22 task. Moreover, this relation supports the CRF hypothesis that PFC hemodynamic is influenced by 23 the regulation of the cardiovascular system [67]. According to this hypothesis, high-fit individuals 24

have a greater regional cerebral blood flow and therefore a greater potential for PFC activation,
 which could contribute to their better executive performances [32].

2

3 Using fNIRS technique, this study confirms that higher fit participants displayed greater 4 cortical activation during executive task [68]. Furthermore, in contrast to a recent study that reported 5 a greater  $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub> during n-back task in higher-fit older adults compared to their lower-fit counterpart [11], our study reports no difference in  $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub> between groups in both task conditions. Aside from a 6 7 difference in age group, it should be noted that the change in PFC hemodynamics in this study was 8 measured in the dual-task walking paradigm whereas the participants were sitting in the study of 9 Agbangla et al. [11]. An alternate explanation for these differences between studies is the duration of our dual-task condition. Indeed, Agbangla et al [11], reported the evolution of PFC hemodynamics 10 11 during 0-,1-,2 and 3-back tasks and showed that  $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased progressively from 12 the beginning to the end of their task, which lasted 140-seconds [11]. Considering these results, the 13 shorter duration (30 seconds) of the 2-back task in this study may not allow us to observe the complete kinetics of HbO<sub>2</sub> concentration. It is clear from literature that many of the changes in 14 15 working memory performance (i.e., accuracy) are related to age-related changes in executive functions and depend on the type of cognitive domain and the individual being assessed [69] In 16 17 addition, accuracy (in our sample of younger adults) was quite high (Mean of full sample = 96.73%) with only the LFHM group demonstrating a single-dual difference. This may have limited our ability 18 19 to find a correlation between the cognitive accuracy measure and cerebral oxygenation. In cases 20 where accuracy is high, it has been proposed that response times might be a more accurate reflexion of working memory processing [70]. Future studies utilizing this paradigm in younger adults should 21 22 measure both accuracy and response times to the n-back task in order to capture the variability that is 23 likely present even in younger adult samples.

In our study, we were also interested in determining the effect of MAP on cognitive performance during a dual-task. We observed that participants with a lower-MAP had a better WM

1 performance during the dual-task than those with a higher-MAP. This result supports previous 2 studies examining the relationship between elevated resting blood pressure and poorer cognitive 3 performance on attention [71] and visualization/fluid score of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale 4 (WAIS) [72] in young adults. One of the potential physiological mechanisms involved in this 5 relationship is an altered cerebrovascular reactivity [73]. In the study of Settakis et al. (2003) which investigated 113 hypertensives and 58 normotensive young adults, the investigators reported that the 6 7 hypertensive group has smaller changes in mean and diastolic blood flow velocities after breath-8 holding compared to the normotensive group [74]. This result suggesting decreased cerebrovascular 9 reactivity in hypertensive young adults compared to their normotensive counterparts. Another study by Wong et al. (2011) investigated the relationship between blood pressure and cerebral 10 11 vasoreactivity in 56 children and adolescents [75]. All of the subjects in this study were examined by 12 transcranial Doppler in the middle cerebral artery while rebreathing carbon dioxide with a plastic bag 13 [75]. The result showed that baseline diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was inversely related to cerebral vasoreactivity [75]. All of those results displayed evidence of a relationship between hypertension 14 15 and altered cerebrovascular reactivity in young adults. However, we also observed that CRF could modulate this effect of MAP on WM. Although these results should be viewed as exploratory 16 17 considering the small sample size of subgroups (n=6), we found a tendency toward an interaction between CRF, MAP and cognitive performance. Low-fit participants with a higher MAP displayed 18 19 poorer 2-back accuracy than all other profiles. Taken together, our results suggest that high CRF in 20 young males is associated with greater PFC oxygenation ( $\Delta$ HHb) and better WM performance in a dual-task condition. 21

22 4.1 Limits and perspectives

The focus of this study on a single sex (males) is to limit the gender bias due to the influence
of estrogen on PFC, but we aware that this limits the generalizability of findings in younger adults. A

1 replication of this study with young females is needed. The small sample size of subgroups (n=6) 2 necessitates caution in interpreting the results of the 3-way ANOVA. However, they provide very 3 interesting outcomes that should be tested in a larger sample. CRF is determined by life habits, 4 including physical activity (PA), and genetic factors. Since we have no direct measure of both PA 5 and genetic factors, it is not possible to determine the extent by which they contribute to the observed differences in cognition. Regarding cognitive performance, there is a possibility that CRF and/or 6 7 MAP could also influence the reaction time in the 2-back task. Therefore, we highly recommend 8 future studies using a 2-back task to investigate both reaction time and accuracy. In addition, NIRS is 9 an indirect measure of brain or neuronal activities. It has several limitations such as lower spatial resolution and lack of sensitivity to deeper brain areas. 10

The fNIRS signal pre-processing that was used in this study may have underestimated the 11 impact of motion artifacts. In this study, we have not performed the filtering of the NIRS signals and 12 13 detrending of segments that could lead to contamination of the fNIRS data with physiological or body movement noise. Converging evidence from NIRS with EEG and fMRI is needed to provide a 14 more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of cerebral activation. Also, the utilization of a 15 multi-channel NIRS setup can also help to observe the oxygenation profiles and activities of other 16 17 brain regions compared to the two-channel setup in this study. Analyzing PFC hemodynamic in dual-18 task walking with longer duration (> 3 minutes) is needed to understand the complete hemodynamic profile of this paradigm. As differences in PFC oxygenation could be interpreted as a difference in 19 concentration of oxyhemoglobin ( $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub>) and deoxyhemoglobin ( $\Delta$ HHb), their values are relative to 20 21 their total concentration in blood and CBF. Therefore, measurements of total hemoglobin and CBF are needed in further studies to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the role of cerebral 22 oxygenation. We did not have a wide range of blood pressure levels (from hypotension to 23 24 hypertension) in participants of our study. This may underestimate the effect of blood pressure on brain activation and cognitive performance. In future studies, it would be beneficial to include a 25

broader range of blood pressure levels in young adults to observe the relationship between blood
pressure and cognitive performance on dual-task condition.

3

#### 4 5. CONCLUSION

5 This study supports the positive effect of higher CRF on WM performance in healthy young 6 males. The results indicated that the high-fit individuals performed better in dual-task conditions than 7 low-fit individuals. Moreover, greater PFC oxygenation in the  $\Delta$ HHb measure was demonstrated in 8 higher CRF group. Also, lower blood pressure tends to be associated with better dual-task 9 performance. Consequently, healthy young males with high cardiorespiratory fitness may be able to 10 perform better in the activities that require working memory, especially while walking.

11

12

13

14

#### 15 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

16 Thanks are due to the Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP) for financial support of 17 Roman Goenarjo and also Baptiste Faro, Diego Vergara, and Gustavo Ortega for recruitment of 18 participants and data collection.

#### 19 **REFERENCES**

20 [1] S. M. Hayes, D. E. Forman, and M. Verfaellie, "Cardiorespiratory Fitness Is Associated With

| 1  |      | Cognitive Performance in Older But Not Younger Adults," Journals Gerontol. Ser. B Psychol.         |
|----|------|--|
| 2  |      | Sci. Soc. Sci., 2016.  |
| 3  | [2]  | C. H. Hillman, K. I. Erickson, and A. F. Kramer, "Be smart, exercise your heart: exercise          |
| 4  |      | effects on brain and cognition.," Nat Rev Neurosci., vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 58-65, 2008.               |
| 5  | [3]  | S. J. Colcombe et al., "Cardiovascular fitness, cortical plasticity, and aging," Proc. Natl. Acad. |
| 6  |      | Sci., 2004.  |
| 7  | [4]  | S. M. Hayes, J. P. Hayes, M. Cadden, and M. Verfaellie, "A review of cardiorespiratory             |
| 8  |      | fitness-related neuroplasticity in the aging brain," Front. Aging Neurosci., vol. 5, no. JUL, pp.  |
| 9  |      | 1–16, 2013.  |
| 10 | [5]  | M. Tamura et al., "Long-term mild-intensity exercise regimen preserves prefrontal cortical         |
| 11 |      | volume against aging," Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 686-694, 2015.             |
| 12 | [6]  | J. J. Ryan, J. M. Sattler, and S. J. Lopez, "Age Effects on Wechsler Adult Intelligence.pdf,"      |
| 13 |      | vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 311–317, 2000.   |
| 14 | [7]  | J. L. Horn, "Organization of Abilities and the Development of Intelligence," Psychol. Rev.,        |
| 15 |      | vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 242–259, 1968.   |
| 16 | [8]  | T. A. Salthouse, "Relations between running memory and fluid intelligence," Intelligence, vol.     |
| 17 |      | 43, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2014.  |
| 18 | [9]  | A. Miyake, N. P. Friedman, M. J. Emerson, A. H. Witzki, A. Howerter, and T. D. Wager,              |
| 19 |      | "The Unity and Diversity of Executive Functions and Their Contributions to Complex                 |
| 20 |      | 'Frontal Lobe' Tasks: A Latent Variable Analysis," Cogn. Psychol., vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 49-         |
| 21 |      | 100, 2000.   |
| 22 | [10] | C. Voelcker-Rehage, B. Godde, and U. M. Staudinger, "Physical and motor fitness are both           |

related to cognition in old age," *Eur. J. Neurosci.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 167–176, 2010.

| 1  | [11] | N. F. Agbangla, M. Audiffren, J. Pylouster, and C. T. Albinet, "Working Memory, Cognitive      |
|----|------|--|
| 2  |      | Load andCardiorespiratory Fitness: Testing the CRUNCHModel with Near-Infrared                  |
| 3  |      | Spectroscopy," Brain Sci., vol. 9, no. 2, p. 38, 2019.   |
| 4  | [12] | M. B. Pontifex et al., "Poorer aerobic fitness relates to reduced integrity of multiple memory |
| 5  |      | systems," Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci., vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 1132-1141, 2014.                 |
| 6  | [13] | J. M. Fortune, Á. M. Kelly, I. H. Robertson, and J. Hussey, "An investigation into the         |
| 7  |      | relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness, cognition and BDNF in young healthy males,"    |
| 8  |      | Neurosci. Lett., vol. 704, pp. 126–132, 2019.  |
| 9  | [14] | C. Padilla, L. Pérez, and P. Andrés, "Chronic exercise keeps working memory and inhibitory     |
| 10 |      | capacities fit," Front. Behav. Neurosci., vol. 8, no. MAR, pp. 1-10, 2014.                     |
| 11 | [15] | S. P. Scott, M. J. De Souza, K. Koehler, D. L. Petkus, and L. E. Murray-Kolb,                  |
| 12 |      | "Cardiorespiratory Fitness is Associated with Better Executive Function in Young Women."       |
| 13 | [16] | Y. Stern, "Cognitive reserve," Neuropsychologia, vol. 47, no. 2009, pp. 2015–2028, 2009.       |
| 14 | [17] | M. H. Davenport, D. B. Hogan, G. A. Eskes, R. S. Longman, and M. J. Poulin,                    |
| 15 |      | "Cerebrovascular Reserve: The Link BetweenFitness and Cognitive Function?," pp. 1-6,           |
| 16 |      | 2012.  |
| 17 | [18] | M. Woollacott and A. Shumway-Cook, "Attention and the control of posture and gait: A           |
| 18 |      | review of an emerging area of research," Gait Posture, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2002.         |
| 19 | [19] | R. Beurskens and O. Bock, "Age-Related Deficits of Dual-Task Walking: A Review," Neural        |
| 20 |      | <i>Plast.</i> , vol. 2012, pp. 1–9, 2012.  |
| 21 | [20] | S. M. Jaeggi, M. Buschkuehl, W. J. Perrig, and B. Meier, "The concurrent validity of the N-    |
| 22 |      | back task as a working memory measure," Memory, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 394-412, 2010.             |
| 23 | [21] | S. Papegaaij, T. Hortobágyi, B. Godde, W. A. Kaan, P. Erhard, and C. Voelcker-Rehage,          |

"Neural correlates of motor-cognitive dual-tasking in young and old adults," PLoS One, vol. 2 12, no. 12, pp. 1–23, 2017.

- 3 [22] B. Godde and C. Voelcker-Rehage, "More automation and less cognitive control of imagined walking movements in high- versus low-fit older adults," Front. Aging Neurosci., vol. 2, no. 4 SEP, pp. 1–13, 2010. 5
- 6 D. Kahneman, Attention and Effort, vol. 1063, no. 2. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, [23] 1973. 7
- [24] 8 S. A. Fraser, O. Dupuy, P. Pouliot, F. Lesage, and L. Bherer, "Comparable cerebral oxygenation patterns in younger and older adults during dual-task walking with increasing 9
- load," Front. Aging Neurosci., vol. 8, no. OCT, pp. 1-9, 2016. 10
- [25] G. N. Chaparro, E. A. L. Stine-Morrow, and M. E. Hernandez, "Effects of aerobic fitness on 11 cognitive performance as a function of dual-task demands in older adults," Exp. Gerontol., 12 vol. 118, no. January, pp. 99–105, 2019. 13
- C. H. Hillman, K. I. Erickson, and A. F. Kramer, "Be smart, exercise your heart: Exercise 14 [26] effects on brain and cognition," Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2008. 15
- [27] A. F. Kramer and S. Colcombe, "Fitness Effects on the Cognitive Function of Older Adults: A 16 17 Meta-Analytic Study—Revisited," Perspect. Psychol. Sci., vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 213–217, 2018.
- K. Erickson, R. Leckie, and A. Weinstein, "Physical activity, fitness, and gray matter," 18 [28] Neorobiology of Aging, vol. 35, no. 2, 2014. 19
- 20 [29] C. N. Wong *et al.*, "Brain activation during dual-task processing is associated with
- 21 cardiorespiratory fitness and performance in older adults," Front. Aging Neurosci., 2015.
- H. Obrig and A. Villringer, "Beyond the visible Imaging the human brain with light," J. 22 [30]
- 23 *Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2003.

| 1  | [31] | S. Ando, Y. Yamada, and M. Kokubu, "Reaction time to peripheral visual stimuli during            |
|----|------|--|
| 2  |      | exercise under hypoxia," J. Appl. Physiol., vol. 108, no. 5, pp. 1210–1216, 2010.                |
| 3  | [32] | P. N. Ainslie et al., "Elevation in cerebral blood flow velocity with aerobic fitness throughout |
| 4  |      | healthy human ageing," J. Physiol., vol. 586, no. 16, pp. 4005–4010, 2008.                       |
| 5  | [33] | V. Novak and I. Hajjar, "The relationship between blood pressure and cognitive function,"        |
| 6  |      | Nature Reviews Cardiology. 2010.   |
| 7  | [34] | O. Dupuy et al., "Higher levels of cardiovascular fitness are associated with better executive   |
| 8  |      | function and prefrontal oxygenation in younger and older women," Front. Hum. Neurosci.,          |
| 9  |      | 2015.  |
| 10 | [35] | C. T. Albinet, K. Mandrick, P. L. Bernard, S. Perrey, and H. Blain, "Improved cerebral           |
| 11 |      | oxygenation response and executive performance as a function of cardiorespiratory fitness in     |
| 12 |      | older women: A fNIRS study," Front. Aging Neurosci., vol. 6, no. OCT, pp. 1-12, 2014.            |
| 13 | [36] | V. Novak and I. Hajjar, "The relationship between blood pressure and cognitive function,"        |
| 14 |      | Nat. Rev. Cardiol., vol. 7, no. 12, pp. 686-698, 2010.   |
| 15 | [37] | J. N. Barnes and A. T. Corkery, "Exercise Improves Vascular Function, but does this              |
| 16 |      | Translate to the Brain?," Brain Plast., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 65–79, 2018.                          |
| 17 | [38] | M. R. Carnethon et al., "Joint Associations of Physical Activity and Aerobic Fitness on the      |
| 18 |      | Development of Incident Hypertension: Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults           |
| 19 |      | (CARDIA)," Hypertension, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 49–55, 2011.  |
| 20 | [39] | H. Guiney, S. J. Lucas, J. D. Cotter, and L. Machado, "Evidence cerebral blood-flow              |
| 21 |      | regulation mediates exercise-cognition links in healthy young adults," Neuropsychology, vol.     |
| 22 |      | 29, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2015.  |
| 23 | [40] | P. A. Keenan, W. H. Ezzat, K. Ginsburg, and G. J. Moore, "Prefrontal cortex as the site of       |
| 24 |      | estrogen's effect on cognition," Psychoneuroendocrinology, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 577-590, 2001.    |
|    |      |  |

| 1  | [41] | E. Jacobs and M. D'Esposito, "Estrogen Shapes Dopamine-Dependent Cognitive Processes:            |
|----|------|--|
| 2  |      | Implications for Women's Health," J. Neurosci., vol. 31, no. 14, pp. 5286–5293, 2011.            |
| 3  | [42] | M. Hausmann, "Why sex hormones matter for neuroscience: A very short review on sex, sex          |
| 4  |      | hormones, and functional brain asymmetries," J. Neurosci. Res., vol. 95, no. 1–2, pp. 40–49,     |
| 5  |      | 2017.  |
| 6  | [43] | G. F. Fletcher et al., "Exercise standards for testing and training: A scientific statement from |
| 7  |      | the American heart association," Circulation, vol. 128, no. 8, pp. 873–934, 2013.                |
| 8  | [44] | J. L. Andreacci et al., "The effects of frequency of encouragement on performance during         |
| 9  |      | maximal exercise testing The ep ects of frequency of encouragement on performance during         |
| 10 |      | maximal exercise testing," no. July 2011, pp. 37-41, 2010.                                       |
| 11 | [45] | G. Borg, "Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion," Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc., vol. 14, no. 5,  |
| 12 |      | pp. 377–381, 1982.   |
| 13 | [46] | M. J. Kane and R. W. Engle, "The role of prefrontal cortex in working-memory capacity,           |
| 14 |      | executive attention, and general fluid intelligence: An individual-differences perspective,"     |
| 15 |      | Psychon. Bull. Rev., vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 637-671, 2002.   |
| 16 | [47] | M. Doumas, M. A. Rapp, and R. T. Krampe, "Working memory and postural control: Adult             |
| 17 |      | age differences in potential for improvement, task priority, and dual tasking," Journals         |
| 18 |      | Gerontol Ser. B Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci., vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 193-201, 2009.                      |
| 19 | [48] | K. Sakatani et al., "Changes of cerebral blood oxygenation and optical pathlength during         |
| 20 |      | activation and deactivation in the prefrontal cortex measured by time-resolved near infrared     |
| 21 |      | spectroscopy," Life Sci., vol. 78, no. 23, pp. 2734–2741, 2006.                                  |
| 22 | [49] | Duncan et al., "Measurement of Cranial Optical Path Length as a Function of Age Using            |
| 23 |      | Phase Resolved Near Infrared Spectroscopy," Pediatr. Res., vol. 39, pp. 889-894, 1996.           |
| 24 | [50] | J. Cohen, "A power primer," Psychol. Bull., vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 155–159, 1992.                  |

| 1  | [51] | M. Whaley, "ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription," 7rd ed., Philadelphia:      |
|----|------|---|
| 2  |      | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2005, pp. 55–89.   |
| 3  | [52] | B. R. Bloem, V. V. Valkenburg, M. Slabbekoorn, and M. D. Willemsen, "The Multiple Tasks           |
| 4  |      | Test: Development and normal strategies," Gait Posture, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 191–202, 2001.        |
| 5  | [53] | O. Dupuy, L. Bosquet, S. A. Fraser, V. Labelle, and L. Bherer, "Higher cardiovascular fitness     |
| 6  |      | level is associated to better cognitive dual-task performance in Master Athletes: Mediation by    |
| 7  |      | cardiac autonomic control," Brain Cogn., vol. 125, no. June, pp. 127-134, 2018.                   |
| 8  | [54] | C. D. Hall, A. L. Smith, and S. W. Keele, "The impact of aerobic activity on cognitive            |
| 9  |      | function in older adults: A new synthesis based on the concept of executive control," Eur. J.     |
| 10 |      | Cogn. Psychol., vol. 13, no. 1–2, pp. 279–300, 2001.  |
| 11 | [55] | A. C. Pereira et al., "An in vivo correlate of exercise-induced neurogenesis ( a traiter)," Proc. |
| 12 |      | Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., vol. 104, no. 13, pp. 5638–5643, 2007.                                 |
| 13 | [56] | L. Pellerin and P. J. Magistretti, "Glutamate uptake into astrocytes stimulates aerobic           |
| 14 |      | glycolysis: a mechanism coupling neuronal activity to glucose utilization.," Proc. Natl. Acad.    |
| 15 |      | Sci. U. S. A., vol. 91, no. 22, pp. 10625–9, 1994.  |
| 16 | [57] | P. J. Magistretti and I. Allaman, "A Cellular Perspective on Brain Energy Metabolism and          |
| 17 |      | Functional Imaging," Neuron, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 883–901, 2015.                                   |
| 18 | [58] | G. Strangman, J. P. Culver, J. H. Thompson, and D. A. Boas, "A quantitative comparison of         |
| 19 |      | simultaneous BOLD fMRI and NIRS recordings during functional brain activation,"                   |
| 20 |      | Neuroimage, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 719–731, 2002.  |
| 21 | [59] | M. Schecklmann, A. C. Ehlis, M. M. Plichta, and A. J. Fallgatter, "Functional near-infrared       |
| 22 |      | spectroscopy: A long-term reliable tool for measuring brain activity during verbal fluency,"      |
| 23 |      | Neuroimage, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 147–155, 2008.  |
| 24 | [60] | M. M. Plichta et al., "Event-related functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS): Are the       |

| 1  |      | measurements reliable?," Neuroimage, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 116-124, 2006.                            |
|----|------|--|
| 2  | [61] | Y. Hoshi, "Functional near-infrared optical imaging: utility and limitations in human brain        |
| 3  |      | mapping.," Psychophysiology, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 511–20, 2003.                                     |
| 4  | [62] | U. Lindauer, "Pathophysiological interference with neurovascular coupling - when imaging           |
| 5  |      | based on hemoglobin might go blind," Front. Neuroenergetics, vol. 2, no. October, pp. 1-9,         |
| 6  |      | 2010.  |
| 7  | [63] | E. Kirilina et al., "The physiological origin of task-evoked systemic artefacts in functional      |
| 8  |      | near infrared spectroscopy," Neuroimage, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 70-81, 2012.                          |
| 9  | [64] | S. Dravida, J. A. Noah, X. Zhang, and J. Hirsch, "Comparison of oxyhemoglobin and                  |
| 10 |      | deoxyhemoglobin signal reliability with and without global mean removal for digit                  |
| 11 |      | manipulation motor tasks," Neurophotonics, vol. 5, no. 01, p. 1, 2017.                             |
| 12 | [65] | M. M. Plichta et al., "Event-related functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) based on        |
| 13 |      | craniocerebral correlations: Reproducibility of activation?," Hum. Brain Mapp., vol. 28, no. 8,    |
| 14 |      | pp. 733–741, 2007.   |
| 15 | [66] | P. Ekkekakis, "Illuminating the black box: Investigating prefrontal cortical hemodynamics          |
| 16 |      | during exercise with near-infrared spectroscopy," J. Sport Exerc. Psychol., vol. 31, no. 4, pp.    |
| 17 |      | 505–553, 2009.   |
| 18 | [67] | R. E. Dustman et al., "Aerobic Exercise Training and Improved Neuropsychological Function          |
| 19 |      | of Older Individuals I," Neurobiol. Aging, vol. 5, pp. 35-42, 1984.                                |
| 20 | [68] | C. Voelcker-Rehage and C. Niemann, "Structural and functional brain changes related to             |
| 21 |      | different types of physical activity across the life span," Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev., vol. 37, no. |
| 22 |      | 9, pp. 2268–2295, 2013.  |
| 23 | [69] | E. L. Glisky, "Assessing Cognitive Aging," in Brain Aging: Models, Methods, and                    |
| 24 |      | Mechanisms, D. Riddle, Ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press/Taylor & Francis, 2006, pp. 4–20.                 |

| 1  | [70] | M. J. Kahana and G. Loftus, "Response time versus accuracy in human memory," in The                |
|----|------|--|
| 2  |      | nature of cognition, R. J. Sternberg, Ed. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1999, pp. 322-384.                 |
| 3  | [71] | J. A. Suhr, J. C. Stewart, and C. R. France, "The relationship between blood pressure and          |
| 4  |      | cognitive performance in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey                |
| 5  |      | (NHANES III)," Psychosom. Med., vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 291–297, 2004.                                 |
| 6  | [72] | P. K. Elias, M. F. Elias, M. A. Robbins, and M. M. Budge, "Blood pressure-related cognitive        |
| 7  |      | decline: Does age make a difference?," Hypertension, 2004.   |
| 8  | [73] | M. B. Lande and J. C. Kupferman, "Blood pressure and cognitive function in children and            |
| 9  |      | adolescents," Hypertension, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 532-540, 2019.                                     |
| 10 | [74] | G. Settakis, D. Páll, C. Molnár, D. Bereczki, L. Csiba, and B. Fülesdi, "Cerebrovascular           |
| 11 |      | reactivity in hypertensive and healthy adolescents: TCD with vasodilatory challenge," J.           |
| 12 |      | Neuroimaging, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 106–112, 2003.   |
| 13 | [75] | L. J. Wong et al., "Hypertension impairs vascular reactivity in the pediatric brain," Stroke, vol. |
| 14 |      | 42, no. 7, pp. 1834–1838, 2011.  |
| 15 | [76] | G. A. Nielsen and L. B. Andersen, "The association between high blood pressure, physical           |
| 16 |      | fitness, and body mass index in adolescents," Prev. Med. (Baltim)., vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 229-       |
| 17 |      | 234, 2003.   |
| 18 | [77] | H. D. Sesso et al., "Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse pressure, and mean arterial      |
| 19 |      | pressure as predictors of cardiovascular disease risk in men," Hypertension, vol. 36, no. 5, pp.   |
| 20 |      | 801–807, 2000.   |
| 21 | [78] | S. J. E. Lucas, Y. C. Tzeng, S. D. Galvin, K. N. Thomas, S. Ogoh, and P. N. Ainslie,               |
| 22 |      | "Influence of changes in blood pressure on cerebral perfusion and oxygenation,"                    |
| 23 |      | Hypertension, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 698–705, 2010.   |
|    |      |  |

#### 2 Figure caption

3

- 4 Figure 1: Experimentation protocol and information of methods
- 5

Figure 2: Impact of cardiorespiratory fitness (A) and mean arterial pressure (B) on cognitive
performance (% correct responses) during single and dual-task conditions. Data are presented as
mean and SD. \*: p < 0.05. (HF: High-fit; LF: Low-fit; LM: Low mean arterial pressure; HM: High</li>
mean arterial pressure)

10

Figure 3: Cognitive performance (% correct responses) during single and dual-task for HFLM,
HFHM, LFHM, LFLM participants. Data are presented as mean and SD. \*: p < 0.05. (HFLM: High-</li>
Fit Low-MAP; HFHM: High-Fit High-MAP; LFLM: Low-Fit Low-MAP; LFHM: Low-Fit HighMAP)

15

Figure 4: Conceptual framework for links between fitness level, cognitive performance in dual-taskcondition, mean arterial blood pressure and PFC oxygenation.





\*



В

□ Single Task ■ Dual Task

Α

#### Interaction between Fitness x MAP x Condition : p=0.06



\*



|   | Ovarall |          |         |           | Hig            | h-Fit                  |           | Lov            | v-Fit        |           |
|---|---------|----------|---------|-----------|----------------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
|   |         | Uich Fit | Low-Fit | Cohon's d | (n=12)         |                        |           | (n=12)         |              |           |
|   | (m. 24) | (        |         | +         | Lower          | Higher                 | Cohen's d | Lower          | Higher       | Cohen's d |
|   | (n=24)  | (n=12)   | (n=12)  |           | MAP            | MAP MAP<br>(n=6) (n=6) |           | MAP            | MAP<br>(n=6) |           |
|   |         |          |         |           | ( <b>n=6</b> ) |                        |           | ( <b>n=6</b> ) |              |           |
| Age                                       | 23.8    | 22.8     | 24.8    | 0.4       | 23.8           | 21.7                   | 0.5       | 22.7           | 26.8         | 0.8       |
| (yr)                                      | (4.8)   | (4.2)    | (5.1)   |           | (5.3)          | (2.7)                  |           | (4.7)          | (5.0)        |           |
| Height                                    | 175.5   | 176.6    | 174.5   | 0.3       | 178.3          | 174.8                  | 0.5       | 173.3          | 175.7        | 0.3       |
| (cm)                                      | (6.5)   | (6.5)    | (6.7)   |           | (7.0)          | (6.0)                  |           | (6.5)          | (7.2)        |           |
| Weight                                    | 74.1    | 68.3     | 79.8 *  | 0.9       | 70.4           | 66.2                   | 0.8       | 70.7           | 88.9         | 1.2       |
| (kg)                                      | (13.6)  | (5.8)    | (16.9)  |           | (3.9)          | (6.9)                  |           | (9.7)          | (18.2)       |           |
| BMI                                       | 24.1    | 22.0     | 26.2 *  | 1.0       | 22.2           | 21.7                   | 0.2       | 23.5           | 28.9         | 1.1       |
| (%)                                       | (4.0)   | (2.4)    | (5.3)   |           | (1.3)          | (3.3)                  |           | (3.0)          | (6.0)        |           |
| Overweight &                              | (3;13%) | (0;0%)   | (3;25%) |           | (0;0%)         | (0;0%)                 |           | (1;17%)        | (2;33%)      |           |
| Obese (n;%)                               |         |          |         |           |                |                        |           |                |              |           |
| <sup>.</sup> VO₂peak                      | 46.1    | 56.0     | 36.7 *  | 3.5       | 58.8           | 53.2                   | 0.9       | 37.7           | 35.7         | 0.5       |
| (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> ) | (9.9)   | (6.7)    | (4.1)   |           | (6.4)          | (6.2)                  |           | (3.0)          | (5.0)        |           |

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants. Data are presented as means and standard deviations (SD)

| 1.19   | 1.23  | 1.18  | 0.6  | 1.25  | 1.21  | 0.5   | 1.17   | 1.19   | 0.2   |
|--------|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| (0.09) | (0.08)  | (0.08)  |  | (0.08)  | (0.07)  |   | (0.09)   | (0.07)   |   |
| 16.2   | 16.6  | 15.9  | 0.5  | 17.0  | 16.7  | 0.5   | 15.3   | 16.3   | 0.8   |
| (1.4)  | (1.6)   | (1.3)   |  | (1.8)   | (1.5)   |   | (0.8)  | (1.6)  |   |
| 86.9   | 82.8  | 90.8 *  | 1.3  | 78.3  | 87.3 #  | 2.3   | 86.9   | 94.6 #   | 1.6   |
| (7.0)  | (6.0)   | (6.1)   |  | (4.7)   | (3.0)   |   | (1.8)  | (6.6)  |   |
| 112.4  | 113.8   | 111.7   | 0.2  | 110.0   | 116.2 #   | 1.5   | 110.5  | 112.8  | 0.3   |
| (6.7)  | (5.0)   | (7.7)   |  | (4.8)   | (3.1)   |   | (7.4)  | (8.5)  |   |
| 74.0   | 67.7  | 80.3 *  | 1.7  | 62.5  | 72.8 #  | 2.3   | 75.2   | 85.5 #   | 1.7   |
| (9.2)  | (6.8)   | (8.0)   |  | (5.0)   | (3.7)   |   | (4.6)  | (7.3)  |   |
| 28.6   | 28.4  | 28.8  | 0.3  | 28.8  | 28.0  | 0.6   | 28.3   | 29,2   | 0.8   |
| (5.4)  | (1.5)   | (1.1)   |  | (1.6)   | (1.4)   |   | (1.2)  | (1.0)  |   |
| 14.5   | 13.9  | 15.1  | 0.5  | 14.2  | 13.7  | 0.1   | 14.2   | 16.0   | 0.6   |
| (2.3)  | (2.2)   | (2.2)   |  | (2.5)   | (2.2)   |   | (2.2)  | (2.0)  |   |
| 100    | 100   | 100   | 0.0  | 100   | 100   | 0.0   | 100  | 100  | 0.0   |
| (0)    | (0)   | (0)   |  | (0)   | (0)   |   | (0)  | (0)  |   |
|        | 1.19<br>(0.09)<br>16.2<br>(1.4)<br>86.9<br>(7.0)<br>112.4<br>(6.7)<br>74.0<br>(9.2)<br>28.6<br>(5.4)<br>14.5<br>(2.3)<br>100<br>(0) | 1.19 $1.23$ $(0.09)$ $(0.08)$ $16.2$ $16.6$ $(1.4)$ $(1.6)$ $86.9$ $82.8$ $(7.0)$ $(6.0)$ $112.4$ $113.8$ $(6.7)$ $(5.0)$ $74.0$ $67.7$ $(9.2)$ $(6.8)$ $28.6$ $28.4$ $(5.4)$ $(1.5)$ $14.5$ $13.9$ $(2.3)$ $(2.2)$ $100$ $100$ $(0)$ $(0)$ | 1.19 $1.23$ $1.18$ $(0.09)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $16.2$ $16.6$ $15.9$ $(1.4)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.3)$ $86.9$ $82.8$ $90.8$ * $(7.0)$ $(6.0)$ $(6.1)$ $112.4$ $113.8$ $111.7$ $(6.7)$ $(5.0)$ $(7.7)$ $74.0$ $67.7$ $80.3$ * $(9.2)$ $(6.8)$ $(8.0)$ $28.6$ $28.4$ $28.8$ $(5.4)$ $(1.5)$ $(1.1)$ $14.5$ $13.9$ $15.1$ $(2.3)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.2)$ $100$ $100$ $100$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ | 1.19 $1.23$ $1.18$ $0.6$ $(0.09)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $16.2$ $16.6$ $15.9$ $0.5$ $(1.4)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.3)$ $86.9$ $82.8$ $90.8$ * $1.3$ $(7.0)$ $(6.0)$ $(6.1)$ $112.4$ $113.8$ $111.7$ $0.2$ $(6.7)$ $(5.0)$ $(7.7)$ $74.0$ $67.7$ $80.3$ * $1.7$ $(9.2)$ $(6.8)$ $(8.0)$ $28.6$ $28.4$ $28.8$ $0.3$ $(5.4)$ $(1.5)$ $(1.1)$ $0.5$ $(2.3)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.2)$ $0.0$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ | 1.19 $1.23$ $1.18$ $0.6$ $1.25$ $(0.09)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $16.2$ $16.6$ $15.9$ $0.5$ $17.0$ $(1.4)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.3)$ $(1.8)$ $86.9$ $82.8$ $90.8$ * $1.3$ $78.3$ $(7.0)$ $(6.0)$ $(6.1)$ $(4.7)$ $112.4$ $113.8$ $111.7$ $0.2$ $110.0$ $(6.7)$ $(5.0)$ $(7.7)$ $(4.8)$ $74.0$ $67.7$ $80.3$ * $1.7$ $62.5$ $(9.2)$ $(6.8)$ $(8.0)$ $(5.0)$ $28.6$ $28.4$ $28.8$ $0.3$ $28.8$ $(5.4)$ $(1.5)$ $(1.1)$ $(1.6)$ $14.5$ $13.9$ $15.1$ $0.5$ $14.2$ $(2.3)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.5)$ $100$ $100$ $100$ $0.0$ $100$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ | 1.19 $1.23$ $1.18$ $0.6$ $1.25$ $1.21$ $(0.09)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.07)$ $16.2$ $16.6$ $15.9$ $0.5$ $17.0$ $16.7$ $(1.4)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.3)$ $(1.8)$ $(1.5)$ $86.9$ $82.8$ $90.8*$ $1.3$ $78.3$ $87.3*$ $(7.0)$ $(6.0)$ $(6.1)$ $(4.7)$ $(3.0)$ $112.4$ $113.8$ $111.7$ $0.2$ $110.0$ $116.2*$ $(6.7)$ $(5.0)$ $(7.7)$ $(4.8)$ $(3.1)$ $74.0$ $67.7$ $80.3*$ $1.7$ $62.5$ $72.8*$ $(9.2)$ $(6.8)$ $(8.0)$ $(5.0)$ $(3.7)$ $28.6$ $28.4$ $28.8$ $0.3$ $28.8$ $28.0$ $(5.4)$ $(1.5)$ $(1.1)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.4)$ $14.5$ $13.9$ $15.1$ $0.5$ $14.2$ $13.7$ $(2.3)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.5)$ $(2.2)$ $100$ $100$ $100$ $0.0$ $100$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ | 1.19 $1.23$ $1.18$ $0.6$ $1.25$ $1.21$ $0.5$ $(0.09)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.07)$ $16.2$ $16.6$ $15.9$ $0.5$ $17.0$ $16.7$ $0.5$ $(1.4)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.3)$ $(1.8)$ $(1.5)$ $86.9$ $82.8$ $90.8*$ $1.3$ $78.3$ $87.3*$ $2.3$ $(7.0)$ $(6.0)$ $(6.1)$ $(4.7)$ $(3.0)$ $(6.7)$ $16.7$ $80.3*$ $112.4$ $113.8$ $111.7$ $0.2$ $110.0$ $116.2*$ $1.5$ $(6.7)$ $(5.0)$ $(7.7)$ $(4.8)$ $(3.1)$ $(3.7)$ $74.0$ $67.7$ $80.3*$ $1.7$ $62.5$ $72.8*$ $2.3$ $(9.2)$ $(6.8)$ $(8.0)$ $(5.0)$ $(3.7)$ $(3.6)$ $28.6$ $28.4$ $28.8$ $0.3$ $28.8$ $28.0$ $0.6$ $(5.4)$ $(1.5)$ $(1.1)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.4)$ $14.5$ $13.9$ $15.1$ $0.5$ $14.2$ $13.7$ $0.1$ $(2.3)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.5)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.0)$ $100$ $100$ $100$ $0.0$ $(0)$ $(0)$ | 1.19 $1.23$ $1.18$ $0.6$ $1.25$ $1.21$ $0.5$ $1.17$ $(0.09)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.07)$ $(0.09)$ $16.2$ $16.6$ $15.9$ $0.5$ $17.0$ $16.7$ $0.5$ $15.3$ $(1.4)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.3)$ $(1.8)$ $(1.5)$ $(0.8)$ $86.9$ $82.8$ $90.8*$ $1.3$ $78.3$ $87.3*$ $2.3$ $86.9$ $(7.0)$ $(6.0)$ $(6.1)$ $(4.7)$ $(3.0)$ $(1.8)$ $112.4$ $113.8$ $111.7$ $0.2$ $110.0$ $116.2*$ $1.5$ $110.5$ $(6.7)$ $(5.0)$ $(7.7)$ $(4.8)$ $(3.1)$ $(7.4)$ $74.0$ $67.7$ $80.3*$ $1.7$ $62.5$ $72.8*$ $2.3$ $75.2$ $(9.2)$ $(6.8)$ $(8.0)$ $(5.0)$ $(3.7)$ $(4.6)$ $28.6$ $28.4$ $28.8$ $0.3$ $28.8$ $28.0$ $0.6$ $28.3$ $(5.4)$ $(1.5)$ $(1.1)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.4)$ $(1.2)$ $14.5$ $13.9$ $15.1$ $0.5$ $14.2$ $13.7$ $0.1$ $14.2$ $(2.3)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.5)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.2)$ $100$ $100$ $0.0$ $100$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ | 1.19 $1.23$ $1.18$ $0.6$ $1.25$ $1.21$ $0.5$ $1.17$ $1.19$ $(0.09)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.08)$ $(0.07)$ $(0.09)$ $(0.07)$ $16.2$ $16.6$ $15.9$ $0.5$ $17.0$ $16.7$ $0.5$ $15.3$ $16.3$ $(1.4)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.3)$ $(1.8)$ $(1.5)$ $(0.8)$ $(1.6)$ $86.9$ $82.8$ $90.8*$ $1.3$ $78.3$ $87.3"$ $2.3$ $86.9$ $94.6"$ $(7.0)$ $(6.0)$ $(6.1)$ $(4.7)$ $(3.0)$ $(1.8)$ $(6.6)$ $112.4$ $113.8$ $111.7$ $0.2$ $110.0$ $116.2"$ $1.5$ $110.5$ $112.8$ $(6.7)$ $(5.0)$ $(7.7)$ $(4.8)$ $(3.1)$ $(7.4)$ $(8.5)$ $74.0$ $67.7$ $80.3*$ $1.7$ $62.5$ $72.8"$ $2.3$ $75.2$ $85.5"$ $(9.2)$ $(6.8)$ $(8.0)$ $(5.0)$ $(3.7)$ $(4.6)$ $(7.3)$ $28.6$ $28.4$ $28.8$ $0.3$ $28.8$ $28.0$ $0.6$ $28.3$ $29.2$ $(5.4)$ $(1.5)$ $(1.1)$ $(1.6)$ $(1.4)$ $(1.2)$ $(1.0)$ $14.5$ $13.9$ $15.1$ $0.5$ $14.2$ $13.7$ $0.1$ $14.2$ $16.0$ $(2.3)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.5)$ $(2.2)$ $(2.0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ |

 $\dot{V}O_2$  peak, peak oxygen uptake; RER, respiratory exchange ratio; RPE, rated perceived exertion; MAP24h, mean arterial pressure over 24-hour.

\* different from High-Fit (p < 0.05); \* different from Lower-MAP in same group (p < 0.05); \* effect size of the difference between high-fit and low-fit

groups

|  | Overall<br>(n=24)   |       | Hig          | h-Fit  | Lo   | w-Fit   | Cohen's |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------|-------|--------------|--------|------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
|  |                     |       | ( <b>n</b> : | (n=12) |      | (n=12)  |         |  |  |  |
| 2-back accuracy (% of correct responses) |                     |       |              |        |      |         |         |  |  |  |
| Single task                              | 97.9                | (2.9) | 98.2         | (3.7)  | 97.3 | (2.3)   | 0.3     |  |  |  |
| Dual task                                | 95.9                | (5.5) | 99.0         | (2.4)  | 92.5 | (6.5) * | 1.3     |  |  |  |
| Walking speed (r                         | n.s <sup>-1</sup> ) |       |              |        |      |         |         |  |  |  |
| Single task                              | 1.42                | (2.9) | 1.45         | (3.7)  | 1.41 | (2.3)   | 0.5     |  |  |  |
| Dual task                                | 1.37                | (5.5) | 1.39         | (2.4)  | 1.36 | (6.5) * | 0.7     |  |  |  |
| CoV of stride len                        |                     |       |              |        |      |         |         |  |  |  |
| Single task                              | 0.9                 | (0.2) | 1.0          | (0.2)  | 0.9  | (0.1)   | 0.5     |  |  |  |
| Dual task                                | 1.4                 | (0.4) | 1.4          | (0.3)  | 1.4  | (0.3)   | <0.2    |  |  |  |

 Table 2. Cognitive and motor performance during single task and dual-task conditions. Data are presented as mean (SD)

m.s<sup>-1</sup>, meter per second; CoV, coefficient of variation

\* different from High-Fit (p < 0.05);

|   |                   | High-Fit |                    |        |                   |        |                           |        |           | Low-Fit |        |         |                 |        |            |        |        |
|---|-------------------|----------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
|   | Overall<br>(n=24) |          | High-Fit<br>(n=12) |        | Low-Fit<br>(n=12) |        | Cohen's<br>d <sup>+</sup> | (n=12) |           |         |        | Cohen's | ( <b>n=12</b> ) |        |            |        | Cohen' |
|   |                   |          |                    |        |                   |        |                           | Lowe   | Lower MAP |         | er MAP | d       | Lower MAP       |        | Higher MAP |        | s d    |
|   |                   |          |                    |        |                   |        |                           | (n=6)  |           | (n=6)   |        |         | (n=6)           |        | (n=6)      |        |        |
| Single Task                                       |                   |          |                    |        |                   |        |                           |        |           |         |        |         |                 |        |            |        |        |
| Right PFC   |                   |          |                    |        |                   |        |                           |        |           |         |        |         |                 |        |            |        |        |
| $\Delta$ HbO <sub>2</sub> (umol.L <sup>-1</sup> ) | 0.64              | (0.89)   | 0.52               | (1.24) | 0.67              | (0.57) | 0.2                       | 0.84   | (1.63)    | 0.19    | (0.69) | 0.5     | 0.90            | (0.56) | 0.45       | (0.52) | 0.8    |
| $\Delta$ HHb (umol.L <sup>-1</sup> )              | -0.25             | (0.23)   | -0.29              | (0.27) | -0.15             | (0.20) | 0.6                       | -0.37  | (0.33)    | -0.22   | (0.19) | 0.6     | -0.22           | (0.08) | -0.07      | (0.25) | 0.8    |
| $\Delta$ HbDiff (umol.L <sup>-1</sup> )           | 0.79              | (1.04)   | 0.81               | (1.45) | 0.82              | (0.65) | <0.2                      | 1.21   | (1.94)    | 0.41    | (0.66) | 0.6     | 1.13            | (0.64) | 0.52       | (0.55) | 1.0    |
| Left PFC  |                   |          |                    |        |                   |        |                           |        |           |         |        |         |                 |        |            |        |        |
| $\Delta$ HbO <sub>2</sub> (umol.L <sup>-1</sup> ) | 0.35              | (0.44)   | 0.24               | (0.54) | 0.42              | (0.46) | 0.4                       | 0.31   | (0.71)    | 0.17    | (0.36) | 0.2     | 0.58            | (0.58) | 0.27       | (0.26) | 0.7    |
| $\Delta$ HHb (umol.L <sup>-1</sup> )              | -0.19             | (0.14)   | -0.19              | (0.12) | -0.14             | (0.16) | 0.4                       | -0.24  | (0.13)    | -0.15   | (0.10) | 0.7     | -0.20           | (0.16) | -0.08      | (0.15) | 0.8    |
| $\Delta$ HbDiff (umol.L <sup>-1</sup> )           | 0.54              | (0.53)   | 0.43               | (0.61) | 0.56              | (0.59) | 0.2                       | 0.55   | (0.78)    | 0.32    | (0.41) | 0.4     | 0.78            | (0.72) | 0.34       | (0.36) | 0.7    |
| Dual Task   |                   |          |                    |        |                   |        |                           |        |           |         |        |         |                 |        |            |        |        |

Table 3. Cerebral oxygenation changes from baseline during the 2-back task in single and dual-task conditions. Data are presented as mean (SD).

| Right PFC                                   |       |        |       |        |       |          |     |       |        |       |        |     |       |        |      |          |     |
|---|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|----------|-----|-------|--------|-------|--------|-----|-------|--------|------|----------|-----|
| $\Delta HbO_2 \text{ (umol.L}^{-1}\text{)}$ | 0.50  | (0.90) | 0.30  | (1.07) | 0.99  | (0.54)   | 0.8 | -0.10 | (1.02) | 0.70  | (1.05) | 0.7 | 1.20  | (0.66) | 0.77 | (0.32)   | 0.8 |
| $\Delta$ HHb (umol.L <sup>-1</sup> )        | -0.17 | (0.27) | -0.33 | (0.29) | -0.06 | (0.21) * | 1.1 | -0.28 | (0.25) | -0.38 | (0.34) | 0.3 | -0.22 | (0.11) | 0.09 | (0.17) # | 2.1 |
| $\Delta$ HbDiff (umol.L <sup>-1</sup> )     | 0.67  | (1.00) | 0.63  | (1.21) | 1.05  | (0.69)   | 0.4 | 0.18  | (1.20) | 1.08  | (1.15) | 0.7 | 1.42  | (0.73) | 0.68 | (0.42)   | 1.2 |
| Left PFC                                    |       |        |       |        |       |          |     |       |        |       |        |     |       |        |      |          |     |
| $\Delta HbO_2 \text{ (umol.L}^{-1}\text{)}$ | 0.21  | (0.47) | 0.16  | (0.59) | 0.50  | (0.27)   | 0.7 | -0.09 | (0.48) | 0.40  | (0.62) | 0.9 | 0.60  | (0.32) | 0.39 | (0.17)   | 0.8 |
| $\Delta$ HHb (umol.L <sup>-1</sup> )        | -0.15 | (0.16) | -0.23 | (0.17) | -0.09 | (0.14) * | 0.9 | -0.21 | (0.18) | -0.25 | (0.19) | 0.2 | -0.17 | (0.11) | 0.00 | (0.12) # | 1.5 |
| $\Delta$ HbDiff (umol.L <sup>-1</sup> )     | 0.35  | (0.54) | 0.39  | (0.70) | 0.58  | (0.36)   | 0.3 | 0.13  | (0.58) | 0.65  | (0.75) | 0.7 | 0.77  | (0.36) | 0.39 | (0.26)   | 1.2 |

MAP, mean arterial pressure over 24-hour; PFC, Pre-frontal cortex;  $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub>, changes in oxyhemoglobin concentrations;  $\Delta$ HHb, changes in deoxyhemoglobin

concentrations;  $\Delta$ HbDiff, ( $\Delta$ HbO<sub>2</sub>- $\Delta$ HHb) as an index of brain oxygenation.

\* different from Higher-Fit (p < 0.05); # different from lower MAP in same group (p < 0.05); + between Higher-Fit and Lower-Fit