

# Comparison of two preventive dual antiplatelet regimens for unruptured intracranial aneurysm embolization with flow diverter/disrupter: A matched-cohort study comparing clopidogrel with ticagrelor

Sébastien Soize, Cédric Foussier, Pierre-François Manceau, Claude-Fabien Litré, Serge Backchine, Matthias Gawlitza, Laurent Pierot

## ▶ To cite this version:

Sébastien Soize, Cédric Foussier, Pierre-François Manceau, Claude-Fabien Litré, Serge Backchine, et al.. Comparison of two preventive dual antiplatelet regimens for unruptured intracranial aneurysm embolization with flow diverter/disrupter: A matched-cohort study comparing clopidogrel with ticagrelor. Journal de Neuroradiologie / Journal of Neuroradiology, 2019, 46, pp.378 - 383. 10.1016/j.neurad.2019.01.094 . hal-03488589

# HAL Id: hal-03488589 https://hal.science/hal-03488589v1

Submitted on 20 Jul2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0150986119301142 Manuscript a9f6bb6278c0d83668aab1b730eb3959

G Model NEURAD 791 1-6

Journal of Neuroradiology xxx (2019) xxx-xxx

ELSEVIER	Available online at ScienceDirect www.sciencedirect.com	Elsevier Masson France EM consulte www.em-consulte.com	
Original Article			

## Comparison of two preventive dual antiplatelet regimens for

- <sup>2</sup> unruptured intracranial aneurysm embolization with flow
- diverter/disrupter: A matched-cohort study comparing
- 4 Cclopidogrel with ticagrelor>

Sébastien Soize<sup>a,b</sup>, Cédric Foussier<sup>a</sup>, Pierre-François Manceau<sup>a</sup>, Claude-Fabien Litré<sup>c</sup>,
 Serge Backchine<sup>d</sup>, Matthias Gawlitza<sup>a</sup>, Laurent Pierot<sup>a,\*</sup>

7 Q2 <sup>a</sup> Department of neuroradiology, CHU de Reims, hôpital Maison Blanche, Université Reims-Champagne Ardenne, Reims, France
 <sup>b</sup> UNICAEN, Inserm, UMR-S 1237 physiopathology and imaging of neurological disorders, Cyceron, 14000 Caen, France
 <sup>c</sup> Department of neurosurgery, CHU de Reims, hôpital Maison Blanche, Université Reims-Champagne Ardenne, Reims, France

<sup>10</sup> <sup>d</sup> Department of neurology, CHU de Reims, hôpital Maison Blanche, Université Reims-Champagne Ardenne, Reims, France

12 ARTICLE INFO

## 13 Article history:

- 15 Available online xxx
- 16
- 17 Keywords:

11

- 18 Unruptured aneurysm
- 19 Flow diverter
- 20 Flow disrupter
- 21 Dual antiplatelet regimen
- 22 Clopidogrel
- 23 Ticagrelor

## ABSTRACT

Purpose. - Standard dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) for complex aneurysms treated with flow diversion and flow disruption is acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) plus clopidogrel. However, clopidogrel resistance frequently occurs and can lead to thromboembolic events. Ticagrelor is an alternative not requiring platelet inhibition testing. We compared two DAPT regimens (ASA with clopidogrel or ticagrelor) on morbi-mortality, safety and efficacy of unruptured aneurysm embolization with flow diverter/disrupter. Materials and methods. - This retrospective analysis of a 1:1 matched cohort compares patients treated with ASA+clopidogrel (March 2013-December 2015) vs. ASA+ticagrelor (January 2016-March 2017). No platelet inhibition testing was conducted. Patients matched for age  $(\pm 10 \text{ years})$ , type of treatment and aneurysm sac size ( $\pm 2$  mm). Primary outcome measures were morbidity and mortality at 1-month; secondary outcomes were thromboembolic and hemorrhagic complications [on angiography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)] and groin complications. Outcomes were compared using bivariate analyses. Results. - Ninety patients fulfilled inclusion criteria, of which 80 remained after matching (40 per group). There was no statistical difference in 1-month morbidity between the ticagrelor and clopidogrel groups (2.5% vs. 10%, P=0.36) and no deaths reported. We observed no significant differences between ticagrelor and clopidogrel groups in terms of angiographic thromboembolic complications (5% vs. 12.5%, P=0.43),  $territorial\ infarction\ on\ DWI\ (2.5\%\ vs.\ 7.5\%\ P=0.61), angiographic\ (0\%\ vs.\ 0\%\ P=1)\ and\ MRI\ (5\%\ vs.\ 5\%\ P=1)$ hemorrhagic complications, new microbleeds (57.5% vs. 40%, P=0.12) and groin puncture complications (2.5% vs. 0%, P=1). At three months, there was no delayed territorial infarction or hemorrhage in either group.

Conclusions. – Ticagrelor is safe and effective in replacing clopidogrel as DAPT for unruptured aneurysms. © 2019 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 24 Introduction

Endovascular treatment (EVT) is the first line treatment in the majority of patients suffering from ruptured and unruptured cerebral aneurysms [1–3]. Improved endovascular techniques such

as balloon-assisted coiling, stent-assisted coiling (SAC) and more

recently flow diversion and flow disruption have facilitated treatment of an increased number of aneurysms including complex aneurysms with unfavorable anatomy [4,5]. Additionally, flow diversion help to reduce the rate of aneurysm recurrence, which remains the primary weakness of endovascular EVT [4]. Intraoperative rupture and thromboembolic events (TE) are the main concerns during EVT because of potential disastrous outcomes. To prevent thromboembolism, some EVT techniques (SAC and flow diversion) are performed under dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT). By extension, the treatment of complex wide-neck bifurcation aneurysms by flow disruption often occurs under DAPT [5].

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurad.2019.01.094 0150-9861/© 2019 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of neuroradiology, hôpital Maison Blanche, 45, rue Cognacq-Jay, 51092 Reims, France. *E-mail address*: lpierot@gmail.com (L. Pierot).

Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) plus clopidogrel is the DAPT of reference 40 used for preventing thrombosis in such procedures [5–7]. However, 41 unlike ASA resistance which seems relatively uncommon, clopido-42 grel resistance occurs frequently (28 to 66%) [8] and is known to 43 induce additional thromboembolic complications [8,9]. Evaluating 44 the biological activity of this medication in vivo is complex and 45 unreliable, and no standardized platelet function test exists. Fur-46 thermore, major bleeds are more common with double-dose than 47 with standard-dose of clopidogrel [10,11]. Consequently, the bene-48 fit of assessing clopidogrel resistance remains unclear and increases 49 time and burden of care [12,13], and, as a result, other P2Y12 50 inhibitors (e.g., ticagrelor and prasugrel) which are less subject 51 to resistance are usually indicated in patients with acute coro-52 nary syndrome [10,11]. The above data has led some clinicians to 53 explore the usefulness of ticagrelor for neurointerventional pro-54 cedures [10,11,14]. In contrast to clopidogrel, ticagrelor produces 55 greater platelet inhibition, has faster onset action and exhibits 56 fewer inter-individual variations with respect to drug side effects 57 [14]. 58

Currently, little data regarding safety and efficacy of ticagrelor 59 in neurointerventional procedures is available [15,16] and only one 60 61 study compared ticagrelor with clopidogrel in patients treated with flow diverters [15] One major concern with ticagrelor remains its 62 safety, especially in regard to the PLATO trial results, which com-63 pared ticagrelor to clopidogrel in acute coronary syndrome settings 64 and found a significantly increased risk of intracranial hemorrhage 65 in patients receiving ticagrelor [11]. In our institution, patients were 66 treated with ASA plus clopidogrel without systematic testing for 67 resistance up to December 2015. At that point, we switched from 68 clopidogrel to ticagrelor in order to avoid the issue of clopidogrel 69 resistance testing. The present study compares the impact of two 70 DAPT (ASA + clopidogrel vs. ASA + ticagrelor) on the morbidity, mor-71 tality, safety (hemorrhagic complications) and efficacy (prevention 72 of thromboembolism) of unruptured aneurysm embolization with 73 flow diverter/disrupter. 74

## 75Q3 Materials and methods

## 76 Study setting

This retrospective study analyzed our institution's prospectively collected registry of consecutive patients treated by endovascular means of intracranial aneurysms. In line with French law, ethics committee approval is waived for retrospective studies. The manuscript follows reporting guidelines (STROBE) for observational study reports [17]. For the purpose of this study, we included patients who fulfilled the following inclusion criteria:

- unruptured intracranial aneurysm treated by embolization
   <sup>85</sup> between March 2013 and March 2017;
- embolization realized with a flow diverter or flow disrupter and;
- 24–48 hour MRI follow-up. Patients were dichotomized according to antiplatelet regimen: ASA+clopidogrel (Group 1: March 2013 to December 2015) and ASA+ticagrelor (Group 2: January 2016 to March 2017).

Patients of both groups were matched for age  $(\pm 10 \text{ years})$ , type of treatment (intrasaccular or intravascular) and aneurysm sac size  $(\pm 2 \text{ mm})$ , creating a 1:1 matched cohort.

#### 94 Antiplatelet therapy

During the study period, patients treated for unruptured aneurysms with flow diverter and flow disrupters received loading of DAPT over 5 days (clopidogrel) and 2 days (ticagrelor) before embolization. From March 2013 to October 2015, patients received 75 mg of ASA+once per day 75 mg of clopidogrel (Group 1); between November 2015 and March 2017, patients received 75 mg ASA+90 mg of ticagrelor twice per day (Group 2). Both groups received heparin bolus of 50 IU/kg followed by a heparin perfusion at 600 IU/kg/day in the perioperative period. Both groups received DAPT over the next 3 months. Importantly, no patient underwent clopidogrel resistance testing because it was not part of the institutional protocol at that time. Consequently, there was no selection for clopidogrel responsiveness in either group.

00

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

Primary outcome measures were morbidity and mortality. Morbidity at 1 month was defined as any worsening of the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) compared to pre-therapeutic mRS. Secondary outcome measures were thromboembolic complications (per-procedure and on 24–48 hour follow-up MRI), hemorrhagic complications (per-procedure and on 24–48 hour follow-up MRI) and groin puncture hemorrhagic complications (hematoma >2 cm or false aneurysm, with or without need for a treatment (transfusion, surgery or ultrasound-guided compression).

## Endovascular treatment

All patients eligible for endovascular aneurysmal treatment were discussed in a multidisciplinary meeting (neurosurgeons, neurologists and neuroradiologists) to determine the safest and most effective treatment method. Treatments were performed with a biplane angiographic system (Axiom Artis, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). After transfemoral access, a triaxial system reached the targeted aneurysm. Intrasaccular treatment was completed with the Woven EndoBridge (WEB device, Sequent Medical/Microvention, Tustin, CA, USA) flow disruption device, and intravascular treatment with two different flow diverters: the Pipeline Embolization Device: (PED), (Covidien, Irvine, CA, USA), or the Flow Re-Direction Endoluminal Device (FRED), (MicroVention, Tustin, CA, USA). Vascular closing systems were used in all procedures (Angioseal or Femoseal Systems, St Jude Medical, St. Paul, MN, USA).

## Recorded data

Baseline patient characteristics (sex, age and pretreatment mRS), the aneurysm status (first treatment or remnant) and aneurysms characteristics (location, maximum sac diameter and neck size) were collected. Aneurysm location was categorized as follows: supraclinoid internal carotid artery (ICA), cavernous ICA, anterior cerebral artery (ACA) or anterior communicating artery (ACom), middle cerebral artery (MCA) and basilar artery(BA). Treatment types were also recorded and dichotomized into intrasaccular device (flow disrupter) or intravascular device (flow diverter).

We recorded all complications occurring during aneurysm treatment and hospital stay. During treatment we also recorded thromboembolic events (i.e., clot visible on angiography), aneurysm rupture and vessel dissection. After treatment, we observed hemorrhagic complications at puncture site and any ischemic or hemorrhagic complication on MRI realized 24-48 hours after treatment (see "image analysis" for description). At 1-month posttreatment, the treating physician evaluated neurological outcome using the mRS. Finally, at 3-months post-treatment, patients underwent another MRI examination and clopidogrel or ticagrelor was stopped. Any new ischemic or hemorrhagic complication at 3months was recorded and classified according to their clinical impact (silent or symptomatic). Also, parent vessel patency was evaluated. Then, in absence of ischemic event or vessel stenosis/thrombosis, clopidogrel or ticagrelor was stopped and ASA was pursued for 1-year post-treatment.

#### S. Soize, C. Foussier, P.-F. Manceau, et al. / Journal of Neuroradiology xxx (2019) xxx-xxx

#### 159 Image analysis

All follow-up examinations were performed on a 3-Tesla 160 MR Unit (Achieva, Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands or 161 Skyra, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a protocol including at 162 least diffusion weighted imaging (DWI), fluid-attenuated inver-163 sion recovery (FLAIR) T2\* and time-of-flight (TOF)-MRA sequences. 164 Gadolinium-enhanced sequences were optional. An independent 165 analysis of the post-treatment DWI and T2\* maps was performed 166 by two neuroradiologists (3 and 6-years' experience, respectively) 167 separately and blinded to patient characteristics and treatment 168 strategies. Readers determined if there was a territorial infarction, 169 defined as a DWI positive lesion > 15 mm diameter according to the 170 TOAST (Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment) criteria, as 171 well as the presence and number of small DWI(+) lesions, hereafter 172 defined as a high signal intensity lesion of  $\leq 15 \text{ mm}$  diameter [18]. 173 Patients were categorized in two groups according to the number 174 of small DWI(+) lesions: either 0 to 5 lesions or > 6 DWI(+) lesions 175 [19]. 176

Additionally, readers noted any major hemorrhagic complica-177 tion, defined by the appearance of a subarachnoid hemorrhage 178 179 or a parenchymal hematoma on FLAIR and/or T2\* sequences. The appearance of minor hemorrhage (microbleeds) and their bur-180 den were also reported. Microbleeds were defined as small areas 181  $(\leq 10 \text{ mm})$  of signal void on T2<sup>\*</sup> sequence with associated bloom-182 ing [20]. All available MR sequences were analyzed to exclude 183 potential microbleeds mimics (vascular structures, thrombus, cal-184 cifications, iron deposits, cavernous malformation or hemorrhagic 185 metastases). Almost all patients (36/40 for Group 1 and 36/40 for 186 Group 2) had a recent pre-therapeutic MRI to rule out pre-existing 187 ischemia or hemorrhage. Disagreements were solved by a third 188 reader (9 years of experience). 189

## 190 Statistical analyses

Inter-reader agreement for detection of territorial infarction, 191 small artery infarction, >6 DWI(+) lesions and major and minor 192 193 hemorrhagic complications were assessed using Cohen's kappa coefficient ( $\kappa$ ). Inter-reader agreement for counting small artery 194 infarction (DWI+lesions) and microbleeds burden were assessed 195 using intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) and their 95% confi-196 dence intervals (CI). Distribution normality was assessed with the 197 198 Kolmogorv-Smirnov test. Continuous variables were described as median and interquartile range and categorical variables as propor-199 tions. Bivariate comparisons of primary and secondary outcome 200 measures between Groups 1 and 2 were tested using  $\chi^2$  tests 201 for categorical variables (Fisher's exact test was used when the 202 expected cell frequency was < 5), Student's *t*-test for continuous 203 variables and Mann-Whitney U-test for ordinal variables and con-204 tinuous variables that had skewed distributions. As flow diverters 205 and flow disrupters have different mechanism of action, we also 206 conducted a sub-group analysis according to the treatment type. All 207 statistical tests were 2-sided and P<0.05 was considered statisti-208 cally significant. Analyses were performed using Medcalc software 209 (Release 18.2, Ostend, Belgium). 210

## 211 Results

## 212 Patients

We treated 354 intracranial aneurysms with 167 unruptured aneurysms and 197 ruptured aneurysms during the study period. Ninety patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria (45 in each group). After matching age, type of treatment and aneurysm sac size, 80 patients (40 in each group) were included in the final 1:1 matched Table 1

Patients and aneurysms baseline characteristics.

	Clopidogrel ( <i>n</i> =40)	Ticagrelor ( <i>n</i> = 40)	P-value
Demographics			
Female	30 (75.0%)	26 (65.0%)	.33
Age (years)	56 (47-61)	54.5 (44-61)	.67
Aneurysms			
Aneurysm remnant	7 (17.5%)	7 (17.5%)	.99
Size (max. diameter, mm)	6.5 (5.0-9.5)	6.5 (5.0-7.9)	.39
Neck (mm)	5.0 (4.0-5.5)	4.0 (3.5-5.0)	.21
Location			
Supraclinoidal ICA	14 (35.0%)	13 (32.5%)	.81
Cavernous ICA	3 (7.5%)	2 (5.0%)	.99
ACA/ACom	6 (15.0%)	6 (15.0%)	.99
MCA	12 (30.0%)	14 (35.0%)	.63
BA	5 (12.5%)	5 (12.5%)	.99
Treatment type			
Flow-diverter	17 (42.5%)	17 (42.5%)	.99
Flow-disrupter	23 (57.5%)	23 (57.5%)	.99

Continuous variables are described as median and interquartile range and categorical variables as number and percentage. ICA: internal carotid artery; ACA: anterior communicating artery; ACom: anterior communicating artery; MCA: middle cerebral artery; BA: basilar artery.

cohort. In the cohort, 46 patients (57.5%) were treated with a flow disrupter and 34 (42.5%) with a flow diverter. Baseline characteristics did not differ between groups (Table 1).

## Inter-reader agreements

Inter-reader agreement for the detection of territorial infarction [K (95% CI) = 1 (1–1)], small DWI (+) lesion > 6 [K (95% CI) = 0.83 (0.71–0.95)], major [K (95% CI) = 1 (1–1)] and minor hemorrhagic complications [K (95% CI) = 0.82 (0.68–0.96)] was excellent.

Inter-reader agreement for counting small DWI (+) lesions [ICC (95% CI)=0.97 (0.96–0.98)] and microbleeds burden [ICC (95% CI)=0.86 (0.79–0.91)] was also excellent.

## Primary outcome measures (morbidity and mortality)

At 1 month, morbidity was 1/40 patients (2.5%) with ticagrelor, and 4/40 patients (10%) with clopidogrel (not tested for responsiveness), without statistically significant difference (P=0.36). Complications in the clopidogrel group were 2 parenchymal hematomas (mRS 1 and 4 at 1 month), 1 territorial infarction (mRS 3) and 1 patient with 27 small DWI(+) lesions (mRS 1). The single complication in the ticagrelor group was a territorial infarction (mRS 2). Mortality was zero in both groups (P=1). Consequently, combined morbi-mortality was 2.5% vs. 10% (P=0.36). Comparisons between primary and secondary outcome measures are detailed in Table 2.

### Secondary outcome measures

#### Thromboembolic complications

During the procedure, thromboembolic complications (any visible clot) occurred in 2/40 patients (5%) with ticagrelor and 5/40 patients (12.5%) with clopidogrel (P=0.43). Post-procedure, early MRI imaging showed territorial infarction on DWI in 1/40 (2.5%) patients with ticagrelor and 3/40 (7.5%) patients with clopidogrel (P=0.61). In contrast, patients with >6 small DWI lesions were more frequent with ticagrelor than with clopidogrel (20/40 patients (50%) vs. 10/40 patients (25%), P=0.02).

### Hemorrhagic complications

During the procedure, no hemorrhagic complication (sac perforation, dissection) was observed in both groups. However, 218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

## 4

## Table 2

Comparison of safety and efficacy of dual antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel or ticagrelor.

	Clopidogrel ( <i>n</i> =40)	Ticagrelor (n=40)	P-value
1-month morbidity and mortality			
Mortality	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1
Morbidity	4 (10%)	1 (2.5%)	.36
Thrombo-embolic complications			
Per-procedure <sup>a</sup>	5 (12.5%)	2 (5.0%)	.43
Territorial infarction <sup>b</sup>	3 (7.5%)	1 (2.5%)	.61
>6 DWI(+) lesions <sup>b</sup>	10 (25%)	20 (50%)	.02
Hemorragic complications			
Per-procedure <sup>a</sup>	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1
Major bleeding (SHA or PH) <sup>b</sup>	2 (5.0%)	2 (5.0%)	1
Patients with new microbleed(s) <sup>b</sup>	16 (40%)	23 (57.5%)	.12
Number of new microbleed(s) <sup>b</sup>	1 (0-2)	2(1-2)	.01
Groin puncture complication	0 (0%)	1 (2.5%)	1

Note: Continuous variables are described as median and interquartile range and categorical variables as number and percentage.

DWI: diffusion weighted imaging; SHA: subarachnoid hemorrhage; PH: parenchymal hematoma.

<sup>a</sup> Seen on angiography.

<sup>b</sup> Seen on 24–48 hours follow-up MRI.

post-procedural MRI imaging showed major hemorrhagic compli-254 cations in 2/40 (5%) patients given ticagrelor and 2/40 (5%) patients 255 given clopidogrel (P=1). These complications involved two lim-256 ited subarachnoid hemorrhages in the cistern surrounding the 257 258 aneurysm dome in patients treated with flow disruptors (1 in each group) and two parenchymal hematomas (1 in each group), one 259 at the aneurysm site probably because of sac perforation unde-260 tected during the procedure and one probably related to distal 261 vessel perforation caused by microwire manipulations. Interest-262 ingly, early MRI revealed that patients under ticagrelor seemed to 263 264 develop more microbleeds. Indeed, the appearance of at least one microbleed was observed in 23/40 (57.5%) patients given ticagrelor 265 and 16/40 (40%) patients given clopidogrel (P=0.12). The burden 266 of microbleeds was also higher with ticagrelor than clopidogrel [2 267 (1-2) vs. 1 (0-2), P=0.01]. 268

#### 269 Groin puncture complications

Groin complications occurred in 1/40 (2.5%) patients given ticagrelor and 0/40 (0%) given clopidogrel (P=1). The complication corresponded to a false aneurysm closed by surgery in a patient given ticagrelor. Additionally, we observed hematomas <274 <2 cm diameter without active bleeding in 2 patient given clopidogrel.

#### Table 3

Analysis by type of treatment.

Delayed ischemic and hemorrhagic complications (at 3-months)

At 3-months MRI follow-up, there was no territorial infarction and no major bleeding in both groups [0(0%) vs. 0(0%), P=1, respectively]. There was no difference in terms of patients with new microbleeds [9(22.5%) vs. 16(40%), P=0.15] and patients with >6 small DWI (+) lesions (1 (2.5\%) vs. 0(0\%), P=1) between Ticagrelor and Clopidogrel groups. Also, no stenosis or occlusion of parent vessels was noted in either group. 276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

#### Analysis by type of treatment

In the subgroups of patients treated with flow-diverters and flow-disrupters, there was no statistical differences in terms of per-procedure thromboembolic and hemorrhagic complications, ischemic and hemorrhagic complications on 24–48 h MRI followup, morbidity and mortality at 1-months (Table 3).

### Discussion

The development of advanced techniques has ushered in a new aneurysm treatment era. However, SAC and flow diversion carries an increased risk of thromboembolic complications which lead to the routine use of DAPT [7,8,12–21]. Although not mandatory, patients treated with flow disruptors are also treated with DAPT as a precaution [22-24] DAPT may also be useful when flow disruption requires the use of a stent (device protrusion) or is replaced by SAC (technical failure). ASA plus clopidogrel is the standard DAPT for such procedures; [4–9,12,13,21–24] however, platelet function testing to detect clopidogrel resistance remains debatable [12,13]. A number of alternate antiplatelet agents - most frequently involving ticagrelor for neurovascular field- have become available, with theoretical advantages when compared to clopidogrel in regard to bioavailability and consistency of antiplatelet effects. Little data is available in the neurovascular literature to support the use of one agent over another. As ticagrelor reaches greater platelet inhibition compared with clopidogrel, one major concern is its safety for neurointerventional procedures. Our study found no difference in terms of morbidity and mortality between patients under DAPT with ticagrelor or clopidogrel. Still, although not significant, some differences cannot be underestimated. Indeed, morbidity looked lower with ticagrelor (2.5%) compared to clopidogrel (10%). This result was sustained in flow-diverter and also flow-disrupter subgroups. We also did not find any statistical difference in terms of major hemorrhagic complications (aneurysm rupture, subarachnoid hemorrhage and parenchymal hematoma) between patients under clopidogrel or ticagrelor. These results are reassuring regarding the safety of ticagrelor for neurointerventional procedures and

	Flow-disrupters		Flow-diverters			
	Clopidogrel (n=23)	Ticagrelor $(n=23)$	P-value	Clopidogrel (n = 17)	Ticagrelor $(n = 17)$	P-value
Thromboembolic complications						
Per-procedure <sup>a</sup>	1 (4.3%)	1(4.3%)	1	4 (23.5%)	1 (5.9%)	0.33
Territorial infarction <sup>b</sup>	0 (0%)	2 (8.6%)	0.49	1 (5.9%)	1 (5.9%)	1
>6 DWI(+) lesions <sup>b</sup>	8 (34.7%)	2 (8.6%)	0.07	8 (47.1%)	12 (70.6%)	0.30
Hemorragic complications						
Per-procedure <sup>a</sup>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Major bleeding (SHA or PH) <sup>b</sup>	0 (0%)	1 (4.3%)	1	1 (5.9%)	2 (11.8%)	1
Patients with new microbleed(s) <sup>b</sup>	13 (56.5%)	6 (26.1%)	0.07	10 (58.8%)	10 (58.8%)	1
Number of new microbleed(s) <sup>b</sup>	0 (0-0)	0(0-1)	0.42	0(0-1)	1 (0-1)	0.33
Groin puncture complication	0 (0%)	1 (4.3%)	1	0(0%)	0 (0%)	1
Morbidity at 1 month	1 (4.3%)	0 (0%)	1	3 (17.6%)	1 (5.9%)	0.60
Mortality at 1 month	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1

Note: Continuous variables are described as median and interquartile range and categorical variables as number and percentage. DWI: diffusion weighted imaging; SHA: subarachnoid hemorrhage; PH: parenchymal hematoma.

<sup>a</sup> Seen on angiography

<sup>b</sup> Seen on 24–48 hours follow-up MRI.

are in line with previous series [15,16]. In addition, it is important
to remind that in case of sac perforation during the procedure, the
use of platelet transfusion can reverse the effects of clopidogrel but
not of ticagrelor [25,26]. However, this disadvantage may be not
for long as recent pre-clinical studies demonstrated the efficacy of
specific ticagrelor antidote [27,28].

Interestingly, we found that patients receiving ticagrelor were 325 prone to a higher burden of new microbleeds (on 24-48 hour 326 follow-up MRI) compared to patients under clopidogrel (P=0.01). 327 This finding may be important and needs further exploration. 328 Indeed, even if microbleeds are most often asymptomatic, they 329 may, at times, cause neurological deficit and increase the risk of 330 hemorrhagic stroke, death and cognitive impairment [29]. Previous 331 studies have shown that the use of platelet aggregation inhibitors 332 is related to the presence of cerebral microbleeds [30] and that 333 the appearance of cerebral microbleeds after neurointerventional 334 procedures for acute ischemic stroke has been reported in around 335 20% of patients [31]. Our study found new microbleeds in 57.5% 336 of patients given ticagrelor and 40% of patients given clopidogrel 337 (P=0.12). However, the number of new microbleeds per patient 338 was very low and may be asymptomatic (median of 2 microbleeds 339 340 for patients under ticagrelor and median of 1 under clopidogrel).

Another concern is the efficacy of DAPT in preventing throm-341 boembolic complications. In line with another study comparing 342 ticagrelor with clopidogrel, [15] we found no difference in terms 343 of thromboembolic complications (either on angiography or MRI). 344 Despite non-significant results, per-procedure thromboembolic 345 events appeared to be lower with ticagrelor (5%) than clopi-346 dogrel (12.5%). This difference may be accounted for by the 347 absence of platelet function testing in our series. Indeed, Moore 348 et al. found thromboembolic complications in 4.2% of patients 349 given ticagrelor (patients tested as non-responders to clopido-350 grel) and 6% given clopidogrel (patients tested as responders to 351 clopidogrel) [15]. Moore et al. compared the safety and efficacy 352 of DAPT with ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in the best possible 353 conditions for clopidogrel efficacy (patients selected according 354 to clopidogrel level of resistance), whereas our evaluation was 355 done with the worst possible conditions (clopidogrel resistance 356 was not evaluated). Our study, as well as that of Moore et al, 357 was undoubtedly underpowered due to the low patient numbers, 358 359 limiting our ability to identify and draw conclusions of any differences between groups. However, the incidence of thromboembolic 360 events reported in these two studies may help determine appro-361 priate sample sizes for future prospective trials. Indeed, with a 362 thromboembolic complication difference between 2.2% (tested 363 patients) and 7.5% (untested patients), the number of subjects 364 necessary to detect a significant difference with ticagrelor will 365 require sample sizes of 100 (for untested patient) and 1100 (for 366 tested patient), assuming a type I error ( $\alpha$ ) of 0.05 and a type II 367 error ( $\beta$ ) of 0.20. Regardless, no significance difference in terms 368 of safety and efficacy between DAPT with ticagrelor or clopido-369 grel exists. Consequently, with systematic platelet function testing 370 prior to embolization, ticagrelor is a suitable alternative for patients 371 hyporesponsive to clopidogrel. Nonetheless, in institutions where 372 platelet function testing cannot be done routinely, the most prag-373 matic and safe option is to use ticagrelor instead of clopidogrel. 374

Approximately 50% of patients experience small DWI(+) lesions after embolization, [32] which is consistent with our results. Surprisingly, we observed a higher proportion of patients who had >6 small DWI(+) lesions under ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel (50% vs. 25%, P = 0.02). Because this result is likely related to a variety of non-identifiable operating conditions when collecting data, it is difficult to offer a clear explanation to this difference.

Currently, DAPT for complex embolization of unruptured aneurysms is no more limited to clopidogrel. Ticagrelor, which directly and reversibly antagonizes ADP binding to the P2Y12 receptor, appears to be as safe and efficacious as clopidogrel. Also, according to Sedat et al., prasugrel seems to be a safe alternative to clopidogrel for coiling and stenting procedures and can potentially decrease the clinical consequences of intraoperative and postoperative thromboembolic complications without increasing the rate of hemorrhagic events [33].

Our study has several limitations. First, the study's retrospective nature and small sample size reveals inherent biases related to such design, which we attempted to reduce by using a 1:1 matching-cohort design. Notably, we matched patients according to their type of treatment to ensure not comparing flow-diverters with flow-disrupters as they have very different devices with different rates of ischemic complications. Additionally, we performed a subgroup analysis according to types of treatment. Second, our procedures were completed under determined heparin doses; therefore, extrapolation of findings from our population to other heparin regimens may be limited. Larger studies are needed to confirm the clinical utility of ticagrelor in neurointerventions. Third, neither procedure length nor mean arterial pressure during the procedures was reported, which potentially could have been confounding variables. Fourth, as we do not test platelet resistance, we could not stratify patients given clopidogrel according to responsiveness level; however, as shown above, such conditions may have required a very large number of patients. Finally, taking ticagrelor twice a day may theoretically reduce its compliance compared to clopidogrel; yet, its rapid action mechanism provides a shorter loading delay (2 days vs. 5 days) that may compensate for this weakness.

### Conclusion

Ticagrelor appears to be safe and effective in replacing clopidogrel in DAPT settings for unruptured aneurysms. Future randomized controlled trials comparing ticagrelor and clopidogrel would be ethically and clinically sound.

## Funding

None

#### **Disclosure of interest**

LP consults for Microvention-Terumo, Balt, Penumbra and Neuravi-Cerenovus.

The other authors declare that they have no competing interest.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by grants from French Society for Childhood Cancer (SFCE), the Federative Research Structure No. 1 (SFR1) and the Hospital Clinical Research Program (PHRC) of France, the Vietnamese ministry of education and training. We acknowledge the support of the MRI facility UMS IRMaGe of Grenoble, and the precious help of Mr. Patrice Jousse† for artwork.

#### References

- [1] Molyneux AJ, Kerr RSC, Yu L-M, et al. International subarachnoid aneurysm trial (ISAT) of neurosurgical clipping versus endovascular coiling in 2143 patients with ruptured intracranial aneurysms: a randomised comparison of effects on survival, dependency, seizures, rebleeding, subgroups, and aneurysm occlusion. Lancet 2005;366:809–17.
- 2] Cognard C, Pierot L, Anxionnat R, et al. Clarity Study Group. Results of embolization used as the first treatment choice in a consecutive nonselected population of ruptured aneurysms: clinical results of the Clarity GDC study. Neurosurgery 2011;69:837–41.

5

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

302

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

#### S. Soize, C. Foussier, P.-F. Manceau, et al. / Journal of Neuroradiology xxx (2019) xxx-xxx

- [3] Pierot L, Spelle L, Vitry F. ATENA Investigators. Immediate clinical outcome of patients harboring unruptured intracranial aneurysms treated by endovascular approach: results of the ATENA study. Stroke 2008;39:2497–504.
- [4] Pierot L, Biondi A. Endovascular techniques for the management of wide-neck intracranial bifurcation aneurysms: a critical review of the literature. J Neuroradiol 2016;43:167–75.
- [5] Pierot L, Wakhloo AK. Endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms: current status. Stroke 2013;44:2046–54.
- [6] Soize S, Gawlitza M, Pierot L. Complications du traitement endovasculaire des anévrismes cérébraux : incidences, analyses en sous-groupes et facteurs de risques issus de l'étude ARETA. J Neuroradiol 2018;45:70.
- [7] Faught RWF, Satti SR, Hurst RW, et al. Heterogeneous practice patterns regarding antiplatelet medications for neuroendovascular stenting in the USA: a multicenter survey. J Neurointerv Surg 2014;6:774–9.
- [8] Fifi JT, Brockington Č, Narang J, et al. Clopidogrel resistance is associated with thromboembolic complications in patients undergoing neurovascular stenting. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2013;34:716–20.
- [9] Tan LA, Keigher KM, Munich SA, et al. Thromboembolic complications with Pipeline Embolization Device placement: impact of procedure time, number of stents and pre-procedure P2Y12 reaction unit (PRU) value. J NeuroInterv Surg 2015;7:217-21.
- [10] Mehta SR, Tanguay J-F, Eikelboom JW, et al. Double-dose versus standard-dose clopidogrel and high-dose versus low-dose aspirin in individuals undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for acute coronary syndromes (CURRENT-OASIS 7): a randomised factorial trial. Lancet 2010;376:1233–43.
- 466 [11] Storey RF, Angiolillo DJ, Patil SB, et al. Inhibitory Effects of ticagrelor com 467 pared with clopidogrel on platelet function in patients with acute coronary
   468 syndromes: the PLATO (PLATelet inhibition and patient outcomes) PLATELET
   469 substudy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:1456–62.
- In the second sec
- [13] Skukalek SL, Winkler AM, Kang J, et al. Effect of antiplatelet therapy and platelet
   function testing on hemorrhagic and thrombotic complications in patients with
   cerebral aneurysms treated with the pipeline embolization device: a review
   and meta-analysis. J Neurointerv Surg 2016;8:58–65.
- 480 [14] Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, et al. Ticagrelor versus Clopidogrel in Patients
   481 with Acute Coronary Syndromes. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1045–57.
- 482 [15] Moore JM, Adeeb N, Shallwani H, et al. A multicenter cohort comparison study of
  483 the safety, efficacy, and cost of ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel in aneurysm
  484 flow diverter procedures. Neurosurgery 2017;81:665–71.
- [16] Narata AP, Amelot A, Bibi R, et al. Dual antiplatelet therapy combining aspirin and ticagrelor for intracranial stenting procedures: a retrospective single center study of 154 consecutive patients with unruptured aneurysms. Neurosurgery 2018.
- 489 [17] von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The strengthening the reporting of obser 490 vational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol 2008;61:344–9.

[18] Adams HP, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment. Stroke 1993;24:35–41.

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

- [19] Kang D-H, Kim BM, Kim DJ, et al. MR-DWI-positive lesions and symptomatic ischemic complications after coiling of unruptured intracranial aneurysms. Stroke 2013;44:789–91.
- [20] Gregoire SM, Chaudhary UJ, Brown MM, et al. The Microbleed Anatomical Rating Scale (MARS): reliability of a tool to map brain microbleeds. Neurology 2009;73:1759–66.
- [21] Pierot L, Spelle L, Berge J, et al. Feasibility, complications, morbidity, and mortality results at 6 months for aneurysm treatment with the flow re-direction endoluminal device: report of SAFE study. J Neurointerv Surg 2018;10:765–70, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/neurintsurg-2017-013559 [Epub 2018 Jan 19].
- [22] Pierot L, Moret J, Barreau X, et al. Safety and efficacy of aneurysm treatment with WEB in the cumulative population of three prospective, multicenter series. J Neurointerv Surg 2018;10:553–9.
- [23] Gawlitza M, Soize S, Januel AC, et al. Treatment of recurrent aneurysms using the Woven EndoBridge (WEB): anatomical and clinical results. J Neurointerv Surg 2018;10:629–33.
- [24] Pierot L, Gubucz I, Buhk JH, et al. Safety and efficacy of aneurysm treatment with the WEB: results of the WEBCAST 2 study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2017;38:1151–5.
- [25] Godier A, Taylor G, Gaussem P. Inefficacy of platelet transfusion to reverse ticagrelor. N Engl J Med 2015;372:196–7.
- [26] Teng R, Carlson GF, Nylander S, Andersson TL. Effects of autologous platelet transfusion on platelet inhibition in ticagrelor-treated and clopidogrel-treated subjects. J Thromb Haemost 2016;14:2342–52.
- [27] Buchanan A, Newton P, Pehrsson S, et al. Structural and functional characterization of a specific antidote for ticagrelor. Blood 2015;125:3484–90.
- [28] Pehrsson S, Johansson KJ, Janefeldt A, et al. Hemostatic effects of the ticagrelor antidote MEDI2452 in pigs treated with ticagrelor on a background of aspirin. J Thromb Haemost 2017;15:1213–22.
- [29] Charidimou A, Shams S, Romero JR, et al. Clinical significance of cerebral microbleeds on MRI: a comprehensive meta-analysis of risk of intracerebral hemorrhage, ischemic stroke, mortality, and dementia in cohort studies (v1). Int J Stroke 2018;13:454–68.
- [30] Vernooij MW, Haag MDM, van der Lugt A, et al. Use of antithrombotic drugs and the presence of cerebral microbleeds: the Rotterdam Scan Study. Arch Neurol 2009;66:714–20.
- [31] Shi Z-S, Duckwiler GR, Jahan R, et al. New Cerebral Microbleeds After Mechanical Thrombectomy for Large-Vessel Occlusion Strokes. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e2180.
- [32] Bond KM, Brinjikji W, Murad MH, et al. Diffusion-weighted imaging-detected ischemic lesions following endovascular treatment of cerebral aneurysms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2017;38:304–9.
- [33] Sedat J, Chau Y, Gaudart J, et al. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in stent-assisted coil embolization of unruptured intracranial aneurysms. Interv Neuroradiol 2017;23:52–9.