

SGI0, a relative of Salmonella genomic islands SGI1 and SGI2, lacking a class 1 integron, found in Proteus mirabilis

Claire de Curraize, Eliane Siebor, Catherine Neuwirth, Ruth M. Hall

To cite this version:

Claire de Curraize, Eliane Siebor, Catherine Neuwirth, Ruth M. Hall. SGI0, a relative of Salmonella genomic islands SGI1 and SGI2, lacking a class 1 integron, found in Proteus mirabilis. Plasmid, 2020, 107, pp.102453 -. 10.1016/j.plasmid.2019.102453 . hal-03488580

HAL Id: hal-03488580 <https://hal.science/hal-03488580v1>

Submitted on 21 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

SGI0, a relative of *Salmonella* **genomic islands SGI1 and SGI2, lacking a class 1 integron, found in** *Proteus mirabilis*

Claire de Curraize^{1,2,3*}, Eliane Siebor^{1,2#}, Catherine Neuwirth^{1,2} and Ruth M. Hall³

¹Bacteriology Department, University Hospital Dijon, PTB, BP 37013, 21070, Dijon Cedex, France.

²UMR 6249, Chrono-Environnement, PTB, BP 37013, 21070, Dijon Cedex, France

³ School of Life and Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006,

Australia. Electronic address: ruth.hall@sydney.edu.au

* Corresponding author:

E-mail: claire.goulard-de-curraize@chu-dijon.fr (CC)

Address: Laboratoire de bactériologie, Plateau technique de Biologie

BP 37013

21070 DIJON

FRANCE

Tel: +33-3-80-29-33-01; Fax: +33-3-80-29-32-80

These authors have contributed to this work equally.

1 **Abstract**

21 **Keywords**

22 *Salmonella* genomic island; Integrative mobilizable element; IncC plasmid

 $\frac{1}{2}$

24 **Abbreviations**

- AGI1: *Acinetobacter* Genomic Island 1; IME: Integrative Mobilizable Element; Orf:
- open reading frame; PGI1: *Proteus* Genomic Island 1; SNP: Single nucleotide
- polymorphism; SGI: *Salmonella* Genomic Island

1. Introduction

Salmonella genomic island 1 (SGI1) which confers ampicillin, chloramphenicol/florfenicol, streptomycin/spectinomycin, sulphonamides and tetracycline resistances, was first reported in the epidemic multidrug resistant *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium DT104 clone (Boyd et al., 2000; Threlfall, 2000). SGI1 is an integrative mobilizable element (IME), integrated at the 3'-end of the *trmE* gene (formerly called *thdF*) (Douard et al., 2010; Doublet et 37 al., 2005). SGI1 is made up of a 27.4 kb backbone containing 28 open reading frames (orfs) and a 15 kb complex class 1 integron, designated In104 that includes five resistance genes (Boyd et al., 2001; Levings et al., 2005). In104 is inserted upstream of the resolvase gene (*resG*, formerly S027) and flanked by a 5 bp duplication.

Since the first report of SGI1, many variants (SGI1-A to SGI1-Z, etc) have 42 been reported (Hall, 2010; Lei et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2015). They have identical or 43 nearly identical (>99.78% nucleotide identity) backbones and the variation usually 44 results from changes in the class 1 integron that affect the resistance gene content (Bie et al., 2018; de Curraize et al., 2018; Harmer et al., 2016; Lei et al., 2015, 2014; Siebor and Neuwirth, 2013; Xiao et al., 2019)*.* Most SGI1 variants have been found in *S. enterica* serovars or in *Proteus mirabilis* but recently SGI1 variants were also reported in *Morganella morganii* (SGI1-L) (Schultz et al., 2017), in *Providencia stuartii* (SGI1-W) (Soliman et al., 2019) and in *Escherichia coli* (SGI1-B) (Cummins et al., 2019).

However, not all IMEs found in *trmE* are directly derived from SGI1. SGI2, reported in *S.* Emek is related to SGI1 and was initially called SGI1-J (Levings et al., 2005). However, it is an independently derived genomic island (Levings et al., 2008).

There is 0.53% nucleotide difference between the SGI1 and SGI2 backbones and the integron in SGI2 is located in S023 instead of being upstream of *resG* (Hamidian et al., 2015a; Levings et al., 2008; Wilson and Hall, 2010). SGI1 and SGI2 are specifically mobilized by IncA and IncC (A/C) plasmids (Douard et al., 2010; Doublet et al., 2005; Harmer et al., 2016; Siebor et al., 2016). The transcriptional activator complex AcaCD of A/C plasmids promotes the excision of SGI1 and mobilization of SGI1 thanks to the presence of AcaCD binding sites on SGI1 (Carraro et al., 2014; Kiss et al., 2015).

Further IMEs found in *trmE* that include regions related to parts of the SGI1 backbone and carry a class 1 integron, have been reported. The backbone of the 64 element called SGI1-V has some differences in the gene content of its backbone in comparison to SGI1, though it was reported as a variant of SGI1 (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2011). More distantly related IME are *Proteus* genomic island 1 (PGI1) (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2014), PGI2 (Lei et al., 2018), and *Acinetobacter* genomic island 1 (AGI1)(Hamidian et al., 2015b). These IMEs are also not confined to the species they were found in. PGI1 has been found in *P. mirabilis* and *S. enterica* (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2014) and IME related to AGI1, first found in *Acinetobacter baumannii*, have also been detected in *Enterobacter cloacae*, *S. enterica* and *Vibrio cholerae* (Siebor et al., 2019).

All of the IMEs described above that target *trmE* harbour a class 1 integron that contains genes conferring resistance to various antibiotics including critical 75 antibiotics: *bla*NDM-1 (carbapenem resistance) (Girlich et al., 2015), *bla*VEB-6 (Siebor 76 and Neuwirth, 2011) and *blactx-M-15* (de Curraize et al., 2018) (resistance to broad spectrum cephalosporins) or *rmtC* (resistance to aminoglycosides) (Wilson and Hall, 2010). Though the location of the integron is the same in all genuine SGI1 variants, it

is not the same in the other types indicating that an integron has been acquired 80 independently by each of them.

Here, we report an IME found in *trmE* in a *P. mirabilis* isolate that consists of a backbone closely related to the SGI1 and SGI2 backbones but lacks a class 1 83 integron. The original classification of SGI1-V as an SGI1 variant is also re-assessed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Strain

A multiply antibiotic resistant *P. mirabilis*, *Pm*1LENAR was recovered in the course of continuous surveillance of *P. mirabilis* isolates for SGI1 or PGI1 from clinical samples in our French hospital (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2013). This isolate was identified using the ApiE 20E system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Étoile, France) and the antibiotic susceptibility tests were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the French Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (Comité de l'Antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie CASFM 2013) https://www.sfm-microbiologie.org/.

2.2 Screen for IME related to SGI1 and PGI1

DNA from *P. mirabilis* isolates was extracted by heat lysis of cells and screened by PCR for the presence of the antitoxin gene, *sgiA* (S026) (Huguet et al., 98 2016), which is present in both SGI1 and PGI1, using primers (Table S1) and conditions previously reported (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2011).

2.3 Genome Sequencing and assembly

101 Bacterial DNA was extracted with the Gentra[®] Puregene[®] purification kit 102 (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) and was quantified using the Qubit[®] system (Life Technologies, Paysey, United Kingdom). The library was prepared with the 104 Nextera[®]XT kit (Illumina, Eindhoven, Netherlands) following the manufacturer's instructions. The sample was multiplexed using Illumina-supplied barcodes and paired-end sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq with the 300 cycle 107 (MiSeq[®] Reagent Kit v2) (Illumina, Eindhoven, Netherlands). The reads (length 300 bp) of the genome of *Pm*1LENAR were assembled using SPAdes (Bankevich et al., 2012) via the PATRIC web-based platform https://www.patricbrc.org. Contigs were analyzed with the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). PCR and Sanger sequencing were performed to check the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) not present in SGI1 (KU499918) (Siebor et al., 2016). This sequence was chosen for comparison because the original sequence (AF261825) (Boyd et al., 2001) differs slightly from most recent SGI1 sequences.

The short reads from Leekitcharoenphon's study (Leekitcharoenphon et al., 2016) were retrieved and assembled using SPAdes (Bankevich et al., 2012) from the PATRIC web-based platform https://www.patricbrc.org.

2.4 Sequence analysis

BLAST, Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) and Artemis Comparison Tool (Carver et al., 2005) were used to compare nucleic acid (na) and amino acid (aa) sequences. SGI2 (AY963803) (Hamidian et al., 2015a) and SGI1-V (HQ888851) (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2011) sequences were used for alignment analysis.

2.5 Plasmid transfer

The IncC plasmid, pPV835TEM24 (GenBank accession number MN148427), originally from a *Proteus vulgaris* strain, Pv835, was used to induce IME excision. It harbours a *floR* gene encoding for chloramphenicol and florfenicol resistance, an *aacA4* gene encoding kanamycin, tobramycin, netilmicin and amikacin resistances, a *dfrA1* gene encoding trimethoprim resistance, an *aadA1* encoding streptomycin, spectinomycin resistances and a *sul1* encoding sulphonamides resistance. The *Enterobacter aerogenes* BOL (EABOL) strain harbouring the IncC plasmid pPV835TEM24 (donor) was mated with the *Proteus mirabilis Pm*1LENAR which 134 had an IME (recipient) in a 4:1 ratio at the end of the exponential growth phase in Luria Bertani broth at 37°C as previously described (Siebor et al., 2016). After overnight incubation at 37°C without shaking of the mating broth, 10-fold serial dilutions of the bacterial cells were plated on Drigalski agar media (ThermoFisher Scientific®) (which inhibits the swarming of *P. mirabilis)*, supplemented with 15 mg/L of colistin to select for *P. mirabilis* and 50 mg/L of chloramphenicol to select for pPV835TEM24 and were incubated 48h at 37°C. The transconjugants that had 141 acquired the pPV835TEM24 plasmid were recovered on this media.

2.6 Loss of the IME in cells

IMEs and IncC plasmids are unstable together (Harmer et al., 2016; Huguet et al., 2016). Therefore, DNA was extracted from transconjugants grown on media 145 selecting for the retention of the IncC plasmid (media with 15 mg/L of colistin and 50 146 mg/L of chloramphenicol), and PCR assays were performed to check whether they have lost the IME. Primers used are listed in Table S1. The presence or absence of the IME was screened by PCR targeting the antitoxin gene *sgiA* (S026), the left and right junctions between the IME and the *trmE* gene in the chromosome. To confirm the absence of the IME in the *trmE* gene, a PCR of the intact *trmE* (*attB*) was also performed. When loss of the IME from the chromosome was detected, colonies were subcultured on the same selective media. DNA was extracted and screened by a two-step DNA amplification of the *attP* site to ensure no extra-chromosomal circular form of the IME remained in cells. A negative and a positive control were run for all PCR

- assays. The negative and positive controls were DNA extracts of *P. mirabilis* with or 156 without an SGI1.
- *2.7 Nucleotide sequence accession numbers*
- The nucleotide sequences of the complete SGI0 and the pPV835TEM24 plasmid were assigned the GenBank accession numbers MH734355 and MN148427, respectively. The Whole Genome Shotgun project for the *Pm*1LENAR strain has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession VIYO00000000. The version described in this paper is version VIYO01000000.
-
- **3. Results**
- *3.1 Characteristics of the P. mirabilis isolate*, *Pm1LENAR*
- The multiply antibiotic resistant *P. mirabilis*, *Pm*1LENAR, was isolated in 2013 in
- 167 France, from stool of a patient **hospitalized in the haematology intensive care unit**.
- 168 This isolate had an unusual antibiotic resistance profile; it was resistant to amoxicillin
- 169 (32 mg/L), amoxicillin + clavulanic acid (32 mg/L), cefotaxime (cephalosporinase
- producer) (32 mg/L), kanamycin (256 mg/L), streptomycin (256 mg/L),
- spectinomycin (256 mg/L) and trimethoprim (32 mg/L). *P. mirabilis* is intrinsically
- 172 resistant to tetracyclines (256 mg/L) and colistin (22 mg/L) (Magalhães et al., 1998;
- Sud and Feingold, 1970). As the SGI1/PGI1 antitoxin gene, *sgiA* (S026) was detected
- by PCR; the genome of *Pm*1LENAR was sequenced and assembled. An IME located,
- at the 3'-end of the chromosomal *trmE* gene and related to SGI1, was found in one
- 176 contig $\frac{\text{(coverage 51X)}{\text{(MHz)}}}{\text{(MHz)}}$ (MH734355). However, an integron carrying resistance genes
- was not contained in this structure and for this reason; we have called it, SGI0.

3.2 SGI0, a new IME in trmE,

SGI0 was 27.3 kb and contained 28 orfs closely related to those in the backbones of SGI1 and SGI2. However, it did not harbour a class 1 integron and it was not interrupted by other elements. SGI0 shared 97.59% (26123/26767) nucleotide identity with the SGI1 backbone and 97.72% (26158/26767) nucleotide identity with 202 the SGI2 backbone. Most of the backbone shared $>98.5\%$ identity with SGI1 and

- 203 SGI2 but the nucleotide identity in different segments, ranged from 74.5% to 99.5%
- 204 for SGI1 and from 83.5% to 99.4% for SGI2 clearly indicating a mosaic structure
- 205 ($\overline{Fig. 1}$).
- 206

Figure 1: Comparison of SGI0 backbone with SGI1 and SGI2 backbones

The percentages of nucleotide identity are indicated between the backbones. The following colours were used for characterizing the orfs: chromosomal genes (yellow), DNA recombination (blue), conjugal transfer (green), regulatory genes (orange), toxin/antitoxin system (pink), helicase (red), resolvase (purple), 211 unknown function (grey). The blue hooked arrows indicate the AcaCD binding sites, the vertical green arrows indicate the *oriT* site, the vertical red arrows indicates the position of the class 1 integron. The thick black vertical bars indicate the attachment sites, *attL* and *attR* of SGI.

SgaC, MpsA and SgiT of SGI0 shared more aa identities with SGI1 than with SGI2, whereas SgaD, S008, TraG, S016, S017 and S044 shared more identity with SGI2. SNPs were distributed throughout the backbone but there were also some spots with more SNPs such as in a region between *sgaD* gene (S007) and S009 and also in S024 (Table 1) (Fig. 1). Some of SNPs result in variation in the length of the predicted polypeptide sequence. For instance, S014 and S021 of SGI0 were 21 aa and 42 aa longer respectively than for SGI1 and SGI2. S017 and S023 were 72 aa and 2 aa shorter respectively due to the presence of a point-nonsense mutation. S024 shared only 85.65% and 86.12% aa identity with S024 from SGI1 and SGI2, respectively. 222 All of these orfs have **unknown** function. All of the essential known proteins were conserved. Hence, the SGI0 integrase shared 99.75% aa identity 99.10% nucleotide 224 identity with SGI1 and SGI2 ones. The toxin/antitoxin system, SgiAT (Huguet et al., 2016), was also very conserved > 99.5% aa identical to SGI1 and SGI2. Moreover, 226 the recently described mobilization proteins, MpsB/MpsA (Kiss et al., 2019), were also very conserved > 99% aa identical to SGI1 and SGI2. Interestingly, the DNA segment immediately after the *oriT* in SGI0 shared only a 83.5% percentage of nucleotide identity with SGI1 and SGI2. The *oriT* region seems to be a hot spot for 230 recombination events as previously reported for plasmids (Llosa et al., 1994).

a^{a} orf gene name bSGI0 (aa length) cSGI1 identity (%) ^bSGI1 (aa length) b_{number} of aa changed cSGI2 identity (%) b_{SGI2} (aa length) b_{number} of aa changed cSGI-V identity (%) ^bSGI-V (aa length) b_{number} of aa changed S001 *integrase* 405 99.75 405 1 99.75 405 1 99.51 405 2 S002 *excisionase* 122 99.18 122 1 99.18 122 1 99.18 122 1 S003 *replicase* 317 99.37 317 2 99.37 317 2 99.05 317 3 S004 96 98.96 96 1 98.96 96 1 98.96 96 1 S005 *traN* 919 99.56 919 4 99.56 919 4 99.35 919 6 S006 *sgaC* 177 100.00 177 0 99.44 177 1 99.44 177 1 S007 *sgaD* 203 91.63 203 17 93.60 203 13 92.12 203 16 S008 73 87.67 73 9 97.26 73 2 86.30 73 10 S009 96 96.88 96 3 95.83 96 4 95.83 96 4 S010 84 98.81 84 1 98.81 84 1 97.62 84 2 S011 *traG* 1134 98.68 1134 15 99.21 1134 9 99.03 1134 11 S012 *traH* 474 98.95 474 5 98.52 474 7 98.10 474 9 S013 285 98.60 285 4 98.60 285 4 96.14 285 11 S014 152 90.84 131 12 90.84 131 12 insertion in 104 - S015 89 98.88 89 1 98.88 89 1 98.88 89 1 S016 61 95.08 61 3 96.72 61 2 96.72 61 2 S017 41 92.68 84 6 95.12 84 4 95.12 84 4 S018 83 92.77 105 8 92.77 105 8 92.77 105 8 S019 *mpsB* 98 100.00 98 0 100.00 98 0 98.98 98 1 S020 *mpsA* 321 99.69 321 1 99.38 321 2 97.51 321 8 S021 153 99.10 111 1 99.10 111 1 98.69 153 2 S022 91 72.53 91 25 72.53 91 25 100.00 91 0 S 023 \vert 544 \vert 96.14 546 21 insertion in - - absent - -S024 642 85.65 641 91 86.12 641 89 absent - -S025 *sgiT* 847 99.76 847 2 99.65 847 3 90.20 847 83 S026 *sgiA* 329 100.00 329 0 100.00 329 0 99.39 194 1 S027 *resG* 194 98.97 194 2 98.97 194 2 100.00 209 0 S044 209 98.56 209 3 99.04 209 2 truncated - -

231**Table 1: Comparison of the 28 orfs of SGI0 with those of SGI1, SGI2 and SGI-V**

232

233 arrf: open reading frame; $\frac{b}{a}$ aa: amino acid; $\frac{c}{c}$ Percentages represent nucleotide identity

3.3 Loss of SGI0

As previously reported for SGI1, SGI0 also harboured the five AcaCD binding sites (Fig. 1). The sequences were same as in SGI1, except the one upstream of the *xis* gene, which had one mutation. The presence of these AcaCD binding sites and the high percentage of identity of the SGI0 integrase with SGI1, led us to test whether SGI0 could be excised when an IncC plasmid was present. Hence, the IncC plasmid pPV835TEM24 was introduced into *Pm*1LENAR harbouring SGI0. Ten colonies were detected on the selective media for the 241 retention of the plasmid. All of them kept the IncC plasmid. PCR screening revealed that a 242 few colonies kept both the IncC plasmid and SGI0 but most of them had lost SGI0 as the *sgiA* gene, as well as the left and right junctions of SGI1 in *trmE* were not detected. In addition, the PCR targeting the intact *trmE* gene (*attB* site) yielded a product of the correct 245 size confirming that SGI0 was not present in the chromosome. The complete loss of SGI0 246 from these cells was confirmed by the fact that the **extra-chromosomal** form of SGI0 was not 247 detected by PCR. As SGI0 did not harbour a resistance gene, transfer of SGI0 into another 248 bacterium was not looked for.

3.4 SGI1-V is also an independently derived SGI

The backbone of SGI1-V reported previously (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2011) has some significant differences from the SGI1 backbone (Fig. 2). Therefore, SGI1-V was re-253 examined. In addition to the deletion and the insertion in SGI1-V relative to SGI1 (Fig. 2), in 254 the backbone regions shared with the SGI1, the SGI1-V backbone was on average 97.25% (22671/23312 nucleotides) identical to that of SGI1. There are also polymorphisms in the 256 SGI1-V backbone relative to SGI0 and SGI2. It shared 96.48% (22499/23320) and 97.03% (22620/23312) nucleotide identity, respectively. As for SGI2, SgaD, TraG, S016, S017, S022, ResG shared more aa identities with SGI0 than with SGI1 (Table 1).

- 259 In addition, the 5 bp duplication flanking the SGI1-V integron (AAATT) is different
- 260 to that flanking SGI1 (ACTTG), indicating that the class 1 integron was inserted in a
- 261 different position to In104 in SGI1 and hence was acquired in an independent event. The
- 262 backbone differences and the different position of the class 1 integron indicate that, like SGI2
- 263 (Wilson and Hall, 2010), SGI1-V is an independently derived IME. Therefore, we have re-
- 264 named it SGI-V.

Figure 2: Comparison of SGI-V backbone with SGI0 and SGI1 backbones

The percentages of nucleotide identity are indicated between the backbones. The following colours were used for characterizing the orfs: chromosomal genes (yellow), DNA recombination (blue), conjugal transfer (green), regulatory genes (orange), toxin/antitoxin system (pink), helicase 270 (red), resolvase (purple), unknown function (grey). The blue hooked arrows indicate the AcaCD binding sites, the vertical green arrows indicate the *oriT* site, the vertical red arrows indicates the position of the class 1 integron. The thick black vertical bars indicate the attachment sites, *attL* and *attR*of SGI.

4. Discussion

This study describes an IME, SGI0, in a *P. mirabilis* isolate that is related to the 276 backbones of SGI1 and SGI2. SGI0 shared varying levels of identity with parts of the SGI1 277 or SGI2 backbones. It also shared regions with the SGI-V backbone. Hence, SGI0 is a mosaic 278 that includes segments shared with the backbones of three SGIs. All of the SGI types that include a class 1 integron, namely SGI1, SGI2 and SGI-V, acquired the integron 280 independently. SGIO likely represents a group of integron-free IMEs that share a common ancestor and can be the forerunners of an SGI type that carries an integron.

SGI-like structures without any resistance gene have been reported in previous studies. The first was reported in 2008 in a susceptible *S.* Typhimurium DT104 that came from Health Protection Agency, in United Kingdom. In this isolate, the presence of a SGI-285 like structure was found using an SGI1-fingerprinting assay but the class 1 integron was not 286 detected (Amar et al., 2008). However, no sequence is available. A later study detected the presence of an SGI-like element without a class 1 integron in a *P. mirabilis* isolate, which was collected from a dog in 2013, in France (Schultz et al., 2015). Only 3.9 kb of this structure was sequenced (some parts of S007 (*sgaD*), S008 and S011) (GenBank accession number KR296941). Interestingly, these parts are identical to the corresponding parts of 291 SGI0, reported here and it might be identical to SGI0. The isolate harbouring this SGI, came 292 from a different area (Pyrénées orientales) than ours (Bourgogne). However, like *Pm*1LENAR, this isolate was also resistant to amoxicillin, amoxicillin + clavulanic acid, kanamycin, spectinomycin, trimethoprim. It would be interesting to completely sequence it to determine whether it belongs to the same clone as *Pm*1LENAR.

A third study that analyzed the genomes of *S.* Typhimurium DT104 from worldwide 297 isolates collected from 1969 to 2012, reported a few isolates harbouring SGI1 but without any resistance genes (Leekitcharoenphon et al., 2016). However, we retrieved, assembled, and analyzed the short reads and found that all genomes harbouring an SGI1 derivative had at least part of a class 1 integron. Hence, they do not resemble SGI0.

301 Finally, the presence of AcaCD binding sites and the loss of SGI0 in presence of an IncC plasmid suggest that SGI0 might be mobilized by A/C plasmids as the other IMEs in *trmE* (Doublet et al., 2005; Harmer et al., 2016; Siebor et al., 2016). SGI0 and further related IMEs in *trmE* without class 1 integron might be also prevalent.

5. Conclusion

SGI0 is a new IME in *trmE* closely related to the backbones of SGI1, SGI2 and parts 308 of SGI-V but characterized by the lack of class 1 integron. All of these SGIs backbones are likely to be derived from an original common ancestor, which has diverged overtime and the 310 lineages have repeatedly recombined. Further **IME like SGI0** without class 1 integron might 311 be circulating but have not yet been detected.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

CC was supported by a grant from the Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, French state.

Author contributions

CC conceptualized, performed loss assay, analysed data and wrote the manuscript. ES conceptualized and performed the sequencing and assembly of the genomes and reviewed the preparation of manuscript. CN conceptualized, supervised, revised and reviewed the

preparation of manuscript. RMH analysed data and supervised, revised and reviewed the

- preparation of manuscript.
-
- **References**
- Amar, C.F.L., Arnold, C., Bankier, A., Dear, P.H., Guerra, B., Hopkins, K.L., Liebana, E., Mevius, D.J., Threlfall, E.J., 2008. Real-time PCRs and fingerprinting assays for the detection and characterization of *Salmonella* Genomic Island-1 encoding multidrug resistance: application to 445 European isolates of *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli*, *Shigella*, and *Proteus*. Microb. Drug Resist. 14, 79–92. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2008.0812
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A.A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A.S., Lesin, V.M., Nikolenko, S.I., Pham, S., Prjibelski, A.D., Pyshkin, A.V., Sirotkin, A.V., Vyahhi, N., Tesler, G., Alekseyev, M.A., Pevzner, P.A., 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J. Comput. Biol. 19, 455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021
- Bie, L., Fang, M., Li, Z., Wang, M., Xu, H., 2018. Identification and characterization of new resistance-conferring SGI1s (*Salmonella* Genomic Island 1) in *Proteus mirabilis*. Front Microbiol 9, 3172. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03172
- Boyd, D., Peters, G.A., Cloeckaert, A., Boumedine, K.S., Chaslus-Dancla, E., Imberechts, H., Mulvey, M.R., 2001. Complete nucleotide sequence of a 43-kilobase genomic island associated with the multidrug resistance region of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium DT104 and its identification in phage type DT120 and serovar Agona. J. Bacteriol. 183, 5725–5732. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.19.5725-5732.2001
- Boyd, D.A., Peters, G.A., Ng, L., Mulvey, M.R., 2000. Partial characterization of a genomic island associated with the multidrug resistance region of *Salmonella enterica* Typhymurium DT104. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 189, 285–291.
- Carraro, N., Matteau, D., Luo, P., Rodrigue, S., Burrus, V., 2014. The master activator of IncA/C conjugative plasmids stimulates genomic islands and multidrug resistance dissemination. PLoS Genet. 10, e1004714. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004714
- Carver, T.J., Rutherford, K.M., Berriman, M., Rajandream, M.-A., Barrell, B.G., Parkhill, J., 2005. ACT: the Artemis Comparison Tool. Bioinformatics 21, 3422–3423. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti553
- Charles, I.G., Harford, S., Brookfield, J.F., Shaw, W.V., 1985. Resistance to chloramphenicol in *Proteus mirabilis* by expression of a chromosomal gene for chloramphenicol acetyltransferase. J. Bacteriol. 164, 114–122.
- Cummins, M.L., Chowdhury, P.R., Marenda, M.S., Browning, G.F., Djordjevic, S.P., 2019. *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1B variant found in a Sequence Type 117 avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Isolate. mSphere 4, e00169-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00169-19
- de Curraize, C., Neuwirth, C., Bador, J., Chapuis, A., Amoureux, L., Siebor, E., 2018. Two 364 new *Salmonella* genomic islands 1 from *Proteus mirabilis* and description of *blactx*-M-15 on a variant (SGI1-K7). J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 73, 1804–1807. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky108
- Douard, G., Praud, K., Cloeckaert, A., Doublet, B., 2010. The *Salmonella* genomic island 1 is specifically mobilized in *trans* by the IncA/C multidrug resistance plasmid family. PLoS ONE 5, e15302. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015302
- Doublet, B., Boyd, D., Mulvey, M.R., Cloeckaert, A., 2005. The *Salmonella* genomic island 1 is an integrative mobilizable element. Molecular Microbiology 55, 1911–1924. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04520.x
- 373 Girlich, D., Dortet, L., Poirel, L., Nordmann, P., 2015. Integration of the *bla*NDM-1 carbapenemase gene into *Proteus* genomic island 1 (PGI1-*Pm*PEL) in a *Proteus mirabilis* clinical isolate. J Antimicrob Chemother 70, 98–102. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku371
- Hall, R.M., 2010. *Salmonella* genomic islands and antibiotic resistance in *Salmonella enterica*. Future Microbiol 5, 1525–1538. https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.10.122
- Hamidian, M., Holt, K.E., Hall, R.M., 2015a. The complete sequence of *Salmonella* genomic island SGI2. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 70, 617–619. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku407
- Hamidian, M., Holt, K.E., Hall, R.M., 2015b. Genomic resistance island AGI1 carrying a complex class 1 integron in a multiply antibiotic-resistant ST25 *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolate. J Antimicrob Chemother 70, 2519–2523. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkv137
- Harmer, C.J., Hamidian, M., Ambrose, S.J., Hall, R.M., 2016. Destabilization of IncA and IncC plasmids by SGI1 and SGI2 type *Salmonella* genomic islands. Plasmid 87–88, 51–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2016.09.003
- Huguet, K.T., Gonnet, M., Doublet, B., Cloeckaert, A., 2016. A toxin antitoxin system promotes the maintenance of the IncA/C-mobilizable *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1. Scientific Reports 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32285
- Kang, M.-S., Besser, T.E., Call, D.R., 2006. Variability in the region downstream of the *bla*CMY-2 beta-lactamase gene in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* plasmids. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50, 1590–1593. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.4.1590-1593.2006
- Kiss, J., Papp, P.P., Szabó, M., Farkas, T., Murányi, G., Szakállas, E., Olasz, F., 2015. The master regulator of IncA/C plasmids is recognized by the *Salmonella* Genomic island SGI1 as a signal for excision and conjugal transfer. Nucl. Acids Res. 43, 8735–8745. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv758
- Kiss, J., Szabó, M., Hegyi, A., Douard, G., Praud, K., Nagy, I., Olasz, F., Cloeckaert, A., Doublet, B., 2019. Identification and characterization of *oriT* and two mobilization genes required for conjugative transfer of *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1. Front Microbiol 10, 457. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00457
- Leekitcharoenphon, P., Hendriksen, R.S., Hello, S.L., Weill, F.-X., Baggesen, D.L., Jun, S.- R., Ussery, D.W., Lund, O., Crook, D.W., Wilson, D.J., Aarestrup, F.M., 2016. Global genomic epidemiology of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium DT104. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, 2516–2526. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03821-15
- Lei, C.-W., Chen, Y.-P., Kong, L.-H., Zeng, J.-X., Wang, Y.-X., Zhang, A.-Y., Wang, H.-N., 2018. PGI2 is a novel SGI1-relative multidrug-resistant genomic island characterized in *Proteus mirabilis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00019-18
- Lei, C.-W., Zhang, A.-Y., Liu, B.-H., Wang, H.-N., Guan, Z.-B., Xu, C.-W., Xia, Q.-Q., Cheng, H., Zhang, D.-D., 2014. Molecular characteristics of *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1 in *Proteus mirabilis* isolates from poultry farms in China. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58, 7570–7572. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03992-14
- Lei, C.-W., Zhang, A.-Y., Liu, B.-H., Wang, H.-N., Yang, L.-Q., Guan, Z.-B., Xu, C.-W., Zhang, D.-D., Yang, Y.-Q., 2015. Two novel *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1 variants in *Proteus mirabilis* isolates from swine farms in China. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59, 4336–4338. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00120-15
- Levings, R.S., Djordjevic, S.P., Hall, R.M., 2008. SGI2, a relative of *Salmonella* Genomic Island SGI1 with an independent origin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52, 2529– 2537. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00189-08
- Levings, R.S., Lightfoot, D., Partridge, S.R., Hall, R.M., Djordjevic, S.P., 2005. The Genomic Island SGI1, containing the multiple antibiotic resistance Region of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium DT104 or variants of it, is widely distributed in other *S. enterica* serovars. J Bacteriol 187, 4401–4409. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.13.4401-4409.2005
- 428 Llosa, M., Bolland, S., Grandoso, G., Cruz, F. de la, 1994. Conjugation-independent, site-
429 specific recombination at the *oriT* of the IncW plasmid R388 mediated by TrwC. specific recombination at the *oriT* of the IncW plasmid R388 mediated by TrwC. Journal of Bacteriology 176, 3210–3217. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.176.11.3210- 3217.1994
- Magalhães, V.D., Schuman, W., Castilho, B.A., 1998. A new tetracycline resistance determinant cloned from *Proteus mirabilis.* Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Structure and Expression 1443, 262–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167- 4781(98)00210-3
- Mitra, R., McKenzie, G.J., Yi, L., Lee, C.A., Craig, N.L., 2010. Characterization of the TnsD-attTn*7* complex that promotes site-specific insertion of Tn*7*. Mob DNA 1, 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/1759-8753-1-18
- Okamoto, S., Suzuki, Y., Mise, K., Nakaya, R., 1967. Occurrence of chloramphenicol-acetylating enzymes in various Gram-negative bacilli. Journal of Bacteriology 94, 1616–1622.
- Qin, S., Qi, H., Zhang, Q., Zhao, D., Liu, Z.-Z., Tian, H., Xu, L., Xu, H., Zhou, M., Feng, X., Liu, H.-M., 2015. Emergence of extensively drug-resistant *Proteus mirabilis* harboring a conjugative NDM-1 plasmid and a novel *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1 variant, SGI1-Z. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 59, 6601. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00292-15
- Schultz, E., Barraud, O., Madec, J.-Y., Haenni, M., Cloeckaert, A., Ploy, M.-C., Doublet, B., 2017. Multidrug resistance *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1 in a *Morganella morganii* subsp. *morganii* human clinical isolate from France. mSphere 2, e00118-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00118-17
- Schultz, E., Haenni, M., Mereghetti, L., Siebor, E., Neuwirth, C., Madec, J.-Y., Cloeckaert, A., Doublet, B., 2015. Survey of multidrug resistance integrative mobilizable elements SGI1 and PGI1 in *Proteus mirabilis* in humans and dogs in France, 2010– 13. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 70, 2543–2546. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkv154
- Siebor, E., Curraize, C. de, Amoureux, L., Neuwirth, C., 2016. Mobilization of the *Salmonella* genomic island SGI1 and the *Proteus* genomic island PGI1 by the A/C2 plasmid carrying *bla*TEM-24 harboured by various clinical species of Enterobacteriaceae. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 71, 2167–2170. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw151
- Siebor, E., de Curraize, C., Neuwirth, C., 2019. Identification of AGI1-A, a variant of *Acinetobacter* genomic island 1 (AGI1), in a French clinical isolate belonging to the *Enterobacter cloacae* complex. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 74, 311–314. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky442
- Siebor, E., Neuwirth, C., 2014. *Proteus* genomic island 1 (PGI1), a new resistance genomic island from two *Proteus mirabilis* French clinical isolates. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 69, 3216–3220. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku314
- Siebor, E., Neuwirth, C., 2013. Emergence of *Salmonella* genomic island 1 (SGI1) among *Proteus mirabilis* clinical isolates in Dijon, France. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 68, 1750–1756. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt100
- Siebor, E., Neuwirth, C., 2011. The new variant of *Salmonella* genomic island 1 (SGI1-V) from a *Proteus mirabilis* French clinical isolate harbours *bla*VEB-6 and *qnrA1* in the multiple antibiotic resistance region. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 66, 2513–2520. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr335
- Soliman, A.M., Shimamoto, Toshi, Nariya, H., Shimamoto, Tadashi, 2019. Emergence of *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1 variant SGI1-W in a clinical isolate of *Providencia stuartii* from Egypt. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 63, e01793-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01793-18
- Sud, I.J., Feingold, D.S., 1970. Mechanism of polymyxin B resistance in *Proteus mirabilis*. Journal of Bacteriology 104, 289–294.
- Threlfall, E.J., 2000. Epidemic *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT 104—a truly international multiresistant clone. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 46, 7–10. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/46.1.7
- Wilson, N.L., Hall, R.M., 2010. Unusual class 1 integron configuration found in *Salmonella* Genomic Island 2 from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Emek. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 54, 513–516. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00895-09
- Xiao, T., Dai, H., Lu, B., Li, Z., Cai, H., Huang, Z., Kan, B., Wang, D., 2019. Distribution and characteristics of SGI1/PGI2 genomic island from *Proteus* strains in China. Infect. Genet. Evol. 70, 123–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2019.02.027