

# SGI0, a relative of Salmonella genomic islands SGI1 and SGI2, lacking a class 1 integron, found in Proteus mirabilis

Claire de Curraize, Eliane Siebor, Catherine Neuwirth, Ruth M. Hall

## ► To cite this version:

Claire de Curraize, Eliane Siebor, Catherine Neuwirth, Ruth M. Hall. SGI0, a relative of Salmonella genomic islands SGI1 and SGI2, lacking a class 1 integron, found in Proteus mirabilis. Plasmid, 2020, 107, pp.102453 -. 10.1016/j.plasmid.2019.102453 . hal-03488580

## HAL Id: hal-03488580 https://hal.science/hal-03488580v1

Submitted on 21 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# SGI0, a relative of *Salmonella* genomic islands SGI1 and SGI2, lacking a class 1 integron, found in *Proteus mirabilis*

Claire de Curraize<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*<sup>#</sup>, Eliane Siebor<sup>1,2#</sup>, Catherine Neuwirth<sup>1,2</sup> and Ruth M. Hall<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Bacteriology Department, University Hospital Dijon, PTB, BP 37013, 21070, Dijon Cedex, France.

<sup>2</sup> UMR 6249, Chrono-Environnement, PTB, BP 37013, 21070, Dijon Cedex, France

<sup>3</sup> School of Life and Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006,

Australia. Electronic address: ruth.hall@sydney.edu.au

\* Corresponding author:

E-mail: claire.goulard-de-curraize@chu-dijon.fr (CC)

Address: Laboratoire de bactériologie, Plateau technique de Biologie

BP 37013

21070 DIJON

FRANCE

Tel: +33-3-80-29-33-01; Fax: +33-3-80-29-32-80

<sup>#</sup> These authors have contributed to this work equally.

## 1 Abstract

2	Several groups of integrative mobilizable elements (IMEs) that harbour a class 1
3	integron carrying antibiotic resistance genes have been found at the 3'-end of the
4	chromosomal <i>trmE</i> gene. Here, a new IME, designated SGI0, was found in <i>trmE</i> in
5	the sequenced and assembled genome of a French clinical, multiply antibiotic
6	resistant <i>Proteus mirabilis</i> strain, <i>Pm</i> 1LENAR. SGI0 shares the same gene content
7	as the backbones of SGI1 and SGI2 (overall 97.6% and 97.7% nucleotide identity,
8	respectively) but it lacks a class 1 integron. However, SGI0 is a mosaic made up
9	of segments with >98.5% identity to SGI1 and SGI2 interspersed with segments
10	sharing 74-95% identity indicating that further diverged backbone types exist
11	and that recombination between them is occurring. The structure of SGI1-V, here
12	re-named SGI-V, which lacks two SGI1 (SGI2 and SGI0) backbone genes and
13	includes a group of additional genes in the backbone, was re-examined. In
14	regions shared with SGI1, the backbones shared 97.3% overall identity with the
15	differences distributed in patches with various levels of identity. The class $1$
16	integron is also in a slightly different position with the target site duplication
17	AAATT instead of ACTTG for SGI1 and variants, indicating that it was acquired
18	independently. The <i>Pm</i> 1LENAR resistance genes are in the chromosome, in Tn7
19	and an IS <i>Ecp1</i> -mobilised segment.
20	

### 21 Keywords

22 *Salmonella* genomic island; Integrative mobilizable element; IncC plasmid

23

24 Abbreviations

- 25 AGI1: Acinetobacter Genomic Island 1; IME: Integrative Mobilizable Element; Orf:
- 26 open reading frame; PGI1: Proteus Genomic Island 1; SNP: Single nucleotide
- 27 polymorphism; SGI: Salmonella Genomic Island

#### 30 1. Introduction

31 Salmonella island 1 (SGI1) confers genomic which ampicillin, 32 chloramphenicol/florfenicol, streptomycin/spectinomycin, sulphonamides and 33 tetracycline resistances, was first reported in the epidemic multidrug resistant 34 Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium DT104 clone (Boyd et al., 35 2000; Threlfall, 2000). SGI1 is an integrative mobilizable element (IME), integrated 36 at the 3'-end of the *trmE* gene (formerly called *thdF*) (Douard et al., 2010; Doublet et 37 al., 2005). SGI1 is made up of a 27.4 kb backbone containing 28 open reading frames 38 (orfs) and a 15 kb complex class 1 integron, designated In104 that includes five 39 resistance genes (Boyd et al., 2001; Levings et al., 2005). In104 is inserted upstream 40 of the resolvase gene (resG, formerly S027) and flanked by a 5 bp duplication.

41 Since the first report of SGI1, many variants (SGI1-A to SGI1-Z, etc) have 42 been reported (Hall, 2010; Lei et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2015). They have identical or 43 nearly identical (>99.78% nucleotide identity) backbones and the variation usually results from changes in the class 1 integron that affect the resistance gene content (Bie 44 45 et al., 2018; de Curraize et al., 2018; Harmer et al., 2016; Lei et al., 2015, 2014; 46 Siebor and Neuwirth, 2013; Xiao et al., 2019). Most SGI1 variants have been found in 47 S. enterica serovars or in Proteus mirabilis but recently SGI1 variants were also 48 reported in Morganella morganii (SGI1-L) (Schultz et al., 2017), in Providencia 49 stuartii (SGI1-W) (Soliman et al., 2019) and in Escherichia coli (SGI1-B) (Cummins 50 et al., 2019).

However, not all IMEs found in *trmE* are directly derived from SGI1. SGI2,
reported in *S*. Emek is related to SGI1 and was initially called SGI1-J (Levings et al.,
2005). However, it is an independently derived genomic island (Levings et al., 2008).

54 There is 0.53% nucleotide difference between the SGI1 and SGI2 backbones and the 55 integron in SGI2 is located in S023 instead of being upstream of resG (Hamidian et al., 2015a; Levings et al., 2008; Wilson and Hall, 2010). SGI1 and SGI2 are 56 specifically mobilized by IncA and IncC (A/C) plasmids (Douard et al., 2010; 57 58 Doublet et al., 2005; Harmer et al., 2016; Siebor et al., 2016). The transcriptional 59 activator complex AcaCD of A/C plasmids promotes the excision of SGI1 and 60 mobilization of SGI1 thanks to the presence of AcaCD binding sites on SGI1 (Carraro 61 et al., 2014; Kiss et al., 2015).

62 Further IMEs found in *trmE* that include regions related to parts of the SGI1 63 backbone and carry a class 1 integron, have been reported. The backbone of the 64 element called SGI1-V has some differences in the gene content of its backbone in 65 comparison to SGI1, though it was reported as a variant of SGI1 (Siebor and 66 Neuwirth, 2011). More distantly related IME are *Proteus* genomic island 1 (PGI1) 67 (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2014), PGI2 (Lei et al., 2018), and Acinetobacter genomic 68 island 1 (AGI1)(Hamidian et al., 2015b). These IMEs are also not confined to the 69 species they were found in. PGI1 has been found in P. mirabilis and S. enterica 70 (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2014) and IME related to AGI1, first found in Acinetobacter 71 baumannii, have also been detected in Enterobacter cloacae, S. enterica and Vibrio 72 cholerae (Siebor et al., 2019).

All of the IMEs described above that target *trmE* harbour a class 1 integron that contains genes conferring resistance to various antibiotics including critical antibiotics:  $bla_{NDM-1}$  (carbapenem resistance) (Girlich et al., 2015),  $bla_{VEB-6}$  (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2011) and  $bla_{CTX-M-15}$  (de Curraize et al., 2018) (resistance to broad spectrum cephalosporins) or *rmtC* (resistance to aminoglycosides) (Wilson and Hall, 2010). Though the location of the integron is the same in all genuine SGI1 variants, it is not the same in the other types indicating that an integron has been acquiredindependently by each of them.

Here, we report an IME found in *trmE* in a *P. mirabilis* isolate that consists of a backbone closely related to the SGI1 and SGI2 backbones but lacks a class 1 integron. The original classification of SGI1-V as an SGI1 variant is also re-assessed.

84

#### 85 2. Materials and methods

86 2.1 Strain

A multiply antibiotic resistant *P. mirabilis*, *Pm*1LENAR was recovered in the 87 88 course of continuous surveillance of P. mirabilis isolates for SGI1 or PGI1 from 89 clinical samples in our French hospital (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2013). This isolate was 90 identified using the ApiE 20E system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Étoile, France) and the 91 antibiotic susceptibility tests were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the 92 French Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (Comité de 93 l'Antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie CASFM 2013) 94 https://www.sfm-microbiologie.org/.

95 2.2 Screen for IME related to SGI1 and PGI1

DNA from *P. mirabilis* isolates was extracted by heat lysis of cells and screened by PCR for the presence of the antitoxin gene, *sgiA* (S026) (Huguet et al., 2016), which is present in both SGI1 and PGI1, using primers (Table S1) and conditions previously reported (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2011).

100 2.3 Genome Sequencing and assembly

101 Bacterial DNA was extracted with the Gentra<sup>®</sup> Puregene<sup>®</sup> purification kit 102 (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) and was quantified using the Qubit<sup>®</sup> system (Life 103 Technologies, Paysey, United Kingdom). The library was prepared with the

104 Nextera®XT kit (Illumina, Eindhoven, Netherlands) following the manufacturer's 105 instructions. The sample was multiplexed using Illumina-supplied barcodes and 106 paired-end sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq with the 300 cycle (MiSeq<sup>®</sup> Reagent Kit v2) (Illumina, Eindhoven, Netherlands). The reads (length 300 107 108 bp) of the genome of *Pm1LENAR* were assembled using SPAdes (Bankevich et al., 109 2012) via the PATRIC web-based platform https://www.patricbrc.org. Contigs were 110 analyzed with the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) 111 (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). PCR and Sanger sequencing were 112 performed to check the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) not present in SGI1 113 (KU499918) (Siebor et al., 2016). This sequence was chosen for comparison because 114 the original sequence (AF261825) (Boyd et al., 2001) differs slightly from most 115 recent SGI1 sequences.

The short reads from Leekitcharoenphon's study (Leekitcharoenphon et al.,
2016) were retrieved and assembled using SPAdes (Bankevich et al., 2012) from the
PATRIC web-based platform https://www.patricbrc.org.

119 2.4 Sequence analysis

BLAST, Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) and Artemis Comparison Tool (Carver et al., 2005) were used to compare nucleic acid (na) and amino acid (aa) sequences. SGI2 (AY963803) (Hamidian et al., 2015a) and SGI1-V (HQ888851) (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2011) sequences were used for alignment analysis.

125 2.5 Plasmid transfer

The IncC plasmid, pPV835TEM24 (GenBank accession number MN148427),
originally from a *Proteus vulgaris* strain, Pv835, was used to induce IME excision. It
harbours a *floR* gene encoding for chloramphenicol and florfenicol resistance, an

129 aacA4 gene encoding kanamycin, tobramycin, netilmicin and amikacin resistances, a 130 dfrA1 gene encoding trimethoprim resistance, an aadA1 encoding streptomycin, 131 spectinomycin resistances and a *sul1* encoding sulphonamides resistance. The Enterobacter aerogenes BOL (EABOL) strain harbouring the IncC plasmid 132 pPV835TEM24 (donor) was mated with the Proteus mirabilis Pm1LENAR which 133 had an IME (recipient) in a 4:1 ratio at the end of the exponential growth phase in 134 135 Luria Bertani broth at 37°C as previously described (Siebor et al., 2016). After 136 overnight incubation at 37°C without shaking of the mating broth, 10-fold serial dilutions of the bacterial cells were plated on Drigalski agar media (ThermoFisher 137 138 Scientific®) (which inhibits the swarming of P. mirabilis), supplemented with 15 139 mg/L of colistin to select for P. mirabilis and 50 mg/L of chloramphenicol to select 140 for pPV835TEM24 and were incubated 48h at 37°C. The transconjugants that had 141 acquired the pPV835TEM24 plasmid were recovered on this media.

142 2.6 Loss of the IME in cells

143 IMEs and IncC plasmids are unstable together (Harmer et al., 2016; Huguet et 144 al., 2016). Therefore, DNA was extracted from transconjugants grown on media 145 selecting for the retention of the IncC plasmid (media with 15 mg/L of colistin and 50 146 mg/L of chloramphenicol), and PCR assays were performed to check whether they 147 have lost the IME. Primers used are listed in Table S1. The presence or absence of the 148 IME was screened by PCR targeting the antitoxin gene sgiA (S026), the left and right 149 junctions between the IME and the *trmE* gene in the chromosome. To confirm the 150 absence of the IME in the trmE gene, a PCR of the intact trmE (attB) was also 151 performed. When loss of the IME from the chromosome was detected, colonies were 152 subcultured on the same selective media. DNA was extracted and screened by a twostep DNA amplification of the *attP* site to ensure no extra-chromosomal circular form 153

154 of the IME remained in cells. A negative and a positive control were run for all PCR

- assays. The negative and positive controls were DNA extracts of *P. mirabilis* with or
  without an SGI1.
- 157 2.7 Nucleotide sequence accession numbers
- The nucleotide sequences of the complete SGI0 and the pPV835TEM24 plasmid were assigned the GenBank accession numbers MH734355 and MN148427, respectively. The Whole Genome Shotgun project for the *Pm*1LENAR strain has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession VIYO000000000. The version described in this paper is version VIYO01000000.
- 163

#### 164 **3. Results**

- 165 3.1 Characteristics of the P. mirabilis isolate, Pm1LENAR
- 166 The multiply antibiotic resistant *P. mirabilis*, *Pm*1LENAR, was isolated in 2013 in
- 167 France, from stool of a patient hospitalized in the haematology intensive care unit.
- 168 This isolate had an unusual antibiotic resistance profile; it was resistant to amoxicillin
- 169 (32 mg/L), amoxicillin + clavulanic acid (32 mg/L), cefotaxime (cephalosporinase
- 170 producer) (32 mg/L), kanamycin (256 mg/L), streptomycin (256 mg/L),
- 171 spectinomycin (256 mg/L) and trimethoprim (32 mg/L). *P. mirabilis* is intrinsically
- 172 resistant to tetracyclines (256 mg/L) and colistin (>2 mg/L) (Magalhães et al., 1998;
- 173 Sud and Feingold, 1970). As the SGI1/PGI1 antitoxin gene, *sgiA* (S026) was detected
- 174 by PCR; the genome of *Pm*1LENAR was sequenced and assembled. An IME located,
- at the 3'-end of the chromosomal *trmE* gene and related to SGI1, was found in one
- 176 contig (coverage 51X) (MH734355). However, an integron carrying resistance genes
- 177 was not contained in this structure and for this reason; we have called it, SGI0.

178	The resistance genes were found in three different contigs in the <i>Pm</i> 1LENAR
179	draft genome. The first 50.42 kb contig (number 00025) harboured a structure
180	containing an ISEcp1 with a bla <sub>CMY-2</sub> gene encoding a cephalosporinase. An 11.63 kb
181	segment flanked by 5 bp duplication and therefore inserted by an ISEcp1- mediated
182	transposition event was found in a 37.6 kb integrative element. An identical segment
183	harbouring ISEcp1 with a bla <sub>CMY-2</sub> (99.85% identity) was previously reported in a S.
184	Newport plasmid (DQ164214) (Kang et al., 2006). A 44.47 kb contig (number 00028)
185	contained a Tn7 transposon (at 7421 bp to 21488 bp) with dfrA1 and aadA1 genes
186	conferring resistance to trimethoprim, and streptomycin and spectinomycin,
187	respectively. This Tn7 is inserted downstream the glmS gene as is usual (Mitra et al.,
188	2010). The third 1.07 kb contig (number 00075) harboured an <i>aphA1b</i> gene encoding
189	resistance to kanamycin and neomycin.
190	ResFinder also recorded the intrinsic chromosomal gene tet(J) conferring
191	tetracycline resistance as expected (Magalhães et al., 1998) and a <i>cat</i> gene encoding a
192	chloramphenicol acetyltransferase. However, <i>Pm</i> 1LENAR was susceptible to
193	chloramphenicol. It has been reported that this cat gene is often present in P. mirabilis
194	and can result in an intermediate level of chloramphenicol resistance (Charles et al.,
195	1985; Okamoto et al., 1967).

197 *3.2 SGI0, a new IME in trmE,* 

SGI0 was 27.3 kb and contained 28 orfs closely related to those in the backbones of SGI1 and SGI2. However, it did not harbour a class 1 integron and it was not interrupted by other elements. SGI0 shared 97.59% (26123/26767) nucleotide identity with the SGI1 backbone and 97.72% (26158/26767) nucleotide identity with the SGI2 backbone. Most of the backbone shared >98.5% identity with SGI1 and

- SGI2 but the nucleotide identity in different segments, ranged from 74.5% to 99.5%
- for SGI1 and from 83.5% to 99.4% for SGI2 clearly indicating a mosaic structure
- 205 (<mark>Fig. 1).</mark>
- 206



#### 208 Figure 1: Comparison of SGI0 backbone with SGI1 and SGI2 backbones

The percentages of nucleotide identity are indicated between the backbones. The following colours were used for characterizing the orfs: chromosomal genes (yellow), DNA recombination (blue), conjugal transfer (green), regulatory genes (orange), toxin/antitoxin system (pink), helicase (red), resolvase (purple),

211 unknown function (grey). The blue hooked arrows indicate the AcaCD binding sites, the vertical green arrows indicate the *oriT* site, the vertical red arrows

212 indicates the position of the class 1 integron. The thick black vertical bars indicate the attachment sites, *attL* and *attR* of SGI.

213 SgaC, MpsA and SgiT of SGI0 shared more aa identities with SGI1 than with SGI2, 214 whereas SgaD, S008, TraG, S016, S017 and S044 shared more identity with SGI2. 215 SNPs were distributed throughout the backbone but there were also some spots with 216 more SNPs such as in a region between sgaD gene (S007) and S009 and also in S024 217 (Table 1) (Fig. 1). Some of SNPs result in variation in the length of the predicted 218 polypeptide sequence. For instance, S014 and S021 of SGI0 were 21 aa and 42 aa 219 longer respectively than for SGI1 and SGI2. S017 and S023 were 72 aa and 2 aa 220 shorter respectively due to the presence of a point-nonsense mutation. S024 shared 221 only 85.65% and 86.12% aa identity with S024 from SGI1 and SGI2, respectively. 222 All of these orfs have unknown function. All of the essential known proteins were 223 conserved. Hence, the SGI0 integrase shared 99.75% aa identity 99.10% nucleotide 224 identity with SGI1 and SGI2 ones. The toxin/antitoxin system, SgiAT (Huguet et al., 225 2016), was also very conserved > 99.5% aa identical to SGI1 and SGI2. Moreover, 226 the recently described mobilization proteins, MpsB/MpsA (Kiss et al., 2019), were 227 also very conserved > 99% aa identical to SGI1 and SGI2. Interestingly, the DNA 228 segment immediately after the oriT in SGIO shared only a 83.5% percentage of 229 nucleotide identity with SGI1 and SGI2. The *oriT* region seems to be a hot spot for 230 recombination events as previously reported for plasmids (Llosa et al., 1994).

aorf	gene name	<sup>b</sup> SGI0	°SGI1	<sup>b</sup> SGI1	<sup>b</sup> number of	°SGI2	<sup>b</sup> SGI2	<sup>b</sup> number of	°SGI-V	<sup>b</sup> SGI-V	<sup>b</sup> number of
		(aa length)	identity (%)	(aa length)	aa changed	identity (%)	(aa length)	aa changed	identity (%)	(aa length)	aa changed
S001	integrase	405	99.75	405	1	99.75	405	1	99.51	405	2
S002	excisionase	122	99.18	122	1	99.18	122	1	99.18	122	1
S003	replicase	317	99.37	317	2	99.37	317	2	99.05	317	3
S004		96	98.96	96	1	98.96	96	1	98.96	96	1
S005	traN	919	99.56	919	4	99.56	919	4	99.35	919	6
S006	sgaC	177	100.00	177	0	99.44	177	1	99.44	177	1
S007	sgaD	203	91.63	203	17	93.60	203	13	92.12	203	16
S008		73	87.67	73	9	97.26	73	2	86.30	73	10
S009		96	96.88	96	3	95.83	96	4	95.83	96	4
S010		84	98.81	84	1	98.81	84	1	97.62	84	2
S011	traG	1134	98.68	1134	15	99.21	1134	9	99.03	1134	11
S012	traH	474	98.95	474	5	98.52	474	7	98.10	474	9
S013		285	98.60	285	4	98.60	285	4	96.14	285	11
S014		152	90.84	131	12	90.84	131	12	insertion in	104	-
S015		89	98.88	89	1	98.88	89	1	98.88	89	1
S016		61	95.08	61	3	96.72	61	2	96.72	61	2
S017		41	92.68	84	6	95.12	84	4	95.12	84	4
S018		83	92.77	105	8	92.77	105	8	92.77	105	8
S019	mpsB	98	100.00	98	0	100.00	98	0	98.98	98	1
S020	mpsA	321	99.69	321	1	99.38	321	2	97.51	321	8
S021		153	99.10	111	1	99.10	111	1	98.69	153	2
S022		91	72.53	91	25	72.53	91	25	100.00	91	0
S023		544	96.14	546	21	insertion in	-	-	absent	-	-
S024		642	85.65	641	91	86.12	641	89	absent	-	-
S025	sgiT	847	99.76	847	2	99.65	847	3	90.20	847	83
S026	sgiA	329	100.00	329	0	100.00	329	0	99.39	194	1
S027	resG	194	98.97	194	2	98.97	194	2	100.00	209	0
S044		209	98.56	209	3	99.04	209	2	truncated	-	-

## Table 1: Comparison of the 28 orfs of SGI0 with those of SGI1, SGI2 and SGI-V

232

<sup>a</sup> orf: open reading frame; <sup>b</sup> aa: amino acid; <sup>c</sup> Percentages represent nucleotide identity

234 *3.3 Loss of SGI0* 

235 As previously reported for SGI1, SGI0 also harboured the five AcaCD binding sites 236 (Fig. 1). The sequences were same as in SGI1, except the one upstream of the xis gene, which 237 had one mutation. The presence of these AcaCD binding sites and the high percentage of 238 identity of the SGI0 integrase with SGI1, led us to test whether SGI0 could be excised when 239 an IncC plasmid was present. Hence, the IncC plasmid pPV835TEM24 was introduced into 240 *Pm*1LENAR harbouring SGI0. Ten colonies were detected on the selective media for the 241 retention of the plasmid. All of them kept the IncC plasmid. PCR screening revealed that a 242 few colonies kept both the IncC plasmid and SGI0 but most of them had lost SGI0 as 243 the sgiA gene, as well as the left and right junctions of SGI1 in *trmE* were not detected. In 244 addition, the PCR targeting the intact *trmE* gene (*attB* site) yielded a product of the correct 245 size confirming that SGI0 was not present in the chromosome. The complete loss of SGI0 246 from these cells was confirmed by the fact that the extra-chromosomal form of SGI0 was not 247 detected by PCR. As SGI0 did not harbour a resistance gene, transfer of SGI0 into another bacterium was not looked for. 248

- 249
- 250 3.4 SGI1-V is also an independently derived SGI
- The backbone of SGI1-V reported previously (Siebor and Neuwirth, 2011) has some 251 252 significant differences from the SGI1 backbone (Fig. 2). Therefore, SGI1-V was re-253 examined. In addition to the deletion and the insertion in SGI1-V relative to SGI1 (Fig. 2), in 254 the backbone regions shared with the SGI1, the SGI1-V backbone was on average 97.25% (22671/23312 nucleotides) identical to that of SGI1. There are also polymorphisms in the 255 256 SGI1-V backbone relative to SGI0 and SGI2. It shared 96.48% (22499/23320) and 97.03% 257 (22620/23312) nucleotide identity, respectively. As for SGI2, SgaD, TraG, S016, S017, 258 S022, ResG shared more aa identities with SGI0 than with SGI1 (Table 1).

- 259 In addition, the 5 bp duplication flanking the SGI1-V integron (AAATT) is different
- 260 to that flanking SGI1 (ACTTG), indicating that the class 1 integron was inserted in a
- 261 different position to In104 in SGI1 and hence was acquired in an independent event. The
- 262 backbone differences and the different position of the class 1 integron indicate that, like SGI2
- 263 (Wilson and Hall, 2010), SGI1-V is an independently derived IME. Therefore, we have re-
- anamed it SGI-V.



**Figure 2: Comparison of SGI-V backbone with SGI0 and SGI1 backbones** 

268The percentages of nucleotide identity are indicated between the backbones. The following colours were used for characterizing the orfs:269chromosomal genes (yellow), DNA recombination (blue), conjugal transfer (green), regulatory genes (orange), toxin/antitoxin system (pink), helicase270(red), resolvase (purple), unknown function (grey). The blue hooked arrows indicate the AcaCD binding sites, the vertical green arrows indicate the271oriT site, the vertical red arrows indicates the position of the class 1 integron. The thick black vertical bars indicate the attachment sites, attL and attR272of SGI.

#### 274 **4. Discussion**

This study describes an IME, SGI0, in a *P. mirabilis* isolate that is related to the backbones of SGI1 and SGI2. SGI0 shared varying levels of identity with parts of the SGI1 or SGI2 backbones. It also shared regions with the SGI-V backbone. Hence, SGI0 is a mosaic that includes segments shared with the backbones of three SGIs. All of the SGI types that include a class 1 integron, namely SGI1, SGI2 and SGI-V, acquired the integron independently. SGI0 likely represents a group of integron-free IMEs that share a common ancestor and can be the forerunners of an SGI type that carries an integron.

282 SGI-like structures without any resistance gene have been reported in previous 283 studies. The first was reported in 2008 in a susceptible S. Typhimurium DT104 that came 284 from Health Protection Agency, in United Kingdom. In this isolate, the presence of a SGI-285 like structure was found using an SGI1-fingerprinting assay but the class 1 integron was not 286 detected (Amar et al., 2008). However, no sequence is available. A later study detected the 287 presence of an SGI-like element without a class 1 integron in a *P. mirabilis* isolate, which 288 was collected from a dog in 2013, in France (Schultz et al., 2015). Only 3.9 kb of this 289 structure was sequenced (some parts of S007 (sgaD), S008 and S011) (GenBank accession 290 number KR296941). Interestingly, these parts are identical to the corresponding parts of 291 SGI0, reported here and it might be identical to SGI0. The isolate harbouring this SGI, came 292 from a different area (Pyrénées orientales) than ours (Bourgogne). However, like 293 *Pm*1LENAR, this isolate was also resistant to amoxicillin, amoxicillin + clavulanic acid, kanamycin, spectinomycin, trimethoprim. It would be interesting to completely sequence it to 294 295 determine whether it belongs to the same clone as *Pm*1LENAR. 296 A third study that analyzed the genomes of S. Typhimurium DT104 from worldwide

A third study that analyzed the genomes of S. Typhimurium D1104 from worldwide
 isolates collected from 1969 to 2012, reported a few isolates harbouring SGI1 but without

any resistance genes (Leekitcharoenphon et al., 2016). However, we retrieved, assembled,
and analyzed the short reads and found that all genomes harbouring an SGI1 derivative had at
least part of a class 1 integron. Hence, they do not resemble SGI0.

Finally, the presence of AcaCD binding sites and the loss of SGI0 in presence of an IncC plasmid suggest that SGI0 might be mobilized by A/C plasmids as the other IMEs in *trmE* (Doublet et al., 2005; Harmer et al., 2016; Siebor et al., 2016). SGI0 and further related IMEs in *trmE* without class 1 integron might be also prevalent.

305

#### **306 5. Conclusion**

307 SGI0 is a new IME in *trmE* closely related to the backbones of SGI1, SGI2 and parts 308 of SGI-V but characterized by the lack of class 1 integron. All of these SGIs backbones are 309 likely to be derived from an original common ancestor, which has diverged overtime and the 310 lineages have repeatedly recombined. Further IME like SGI0 without class 1 integron might 311 be circulating but have not yet been detected.

312

#### 313 **Conflict of interest**

314 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

315

#### 316 Acknowledgments

317 CC was supported by a grant from the Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, French state.

318

#### 319 Author contributions

320 CC conceptualized, performed loss assay, analysed data and wrote the manuscript. ES 321 conceptualized and performed the sequencing and assembly of the genomes and reviewed the 322 preparation of manuscript. CN conceptualized, supervised, revised and reviewed the

preparation of manuscript. RMH analysed data and supervised, revised and reviewed the

- 324 preparation of manuscript.
- 325
- 326 References
- 327 Amar, C.F.L., Arnold, C., Bankier, A., Dear, P.H., Guerra, B., Hopkins, K.L., Liebana, E., 328 Mevius, D.J., Threlfall, E.J., 2008. Real-time PCRs and fingerprinting assays for the 329 detection and characterization of Salmonella Genomic Island-1 encoding multidrug 330 resistance: application to 445 European isolates of Salmonella, Escherichia coli, 331 Shigella, and Proteus. Microb. Drug Resist. 14, 79–92. 332 https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2008.0812
- 333 Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A.A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A.S., Lesin, 334 V.M., Nikolenko, S.I., Pham, S., Prjibelski, A.D., Pyshkin, A.V., Sirotkin, A.V., Vyahhi, N., Tesler, G., Alekseyev, M.A., Pevzner, P.A., 2012. SPAdes: a new 335 336 genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J. Comput. 337 Biol. 19, 455-477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021
- 338 Bie, L., Fang, M., Li, Z., Wang, M., Xu, H., 2018. Identification and characterization of new 339 resistance-conferring SGI1s (Salmonella Genomic Island 1) in Proteus mirabilis. 340 Front Microbiol 9, 3172. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03172
- 341 Boyd, D., Peters, G.A., Cloeckaert, A., Boumedine, K.S., Chaslus-Dancla, E., Imberechts, H., 342 Mulvey, M.R., 2001. Complete nucleotide sequence of a 43-kilobase genomic island 343 associated with the multidrug resistance region of Salmonella enterica serovar 344 Typhimurium DT104 and its identification in phage type DT120 and serovar Agona. 345 J. Bacteriol. 183, 5725-5732. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.19.5725-5732.2001
- 346 Boyd, D.A., Peters, G.A., Ng, L., Mulvey, M.R., 2000. Partial characterization of a genomic 347 island associated with the multidrug resistance region of Salmonella enterica 348 Typhymurium DT104. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 189, 285–291.
- 349 Carraro, N., Matteau, D., Luo, P., Rodrigue, S., Burrus, V., 2014. The master activator of 350 IncA/C conjugative plasmids stimulates genomic islands and multidrug resistance 351 dissemination. PLoS Genet. 10, e1004714. 352
  - https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004714
- 353 Carver, T.J., Rutherford, K.M., Berriman, M., Rajandream, M.-A., Barrell, B.G., Parkhill, J., 354 2005. ACT: the Artemis Comparison Tool. Bioinformatics 21, 3422-3423. 355 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti553
- 356 Charles, I.G., Harford, S., Brookfield, J.F., Shaw, W.V., 1985. Resistance to chloramphenicol 357 in Proteus mirabilis by expression of a chromosomal gene for chloramphenicol 358 acetyltransferase. J. Bacteriol. 164, 114-122.
- 359 Cummins, M.L., Chowdhury, P.R., Marenda, M.S., Browning, G.F., Djordjevic, S.P., 2019. 360 Salmonella Genomic Island 1B variant found in a Sequence Type 117 avian 361 mSphere e00169-19. pathogenic Escherichia Isolate. 4. coli 362 https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00169-19
- de Curraize, C., Neuwirth, C., Bador, J., Chapuis, A., Amoureux, L., Siebor, E., 2018. Two 363 364 new Salmonella genomic islands 1 from Proteus mirabilis and description of blacTX-365 <sub>M-15</sub> on a variant (SGI1-K7). J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 73, 1804–1807. 366 https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky108

- Douard, G., Praud, K., Cloeckaert, A., Doublet, B., 2010. The *Salmonella* genomic island 1 is
   specifically mobilized in *trans* by the IncA/C multidrug resistance plasmid family.
   PLoS ONE 5, e15302. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015302
- Doublet, B., Boyd, D., Mulvey, M.R., Cloeckaert, A., 2005. The *Salmonella* genomic island
  1 is an integrative mobilizable element. Molecular Microbiology 55, 1911–1924.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04520.x
- Girlich, D., Dortet, L., Poirel, L., Nordmann, P., 2015. Integration of the *bla*<sub>NDM-1</sub>
  carbapenemase gene into *Proteus* genomic island 1 (PGI1-*Pm*PEL) in a *Proteus mirabilis* clinical isolate. J Antimicrob Chemother 70, 98–102.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku371
- Hall, R.M., 2010. Salmonella genomic islands and antibiotic resistance in Salmonella
   *enterica*. Future Microbiol 5, 1525–1538. https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.10.122
- Hamidian, M., Holt, K.E., Hall, R.M., 2015a. The complete sequence of *Salmonella* genomic
  island SGI2. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 70, 617–619.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku407
- Hamidian, M., Holt, K.E., Hall, R.M., 2015b. Genomic resistance island AGI1 carrying a
  complex class 1 integron in a multiply antibiotic-resistant ST25 Acinetobacter *baumannii* isolate. J Antimicrob Chemother 70, 2519–2523.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkv137
- Harmer, C.J., Hamidian, M., Ambrose, S.J., Hall, R.M., 2016. Destabilization of IncA and
  IncC plasmids by SGI1 and SGI2 type *Salmonella* genomic islands. Plasmid 87–88,
  51–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2016.09.003
- Huguet, K.T., Gonnet, M., Doublet, B., Cloeckaert, A., 2016. A toxin antitoxin system
  promotes the maintenance of the IncA/C-mobilizable *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1.
  Scientific Reports 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32285
- Kang, M.-S., Besser, T.E., Call, D.R., 2006. Variability in the region downstream of the *bla*<sub>CMY-2</sub> beta-lactamase gene in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* plasmids.
  Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50, 1590–1593.
  https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.4.1590-1593.2006
- Kiss, J., Papp, P.P., Szabó, M., Farkas, T., Murányi, G., Szakállas, E., Olasz, F., 2015. The master regulator of IncA/C plasmids is recognized by the *Salmonella* Genomic island SGI1 as a signal for excision and conjugal transfer. Nucl. Acids Res. 43, 8735–8745. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv758
- Kiss, J., Szabó, M., Hegyi, A., Douard, G., Praud, K., Nagy, I., Olasz, F., Cloeckaert, A.,
  Doublet, B., 2019. Identification and characterization of *oriT* and two mobilization
  genes required for conjugative transfer of *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1. Front
  Microbiol 10, 457. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00457
- Leekitcharoenphon, P., Hendriksen, R.S., Hello, S.L., Weill, F.-X., Baggesen, D.L., Jun, S.R., Ussery, D.W., Lund, O., Crook, D.W., Wilson, D.J., Aarestrup, F.M., 2016.
  Global genomic epidemiology of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium DT104.
  Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, 2516–2526. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03821-15
- Lei, C.-W., Chen, Y.-P., Kong, L.-H., Zeng, J.-X., Wang, Y.-X., Zhang, A.-Y., Wang, H.-N.,
  2018. PGI2 is a novel SGI1-relative multidrug-resistant genomic island characterized
  in *Proteus mirabilis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62.
  https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00019-18
- Lei, C.-W., Zhang, A.-Y., Liu, B.-H., Wang, H.-N., Guan, Z.-B., Xu, C.-W., Xia, Q.-Q.,
  Cheng, H., Zhang, D.-D., 2014. Molecular characteristics of *Salmonella* Genomic
  Island 1 in *Proteus mirabilis* isolates from poultry farms in China. Antimicrob.
  Agents Chemother. 58, 7570–7572. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03992-14

- Lei, C.-W., Zhang, A.-Y., Liu, B.-H., Wang, H.-N., Yang, L.-Q., Guan, Z.-B., Xu, C.-W.,
  Zhang, D.-D., Yang, Y.-Q., 2015. Two novel *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1 variants
  in *Proteus mirabilis* isolates from swine farms in China. Antimicrob Agents
  Chemother 59, 4336–4338. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00120-15
- Levings, R.S., Djordjevic, S.P., Hall, R.M., 2008. SGI2, a relative of *Salmonella* Genomic
  Island SGI1 with an independent origin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52, 2529–
  2537. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00189-08
- Levings, R.S., Lightfoot, D., Partridge, S.R., Hall, R.M., Djordjevic, S.P., 2005. The
  Genomic Island SGI1, containing the multiple antibiotic resistance Region of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium DT104 or variants of it, is widely
  distributed in other *S. enterica* serovars. J Bacteriol 187, 4401–4409.
  https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.13.4401-4409.2005
- Llosa, M., Bolland, S., Grandoso, G., Cruz, F. de la, 1994. Conjugation-independent, sitespecific recombination at the *oriT* of the IncW plasmid R388 mediated by TrwC.
  Journal of Bacteriology 176, 3210–3217. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.176.11.32103217.1994
- Magalhães, V.D., Schuman, W., Castilho, B.A., 1998. A new tetracycline resistance
  determinant cloned from *Proteus mirabilis*. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Gene Structure and Expression 1443, 262–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167435 4781(98)00210-3
- Mitra, R., McKenzie, G.J., Yi, L., Lee, C.A., Craig, N.L., 2010. Characterization of the
  TnsD-attTn7 complex that promotes site-specific insertion of Tn7. Mob DNA 1, 18.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/1759-8753-1-18
- 439 Okamoto, S., Suzuki, Y., Mise, K., Nakaya, R., 1967. Occurrence of chloramphenicol440 acetylating enzymes in various Gram-negative bacilli. Journal of Bacteriology 94,
  441 1616–1622.
- 442 Qin, S., Qi, H., Zhang, Q., Zhao, D., Liu, Z.-Z., Tian, H., Xu, L., Xu, H., Zhou, M., Feng, X., 443 Liu, H.-M., 2015. Emergence of extensively drug-resistant Proteus mirabilis 444 harboring a conjugative NDM-1 plasmid and a novel Salmonella Genomic Island 1 445 SGI1-Z. variant. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 59, 6601. 446 https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00292-15
- Schultz, E., Barraud, O., Madec, J.-Y., Haenni, M., Cloeckaert, A., Ploy, M.-C., Doublet, B.,
  2017. Multidrug resistance *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1 in a *Morganella morganii*subsp. *morganii* human clinical isolate from France. mSphere 2, e00118-17.
  https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00118-17
- Schultz, E., Haenni, M., Mereghetti, L., Siebor, E., Neuwirth, C., Madec, J.-Y., Cloeckaert,
  A., Doublet, B., 2015. Survey of multidrug resistance integrative mobilizable
  elements SGI1 and PGI1 in *Proteus mirabilis* in humans and dogs in France, 2010–
  J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 70, 2543–2546. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkv154
- 455 Siebor, E., Curraize, C. de, Amoureux, L., Neuwirth, C., 2016. Mobilization of the 456 Salmonella genomic island SGI1 and the Proteus genomic island PGI1 by the A/C2 457 various plasmid carrying  $bla_{\text{TEM-24}}$ harboured by clinical species of 458 Enterobacteriaceae. 2167-2170. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 71, 459 https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw151
- Siebor, E., de Curraize, C., Neuwirth, C., 2019. Identification of AGI1-A, a variant of *Acinetobacter* genomic island 1 (AGI1), in a French clinical isolate belonging to the *Enterobacter cloacae* complex. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 74, 311–314. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky442

- Siebor, E., Neuwirth, C., 2014. *Proteus* genomic island 1 (PGI1), a new resistance genomic island from two *Proteus mirabilis* French clinical isolates. J. Antimicrob. Chemother.
  69, 3216–3220. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku314
- Siebor, E., Neuwirth, C., 2013. Emergence of *Salmonella* genomic island 1 (SGI1) among
   *Proteus mirabilis* clinical isolates in Dijon, France. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 68,
   1750–1756. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt100
- Soliman, A.M., Shimamoto, Toshi, Nariya, H., Shimamoto, Tadashi, 2019. Emergence of *Salmonella* Genomic Island 1 variant SGI1-W in a clinical isolate of *Providencia stuartii* from Egypt. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 63, e01793-18.
  https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01793-18
- 478 Sud, I.J., Feingold, D.S., 1970. Mechanism of polymyxin B resistance in *Proteus mirabilis*.
  479 Journal of Bacteriology 104, 289–294.
- Threlfall, E.J., 2000. Epidemic Salmonella Typhimurium DT 104—a truly international
  multiresistant clone. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 46, 7–10.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/46.1.7
- Wilson, N.L., Hall, R.M., 2010. Unusual class 1 integron configuration found in *Salmonella*Genomic Island 2 from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Emek. Antimicrob. Agents
  Chemother. 54, 513–516. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00895-09
- Xiao, T., Dai, H., Lu, B., Li, Z., Cai, H., Huang, Z., Kan, B., Wang, D., 2019. Distribution
  and characteristics of SGI1/PGI2 genomic island from *Proteus* strains in China.
  Infect. Genet. Evol. 70, 123–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2019.02.027