

# Management of Kaposi sarcoma after solid organ transplantation: A European retrospective study

Julie Delyon, Clementine Rabate, Sylvie Euvrard, Catherine A. Harwood, Charlotte Proby, A.Tülin Güleç, Deniz Seçkin, Veronique del Marmol, Jan Nico Bouwes-Bavinck, Carla Ferrándiz-Pulido, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Julie Delyon, Clementine Rabate, Sylvie Euvrard, Catherine A. Harwood, Charlotte Proby, et al.. Management of Kaposi sarcoma after solid organ transplantation: A European retrospective study. Journal of The American Academy of Dermatology, 2019, 81, pp.448 - 455. 10.1016/j.jaad.2019.03.028 . hal-03488155

# HAL Id: hal-03488155 https://hal.science/hal-03488155

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Management of Kaposi Sarcoma after Solid Organ Transplantation:
2	a European Retrospective Study
3	
4	Julie DELYON <sup>1</sup> * MD PhD, Clementine RABATE* <sup>2</sup> MD, Sylvie EUVRARD <sup>3</sup> MD,
5	Catherine A. HARWOOD <sup>4</sup> MD PhD, Charlotte PROBY <sup>5</sup> FRCP, A. Tülin GÜLEÇ <sup>6</sup> MD, Deniz
6	SEÇKIN <sup>6</sup> MD, Veronique DEL MARMOL <sup>7</sup> MD PhD, Jan Nico BOUWES-BAVINCK <sup>8</sup> MD
7	PhD, Carla FERRÁNDIZ-PULIDO <sup>9</sup> MD PhD, Maria Andrea OCAMPO <sup>10</sup> MD, Stephane
8	BARETE <sup>11</sup> MD PhD, Christophe LEGENDRE <sup>2</sup> MD PhD, Camille FRANCÈS <sup>12</sup> ° MD PhD,
9	Raphael PORCHER <sup>13</sup> ° MD PhD, Celeste LEBBE <sup>1</sup> ° MD PhD, and the Skin Care in Organ
10	Transplant Patients Europe (SCOPE) group
11	* co-first authors
12	° co-last authors
13	
14	Author affiliations
15	1 AP-HP Hopital Saint Louis, Department of Dermatology; INSERM U976; Université Paris
16	Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France
17	2 Service de Néphrologie-Transplantation Adultes, Hôpital Necker, AP-HP, Paris and
18	Université Paris Descartes, Paris, France
19	3 Department of Dermatology, Edouard Herriot Hospital, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon,
20	France
21	4 Centre for Cell Biology and Cutaneous Research, Blizard Institute, Barts and the London
22	School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, U.K.
23	5 Dermatology, School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 9SY, UK.
24	6 Department of Dermatology, Başkent University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey
25	7 Dermatology, Erasme Hospital, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

26	8 Department of Dermatology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands
27	9 Department of Dermatology, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain
28	10 Department of Dermatology, Edouard Herriot Hospital, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon,
29	France (moved to Dermatology Department, Sanitas Foundation, Colsanitas Clinic, Bogotá
30	Colombia)
31	11 Sorbonne Université, Unit of Dermatology, AP-HP Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris,
32	France
33	12 Sorbonne Université, Service de Dermatologie et Allergologie, AP-HP Hôpital Tenon,
34	Paris, France
35	13 AP-HP, Centre d'Épidémiologie Clinique, Hôpital Hôtel-Dieu, Paris, France; ; CRESS
36	INSERM U1153 ; Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité.
37	
38	Corresponding author:
39	Dr Julie DELYON
40	Dermatology Department - AP-HP Hôpital Saint Louis ; 1 avenue Claude Vellefaux – 75475
41	Paris Cedex 10 ; Tel: +33142494679; Fax: +33142499078; Julie.delyon@aphp.fr
42	
43	Funding sources: none
44	Disclosure
45	ATG, C F-P, CH, CP, DS, JD, JNBB, OCa, RP, SB, SE, VDM had no competing interest to
46	declare;
47	CLeg reports personal fees from Astellas, other from Alexion, outside the submitted work;
48	CL received research grants or honoraria from Roche, BMS, MSD, GSK, Novartis, Amgen,
49	outside the submitted work.
50	

# 51 Word count:

- 52 Abstract: 158
- 53 Text: 2521
- 54 Material: 2 tables; 3 figures
- 55 References: 35
- 56
- 57

# 58 Author contribution

- 59 CL and CF conceived and designed the study;
- 60 CR, CL, CLeg, SE, CH, CP, ATG, DS, VDM, JBB, MAO, CFP, CF, SB (Investigators)
- 61 participated in data collection and critically reviewed the manuscript;
- 62 RP constructed the statistical design and performed data analysis;
- 63 CL, JD, RP participated in writing the paper and contributed to the analysis of study results;
- 64 CL, JD, RP participated in revision of the article.

#### 65 ABSTRACT

Background: Systemic therapeutic management of post-transplant Kaposi sarcoma (KS) is
mainly based on 3 axes: reduction of immunosuppression, conversion to mammalian target of
rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors and/or chemotherapy.

69 **Objective:** To obtain an overview of clinical strategies about the current treatment of KS.

70 Methods: We conducted a multicenter retrospective cohort study including 145 solid organ

71 transplant recipients diagnosed with KS between 1985 and 2011 to collect data regarding

72 first-line treatment and response at 6 months.

**Results:** Ninety five percent, 28% and 16% of patients had reduction of immunosuppression,
conversion to mTOR inhibitor and chemotherapy, respectively. Patients treated with
chemotherapy or mTOR inhibitor conversion were more likely to have visceral KS. Overall,
83% of patients had response at 6 months including 40% complete responses (CR).

77 **Limitations**: The retrospective design of the study.

78 Conclusion: Currently available therapeutic options seem to be effective to control KS in a

79 majority of patients. Tapering down the immunosuppressive regimen remains the cornerstone

80 of KS management.

#### 82 INTRODUCTION

As graft maintenance requires continuous immunosuppressive therapy, solid organ transplant 83 84 recipients (OTRs) are at high risk of developing various types of cancer, particularly those associated with viral infections<sup>1</sup>. Kaposi sarcoma(KS) is a lymphatic endothelium-derived 85 86 tumor associated with human herpes virus type 8(HHV-8) promoted by immunosuppression. 87 Most cases of post-transplant KS arise as a result of HHV-8 reactivation triggered by druginduced immunosuppression<sup>2,3</sup>, resulting in a 200-fold higher risk in OTRs than in the general 88 89 population<sup>4</sup>. In the 1990s, mortality of KS was high, estimated to be 57% in patients with visceral extension of the disease<sup>5,6</sup>. Since then, post-transplant KS management has largely 90 91 changed, with greater emphasis on minimization of immunosuppression rather than use of 92 chemotherapy, but current mortality rates from post-transplant KS are unknown. Therapeutic 93 management is still a challenge, as it requires both controlling the disease whilst maintaining 94 graft function. 95 Reduction of immunosuppression(IS) is an effective therapeutic option to reduce occurrence of malignancies in OTRs<sup>7</sup>, but is limited by the risk of graft rejection. In KS, remission after 96

97 decrease of IS alone ranged from 30% to 50% in retrospective series<sup>6,8</sup>. Moreover, all

98 immunosuppressive drugs do not carry the same risk of malignancies; particularly,

99 mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors(mTORi) have both immunosuppressive effects and

100 direct antineoplastic effects<sup>9</sup>. Sirolimus has been associated with reduced occurrence of skin

```
101 cancers, including KS, and to a lesser extent non skin malignancies^{10-12}.
```

102 Therapy for post-transplant KS has changed over the past two decades. In 2005, conversion to

103 mTORi was shown to have a therapeutic effect: conversion from calcineurin inhibitors (CNI)

104 and/or purine antagonists to sirolimus induced responses in 72-100% of patients<sup>13,14</sup>.

105 However, relapse and the apparent absence of remission in patients with visceral KS were

106 reported in a significant proportion of patients treated with sirolimus <sup>14,15</sup>. Chemotherapy is

- 107 usually required in patients with visceral involvement or rapidly evolving KS and their use
- 108 has been best evaluated in AIDS-related KS<sup>16,17</sup>. The therapeutic armamentarium against post-
- 109 transplant KS is now based upon 3 axes –reduction of IS, conversion to mTORi and use of
- 110 chemotherapy. However, neither comparative prospective trials nor retrospective studies have
- 111 been conducted in post-transplant KS, and no consensus guidelines are available.
- 112 We conducted a retrospective study amongst expert European centers belonging to the Skin
- 113 Care in Organ Transplant Patients, Europe (SCOPE)network, in order to obtain an overview
- 114 of the efficacy of treatment and prognosis in post-transplant KS.
- 115
- 116

#### 117 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 118 **Patients**

This multicenter retrospective study was conducted in 15 transplant centers in 6 countries
(France, United Kingdom, Turkey, Belgium, Netherlands and Spain). The study was approved
by Ethics Committees in each country.

Solid OTRs with a pathologically-confirmed diagnosis of post-transplant KS diagnosedbetween 1985 and 2011 were included. Patients with HIV were not included.

124 Clinical data were collected through a questionnaire completed from medical records, 125 included demographic data, transplantation data, characteristics of KS, KS therapeutic 126 management and response to treatment. KS extension was defined as visceral (at least one site 127 among: lymph node, pulmonary or other visceral organ involvement) or not (for patients with 128 cutaneous and/or mucosal only). First-line therapeutic management was defined as systemic 129 care given in the first two months after KS diagnosis. Therapeutic options were: reduction of 130 IS, conversion to mTORi and/or chemotherapy. Reduction of IS included dose reduction or 131 drug withdrawal for corticosteroids, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), azathioprine or CNI 132 (cyclosporine, tacrolimus).

Response to KS first-line management at 6 months was classified as complete response (CR),
partial response (PR), stable disease (SD) or progressive disease (PD) following the Physician
Global Assessment <sup>18</sup>.

136

### 137 Statistical analyses

138 Characteristics of treatment groups were compared using Fisher's exact tests, Wilcoxon rank-139 sum tests or Kruskall-Wallis tests. Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time 140 delay between the first therapeutic decision to first evidence of disease progression or death, 141 whichever occurred first. Patients were otherwise censored at their last follow-up date. Overall survival(OS) was defined as the time between KS diagnosis and death. PFS and OS were assessed using Kaplan Meier estimator. Graft loss was defined as the occurrence of a second organ transplantation or hemodialysis; the cumulative incidence of graft loss was analyzed in a competing risks framework, with death as competing event.

146 To account for confounding due to baseline imbalance in prognostic factors in the comparison 147 of PFS between patients receiving mTORi to those not receiving mTORi, two approaches 148 were used. First, inverse probability of treatment weighting(IPTW) was used to reconstruct 149 pseudo-populations with similar baseline characteristics. Adjusted Kaplan-Meier curves were then estimated<sup>19</sup>, and a Cox model with robust variance estimator was used for comparison. 150 151 Second, regression adjustment using Cox models was used. Variables used were predefined 152 potential prognostic variables (mucosal KS, lymph node involvement, symptomatic visceral 153 KS, CMV infection, CMV prophylaxis, HSV prophylaxis). HHV8 viral load was not used due 154 to too many missing data. Missing covariates were handled through multiple imputation by chained equations<sup>20,21</sup>. Fifty imputed datasets were created, and analyzed separately. Results 155 156 were then pooled over the imputations according to Rubin's rule.

157 Statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical software version 3.2(The R158 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

#### 160 **RESULTS**

#### 161 **Patient characteristics**

162 145 patients with post-transplant KS diagnosed between February 1985 and April 2011 were 163 enrolled (France, n=109; United-Kingdom, n=14; Turkey, n=9; Belgium, n=7; Netherlands, 164 n=4; Spain, n=2). Ninety-one patients were diagnosed with KS after 2005, when the first 165 study highlighting the benefits of mTORi in post-transplant KS was reported<sup>13</sup>. Baseline 166 characteristics are summarized in **Table 1**. Seventy-six percent of patients were male, with a 167 median age of 53 years. Most patients were kidney transplant recipients (89%).

KS developed within a median time of 17 months after transplantation (IQR,9-38).
Prior history of primary HHV-8 infection was reported in two patients only. All patients were
receiving immunosuppressive therapies, including a CNI for 92%. Four patients had already
been receiving mTOR inhibitor therapy before KS diagnosis.

172 Fifty-one percent of patients had visceral KS, which was symptomatic for 20 patients173 (14%). Pulmonary KS was reported in 20% of patients.

174

#### 175 First-line therapeutic management

First-line therapeutic management was highly variable between patients (**Figure 1**). Nevertheless, most patients shared the common feature of having reduction of IS (95%), which included dose reduction or drug withdrawal for CNI, MMF, azathioprine or corticosteroids. Conversion to mTORi was performed in 28% of patients, mostly in association with reduction of other drugs. Among patients whose KS was diagnosed after 2005 (n=91), 67% had conversion to mTORi, vs. 3% among patients before 2005.

182 Chemotherapy, usually required for severe  $KS^{22,23}$ , was used as first line for 23 183 patients (16%), in addition to reduction of IS (n=12, 8%) or conversion to mTORi (n=10, 184 7%). Cytotoxic agents included liposomal doxorubicin (n=9), bleomycin monotherapy (n=4), ABV (adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine, n=5), vinblastine (n=2), paclitaxel (n=1),
bleomycin and vinblastine (n=1) and vindesine (n=1).

187 In addition, local treatments were reported in 15 patients (surgery, n=8; radiotherapy, n=5; imiquimod, n=2).

189

# 190 Characteristics associated with first-line therapeutic management of KS

191 Given that 95% of patients had reduction of IS, we defined 4 groups of patients among the 192 therapeutic options, i.e. conversion to mTORi and use chemotherapy. Specifically, Group 1 193 (n=92), no mTORi conversion, no chemotherapy; Group 2 (n=13), no mTORi conversion, 194 with chemotherapy; Group 3 (n=30), mTORi conversion, no chemotherapy; Group 4 (n=10), 195 mTORi conversion, with chemotherapy. Group 1 included almost exclusively patients with 196 reduction of IS (97%), which included dose reduction or withdrawal for CNI (n=54), AZA (n=39), MMF (n=11) and/or corticosteroids (n=16). Characteristics of patients in these four 197 198 subgroups are summarized in Table 2.

199 Some characteristics related to KS extent were significantly different between groups. 200 The proportion of patients having symptomatic visceral KS or lymph node involvement was 201 significantly higher in Group 4 than in Groups 1, 2, 3 (P<0.0001). Fifty-five percent of 202 patients with symptomatic visceral KS vs.10% of patients without symptomatic visceral KS, 203 were treated with chemotherapy as first line treatment. Thus, Group 4 was mostly composed 204 of patients with visceral KS: 67% and 90% of patients had symptomatic visceral KS and 205 lymph node involvement, respectively, vs. 6% and 20% of patients in Group 1. Irrespective of 206 chemotherapy use, patients who received mTORi also had more advanced disease, with a 207 higher proportion of symptomatic visceral lesions (P=0.027), more lymph node involvement 208 (P=0.051) and more visceral lesions (P=0.035).

#### 210 KS response to first-line therapeutic management

211 Among 137 evaluable patients, 83% had response to the treatment at 6 months, including 40% 212 with CR and 43% with PR (Figure 2). CR occurred more frequently in patients without 213 visceral involvement (47%) than in patients with visceral disease (30%), while PR was more 214 frequent in patients with visceral involvement (51% vs. 31%). 11% of patients experienced 215 PD at 6 months. For patients who had visceral disease (n=73), 55 were treated with only 216 reduced IS or mTORi and 18 received chemotherapy. 71 patients were evaluable for response. 217 Of the 18 patients treated with chemotherapy, 5 (28%) had a complete response, 8 (44%) had 218 a partial response. Among the 53 evaluable patients with visceral disease not treated with 219 chemotherapy, 17 (32%) had PR and 29 (55%) had CR.

The two most used therapeutic options, which were reduction of IS (97% of patients in Group 1), and reduction of IS associated with conversion to mTORi (90% of patients in Group 3), had a similar response rate of 86%. Conversion to mTORi induced 17% CR and 69% PR. However, patients who did not receive mTORi achieved more CR (P=0.0002) but not more overall responses (CR+PR) (**Figure 2**).

Bearing in mind that patients' characteristics were different between treatment groups (**Table 2**), response rates were similar with chemotherapy. In patients treated with conversion to mTORi, 17% and 69% had CR and PR respectively, while those who had additional chemotherapy had 10% of CR and 70% of PR. Among patients without conversion to mTORi, response rates were lower for those treated with chemotherapy (62% vs. 86%).

Patients with KS relapse or who progressed upon first line treatment (n=52) were
treated with chemotherapy (n=25, 52%), additional reduction of immunosuppression (n=24, 46%) and/or switch to mTORi (15%).

233

234 Survival

The median follow-up time was 91 months from KS diagnosis (7.6 years, range 1 to 276 months). During follow-up, 37 patients died, including 4 deaths due to KS (3%) and 3 of unknown causes. OS was 82% at 5 years(95%CI:75-89%), and 64% at 10 years(95%CI:54-75%). OS was not related to KS extent at diagnosis.

239 Differences in PFS were found between the four Groups (*P*=0.0008) (Figure 3B), with better 240 PFS in Group 1 patients. However, treatment groups differed according to patient baseline 241 characteristics, specifically the extent of disease (Table 2). To account for this confounding 242 due to baseline imbalance in potential prognostic factors, IPTW estimators and regression 243 adjustment were used to compare PFS between patients receiving mTORi or not (but not 244 chemotherapy as this group was too small sample size). Results were similar for unadjusted, 245 IPTW and adjusted analyses, with hazard ratios(HRs) for mTORi vs. no mTORi of 246 2.18(95%CI:1.18-4.05), 2.22(1.23-4.03) and 2.45(1.29-4.645), respectively (Figure 3).

247

### 248 Graft survival

Similar analyses were performed to study the risk of graft failure related to KS management.
Graft loss occurred in 34 patients. Across the 4 groups, the cumulative incidence of graft loss
was not different (P=0.99, Gray test). Using IPTW, the HR of graft rejection for mTORi vs.
no mTORi was not significantly increased nor decreased (HR 0.69; 95% CI: 0.20-2.34).

#### 253 **DISCUSSION**

In this study, patient data from 15 centers across Europe were pooled to obtain an overview of post-transplant KS management and responses to treatment. Treatment was mostly based on IS reduction and conversion to mTORi, inducing response in more than 80% of patients. KSrelated deaths rarely occurred, suggesting that KS can be effectively controlled.

258 mTORi were included in the armamentarium of immunosuppressive drugs since 259 2000<sup>24</sup>. In 2005, Stallone and colleagues demonstrated that mTOR inhibitors induced CR in 100% of 15 patients with post-transplant KS<sup>13</sup>. This effective strategy based on CNI 260 withdrawal and switch to mTORi was confirmed in other studies<sup>14,25–27</sup>, although Lebbé et al. 261 262 reported a significant proportion of relapses (3/14 patients) and resistance in patients with visceral KS<sup>14</sup>. Switch to mTORi became part of the standard management strategy of post-263 transplant KS<sup>22,23</sup>. In the present study, conversion to mTORi induced responses in more than 264 265 80% of patients. However these patients -who certainly had more visceral KS-experienced 266 fewer CRs than those who did not receive mTORi. Statistical maneuvers to adjust for 267 important prognostic factors such as disease extent were undertaken. Despite this, the long-268 term risk of disease progression remained significantly higher in OTR who received mTORi. 269 Reduction of IS is still the cornerstone of post-transplant KS management. In this 270 study almost all patients had minimization of IS, and 50% of CR had been achieved solely by

a decrease of IS. Clinical benefits reported in mTORi conversion and/or chemotherapy groups

272 might be partially attributable to decrease of IS. Moreover, in contrast to prospective studies,

reduction of immunosuppressive therapies is highly heterogeneous in retrospective studies.
Beyond the level of IS, the type of regimen also contributes to the risk of post-transplant
malignancies. CNI were found to have direct oncogenic properties <sup>28–30</sup> and CNI withdrawal
was associated with risk reduction of post-transplant malignancies <sup>10</sup>. Conversely, in KS there

277 is a growing amount of evidence suggesting that mTORi have direct anti-tumor cell effects

that are independent of the immune system  $^{31,32}$ . In contrast, everolimus was unsuccessfully tested in classic KS suggesting that immunosuppressive effects of mTORi could override its antineoplastic properties in immunocompetent patients, while this appears not to be the case in immunocompromised patients  $^{33,34}$ .

282 Chemotherapy is usually required in cases of extensive or symptomatic visceral KS <sup>22</sup>. 283 In our cohort, chemotherapy was used for advanced KS (visceral involvement and/or rapid 284 progression) in fewer than 20% of patients. Response rates were increased in association with 285 mTORi conversion, suggesting that in patients with visceral KS, combination of mTORi and 286 short-term chemotherapy could be an effective strategy.

287 This study represents the largest case series to focus on post-transplant KS and the 288 first to report first-line practices. The retrospective design limits detailed comparison of data 289 as it could not be ruled out that different outcomes in treatment groups were due to 290 unmeasured confounding factors. Screening for KS extension at diagnosis was performed 291 upon local practices, and might be heterogeneous between centers. Missing information as KS 292 treatment received after the first 2 months limited quantity and quality of interpretable data. 293 For instance, data regarding the optimal time to conversion to mTORi after KS diagnosis or 294 the total amount of corticosteroids received after KS diagnosis, which is associated with KS occurrence and outcome <sup>35</sup>, could not be studied in detail. Finally, the study population was 295 296 probably heterogeneous because of the extended inclusion period, during which practices 297 regarding immunosuppressive regimens and KS therapeutic strategy have evolved,

298 particularly pre- and post- $2005^{13}$ .

This study provides insight into clinical practices in post-transplant KS management, which is based on reduction of IS in addition to conversion to mTORi, and/or chemotherapy. The signal from our data that mTORi conversion may be associated with a higher risk of progression is complicated by multiple potential confounders including KS extent, but is an

- 303 indication that further prospective studies are now warranted to precisely assess the long-term
- 304 benefits of conversion to mTORi in the management of post-transplant KS.

# 306 **REFERENCES**

- Schulz TF. Cancer and viral infections in immunocompromised individuals. *Int J Cancer*. 2009;125(8):1755-1763. doi:10.1002/ijc.24741
- Francès C, Mouquet C, Marcelin AG, et al. Outcome of kidney transplant recipients with
   previous human herpesvirus-8 infection. *Transplantation*. 2000;69(9):1776-1779.
- Francès C, Marcelin AG, Legendre C, et al. The impact of preexisting or acquired Kaposi sarcoma herpesvirus infection in kidney transplant recipients on morbidity and survival. *Am J Transplant Off J Am Soc Transplant Am Soc Transpl Surg*.
   2009;9(11):2580-2586. doi:10.1111/j.1600-6143.2009.02816.x
- Grulich AE, van Leeuwen MT, Falster MO, Vajdic CM. Incidence of cancers in people
  with HIV/AIDS compared with immunosuppressed transplant recipients: a metaanalysis. *Lancet Lond Engl.* 2007;370(9581):59-67. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)610502
- 5. Farge D. Kaposi's sarcoma in organ transplant recipients. The Collaborative
  Transplantation Research Group of Ile de France. *Eur J Med.* 1993;2(6):339-343.
- 321 6. Penn I. Kaposi's sarcoma in transplant recipients. *Transplantation*. 1997;64(5):669-673.
- 322 7. Dantal J, Hourmant M, Cantarovich D, et al. Effect of long-term immunosuppression in kidney-graft recipients on cancer incidence: randomised comparison of two cyclosporin regimens. *Lancet Lond Engl.* 1998;351(9103):623-628. doi:10.1016/S0140325 6736(97)08496-1
- Barete S, Calvez V, Mouquet C, et al. Clinical features and contribution of virological findings to the management of Kaposi sarcoma in organ-allograft recipients. *Arch Dermatol.* 2000;136(12):1452-1458.
- 329 9. Geissler EK, Schlitt HJ, Thomas G. mTOR, cancer and transplantation. *Am J Transplant*330 *Off J Am Soc Transplant Am Soc Transpl Surg*. 2008;8(11):2212-2218.
  331 doi:10.1111/j.1600-6143.2008.02391.x
- Campistol JM, Eris J, Oberbauer R, et al. Sirolimus therapy after early cyclosporine
   withdrawal reduces the risk for cancer in adult renal transplantation. *J Am Soc Nephrol JASN*. 2006;17(2):581-589. doi:10.1681/ASN.2005090993
- Euvrard S, Morelon E, Rostaing L, et al. Sirolimus and secondary skin-cancer
  prevention in kidney transplantation. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(4):329-339.
  doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1204166
- Hoogendijk-van den Akker JM, Harden PN, Hoitsma AJ, et al. Two-year randomized
  controlled prospective trial converting treatment of stable renal transplant recipients with
  cutaneous invasive squamous cell carcinomas to sirolimus. *J Clin Oncol.*2013;31(10):1317-1323. doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.45.6376
- Stallone G, Schena A, Infante B, et al. Sirolimus for Kaposi's sarcoma in renaltransplant recipients. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352(13):1317-1323.
  doi:10.1056/NEJMoa042831

- 345 14. Lebbé C, Euvrard S, Barrou B, et al. Sirolimus conversion for patients with 346 posttransplant Kaposi's sarcoma. Am J Transplant Off J Am Soc Transplant Am Soc 347 Transpl Surg. 2006;6(9):2164-2168. doi:10.1111/j.1600-6143.2006.01412.x 348 15. Monaco AP. The role of mTOR inhibitors in the management of posttransplant 349 malignancy. Transplantation. 2009;87(2):157-163. doi:10.1097/TP.0b013e318193886e 350 16. Cianfrocca M, Lee S, Von Roenn J, et al. Randomized trial of paclitaxel versus 351 pegylated liposomal doxorubicin for advanced human immunodeficiency virus-352 associated Kaposi sarcoma: evidence of symptom palliation from chemotherapy. 353 Cancer. 2010;116(16):3969-3977. doi:10.1002/cncr.25362 354 17. Little RF, Aleman K, Kumar P, et al. Phase 2 study of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin 355 in combination with interleukin-12 for AIDS-related Kaposi sarcoma. Blood. 356 2007;110(13):4165-4171. doi:10.1182/blood-2007-06-097568 357 18. Pourcher V, Desnover A, Assoumou L, et al. Phase II Trial of Lenalidomide in HIV-358 Infected Patients with Previously Treated Kaposi's Sarcoma: Results of the ANRS 154 359 Lenakap Trial. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2017;33(1):1-10. 360 doi:10.1089/AID.2016.0069
- 361 19. Xie J, Liu C. Adjusted Kaplan-Meier estimator and log-rank test with inverse probability
  362 of treatment weighting for survival data. *Stat Med.* 2005;24(20):3089-3110.
  363 doi:10.1002/sim.2174
- Rubin DB, Schenker N. Multiple imputation in health-care databases: an overview and
   some applications. *Stat Med.* 1991;10(4):585-598.
- White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues
  and guidance for practice. *Stat Med.* 2011;30(4):377-399. doi:10.1002/sim.4067
- 22. Lebbé C, Legendre C, Francès C. Kaposi sarcoma in transplantation. *Transplant Rev*Orlando Fla. 2008;22(4):252-261. doi:10.1016/j.trre.2008.05.004
- Riva G, Luppi M, Barozzi P, Forghieri F, Potenza L. How I treat HHV8/KSHV-related
  diseases in posttransplant patients. *Blood*. 2012;120(20):4150-4159. doi:10.1182/blood2012-04-421412
- Kahan BD. Efficacy of sirolimus compared with azathioprine for reduction of acute
  renal allograft rejection: a randomised multicentre study. The Rapamune US Study
  Group. *Lancet Lond Engl.* 2000;356(9225):194-202.
- 25. Campistol JM, Schena FP. Kaposi's sarcoma in renal transplant recipients--the impact of
   proliferation signal inhibitors. *Nephrol Dial Transplant Off Publ Eur Dial Transpl Assoc Eur Ren Assoc*. 2007;22 Suppl 1:i17-22. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfm089
- 379 26. Hernández-Sierra A, Rovira J, Petit A, et al. Role of HHV-8 and mTOR pathway in
  380 post-transplant Kaposi sarcoma staging. *Transpl Int Off J Eur Soc Organ Transplant*.
  381 May 2016. doi:10.1111/tri.12800

- 382 27. Gutiérrez-Dalmau A, Sánchez-Fructuoso A, Sanz-Guajardo A, et al. Efficacy of
  383 conversion to sirolimus in posttransplantation Kaposi's sarcoma. *Transplant Proc.*384 2005;37(9):3836-3838. doi:10.1016/j.transproceed.2005.10.076
- 385 28. Hojo M, Morimoto T, Maluccio M, et al. Cyclosporine induces cancer progression by a
  386 cell-autonomous mechanism. *Nature*. 1999;397(6719):530-534. doi:10.1038/17401
- 387 29. Guba M, Graeb C, Jauch K-W, Geissler EK. Pro- and anti-cancer effects of
  388 immunosuppressive agents used in organ transplantation. *Transplantation*.
  389 2004;77(12):1777-1782.
- 30. Datta D, Contreras AG, Basu A, et al. Calcineurin inhibitors activate the proto-oncogene
  Ras and promote protumorigenic signals in renal cancer cells. *Cancer Res.*2009;69(23):8902-8909. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-1404
- 393 31. Roy D, Sin S-H, Lucas A, et al. mTOR inhibitors block Kaposi sarcoma growth by
  394 inhibiting essential autocrine growth factors and tumor angiogenesis. *Cancer Res.*395 2013;73(7):2235-2246. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-1851
- 396 32. Barozzi P, Riva G, Vallerini D, et al. Indirect antitumor effects of mammalian target of
   rapamycin inhibitors against Kaposi sarcoma in transplant patients. *Transplantation*.
   2009;88(4):597-598. doi:10.1097/TP.0b013e3181b15d56
- 399 33. Mourah S, Porcher R, Battistella M, et al. Paradoxical simultaneous regression and
   400 progression of lesions in a phase ii study of everolimus in classic kaposi's sarcoma. *Br J* 401 *Dermatol.* 2015;173(5):1284-1287. doi:10.1111/bjd.13897
- 402 34. Krown SE, Roy D, Lee JY, et al. Rapamycin with antiretroviral therapy in AIDS403 associated Kaposi sarcoma: an AIDS Malignancy Consortium study. *J Acquir Immune*404 *Defic Syndr 1999.* 2012;59(5):447-454. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31823e7884
- 405 35. Trattner A, Hodak E, David M, Sandbank M. The appearance of Kaposi sarcoma during corticosteroid therapy. *Cancer*. 1993;72(5):1779-1783.
- 407

	Characteristics	Overall population N=145			
	Age, years (IQR)	53 (44 to 62)			
	Male gender, n (%)	110 (76)			
	Region of birth, n (%)				
	Sub-Saharan Africa/Caribbean	76 (56)			
	Mediterranean	47 (35)			
	Northern Europe	13 (9)			
	NA	9			
	Transplanted organ, n (%)				
	Kidney	127 (89)			
	Heart	3 (2)			
S	Liver	5 (3)			
II	Lung	3 (2)			
IS	Other	5 (4)			
ER	NA	2			
E	Induction therapy, n (%)				
AC	Yes	102 (81)			
AR	No	24 (19)			
H,	NA	19			
PATIENTS CHARACTERISTICS	Treatment of induction				
E	Steroids	76 (60)			
E	ATG	51 (40)			
E	Anti-IL-2 receptor	33 (26)			
PA	OKT3	8 (6)			
	Rejection episodes, n (%)				
	No	79 (59)			
	Yes	56 (41)			
	NA	10			
	Treatment of rejection				
	Steroids	47 (70)			
	ATG	10 (15)			
	OKT3	3 (5)			
	Rituximab	1 (2)			
	IVIG	3 (11)			
	Immunosuppressive drugs at KS diagnosis				
Ś	CS + CNI + PI	109 (76)			
JC.	CS + CNI	20 (14)			
LS	CS + PI	8 (6)			
RI	CS + mTOR inh + CNI or PI	4 (3)			
TE	Other	4 (3)			
KS CHARACTERISTICS	KS extension, n (%)				
$\mathbf{R}$	Cutaneous only	60 (42)			
ΗA	Mucosal (+/- cutaneous, w/o visceral)	11 (8)			
C	Visceral (+/- cutaneous/mucosal)	73 (50)			
SN	NA	1			
	Lymph node involvement, n (%)	39 (33)			
	Gastrointestinal involvement	47 (36%)			

**Table 1: Patients and Kaposi sarcoma characteristics** 

	29 (20)
Pulmonary KS, n (%)	
HHV8 detection	
Positive HHV8 viral load, n (%)	29 (54)
Positive LANA IHC, n (%)	88 (98)
Positive latent IF serology, n (%)	74 (91)

411 ATG, antithymocyte globulin; CNI, calcineurin inhibitor; CS: corticosteroids; IF,

412 immunofluorescence; IHC, immunohistochemistry; IL-2, interleukine-2; IVIG, intravenous

413 immunoglobulin; KS, Kaposi sarcoma; mTOR inh: mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor;

414 NA, not available; OKT3, anti-CD3 antibody; PI, purine inhibitor.

415 Other immunosuppressive drugs at KS diagnosis: no treatment (n=1), CNI alone (n=1),

416 CNI+PI (n=1), unknown treatment (n=1).

417

# **Table 2: Characteristics of patients in the 4 first-line treatment groups**

	First line treatment of KS				Р
Variable (n, %)	No conversion to mTOR inhibitor		Conversion to mTOR inhibitor		
	Group 1: No chemotherapy	Group 2: With chemotherapy	Group 3: No chemotherapy	Group 4:With chemotherapy	
Mean age (SD), years	52.8 (13.3)	42.2 (17.7)	55.9 (13.7)	47.5 (10.4)	0.010
Male gender	70 (76)	12 (92)	22 (73)	6 (60)	0.34
Sub-Saharan/Caribbean origin	43 (49)	4 (31)	15 (58)	9 (90)	0.030
Median time to KS diagnosis (IQR), months	19 (10 to 40)	14 (8 to 39)	15 (8 to 35)	16 (10 to 24)	0.76
Mucosal KS	14 (15)	2 (15)	6 (20)	2 (20)	0.87
Lymph node involvement	18 (20)	5 (38)	7 (23)	9 (90)	<0.000 1
Symptomatic visceral KS	5 (6)	5 (38)	4 (14)	6 (67)	<0.000 1
HSV prophylaxis	23 (33)	5 (56)	17 (61)	7 (70)	0.021
Overall	92 (63)	13 (9)	30 (21)	10 (7)	-

423 IQR, interquartile range; KS, Kaposi sarcoma; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin

#### 428 Figure legends

429 Figure 1: First-line treatment combination for post-transplant Kaposi sarcoma.
430 Strategies included combination of reduction of immunosuppression, conversion mTOR
431 inhibitors and chemotherapy.

432

# 433 **Figure 2**: **Response at 6 months to first-line treatment.**

Responses classified as complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD)
and progressive disease (PD) in the response-evaluable population (n=137) are plotted for
each group of treatment.

437

#### 438 Figure 3: Survival analyses for patients with post-transplant Kaposi sarcoma.

Left, Unadjusted Kaplan-Meier curves for progression-free survival according to first-line
treatment; right, Adjusted Kaplan-Meier curves for progression-free survival between patients
receiving mTOR inhibitors or not. The group of patients receiving chemotherapy was too
small to be included in the adjusted analyses.

110

# Fig 1





