

Nitrone-Trolox conjugate as an inhibitor of lipid oxidation: Towards synergistic antioxidant effects

Larissa Socrier, Marie Rosselin, Ana Milena Gomez Giraldo, Benjamin Chantemargue, Florent Di Meo, Patrick Trouillas, Grégory Durand, Sandrine Morandat

▶ To cite this version:

Larissa Socrier, Marie Rosselin, Ana Milena Gomez Giraldo, Benjamin Chantemargue, Florent Di Meo, et al.. Nitrone-Trolox conjugate as an inhibitor of lipid oxidation: Towards synergistic antioxidant effects. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta:Biomembranes, 2019, 1861, pp.1489 - 1501. 10.1016/j.bbamem.2019.06.008 . hal-03488134

HAL Id: hal-03488134 https://hal.science/hal-03488134v1

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005273619301403 Manuscript_b6ae56882d134e5db9a5b79fc3ff9217

1	Nitrone-Trolox conjugate as an inhibitor of lipid oxidation: Towards synergistic antioxidant effects
2	Larissa Socrier ^{a,} *, Marie Rosselin ^b , Ana Milena Gomez Giraldo ^a , Benjamin Chantemargue ^{c, d} , Florent Di
3	Meo ^c , Patrick Trouillas ^{c, d} , Grégory Durand ^b and Sandrine Morandat ^a
4	
5	^a Sorbonne Universités, Université de technologie de Compiègne. CNRS, Génie Enzymatique et Cellulaire, FRE
6	3580. Centre de recherches Royallieu, CS 60319 – 60203 Compiègne cedex, France;
7	^b Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron (UMR 5247 CNRS-Université Montpellier-ENSCM) & Avignon
8	University, Equipe Chimie Bioorganique et Systèmes Amphiphiles, 301 rue Baruch de Spinoza, F-84916 Avignon
9	Cedex 9, France ;
10	^c INSERM, Univ. Limoges, IPPRITT, U1248, Faculty of Pharmacy, 2 rue du Dr Marcland, 87025 Limoges, France;
11	^d RCPTM, Palacký University, Faculty of Sciences, Šlechtitelů 27, 78371, Olomouc, Czech Republic
12	
13	*Corresponding author: las218@lehigh.edu, Tel: +1 (610) 758 5328
14	Present address: Lehigh University, Department of Physics. 416 Lewis Laboratory, 16 Memorial Drive East. Bethlehem,

- 15 Pennsylvania, 18 015. USA.
- 16

17 Abstract

radical scavengers like α-phenyl-*N-tert*-butylnitrone (PBN) and 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-18 Free tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox) have been widely used as protective agents in various 19 20 biomimetic and biological models. A series of three amphiphilic Trolox and PBN derivatives have been designed by adding to those molecules a perfluorinated chain as well as a sugar group in order to 21 render them amphiphilic. In this work, we have studied the interactions between these derivatives and 22 lipid membranes to understand how they influence their ability to prevent membrane lipid oxidation. 23 We showed the derivatives better inhibited the AAPH-induced oxidation of 1,2-dilinoleoyl-sn-glycero-24 3-phosphocholine (DLiPC) small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) than the parent compounds. One of the 25 derivatives, bearing both PBN and Trolox moieties on the same fluorinated carrier, exhibited a 26 synergistic antioxidant effect by delaying the oxidation process. We next investigated the ability of the 27 derivatives to interact with DLiPC membranes in order to better understand the differences observed 28 regarding the antioxidant properties. Surface tension and fluorescence spectroscopy experiments 29 30 revealed the derivatives exhibited the ability to form monolayers at the air/water interface and spontaneously penetrated lipid membranes, underlying pronounced hydrophobic properties in 31 32 comparison to the parent compounds. We observed a correlation between the hydrophobic properties, the depth of penetration and the antioxidant properties and showed that the location of 33 these derivatives in the membrane is a key parameter to rationalize their antioxidant efficiency. 34 35 Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations supported the understanding of the mechanism of action, highlighting various key physical-chemical descriptors. 36

- 37
- 38 Key words: model membranes; lipid oxidation; amphiphilic nitrone; Trolox; antioxidant; synergism

39 **1. Introduction**

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced in living organisms and are involved in several 40 41 important biological processes such as signaling pathways [1], antimicrobial defense [2] or cell 42 adhesion [3]. However, the deregulation of physiological processes as well as the exposure to environmental factors like pollution, radiations or tobacco smoke may favor an overproduction of ROS 43 [4], which unbalance the redox status of cells and lead to oxidative stress. Because of their ability to 44 damage biomolecules, in particular membrane polyunsaturated lipids which are degraded through a 45 reaction named lipid peroxidation [5]; ROS are involved in the early stages of various diseases [6–8] as 46 well as the deterioration of cosmetic [9], food [10,11] and pharmaceutical supplies [12]. 47

In order to limit the deleterious effects of ROS, living organisms use several antioxidant defense 48 systems that are either endogenous - mainly enzymes but also small molecules such as glutathione -49 or exogenous [13]. Exogenous antioxidants, essentially polyphenols and vitamins, come from food. To 50 improve their properties, bioinspired molecules have been developed based on these natural 51 52 compounds. In this regard, Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid), a water 53 soluble analog of α -tocopherol, has been used to prevent apoptosis [14], in vitro or ex vivo ischemia [15] and membrane lipid oxidation [16]. Trolox is also used as a reference in the evaluation of 54 55 antioxidant activities. Namely, the Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) parameter measures the potency of a given antioxidant. TEAC also allows the comparison of antioxidant efficiency of food 56 57 matrices and beverages, for which isolation of molecules can rarely been achieved [17,18]. Also, because of their enhanced reactivity against free radicals, spin trapping agents can be used as free 58 59 radical scavengers [19]. Among them, α -phenyl-*N*-tert-butylnitrone (PBN) is one of the most popular. PBN was initially used in electron spin resonance (ESR) experiments for the detection of free radicals 60 61 under chemical and biological environments, however, it has later been used in biological models as an antioxidant [20,21]. Although PBN has the advantage of being a non-toxic chemically stable antioxidant 62 with a half-life of six hours in animals [22], it presents like Trolox a poor ability to remain inside 63

membranes. To create antioxidants with a greater hydrophobicity and therefore a better affinity for 64 membranes, several molecules deriving from PBN and Trolox have been designed. For instance, Ayuso 65 66 et al. [23], as well as Choteau et al. [24], reported the synthesis of PBN derivatives bearing a cholesterol moiety. In both cases, these authors demonstrated that compared to PBN, the derivatives 67 presented an enhanced protective activity against stroke and retina light-induced damages, 68 69 respectively. Besides the need of increasing the affinity for the membrane, a strategy that has gained attention is to combine two (or more) antioxidant moieties in a single molecule [25]. In this regard, 70 Trolox was combined to lipoic acid [26], silybin [27] as well as a nitric oxide synthase inhibitor [28]. 71 72 Along the same line, Trolox and PBN amphiphilic derivatives [29,30] have recently been synthesized. 73 They bear, on one hand, a perfluorinated chain to increase hydrophobicity without inducing a cytolytic effect [31] and on the other hand, a sugar group to maintain water solubility (Fig. 1). 74

75 In the present work, we have studied the antioxidant properties of these derivatives related to their capacity to interact with lipid membranes, which in turn has allowed unraveling their antioxidant 76 77 properties by using biomimetic systems. The antioxidant action at the membrane was evaluated by 78 performing Langmuir monolayers and lipid peroxidation inhibition assays. Surface tension and fluorescence spectroscopy experiments showed the capacity of these derivatives to interact with 79 membrane lipids, with respect to the parent compounds (Trolox and PBN). The nature of the free-80 81 radical scavenger moieties and their location in the membranes were analyzed as key parameters to 82 rationalize the antioxidant activity of these derivatives, which was supported by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. 83

85

2. Materials and methods

86 2.1. <u>Chemicals</u>

Vials of 1,2-dilinoleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DLiPC) powder were purchased from Avanti
Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA). 2,2'-azo-bis(2-amidinopropane)dihydrochloride (AAPH), 4-(2hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (Hepes), (±)-6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchromane-2carboxylic acid (Trolox) and α-phenyl-*N-tert*-butylnitrone (PBN) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis,
MO, USA). FAPBN [29], FATx [29] and FATxPBN [30] were synthesized according to the procedure
previously published.

The water used in all assays was purified using a Millipore filtering system (Bedford, MA, USA), yielding ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ×cm). Stock solutions of DLiPC were prepared by dissolving the DLiPC powder contained in the vials in chloroform or hexane/ethanol 9:1 (v/v) for the preparation of vesicles and monolayers, respectively. The antioxidants were dissolved in chloroform and ethanol for the experiments involving vesicles and monolayers, respectively. log*P* values were calculated with the software ChemSketch version 14.01, Advanced Chemistry Development, Inc. (Toronto, ON, Canada).

99

100 2.2. Preparation of DLiPC vesicles

101 DLiPC alone (control assay) and DLiPC/antioxidant mixtures dissolved in chloroform were dried 102 under a stream of nitrogen and then kept under high vacuum for 2 hours to obtain a solvent-free film. 103 The dried film was then dispersed in Hepes buffer to obtain a solution of DLiPC multilamellar vesicles 104 (MLVs) at a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. Small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) were obtained by sonicating 105 MLVs to clarity (3 cycles of 2 min 30 s) with a 500 W titanium probe sonicator at 33 % of the maximal power from Fisher Bioblock Scientific (Illkirch-Graffenstaden, Grand-Est, France). To limit oxidation due 106 107 to heat and light, sonication was performed in the dark and samples were kept in an ice bath. Then, 108 the SUVs solution was filtered on 0.2 μm Acrodisc[®] from Pall Life Sciences (Port Washington, NY, USA) 109 to remove titanium particles. To prepare large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs), the MLVs suspension was extruded 19 times at room temperature through a 200 nm nuclepore polycarbonate membrane filter
from Avestin Inc. (Ottawa, Ontario, Canada) with a syringe-type extruder from Avanti Polar lipids Inc.
(Alabaster, AL, USA). SUVs and LUVs size distribution were determined by dynamic light scattering with
a Zetasizer Nano-S from Malvern Instruments (Malvern, Worcestershire, UK). The sizes were on
average 60 nm after sonication and 190 nm after extrusion. The distributions were unimodal.

- 115
- 116 2.3. Evaluation of the antioxidant efficiency of the fluorinated derivatives

The antioxidant efficiency of the non-modified compounds as well as the derivatives was assessed 117 by evaluating their ability to protect lipid vesicles from oxidation. Because they are frequently used to 118 evaluate the antioxidant properties of various molecules [32,33], SUVs were chosen to perform these 119 120 experiments. DLiPC SUVs (control assay) and DLiPC SUVs containing fluorinated derivatives, Trolox or 121 PBN at different concentrations were prepared in Hepes buffer as described in section 2.2. The vesicles 122 were diluted to a final DLiPC concentration of 0.1 mg/mL AAPH, an azo compound widely used in 123 biochemical reactions to study lipid oxidation [34], was added at a final concentration of 2 mM and the samples were immediately incubated at 37°C. The heat triggered the degradation of AAPH into peroxyl 124 125 radicals that initiated the oxidation of the vesicles [35]. As they absorb ultraviolet light between 230 126 and 235 nm [36] and since they constitute the primary oxidation products of polyunsaturated lipids [5,37], conjugated dienes were used as an indicator of lipid oxidation. Their appearance was followed 127 128 by measuring the absorbance at 234 nm with a Specord S300 UV–VIS spectrophotometer (Jena, Thuringia, Germany). The values were normalized to zero by subtracting the initial absorbance (0.8 ± 129 130 0.2). The results are expressed as percentages of peroxidation, calculated as follows:

131
$$Lipid \ oxidation \ (\%) = \frac{Absorbance_{sample}}{Absorbance \ max_{Control}} * 100 \ (eq. 3)$$

The curves were fitted by applying a dose-response model with variable slope with the softwareGraphPadPrism version 6.00 for Windows (La Jolla, CA, USA).

To further characterize the differences in the antioxidant efficiency of the derivatives, we calculated
the lag time of oxidation as follows:

136

$$lag time = time_{10/20\%}^{sample} - time_{10/20\%}^{control} (eq.4)$$

where $time_{10/20\%}$ corresponds to the time required to reach 10 or 20 % of oxidation for the DLiPC SUVs containing 6,4 µM of antioxidant (5 %, mol/mol compared to DLiPC) and for the pure DLiPC vesicles (control assay).

140

141 2.4. <u>Surface pressure experiments</u>

142 All the experiments were performed at constant temperature (21.0 ± 0.1°C). The film balance was 143 built by Nima (Coventry, West Midlands, England) for adsorption experiments on a small Teflon dish. The dish (surface=19.6 cm²) was equipped with a Wilhelmy-type pressure measuring system. In these 144 145 experiments, we used a subphase buffer of 62 mL continuously stirred with a magnetic stirrer spinning 146 at a rate of 100 rpm. The buffer contained 10 mM of Hepes, 150 mM of NaCl and was adjusted at a pH 147 of 7.4. To prepare Gibbs monolayers, the compounds – dissolved in ethanol – were injected in the 148 subphase at two ranges of concentrations: from 1 to 40 μ M for PBN and Trolox, from 0.0625 to 10 μ M 149 for the fluorinated derivatives. Surface tension was recorded during 5 min after the injection.

150 In order to study the adsorption of the compounds to DLiPC monolayers, DLiPC was dissolved in 151 hexane/ethanol 9:1 (v/v) and spread at the air-water interface to reach the desired surface pressure. 152 As soon as the initial surface pressure was stabilized (~ 15 min), the compounds were injected into the 153 subphase at a final concentration of 50 nM. Their adsorption at the air-lipid buffer interface was then followed by measuring the variation of surface pressure during 15 minutes. As monolayers of 154 155 unsaturated lipids tend to oxidize in contact with air [38,39], we made sure the experiments lasted less 156 than 40 minutes to avoid a loss of stability due to lipid oxidation. A control experiment was also 157 performed by injecting ethanol in the subphase, which produced no significant perturbation of the 158 surface pressure. The binding parameters [40,41] were determined with the calculator available on the following webpage: http://www.crchudequebec.ulaval.ca/BindingParametersCalculator/. The kinetics of adsorption of the antioxidants in DLiPC monolayers was studied by fitting the data to the following equation [42,43]:

$$ln[(\Pi_{\infty} - \Pi_t)/(\Pi_{\infty} - \Pi_i)] = kt \ (eq.5)$$

where Π_{∞} designates the equilibrium surface pressure after the injection of the antioxidants in the subphase of the monolayer, Π_i the initial surface pressure of the monolayer, Π_t the surface pressure at a time t and k the rate coefficient. Π_{∞} - Π_i can also be defined as $\Delta \Pi$, which designates the maximum increase of surface pressure induced by the penetration of the antioxidants in DLiPC monolayers.

167

168 2.5. Effect of the antioxidants on the permeability of the membrane

169 The ability of the fluorinated antioxidants to permeabilize DLiPC vesicles was measured with the calcein release assay. LUVs were chosen instead of SUVs in order to encapsulate larger amounts of 170 171 calcein and because the extrusion process allows the elimination of the concentric layers of MLVs 172 without releasing the encapsulated calcein. DLiPC LUVs were prepared as described in section 2.2 with 173 Hepes buffer containing 35 mM calcein. Free calcein was separated from encapsulated calcein by gel 174 filtration on a sepharose 4B column equilibrated with Hepes buffer. Lipids were quantified with the 175 method developed by Stewart [44]. The solution containing calcein loaded LUVs was diluted to a final 176 concentration of 10 µM lipids in Hepes buffer. Fluorinated antioxidants dissolved in ethanol were added after 5 min at a ratio of 5 % (mol/mol) compared to DLiPC. Fluorescence measurements were 177 178 performed at 21 °C as soon as the LUVs were prepared, immediately after the addition of fluorinated 179 derivatives and after 30, 60 min and 120 min of incubation with a Varian Cary Eclipse fluorescence 180 spectrophotometer (Santa Clara, CA, USA). We used excitation and emission wavelengths of 490 and 181 520 nm respectively. Triton X-100 was added after two hours in order to release the total amount of encapsulated calcein. The intrinsic fluorescence of fluorinated antioxidants at 520 nm was verified and 182

the values we found were not significant. Release percentages were calculated with the followingequation:

Calcein release (%) =
$$\frac{(F_{1-}F_0)}{(F_{Tot} - F_0)} * 100 (eq.2)$$

where F_0 designates the fluorescence of the LUVs measured in absence of fluorinated derivatives, F_1 the fluorescence of the LUVs measured after addition of fluorinated derivatives and F_{Tot} the fluorescence measured after addition of Triton X100 that triggers the release of the encapsulated calcein.

190

191 2.6. <u>Theoretical methodology</u>

Two DLiPC membranes made of 128 and 72 lipids each, were created using the membrane bilayer 192 193 builder from the CHARMM-GUI server [45]. The membranes were solvated with a hydration number of 194 35 water molecules per lipid. Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions were added at a 0.154 M concentration, ensuring the neutrality of the system. The DLiPC lipids were described using the lipid 11 force field [46]. The force 195 196 field parameters for the different compounds (Trolox, anionic Trolox, PBN, FATx, FAPBN, FATxPBN) 197 were derived from the Generalized Amber Force Field version 2 (GAFF2) [47-49] for all moieties but the sugars, which were described by the GLYCAM force field [50], using the antechamber package [51]. 198 199 FATx, FAPBN and FATxPBN were built using a building block approach (*i.e.*, considering the β -Dgalactopyranosyl, 2,6-diaminohexanoyl, 1-amino-dodecafluorooctanyl, Trolox and PBN residues). 200 201 Atomic charges were derived from RESP (Restrained fit of Electro Static Potential) based on the 202 calculations achieved within the Density Functional Theory (DFT) formalism with the IEFPCM-B3LYP/ccpVDZ method in diethylether [52], accounting for the chemical environment in the building block 203 204 approach. The DFT calculations and the atomic charge fitting were performed with the Gaussian 09, 205 RevA [53] and R.E.D. III [54] softwares, respectively. The three-point TIP3P water model [55] was used 206 to describe water molecules. The anionic Trolox was used because, regarding the low pKa of the 207 carboxylic moiety, Trolox exists in its deprotonated form in the bulk water, and both (neutral and anionic) forms may co-exist in the bilayer. However, regarding insertion in membrane, no significant
 differences were observed between the two forms, therefore the results have not been detailed.

210 MD simulations were carried out using CPU-Particle-Mesh Ewald (PME) [56] MD codes available in 211 Amber16 [57,58], and according to the following procedure: minimization of water molecules prior to 212 minimization of the entire system to prevent from steric clash; slow thermalization of the water molecules up to 100 K in the (N,V,T) ensemble for 200 ps; thermalization of the whole system to the 213 214 final temperature (310 K) for 500 ps in the (N,P,T) ensemble; equilibration of the system for 5 ns (N,P,T) MD simulations. Productions of 600 ns (N,P,T) MD simulations were then achieved. PME MD 215 simulations were carried out using the SHAKE algorithm [59] on H-bonds and a 10 Å non covalent 216 217 interaction cut-off for both Coulomb and van der Waals interactions. The temperature was maintained 218 using the Langevin dynamics [60] with a collision frequency of 1 ps⁻¹. Semi-isotropic pressure scaling 219 with constant surface tension in the xy-plane perpendicular to the membrane normal (z-axis) was used 220 with Berendsen barostat [61], in which the pressure relaxation time was set to 1 ps.

221 The compounds were inserted in the bulk water of the equilibrated membrane systems, preventing 222 from steric clash with water molecules. MD simulations of 600 ns were then carried out with all the 223 compounds; the total MD simulation time for the six derivatives was 3.6 µs. The analyses were 224 performed along the last 300 ns, which allowed a robust sampling of structural properties, i.e., after 225 the equilibrium was reached. They were carried out using the cpptraj software [62]. The positions of 226 the center of mass (COM) or key moieties of the different compounds in membranes are given with respect to the middle of the bilayer (i.e., z-component of the vector originated at the COM of the lipid 227 228 bilayer and pointing towards the COM of the compound). The orientation of the compounds in the 229 lipid bilayer was assessed by the α -angle between the z-axis and the normal vector(s) defined for each 230 compound (see supplemental data).

231

232

233 2.7. <u>Statistical analysis</u>

Statistical analysis was performed with the software GraphPadPrism version 6.0 for Windows (La Jolla, CA, USA). Since our samples are small (N<30) and because the values do not follow a normal distribution, the Mann-Whitney/Wilcoxon test was carried out to compare the combination indexes of the compounds at low (*i.e.* less than 1 μ M) and high (*i.e.* more than 1 μ M) concentrations. *p* values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

240 **3. Results**

241 3.1. <u>Fluorinated derivatives are efficient to limit lipid peroxidation</u>

242 In order to evaluate the antioxidant efficiency of the compounds, DLiPC SUVs containing the parent 243 compounds or the fluorinated derivatives were prepared and incubated with AAPH. Oxidation 244 percentages were calculated with eq.3 as described in section 2.3 and reported over time. In absence of antioxidant we observed an immediate increase of the percentages of oxidation. The exponential 245 246 phase was reached after 10 min and the percentages of oxidation kept increasing until reaching a plateau at 60 min (Fig. 2A). This increase is due to the formation of conjugated dienes which are the 247 primary products of lipid oxidation [5,37], and which in turn drive the beginning of the kinetics. 248 249 Consequently, after 80 min, the percentages of lipid oxidation in absence of antioxidants decreased, as 250 the oxidation process continued beyond the conjugated dienes stage. Namely, conjugated dienes were 251 progressively replaced by secondary peroxidation products that are not detected at 234 nm. With 252 increasing concentrations of FATxPBN, oxidation percentages firstly increased slower than the control, 253 which suggests an ability of FATxPBN to slow down the oxidation process. The exponential phase 254 started after 60 min, at a higher level of oxidation than the control, causing the oxidation percentages 255 to go over 100 % after 100 min. Also, we noticed a gradual decrease of oxidation percentages, showing 256 a dose-dependent antioxidant efficiency of FATxPBN (Fig. 2A). Similar tendencies, although they were less pronounced, were observed with Trolox, FATx and FAPBN (Fig. S1). 257

To better compare the antioxidant efficiencies, we reported the percentages of oxidation at 80 min (*i.e.*, the time required to reach the plateau in the absence of antioxidant) versus the antioxidant concentration (Fig. 2B-F). For FATxPBN and FATx, concentrations ranging from 1.6 to 6.4 μ M appeared sufficient to reach the maximal antioxidant efficiency. FAPBN was significantly less efficient as saturation was reached with a concentration of 38.4 μ M. No significant antioxidant efficiency was observed for PBN, even at high concentrations (*i.e.* 25.6-38.4 μ M). Trolox, FATxPBN, FATx and FAPBN presented IC₅₀ (concentration required to half-reduce the oxidation in absence of antioxidant) values of

4.0, 0.6, 0.9, and 29.5 μ M, respectively, whereas no IC₅₀ could be determined for PBN. The better capacity of Trolox to inhibit lipid oxidation in comparison to PBN agrees with previous work [30], where we showed that Trolox was more efficient than PBN to scavenge ABTS^{+•} radicals. In the present work, all three fluorinated derivatives exhibited lower IC₅₀ than their parent compounds. Indeed, FATxPBN and FATx exhibited IC₅₀ values ~ 5 times lower than Trolox (0.6 and 0.9 μ M versus 4.0 μ M). FAPBN displayed an IC₅₀ of 29.5 μ M while PBN presented no IC₅₀.

271 To better compare the most efficient derivatives (*i.e.* FATxPBN and FATx), we determined the lag times (Fig. 3), which represent the time required to reach either 10 or 20 % lipid peroxidation for DLiPC 272 SUVs containing 5 % (mol/mol, concentration at which the antioxidant efficiency was optimal) of 273 274 antioxidant. Except for PBN and FAPBN at 20% oxidation $(1.5 \pm 2.0 \text{ and } 6.0 \pm 1.0 \text{ min}, \text{ respectively})$, the 275 lag times of the fluorinated antioxidants did not significantly differ compared to that of the parent 276 compounds. In the case of FATxPBN, the lag time to reach 10 and 20 % of oxidation was significantly 277 higher than the two other derivatives, suggesting that this molecule has a different kinetic inhibition 278 process which efficiently delayed the oxidation process. These data confirmed a significantly improved 279 antioxidant efficiency of the fluorinated antioxidants with respect to the parent compounds.

280

281 3.2. Interactions of the antioxidants with DLiPC membranes

282 3.2.1. Interfacial behavior of the antioxidants

To characterize the amphiphilicity of the compounds and gain information on their hydrophobic properties, we measured their ability to adsorb at the air-buffer interface. Increasing concentrations were injected in the subphase and the surface tension was recorded continuously to assess the formation of a Gibbs monolayer at the interface. The injection of 1, 5, 10, 20 and 30 μ M of Trolox and PBN exhibited only a poor effect on the surface pressure as the variations of surface pressure ($\Delta\Pi$) recorded were ranging between 0 and 3 mN/m (Fig. S2). Conversely, the fluorinated antioxidants presented a high surface activity since the injection of 0.1 μ M was sufficient to observe a significant surface activity (Fig. 4). A maximal surface activity of ~ 40 mN/m was reached at only 1 μ M for FATxPBN and FATx and at 3 μ M for FAPBN, indicating that both FATxPBN and FATx are more hydrophobic than FAPBN. Higher concentrations (*i.e.* 5 and 10 μ M) were also tested and the $\Delta\Pi$ values remained close to 40 mN/m, reflecting the formation of stable monolayers at the air-water interface.

294 The micellization was previously studied and results showed that while the parent compounds 295 exhibit no critical micellar concentration (cmc); FATxPBN and FAPBN have cmc values of 10 and 51 µM, respectively [30]. In accordance with the cmc values, the three fluorinated antioxidants exhibited logP 296 297 values significantly higher than the parent compounds (Fig. 1). The higher hydrophobicity of Trolox over PBN was clearly observed, with logP values of 3 and 1.3, respectively. This was also observed 298 when comparing FATx and FAPBN that present logP values of 3.8 and 2, respectively. The same 299 300 tendency was observed with the experimentally-determined $\log k'_w$ values previously reported [30]. 301 FATxPBN and FATx exhibited $\log k'_w$ values ~ 5 times higher than Trolox when that of FAPBN was 3 times higher than PBN. This confirms the strong contribution of the perfluorinated chain to the overall 302 303 hydrophobicity of amphiphilic molecules leading therefore to low cmc values [63]. Altogether, the 304 higher hydrophobic character of the fluorinated antioxidants compared to the parent compounds 305 suggest a better ability to interact with the hydrophobic core of the membrane, which may explain the 306 more pronounced antioxidant efficiency previously observed.

307

3.2.2. Interactions with an unsaturated phospholipid

Oxidative stress as well as Gibbs monolayers experiments revealed significant discrepancies regarding the hydrophobic properties of fluorinated antioxidants as well as their ability to prevent membrane lipid oxidation. To evaluate their ability to interact with membranes and understand those differences of efficiency, we performed adsorption assays at lipid monolayers. DLiPC monolayers were prepared at different initial pressures and the derivatives were injected into the subphase. The variation of pressure was recorded to evaluate the ability of the derivatives to modify the surface activity of the monolayer. Due to the strong surface activity of the fluorinated antioxidants and the

formation of aggregates above the cmc, the derivatives were injected in the subphase of the 315 316 monolayers at a final concentration of 0.05 μ M; a concentration significantly lower than their cmc at 317 which they all presented a negligible surface activity (see Fig. 4). The variation of pressure ($\Delta \Pi$) was 318 reported over the initial surface pressure (Π_i) in order to determine the binding parameters of the 319 compounds [40]. The injection of the parent compounds had little effect on the surface pressure, as 320 $\Delta\Pi$ remains below 3 mN/m independently of the initial pressure (Fig. S3). Conversely, the injection of 321 the fluorinated antioxidants (Fig. 5A-C) caused an immediate increase of the surface pressure and $\Delta \Pi$ decreased when the initial pressure was increased. The synergy factor was calculated by adding 322 one to the value of the slope of the regression curve (Table 1). All the compounds presented positive 323 synergy factors, indicating a favorable binding of the compounds to DLiPC membranes. Also, linear 324 regression provided the maximal insertion pressure (MIP), highlighting the highest pressure at which 325 326 fluorinated antioxidants can penetrate monolayers [40]. As reported in Table 1, FATxPBN, FATx and 327 FAPBN exhibited MIPs of 52.2, 48.6 and 37.6 mN/m, respectively. Because all the MIPs are higher than the average lateral pressure in biological membranes - ~ 30mN/m [64] -, one can conclude that 328 329 fluorinated antioxidants can penetrate bilayers under physiological conditions.

330 Since the lower MIP of FAPBN may indicate a less pronounced affinity for DLiPC monolayers [41], 331 another parameter named $\Delta \Pi_0$ can be determined to gain information on the ability of the fluorinated 332 antioxidants to partition into DLiPC membranes [40]. $\Delta \Pi_0$ is determined by performing an extrapolation on Fig. 5 from an initial surface pressure of 0 mN/m and corresponds to the intersection 333 334 of the linear regression with the y-axis. We performed an extrapolation at the average lateral pressure 335 of membranes – *i.e.* 30 mN/m [64] – to determine $\Delta \Pi_{30}$, which is related to the quantity of compound associated to the monolayer. As reported in Table 1, FATxPBN and FATx exhibited $\Delta\Pi_{30}$ values ~ 4 336 337 times higher than FAPBN (10.0 and 9.0 versus 2.1 mN/m), indicating that greater amounts of FATxPBN 338 and FATx spontaneously penetrated the membrane in comparison to FAPBN.

Fitting the data recorded at 30 mN/m with eq.5 allowed us to determine the rate of penetration (k)339 340 of the fluorinated antioxidants in DLiPC monolayers at the average lateral pressure of membranes, as 341 described in [43]. The plot yielded three linear regions (Fig. 6). The first linear region corresponds to 342 the stabilization of the lipid monolayer in absence of antioxidant, the second one to the penetration of 343 the antioxidants in the monolayer and the third one to the rearrangement between the lipids and the antioxidants in the monolayer [42]. The rate of penetration was determined by calculating the slope of 344 the second linear region (Table 1). FATx and FATxPBN present k values twice as important as FAPBN, 345 showing they penetrate the membrane faster than FAPBN. This result, in accordance with the MIP and 346 347 $\Delta \Pi_{30}$ values, underlines the effect of the fluorinated chain, which has increased the affinity of the parent compounds for DLiPC. 348

349 Although our results indicate the fluorinated antioxidants interact favorably with DLiPC membranes, 350 we are aware that hydrogenated and fluorinated lipids may not be miscible [65,66]. Nevertheless, 351 there is a general consensus among the community that fluorinated and hydrogenated surfactants are 352 miscible, however whether they do mix completely or only partially is still subject to debate [67]. 353 Several reports in the literature suggests various degrees of miscibility between fluorinated and 354 hydrogenated detergents and therefore different scenarios of mixing leading to: (i) the formation of 355 one type of mixed micelles [68]; (ii) the demixing of the two leading to the coexistence of two types of 356 micelles [69] or (iii) both, depending on the mixing ratio [70,71]. In our case, it is worth mentioning 357 that FATx and FATxPBN reached their maximum antioxidant efficiency at concentrations ranging from 358 1.6 to 6.4 µM (i.e. molar ratios ranging from 1.25 to 5 % in comparison to DLiPC, Fig. 2D-E). These 359 ratios are sufficiently low to expect only slightly perturbations of the membrane and prevent miscibility 360 issues. Conversely, FAPBN was not efficient at low concentrations and 38.4 µM (*i.e.* 30 % molar ratio) 361 was required to reach a significant antioxidant efficiency (Fig. 2F). The low antioxidant efficiency combined with the poor affinity for membranes as well as the possible impact of a high concentration 362

in membranes highlight the fact that FAPBN presents less interest than the two other fluorinatedantioxidants.

365 3.3. The fluorinated antioxidants do not modify the membrane's structure

366 We performed calcein release experiments to evaluate the impact of the fluorinated antioxidants on the membrane integrity. DLiPC LUVs containing calcein were prepared and the fluorinated 367 antioxidants were added to the samples and fluorescence was measured during 2 hours to evaluate 368 the calcein leakage. The fluorinated antioxidants were introduced at a 5 % molar ratio in comparison to 369 370 DLiPC since at this concentration the antioxidant effect we observed was maximal in the case of FATxPBN and FATx (see Fig. 2). In order to stay consistent, FAPBN was tested with the same 371 372 concentration although its antioxidant efficiency was limited at low concentrations. Tests of 373 permeability with higher concentrations would have not been relevant from a physiological point of 374 view since an antioxidant is supposed to be efficient at low concentrations [72]. In all cases, the 375 addition of the derivatives caused a weak leakage from the LUVs, with however a percentage of 376 leakage below 5 % during the entire experiment (Fig. S4). The addition of Triton X100 after two hours caused a significant increase of the fluorescence intensity due to the release of the total amount of 377 378 encapsulated calcein. Because the injection of the derivatives did not significantly modify calcein 379 leakage, we concluded that their insertion has no significant effect on the structure of the membrane.

380 Regarding the interactions between fluorinated surfactants and phospholipids, it is generally 381 admitted that fluorinated surfactants do not solubilize membranes and therefore they have been successfully used to solubilize hydrophobic proteins in the presence of liposomes acting as chaperones 382 383 [73]. Recently, a phosphocholine based fluorinated derivative (F6OPC) with a perfluorohexyl chain 384 similar to that of FATxPBN was found to partition into POPC bilayers without inducing the solubilization 385 of preformed vesicles [74]. Conversely, Frotscher and co-workers observed the complete solubilization of lipid vesicles after incubation with a fluorinated surfactant bearing the same perfluorohexyl chain 386 387 and a maltoside polar head group (F6OM) [75]. Our assays did not reveal any significant modification

of the permeability of the membrane, indicating that the fluorinated antioxidants tested here did not
solubilize the membrane at the concentration they are the most effective. This is very likely due to the
very low concentration (physiologically more relevant) used in the present study (5 % mol, *i.e.* 0.5 μM)
compared to the 2 mM used by Frotscher and co-workers.

392

393 3.4. MD simulations to support positioning of the fluorinated antioxidants in DLiPC membranes

394 Each derivative was placed at different positions at the beginning of the MD simulations. It is worth noting that all simulations, each molecule reached similar equilibrium position in the membrane. In 395 396 other words, when starting from the bulk water all the compounds inserted into the DLiPC lipid 397 bilayers. The preferred (equilibrium) position of the center of mass (COM) of Trolox and PBN were just 398 below the polar head group region in close contact with the phosphates and to a less extent with the choline moieties (14.0 and 13.6 Å for both compounds, respectively from the middle of the bilayer, see 399 400 Table 2 and Fig. S6 for the radial distribution function). The fluorinated antioxidants also partition just 401 below the polar head group region, the COM being at 14.3, 14.7 and 13.7 Å from the middle of the bilayer for FATx, FAPBN and FATxPBN, respectively (Table 2). The different equilibrium positions 402 403 obtained from the MD simulations were fully consistent with the chemical structures of these amphiphilic derivatives, as the different moieties drive the preferred location. Namely, from one side, 404 both β -D-Galactose and gluconic acid moieties strongly anchor the derivatives to the choline and to the 405 406 phosphate groups (ca. 16.5 and 20.0 Å for both moieties, respectively, from the middle of the bilayer) by electrostatic and H-bonding interactions (Fig. 6). From another side, the fluorinated chain lines up 407 along the DLiPC lipid chains (COM of the fluorinated chain ranging from 8.2 to 10.4 Å, see Table 2), 408 409 anchoring the derivatives into the membrane (Fig. 6). In the case of the fluorinated antioxidants, the Trolox and PBN moieties fluctuate below the polar head group region (ranging from 13.8 to 15.7 Å 410 411 from the middle of the bilayer, see Table 2) with a preferred orientation parallel to the surface (Fig. S7) 412 in close contact with the phosphates, as for the parent Trolox and PBN derivatives.

Interestingly, in the case of FAPBN, the MD simulations, which were performed to ensure a 413 414 sufficient conformational sampling, highlighted the existence of a second (minor) conformer inside the 415 membrane. In this conformer, the PBN moiety was driven deeper in the membrane (9.0 Å from the 416 middle of the bilayer, see Table 2), in close contact with the fluorinated chain (Fig. 6D). This minor conformer corresponds to a 3-dimensional molecular arrangement which has been observed during 417 418 short-lasting period along the MD simulation time. In this conformer, PBN is lining up along the 419 fluorinated chain (Fig. 6D), whereas in the major conformer (seen during long-lasting period of the MD simulations), PBN is far apart from the fluorinated chain. Conversely, no similar alignment of the Trolox 420 421 moiety and the fluorinated chain (in FATx and FATxPBN) was observed throughout the whole MD 422 simulations, even though some initial geometries were driven to put these two moieties were in close 423 contact. As a consequence, no intramolecular interactions between the Trolox and PBN moieties were 424 observed in FATxPBN throughout the whole MD simulations.

425

426 **4. Discussion**

The antioxidant assays conducted in this work highlight that the three fluorinated antioxidants are 427 428 much more efficient than the parent compounds PBN and Trolox. This is consistent with various other 429 studies on hybrid antioxidants, which showed how the chemical combination of two antioxidant 430 moieties enhances the activity with respect to both moieties taken separately [26–28]. Along the same 431 line to maximize lipid peroxidation activity, we previously conducted a similar study by combining PBN 432 and cholesterol within amphiphilic derivatives [76]. By using similar experimental setups than in the 433 present study, we showed these cholesteryl-PBN derivatives displayed enhanced hydrophobic and 434 antioxidant properties in comparison to the parent compound PBN, as dynamic light scattering and 435 oxidative stress experiments evidenced a stronger ability of cholesteryl-PBNs to stabilize the structure 436 of the vesicles and to preserve them from the swelling due to the oxidation process. The molecules 437 tested in this work, in particular FATxPBN and FATx, present lower IC₅₀ values than the cholesteryl-

PBNs, which underline a stronger ability to prevent lipid oxidation, as expected due to the presence ofthe Trolox moiety.

440 We observed significant discrepancies between the fluorinated antioxidants, as both FATxPBN and 441 FATx exhibited IC₅₀ values that are 49 and 33 times lower (higher activity) than FAPBN, respectively (see Fig. 2). The differences observed between FAPBN and the other two fluorinated antioxidants can 442 be rationalized by a lower affinity to lipid (slightly lower hydrophobicity, see logP on Fig. 1) of the 443 444 former parent compound (i.e. PBN) and by the absence of the Trolox moiety in this derivative. The scavenging mechanisms of both Trolox and PBN are different (most probably hydrogen atom 445 abstraction and adduct formation - spin trap -, respectively), which makes the former derivative a 446 much better free radical scavenger. Concomitantly, the amphiphilic feature of the derivatives finely 447 tunes the capacity for lipid peroxidation inhibition, by ensuring a positioning in the membrane below 448 449 the polar head groups, in close contact with the oxidation process (i.e., as close as possible from 450 unsaturation). At this preferred location, the derivatives are likely to inhibit both the initiation stage or 451 the propagation stage for lipid chains which can adopt a transient snorkel-like shape [77,78] of lipid peroxidation. Also, it is well-known that location of the antioxidants in close contact to the 452 453 unsaturations of the phospholipid acyl chains favors a better inhibition of lipid peroxidation [79]. The 454 logP values suggest that the higher the hydrophobicity, the higher the maximal insertion pressure and the deeper the molecules penetrate into the membrane (Fig. S5 A-B). In accordance with our 455 456 observations, Azouzi and co-workers recently reported that serotonin has the ability to bind and protect DLiPC enriched membranes from oxidation [80], due to frequent interactions between 457 458 serotonin and phospholipid acyl chains, which might inhibit the propagation stage of lipid 459 peroxidation.

Interestingly, PBN exhibited no lipid peroxidation inhibition whereas an IC₅₀ was measured with FAPBN. As seen from the MD simulations and highly expected from their chemical nature, both PBN itself and the PBN moiety in the major conformer of FAPBN lie at almost the same preferred position.

Therefore, a highly plausible explanation of the better activity of FAPBN with respect to PBN is 463 assigned to an anchoring effect, which is attributed to the fluorinated chain which better constrain 464 465 (anchor) the positioning of the PBN moiety in the membrane for FAPBN. This can in turn makes the 466 PBN moiety more efficient as a spin trapper. Although hardly directly reachable by experimental techniques, the explanation of the measurable IC₅₀ value for FAPBN is likely due to its amphiphilic 467 chemical nature, which allows this anchoring effect in close contact to the region where lipid oxidation 468 occurs. A secondary explanation might be due to the existence of the second (minor) FAPBN 469 conformer (Fig. 7D), in which the PBN moiety may even spend some time deeper in the bilayer to 470 inhibit lipid peroxidation more efficiently than PBN alone, however not as efficient as both FATxPBN 471 and FATx due to a much less efficient free radical scavenging capacity. 472

473 FATx is 33 times more active than FAPBN, which is mainly attributed to the nature of the 474 antioxidant moiety and not to positioning. Indeed, Trolox is a much more efficient free radical 475 scavenger than PBN, while the MD simulations suggest that both moieties are positioned in the same 476 membrane region, in close contact with the polar head group region due to their relatively hydrophilic and H-bonding donor/acceptor nature. With an IC₅₀ of 0.6 µM, FATxPBN has shown the highest 477 antioxidant activity among all three fluorinated antioxidants. Since FATxPBN presents an IC₅₀ lower 478 479 than the average of FATx and FAPBN, the combination of Trolox and PBN moieties on a same carrier was hypothesized to have created a synergistic antioxidant effect. To confirm or infirm this hypothesis, 480 481 the activity of FATx and FAPBN was more thoroughly compared to that of FATxPBN to decipher whether the combination result in simple additive, supra-additive (synergistic) or infra-additive 482 (inhibition of one agent by the other) effects. Both FATx and FAPBN are considered here as two 483 484 separated antioxidant agents engaged in a similar skeleton; *i.e.*, an antioxidant moiety linked to a perfluorinated chain and a sugar moiety. To address this issue, the combination index (CI) of FATx and 485 FAPBN was determined based on the Chou and Talalay method [81]. As one can see in Table 3, at low 486 487 concentrations (i.e., 0.4 to 0.8 µM) the combination of FATx and FAPBN creates a synergistic

488 antioxidant effect as CI values are lower than 1. This effect disappears when the concentrations are increased as with concentrations ranging from 1.6 to 38.4 µM, the CI values are higher than 1, 489 490 suggesting an infra-additive effect (*i.e.* inhibition between both antioxidant moieties). The statistical 491 analysis evidenced significant differences (p < 0.05) between the CI values of the low (0.4 to 0.8 μ M) 492 and the high concentrations (1.6 to 38.4 μ M), which underlines the presence of a synergistic effect at 493 low concentrations. Similar trends (Table. S1) were observed by multiplying the percentages of lipid 494 oxidation of FATx and FAPBN and comparing them to those of FATxPBN according to the Berenbaum method [82,83], leading to similar conclusions. 495

496 Several researchers have reported on synergistic effects created by combining different antioxidant molecties. For instance, it has been reported that the combination of β -carotene and α -tocopherol 497 498 results in a better protection of rat liver microsomes from oxidative stress [84]. Recently, Trolox has been mixed with caffeic acid phenethyl ester (CAPE) to create a synergistic antioxidant effect [85], 499 500 which was attributed to weak interactions (hydrogen bonds) between Trolox and CAPE. Trolox has also 501 been combined to nitrones to create nitrone derivatives of Trolox [86], which revealed more efficient 502 in vitro and in vivo activities against lipid peroxidation and ischemia with respect to the parent 503 compounds. Synergism between antioxidants can proceed through different mechanisms like sacrificial 504 oxidation [87], regeneration or other mechanisms [88]. In the case of sacrificial oxidation, one antioxidant protects the other by free radical scavenging, while for regeneration, one antioxidant is 505 506 oxidized to renew the second one. An extensively-described and typical example of regeneration is the 507 interaction between vitamin E and vitamin C [89]. Other researchers attempted to combine, in a single 508 molecule, different types of antioxidants to favor synergistic effects. For instance, lipoic acid has been 509 combined to Trolox [26] as well as a nitric oxide synthase inhibitor [28] with the objective to obtain 510 greater protective effects. Preliminary experiments on biological systems highlighted that these 511 divalent antioxidants have better abilities to prevent neuronal damage caused by glutamate and 512 oxidation of rat microsomal membrane lipids. Recently, Vavrilokova and co-workers, through

enzymatic reactions, combined silvbin to ascorbic acid, Trolox alcohol or tyrosol to obtain novel 513 514 divalent antioxidants [27]. Among all the derivatives, the derivative combining silvbin to ascorbic acid 515 showed the highest ability to protect rat microsomes from lipid peroxidation. Durand and co-workers 516 previously reported the synthesis of PBNLP, a divalent antioxidant bearing a PBN and a lipoic acid 517 moiety [90]. No synergistic antioxidant effect was observed as PBNLP and its individual constituents showed a similar ability to protect red blood cells from AAPH-induced hemolysis. However, the 518 519 combination of the two moieties on the same carrier strongly diminished the antagonist effect 520 observed between the individual derivatives.

In the case of FATxPBN, no intramolecular interactions between both antioxidant (Trolox and PBN) 521 522 moieties were observed by MD simulations, which is fully consistent with the amphiphilic nature of the 523 molecule and the chemical nature of the different moieties. This precludes regeneration effect within 524 the same molecule. However, the compounds are likely to accumulate in a narrow region and 525 regarding the similar depth of insertion of both Trolox and PBN moieties, intermolecular interactions 526 are likely to occur. This could favor a regeneration process. This appears possible at relatively low concentration, *i.e.*, below 0.8 µM, as seen by the experimental results. This may correspond to greater 527 528 local concentration due to partitioning in membrane. In this case small non-covalent clusters can be 529 formed. At higher concentration values this effect disappears (Table 3), most probably due to the 530 formation of higher-order aggregates, in which less antioxidant moieties are available. Also, the ability 531 of FATxPBN to strongly delay lipid oxidation compared to the other antioxidants (see Fig. 3) suggests 532 that this derivative combines the retarding effect of PBN [91] with the free radical scavenging capacity 533 of Trolox [92], thus creating a synergistic effect by retardation. At first, the PBN moiety seems to delay 534 the oxidation during 60 min, as percentages of oxidation of the SUVs are the same, regardless of the 535 concentration of FATxPBN (see Fig. 2A). Then, the dose-dependent antioxidant efficiency observed 536 might be attributed to the Trolox moiety, as FAPBN is only slightly efficient against AAPH-induced 537 oxidation (see Fig. 2F).

539 **5. Conclusion**

540 The present work is in line with the strategy aiming at grafting two antioxidant moieties on the 541 same carrier to enhance the global antioxidant action with a nanoscale fine tuning. The key role of the 542 amphiphilic feature of this series of fluorinated antioxidants bearing Trolox and/or PBN moieties was 543 studied in terms of interaction with membranes. The presence of the perfluorinated chain increases hydrophobicity, allowing these derivatives to form monolayers at the air-water interface. Because of 544 545 higher hydrophobicity, they are anchored to the DLiPC membranes, with a greater antioxidant activity against AAPH-induced lipid oxidation. Interestingly, although PBN is inefficient, its combination with a 546 Trolox moiety on the same carrier creates a synergistic effect that improves the global antioxidant 547 efficiency. Thanks to a dual mode of action, such hybrid antioxidants are very promising molecules for 548 549 a wide range of applications in cosmetic, food and pharmaceutical industries by lowering the usual 550 concentrations of antioxidant required to efficiently prevent lipid oxidation.

551 Author's contributions

G.D and S.M conceived this research. M.R synthesized the fluorinated antioxidants. L.S and A.M.G.G performed the experiments with model membranes. B.C and F.D.M designed and performed MD simulations. B.C, F.D.M and P.T analyzed the theoretical data. L.S analyzed the experimental data and wrote the article. P.T, G.D and S.M formatted the manuscript.

556

557 Acknowledgements

L. Socrier was the recipient of a PhD scholarship from the French "Ministère de l'enseignement 558 supérieur de la recherche et de l'innovation". Dr M. Rosselin was the recipient of a fellowship from the 559 "Provence Alpes Côte d'Azur" regional council. The "Centre national de la recherche scientifique 560 561 (CNRS)", the "Université de Technologie de Compiègne" and the "Université d'Avignon et des Pays du Vaucluse" are gratefully acknowledged for providing facilities and financial support. P. Trouillas, B. 562 563 Chantemargue and F. Di Meo thank CALI, "Nouvelle Aquitaine" regional council and the "Institut 564 national de la santé et de la recherche médicale (INSERM)". P. Trouillas thanks the Czech Science Foundation (P208/12/G016) and the National Program of Sustainability from the Ministry of Youth, 565 Education and Sports of the Czech Republic (LO1305). 566

567

568 Conflict of interest

- 569 The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 570

571 Supporting material

572 Supporting material (7 figures and 1 table) can be found at

- 574 **6. References**
- 575 [1] K. Apel, H. Hirt, Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction.,
- 576 Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 55 (2004) 373–99. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.55.031903.141701.
- 577 [2] H.J. Forman, M. Torres, Reactive oxygen species and cell signaling: respiratory burst in
- 578 macrophage signaling., Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 166 (2002) S4-8.
- 579 doi:10.1164/rccm.2206007.
- 580 [3] W. Dröge, Free Radicals in the Physiological Control of Cell Function, Physiol. Rev. 82 (2002) 47–
 581 95. doi:10.1152/physrev.00018.2001.
- 582 [4] J. Pincemail, M. Meurisse, R. Limet, J.O. Defraigne, Espèces oxygénées activées, antioxydants et
- 583 cancer, Vaiss. Coeur, Poumons. 4 (1999) 2–5. http://www.vcp-bhl.be/fr/home?tk_id=nosign.
- 584 [5] R. Wheatley, Some recent trends in the analytical chemistry of lipid peroxidation, TrAC Trends
- 585 Anal. Chem. 19 (2000) 617–628. doi:10.1016/S0165-9936(00)00010-8.
- 586 [6] R. Sultana, M. Perluigi, D.A. Butterfield, Lipid peroxidation triggers neurodegeneration: A redox
- 587 proteomics view into the Alzheimer disease brain, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 62 (2013) 157–169.
- 588 doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2012.09.027.
- 589 [7] G. Kojda, Interactions between NO and reactive oxygen species: pathophysiological importance
- 590 in atherosclerosis, hypertension, diabetes and heart failure, Cardiovasc. Res. 43 (1999) 562–571.
- 591 doi:10.1016/S0008-6363(99)00169-8.
- 592 [8] G. Waris, H. Ahsan, Reactive oxygen species role in the development of cancer and various
 593 chronic conditions, J. Carcinog. 5 (2006) 14. doi:10.1186/1477-3163-5-14.
- 594 [9] J. Pardeike, A. Hommoss, R.H. Müller, Lipid nanoparticles (SLN, NLC) in cosmetic and
- 595 pharmaceutical dermal products, Int. J. Pharm. 366 (2009) 170–184.
- 596 doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2008.10.003.
- 597 [10] A.V.S. Perumalla, N.S. Hettiarachchy, Green tea and grape seed extracts Potential applications
- in food safety and quality, Food Res. Int. 44 (2011) 827–839. doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2011.01.022.

599 [11] J. Fernández, J.A. Pérez-Álvarez, J.A. Fernández-López, Thiobarbituric acid test for monitoring

600 lipid oxidation in meat, Food Chem. 59 (1997) 345–353. doi:10.1016/S0308-8146(96)00114-8.

601 [12] R. Khanum, H. Thevanayagam, Lipid peroxidation: Its effects on the formulation and use of

602 pharmaceutical emulsions, Asian J. Pharm. Sci. 12 (2017) 401–411.

- 603 doi:10.1016/j.ajps.2017.05.003.
- 604 [13] A.M. Pisoschi, A. Pop, The role of antioxidants in the chemistry of oxidative stress: A review, Eur.
 605 J. Med. Chem. 97 (2015) 55–74. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2015.04.040.
- 606 [14] V.J. Forrest, Y.-H. Kang, D.E. McClain, D.H. Robinson, N. Ramakrishnan, Oxidative stress-induced
- apoptosis prevented by trolox, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 16 (1994) 675–684. doi:10.1016/0891-
- 6085849(94)90182-1.
- 609 [15] V.F. Sagach, M. Scrosati, J. Fielding, G. Rossoni, C. Galli, F. Visioli, The water-soluble vitamin E
- 610 analogue Trolox protects against ischaemia/reperfusion damage in vitro and ex vivo. A
- 611 comparison with vitamin E, Pharmacol. Res. 45 (2002) 435–439. doi:10.1006/phrs.2002.0993.
- 612 [16] L.R.C. Barclay, M.R. Vinqvist, Membrane peroxidation: Inhibiting effects of water- soluble
- 613 antioxidants on phospholipids of different charge types, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 16 (1994) 779–
- 614 788. doi:10.1016/0891-5849(94)90193-7.
- 615 [17] N.J. Miller, C. Rice-Evans, M.J. Davies, V. Gopinathan, A. Milner, A Novel Method for Measuring
- 616 Antioxidant Capacity and its Application to Monitoring the Antioxidant Status in Premature
- 617 Neonates, Clin. Sci. 84 (1993) 407–412. doi:10.1042/cs0840407.
- 618 [18] R. van den Berg, G.R.M.M. Haenen, H. van den Berg, A. Bast, Applicability of an improved Trolox
- 619 equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) assay for evaluation of antioxidant capacity
- 620 measurements of mixtures, Food Chem. 66 (1999) 511–517. doi:10.1016/S0308-8146(99)00089-
- 621

8.

- 622 [19] E. Finkelstein, G.M. Rosen, E.J. Rauckman, Spin trapping of superoxide and hydroxyl radical:
- 623 Practical aspects, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 200 (1980) 1–16. doi:10.1016/0003-9861(80)90323-9.

- 624 [20] R.A. Floyd, K. Hensley, M.J. Forster, J.A. Kelleher-Andersson, P.L. Wood, Nitrones, their value as
- therapeutics and probes to understand aging, Mech. Ageing Dev. 123 (2002) 1021–1031.

626 doi:10.1016/S0047-6374(01)00385-2.

- 627 [21] S. Doblas, D. Saunders, P. Kshirsagar, Q. Pye, J. Oblander, B. Gordon, S. Kosanke, R.A. Floyd, R.A.
- 628 Towner, Phenyl-tert-butylnitrone induces tumor regression and decreases angiogenesis in a C6
- rat glioma model, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 44 (2008) 63–72.
- 630 doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2007.09.006.
- 631 [22] R.A. Floyd, H.C.C.F. Neto, G.A. Zimmerman, K. Hensley, R.A. Towner, Nitrone-based therapeutics
- for neurodegenerative diseases: Their use alone or in combination with lanthionines, Free Radic.
- 633 Biol. Med. 62 (2013) 145–156. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.01.033.
- 634 [23] M.I. Ayuso, M. Chioua, E. Martínez-Alonso, E. Soriano, J. Montaner, J. Masjuán, D.J. Hadjipavlou-
- Litina, J. Marco-Contelles, A. Alcázar, CholesteroNitrones for Stroke, J. Med. Chem. 58 (2015)
 6704–6709. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00755.
- 637 [24] F. Choteau, G. Durand, I. Ranchon-Cole, C. Cercy, B. Pucci, Cholesterol-based α-phenyl-N-tert-
- 638 butyl nitrone derivatives as antioxidants against light-induced retinal degeneration., Bioorg.
- 639 Med. Chem. Lett. 20 (2010) 7405–9. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.10.037.
- 640 [25] H.Y. Zhang, D.P. Yang, G.Y. Tang, Multipotent antioxidants: from screening to design, Drug
- 641 Discov. Today. 11 (2006) 749–754. doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2006.06.007.
- 642 [26] M. Koufaki, T. Calogeropoulou, A. Detsi, A. Roditis, A.P. Kourounakis, P. Papazafiri, K. Tsiakitzis,
- 643 C. Gaitanaki, I. Beis, P.N. Kourounakis, Novel potent inhibitors of lipid peroxidation with
- 644 protective effects against reperfusion arrhythmias, J. Med. Chem. 44 (2001) 4300–4303.
- 645 doi:10.1021/jm010962w.
- 646 [27] E. Vavříková, V. Křen, L. Jezova-Kalachova, M. Biler, B. Chantemargue, M. Pyszková, S. Riva, M.
- 647 Kuzma, K. Valentová, J. Ulrichová, J. Vrba, P. Trouillas, J. Vacek, Novel flavonolignan hybrid
- 648 antioxidants: From enzymatic preparation to molecular rationalization, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 127

- 649 (2017) 263–274. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.12.051.
- 650 [28] J.J. Harnett, M. Auguet, I. Viossat, C. Dolo, D. Bigg, P.E. Chabrier, Novel lipoic acid analogues that
- 651 inhibit nitric oxide synthase, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 12 (2002) 1439–1442. doi:Pii S0960-
- 652 894x(02)00216-0\rDoi 10.1016/S0960-894x(02)00216-0.
- 653 [29] S. Ortial, G. Durand, B. Poeggeler, A. Polidori, M.A. Pappolla, J. Böker, R. Hardeland, B. Pucci,
- 654 Fluorinated amphiphilic amino acid derivatives as antioxidant carriers: A new class of protective
- agents, J. Med. Chem. 49 (2006) 2812–2820. doi:10.1021/jm060027e.
- 656 [30] M. Rosselin, G. Meyer, P. Guillet, T. Cheviet, G. Walther, A. Meister, D. Hadjipavlou-Litina, G.
- 657 Durand, Divalent Amino-Acid-Based Amphiphilic Antioxidants: Synthesis, Self-Assembling
- Properties, and Biological Evaluation, Bioconjug. Chem. 27 (2016) 772–781.
- doi:10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.6b00002.
- 660 [31] C. Der Mardirossian, M.P. Krafft, T. Gulik-Krzywicki, M. Le Maire, F. Lederer,
- 661 Perfluoroalkylphosphocholines are poor protein-solubilizing surfactants, as tested with
- 662 neutrophil plasma membranes, Biochimie. 80 (1998) 531–541. doi:10.1016/S0300-
- 663 9084(00)80018-8.
- 664 [32] S.-W. Huang, E.N. Frankel, Antioxidant Activity of Tea Catechins in Different Lipid Systems, J.
 665 Agric. Food Chem. 45 (1997) 3033–3038. doi:10.1021/jf9609744.
- 666 [33] V. Kristinová, R. Mozuraityte, I. Storrø, T. Rustad, Antioxidant activity of phenolic acids in lipid
 667 oxidation catalyzed by different prooxidants, J. Agric. Food Chem. 57 (2009) 10377–10385.
- 668 doi:10.1021/jf901072t.
- E. Niki, Free radical initiators as source of water- or lipid-soluble peroxyl radicals., Methods
 Enzymol. 186 (1990) 100–8. doi:10.1016/0076-6879(90)86095-D.
- [35] J. Werber, Y.J. Wang, M. Milligan, X. Li, J.A. Ji, Analysis of 2,2'-Azobis (2-amidinopropane)
- dihydrochloride degradation and hydrolysis in aqueous solutions, J. Pharm. Sci. 100 (2011)
- 673 3307–3315. doi:10.1002/jps.22578.

[36] R.O. Recknagel, E.A. Glende, [40] Spectrophotometric Detection of Lipid Conjugated Dienes,

675 Methods Enzymol. (1984). doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(84)05043-6.

- 676 [37] E. Schnitzer, I. Pinchuk, D. Lichtenberg, Peroxidation of liposomal lipids, Eur. Biophys. J. 36
 677 (2007) 499–515. doi:10.1007/s00249-007-0146-2.
- [38] J.F.D. Liljeblad, V. Bulone, E. Tyrode, M.W. Rutland, C.M. Johnson, Phospholipid monolayers
- probed by vibrational sum frequency spectroscopy: Instability of unsaturated phospholipids,
 Biophys. J. 98 (2010) L50–L52. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2010.02.009.
- 681 [39] E. Tyrode, P. Niga, M. Johnson, M.W. Rutland, Molecular structure upon compression and
- 682 stability toward oxidation of langmuir films of unsaturated fatty acids: A vibrational sum

683 frequency spectroscopy study, Langmuir. 26 (2010) 14024–14031. doi:10.1021/la102189z.

- [40] P. Calvez, E. Demers, E. Boisselier, C. Salesse, Analysis of the contribution of saturated and
- 685 polyunsaturated phospholipid monolayers to the binding of proteins, Langmuir. 27 (2011) 1373–
- 686 1379. doi:10.1021/la104097n.
- 687 [41] É. Boisselier, É. Demers, L. Cantin, C. Salesse, How to gather useful and valuable information
- 688 from protein binding measurements using Langmuir lipid monolayers, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.

689 243 (2017) 60–76. doi:10.1016/j.cis.2017.03.004.

- 690 [42] P. Suttiprasit, V. Krisdhasima, J. McGuire, The surface activity of α -lactalbumin, β-lactoglobulin,
- and bovine serum albumin, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 154 (1992) 316–326. doi:10.1016/0021-

692 9797(92)90146-D.

- [43] R. Maget-Dana, M. Ptak, Behavior of insect defensin A at the air/water interface, Colloids
 Surfaces B Biointerfaces. 7 (1996) 135–143. doi:10.1016/0927-7765(96)01292-1.
- 695 [44] J.C. Stewart, Colorimetric determination of phospholipids with ammonium ferrothiocyanate.,
- 696 Anal. Biochem. 104 (1980) 10–4. doi:10.1016/0003-2697(80)90269-9.
- 697 [45] E.L. Wu, X. Cheng, S. Jo, H. Rui, K.C. Song, E.M. Dávila-Contreras, Y. Qi, J. Lee, V. Monje-Galvan,
- 698 R.M. Venable, J.B. Klauda, W. Im, CHARMM-GUI membrane builder toward realistic biological

699	membrane simulations,	J. Comput. Chem. 3	35 (2014) 1997–2004.	. doi:10.1002/jcc.23702.
-----	-----------------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------

- 700 [46] Å.A. Skjevik, B.D. Madej, R.C. Walker, K. Teigen, LIPID11: A Modular Framework for Lipid
- 701 Simulations Using Amber, J. Phys. Chem. B. 116 (2012) 11124–11136. doi:10.1021/jp3059992.
- J. Wang, R.M. Wolf, J.W. Caldwell, P.A. Kollman, D.A. Case, Development and testing of a general
 amber force field, J. Comput. Chem. 25 (2004) 1157–1174. doi:10.1002/jcc.20035.
- 704 [48] J. Wang, T. Hou, Application of molecular dynamics simulations in molecular property
- prediction. 1. Density and heat of vaporization, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 7 (2011) 2151–2165.
 doi:10.1021/ct200142z.
- 707 [49] D.L. Mobley, C.I. Bayly, M.D. Cooper, M.R. Shirts, K.A. Dill, Small molecule hydration free
- 708 energies in explicit solvent: An extensive test of fixed-charge atomistic simulations, J. Chem.
- 709 Theory Comput. 5 (2009) 350–358. doi:10.1021/ct800409d.
- 710 [50] K.N. Kirschner, A.B. Yongye, S.M. Tschampel, J. González-Outeiriño, C.R. Daniels, B.L. Foley, R.J.
- Woods, GLYCAM06: A generalizable biomolecular force field. carbohydrates, J. Comput. Chem.
 29 (2008) 622–655. doi:10.1002/jcc.20820.
- J. Wang, W. Wang, P.A. Kollman, D.A. Case, Automatic atom type and bond type perception in
 molecular mechanical calculations, J. Mol. Graph. Model. 25 (2006) 247–260.
- 715 doi:10.1016/j.jmgm.2005.12.005.
- 716 [52] Y. Duan, C. Wu, S. Chowdhury, M.C. Lee, G. Xiong, W. Zhang, R. Yang, P. Cieplak, R. Luo, T. Lee, J.
- 717 Caldwell, J. Wang, P. Kollman, A point-charge force field for molecular mechanics simulations of
- 718 proteins based on condensed-phase quantum mechanical calculations, J. Comput. Chem. 24
- 719 (2003) 1999–2012. doi:10.1002/jcc.10349.
- 720 [53] M.J. Frish, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G.E. Scuseria, M.A. Robb, J.R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V.
- 721 Barone, G.A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. Marenich, J. Bloino, G. Janesko, R.
- 722 Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H.P. Hratchian, V. Ortiz, A.F. Izmaylov, J.L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-
- 723 Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe,

724		V.G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J.
725		Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssel, J.A.
726		Montgomery, J.E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J.J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K.N. Kudin, V.N.
727		Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J.C. Burant, S.S.
728		Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J.M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J.W. Ochterski, R.L.
729		Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J.B. Foresman, D.J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016,
730		n.d.
731	[54]	FY. Dupradeau, A. Pigache, T. Zaffran, C. Savineau, R. Lelong, N. Grivel, D. Lelong, W. Rosanski,
732		P. Cieplak, The R.E.D. Tools: Advances in RESP and ESP charge derivation and force field library
733		building, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12 (2010) 7821–7839. doi:10.1039/c0cp00111b.
734	[55]	W.L. Jorgensen, J. Chandrasekhar, J.D. Madura, R.W. Impey, M.L. Klein, Comparison of simple
735		potential functions for simulating liquid water, J. Chem. Phys. 79 (1983) 926–935.
736		doi:10.1063/1.445869.
737	[56]	T. Darden, D. York, L. Pedersen, Particle mesh Ewald: An N \cdot log(N) method for Ewald sums in
738		large systems, J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 10089–10092. doi:10.1063/1.464397.
739	[57]	D.A. Case, D.S. Cerutti, T.E Cheatham, T.A. Darden, R.E. Duke, T.J. Giese, H. Gohlke, A.W. Goetz,
740		D. Greene, N. Homeyer, AMBER 2017; University of California: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2017,
741		(n.d.).
742	[58]	R. Salomon-Ferrer, D.A. Case, R.C. Walker, An overview of the Amber biomolecular simulation
743		package, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci. 3 (2013) 198–210. doi:10.1002/wcms.1121.
744	[59]	JP. Ryckaert, G. Ciccotti, H.J.C. Berendsen, Numerical integration of the cartesian equations of
745		motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics of n-alkanes, J. Comput. Phys. 23
746		(1977) 327–341. doi:10.1016/0021-9991(77)90098-5.
747	[60]	R.J. Loncharich, B.R. Brooks, R.W. Pastor, Langevin dynamics of peptides: The frictional
748		dependence of isomerization rates of N-acetylalanyl-N'-methylamide, Biopolymers. 32 (1992)

- 749 523–535. doi:10.1002/bip.360320508.
- 750 [61] H.J.C. Berendsen, J.P.M. Postma, W.F. Van Gunsteren, A. Dinola, J.R. Haak, Molecular dynamics
- with coupling to an external bath, J. Chem. Phys. 81 (1984) 3684–3690. doi:10.1063/1.448118.
- 752 [62] D.R. Roe, T.E. Cheatham, PTRAJ and CPPTRAJ: Software for Processing and Analysis of Molecular
- 753 Dynamics Trajectory Data, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 9 (2013) 3084–3095.
- 754 doi:10.1021/ct400341p.
- 755 [63] G. Ottaviani, S. Wendelspiess, R. Alvarez-Sánchez, Importance of critical micellar concentration
- for the prediction of solubility enhancement in biorelevant media, Mol. Pharm. 12 (2015) 1171–
- 757 1179. doi:10.1021/mp5006992.
- 758 [64] D. Marsh, Lateral pressure in membranes, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Biomembr. 1286 (1996)
- 759 183–223. doi:10.1016/S0304-4157(96)00009-3.
- [65] M.P. Krafft, M. Goldmann, Monolayers made from fluorinated amphiphiles, Curr. Opin. Colloid
 Interface Sci. (2003). doi:10.1016/S1359-0294(03)00046-3.
- 762 [66] H. Nakahara, O. Shibata, Langmuir Monolayer Miscibility of Perfluorocarboxylic Acids with
- 763 Biomembrane Constituents at the Air-Water Interface, J. Oleo Sci. (2012).
- 764 doi:10.5650/jos.61.197.
- 765 [67] N. Funasaki, S. Hada, Coexistence of two kinds of mixed micelles, J. Phys. Chem. 84 (1980) 736–
 766 744. doi:10.1021/j100444a010.
- 767 [68] V. Peyre, S. Patil, G. Durand, B. Pucci, Mixtures of hydrogenated and fluorinated lactobionamide
- surfactants with cationic surfactants: Study of hydrogenated and fluorinated chains miscibility
- 769 through potentiometric techniques, Langmuir. 23 (2007) 11465–11474. doi:10.1021/la701579e.
- 770 [69] S. Dong, G. Xu, H. Hoffmann, Aggregation Behavior of Fluorocarbon and Hydrocarbon Cationic
- 571 Surfactant Mixtures: A Study of 1 H NMR and 19 F NMR, J. Phys. Chem. B. 112 (2008) 9371–
- 772 9378. doi:10.1021/jp801216e.
- 773 [70] P. Barthélémy, V. Tomao, J. Selb, Y. Chaudier, B. Pucci, Fluorocarbon-hydrocarbon nonionic

surfactants mixtures: A study of their miscibility, Langmuir. 18 (2002) 2557–2563.

775 doi:10.1021/la011600u.

- [71] E. Frotscher, J. Höring, G. Durand, C. Vargas, S. Keller, Model-Free Analysis of Critical Micellar
 Concentrations for Detecting Demixing in Surfactant Mixtures, Anal. Chem. 89 (2017) 3245–
- 778 3249. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00339.
- 779 [72] J.M.C. Gutteridge, B. Halliwell, Antioxidants: Molecules, medicines, and myths, Biochem.
- 780 Biophys. Res. Commun. 393 (2010) 561–564. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.02.071.
- 781 [73] A. Kyrychenko, M. V. Rodnin, M. Vargas-Uribe, S.K. Sharma, G. Durand, B. Pucci, J.L. Popot, A.S.
- 782 Ladokhin, Folding of diphtheria toxin T-domain in the presence of amphipols and fluorinated
- surfactants: Toward thermodynamic measurements of membrane protein folding, Biochim.
- 784 Biophys. Acta Biomembr. 1818 (2012) 1006–1012. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2011.09.012.
- 785 [74] C. Vargas, R.C. Arenas, E. Frotscher, S. Keller, Nanoparticle self-assembly in mixtures of
- 786 phospholipids with styrene/maleic acid copolymers or fluorinated surfactants, Nanoscale. 7

787 (2015) 20685–20696. doi:10.1039/c5nr06353a.

- 788 [75] E. Frotscher, B. Danielczak, C. Vargas, A. Meister, G. Durand, S. Keller, A Fluorinated Detergent
- for Membrane-Protein Applications, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 54 (2015) 5069–5073.
- 790 doi:10.1002/anie.201412359.
- 791 [76] L. Socrier, M. Rosselin, F. Choteau, G. Durand, S. Morandat, Cholesterol-nitrone conjugates as
- 792 protective agents against lipid oxidation: A model membrane study., Biochim. Biophys. Acta.
- 793 1859 (2017) 2495–2504. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2017.09.026.
- 794 [77] D. Marquardt, J.A. Williams, N. Kučerka, J. Atkinson, S.R. Wassall, J. Katsaras, T.A. Harroun,
- 795 Tocopherol activity correlates with its location in a membrane: A new perspective on the
- 796 antioxidant vitamin e, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135 (2013) 7523–7533. doi:10.1021/ja312665r.
- 797 [78] J. Garrec, A. Monari, X. Assfeld, L.M. Mir, M. Tarek, Lipid peroxidation in membranes: The
- 798 peroxyl radical does not "float," J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 5 (2014) 1653–1658.

799 doi:10.1021/jz500502q.

8	300	[79]	P. Košinová, K. Berka, M. Wykes, M. Otyepka, P. Trouillas, Positioning of Antioxidant Quercetin
Ę	301		and Its Metabolites in Lipid Bilayer Membranes: Implication for Their Lipid-Peroxidation
8	302		Inhibition, J. Phys. Chem. B. 116 (2012) 1309–1318. doi:10.1021/jp208731g.
8	303	[80]	S. Azouzi, H. Santuz, S. Morandat, C. Pereira, F. Côté, O. Hermine, K. El Kirat, Y. Colin, C. Le Van
Ę	304		Kim, C. Etchebest, P. Amireault, Antioxidant and Membrane Binding Properties of Serotonin
Ę	305		Protect Lipids from Oxidation, Biophys. J. 112 (2017) 1863–1873. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2017.03.037.
8	306	[81]	T.C. Chou, P. Talalay, Quantitative analysis of dose-effect relationships: the combined effects of
8	307		multiple drugs or enzyme inhibitors, Adv. Enzyme Regul. 22 (1984) 27–55. doi:10.1016/0065-
8	308		2571(84)90007-4.
8	309	[82]	M.C. Berenbaum, Criteria for analyzing interactions between biologically active agents, Adv.
8	310		Cancer Res. 35 (1981) 269–335. doi:10.1016/S0065-230X(08)60912-4.
Ę	311	[83]	C. Hennequin, N. Giocanti, V. Favaudon, Interaction of ionizing radiation with paclitaxel (taxol)
8	312		and docetaxel (taxotere) in HeLa and SQ20B cells, Cancer Res. 56 (1996) 1842–1850.
8	313	[84]	P. Palozza, N.I. Krinsky, Beta-Carotene and alpha-tocopherol are synergistic antioxidants, Arch.
8	314		Biochem. Biophys. 297 (1992) 184–187. doi:10.1016/0003-9861(92)90658-J.
Ę	315	[85]	H. Bai, R. Liu, H.L. Chen, W. Zhang, X. Wang, X. Di Zhang, W.L. Li, C.X. Hai, Enhanced antioxidant
Ę	316		effect of caffeic acid phenethyl ester and Trolox in combination against radiation induced-
8	317		oxidative stress, Chem. Biol. Interact. 207 (2014) 7–15. doi:10.1016/j.cbi.2013.10.022.
8	318	[86]	G.T. Balogh, K. Vukics, Á. Könczöl, Á. Kis-Varga, A. Gere, J. Fischer, Nitrone derivatives of trolox
8	319		as neuroprotective agents, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 15 (2005) 3012–3015.
8	320		doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2005.04.043.
8	321	[87]	G. Neunert, P. Górnaś, K. Dwiecki, A. Siger, K. Polewski, Synergistic and antagonistic effects
8	322		between alpha-tocopherol and phenolic acids in liposome system: spectroscopic study, Eur.
٤	323		Food Res. Technol. 241 (2015) 749–757. doi:10.1007/s00217-015-2500-4.

824 [88] E.M. Becker, L.R. Nissen, L.H. Skibsted, Antioxidant evaluation protocols: Food quality or health

effects, Eur. Food Res. Technol. 219 (2004) 561–571. doi:10.1007/s00217-004-1012-4.

- [89] A. Kamal-Eldin, L.-Å. Appelqvist, The chemistry and antioxidant properties of tocopherols and
 tocotrienols, Lipids. 31 (1996) 671–701. doi:10.1007/BF02522884.
- 828 [90] G. Durand, A. Polidori, J.P. Salles, M. Prost, P. Durand, B. Pucci, Synthesis and antioxidant
- 829 efficiency of a new amphiphilic spin-trap derived from PBN and lipoic acid, Bioorganic Med.

830 Chem. Lett. 13 (2003) 2673–2676. doi:10.1016/S0960-894X(03)00545-6.

- 831 [91] L.R.C. Barclay, M.R. Vinqvist, Do spin traps also act as classical chain-breaking antioxidants? A
- quantitative kinetic study of phenyl tert-butylnitrone (PBN) in solution and in liposomes, Free

833 Radic. Biol. Med. 28 (2000) 1079–1090. doi:10.1016/S0891-5849(00)00197-0.

- 834 [92] F. Franzoni, R. Colognato, F. Galetta, I. Laurenza, M. Barsotti, R. Di Stefano, R. Bocchetti, F.
- 835 Regoli, A. Carpi, A. Balbarini, L. Migliore, G. Santoro, An in vitro study on the free radical
- scavenging capacity of ergothioneine: comparison with reduced glutathione, uric acid and
- trolox, Biomed. Pharmacother. 60 (2006) 453–457. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2006.07.015.

- - -
- 839

```
840 Figure's captions
```

Fig. 1. Chemical structures and log*P* values of the studied molecules.

842

Fig. 2. Effect of the parent compounds and the fluorinated derivatives on the AAPH-induced oxidation
of DLiPC SUVs. Kinetics of oxidation in presence of FATxPBN (A). IC₅₀ (μM) of Trolox (B), PBN (C), FATx
(D), FAPBN (E) and FATxPBN (F). Results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of at least
three independent experiments.

847

Fig. 3. Lag time necessary to reach 10 and 20 % of oxidation. Results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of at least three independent experiments.

850

Fig. 4. Surface activity (Gibbs monolayers) of the fluorinated antioxidants at the air/water interface.
FATxPBN (A), FATx (B) and FAPBN (C). Data were fitted with a Langmuir equation with GraphPadPrism
software.

854

Fig. 5. Interactions of fluorinated antioxidants with DLiPC monolayers. FATxPBN (A), FATx (B), FAPBN (C).

857

Fig. 6. Kinetics of penetration of the fluorinated antioxidants in DLiPC monolayers at 30 mN/m, which is the lateral pressure of biological membranes [64]. Evolution of the monolayer pressure after the injection of FAPBN, FATx and FATxPBN in DLiPC monolayers at 30 mN/m (A). Determination of the rate coefficient (*k*) after injection of the fluorinated antioxidants in the subphase of DLiPC monolayers (B).

862

Fig. 7. Representative snapshots of the preferred location of FATx (A), FAPBN (B) and FATxPBN (C). Fig.
6D depicts the minor conformation of FAPBN, in which the PBN moiety is lined up along the fluorinated
chain. The 1LB (β-D-Galactose), GA4 (gluconic acid), DAH (2,6-diaminohexanoic acid) and DFA
(3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-dodecafluorooctan-1-amine) moieties are depicted in red, blue, brown and
yellow, respectively. Trolox and PBN are depicted in grey and pink, respectively. The cholines are
depicted in cyan, the phosphates are depicted in magenta, 1st and 2nd unsaturations are depicted in

870 Table's captions

Table 1. Binding parameters and rate of penetration (k) of the fluorinated antioxidants in DLiPC monolayers.

873

Table 2. Positions given as distances from the middle of the bilayer (in Å) of key moieties of DLiPC (*i.e.*, choline, phosphates, unsaturations) and the different derivatives Trolox, Anionic Trolox, PBN, FATx, FAPBN, minor conformer of FAPBN (FAPBN*) and FATxPBN (*i.e.*, Trolox moiety, Trolox OH-phenolic, PBN, PBN amine moiety, 1LB = β -D-Galactose, GA4 = gluconic acid; DAH = 2,6-diaminohexanoic acid, DFA = 3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-dodecafluorooctan-1-amine), as well as center-of-mass (COM) of the three fluorinated antioxidants FATx, FAPBN and FATxPBN. The positions were averaged over the second half of the MD simulations.

881

Table 3. Combination index (CI) of FATx and FAPBN. CI<1: supra-additive, CI=1: additive, CI>1: infraadditive. Values with different superscripts differ significantly (p < 0.05).



PBN



 $\log P = 1.3 \pm 0.3$

 $\log P = 2.0 \pm 1.0$



Fig. 1. Chemical structures and logP values of the studied molecules.



Fig. 2. Effect of the parent compounds and the fluorinated derivatives on the AAPH-induced oxidation of DLPC liposomes. Kinetics of oxidation in presence of FATxPBN (A). IC₅₀ (μ M) of Trolox (B), PBN (C), FATx (D), FAPBN (E) and FATxPBN (F). Results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of at least three independent experiments.



Fig. 3. Lag time necessary to reach 10 and 20 % of oxidation. Results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of at least three independent experiments.



Fig. 4. Surface activity (Gibbs monolayers) of the fluorinated antioxidants at the air/water interface. FATxPBN (A), FATx (B) and FAPBN (C). Each experimental point was performed one time, as described in [40]. Data were fitted with a Langmuir equation with GraphPadPrism software.



Fig. 5. Interactions of fluorinated antioxidants with DLPC monolayers. FATxPBN (A), FATx (B), FAPBN (C). Upper right boxes: Kinetics of insertion of antioxidants at different surface pressures. Each experimental point was performed one time, as described in [40].



Fig. 6 Kinetics of penetration of the fluorinated antioxidants in DLiPC monolayers at 30 mN/m, which is the lateral pressure of biological membranes [64]. Evolution of the monolayer pressure after the injection of FAPBN, FATx and FATxPBN in DLiPC monolayers at 30 mN/m (A). Determination of the rate coefficient (k) after injection of the fluorinated antioxidants in the subphase of DLiPC monolayers (B).



Bulk water Polar heads Lipid tails

Fig. 7. Representative snapshots of the preferred location of FATx (A), FAPBN (B) and FATxPBN (C). Fig. 6D depicts the minor conformation of FAPBN, in which the PBN moiety is lined up along the fluorinated chain. The 1LB (β -D-Galactose), GA4 (gluconic acid), DAH (2,6-diaminohexanoic acid) and DFA (3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-dodecafluorooctan-1-amine) moieties are depicted in red, blue, brown and yellow, respectively. Trolox and PBN are depicted in grey and pink, respectively. The cholines are depicted in cyan, the phosphates are depicted in magenta, 1st and 2nd unsaturations are depicted in green and dark-green, respectively.

	FATxPBN	FATx	FAPBN
MIP (mN/m)	52.2 ± 2.3	48.6 ± 5.3	37.6 ± 5.3
ΔΠ₃₀ (mN/m)	10.0 ± 0.4	9.0 ± 1.5	2.1 ± 1.1
Synergy factor	0.55 ± 0.02	0.52 ± 0.06	0.71 ± 0.05
k (s⁻¹)	1.11*10-2	1.17*10 ⁻²	0.52*10 ⁻²

Table 1. Binding parameters and rate of penetration (*k*) of the fluorinated antioxidants in DLiPC monolayers.

Table 2. Positions given as distances from the middle of the bilayer (in Å) of key moieties of DLPC (*i.e.,* choline, phosphates, unsaturations) and the different derivatives Trolox, Anionic Trolox, PBN, FATx, FAPBN, minor conformer of FAPBN (FAPBN*) and FATxPBN (*i.e.,* Trolox moiety, Trolox OH-phenolic, PBN, PBN amine moiety, 1LB = β -D-Galactose, GA4 = gluconic acid; DAH = 2,6-diaminohexanoic acid, DFA = 3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-dodecafluorooctan-1-amine), as well as center-of-mass (COM) of the three fluorinated antioxidants FATx, FAPBN and FATxPBN. The positions were averaged over the second half of the MD simulations.

	Trolox	Anionic Trolox	PBN	FATx	FAPBN	FAPBN*	FATxPBN
Cholines	20.4 ± 0.4	20.3 ± 0.4	20.5 ± 0.4	20.5 ± 0.3	20.5 ± 0.3	20.5 ± 0.3	20.5 ± 0.3
Phosphates	19.1 ± 0.4	19.0 ± 0.4	19.2 ± 0.3	19.2 ± 0.3	19.2 ± 0.2	19.2 ± 0.3	19.2 ± 0.3
1 st lipid unsaturation	7.2 ± 0.3	7.2 ± 0.3	7.2 ± 0.3	7.2 ± 0.2	7.3 ± 0.2	7.3 ± 0.2	7.2 ± 0.2
2 nd lipid unsaturation	5.6 ± 0.2	5.6 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.1	5.7 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.2
Trolox	14.0 ± 1.7	15.3 ± 1.8		14.0 ± 2.3			13.9 ± 1.7
Trolox phenolic OH group	15.1 ± 2.2	14.2 ± 2.2		14.7 ± 2.6			14.0 ± 2.3
PBN			13.6 ± 2.7		15.7 ± 2.5	9.0 ± 2.1	13.8 ± 1.5
PBN amine			13.8 ± 2.8		15.2 ± 2.9	7.4 ± 2.0	13.9 ± 1.8
1LB				16.7 ± 1.7	16.3 ± 2.0	17.6 ± 1.7	16.8 ± 1.9
GA4				20.4 ± 1.5	20.0 ± 1.9	20.9 ± 1.8	19.6 ± 1.7
DAH				16.3 ± 1.9	16.2 ± 1.8	16.1 ± 2.1	15.2 ± 1.2
DFA				10.0 ± 1.8	10.4 ± 1.9	11.1 ± 1.9	8.2 ± 1.6
FATx COM				14.3 ± 1.7			
FAPBN COM					14.7 ± 1.7	13.9 ± 1.8	
FATxPBN COM							13.7 ± 1.1

Table 3. Combination index (CI) of FATx and FAPBN. CI<1: supra-additive, CI=1: additive, CI>1: infra-additive. Values with different superscripts differ significantly (p < 0.05).

Concentration (µM)	Combination index	Effect
0,4	0,46ª	Supra-additive
0,6	0,69ª	Supra-additive
0,8	0,92ª	Supra-additive
1,6	1,83 ^b	Infra-additive
3,2	3,66 ^b	Infra-additive
6,4	7,33 ^b	Infra-additive
12,8	14,66 ^b	Infra-additive
25,6	29,31 ^b	Infra-additive
38,4	43,97 ^b	Infra-additive

