



## **Proposition of a safe Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex denaturation method that does not compromise the integrity of DNA for whole-genome sequencing**

Typhaine Billard-Pomares, Alexandre Bleibtreu, Violaine Walewski, Samira Dziri, Allison Barbat, Jean-Ralph Zahar, Philippe Cruaud, Etienne Carbonnelle

### **► To cite this version:**

Typhaine Billard-Pomares, Alexandre Bleibtreu, Violaine Walewski, Samira Dziri, Allison Barbat, et al.. Proposition of a safe Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex denaturation method that does not compromise the integrity of DNA for whole-genome sequencing. Tuberculosis, 2019, 117, pp.62 - 64. <10.1016/j.tube.2019.06.003>. <hal-03488038>

**HAL Id: hal-03488038**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03488038v1>**

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY-NC 4.0 - Attribution - Non-commercial use - International License

YTUBE 1847

Q1. Please provide author group with affiliations along with corresponding author details

***Proposition of a safe Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex denaturation method that does not compromise the integrity of DNA for whole-genome sequencing.***

Typhaine Billard-Pomares<sup>a,b</sup>, Alexandre Bleibtreu<sup>b</sup>, Violaine Walewski<sup>a,b</sup>, Samira Dziri<sup>b</sup>  
Allison Barbat<sup>b</sup>, Jean-Ralph Zahar<sup>a,b</sup>, Philippe Cruaud<sup>b</sup>, Etienne Carbonnelle<sup>a,b</sup>

IAME, UMR 1137, INSERM, Université Paris 13, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France<sup>a</sup>

APHP, HUPSSD, Service de Microbiologie clinique, F- 93000, Bobigny, France<sup>b</sup>

Corresponding author: Typhaine Billard-Pomares, [typhaine.billard-pomares@aphp.fr](mailto:typhaine.billard-pomares@aphp.fr); APHP, Hôpital Avicenne, Service de Microbiologie clinique, 125 rue de Stalingrad, 93009 Bobigny, France. Tel 01.48.95.59.14 ; Fax 01.48.95.59.11

***Proposition of a safe Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex denaturation method that does not compromise the integrity of DNA for whole-genome sequencing.***

**Keywords:** *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*; denaturation; whole-genome sequencing

## **Abstract**

Whole-genome sequencing plays now a leading role in epidemiologic studies of tuberculosis. DNA extraction of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) requires complete inactivation of the strains, to be handled for further molecular procedures. In this study we compared two chloroform-based denaturation methods (one with a step of heat killing, one without) to a traditional heat inactivation method. Our results showed that 40% of the strains of MTBC treated by the traditional protocol resulted in a positive culture whereas no culture was observed with the two chloroform-based protocols. The DNA extracts obtained with chloroform-based protocols preparation were successfully used for whole-genome sequencing. We recommend inactivation with our rapid and efficient denaturation method using chloroform without heat killing which met our expectations and biosecurity requirements.

## 1. Introduction

Manipulating *Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex* (MTBC) species from clinical specimens and cultures poses potential risks for laboratory personnel. While diagnostic samples of tuberculosis can be manipulated in biosafety level 2 (BSL2) facilities, live cultures of MTBC must be handled in a biosafety level 3 (BSL3) laboratory. Several reports of laboratory-acquired tuberculosis from aerosols or skin punctures have been reported in the literature [1-3] and highlight the need for special precautions in the manipulation of this bacteria.

Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) and extensive drug-resistant tuberculosis (XDR-TB) are major threats to global tuberculosis control. Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) plays now a leading role in epidemiologic studies of tuberculosis. It allows efficient drug resistance prediction and a better understanding of the genomic characteristics of MDR-TB [4-6]. DNA extraction is a critical step in various molecular approaches especially in WGS. Besides, it is necessary to ensure the complete inactivation of MTBC strains prior its release from a BSL3 laboratory for further manipulation.

Several studies have shown that DNA extraction procedures from MTBC cultures, including heating at 80°C, do not ensure total microorganism inactivation and, therefore, are not completely safe [7,8]. Somerville and his colleagues demonstrated that 77,1% of the bacteria can remained alive and still subcultured on liquid media [9]. In contrast, other studies using a water bath at 80°C during 20 minutes showed effective inactivation of MTBC [10,11]. These inconsistent results raised a need for careful evaluation of MTBC inactivation method. Besides, the chosen method should not compromise DNA integrity for further genomic studies. Here we evaluated a new method of inactivation on MTBC positive cultures and then we assessed DNA integrity for whole genome sequencing.

## 2. Material and methods

We compared the traditional and most popular method for inactivation of MTBC based on Doig's protocol [11] to a new method using organic solvent. This method can denature the mycobacterial cells and kill them without altering the DNA. To achieve this goal, we considered the very high lipid richness of the wall of mycobacteria [12]. These lipids, involved in the virulence of bacteria [13], can be extracted by the action of solvents, such as chloroform and methanol [14]. We decided to replace methanol with 70% ethanol owing to its

antiseptic properties and lower toxicity. All treatments were performed under a Class 2 Microbiological Safety Cabinet in the BSL3 laboratory. The use of chloroform requires chemical filter and all performed manipulations with this chemical agent met the biosafety requirements. The B protocol consisted of the following steps. One loopful of mycobacterial cells from Coletsos medium slants was harvested (BioRad, Marnes-la-Coquette) then dispersed into 200  $\mu$ l of chloroform in a closed 1.5 ml tube and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature (RT). The sample was mixed with a vortex-type stirrer and incubated for an additional 10 minutes. One ml of 70% ethanol was added, making sure that the reagent flows along the walls of the tube. By pipetting up and down, a suspension with a milky appearance and devoid of macroscopically visible grains was obtained. The suspension was then left at least 30 minutes at RT. To complete the denaturation, the tube was then incubated at 80°C for 15 minutes in a dry water bath adapted to the shape of the tube. The suspension was then centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 10 minutes at RT. The supernatant was removed and the resulting pellet was washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS).

B protocol described above (chloroform, 20 min RT; 70% ethanol, 30 min RT; dry water bath 80°C, 15 min) and the traditional heat inactivation A protocol (dry water bath 80°C, 20 min) were both evaluated on 52 strains of MTBC isolated from biological samples from different patients. As the heat inactivation step is usually the one that compromises DNA integrity leading to sequencing bias, we decided in a second time to test a third C protocol which corresponds to B protocol without the heat killing step (chloroform, 20 min RT; 70% ethanol, 30 min RT). This C protocol was evaluated on 7 strains of MTBC in duplicates (14 cultures). The pellets obtained with the three different protocols were resuspended in 1200  $\mu$ l of PBS; 200  $\mu$ l were inoculated on Coletsos medium and 500  $\mu$ L in MGIT medium (BD, Dublin, Ireland). Both media were incubated at 37°C for 90 and 42 days respectively and the presence or the absence of MTBC on each media was determined.

After validation of our denaturation protocol, we performed DNA extraction on the denaturated pellet of 28 strains including the *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv reference strain. Each pellet was resuspended in 500  $\mu$ L of PBS and then handled outside the BSL3 laboratory and incubated overnight with 20  $\mu$ L of lysozyme (100mg/mL) (Euromedex, France). The preparation was then treated with 20  $\mu$ L of proteinase K (20 mg/ml) (Magerrey Nagel, Germany) one hour at 56°C, 5  $\mu$ l of RnaseA (Macherey Nagel, Germany) five minutes at RT. DNA was then purified using modified Votintseva's protocol [6] based on AMPure XP solid phase reversible immobilization beads (Beckman Coulter, United Kingdom). DNA

concentration was measured using the Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Life Technologies, USA). Libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA library Preparation kit (Illumina, USA) and paired-end sequenced on Illumina Miseq platform (Illumina, USA). Bioinformatic analyses were performed with the Phyresse web-tool (<https://bioinf.fz-borstel.de/mchips/phyresse/>) [15].

### 3. Result and discussion

Twenty one of the 52 strains treated (40%) by the traditional A protocol resulted in a positive culture in both solid and liquid media whereas no positive culture was obtained with the two chloroform-based B and C protocols ( $p < 0.01$ ) (Table 1). The denaturation methods using chloroform being safe, DNA extraction was completed for 21 strains inactivated by B protocol including the *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv reference strain and 7 strains inactivated by C protocol. Concerning the 7 strains for which the two B and C protocols were performed in parallel, our results showed that the DNA integrity was better with the C protocol and the extraction yield was also better. The average DNA concentration measured was 0.73 ng/ $\mu$ l with the B protocol versus 7.6 ng/ $\mu$ l with the C protocol.

The WGS, performed on Illumina platform, has been successful for all the strains except one (Table 2). Without taking into account the sequencing results of this strain, the average of reads mapped to the H37Rv reference genome was 97.49% (84.95% - 99.34%). The mean read depth was 85 $\times$  and the mean Phred Quality Score was 37 (Table 2). TB Lineage was determined in all cases and mutations associated with anti-tuberculosis drug resistance were screened. We observed high diagnostic accuracy for species identification and genetic resistance characterization was completely consistent with phenotypic drug susceptibility testing data (performed in MGIT).

These results prompted us to recommend the use of this safe preparation protocol using chloroform and ethanol without heat killing. Given its effectiveness in killing the strains, the samples could be used outside a BSL3 laboratory, while the traditional technique insufficiently ensures good safety. In agreement with the study of Somerville *et al.* we emphasized the need to control the initial phase of the DNA extraction, consisting of the denaturation of MTBC, in order to minimize exposure to live and possibly virulent bacteria [9]. Our results didn't confirm the ability to kill MTBC by heat, as reported by Doig *et al.* [11]. Our rates of regrowth by this method (40%) were in conformity with those observed by

Bemer-Melchior *et al* [7]. In this study, MTBC was not inactivated at 80°C in 65% of the cultures in BACTEC vials and 52% of the culture on Lowenstein Jensen slants. In the light of these results, we recommend inactivation with our rapid and efficient denaturation method using chloroform and ethanol without heat killing, which met our expectations and biosecurity requirements. It is important to note that our chloroform-based inactivation protocol cannot be used for analysis of proteins or lipids. In addition, the protocol didn't compromise the integrity of DNA and was suitable for providing *M. tuberculosis* DNA to perform WGS on an Illumina platform. Further experimentations with our protocol should be considered before its implementation for long read sequencing using Oxford Nanopore Technologies platform.

### Conflicts of interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- [1] Collins CH, Grange JM. Tuberculosis acquired in laboratories and necropsy rooms. *Commun Dis Public Health* 1999; 2:161-167.
- [2] Kao AS, Ashford DA, McNeil MM, Warren NG, Good RC. Descriptive profile of tuberculin skin testing programs and laboratory-acquired tuberculosis infections in public health laboratories. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997; 35:1847-1851.
- [3] Mundinger GS, Douglas KC, Higgins JP. Acute mycobacterial flexor tenosynovitis following accidental bacillus calmette-guerin inoculation in a health care worker: case report. *J Hand Surg Am* 2013; 38:362-365.
- [4] Chen Z, Ou W, Fan X, Cui G, Wang Q, Li Q, Sun R, Wu X, Qin W, Wang Y. Complete Genome Sequences of Two Multidrug-Resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Strains Isolated in Guiyang, China. *Genome Announc* 2018; 6.
- [5] Lee RS, Pai M. Real-Time Sequencing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: Are We There Yet? *J Clin Microbiol* 2017; 55:1249-1254.
- [6] Votintseva AA, Bradley P, Pankhurst L, Del Ojo Elias C, Loose M, Nilgiriwala K, Chatterjee A, Smith EG, Sanderson N, Walker TM, et al. Same-Day Diagnostic and Surveillance Data for Tuberculosis via Whole-Genome Sequencing of Direct Respiratory Samples. *J Clin Microbiol* 2017; 55:1285-1298.
- [7] Bemer-Melchior P, Drugeon HB: Inactivation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* for DNA typing analysis. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999; 37:2350-2351.
- [8] Blackwood KS, Burdz TV, Turenne CY, Sharma MK, Kabani AM, Wolfe JN. Viability testing of material derived from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* prior to removal from a containment level-III laboratory as part of a Laboratory Risk Assessment Program. *BMC Infect Dis* 2005; 5:4.
- [9] Somerville W, Thibert L, Schwartzman K, Behr MA. Extraction of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DNA: a question of containment. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005; 43:2996-2997.

- 163 [10] Castro C, Gonzalez L, Rozo JC, Puerto G, Ribon W. Biosafety evaluation of the DNA  
164 extraction protocol for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex* species, as implemented at  
165 the Instituto Nacional de Salud, Colombia. Biomedica 2009; 29:561-566.
- 166 [11] Doig C, Seagar AL, Watt B, Forbes KJ. The efficacy of the heat killing of *Mycobacterium*  
167 *tuberculosis*. J Clin Pathol 2002; 55:778-779.
- 168 [12] Chiaradia L, Lefebvre C, Parra J, Marcoux J, Burlet-Schiltz O, Etienne G, Tropis M, Daffe  
169 M. Dissecting the mycobacterial cell envelope and defining the composition of the native  
170 mycomembrane. Sci Rep 2017; 7:12807.
- 171 [13] Villeneuve C, Gilleron M, Maridonneau-Parini I, Daffe M, Astarie-Dequeker C, Etienne  
172 G. *Mycobacteria* use their surface-exposed glycolipids to infect human macrophages  
173 through a receptor-dependent process. J Lipid Res 2005; 46:475-483.
- 174 [14] Cruaud P, Papa F, David HL, Daffe M. Specificity and antigenicity of mycoside G and  
175 other glycolipids from *Mycobacterium marinum*. Acta Leprol 1989; 7 Suppl 1:94-97.
- 176 [15] Feuerriegel S, Schleusener V, Beckert P, Kohl TA, Miotto P, Cirillo DM, Cabibbe AM,  
177 Niemann S, Fellenberg K. PhyResSE: a Web Tool Delineating *Mycobacterium*  
178 *tuberculosis* Antibiotic Resistance and Lineage from Whole-Genome Sequencing Data. J  
179 Clin Microbiol 2015; 53:1908-1914.

180



**Table 1. Number of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex positive cultures obtained after treatment by the three protocols tested.**

Protocol tested *	Nb of cultures	MTBC**-positive cultures (%)
A	52	21 (40%)
B	52	0
C	14	0

\* A protocol : dry water bath 80°C, 20 min

B protocol : chloroform, 20 min RT; 70% ethanol, 30 min RT; dry water bath 80°C, 15 min.

C protocol : chloroform, 20 min RT; 70% ethanol, 30 min RT.

\*\* MTBC *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex

**Table 2. Results of whole-genome sequencing.**

Strain inactivation			WGS results			
Name of the strain	Protocol of denaturation used*	DNA concentration (ng/μl) after extraction	Total sequences	Depth	Coverage	Phred score
1 (H37Rv)	B	13.5	1434219	89.35 ± 24.64	97.71%	37
2	B	0.486	993949	59.2 ± 18.43	97.61%	37
3	B	4.22	1770418	119.61 ± 43.31	97.67%	37
4	B	0.123	2007943	117.2 ± 32.74	97.18%	37
5	B	9.82	795018	49.18 ± 52.88	96.79%	37
6	B	32	674799	42.9 ± 32.97	96.7%	37
7	B	1.43	621346	42.49 ± 28.59	96.63%	37
8	B	1.75	1424985	87.79 ± 23.66	97.46%	37
9	B	4.31	700446	46.12 ± 14.33	97.86%	37
10	B	7.89	604183	40.57 ± 37.4	96.95%	37
11	B	3.86	843774	54.52 ± 17.16	97.41%	37
12	B	4.4	926406	55.5 ± 25.71	97.31%	37
13	B	23.3	929094	59.64 ± 19.33	97.7%	37
14	B	0.596	856456	59.06 ± 17.19	97.96%	37
15	B	0.2	1480623	125.88 ± 44.8	99.09%	37
15	C	8.28	817145	75.46 ± 23.28	99.01%	37
16	B	0.356	1318809	115.01 ± 42.66	99.17%	37
16	C	8.78	1433556	121.42 ± 38.39	99.09%	37
17	B	0.234	1324473	106.3 ± 44.73	98.74%	37
17	C	1.54	1462114	118.43 ± 49.39	98.72%	37
18	B	0.228	1254830	91 ± 32.93	84.95%	37
18	C	17.1	1237361	102.78 ± 34.58	96.07%	37
19	B	0.454	1087697	22.26 ± 39.29	38.67%	37
19	C	3.9	1435494	29.06 ± 49.92	38.77%	37
20	B	2.68	1360269	117.11 ± 33.78	99.34%	37
20	C	10.9	1651730	133.6 ± 36.77	99.32%	37
21	B	0.962	1340504	111.29 ± 32.83	98.72%	37
21	C	2.82	1049527	92.97 ± 28.26	99.12%	37

\* B protocol: chloroform, 20 min RT; 70% ethanol, 30 min RT; dry water bath 80°C, 15 min.

C protocol: chloroform, 20 min RT; 70% ethanol, 30 min RT.