

# Incidence, risk factors and outcome of multi-drug resistant Acinetobacter baumannii nosocomial infections during an outbreak in a burn unit

Anne-Lise Munier, Lucie Biard, Matthieu Legrand, Clotilde Rousseau, Matthieu Lafaurie, Jean-Luc Donay, Rémi Flicoteaux, Alexandre Mebazaa, Maurice Mimoun, Jean-Michel Molina

### ▶ To cite this version:

Anne-Lise Munier, Lucie Biard, Matthieu Legrand, Clotilde Rousseau, Matthieu Lafaurie, et al.. Incidence, risk factors and outcome of multi-drug resistant Acinetobacter baumannii nosocomial infections during an outbreak in a burn unit. International Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2019, 79, pp.179 - 184. 10.1016/j.ijid.2018.11.371. hal-03487176

## HAL Id: hal-03487176 https://hal.science/hal-03487176v1

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1201971218349518 Manuscript\_4bb988e4adf97d24f8d1292f4cd056ba

1	Incidence, risk factors and outcome of multi-drug resistant
2	<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> nosocomial infections <mark>during an outbreak</mark> in a burn unit.
3	
4	Anne-Lise Munier <sup>1*</sup> , Lucie Biard <sup>2</sup> , Matthieu Legrand <sup>3a</sup> , Clotilde Rousseau <sup>4a</sup> , Matthieu
5	Lafaurie <sup>1</sup> , Jean-Luc Donay <sup>4</sup> , Rémi Flicoteaux <sup>2</sup> , Alexandre Mebazaa <sup>3</sup> , Maurice Mimoun <sup>5</sup> ,
6	Jean-Michel Molina <sup>1</sup>
7	
8	1- Infectious Disease Department, St Louis Hospital, APHP and University Paris
9	Diderot, Paris, France.
10	2- Department of Biostatistics, St Louis Hospital, APHP and University Paris Diderot,
11	Paris, France
12	3- Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Burn unit, St Louis Hospital, APHP
13	and University Paris Diderot, Paris, France, INSERM U942
14	4- Microbiology Department, St Louis Hospital, APHP and EA4065, University Paris
15	Descartes, Paris, France
16	5- Plastic Surgery Department, St Louis Hospital, APHP and University Paris Diderot,
17	Paris, France.
18	a- These authors contributed equally to this study.
19	
20	*corresponding author:
21	Anne-Lise Munier, Infectious Disease Department, St Louis Hospital
22	1 avenue Claude Vellefaux, 75010 Paris, France.
23	Phone : +33 1 42 49 90 66
24	Fax : +33 1 42 49 90 67
25	Email : anne-lise.munier@aphp.fr
26	
27	Word count:
28	Abstract: 248
29	Article: 2432
30	Running title: Acinetobacter baumannii infections in a Burn Unit
31	
32	Key words: Acinetobacter baumannii, antibiotic resistance, infection, risk factors, burns.
33	

#### 34 Abstract

#### 35 Background

Multidrug-Resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (MR-AB) can cause outbreaks in burn units.
We aimed to study the incidence, risk factors and outcome of MR-AB infections in a burn unit (BU).

#### 39 Methods

40 A prospective study was conducted from April to November, 2014 during an outbreak in a

BU in Paris. Weekly surveillance cultures were performed to determine MR-AB colonization.
MR-AB nosocomial infections, discharge or death without MR-AB infection were considered

43 as competing events. To identify risk factors for MR-AB infection, baseline characteristics

44 and time-dependent variables were investigated in univariate analyses using Cox models.

#### 45 **Results**

46 Eighty-six patients admissions were analyzed during the study period. Among them, 15 (17%)

47 acquired MR-AB nosocomial infection. Median time to infection was 22 days (interquartile

48 range: 10-26 days). Cumulative incidence of MR-AB infections was 15% at 28 days (95%

49 CI=8-24). Risk factors for MR-AB infection in univariate analysis were SAPS II (Hazard

50 Ratio (HR):1.08; 95% CI:1.05-1.12; P<0.0001) and ABSI (Abbreviated Burn Severity Index)

51 scores (HR:1.32; 95% CI:1.12-1.56; P=0.001), MR-AB colonization (HR:10.2; 95% CI:2.05-

52 50.3; P=0.004), invasive procedures (ventilation, arterial and/or venous catheter) (P=0.0001)

53 and  $\geq 2$  skin grafts (HR:10.2; 95% CI:1.76-59.6; P=0.010). MR-AB infection was associated

54 with an increased risk of death (HR: 7.11; 95%CI: 1.52-33.2; P=0.013) and longer hospital

stay with a median estimated increase of 10 days (IQR: 6; 14).

#### 56 Conclusions

57 Incidence of MR-AB nosocomial infection was high during this outbreak, and was associated

58 with prolonged hospitalization and increased risk of death. High patient severity scores, prior

59 MR-AB colonization, invasive procedures and repeated skin grafts were associated with an

60 increased risk of nosocomial infection.

#### 62 Introduction

In recent years, multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (MR-AB), defined as strains 63 64 resistant to 3 or more classes of antibiotics including carbapenem, has emerged as a major cause of health care-associated infection (1-4). Patients with impaired host defenses, such as 65 intensive care units and burned patients are particularly exposed because of the loss of their 66 protective skin and mucosal barrier (5,6). In addition, due to its ability to survive on patients 67 68 skin and environmental surfaces, MR-AB is known to promote epidemic spread and nosocomial transmission (7-9). Therefore, introduction of AB strains in a facility has an 69 70 important potential for outbreaks and closure of hospital units can be necessary to stop 71 epidemics (8,10,11).

72 MR-AB infections are difficult to treat and associated with high mortality and morbidity, and

73 longer hospital stays (12,13). Therefore, identifying risk factors for these nosocomial

74 infections is needed to help reduce their occurrence.

75 The aim of this study was to evaluate prospectively the incidence, risk factors and outcome of

76 MR-AB infections in a Burn Unit (BU) during an outbreak leading to the temporary closure

of the unit.

#### 79 Material and Methods

80 *Study population* 

81 A prospective single-center study was conducted in a BU located in a 600-bed public teaching

- 82 hospital in Paris, France. The BU is a 15 beds unit with a multidisciplinary team of physicians
- and nurses (plastic surgery, intensive care). About 250 patients are admitted every year with a

84 hospital stay of 22 days on average.

An outbreak of MR-AB was identified in the BU in January 2014. Hygienic measures were implemented to try to control the epidemic: standard hygiene measures (hand hygiene, use of gloves and coats when appropriate) and environmental cleaning of rooms before admitting a new patient. These measures were unable to control the outbreak and the unit was finally closed temporarily on November 4, 2014.

During the course of this epidemic, we implemented a prospective study to assess the incidence and risk factors for MR-AB colonization and infection, and their impact on patients' outcome. The results of the analysis regarding patient's colonization have already been reported (14). Briefly, we included all consecutive patients admitted for more than 24 hours to the BU from April 14 to November 4, 2014. Only the first admission for each patient was analyzed.

96 To identify risk factors for MR-AB infection, patient's data were recorded at baseline and 97 daily during follow-up. Baseline patients' characteristics collected at admission were: age, 98 gender, comorbidities (including diabetes mellitus, cancer/hematologic malignancies, chronic 99 pulmonary disease, chronic heart failure, psychiatric disorder, HIV infection, obesity), 100 admission mode (community or hospital), severity of injury evaluated by SAPS II (Simplified Acute Physiology Score II) and ABSI (Abbreviated Burn Severity Index) scores (15,16), prior 101 102 antibiotic use within 7 days prior to admission. Time dependent characteristics were 103 prospectively collected: invasive procedures (ventilation, central venous and artery catheter, 104 urinary catheter), antimicrobial therapy during hospitalization, number of skin grafts, and 105 MR-AB colonization using surveillance cultures.

MR-AB infections were defined using the CDC definition for nosocomial infections and combined specific clinical findings and results of laboratory tests (17). A patient was diagnosed with MR-AB infection if he presented clinical signs of sepsis, clinical or radiological focal signs of infection with isolation of MR-AB, leading the physician to start antimicrobial therapy. These diagnoses were ascertained by two infectious disease specialists.

111 Patients were followed in the BU until their discharge or death. The length of stay in the BU

112 was assessed for each patient.

#### 114 Microbiology

All patients admitted to BU were screened for colonization with carbapenem-resistant Gramnegative bacilli, including MR-AB. Surveillance cultures were performed at admission and weekly thereafter throughout BU stay. Surveillance cultures included inguinal skin and rectal swabs. Additional cultures were performed on burns at each dressing, on lower respiratory samples if the patient was mechanically ventilated and when infection signs or a systemic inflammatory response syndrome occurred.

Surveillance culture samples were plated on Drigalski agar with a 10-µg ertapenem disk (Biomérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) for inguinal and rectal swabs or on non-selective agar for burn and respiratory samples and incubated overnight at 37°C. Colonies were selected based on color and morphology and final identification was performed using mass spectrometry (Vitek MS, Biomérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). Antibiotic susceptibility pattern was determined by disk diffusion method according to the CA-SFM cut-offs (Comité de l'Antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie) (18).

When infection was suspected, clinical samples were studied using appropriate method. When
AB was isolated from blood cultures or clinical specimens, antibiotic susceptibility pattern
was determined as described above.

131

#### 132 Statistical analysis

133 Quantitative variables are presented with the median (interquartile range (IQR)); qualitative 134 variables with counts (percentage). Risk factors of MR-AB infection were investigated among 135 all patients enrolled in the study. A time-to-event analysis was performed, in a competing 136 risks framework, with MR-AB infection, death or discharge without infection as competing 137 events (19,20). Such a methodology allows accounting for the time-dependency of some 138 potential risk factors for infection (e.g. ventilation, antibiotics prescription). Time to event 139 was defined as the time difference between the date of admission and the date of diagnosis of 140 infection or the date of death/discharge, whichever occurred first. Time independent variables 141 were recorded on admission as described above. Time-dependent explanatory variables were longitudinal exposures prospectively collected as described above. Cumulative incidences of 142 infection, death or discharge over time were estimated. Cause-specific hazard ratios (CSHR) 143 144 for candidate associated factors were estimated in Cox models in univariate analysis. Given the limited number of infection events, we did not perform a multivariable analysis. The 145 146 proportional hazards assumption was assessed by examination of the Schoenfeld's residuals; 147 log-linearity assumption for continuous variables was assessed. MR-AB infection effect on 148 survival was also estimated in a Cox model, with discharge alive as a competing event to

- 149 death in the ICU. The difference in length of stay due to infection was estimated in a150 multistate model framework (21,22).
- 151 All tests were two-sided and p-values lower than 0.05 were considered as indicating
- 152 significant associations. Analyses were performed using R statistical platform, version 3.4.1,
- 153 using the survival (Survival Analysis), cmprsk (Subdistribution Analysis of Competing Risks)
- and etm (Empirical Transition Matrix) packages (https://cran.r-project.org/).

#### 156 **Results**

157 Incidence and characteristics of nosocomial infections

From 14 April to 4 November 2014, 97 consecutive patients were admitted to BU with a total of 103 admissions (**Figure 1**). Eleven patients with BU length of stay of less than 24 hours were excluded from the study and 86 patient's admissions were included in the final analysis,

- representing 2492 patients-days of hospitalization. Median length of stay in the BU was 22
- 162 days (min-max: 2-105).
- 163 Among these 86 admissions, 15 (17%) led to the development of a MR-AB infection. The
- 164 cumulative incidence of MR-AB infection was 6% at 14 days (95% CI 2-12%), and 15% at

165 28 days (95% CI 8-24%) (Figure 2). Among 32 patients with MR-AB colonization, 13 (41%)

- 166 developped MR-AB infection. The cumulative incidence of MR-AB infection among MR-AB
- 167 colonized patients was 44% at 28 days (95% CI 27-60%) (Data not shown).
- 168 The median time from admission to onset of infection was 22 days (IQR: 10-26).

169 Characteristics of patients with MR-AB nosocomial infections are described in Table 1.

- 170 Patients presented bloodstream infection (n=9), pneumonia (n=7) in ventilated patients (n=5)
- 171 or non-ventilated patients (n=2), burn infection (n=3), catheter-related infection (n=3),
- peritonitis (n=2), bone and joint infection (n=1), bronchitis (n=1). Eight patients had more
  than one infected site.

174 Of the 15 patients with clinical infection, 13 (87%) had prior documented positive 175 surveillance culture, with a median time between positive surveillance culture and infection of 176 7 days (IQR 3-15). Of the 2 patients without MR-AB colonization at the time of infection, the 177 first patient acquired infection 34 days after admission and had undergone negative surveillance cultures on 21 different days. The second patient acquired infection 5 days after 178 179 admission and had undergone negative surveillance cultures on 2 different days. Median time 180 between the last negative surveillance culture and infection was 48 hours (24 to 72h) in these 181 two patients.

182

#### 183 Risk factors of MR-AB infections

Univariate analysis of baseline and time-dependent factors associated with MR-AB infection are presented in **Table 2.** Risk factors of MR-AB infection were SAPS II (CSHR:1.08; 95% CI:1.05-1.12; P<0.0001) and ABSI scores (CSHR:1.32; 95% CI:1.12-1.56; P=0.001), MR-AB colonization (CSHR:10.2; 95% CI:2.05-50.3; P=0.004), invasive procedures (P=0.0001), ventilation (CSHR: 9.69; 95% CI=3.06-30.7; P=0.0001), artery and/or venous catheter (P<0.0001), and  $\geq$ 2 skin grafts (CSHR:10.2; 95% CI:1.76-59.6; *P*=0.010).

- 190 Risk factors of MR-AB infection among MR-AB colonized patients in univariate analysis
- 191 were SAPS II (CSHR=1.06; 95% CI=1.03-1.09; P=0.0003) and invasive procedures
- 192 (P < 0.019), particularly ventilation  $\ge 1$  day and catheter.
- 193
- 194 *Outcome*
- 195 The median duration of hospitalization, according to MR-AB infection and outcome (death or
- alive) is presented in the **Table 3.** MR-AB infection was associated with a longer hospital stay
- 197 whether patients died during hospitalization or were discharged alive. Indeed, probability of
- being discharged alive the next day was significantly reduced when MR-AB infected (CSHR
- 199 for discharge=0.17; 95% CI 0.06-0.49, P=0.001), with a median estimated increase of 10 days
- 200 (IQR: 6; 14) following infection.
- 201 Considering only patients who were discharged alive, median duration of hospitalization was
- 202 67 days among the 15 patients who acquired MR-AB infection and 19 days among non-203 infected patients.
- Eleven patients (13%) died during hospitalization in the BU. Cumulative incidence of death
- 205 was 6% at 28 days. MR-AB infection was associated with an increased risk of death (CSHR:
- 206 7.11; 95%CI: 1.52-33.2; P=0.013). Seven of the 15 (46.7%) patients who acquired MR-AB
- 207 infection died in the BU, after a median of 14 days (range: 5 to 76 days) following diagnosis.
- 208 Death was related to infection in 4/7 patients (57%), uncertainly related to infection in 2
- 209 (29%) and unrelated to infection in 1 (14%).
- 210

#### 211 **Discussion**

In this prospective study conducted in a BU during an outbreak of MR-AB, the incidence of MR-AB nosocomial infection was high, 15% at 28 days, and increased to 44% at 28 days among patients with prior colonization (13 of 15 patients (86.6%) with nosocomial infection had prior colonization) (**Fig. 2**). Such a high incidence of MR-AB infection despite the implementation of infection control strategies in the BU led to its closure to allow thorough cleaning and hydrogen peroxide disinfection (11). A similar incidence of infection (16.5%) was reported by Latibeaudière et al during an epidemic, and MR-AB colonization was also

- 219 found in a high proportion (56.7%) of MR-AB infected patients (23).
- In our study, MR-AB nosocomial infections were associated with a prolonged hospitalizationwith a median increase of 10 days following infection, similar to previous reports (5).

222 Also, among patients who acquired MR-AB infection, 7/15 (46.7%) died as compared to 4/71 223 (5.6%) without infection (Fig. 1). In our study, MR-AB infection were associated with a 224 significant increase in the risk of death (CSHR: 7.11; 95%CI: 1.52-33.2; P=0.013). Wong et 225 al did not found in a retrospective study among patients in a burn unit in Singapore that MR-AB infection was associated to an increased mortality (5). Wisplinghoff et al. reported that the 226 227 mortality rate in MR-AB infected patients was twice higher than in controls in a retrospective 228 case control study in Germany (24). Our results obtained in the setting of a prospective study 229 strengthen the association between MR-AB and increased risk for death, even though we 230 cannot ascertain in all our cases that death was directly related to MR-AB infection. Death 231 was directly related to infection in 4/7 (57%) of MR-AB infected patients who died.

Bloodstream infection and pulmonary infections were the most frequent infections and 12/15 (80%) of patients with nosocomial infection had positive blood cultures (**Table 1**). This high death rate in our study is probably related in part to the severity of the patients, the dissemination of the infection, and the limited efficacy of antibiotic therapy against these multi-resistant organisms.

It is therefore critical to analyze in such a study risk factors for nosocomial infections in order to try to prevent or diagnose and treat as early as possible these infections, until the outbreak is under control.

Due to the limited number of cases (25), we could only perform a univariate analysis of risk factors associated with MR-AB infections and this is a clear limitation of our findings. We found that the severity of patients at admission as measured by the SAPS II and ABSI scores, prior colonization by MR-AB, invasive procedures during hospitalization (ventilation, venous or arterial catheter), and 2 or more skin grafts were strongly associated with an increased risk of infection (**Table 2**). In an exploratory analysis to should be interpreted and generalized

- 246 with caution (25), we found that prior colonization by MR-AB remained significantly
- 247 associated with MR-AB infection (HR= 5.95, 95%CI 1.11-31.9, p=0.037), when adjusted in
- 248 ABSI scores to account for illness severity. Similarly, MR-AB infection association with ICU
- 249 death remained close to the 5%-significance level after adjustment on ABSI score (HR=5.84,
- 250 95%CI 0.96; 1.56, P=0.056).

251 Our results confirm the results of previous studies in intensive care units that have identified 252 the severity of illness/comorbidity, invasive procedures, mechanical ventilation, long duration 253 of hospital stay (26,27), and the role of prior MR-AB colonization (23,28). Our study 254 however, is the first prospective study assessing risk factors of MR-AB infection in a burn 255 unit.

- 256 Contrary to previous reports, prior exposure to antimicrobials was not a risk factor for 257 infection in our study but was associated with an increased risk of colonization (11, 26). A 258 previous study conducted in Brazil, where MR-AB colonization is endemic, found that use of 259 antimicrobials was a risk factor for acquisition of MR-AB only when colonization pressure 260 (proportion of patients harboring the microorganism) was low (29). Thus, the absence of association between antimicrobials and MDR-AB infection could be explained either by low 261
- sensitivity of analysis (due to small number of infection events) or by high colonization
- 262
- 263 pressure during the outbreak.

264 However, the role of prior colonization leading to infection is reinforced in our prospective 265 study since 13/15 (87%) patients with infections had prior colonization, and the CSHR for infection in colonized patients was significantly greater than 1 (10.2; 95%CI: 2.05-50.3; 266 267 P=0.004). Latibeaudiere et al in their retrospective studies have previously reported that 268 positive surveillance cultures were the only variable associated with infection in a 269 multivariate analysis (23). The role of these surveillance cultures during an outbreak is 270 therefore critical to identify early colonization and patients at high risk for these life-271 threatening infections. Nineteen MR-AB colonized patients did not develop an infection. 272 These patients were less severe patients with fewer invasive devices as compared to MR-AB 273 colonized patients who develop a MR-AB infection. Once a patient is colonized, the reduction 274 in invasive procedures may limit the risk of transition from colonization to infection.

However, this is difficult to apply in severe burn patients. 275

276 Another risk factor for infection in our study in a BU was the number of skin grafts. Indeed 277 patients who underwent 2 or more skin grafts had a higher risk of MR-AB infection (CSHR:

- 278 10.2; 95% CI:1.76-59.6; P=0.010). This risk factor has not been previously reported to be
- 279 associated with an increased risk of MR-AB infection. The number of skin grafts needed in a
- 280 burned patient is clearly linked to the severity of burns as measured by the ABSI score. In our

- univariate analysis, it is therefore difficult to know whether the number of skin grafts is a
  confounding factor, a proxy of burn severity, and further studies should try to address this
  issue.
- 284 In conclusion, this prospective study found a very high incidence of MR-AB nosocomial 285 infection during an outbreak in a BU, associated with prolonged hospitalization and increased 286 risk for death in those infected. Surveillance cultures should be performed to identify 287 colonized patients in whom the risk of MR-AB infection is greatly increased, especially in 288 those with a severe injury as assessed by SAPS II and ABSI scores, those undergoing multiple 289 skin grafts, or various invasive procedures. As it seems difficult to limit the number of skin grafts or invasive procedures needed to manage severely burned patients, prevention of MR-290 291 AB colonization remains critical. As reported earlier, since the use of antibiotic therapy is 292 strongly associated with colonization (14), its use should therefore be limited as much as 293 possible during an outbreak.
- 294

#### 295 Acknowledgements

- 296 M. Rouveau, E. de Beaugrenier, Alexandra Lomont, Candice Marcel, Elodie Paris.
- 297

298	Compliance	with	Ethical	Standards
-----	------------	------	---------	-----------

299	Funding
300	No funding
301	
302	Conflict of Interest
303	All authors declare they have no conflict of interest.
304	

- 305 Ethical approval
- 306 The ethical committee of the hospital deemed specific approval for this study unnecessary
- 307

## 308 Informed consent

309 Not applicable (as discussed with the institutional medical ethical committee).

#### 311 **References**

- Fournier PE, Richet H. The epidemiology and control of Acinetobacter baumannii in health care facilities. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 1 mars 2006;42(5):692
   -9.
- Peleg AY, Seifert H, Paterson DL. Acinetobacter baumannii: emergence of a successful
  pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev. juill 2008;21(3):538-82.
- 317 3. Munoz-Price LS, Weinstein RA. Acinetobacter infection. N Engl J Med. 20 mars
  318 2008;358(12):1271-81.
- 4. Van Looveren M, Goossens H, ARPAC Steering Group. Antimicrobial resistance of
  Acinetobacter spp. in Europe. Clin Microbiol Infect Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol
  Infect Dis. août 2004;10(8):684-704.
- Wong TH, Tan BH, Ling ML, Song C. Multi-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii on a
  burns unit--clinical risk factors and prognosis. Burns J Int Soc Burn Inj. juin
  2002;28(4):349-57.
- 325 6. Herruzo R, de la Cruz J, Fernández-Aceñero M., Garcia-Caballero J. Two consecutive
  326 outbreaks of Acinetobacter baumanii 1-a in a burn Intensive Care Unit for adults. Burns.
  327 août 2004;30(5):419-23.
- Jawad A, Seifert H, Snelling AM, Heritage J, Hawkey PM. Survival of Acinetobacter
  baumannii on dry surfaces: comparison of outbreak and sporadic isolates. J Clin
  Microbiol. juill 1998;36(7):1938-41.
- Landelle C, Legrand P, Lesprit P, Cizeau F, Ducellier D, Gouot C, et al. Protracted outbreak of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii after intercontinental transfer of colonized patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol Off J Soc Hosp Epidemiol Am. févr 2013;34(2):119-24.
- 335
  9. Kramer A, Schwebke I, Kampf G. How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate surfaces? A systematic review. BMC Infect Dis. 2006;6:130.
- 337 10. Simor AE, Lee M, Vearncombe M, Jones-Paul L, Barry C, Gomez M, et al. An outbreak
  338 due to multiresistant Acinetobacter baumannii in a burn unit: risk factors for acquisition
  339 and management. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol Off J Soc Hosp Epidemiol Am. mai
  340 2002;23(5):261-7.
- 341 11. Zanetti G, Blanc DS, Federli I, Raffoul W, Petignat C, Maravic P, et al. Importation of
  342 Acinetobacter baumannii into a burn unit: a recurrent outbreak of infection associated
  343 with widespread environmental contamination. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol Off J Soc
  344 Hosp Epidemiol Am. juin 2007;28(6):723-5.
- Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, Beldavs ZG, Dumyati G, Kainer MA, et al.
  Multistate Point-Prevalence Survey of Health Care–Associated Infections. N Engl J Med.
  27 mars 2014;370(13):1198-208.
- 348 13. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United
   349 States [Internet]. Disponible sur: https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/threat-report-2013

- Munier A-L, Biard L, Rousseau C, Legrand M, Lafaurie M, Lomont A, et al. Incidence,
  risk factors, and outcome of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii acquisition
  during an outbreak in a burns unit. J Hosp Infect. nov 2017;97(3):226-33.
- 15. Le Gall JR, Lemeshow S, Saulnier F. A new Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS
  II) based on a European/North American multicenter study. JAMA. 22 déc
  1993;270(24):2957-63.
- Tobiasen J, Hiebert JM, Edlich RF. The abbreviated burn severity index. Ann Emerg
  Med. mai 1982;11(5):260-2.
- 358 17. Garner JS, Jarvis WR, Emori TG, Horan TC, Hughes JM. CDC definitions for
   nosocomial infections, 1988. Am J Infect Control. juin 1988;16(3):128-40.
- 36018.CASFM2013[Internet].Disponiblesur:http://www.sfm-361microbiologie.org/UserFiles/files/casfm/CASFM2013vjuin.pdf
- Wolkewitz M, Vonberg RP, Grundmann H, Beyersmann J, Gastmeier P, Bärwolff S, et
  al. Risk factors for the development of nosocomial pneumonia and mortality on intensive
  care units: application of competing risks models. Crit Care Lond Engl. 2008;12(2):R44.
- Beyersmann J, Gastmeier P, Grundmann H, Bärwolff S, Geffers C, Behnke M, et al. Use
  of multistate models to assess prolongation of intensive care unit stay due to nosocomial
  infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. mai 2006;27(5):493-9.
- Beyersmann J, Gastmeier P, Grundmann H, Bärwolff S, Geffers C, Behnke M, et al. Use
  of multistate models to assess prolongation of intensive care unit stay due to nosocomial
  infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. mai 2006;27(5):493-9.
- 371 22. Allignol A, Schumacher M, Beyersmann J. Estimating summary functionals in 372 multistate models with an application to hospital infection data. Comput Stat. 6 juin 373 2010;26(2):181-97.
- 23. Latibeaudiere R, Rosa R, Laowansiri P, Arheart K, Namias N, Munoz-Price LS.
  Surveillance cultures growing carbapenem-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii predict
  the development of clinical infections: a retrospective cohort study. Clin Infect Dis Off
  Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 1 févr 2015;60(3):415-22.
- Wisplinghoff H, Perbix W, Seifert H. Risk factors for nosocomial bloodstream
  infections due to Acinetobacter baumannii: a case-control study of adult burn patients.
  Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. janv 1999;28(1):59-66.
- 381 25. Harrell F. Regression Modeling Strategies. Springer International Publishing; 2015.
- 382 26. Garnacho-Montero J, Ortiz-Leyba C, Fernández-Hinojosa E, Aldabó-Pallás T, Cayuela
  383 A, Marquez-Vácaro JA, et al. Acinetobacter baumannii ventilator-associated pneumonia:
  384 epidemiological and clinical findings. Intensive Care Med. mai 2005;31(5):649-55.
- 385 27. Baran G, Erbay A, Bodur H, Ongürü P, Akinci E, Balaban N, et al. Risk factors for
  386 nosocomial imipenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii infections. Int J Infect Dis IJID
  387 Off Publ Int Soc Infect Dis. janv 2008;12(1):16-21.

- 28. Playford EG, Craig JC, Iredell JR. Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in intensive care unit patients: risk factors for acquisition, infection and their consequences.
  390 J Hosp Infect. mars 2007;65(3):204-11.
- 29. Castelo Branco Fortaleza CM, Moreira de Freitas F, da Paz Lauterbach G. Colonization
  392 pressure and risk factors for acquisition of imipenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii
  393 in a medical surgical intensive care unit in Brazil. Am J Infect Control. mars
  394 2013;41(3):263-5.
- 395

patient	age	sex infection	MR-AB isolation	delay after admission	treatment of MR-AB infection episode	duration of treatment	outcome	time btw infection and death	death related to infection
1	99	H VAP	tracheal aspiration	10	colimycin + aminosides + colimycin aerosols	6	discharge alive	I	
7	34	H CRI + VAP	blood culture + tracheal aspiration	25	colimycin + colimycin aerosols	8	discharge alive	I	
c	58	H BSI +Ventilator-associate bronchitis	blood culture + tracheal aspiration	21	colimycin aerosols + aminosides	ъ	discharge alive	I	
4	41	H BSI + burn infection	blood culture + cutaneous sample	17	colimycin + colimycin aerosols	14	discharge alive	I	
IJ	56	F CRI	blood culture	35	colimycin	∞	discharge alive	I	
9	17	F CRI	blood culture + catheter	20	colimycin	8	discharge alive	I	
7	73	H BSI	blood culture	23	colimycin	31	discharge alive	I	
∞	56	F pneumonia + BJI + BSI	<pre>blood culture + tracheal aspiration + bone sample</pre>	33	colimycin + colimycin aerosols	11	death	11	yes
6	39	H BSI + burn infection 2nd episode peritonitis	blood culture + cutaneous sample peritoneal fluid	5 58	colimycin + aminosides + colimycin aerosols colimycin + colimycin aerosols	22 12	death	76 23	uncertain
10	28	H BSI + burn infection	blood culture + cutaneous sample	26	colimycin + aminosides + colimycin aerosols	12	death	12	yes
11	54	F BSI + VAP	blood culture + tracheal aspiration	22	colimycin + aminosides + colimycin aerosols	16	death	16	yes
12	51	F BSI + VAP + peritonitis	blood culture + tracheal aspiration + peritoneal fluid	25	colimycin + colimycin aerosols	9	death	9	yes
13	67	H pneumonia	tracheal aspiration	6	colimycin + aminosides + colimycin aerosols	9	death	14	uncertain
14	62	H VAP	distal pulmonary sample	0	colimycin + colimycin aerosols	11	death	20	ou
15	30	H BSI	blood culture	0	colimycin	8	discharge alive	I	
Table ]	l. Ch	aracteristics and outcom	e of MR-AB infections						

CRI: catheter -related infection VAP: Ventilator-associated pneumonia BSI: Bloodstream infection BJI: bone and joint infection

				Univariate	Analysis
Variables	All patients	No MR-AB infection	MR-AB infection	CSHR (95% CI)	P value
	n=80	n=71 (83)	n=15 (17)		
Baseline characteristics					
Median age, y	46 (30 ; 58)	46 (30 ; 58)	53 (36 ; 60)	1.00 (0.98 ; 1.03)	0.75
Male gender	53 (62)	43 (61)	10 (67)	1.55 (0.53 ; 4.57)	0.43
At least 1 comorbidity	34 (40)	24 (34)	10 (67)	2.25 (0.77 ; 6.62)	0.14
Diabetes	8 (9)	7 (10)	1 (7)		
Cancer	4 (5)	2 (3)	2 (13)		
Chronic pulmonary disease	3 (3)	1 (1)	2 (13)		
Chronic heart failure	3 (3)	2 (3)	1 (7)		
Psychiatric disorder	18 (21)	11 (15)	7 (47)		
HIV infection	1 (1)	1 (1)	0 (0)		
Obesity	4 (5)	3 (4)	1 (7)		
Admission mode					
Community	58 (67)	47 (66)	11 (73)		
Hospital	28 (33)	24 (34)	4 (27)	0.64 (0.20 ; 2.01)	0.44
Median SAPS II	20 (15 : 32)	17 (12 ; 24)	41 (27 ; 50)	1.08 (1.05 ; 1.12)	<0.0001
Median ABSI <sup>a</sup>	6 (5 ; 8)	6 (5 ; 7)	9 (7 ; 10)	1.32 (1.12 ; 1.56)	0.001
Prior antibiotic use (within 7 days)	21 (24)	16 (23)	5 (33)	1.34 (0.46 ; 3.93)	0.59
MR-AB colonization on admission	9 (10)	5 (7)	4 (27)		
Time-dependent characteristics <sup>b</sup>					
MR-AB colonization (previous day)	32 (37)	19 (27)	13 (87)	10.2 (2.05 ; 50.3)	0.004
Invasive procedure (≥ 1 day)	65 (76)	50 (70)	15 (100)	-	0.001*
Ventilation ( $\geq 1$ day)	31 (36)	18 (25)	13 (87)	9.69 (3.06 ; 30.7)	0.0001
Catheter	48 (56)	33 (46)	15 (100)		<0.0001*
≥2 skin grafts during the stay <sup>c</sup>	25 (29)	14 (20)	11 (73)	10.2 (1.76 ; 59.6)	0.01
Antibiotic treatment ≥1 day <sup>d</sup>	39 (45)	27 (38)	12 (80)	6.04 (0.76 ; 48.3)	0.09

# Table 2. Characteristics of patients and univariate analysis of the association with MR-AB infection, estimated using cause specific hazard ratios (CSHR).

<sup>a</sup> Estimations among burn patients only (n=68)

<sup>b</sup> Exposure to time dependent characteristics estimated from admission to first outcome (colonization, death or discharge alive)

<sup>c</sup> CSHR for  $\geq 2$  skin grafts vs < 2 skin grafts (reference)

 $^{d} \ge 1$  day of antibiotics; duration is reported in days, with median (interquartile range)

\* All infections occurred in patients with exposure (invasive procedures and catheter respectively). CSHRs had infinite values; P-values correspond to the score test in a Cox regression model.

Data are presented as No. (%) unless otherwise specified.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

End of stay status	All N=86	No MR-AB infection N=71	MR-AB infection N=15
Death	31 (3 ; 82)	8.5 (3 ; 43)	39 (21 ; 82)
Discharge alive	21 (2 ; 105)	19 (2 ; 76)	67 (9 ; 105)

5 Table 3. Median length (min; max) of hospital stays in days according to MR-AB infection and outcome (death or discharged alive)



#### 14 Figure 1. Study flow chart.

- 15 BU: Burn Unit
- 16 MR-AB: Multi-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii



26

Figure 2. Cumulative incidence of MR-AB infections during hospitalization. (Vertical segments represent 95% confidence intervals of the cumulative incidence at 7, 14, 28 and 42 days)