

Which sports are more at risk of physical exercise addiction: A systematic review

Laura Di Lodovico, Ségolène Poulnais, Philip Gorwood

▶ To cite this version:

Laura Di Lodovico, Ségolène Poulnais, Philip Gorwood. Which sports are more at risk of physical exercise addiction: A systematic review. Addictive Behaviors, 2019, 93, pp.257 - 262. 10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.12.030. hal-03487002

HAL Id: hal-03487002 https://hal.science/hal-03487002v1

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Which sports are more at risk of physical exercise addiction: a systematic review.

Di Lodovico Laura (1,2), Poulnais Ségolène (3), Gorwood Philip (1,2).

Clinique des Maladies Mentales et de l'Encéphale (CMME), Hôpital Sainte-Anne,
Rue Cabanis, 75014 Paris, France. □

2) INSERM U894, Centre de Psychiatrie et Neurosciences (CPN), Université Paris Descartes, PRES Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France. □

3) Secteur 3, Hôpital Sainte-Anne, 1 Rue Cabanis, 75014 Paris, France.

Electronic addresses: laura.dilodovico@yahoo.com (Di Lodovico Laura); segolene.poulnais@gmail.com (Poulnais Ségolène); p.gorwood@ch-sainte-anne.fr (Gorwood Philip).

Corresponding author : laura.dilodovico@yahoo.com (Di Lodovico Laura).

ABSTRACT

Introduction. Excessive physical exercise may evolve into physical exercise addiction, a recently identified entity with many yet unclear aspects, such as global prevalence and variability according to different types of physical exercise.

Methods. We systematically reviewed the current literature up to June 2018 to collect all studies screening exercise addiction with two of the most frequently used screening scales: the Exercise Addiction Inventory (EAI) and the Exercise Dependence Scale (EDS).

Results. We detected forty-eight studies (20 using the EAI, 26 the EDS, and 2 both scales) reporting variable point prevalence of exercise addiction risk, depending on the target population and the investigated sport. The EAI identifies a higher proportion of people at risk for physical exercise addiction among endurance athletes (14,2%) followed by ball games (10,4%), fitness centre attendees (8,2%) and power disciplines (6,4%), while a frequency of 3,0% was reported in the general population. Studies using the EDS found discrepant results.

Discussion. This systematic review suggests that sport disciplines are associated with different vulnerability for physical exercise addiction. Besides the different addictive potential of each sport, the heterogeneity of results may be also due to socio-demographic and cultural characteristics of the target populations. The EAI and the EDS identify different proportions of individuals at risk for exercise addiction both in general population and in specific sport categories. As the EAI screens a higher proportion of subjects at risk, especially in endurance disciplines, it could be more appropriate for early detection of at-risk subjects and/or disciplines.

Conclusion. Tailored prevention strategies for each discipline could help better preserving benefits of sports. More precision in research methods and the use of the most appropriate scale are required to allow a better comparability of prevalence among physical exercise disciplines and in general population.

1. Introduction

A large amount of evidence highlights the physical, psychological and physiological benefits of regular physical exercise (Hausenblas & Downs, 2002; Veale, 1987). Physical exercise is a powerful stimulant and has mood regulating properties (Meyer, Taranis, & Goodwin, 2011). The minimum amount of exercise required to experience such benefits was fixed at 2 hours and 30 minutes a week of moderate-intensity, or 1 hour and 15 minutes a week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity, or a combination of the two (UDHHS, 2008). Additional benefits experienced are proportional to an increase of the amount of exercise (Costa, Cuzzocrea, Hausenblas, Larcan, & Oliva, 2012), but this colinearity brakes when negative consequences of excessive exercise appear. Exercise can indeed become an allconsuming activity (Hausenblas & Giacobbi, 2004) and occasionally evolve into a compulsive behaviour or an addiction (Veale, 1987), with all the core characteristics of this entity (Lejoyeux, Guillot, Chalvin, Petit, & Lequen, 2012). The same diagnostic criteria as DSM-IV behavioural addictions (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) adapted to sport practice (Marquez & De la Vega, 2015) have been proposed for "exercise addiction" (EA). The consequences of EA may more specifically concern physical injuries, social marginalisation and psychological tense occasioned by withdrawal (Di Lodovico, Dubertret, & Ameller, 2018).

The debate on the prevalence of EA is still alive: results show great variability depending on the chosen screening tool (Mónok et al., 2012; Griffiths et al., 2015; Lejoyeux, Avril, Richoux, Embouazza, & Nivoli, 2008; Weik & Hale, 2009), the target population (Meulemans, Pribis, Grajales, & Krivak, 2014; Cunningham, Pearman, & Brewerton, 2016), the practiced discipline (Szabo, De La Vega, Ruiz-Barquín, & Rivera, 2013; Blaydon & Lindner, 2002) and even the established nomenclature (Noetel, Dawson, Hay, & Touyz, 2017). Indeed, the terms of EA, "exercise dependence", "compulsive exercise", "excessive exercise" are used interchangeably and concur to the fragmentation of conclusions. In this review the term EA will be preferred as the best synthesis of both concepts of "dependence" and "compulsion" (Berczik et al., 2012).

Some of the existing EA screening instruments explore several dimensions of this entity while others focus on a particular key aspect of dependence, some inquiries use validated questionnaires while others exploit structured or ad-hoc interviews (Lejoyeux, Avril, & Richoux, 2008). The two screening questionnaires of reference

are the Exercise Dependence Scale (EDS) (Hausenblas & Downs, 2002), and the Exercise Addiction Inventory (EAI) (Terry, Szabo, & Griffiths, 2004). Both scales are validated in different languages, including French (Kern, 2007; Ferreira, 2016), and the EAI is also available in a youth version (Lichtenstein, Griffiths, Hemmingsen, & Støving, 2018).

The EDS is a multidimensional, theoretical-based measure of EA symptoms according to the operationalized DSM-IV criteria of behavioral addiction for EA (Hausenblas & Downs, 2002). Its 29 questions (21 in the revised version « EDS-R » (Symons Downs, Hausenblas, & Nigg, 2004)) search for the cardinal symptoms of addiction: tolerance, withdrawal, intention effects, lack of control, lost time, reduction in other activities, and continuance instead of problems. The EDS-R yields both a mean score (i.e., interval data) and categorization (i.e., nominal data) distinguishing individuals 'at-risk' of EA from those who are 'nondependent symptomatic' and 'nondependent asymptomatic' (Costa et al., 2012). This scale shows excellent internal consistency (Cronbach's *alpha* = 0.95) and adequate test-retest reliability (r = 0.92) (Hausenblas & Downs, 2002).

The EAI is composed of six self-constructed statements based on a modified version of the components of behavioural addiction (Griffiths, 1996). Each statement was designed to indicate one of the addictive behaviour components and has a five point Likert response option. A score of at least 24 out of 30 identifies 'at-risk' individuals, a score between 12 and 23 identifies individuals with 'some symptoms' of EA (Terry et al., 2004), and a score below 12 indicates 'asymptomatic' subjects. The internal consistency of EAI is of 84% and the test-restest reliability is 0,85 (Griffiths, 2005).

Other EA screening instruments were considered as having good psychometric properties (Berczik et al., 2012) such as the Exercise Dependence Questionnaire (EDQ) (Ogden, Veale, & Summers, 1997), the Commitment to Exercise Scale (CES) (Davis, Brewer, & Ratusny, 1993) and the Obligatory Exercise Questionnaire (OEQ) (Ackard, Brehm, & Steffen, 2002; Pasman & Thompson, 1988). The EDQ encompasses 29 items and provides a multidimensional assessment of compulsive exercise behaviour in all forms of exercise (Berczik et al., 2012; Ogden et al., 1997). The CES is an eight-item questionnaire designed to assess an individual's psychological commitment to physical exercising (Davis et al., 1993). The OEQ relies on the three factors of exercise fixation, exercise frequency and exercise commitment and is composed of 20 items assessed with a 4-point Likert scale (Ackard et al., 2002).

In order to better understand the prevalence of EA, overall and among physically

active populations, our scope is to make the first extensive review of all works screening for EA by the EAI or the EDS. Their brevity, easy scoring and availability of translated forms allowed their adoption in a consistent number of publications on different sport disciplines (Berczik et al., 2012) and their results have been considered as arguably comparable (Szabo, Griffiths, de La Vega Marcos, Mervó, & Demetrovics, 2015).

2. Methods

To perform our review, we systematically analysed the literature published up to June 2018 in PubMed®, Science Direct® and search engines such as Google® to collect all studies reporting prevalence of EA. Research was effectuated by typing on the research tab the following terms: "exercise dependence", "exercise addiction", "excessive exercising", "excessive exercise", "compulsive exercising", "compulsive exercise", "sport dependence", and "sport addiction". Figure 1 illustrates the research procedure.

Only observational studies that employed the EAI, the EDS or the EDS-R were included. We excluded studies that were not written or did not provide any abstract in English, besides the ones that targeted general populations of students or did not focus on a particular sport discipline (Figure 1).

We merged articles according to the scale used and the type of discipline practiced by the target population, using the classification of sport activities provided by Caselli *et al* (Caselli et al., 2015) that distinguishes subgroups in relation to the predominant characteristics of training: (1) "Endurance disciplines" (e.g. long-distance running, marathon, cycling, swimming and triathlon); (2) "Power disciplines" (i.e. weightlifting, bodybuilding and crossfit), (3) "Mixed disciplines" including team sports such as soccer, basketball or volleyball, also called "Ball games" in the study of Trana *et al* (Trana, 2014). Following the example of Shin *et al* (Shin & You, 2015), we further introduced a fourth category (4) "Health and fitness" in order to gather the numerous works conducted on fitness centres attendees. We lastly identified the category of (5) "General population" to collect nationwide studies on samples representative of the general population.

We calculated the weighted average of the prevalence of EA risk $(p=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{wiXi} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{wi})$, where p is the weighted prevalence, w the size of each sample, n the total number of subjects and X the risk prevalence of each study. Confidence intervals for each study, each scale and each subgroup are illustrated. We performed a Chi-square test to compare prevalence rates of each subgroup of

disciplines assessed by the same scale (to ascertain a significant difference of EA risk according to the sport practiced) and to compare prevalence rates assessed by the two scales for the same subgroup of disciplines (to verify if the results brought by the two scales are comparable).

The data analyses and figure realisation relied on worksheets constructed using MetaWin Software version 2.0 (Rosenberg, 2000).

3. Results

We collected a total of forty-eight cross sectional, observational studies (20 used the EAI; 26 the EDS, and 2 both scales) (figure 1).

According to the studies that employed the EAI, EA risk prevalence spans from 0,5% to 43,0% (figure 2). Endurance disciplines are characterized by highest prevalence rates of EA risk (14,2%). Mixed disciplines (10,4%) have a significantly lower prevalence than endurance disciplines (χ^2 =18,671; df=1; p<0,01), but higher than health and fitness disciplines (8,2%) (χ^2 =8,969; df=1; p<0,01). Power disciplines (6,4%) have a lower prevalence than health and fitness disciplines (3,0%) (χ^2 =20,185; df=1; p<0,01). Confidence intervals among categories do not overlap (figure 2).

When the EDS is used (table 1), the prevalence of EA spans from 0,3% in a general population sample to 18,3% in competitive athletes involved in ball games, the latter category having the highest risk prevalence of EA (15,3%) followed by power disciplines (10,7%) (χ^2 =4,201; df=1; p=0,04). Health and fitness disciplines (6,0%) show lower risk prevalence than power disciplines (χ^2 =270,759; df=1; p<0,01) but higher than endurance disciplines (3,5%) (χ^2 =96,700; df=1; p<0,01), the latter harbouring higher EA risk prevalence than the general population (1,9%) (χ^2 =27,241; df=1; p<0,01). Confidence intervals of weighed prevalence in different disciplines overlap (table 1).

Comparisons between EA risk prevalence respectively assessed by the EAI vs the EDS show significant differences in endurance disciplines (14,2% vs 3,5%) (χ^2 =278,966; df=1; p<0,01), mixed disciplines (10,4% vs 15,3%) (χ^2 =13,297; df=1; p<0,01), health and fitness disciplines (8,2% vs 6,0%) (χ^2 =17,606; df=1; p<0,01), power disciplines (6,4% vs 10,7%) (χ^2 =6,087; df=1; p=0,01) and general population (3,0% vs 1,9%) (χ^2 =14,333; df=1; p<0,01).

4. Discussion

This is to our knowledge the first work reviewing all studies that assessed the point risk prevalence of EA among sport disciplines by means of the two most employed screening instruments: the EDS and the EAI. Our results confirm that the prevalence of EA risk is generally higher among regular exercisers than in the general population (Mónok et al., 2012). All sports are potentially concerned, but some are characterised by higher EA risk. Specific factors could play a predominant role in each sport category, so that complications, diagnostic and therapeutic implications could change from one discipline to another. For example, the most strenuous activities were reported to harbour the highest risk rates of EA (Hausenblas & Downs, 2002) and this could in part explain the highest prevalence of EA rates in endurance sports. The intrinsic characteristics of endurance training seem to favour the development of physical and psychological tolerance (Magee, Buchanan, & Barrie, 2016) and other important factors either moderate, or may be confused with, a proneness to EA, like obsessive passion and dedication (De La Vega et al., 2016; Lane & Wilson, 2011), social physique anxiety (Cook, Hausenblas, Crosby, Cao, & Wonderlich, 2015), eating disorders (Müller, Loeber, Söchtig, Te Wildt, & De Zwaan, 2015), commitment (Szabo et al., 2015), weight and shape concerns and motivation depending on the specific characteristics of the physical activity (Nogueira, Molinero, Salguero, & Márquez, 2018).

Besides the type of discipline practised, variable EA risk prevalence among disciplines could be attributable to the target population and the choice of the screening instrument even after restricting our research to two arguably comparable scales. Astonishingly, significantly lower risk prevalence is reported in studies employing the EDS, a scale recognised with excellent psychometric properties (Hausenblas & Downs, 2002; Symons Downs et al., 2004; Kern, 2007; Costa et al., 2012; Mónok et al., 2012). It is worth noting that the study with the lowest risk prevalence for endurance sports (Cook et al., 2013) was performed on an occasional sample of participants to a long distance race, hypothetically merging occasional runners with endurance athletes and thus potentially underestimating prevalence. Alternatively, a weaker sensitivity for this category could be supposed but not proved as true positive and false negative rates are not being known.

Only two studies assessed the frequency of EA risk in the general population (Cunningham et al., 2016; Mónok et al., 2012) according to both EDS and EAI. Both samples showed higher EA risk when using the EAI, this difference being significant in only one case (Cunningham et al., 2016). The discrepancy between the two scales could be understood as using different definitions of problematic exercise (Terry et

al., 2004): the EDS assesses the risk for exercise dependence, described as a craving for exercise at the origin of uncontrolled physical activity, having a physical and/or physiological presentation (Hausenblas & Downs, 2002). As already observed, the EDS is based on the 'gold standard' of the criteria of psychoactive substance use dependence of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual-4th edition adapted to a behavioural addiction (Mónok et al., 2012; Terry et al., 2004; Szabo et al., 2015). The items of the EAI are, on the other hand, the operationalization of the components of behavioural addictions, which are theory-driven and derived from a conceptual basis (Mónok et al., 2012) rather than from already existing criteria for substance use disorders. It is therefore possible that the wording of the different questions from the EDS makes more obvious the pathological aspect of each problem related to EA than the EAI. Subjects with EA could then more easily quote the EAI item about tolerance presented as "Over time I have increased the amount of exercise I do in a day" than the equivalent from the EDS "I continually increase my exercise duration to achieve the desired effects/benefits". In the same line, they could more easily respond positively to the EAI item relevant for withdrawal "If I have to miss an exercise session I feel moody and irritable", but less easily to the EDS item "I am unable to reduce how often I exercise".

Cross-cultural evaluations of the EAI showed a robust metric invariance despite the impossibility of establishing scalar and gender invariance (Griffiths et al., 2015), this could explain the heterogeneity of point prevalence across studies. Partial factorial invariance was supported for age, gender and country of origin for all but two items of the EDS (Lindwall & Palmeira, 2009). This invites to particular caution when comparing the results from different countries, as conceptual, linguistic and psychometric discrepancies could potentially explain variable point prevalence.

One of the main limitations of our study is the lack of distinction between male and female responders: a different trend of answering is an already consolidated finding (Griffiths et al., 2015; Szabo et al., 2013; Youngman & Simpson, 2014). The absence of distinction between elite athletes and non-competitive exercisers may also play as a confounding factor: in elite athletes, radically different interpretation of questionnaires could occur, since their socio-economic status depends on trainings and performance (Szabo et al., 2015). The absence of paired strictly comparable populations among studies may exert a major influence that we cannot still estimate. For instance, "fitness centre attendees" is a wide, heterogeneous group of exercisers including different disciplines and a random involvement in training routines.

5. Conclusions

We performed the first extensive review on the prevalence of EA found by the two major screening instruments: the EDS and the EAI. Results are heterogeneous according to the scale used and the discipline investigated, suggesting a need for calibrated prevention strategies for each discipline. The EAI seems a more appropriate tool to screen the risk for EA in specific populations of exercisers, generally showing its potential to identify a higher proportion of individuals at risk for EA.

6. References

Ackard, D., Brehm, B., & Steffen, J. (2002). Exercise and Eating Disorders in College-Aged Women: Profiling Excessive Exercisers. Eating Disorders, 10(1), 31–47. https://doi.org/10.1080/106402602753573540

Allegre, B., & Therme, P. (2008). Étude confirmative de l'échelle de dépendance àl'activité physique Exercise Dependence Scale-Revised pour une populationfrancophone.L'Encéphale,34(5),490–495.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.encep.2007.08.004

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). Arlington: American Psychiatric Publishing.

Berczik, K., Szabó, A., Griffiths, M. D., Kurimay, T., Kun, B., Urbán, R., & Demetrovics, Z. (2012). Exercise Addiction: Symptoms, Diagnosis, Epidemiology, and Etiology. Substance Use & Misuse, 47(4), 403–417. https://doi.org/10.3109/10826084.2011.639120

Blaydon, M., & Lindner, K. (2002). Eating Disorders and Exercise Dependence inTriathletes.EatingDisorders,10(1),https://doi.org/10.1080/106402602753573559

Caselli, S., Montesanti, D., Autore, C., Di Paolo, F. M., Pisicchio, C., Squeo, M. R., ... Pelliccia, A. (2015). Patterns of Left Ventricular Longitudinal Strain and Strain Rate in Olympic Athletes. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography, 28(2), 245–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2014.10.010

Cook, B., Hausenblas, H., Crosby, R. D., Cao, L., & Wonderlich, S. A. (2015). Exercise dependence as a mediator of the exercise and eating disorders relationship: A pilot study. Eating Behaviors, 16, 9–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.10.012

Cook, B., Karr, T. M., Zunker, C., Mitchell, J. E., Thompson, R., Sherman, R., ... Wonderlich, S. A. (2013). Primary and secondary exercise dependence in a community-based sample of road race runners. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 35(5), 464–469.

Costa, S., Cuzzocrea, F., Hausenblas, H. A., Larcan, R., & Oliva, P. (2012). Psychometric examination and factorial validity of the Exercise Dependence Scale-Revised in Italian exercisers. Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 1(4), 186–190. https://doi.org/10.1556/JBA.1.2012.009

Costa, S., Hausenblas, H. A., Oliva, P., Cuzzocrea, F., & Larcan, R. (2013). The role of age, gender, mood states and exercise frequency on exercise dependence. Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 2(4), 216–223. https://doi.org/10.1556/JBA.2.2013.014

Costa, S., Hausenblas, H. A., Oliva, P., Cuzzocrea, F., & Larcan, R. (2015). Perceived Parental Psychological Control and Exercise Dependence Symptoms in Competitive Athletes. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 13(1), 59–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-014-9512-3

Cunningham, H. E., Pearman, S., & Brewerton, T. D. (2016). Conceptualizing primary and secondary pathological exercise using available measures of excessive exercise: Conceptualizing Pathological Exercise. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 49(8), 778–792. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22551

Davis, C., Brewer, H., & Ratusny, D. (1993). Behavioral frequency and psychological commitment: Necessary concepts in the study of excessive exercising. Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 16(6), 611–628. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00844722

De La Vega, R., Parastatidou, I. S., Ruiz-Barquin, R., & Szabo, A. (2016). Exercise Addiction in Athletes and Leisure Exercisers: The Moderating Role of Passion. Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 5(2), 325–331. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.5.2016.043

Di Lodovico, L., Dubertret, C., & Ameller, A. (2018). Vulnerability to exercise addiction, socio-demographic, behavioral and psychological characteristics of runners at risk for eating disorders. Comprehensive Psychiatry, 81, 48–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsych.2017.11.006

Edmunds, J., Ntoumanis, N., & Duda, J. L. (2006). Examining Exercise Dependence Symptomatology from a Self-determination Perspective. Journal of Health Psychology, 11(6), 887–903. https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105306069091

Ferreira, I. (2016). Sport à tout prix ? Dépendance à l'exercice physique et soins de santé primaires en contexte français : traduction française et validation de l'Exercise Addiction Inventory. Médecine humaine et pathologie.

Griffiths, M. D. (1996). Behavioural addiction: an issue for everybody? Journal of Workplace Learning, 8(3), 19–25.

Griffiths, M. D. (2005). The exercise addiction inventory: a quick and easy screening tool for health practitioners. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 39(6), e30–e30. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2004.017020

Griffiths, M. D., Urbán, R., Demetrovics, Z., Lichtenstein, M. B., de la Vega, R., Kun, B., ... Szabo, A. (2015). A cross-cultural re-evaluation of the Exercise Addiction Inventory (EAI) in five countries. Sports Medicine - Open, 1(1), 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-014-0005-5

Hale, B. D., Diehl, D., Weaver, K., & Briggs, M. S. (2013). Exercise dependence and muscle dysmorphia in novice and experienced female bodybuilders. Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 2(4), 244–248. https://doi.org/10.1556/JBA.2.2013.4.8

Hale, B. D., Roth, A. D., DeLong, R. E., & Briggs, M. S. (2010). Exercise dependence and the drive for muscularity in male bodybuilders, power lifters, and fitness lifters. Body Image, 7(3), 234–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2010.02.001

Hausenblas, H. A., & Downs, D. S. (2002). How Much is Too Much? The Development and Validation of the Exercise Dependence Scale. Psychology & Health, 17(4), 387–404. https://doi.org/10.1080/0887044022000004894

Hausenblas, H., & Giacobbi, P. (2004). Relationship between exercise dependence symptoms and personality. Personality and Individual Differences, 36, 1265–1273. Hill, A. P., Robson, S. J., & Stamp, G. M. (2015). The predictive ability of perfectionistic traits and self-presentational styles in relation to exercise dependence. Personality and Individual Differences, 86, 176–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2015.06.015

Kern, L. (2007). Validation de l'adaptation française de l'échelle de dépendance à l'exercice physique: l'EDS-R. Pratiques Psychologiques, 13(4), 425–441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prps.2007.06.003

Lane, A. M., & Wilson, M. (2011). Emotions and trait emotional intelligence among ultra-endurance runners. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 14(4), 358–362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2011.03.001

Lease, H. J., & Bond, M. J. (2013). Correspondence between alternate measures of maladaptive exercise, and their associations with disordered eating symptomatology. Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 2(3), 153–159. https://doi.org/10.1556/JBA.2.2013.012

Lejoyeux, M., Avril, M., Richoux, C., Embouazza, H., & Nivoli, F. (2008). Prevalence of exercise dependence and other behavioral addictions among clients of a Parisian fitness room. Comprehensive Psychiatry, 49(4), 353–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsych.2007.12.005

Lejoyeux, M., Guillot, C., Chalvin, F., Petit, A., & Lequen, V. (2012). Exercise dependence among customers from a Parisian sport shop. Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 1(1), 28–34. https://doi.org/10.1556/JBA.1.2012.1.3

Lichtenstein, M. B., Griffiths, M. D., Hemmingsen, S. D., & Støving, R. K. (2018). Exercise addiction in adolescents and emerging adults – Validation of a youth version of the Exercise Addiction Inventory. Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 7(1), 117–125. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.7.2018.01

Lindwall, M., & Palmeira, A. (2009). Factorial Validity and Invariance Testing of the Exercise Dependence Scale-Revised in Swedish and Portuguese Exercisers. Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science, 13(3), 166–179. https://doi.org/10.1080/10913670903050313

Magee, C. A., Buchanan, I., & Barrie, L. (2016). Profiles of exercise dependence

symptoms in Ironman participants. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 24, 48–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2016.01.005

Marquez, S., & De la Vega, R. (2015). La adicción al ejercicio: un trastorno emergente de la conducta. Nutricion Hospitalaria, 31(6), 2384–2391. https://doi.org/10.3305/nh.2015.31.6.8934

Maselli, M., Gobbi, E., Probst, M., & Carraro, A. (2018). Prevalence of Primary and Secondary Exercise Dependence and Its Correlation with Drive for Thinness in Practitioners of Different Sports and Physical Activities. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-017-9867-3

Menczel, Z., Griffiths, M. D., Vingender, I., Eisinger, A., Farkas, J., Magi, A., ... Demetrovics, Z. (2017). Exercise Dependence in Amateur Competitors and Non-Competitor Recreational Exercisers. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 15(3), 580–587. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-016-9661-7

Meulemans, S., Pribis, P., Grajales, T., & Krivak, G. (2014). Gender Differences in Exercise Dependence and Eating Disorders in Young Adults: A Path Analysis of a Conceptual Model. Nutrients, 6(11), 4895–4905. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu6114895

Meyer, C., Taranis, L., & Goodwin, H. (2011). Compulsive Exercise and Eating Disorders. Eur. Eat. Disorders Rev., 19, 174–189. https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.1122

Miller, K. J., & Mesagno, C. (2014). Personality traits and exercise dependence:Exploring the role of narcissism and perfectionism. International Journal of Sport andExercisePsychology,12(4),368–381.https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2014.932821

Mónok, K., Berczik, K., Urbán, R., Szabo, A., Griffiths, M. D., Farkas, J., ... Demetrovics, Z. (2012). Psychometric properties and concurrent validity of two exercise addiction measures: A population wide study. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 13(6), 739–746. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2012.06.003

Müller, A., Claes, L., Smits, D., Gefeller, O., Hilbert, A., Herberg, A., ... de Zwaan, M. (2013). Validation of the German Version of the Exercise Dependence Scale. European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 29(3), 213–219. https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000144

Müller, A., Cook, B., Zander, H., Herberg, A., Müller, V., & de Zwaan, M. (2014). Does the German version of the Exercise Dependence Scale measure exercise dependence? Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 15(3), 288–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2013.12.003

Müller, A., Loeber, S., Söchtig, J., Te Wildt, B., & De Zwaan, M. (2015). Risk for exercise dependence, eating disorder pathology, alcohol use disorder and addictive behaviors among clients of fitness centers. Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 4(4), 273–280. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.4.2015.044

Noetel, M., Dawson, L., Hay, P., & Touyz, S. (2017). The assessment and treatment of unhealthy exercise in adolescents with anorexia nervosa: A Delphi study to synthesize clinical knowledge: NOETEL et al . International Journal of Eating Disorders, 50(4), 378–388. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22657

Nogueira, A., Molinero, O., Salguero, A., & Márquez, S. (2018). Exercise Addiction in Practitioners of Endurance Sports: A Literature Review. Frontiers in Psychology, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01484

Ogden, J., Veale, D., & Summers, Z. (1997). The Development and Validation of the Exercise Dependence Questionnaire. Addiction Research, 5(4), 343–355. https://doi.org/10.3109/16066359709004348

Parastatidou, I. S., Doganis, G., Theodorakis, Y., & Vlachopoulos, S. P. (2014). The Mediating Role of Passion in the Relationship of Exercise Motivational Regulations with Exercise Dependence Symptoms. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 12(4), 406–419. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-013-9466-x

Pasman, L., & Thompson, J. K. (1988). Body image and eating disturbance in obligatory runners, obligatory weightlifters, and sedentary individuals. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 7(6), 759–769. https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-108X(198811)7:6<759::AID-EAT2260070605>3.0.CO;2-G

Rosenberg, M. S. (2000). MetaWin: statistical software for meta-analysis ([Version] 2.0). Sunderland, Mass: Sinauer Associates.

Shin, K., & You, S. (2015). Factorial Validity of the Korean Version of the Exercise Dependence Scale–Revised. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 121(3), 889–899. https://doi.org/10.2466/03.08.PMS.121c27x8

Soler, P. T., Helder, M. F., Oliveira Damasceno, V., & Silva Novaes, J. (2013). Vigorexy and levels of exercise dependence in gymgoers and bodybuilders. Rev Bras Med Esporte, 19(5), 343–348.

Symons Downs, D., Hausenblas, H. A., & Nigg, C. R. (2004). Factorial validity and psychometric examination of the exercise dependence scale-revised. Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science, 8, 183–201. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327841mpee0804_1

Szabo, A., De La Vega, R., Ruiz-Barquín, R., & Rivera, O. (2013). Exercise addiction in Spanish athletes: Investigation of the roles of gender, social context and level of involvement. Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 2(4), 249–252. https://doi.org/10.1556/JBA.2.2013.4.9

Szabo, A., Griffiths, M. D., de La Vega Marcos, R., Mervó, B., & Demetrovics, Z. (2015). Methodological and Conceptual Limitations in Exercise Addiction Research. The Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine, 88(3), 303–308.

Tello, G., Jouvion, A., Boulard, J.-F., Marimoutou, C., Cazenave, N., & Théfenne, L. (2012). Addiction au sport et blessures au Centre national d'entraînement commando. Science & Sports, 27(5), 274–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scispo.2011.10.006

Terry, A., Szabo, A., & Griffiths, M. (2004). The Exercise Addiction Inventory: a new brief screening tool. Addiction Research and Theory, 12(5), 489–499. https://doi.org/10.1080/16066350310001637363

Trana, I. (2014). Prevalence and characteristics of exercise dependence among Norwegian men. Norges Teknisk-Naturvitenskapelige Universitet Fakultet for samfunnsvitenskap og teknologiledelse Psykologisk institutt, Trondheim. Retrieved from

https://brage.bibsys.no/xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/271210/733574_FULLTEXT01. pdf?sequence=1

UDHHS. (2008). Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. ODPHP Publication No. U0036, Washington (DC). Retrieved from http://www.health.gov/paguidelines.

Veale, D. (1987). Exercise Dependence. British Journal of Addiction, 82, 735–740.

Weik, M., & Hale, B. D. (2009). Contrasting gender differences on two measures of exercise dependence. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 43(3), 204–207. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2007.045138

Youngman, J., & Simpson, D. (2014). Risk for Exercise Addiction: A Comparison of Triathletes Training for Sprint-, Olympic-, Half-Ironman-, and Ironman-Distance Triathlons. Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology, 8(1), 19–37. https://doi.org/10.1123/jcsp.2014-0010

Figure 1: flowchart describing research procedure



Table 1: prevalence of EA	A risk according to the	subgroup of disciplines	in studies using the EDS.
---------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------

Discipline	Number of studies	Population size	Lower c.i. (%)	Prevalence (%)	Upper c.i. (%)	Standard deviation (%)
Mixed disciplines/ball games	3	774	12,8	15,3	17,9	1,3
Power disciplines	3	371	7,6	10,7	13,8	1,3
Health and fitness activities	13	4797	5,3	6,0	6,7	0,3
Endurance disciplines	5	3817	2,9	3,5	4,1	0,3
General population	4	7274	1,6	1,9	2,2	0,2

Exercise disciplines	First author	Year	Sport	Ν	Prevalence% (SD)	95% CI						 		
Endurance disciplines	Zeulner	2016	Cycling, running, triathlon	1031	2,7	[1,7 - 3,7]	 							
	Youngman	2014	Triathlon	1285	20,0	[17,8 - 22,2]			 	+				
	Mayolas-Pi	2017	Cycling, running, triathlon	1577	17,0	[15,1 - 18,8]			-8-1					
	Buck	2018	Ultramarathon	98	18,2	[10,6 - 25,8]			++@+	+++				
	Di Lodovico	2017	Long distance running	154	12,5	[7,3 - 17,7]		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++						
	Szabo	2013	Ultramarathon	95	17,0	[9,4 - 24,5]				++				
	Lejoyeux	2012	Running, swimming	133	12,4	[6,8 - 18,0]			╇╼┤╽					
	Total Endurar	ice		4373	14,2 (0,5)	[13,2 - 15,3]			♦					
Mixed disciplines	Lichtestein	2012	Soccer	194	7,3	[3,7 - 11,0]		⊨⊢∣						
	Lichtestein	2014	Soccer	98	7,1	[2,0 - 12,2]		▫						
	Li	2015	Team sport	1601	11,3	[9,7 - 12,8]		 						
	Lejoyeux	2012	Tennis, soccer, horse-riding, dar	290	8,6	[5,4 - 11,8]								
	Total mixed d	isciplines		2183	10,4 (0,6)	[9,1 - 11,7]		•						
Health and fitness activities	Lichtestein	2012	Fitness	396	5,4	[3,2 - 7,6]		_						
	Lichtestein	2014	Fitness	176	9,7	[5,3 - 14,1]			+					
	Lichtestein	2017	Fitness	577	6,8	[4,7 - 8,8]	++	╕┥┥╡						
	Rudolph	2017	Fitness	1008	10,2	[8,3 - 12,1]								
	Bruno	2014	Fitness	120	43,0	[34,1 - 49,9]						⊢⊨	┿┿┯┩	
	Warner	2006	Fitness	100	8,0	[2,7 - 13,3]		 						
	Menczel	2013	Fitness	1743	7,0	[5,8 - 8,2]	- -	8						
	Lichtestein	2018	Fitness	127	8,7	[3,8 - 13,6]		───						
	Kovacsik	2018	Fitness	360	4,2	[2,1 - 6,2]	+							
	Szabo	2007	Fitness	194	3,6	[1,0 - 6,2]	119							
	Total Health a	nd Fitness	5	4801	8,2 (0,4)	[7,4 - 9,0]		♦						
Power disciplines	Lichtestein	2016	Crossfit	603	5,0	[3,3 - 6,7]		-						
	Lejoyeux	2012	Bodybuilding	77	17,5	[9,0 - 26,0]		++		+++				
	Total power d	isciplines		680	6,4 (0,9)	[4,6-8,3]		▶						
General population	Monok	2012		2710	0,5	[0,2 - 0,8]	b l							
	Cunningham	2016		1497	7,4	[6,0 - 8,7]		e						
	Total general	populatior	1	4207	3,0 (0,3)	[2,5 - 3,5]	∳	10%	20%		20%			60%

Figure 2: prevalence of EA risk according to the subgroup of disciplines in publications using the EAI.

Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals (CI). Averages are presented by squares for each study, and triangles for the weighted mean corresponding to all studies on a specific type of discipline

Appendix A: publications employing the EDS. Population size, study design and prevalence of EA risk with related 95% confidence interval (C. I.) are reported.

Author	Year	Study design	N	Population	Prevalence (%)	95% C.I. (%)		
Mixed disciplines / ball	games							
Shin (Shin & You, 2015)	2015	Observational	402	Habitual exercisers (ball games and racket predominantly)	15,4	11,9 - 18,9		
Costa (Costa, Hausenblas, Oliva, Cuzzocrea, & Larcan, 2015)	2015	Observational	262	Competitive athletes in team sports	18,3	13,6 - 23,0		
Maselli	2018	Observational	110	Team sport players	8,1	3,0 - 13,3		
			774	Weighed average	15,3	12,8 – 17,9		
Power disciplines								
Hale (Hale et al., 2010)	2010	Observational	146	Weightlifters and bodybuilders	15,1	9,3 - 20,9		
Hale (Hale, Diehl, Weaver, & Briggs, 2013)	2013	Observational	74	Weightlifters and bodybuilders	13,5	5,7 – 21,3		
Soler (Soler, Helder, Oliveira Damasceno, & Silva Novaes, 2013)	2013	Observational	151	Bodybuilders and strength trainers	5,1	1,6 - 8,6		
			371	Total weighed average	10,7	7,6 – 13,9		
Health and fitness activ	ities	Observed in the	420	Ethnore exacts and a	7.0	24.424		
Muller (Muller et al., 2015)	2015	Observational	128	Fitness center attendees	7,8	3,1 - 12,4		
Costa (Costa, Hausenblas, Oliva, Cuzzocrea, & Larcan, 2013)	2013	Observational	409	Fitness center attendees	4,4	2,4 - 6,4		
Costa(Costa et al., 2012)	2012	Observational	519	Fitness center attendees	6,6	4,5 - 8,7		
Menczel (Menczel et al., 2017)	2017	Observational	1743	Fitness center attendees	2,2	1,5 – 2,9		
Parastatidou (Parastatidou, Doganis, Theodorakis, & Vlachopoulos, 2014)	2014	Observational	549	Fitness center attendees	12,4	9,6 – 15,0		
Miller (Miller & Mesagno, 2014)	2014	Observational	90	Fitness center attendees	7,2	1,8 - 12,5		
Müller (Müller et al., 2014)	2014	Observational	35	Fitness center attendees	11,4	0,9 - 22,0		
Edmunds (Edmunds, Ntoumanis, & Duda, 2006)	2006	Observational	351	Fitness center attendees	3,4	1,5 - 5,3		
Lease (Lease & Bond, 2013)	2013	Observational	302	Fitness center attendees	7,9	4,8 - 10,9		
Hill (Hill, Robson, &	2015	Observational	248	Fitness center attendees	9,5	5,8 - 13,1		
Maselli	2018	Observational	101	Fitness center attendees	9,9	4,0 - 15,7		
Müller (Müller et al., 2013)	2013	Observational	129	Fitness center attendees	12,4	6,7 - 18,1		
Weik (Weik & Hale, 2009)	2009	Observational	193	Fitness center attendees	11,9	7,5 – 16,0		
			4797	Weighed average	6,0	5,3 - 6,7		
Endurance disciplines								
Magee(Magee, Buchanan, & Barrie, 2016)	2016	Observational	345	Ironman athletes	9,0	6,0 - 12,0		
Cook	2013	Observational	2660	Road race runners	1,4	0,1 - 1,9		
Allegre(B. Allegre & Therme, 2008)	2007	Observational	95	Ultramarathon runners	3,2	0,3 - 6,7		
Maselli(Maselli, Gobbi, Probst, &	2018	Observational	116	Endurance athletes	12,9	6,8 - 19,0		

Carraro, 2018)						
Tello(Tello et al., 2012)	2012	Observational	601	Commando exercisers	7,8	5,6 - 9,9
			3817	Weighed average	3,5	2,9 - 4,1
General population						
Monok	2012	Observational	2710	General population aged 18- 64 years	0,3	0,1-0,5
Trana	2013	Observational	1456	General male population 18- 65 years	0,4	0,1 - 0,7
Müller	2013	Observational	1611	General population aged 16- 60 years	3,5	2,6-4,4
Cunningham	2016	Observational	1497	General population aged 18- 79 years	4,7	3,6 - 5,8
			7274	Total general population	1,9	1,6 -2,2