

Autophagy in liver diseases: Time for translation?

Manon Allaire, Pierre-Emmanuel Rautou, Patrice Codogno, Sophie Lotersztajn

▶ To cite this version:

Manon Allaire, Pierre-Emmanuel Rautou, Patrice Codogno, Sophie Lotersztajn. Autophagy in liver diseases: Time for translation?. Journal of Hepatology, 2019, 70, pp.985 - 998. 10.1016/j.jhep.2019.01.026 . hal-03486928

HAL Id: hal-03486928 https://hal.science/hal-03486928

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168827819300698 Manuscript_3abe39bb7f4367f7cef73ebbcfb8cd2c

AUTOPHAGY IN LIVER DISEASES: TIME FOR TRANSLATION?

Manon Allaire ^{1,2}, Pierre-Emmanuel Rautou^{1, 2,3}, Patrice Codogno^{,4,5} and Sophie Lotersztajn ^{1,2}

¹ Inserm UMR-1149, Centre de Recherche sur l'Inflammation, 75018 Paris, France

² Sorbonne Paris Cité, Laboratoire d'Excellence Inflamex, Faculté de Médecine, Site Xavier

Bichat, Université Paris Diderot, Paris, France.

³ DHU Unity, Pôle des Maladies de L'appareil Digestif, Service d'Hépatologie, Centre de

Référence des Maladies Vasculaires Du Foie, Hôpital Beaujon, AP-HP, Clichy, France.

⁴ INSERM UMR-1151, CNRS UMR-8253 Institut Necker-Enfants Malades-INEM, Paris,

France

⁵ Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France

Correspondance to

Manon Allaire : allama5@hotmail.fr

Pierre-Emmanuel Rautou : pierre-emmanuel.rautou@inserm.fr

Patrice Codogno : patrice.codogno@inserm.fr

Sophie Lotersztajn : sophie.lotersztajn@inserm.fr

Abbreviations

3-MA: 3-methyladenine AMPK: AMP-activated protein kinase APAP: acetaminophen APAP-AD: APAP protein adducts AT : alpha-1 antitrypsin ATG : autophagy-related genes ATZ : alpha-1 antitrypsin mutant Z protein FIP200: family kinase-interacting protein of 200 kDa G6Pase- α : glucose- 6-phosphatase- α GSD-Ia : Glycogen storage disease type Ia GSH: cellular glutathione HCC : hepatocellular carcinoma HSC: hepatic stellate cells I/R: ischemia-reperfusion KEAP1: Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 LAP: LC3-associated phagocytosis MAPK: mitogen-activated protein kinases miR: microRNAs NAFLD: Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease NASH: non-alcoholic steatohepatitis NRF2: nuclear factor erythroid-2-related factor 2 PI3P: phosphatidynlinositol 3-phosphate

Abstract

Autophagy is a self-eating catabolic pathway that contributes to liver homeostasis through its role on energy balance and in the quality control of the cytoplasm, by removing misfolded proteins, damaged organelles and lipid droplets. Autophagy not only regulates hepatocyte functions but also impact on non-parenchymal cells such as endothelial cells, macrophages and hepatic stellate cells. Deregulation of autophagy has been linked to many liver diseases and its modulation is now recognized as a potential new therapeutic strategy. Indeed, enhancing autophagy in the context of storage disorders (alpha 1 antitrypsin deficiency, Wilson's disease), acute liver injury, non-alcoholic steato-hepatitis and chronic alcoholic liver disease may prevent the progression of these diseases. Nevertheless, in some situations such as fibrosis, targeting specific liver cells must be considered, as autophagy displays opposite functions depending on the cell type. In addition, optimal therapeutic time-window should be identified, since autophagy might be beneficial at initial steps and detrimental at more advanced stages as in the case of hepatocellular carcinoma. Finally, identification of autophagy biomarkers and methods to monitor autophagic flux in vivo is an important step for future development of personalized autophagy-targeting strategies. This review provides an update on the regulatory role of autophagy in various aspects of liver pathophysiology, describe the different strategies to manipulate autophagy and discusses the potential for modulating autophagy as a therapeutic strategy in the context of liver diseases.

A. Introduction

Autophagy is a self-eating catabolic pathway conserved in eukaryotic cells with the lysosome as the final destination [1-3]. Autophagy plays a major role in organ homeostasis, is an antiaging mechanism [4, 5], and an actor of the immune response [6]. Dysregulation of autophagy is observed in a wide range of pathological conditions, including obesity and type 2 diabetes, inflammatory and infectious diseases, neurodegenerative diseases, and cancer [7, 8]. The term autophagy encompasses three processes in mammalian cells: macroautophagy, microautophagy (and endosomal microautophagy), and chaperone-mediated autophagy.

Macroautophagy is initiated by the formation of a double membrane bound vacuole named the autophagosome [1, 3, 5, 9]. During autophagosome formation, part of the cytoplasm, which may include organelles, proteins aggregates, and lipid droplets, is sequestered in a bulk or in a selective way. Many forms of selective autophagy exist (in particular mitophagy for the selective sequestration of mitochondria, ER-phagy for the selective sequestration of fractions of the endoplasmic reticulum, xenophagy for the selective sequestration of microorganisms invading the cytoplasm) [10-12]. During selective macroautophagy, autophagy adaptors (e.g. SQSTM1/p62, NDR1, NDP52/CALCOCO2, optineurin) specifically target cargo to the autophagosomal membrane for sequestration in a ubiquitin-dependent or ubiquitin-independent pathway (reviewed in [10]). The autophagosome moves along microtubules to deliver cargo to the lysosome for degradation [13, 14]. In multicellular organisms, autophagosomes acquire acidic and degradative properties by the fusion with endosomal compartments before the final fusion with the lysosomal compartment [15, 16].

Macroautophagy is dependent on the activity of autophagy-related genes (ATG) proteins and class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (VPS34) in a complex with Beclin 1 (the ortholog of

the yeast Atg6) and ATG14L to produce phosphatidynlinositol 3-phosphate (PI3P) necessary to form the autophagosome [17-19]. The maturation of the autophagosome into an autolysosome also relies on certain ATG proteins such as ATG14L, on the activity of Rab GTPases, on tethering factors (HOPS complex) and adaptors (PLEKHM1), and on SNAREs to execute the membrane fusion process [20].

Microautophagy and endosomal-microautophagy are catabolic pathways that directly address cytoplasmic proteins or organelles such as peroxisomes to the endosomal/lysosomal lumen [21]. The molecular machinery engaged in microautophagy is not well defined in mammalian cells. Endosomal-microautophagy, which delivers cytosolic proteins to multivesicular bodies, relies on ESCRT I and III systems and depends on the protein chaperone hsc70 [22].

Chaperone-mediated autophagy is exclusive for proteins that contain a KFERQ motif [23], which are recognized in the cytosol by the chaperone protein hsc70. The KFERQ motif is present in about 30% of cytosolic proteins, including enzymes of the intermediate metabolism [24]. The translocation through the lysosomal membrane is dependent on the lysosomal membrane protein Lamp2a. Chaperone-mediated autophagy has recently gained attention because its importance in the regulation of metabolism and its roles in antigen presentation and aging [24, 25].

Macroautophagy (hereafter referred to as autophagy) is the form of autophagy that will be mainly discussed in the present review. Autophagy was first described in kidney and liver [3, 17, 21], as a response to starvation and results in recycling of amino acids, carbohydrates, and fatty acids to the cytosol through the lysosomal degradation of autophagy cargo in hepatocytes. Pioneering works delineated its regulation by pancreatic hormones (insulin and glucagon) and amino acids [26-29]. Autophagy also contributes to liver homeostasis through its role in the quality control of the cytoplasm, as autophagy removes misfolded proteins and damaged organelles [30]. Moreover, through lipophagy (a selective form of autophagy that targets lipid droplets) autophagy contributes to lipid metabolism [31].

Recently, *non-canonical forms of autophagy* were described, that result in the formation of autophagosomes or autophagosome-like vacuoles to fuse with the lysosomal compartment or the plasma membrane [32, 33]. These pathways only use a subset of the core ATG machinery. Among these, LC3-associated phagocytosis (LAP) has gained attention because of its role in immune regulation. LAP consists in the recruitment of LC3-II to the phagosomal membrane [21, 34-36] and is initiated in macrophages by the engagement of innate immune receptors, such as Toll Like Receptors or Fc Immunoglobulin Receptors, and by pathogens during phagocytosis. A major difference with autophagy is that the LAPosome that emanates from the plasma membrane is a single membrane-bound vacuole. At the molecular levels and in contrast to autophagy, ULK1 is not required for LAP. Moreover, Rubicon, which inhibits the maturation of autophagosome during autophagy, is required at the early stage of LAPosome formation to produce PI3P by a complex that contains Beclin 1, UVRAG and VPS34. These steps act upstream of the ATG5–ATG12 and LC3 conjugations systems [21, 34].

The aim of the present review is to discuss the potential of manipulating autophagy as a therapeutic approach for liver diseases.

B. Strategies to modulate autophagy (Figure 1)

Autophagy can be modulated at three levels of the pathway: *1*. upstream of autophagosome formation by modulating the activity of transcription factors (e.g., TFEB and TFE3) which

regulate the expression of autophagy genes and that of lysosomal proteins. 2. during autophagosome formation at steps of initiation, nucleation of the phagophore (or isolation membrane), its elongation and maturation, and sealing of the autophagosome; and 3. during maturation of the autophagosome when it fuses with the endolysosomal compartment or by targeting lysosomal hydrolase activity or nutrient recycling from the lysosome lumen to the cytosol. Manipulation of autophagic proteins by specific gene deletion or overexpression have demonstrated that components of the autophagic machinery may show therapeutic benefit in clinical settings.

1. Lessons from genetic interventions.

Preclinical studies have shown that activation of autophagy following selective overexpression of certain ATG proteins counteracts disease progression [37]. For example, ATG7 overexpression has a beneficial effect on obesity by reducing insulin resistance [38], and overexpression of ATG5 extends life in mice and reduces the onset of aged-related disease (diabetes, cardiovascular disease and neurodegeneration)[39]. Targeted gene expression has also been used to modulate autophagy in brain, muscle, and liver. In particular, overexpression of the transcription factor TFEB, which coordinately regulates autophagy, lysosomal biogenesis and fatty acid oxidation and protects mouse liver from damage caused by a high-fat diet [40].

2. Pharmacological approaches.

Historically the first reported autophagy inhibitor was 3-methyladenine (3-MA) that blocks autophagosome formation by interfering with the activity of VPS34 [41]. Since then, more specific VPS34 inhibitors have been developed [42-44] and used in preclinical studies. Other inhibitors have been develop that target the activity of specific ATG, such as ULK1 [45, 46]

or ATG4B (an enzyme responsible for the activation of LC3 and release of LC3-II from the autophagosome membrane) [21, 47] (**Figure 1**). Pharmacological activators of TFEB prevent steatosis [48], and eliminate tau aggregates in models of Alzheimer's disease [49]. However rapamycin or rapalogs, which stimulate autophagy by inhibiting the kinase mTOR [50, 51] and the lysosomotropic agent chloroquine and its derivative hydroxychloroquine, which block autophagy by accumulating in acidic compartments [52] are still the most widely used in clinical trials aimed at modulating autophagy (https://clinicaltrials.gov).

A major drawback of drugs targeting kinases and the lysosomal compartment is that autophagy-independent effects may occur [53]. Similarly, drugs that target some ATG proteins, such as ULK1 and ATG4B, can bear autophagy-independent effects (Reviewed in [54, 55]). Peptides derived from autophagy proteins may also show promising therapeutic efficiency. A typical example is a peptide from the evolutionarily conserved domain of Beclin-1 coupled to HIV-1 Tat transducing peptide, which stimulates autophagy in different organs *in vivo* (muscles, bones, liver and brain) and reduces mortality in mice infected by chikungunya and West Nile virus [56, 57].

3. Nutritional interventions

Caloric restriction or increases in natural nutrients, such as spermidine, stimulate autophagy [58] and contribute to life extension and protection against age-related diseases [59, 60]. Spermidine has beneficial effects on cardiac hypertrophy in old mice via an autophagy-dependent pathway, since autophagy-deficient mice exposed to spermidine are no longer protected [61]. Interestingly, a high level of dietary spermidine is correlated with a lower incidence of cardiovascular diseases in humans [61, 62]. Late parenteral nutrition (supplementation with macronutrients) in critically ill patients is correlated with an increase

of autophagic structure in striated muscles and show beneficial effects on muscular weakness and recovery time [63].

4. Targeting multiple autophagy pathways for therapeutic purpose

An archetypal example of targeting multiple autophagy pathways has been illustrated in the context of hyperammonia [64]. Hepatic ureagenesis eliminates ammonia accumulation that can cause neuronal damage and death. Hepatic gene transfer of *TFEB* by a helperdependent adenoviral vector reduced the levels of blood ammonia and increased the level of urea production in a mouse model of acute hyperammonemia. The same study showed that animals injected with Tat-Beclin 1 peptide are protected against the toxicity of ammonia accumulation. Importantly, stimulation of autophagy increases the levels of an intermediate of the urea cycle in the liver to eliminate ammonia. In addition, rapamycin treatment protects against acute and chronic hyperammonemia via the induction of hepatic autophagy. The possibility of manipulating autophagy in other liver pathological settings will be discussed in the following sections.

C. Modulation of autophagy for therapeutic purposes: a promising approach for the management of liver diseases

1. Inducing autophagy: a potential therapeutic strategy in the context of acute liver injury, NAFLD, fibrosis

1.1. Autophagy and acute liver failure (ALF)

In animal models, loss of autophagy promotes spontaneous hepatomegaly and liver injury, that can be restored by a variety of autophagy activators or by using an inducible shRNA targeting ATG5 [65]. Concordant studies have revealed the crucial role of autophagy in

limiting hepatocyte death in response to stress-induced liver injury, promoted by hepatotoxic drugs, death receptors, and ischemia-reperfusion.

Autophagy and paracetamol overdose. Liver injury promoted by acetaminophen (APAP) overdose is a major cause of acute liver failure in humans. Toxicity of APAP is due to the formation of a reactive metabolite, presumably N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine, which causes the depletion of cellular glutathione (GSH) and the formation of APAP protein adducts (APAP-AD). This results in mitochondrial damage, oxidative stress, activation of mitogenactivated protein kinases (MAPK) and hepatocyte necrosis [66]. As a defense mechanism, APAP overdose leads to enhanced autophagy in hepatocytes to remove damaged mitochondria [67]. Pharmacological activation of autophagy by rapamycin protects against APAP-induced liver injury, whereas autophagy blockade by chloroquine or inducible deletion of ATG7 in hepatocytes further enhances liver damage [67, 68]. The hepatoprotective effects of autophagy on APAP-induced liver injury also relies on the formation of APAP-AD protein adducts, as shown by enhanced levels of soluble APAP-AD in p62-deficient hepatocytes exposed to APAP [69]. However, the picture is more complex than anticipated, since mice bearing a specific deletion of ATG5 in hepatocytes are protected against liver damage elicited by APAP overdose, most likely via compensatory mechanisms, leading to GSH repletion and hepatocyte proliferation [70]. Moreover, unexpectedly, recent data also demonstrate that the kinase activities of ULK1/2 are essential in APAP-induced liver injury by promoting activation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase signaling, independently of their autophagy activity [71]. From these data and others [70], it cannot be excluded that autophagy-dependent and independent ULK1 pathways result in opposite regulation of APAP-induced liver injury.

Sterile inflammation has also been proposed to contribute to APAP-induced toxicity, *via* inflammasome-dependent release of IL-1 β [72]. The identity of the cell(s) involved is still controversial, but contribution of neutrophils and Kupffer cells has been put forward [73]. Interestingly, it is now well described that mitochondrial damage may be a cause of inflammasome activation. Elimination of damaged mitochondria by autophagy may thus be critical to prevent this excessive inflammasome activation [74, 75]. Whether mitophagy in neutrophils and/or Kupffer cells may contribute to protection against APAP-induced liver injury remains to be investigated.

Autophagy and liver injury mediated by death receptors. Autophagy also protects hepatocytes from death receptor-mediated acute liver injury, a feature of viral hepatitis, acute alcoholic hepatitis or non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. The underlying mechanism involves both direct and indirect effects on hepatocytes and macrophages. Direct effects occur through autophagydependent inhibition of caspase 8 in hepatocytes [76]. Indirect effects on macrophages may rely on p62-dependent mitophagy, which has been described to limit NF- κ B-mediated inflammation and inflammasome-dependent IL-1 β production [77, 78].

Ischemia reperfusion injury. During ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) injury, the liver is transiently subjected to a reduction of blood supply, and thus lacks nutrients and oxygen, resulting in liver injury due to ATP depletion, hypoxia and alterations in the microcirculation. Liver damage is further amplified by reperfusion, due to Kupffer cell activation, resulting in infiltration by activated polymorphonuclear cells and neutrophil-dependent liver dysfunction. The role of autophagy in I/R injury is controversial, with opposite effects, depending on type or model of ischemia (warm or cold) and/or the preservation solution used, and further complicated by the lack of accurate evaluation of autophagy *in vivo* (reviewed in [79]).

11

Targeting autophagy as a therapeutic strategy for acute liver failure. The hepatoprotective and anti-inflammatory effects of autophagy during acute liver failure suggest that newly-developed pharmacological activators autophagy may represent an interesting therapeutic option, in particular in the context of paracetamol overdose. Further studies are needed to better delineate the benefit of pharmacological modulation of autophagy in the context of liver I/R injury.

1.2. Autophagy and inherited liver diseases

Wilson's disease. Wilson's disease is caused by copper overload in hepatocytes, as a consequence of mutations in the Cu pump ATP7B. The toxicity of copper for hepatocytes results from its capacity to damage cellular organelles and affect the redox balance. Recent data highlight the protective role of autophagy against copper toxicity during Wilson's disease [80]. Interestingly, autophagy is increased both in the liver of patients with Wilson's disease, in mice bearing a deletion in ATP7B and in hepatoma cells silenced for ATP7B and exposed to CuCl2. *In vitro* or *in vivo* inhibition of autophagy with spautin-1 accelerates hepatocyte death, mitochondrial damages and oxidative stress, whereas activation of autophagy by starvation or TFEB overexpression promotes hepatocyte survival [80]. The potential of autophagy activators to counteract copper toxicity in the context of Wilson's disease needs to be further explored and extended to other metal-related liver disorders such as hemochromatosis.

Alpha-1 Antitrypsin (α 1-AT) Deficiency. α 1-AT deficiency is caused by homozygosity for alpha-1 antitrypsin mutant Z protein (ATZ), and associated with an increased risk of liver disease in adults and children, and with lung disease in adults [81]. The mutant ATZ folds

improperly during biogenesis and is retained within endoplasmic reticulum in hepatocytes. Hepatocytes of patients with α 1-AT deficiency display an increased accumulation of autophagosomes containing ATZ [82]. Likewise, ATG5-KO cells show a retarded degradation of ATZ with characteristic cellular inclusions of ATZ, suggesting that autophagy plays a major role in the degradation of ATZ [83]. However, degradation is insufficient and a proportion of ATZ persists in intractable inclusions, with toxic functions on hepatocytes, leading to liver injury and fibrosis.

Targeting autophagy as a therapeutic strategy for α 1-AT deficiency. Several preclinical evidences showed that induction of autophagy improves liver injury and fibrosis due to α 1-AT deficiency. Hepatocyte TFEB gene transfer in mice with AT deficiency results in dramatic reduction of hepatic ATZ, liver apoptosis and fibrosis [34, 84]. Moreover, activation of autophagy by carbamazepin decreases the hepatic load of ATZ, but also liver fibrosis in a mouse model of the disease. These results provided the basis for an ongoing phase 2 trial testing the effect of 52 weeks of carbamazepin in patients aged 14 to 80 with α 1-AT deficiency-related cirrhosis (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01379469). The beneficial effect of activating autophagy in α 1-AT deficiency has also been observed with other drugs inducing autophagy, including rapamycin [85], and Nor-Ursodeoxycholic Acid [86].

Activating autophagy thus appears as an attractive strategy for human disorders due to intracellular accumulation of toxic proteins or metals. It should also be emphasized that autophagy deficiency has been reported in other inherited liver metabolic diseases, such as Glycogen storage disease type Ia (GSD-Ia). GSD1a is characterized by a deficiency in glucose-6-phosphatase- α (G6Pase- α), resulting in metabolic defects including impaired glucose homeostasis and hepatomegaly. Preclinical studies have recently shown that G6Pase-

 α deficiency leads to autophagy impairment, associated with down-regulation of mTOR and SIRT 1 signaling [87, 88]. Restoration of hepatic G6Pase- α expression corrects metabolic abnormalities, and normalizes defective autophagy, suggesting that GSD1a patients could also benefit from the use of autophagy activators.

1.3. Autophagy in Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD).

With the rising incidence of obesity and diabetes, NAFLD has become a leading cause of chronic liver diseases and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) worldwide, and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is projected to become the most common indication for liver transplantation in the next decade [89]. Accumulating evidence suggests that autophagy may represent a valuable target in NAFLD, because of its anti-steatogenic properties in hepatocytes via lipophagy, and its beneficial effects on the transition to NASH, via hepatoprotective effects of mitophagy in hepatocytes and anti-inflammatory properties in macrophages.

Autophagy is decreased by a wide variety of signal that contribute to NAFLD pathogenesis, including insulin resistance, excess triglycerides and free fatty acids, endoplasmic reticulum and oxidative stress [90]. Accordingly, reduction of autophagy during NAFLD has been well documented in animal models and in humans, although accurate methods for measuring autophagic fluxes in humans are still lacking. ATG proteins and TFEB expression are decreased in patients with NASH and in mice fed a high fat or a methionine-choline-deficient diet, whereas p62 and the autophagy inhibitor Rubicon are increased (**Figure 2**) [90-92]. Autophagy is also decreased in liver endothelial cells of patients with NASH as compared with patients with simple steatosis or normal liver [93]. In keeping with these data, mice deficient in components of the autophagic pathway in specific liver cells, including

hepatocytes deleted in ATG7 [31], ATG14 [94] or TFEB proteins [40], endothelial cells deleted in ATG5 [93], myeloid cells deleted in ATG5 [95], or animals exposed to 3-MA show exacerbated features of NAFLD when undergoing high fat diet feeding. They show enhanced accumulation of lipid droplets [31, 40, 94] and/or Mallory-Denk bodies containing ubiquitinated p62 in hepatocytes, increased hepatocyte injury, endoplasmic reticulum stress and/or production of inflammatory cytokines [93, 95]. Conversely, mice bearing a deletion of the autophagy inhibitor Rubicon or adenoviral delivery of ATG7 show improvement in both steatosis and liver injury, associated with restoration of autophagy and decrease in endoplasmic reticulum stress [38, 92]. Recent data also indicate that impaired mitophagy may also contribute to liver injury during NAFLD and result in megamitochondria formation [96].

However, controversy still exists about the role of some components of the autophagic pathway in steatosis, since hepatocyte-specific deletion of ATG7 exacerbates [31] or blunts hepatic steatosis [97], and hepatocyte deletion of focal adhesion family kinase-interacting protein of 200 kDa (FIP200), a core component of the complex initiating autophagosome formation, also reduces hepatic triglyceride content in mice fed a high fat diet [98]. Although these findings need to be reconciled, the use of pharmacological activators of autophagy have shown encouraging effects in NAFLD patients and animal models.

Targeting autophagy, a promising approach in NASH. Currently, the only therapeutic options for NAFLD remain lifestyle interventions, that typically involve dietary modifications and physical activity change. Interestingly, caloric restriction and exercise are two main autophagic stimuli [99], and may at least in part underlie some of their beneficial consequences on liver dysfunction and steatosis. Targeting AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) by metformin or the disaccharide tetrahelose [100], or administrating caffeine to

enhance lipophagy and beta-oxidation [101] have also shown promising anti-steatogenic effects. In addition, the use of TFEB agonists has recently been the focus of a number of studies, based on the demonstration that TFEB overexpression in hepatocytes protects against steatosis via autophagy in high fat diet fed mice. In a recent preclinical study, digoxin, ikarugamycin and alexidine dihydrochloride were selected from a 15,000 chemical library for their ability to enhance autophagic flux and capacity to promote TFEB nuclear translocation. These TFEB agonists improved lipid metabolism, insulin resistance and steatosis in high fat diet-fed mice, associated with reversion of p62 accumulation in hepatocytes [48]. Similar findings were obtained when using an autophagy inducer identified by high-throughput screening of a chemical library against metabolic syndrome, that showed beneficial effects by inducing nuclear TFEB translocation [102]. In line with these reports, activating TFEB by ezetimibe, an inhibitor of NPC1L1-dependent cholesterol transport [103] also protects against steatosis and hepatocyte injury. Because recent data also indicate that TFEB overexpression in macrophages induces autophagy and limits IL-1 β production [104], further studies should evaluate whether agonists of TFEB could also additionally limit inflammation associated with progression to NASH. Encouraging data obtained with ezetimibe [103] and tetrahelose [104] show that these compounds inhibit NRLP3-dependent IL-1 β production in macrophages, and that ezetimibe reduces NAFLD lesions, whereas tetrahelose displays atheroprotective properties in experimental models. Interestingly, all the above-mentioned drugs are already FDA-approved, and ezetimibe has been evaluated in clinical trials with NASH patients, although conclusive results need larger studies [105].

In conclusion, dysregulation of autophagy during NAFLD and the demonstration of beneficial effects of molecules targeting autophagy both in animal models and clinical settings is promising. Whether the effects of available drugs are fully linked to their effects on

autophagy remains to be elucidated, and further development of more selective autophagy inducers may be valuable for therapeutic purposes.

1.4. Fibrosis

A key step in the fibrogenic process is the activation of hepatic stellate cells (HSC) activation, the main fibrogenic cell population, following a phenotypic switch from a lipid-rich to a fibrogenic myofibroblastic phenotype. Phenotypic changes are initiated by reiterative hepatocyte or biliary cell death and via complex interactions between parenchymal, endothelial and immune cells. In parallel, quiescent HSC lose their lipid vacuoles containing retinoid stored as retinyl esters, cholesteryl esters and triglycerides [106]. Recent data demonstrate that the role of autophagy on fibrosis is complex and depends on the cell type **(Figure 3).**

Activation of HSC by autophagy: the dark side. Autophagic flux is increased in HSC upon activation and a large number of reports have now shown that autophagy in HSC contributes to the phenotypic switch to a myofibroblastic phenotype. In landmark studies, Hernandez-Gea et al [107] and Thoen et al [108] described that autophagy promotes digestion of lipid droplets in quiescent HSC, thereby facilitating HSC activation. The link between autophagy and the loss of lipid droplets was evidenced *in vitro* with pharmacological autophagy inhibitors or the use of small interfering RNAs against ATG5 or ATG7. These studies allowed to demonstrate that autophagy promotes catabolism of retinyl esters by lipases, thereby generating free fatty acids that increase adenosine triphosphate levels following mitochondrial β-oxidation. *In vivo* studies further showed that mice bearing a specific ATG5 or ATG7 deletion in HSC are resistant to fibrosis and display decreased HSC activation upon chronic carbon tetrachloride or thioacetamide challenge [107]. Mechanistic studies demonstrated that endoplasmic reticulum stress via the XBP1 arm of the unfolded protein response [74, 109], oxidative stress or G proteins (G aplha 12) [110] promote HSC activation by enhancing autophagy, resulting in enhanced fibrosis.

In addition to lipophagy, down-regulation of the autophagy substrate p62 may serve as an additional mechanism of HSC activation. This adaptor protein is constantly degraded via autophagy through its LIR domain that binds to LC3 on autophagosomes membranes, although autophagy-independent effects may also occur [111]. During experimental fibrosis, p62 expression is down-regulated in HSC in humans or mice. HSC knocked-down for p62 show enhanced expression of a α -SMA protein and COL1A1 mRNA [112]. In addition, mice with p62 deficiency in HSC, either via the GFAP or L-RAT Cre-LoxP system, are more prone to fibrosis than wild type counterparts [112]. Interestingly, the loss of p62 in HSC impairs Vitamin D Receptor-Retinoid X receptor interaction [112], a complex critical for maintaining HSC in a quiescent state [113]. These data demonstrate that p62 is a cell autonomous repressor of HSC activation, by promoting Vitamin D Receptor-Retinoid X receptor heterodimerization and repression of the fibrogenic phenotype. Whether this effect is autophagy-dependent remains to be evaluated.

Autophagy in macrophages, endothelial cells and hepatocytes: the good side. Because hepatocyte apopotosis is a central event in the initiation and the maintenance of the fibrogenic process, autophagy may be considered as an anti-fibrogenic pathway, by generating survival signal for hepatocytes. Old mice with specific deletion of ATG5 in hepatocytes spontaneously develop liver fibrosis, because of proteotoxicity and disruption of pro-and anti-apoptotic protein homeostasis [114]. In endothelial cells, selective disruption of ATG5 or ATG7 impairs endothelial phenotype and favors liver injury, inflammation and fibrosis when mice are exposed to carbon tetrachloride or to prolonged high fat diet feeding [93, 115].

Autophagy is an anti-inflammatory pathway in macrophages from various origins, including Kupffer cells, by limiting IL1- β production, a survival and profibrogenic pathway for HSC. Co-culture of ATG5-deficient Kupffer cells with HSC increase the fibrogenic properties of HSC, an effect blunted in the presence of an IL1-R antibody [116]. Moreover, ATG5-deficient mice in the myeloid lineage produce enhanced hepatic IL-1 β and are more prone to liver injury and fibrosis than wild type counterparts. Therefore, macrophage autophagy directly prevents fibrosis *via* paracrine interactions with hepatic myofibroblasts. Macrophage autophagy may also indirectly limit fibrosis via hepatoprotective properties [116] and/or possible cross-talk with other immune cells that require IL-1 α and IL-1 β for their activation, such as Th17 lymphocytes [117].

Targeting autophagy as an anti-fibrogenic strategy? Autophagy is undoubtedly a major player in liver fibrosis. However, because autophagy promotes HSC activation but generates anti-fibrogenic signals via its hepatoprotective and anti-inflammatory effects [76] in hepatocytes, macrophages and endothelial cells, targeting autophagy for anti-fibrogenic therapies will require cell type specific approaches. Interestingly, activation of autophagy in macrophages by molecules targeting the endocannabinoid system, such as agonists of the cannabinoid receptor type 2 or monoacylglycerol lipase inhibitors have shown anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrogenic effects [118, 119]. However, conflicting studies have reported reduction of liver fibrosis in response to autophagy activators such as rapamycin [120, 121] or carbamazepine [122]. Because these pharmacological modulators may also have

off targets, the use of more specific autophagy modulators (see above) may also be more promising.

D. Targeting autophagy: a more complex approach as far as HCC and alcoholic liver disease are concerned

1. Hepatocellular carcinoma.

HCC is the second leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide, which mainly occurs in the context of cirrhosis [123, 124]. HCC results from a unique combination of somatic genetic alterations in various signaling pathways (*i.e.* cell cycle gene, telomere maintenance, oxidative stress pathway, PI3K/Akt/mTOR, ras/raf/MAP kinase and Wnt-β-catenin pathways) that cooperate to promote oncogenesis [123-125]. Autophagy can interact with some of these pathways and plays a dual role in carcinogenic process, inhibiting the initiation process, while promoting tumor growth, metastasis and therapeutic resistance during tumor progression [126, 127].

Protective effects of autophagy in HCC initiation. Several lines of evidence suggest that autophagy protects against tumor initiation by maintaining intracellular homeostasis. Impaired autophagy through deletion of Beclin-1, ATG5 or ATG7 in mice promote spontaneous liver tumorigenesis in aged mice [30, 114, 128-133]. It also leads to accumulation of the p62 which on the one hand prevents the antioxidant functions of nuclear factor erythroid-2-related factor 2 (NRF2) by binding to Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1) [134-139]. On the other hand accumulation of p62 also contributes to carcinogenesis through crosstalk with NF-kB, PI3K/Akt/mTOR or and Wnt-β-catenin [140].

The protective effects of autophagy also involve enhanced degradation of Yap, the major nuclear effector of the Hippo signaling pathway. Hippo pathway controls liver growth and Yap overexpression is an early event in hepatocarcinogenesis [141]. ATP7 liver-specific deficient mice display increased Yap protein levels and overexpression of Yap target genes. Concordantly, Yap deletion in these ATG7 liver specific-deficient mice decrease HCC incidence, without affecting the p62-NRF2 axis. Therefore, autophagy is a gate keeper in carcinogenesis through the induction of Yap and independently of the p62-NRF2 axis [129].

Promotion of HCC progression by autophagy. In established HCC, autophagy is increased and tumor cells recruit energy through autophagy, which improves their survival ability under hypoxia and low-nutrient environment and promotes cancer progression [142-144]. In addition, autophagy could promote HCC cell invasion through activation of the epithelial–mesenchymal transition [145]. Finally, a prognostic role of LC3 and Beclin-1 has been supported by several studies [146].

In conclusion, autophagy plays opposite roles in HCC by protecting from carcinogenesis at early stages and by promoting tumor progression at more advanced stages. This dual role illustrates the complexity of targeting autophagy for HCC treatments.

Targeting autophagy in HCC, a complex approach. In established tumors (*e.g.* glioblastoma, brain metastasis, melanoma, pancreatic cancer), several phases 1/2 trials support the idea that inhibiting autophagy (e.g. (hydroxychloroquine) in combination with classical anticancer treatments (*e.g.* chemotherapy, targeted therapy, radiation therapy) could improve clinical out-comes without serious adverse events [126]. These preliminary results were confirmed in a prospective controlled randomized trial, where chronic administration of chloroquine in

combination with conventional surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy improved survival in patients with glioblastoma multiform [147]. Modern management of HCC is complex and separates early stages accessible to curative treatment from advanced stages treated using a palliative approach [124]. Currently, no data about autophagy modulation combined with conventional HCC therapy are available in humans. However, preclinical data suggest autophagy as a potential therapeutic target in HCC. Activation of autophagy has been observed following percutaneous treatment and transarterial chemoembolization in residual tumors cells in rodents and rabbits. Combination of these treatments with an autophagy inhibitor (e.g. (hydroxyl)chloroquine, 3-MA and Lys05) was associated with enhanced tumor cell necrosis and apoptosis. [148-151], suggesting that inhibiting autophagy to target residual tumor cells could be beneficial. Moreover, autophagy is implicated in tumor cells resistance to systemic treatments [126]. Indeed, sorafenib induces autophagy [152], and preclinical data show that combined therapy with autophagy inhibitors (i.e. chloroquine, miR-375) improves tumor response [153, 154]. However, attention should be paid to the deleterious consequences of blocking autophagy in dying tumor cells, as autophagy is required for effective antitumor T cell response. Considering that immune-mediated clearance of tumor cells is crucial to suppress HCC development, combination of immune checkpoint inhibitors together with an autophagy enhancers, enhancers could boost antitumor immune responses [155-157].

2. Alcoholic liver disease

Binge and chronic alcohol consumptions are major healthcare problems, and it is admitted that repeated binge-drinking sensitizes the liver to progression toward steatohepatitis and/or cirrhosis. Chronic ethanol exposure impairs lysosome function, and promotes hepatomegaly and hepatic protein accumulation. The involvement of autophagy in alcoholic liver disease is complex, since acute alcohol consumption activates autophagy, whereas the impact of ethanol upon chronic exposure is more controversial.

Acute alcohol consumption activates autophagy through different mechanisms. Ethanol causes the generation of reactive oxygen species, endoplasmic reticulum stress, lipogenesis and mitochondrial alterations [158]. Ethanol also inhibits the mTORC1 complex, leading to activation of ULK1 and increases the nuclear translocation of FoxO3a which elevates the transcription of many autophagy-related genes [158, 159]. Finally, acute ethanol exposure increases the nuclear level of TFEB [160, 161]. As a consequence, autophagy is hepatoprotective in response to acute alcohol exposure, by decreasing hepatocyte apoptosis and steatosis through degradation of damaged mitochondria and lipid droplets [162].

A recent study has reconciled the controversial existing data on autophagy upon chronic alcohol exposure. Previous studies showed that chronic ethanol feeding increases autophagosomes numbers in rodent liver, suggesting the induction of autophagy [163, 164]. Nevertheless, even if an increase in the number of autophagosomes was reported in these studies [161, 163, 164], the number of lysosomes is decreased by ethanol and associated with reduced autophagic flux [161]. Defects in lysosome number is associated with decrease in nuclear TFEB accumulation and impairment of lysosomal functions [160, 161]. Adenoviral overexpression of TFEB reverses the deleterious effects of alcohol on lysosome biogenesis and mice become resistant to steatosis and hepatocyte injury [161]. An additional mechanism that could underlie reduced autophagy following chronic alcohol exposure is the inactivation of the small guanosine triphosphate Rab7 and reduced dynamin 2 activity, which causes depletion of lysosomes and inhibits hepatocyte lipophagy [165, 166]. Finally, inhibition of AMPK by alcohol will result in decrease mitochondrial beta-oxidation and increased

23

lipogenesis [167]. Additional effects on autophagy in macrophages is likely to reduce cytokine production by macrophages and blocks neutrophil recruitment to the liver (**Figure 2**) [118].

Targeting autophagy in alcoholic liver disease. Currently, no specific therapy against alcohol liver disease is available, and the main option remains alcoholic withdrawal. Preclinical data indicate that enhancing autophagy using carbamazepine or rapamycin decreases steatosis and liver injury in mice fed chronic ethanol [163]. Due to common features of NASH and alcoholic liver diseases, it is likely that autophagy-targeted approaches validated in NASH could be evaluated in the context of alcoholic liver disease. In particular, the use of TFEB agonists that combine both hepatoprotective effects on hepatocytes and anti-inflammatory effects in macrophages would deserve particular attention.

E. Challenge for translation into human clinical trials

Autophagy is now recognized as a process that can be usefully modulated in liver diseases to eliminate protein aggregates, damaged organelles, and lipid droplets, but also to regulate inflammatory signaling. Some clinical trials targeting autophagy are already ongoing in specific liver diseases (**Table 1**). Yet, as a result of the broad range of cellular functions regulated by autophagy in physiological and disease conditions, several challenges will have to be addressed before widely testing autophagy-modulating approaches in clinical trials [53]. First, a better characterization of pathways regulating autophagy in liver diseases might uncover targetable autophagy-specific regulatory steps. In particular, it should be considered that ATGs proteins are not exclusively involved in canonical autophagy [53] and that manipulating autophagy may impact other interconnected pathways [9]. Second, identification of autophagy biomarkers and methods to appreciate the autophagic flux (i.e. the flux of material from the autophagosome into the lysosomal lumen) in vivo is an important step for the development of autophagy-targeting strategies, that should be disease-specific. Third, identification of optimal therapeutic time-window for chronic liver disease will also be needed since type and level of autophagy likely varies during liver disease progression from initial steps to cirrhosis. Autophagy might indeed be beneficial at initial steps and detrimental at more advanced stages, and vice-versa. Fourth, strategies targeting a specific liver cell type using dedicated vectors would be highly desirable since they would likely limit side effects related to the physiological role of autophagy in all organs. Finally, defining careful dose adjustments and frequency of administration will be needed, since excessive activation of autophagy might be deleterious [168], and therapeutic effects of autophagy modulating agents might also be achieved with only intermittent therapy [37]. In addition, the circadian rhythm of autophagy in the liver is a parameter to be considered for optimization of treatment efficiency [169]. Extensive research within the last 10 years has unraveled the central role for autophagy in liver pathophysiology and highlighted its potential as a novel therapeutic target. Time has now come for translation.

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier:	Title	Patients	Objective
NCT03208868	Leucine enriched essential amino acid mixture to reverse muscle loss in cirrhosis	Cirrhosis, Child Pugh score 5-9	To test whether administration of leucine, a direct stimulant of mTOR, for 90 days reduces autophagy in skeletal muscles and thus improves sarcopenia associated with in cirrhosis
NCT03037437	Modulation of sorafenib induced autophagy using hydroxychloroquine in hepatocellular carcinoma	Adults with advanced or metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma	To determine whether adding the autophagy blocker hydroxychloroquine on top of sorafenib improves control of hepatocellular carcinoma as compared with sorafenib alone in patients progressing on sorafenib alone
NCT01379469	Carbamazepine in severe liver disease due to alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency	Patients aged 14 to 80, with severe liver disease (HVPG ≥ 10 mm Hg) due to alpha-1- antitrypsin deficiency	To determine if 52 weeks Carbamazepine therapy leads to a significant reduction in the hepatic accumulation of ATZ

Table 1. Clinical trials targeting autophagy in liver diseases

Abbreviations: ATZ, alpha-1 antitrypsin mutant Z protein; HVPG, hepatic venous pressure gradient

LEGEND TO FIGURES

Figure 1: Pharmacological targeting of the autophagic pathway. Autophagy can be regulated at 3 levels: Level 1, upstream of autophagosome formation, by modulating the signaling pathways that control the formation of autophagosomes. Only mTOR and AMPK, two major kinases that regulate autophagy in opposite ways, are indicated in the Figure. These signaling pathways impact on the autophagy machinery to trigger autophagosome formation and/or the transcription of autophagy-related genes (ATG) and of lysosomal genes by transcription factors such as TFEB. Level 2 during the formation of autophagosome. Autophagosome formation starts with the recruitment of ATG proteins at the endoplasmic reticulum to initiate phagophore elongation. This step is generally initiated by the ULK complex (composed by ULK1 or 2, ATG13, ATG101 and FIP200), that activates the PI3KC3 complex I (composed by VPS34 or class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, VPS15, ATG14L and Beclin 1). Activation of the ULK and PI3KC3 complex I occurs upstream of the two ubquitin-like conjugation systems (where ATG12 is covalently linked to ATG5). The conjugate is stabilized by its interaction with ATG16 and where the C-terminus of LC3-I is covalently linked to the polar head of phosphatidylethanomamine to form LC3-II. ATG7 acts as an E1 enzyme and ATG10 and ATG3 as E2 enzymes systems that elongates the phagophore membrane to form the double-membrane bound autophagosome. ATG9 is the only transmembrane ATG, and contributes to phagophore elongation. Level 3 during maturation of autophagosome into autolysosome and the degradation of autophagic cargo into the lysosomal compartment. In most cases, the autophagosome maturation engages fusion with late endosomes before delivery of cargoes into the lysosomal compartment. At each level, some of the activators (in green) and of the inhibitors (in red) of autophagy are indicated.

Figure 2 : Autophagy and fatty liver diseases : common and specific pathways

Chronic Alcohol consumption and overfeeding cause similar damages in hepatocytes by promoting endoplasmic reticulum stress, lipogenesis, mitochondrial alterations and emergence of Mallory Denk bodies. In both conditions, autophagy is impaired and promote progression of the disease. In addition, in macrophages and endothelial cells, impaired autophagy contributes to increased inflammation. In contrast, acute alcoholic consumption will activate autophagy and decrease hepatocyte apoptosis.

Figure 3 : Autophagy and liver fibrosis: the good and the dark side. Hepatocyte injury initiates the fibrogenic process by triggering inflammation and hepatic stellate cell activation, during which quiescent hepatic stellate cells lose their lipid vacuoles and acquire myofibroblastic features associated with the capacity to secrete matrix components and inhibitors of matrix degradation. Autophagy plays a complex role in the fibrogenic process: in hepatocytes, macrophages and endothelial cells, autophagy indirectly protects against liver fibrosis i) by limiting hepatocyte injury ii) maintaining endothelial cell homeostasis iii) reducing the production of inflammatory cytokines by macrophages and endothelial cells. However, in HSC, autophagy displays fibrogenic properties via two distinct processes, i) lipophagy which allow lipid droplet digestion and release of ATP required to promote the activation process ii) via p62 loss, which impairs VDR-RXR interaction, a complex critical for maintaining HSC in a quiescent state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from INSERM (France), the Université Paris-Diderot and Paris Descartes, Labex Inflamex (SL), the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM grant number DEQ20150331726, SL) and National Research Agency to SL, PC and PER (ANR-18-CE14-0006). MA was supported by a Poste d'Accueil INSERM.

COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing financial interests

REFERENCES

Uncategorized References

[1] Boya P, Reggiori F, Codogno P. Emerging regulation and functions of autophagy. Nat Cell Biol 2013;15:713-720.

[2] Mizushima N, Levine B, Cuervo AM, Klionsky DJ. Autophagy fights disease through cellular self-digestion. Nature 2008;451:1069-1075.

[3] Ohsumi Y. Historical landmarks of autophagy research. Cell research 2014;24:9-23.

[4] Kaushik S, Cuervo AM. Proteostasis and aging. Nature medicine 2015;21:1406-1415.

[5] Mizushima N, Yoshimori T, Ohsumi Y. The role of Atg proteins in autophagosome formation. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2011;27:107-132.

[6] Deretic V, Saitoh T, Akira S. Autophagy in infection, inflammation and immunity. Nature reviews Immunology 2013;13:722-737.

[7] Choi AM, Ryter SW, Levine B. Autophagy in human health and disease. The New England journal of medicine 2013;368:651-662.

[8] Jiang P, Mizushima N. Autophagy and human diseases. Cell research 2014;24:69-79.

[9] Dikic I, Elazar Z. Mechanism and medical implications of mammalian autophagy. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 2018;19:349-364.

[10] Khaminets A, Behl C, Dikic I. Ubiquitin-Dependent And Independent Signals In Selective Autophagy. Trends in cell biology 2016;26:6-16.

[11] Farre JC, Subramani S. Mechanistic insights into selective autophagy pathways: lessons from yeast. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 2016;17:537-552.

[12] Stolz A, Ernst A, Dikic I. Cargo recognition and trafficking in selective autophagy. Nat Cell Biol 2014;16:495-501.

[13] Bento CF, Renna M, Ghislat G, Puri C, Ashkenazi A, Vicinanza M, et al. Mammalian Autophagy: How Does It Work? Annual review of biochemistry 2016;85:685-713.

[14] Kast DJ, Dominguez R. The Cytoskeleton-Autophagy Connection. Current biology : CB 2017;27:R318-R326.

[15] Gordon PB, Seglen PO. Prelysosomal convergence of autophagic and endocytic pathways. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 1988;151:40-47.

[16] Reggiori F, Ungermann C. Autophagosome Maturation and Fusion. Journal of molecular biology 2017;429:486-496.

[17] Ktistakis NT, Tooze SA. Digesting the Expanding Mechanisms of Autophagy. Trends in cell biology 2016;26:624-635.

[18] Lamb CA, Yoshimori T, Tooze SA. The autophagosome: origins unknown, biogenesis complex. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 2013;14:759-774.

[19] Molino D, Zemirli N, Codogno P, Morel E. The Journey of the Autophagosome through Mammalian Cell Organelles and Membranes. Journal of molecular biology 2017;429:497-514.

[20] Nakamura S, Yoshimori T. New insights into autophagosome-lysosome fusion. Journal of cell science 2017;130:1209-1216.

[21] Galluzzi L, Baehrecke EH, Ballabio A, Boya P, Bravo-San Pedro JM, Cecconi F, et al. Molecular definitions of autophagy and related processes. The EMBO journal 2017;36:1811-1836.

[22] Sahu R, Kaushik S, Clement CC, Cannizzo ES, Scharf B, Follenzi A, et al. Microautophagy of cytosolic proteins by late endosomes. Developmental cell 2011;20:131-139.

[23] Kaushik S, Cuervo AM. Chaperone-mediated autophagy: a unique way to enter the lysosome world. Trends in cell biology 2012;22:407-417.

[24] Schneider JL, Cuervo AM. Liver autophagy: much more than just taking out the trash. Nature reviews Gastroenterology & hepatology 2014;11:187-200.

[25] Cuervo AM, Macian F. Autophagy and the immune function in aging. Current opinion in immunology 2014;29:97-104.

[26] Blommaart EF, Luiken JJ, Meijer AJ. Autophagic proteolysis: control and specificity. The Histochemical journal 1997;29:365-385.

[27] De Duve C, Wattiaux R. Functions of lysosomes. Annual review of physiology 1966;28:435-492.

[28] Mortimore GE, Poso AR. Intracellular protein catabolism and its control during nutrient deprivation and supply. Annual review of nutrition 1987;7:539-564.

[29] Seglen PO, Bohley P. Autophagy and other vacuolar protein degradation mechanisms. Experientia 1992;48:158-172.

[30] Komatsu M, Waguri S, Ueno T, Iwata J, Murata S, Tanida I, et al. Impairment of starvation-induced and constitutive autophagy in Atg7-deficient mice. The Journal of cell biology 2005;169:425-434.

[31] Singh R, Kaushik S, Wang Y, Xiang Y, Novak I, Komatsu M, et al. Autophagy regulates lipid metabolism. Nature 2009;458:1131-1135.

[32] Codogno P, Mehrpour M, Proikas-Cezanne T. Canonical and non-canonical autophagy: variations on a common theme of self-eating? Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 2011;13:7-12.

[33] Ponpuak M, Mandell MA, Kimura T, Chauhan S, Cleyrat C, Deretic V. Secretory autophagy. Current opinion in cell biology 2015;35:106-116.

[34] Heckmann BL, Boada-Romero E, Cunha LD, Magne J, Green DR. LC3-Associated Phagocytosis and Inflammation. Journal of molecular biology 2017;429:3561-3576.

[35] Martinez J. LAP it up, fuzz ball: a short history of LC3-associated phagocytosis. Current opinion in immunology 2018;55:54-61.

[36] Munz C. Non-canonical Functions of Macroautophagy Proteins During Endocytosis by Myeloid Antigen Presenting Cells. Front Immunol 2018;9:2765.

[37] Levine B, Packer M, Codogno P. Development of autophagy inducers in clinical medicine. The Journal of clinical investigation 2015;125:14-24.

[38] Yang L, Li P, Fu S, Calay ES, Hotamisligil GS. Defective hepatic autophagy in obesity promotes ER stress and causes insulin resistance. Cell metabolism 2010;11:467-478.
[39] Pyo JO, Yoo SM, Ahn HH, Nah J, Hong SH, Kam TI, et al. Overexpression of Atg5 in

mice activates autophagy and extends lifespan. Nature communications 2013;4:2300. [40] Settembre C, De Cegli R, Mansueto G, Saha PK, Vetrini F, Visvikis O, et al. TFEB controls cellular lipid metabolism through a starvation-induced autoregulatory loop. Nat Cell

Biol 2013;15:647-658.

[41] Seglen PO, Gordon PB. 3-Methyladenine: specific inhibitor of autophagic/lysosomal protein degradation in isolated rat hepatocytes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1982;79:1889-1892.

[42] Bago R, Malik N, Munson MJ, Prescott AR, Davies P, Sommer E, et al. Characterization of VPS34-IN1, a selective inhibitor of Vps34, reveals that the phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate-binding SGK3 protein kinase is a downstream target of class III phosphoinositide 3-kinase. The Biochemical journal 2014;463:413-427.

[43] Dowdle WE, Nyfeler B, Nagel J, Elling RA, Liu S, Triantafellow E, et al. Selective VPS34 inhibitor blocks autophagy and uncovers a role for NCOA4 in ferritin degradation and iron homeostasis in vivo. Nat Cell Biol 2014;16:1069-1079.

[44] Ronan B, Flamand O, Vescovi L, Dureuil C, Durand L, Fassy F, et al. A highly potent and selective Vps34 inhibitor alters vesicle trafficking and autophagy. Nature chemical biology 2014;10:1013-1019.

[45] Petherick KJ, Conway OJ, Mpamhanga C, Osborne SA, Kamal A, Saxty B, et al. Pharmacological inhibition of ULK1 kinase blocks mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)dependent autophagy. The Journal of biological chemistry 2015;290:11376-11383.

[46] Egan DF, Chun MG, Vamos M, Zou H, Rong J, Miller CJ, et al. Small Molecule Inhibition of the Autophagy Kinase ULK1 and Identification of ULK1 Substrates. Molecular cell 2015;59:285-297.

[47] Akin D, Wang SK, Habibzadegah-Tari P, Law B, Ostrov D, Li M, et al. A novel ATG4B antagonist inhibits autophagy and has a negative impact on osteosarcoma tumors. Autophagy 2014;10:2021-2035.

[48] Wang C, Niederstrasser H, Douglas PM, Lin R, Jaramillo J, Li Y, et al. Smallmolecule TFEB pathway agonists that ameliorate metabolic syndrome in mice and extend C. elegans lifespan. Nature communications 2017;8:2270.

[49] Chauhan S, Ahmed Z, Bradfute SB, Arko-Mensah J, Mandell MA, Won Choi S, et al. Pharmaceutical screen identifies novel target processes for activation of autophagy with a broad translational potential. Nature communications 2015;6:8620.

[50] Lamming DW, Ye L, Sabatini DM, Baur JA. Rapalogs and mTOR inhibitors as antiaging therapeutics. The Journal of clinical investigation 2013;123:980-989.

[51] Liko D, Hall MN. mTOR in health and in sickness. Journal of molecular medicine 2015;93:1061-1073.

[52] Rebecca VW, Amaravadi RK. Emerging strategies to effectively target autophagy in cancer. Oncogene 2016;35:1-11.

[53] Levine B, Kroemer G. Biological Functions of Autophagy Genes: A Disease Perspective. Cell 2019;176:11-42.

[54] Bestebroer J, V'Kovski P, Mauthe M, Reggiori F. Hidden behind autophagy: the unconventional roles of ATG proteins. Traffic 2013;14:1029-1041.

[55] Subramani S, Malhotra V. Non-autophagic roles of autophagy-related proteins. EMBO reports 2013;14:143-151.

[56] Peraro L, Zou Z, Makwana KM, Cummings AE, Ball HL, Yu H, et al. Diversity-Oriented Stapling Yields Intrinsically Cell-Penetrant Inducers of Autophagy. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2017;139:7792-7802.

[57] Shoji-Kawata S, Sumpter R, Leveno M, Campbell GR, Zou Z, Kinch L, et al. Identification of a candidate therapeutic autophagy-inducing peptide. Nature 2013;494:201-206.

[58] Madeo F, Pietrocola F, Eisenberg T, Kroemer G. Caloric restriction mimetics: towards a molecular definition. Nature reviews Drug discovery 2014;13:727-740.

[59] Kennedy BK, Berger SL, Brunet A, Campisi J, Cuervo AM, Epel ES, et al. Geroscience: linking aging to chronic disease. Cell 2014;159:709-713.

[60] Rubinsztein DC, Marino G, Kroemer G. Autophagy and aging. Cell 2011;146:682-695.

[61] Eisenberg T, Abdellatif M, Schroeder S, Primessnig U, Stekovic S, Pendl T, et al. Cardioprotection and lifespan extension by the natural polyamine spermidine. Nature medicine 2016;22:1428-1438.

[62] Madeo F, Eisenberg T, Pietrocola F, Kroemer G. Spermidine in health and disease. Science 2018;359.

[63] Hermans G, Casaer MP, Clerckx B, Guiza F, Vanhullebusch T, Derde S, et al. Effect of tolerating macronutrient deficit on the development of intensive-care unit acquired weakness: a subanalysis of the EPaNIC trial. The Lancet Respiratory medicine 2013;1:621-629.

[64] Soria LR, Allegri G, Melck D, Pastore N, Annunziata P, Paris D, et al. Enhancement of hepatic autophagy increases ureagenesis and protects against hyperammonemia.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2017.
[65] Cassidy LD, Young AR, Perez-Mancera PA, Nimmervoll B, Jaulim A, Chen HC, et al. A novel Atg5-shRNA mouse model enables temporal control of Autophagy in vivo. Autophagy 2018;14:1256-1266.

[66] Iorga A, Dara L, Kaplowitz N. Drug-Induced Liver Injury: Cascade of Events Leading to Cell Death, Apoptosis or Necrosis. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18.

[67] Ni HM, Bockus A, Boggess N, Jaeschke H, Ding WX. Activation of autophagy protects against acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity. Hepatology 2012;55:222-232.

[68] Igusa Y, Yamashina S, Izumi K, Inami Y, Fukada H, Komatsu M, et al. Loss of autophagy promotes murine acetaminophen hepatotoxicity. J Gastroenterol 2012;47:433-443.
[69] Ni HM, McGill MR, Chao X, Du K, Williams JA, Xie Y, et al. Removal of

acetaminophen protein adducts by autophagy protects against acetaminophen-induced liver injury in mice. Journal of hepatology 2016;65:354-362.

[70] Ni HM, Boggess N, McGill MR, Lebofsky M, Borude P, Apte U, et al. Liver-specific loss of Atg5 causes persistent activation of Nrf2 and protects against acetaminophen-induced liver injury. Toxicol Sci 2012;127:438-450.

[71] Sun Y, Li TY, Song L, Zhang C, Li J, Lin ZZ, et al. Liver-specific deficiency of unc-51 like kinase 1 and 2 protects mice from acetaminophen-induced liver injury. Hepatology 2017.

[72] Woolbright BL, Jaeschke H. Role of the inflammasome in acetaminophen-induced liver injury and acute liver failure. Journal of hepatology 2017;66:836-848.

[73] Woolbright BL, Jaeschke H. Sterile inflammation in acute liver injury: myth or mystery? Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;9:1027-1029.

[74] Kim MJ, Yoon JH, Ryu JH. Mitophagy: a balance regulator of NLRP3 inflammasome activation. BMB Rep 2016;49:529-535.

[75] Zhou R, Yazdi AS, Menu P, Tschopp J. A role for mitochondria in NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Nature 2011;469:221-225.

[76] Amir M, Zhao E, Fontana L, Rosenberg H, Tanaka K, Gao G, et al. Inhibition of hepatocyte autophagy increases tumor necrosis factor-dependent liver injury by promoting caspase-8 activation. Cell Death Differ 2013;20:878-887.

[77] Ilyas G, Zhao E, Liu K, Lin Y, Tesfa L, Tanaka KE, et al. Macrophage autophagy limits acute toxic liver injury in mice through down regulation of interleukin-1beta. Journal of hepatology 2016;64:118-127.

[78] Zhong Z, Umemura A, Sanchez-Lopez E, Liang S, Shalapour S, Wong J, et al. NFkappaB Restricts Inflammasome Activation via Elimination of Damaged Mitochondria. Cell 2016;164:896-910.

[79] Cursio R, Colosetti P, Gugenheim J. Autophagy and liver ischemia-reperfusion injury. Biomed Res Int 2015;2015:417590.

[80] Polishchuk EV, Merolla A, Lichtmannegger J, Romano A, Indrieri A, Ilyechova EY, et al. Activation of autophagy, observed in liver tissues from patients with Wilson disease and from Atp7b-deficient animals, protects hepatocytes from copper-induced apoptosis. Gastroenterology 2018.

[81] Lomas DA, Hurst JR, Gooptu B. Update on alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency: New therapies. Journal of hepatology 2016;65:413-424.

[82] Teckman JH, Perlmutter DH. Retention of mutant alpha(1)-antitrypsin Z in endoplasmic reticulum is associated with an autophagic response. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2000;279:G961-974.

[83] Kamimoto T, Shoji S, Hidvegi T, Mizushima N, Umebayashi K, Perlmutter DH, et al. Intracellular inclusions containing mutant alpha1-antitrypsin Z are propagated in the absence of autophagic activity. The Journal of biological chemistry 2006;281:4467-4476.

[84] Pastore N, Blomenkamp K, Annunziata F, Piccolo P, Mithbaokar P, Maria Sepe R, et al. Gene transfer of master autophagy regulator TFEB results in clearance of toxic protein and correction of hepatic disease in alpha-1-anti-trypsin deficiency. EMBO Mol Med 2013;5:397-412.

[85] Kaushal S, Annamali M, Blomenkamp K, Rudnick D, Halloran D, Brunt EM, et al. Rapamycin reduces intrahepatic alpha-1-antitrypsin mutant Z protein polymers and liver injury in a mouse model. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 2010;235:700-709.

[86] Tang Y, Blomenkamp KS, Fickert P, Trauner M, Teckman JH. NorUDCA promotes degradation of alpha1-antitrypsin mutant Z protein by inducing autophagy through AMPK/ULK1 pathway. PLoS One 2018;13:e0200897.

[87] Cho JH, Kim GY, Pan CJ, Anduaga J, Choi EJ, Mansfield BC, et al. Downregulation of SIRT1 signaling underlies hepatic autophagy impairment in glycogen storage disease type Ia. PLoS Genet 2017;13:e1006819.

[88] Farah BL, Landau DJ, Sinha RA, Brooks ED, Wu Y, Fung SYS, et al. Induction of autophagy improves hepatic lipid metabolism in glucose-6-phosphatase deficiency. Journal of hepatology 2016;64:370-379.

[89] Marra F, Lotersztajn S. Pathophysiology of NASH: Perspectives for a Targeted Treatment. Curr Pharm Des 2013;19:5250-5269.

[90] Gual P, Gilgenkrantz H, Lotersztajn S. Autophagy in chronic liver diseases: the two faces of Janus. American journal of physiology Cell physiology 2017;312:C263-C273.

[91] Czaja MJ. Function of Autophagy in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Dig Dis Sci 2016;61:1304-1313.

[92] Tanaka S, Hikita H, Tatsumi T, Sakamori R, Nozaki Y, Sakane S, et al. Rubicon inhibits autophagy and accelerates hepatocyte apoptosis and lipid accumulation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in mice. Hepatology 2016;64:1994-2014.

[93] Hammoutene A, Lasselin J, Vion A, Colnot N, Paradis V, Lotersztajn S, et al. Defective autophagy in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells promotes non alcoholic steatohepatitis and fibrosis development. Journal of hepatology 2018 68:S29.

[94] Xiong X, Tao R, DePinho RA, Dong XC. The autophagy-related gene 14 (Atg14) is regulated by forkhead box O transcription factors and circadian rhythms and plays a critical role in hepatic autophagy and lipid metabolism. The Journal of biological chemistry 2012;287:39107-39114.

[95] Liu K, Zhao E, Ilyas G, Lalazar G, Lin Y, Haseeb M, et al. Impaired macrophage autophagy increases the immune response in obese mice by promoting proinflammatory macrophage polarization. Autophagy 2015;11:271-284.

[96] Yamada T, Murata D, Adachi Y, Itoh K, Kameoka S, Igarashi A, et al. Mitochondrial Stasis Reveals p62-Mediated Ubiquitination in Parkin-Independent Mitophagy and Mitigates Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Cell metabolism 2018;28:588-604 e585.

[97] Czaja MJ, Ding WX, Donohue TM, Jr., Friedman SL, Kim JS, Komatsu M, et al. Functions of autophagy in normal and diseased liver. Autophagy 2013;9:1131-1158.

[98] Ma D, Molusky MM, Song J, Hu CR, Fang F, Rui C, et al. Autophagy deficiency by hepatic FIP200 deletion uncouples steatosis from liver injury in NAFLD. Mol Endocrinol 2013;27:1643-1654.

[99] Morel E, Mehrpour M, Botti J, Dupont N, Hamai A, Nascimbeni AC, et al. Autophagy: A Druggable Process. Annual review of pharmacology and toxicology 2017;57:375-398.

[100] DeBosch BJ, Heitmeier MR, Mayer AL, Higgins CB, Crowley JR, Kraft TE, et al. Trehalose inhibits solute carrier 2A (SLC2A) proteins to induce autophagy and prevent hepatic steatosis. Sci Signal 2016;9:ra21.

[101] Sinha RA, Farah BL, Singh BK, Siddique MM, Li Y, Wu Y, et al. Caffeine stimulates hepatic lipid metabolism by the autophagy-lysosomal pathway in mice. Hepatology 2014;59:1366-1380.

[102] Lim H, Lim YM, Kim KH, Jeon YE, Park K, Kim J, et al. A novel autophagy enhancer as a therapeutic agent against metabolic syndrome and diabetes. Nature communications 2018;9:1438.

[103] Kim SH, Kim G, Han DH, Lee M, Kim I, Kim B, et al. Ezetimibe ameliorates steatohepatitis via AMP activated protein kinase-TFEB-mediated activation of autophagy and NLRP3 inflammasome inhibition. Autophagy 2017;13:1767-1781.

[104] Sergin I, Evans TD, Zhang X, Bhattacharya S, Stokes CJ, Song E, et al. Exploiting macrophage autophagy-lysosomal biogenesis as a therapy for atherosclerosis. Nature communications 2017;8:15750.

[105] Nakade Y, Murotani K, Inoue T, Kobayashi Y, Yamamoto T, Ishii N, et al. Ezetimibe for the treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis. Hepatol Res 2017;47:1417-1428.

[106] Mallat A, Lotersztajn S. Cellular mechanisms of tissue fibrosis. 5. Novel insights into liver fibrosis. American journal of physiology Cell physiology 2013;305:C789-799.

[107] Hernandez-Gea V, Ghiassi-Nejad Z, Rozenfeld R, Gordon R, Fiel MI, Yue Z, et al. Autophagy releases lipid that promotes fibrogenesis by activated hepatic stellate cells in mice and in human tissues. Gastroenterology 2012;142:938-946.

[108] Thoen LF, Guimaraes EL, Dolle L, Mannaerts I, Najimi M, Sokal E, et al. A role for autophagy during hepatic stellate cell activation. Journal of hepatology 2011;55:1353-1360. [109] Hernandez-Gea V, Hilscher M, Rozenfeld R, Lim MP, Nieto N, Werner S, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress induces fibrogenic activity in hepatic stellate cells through autophagy. Journal of hepatology 2013;59:98-104.

[110] Kim KM, Han CY, Kim JY, Cho SS, Kim YS, Koo JH, et al. Galpha12 overexpression induced by miR-16 dysregulation contributes to liver fibrosis by promoting autophagy in hepatic stellate cells. Journal of hepatology 2018.

[111] Umemura A, He F, Taniguchi K, Nakagawa H, Yamachika S, Font-Burgada J, et al. p62, Upregulated during Preneoplasia, Induces Hepatocellular Carcinogenesis by Maintaining Survival of Stressed HCC-Initiating Cells. Cancer Cell 2016;29:935-948.

[112] Duran A, Hernandez ED, Reina-Campos M, Castilla EA, Subramaniam S, Raghunandan S, et al. p62/SQSTM1 by Binding to Vitamin D Receptor Inhibits Hepatic Stellate Cell Activity, Fibrosis, and Liver Cancer. Cancer Cell 2016;30:595-609.

[113] Ding N, Yu RT, Subramaniam N, Sherman MH, Wilson C, Rao R, et al. A vitamin D receptor/SMAD genomic circuit gates hepatic fibrotic response. Cell 2013;153:601-613.

[114] Ni HM, Woolbright BL, Williams J, Copple B, Cui W, Luyendyk JP, et al. Nrf2 promotes the development of fibrosis and tumorigenesis in mice with defective hepatic autophagy. Journal of hepatology 2014;61:617-625.

[115] Ruart M, Chavarria L, Camprecios G, Suarez-Herrera N, Montironi C, Guixe-Muntet S, et al. Impaired endothelial autophagy promotes liver fibrosis by aggravating the oxidative stress response during acute liver injury. Journal of hepatology 2018.

[116] Lodder J, Denaes T, Chobert MN, Wan J, El-Benna J, Pawlotsky JM, et al. Macrophage autophagy protects against liver fibrosis in mice. Autophagy 2015;11:1280-1292. [117] Guillot A, Hamdaoui N, Bizy A, Zoltani K, Souktani R, Zafrani ES, et al. Cannabinoid receptor 2 counteracts interleukin-17-induced immune and fibrogenic responses in mouse liver. Hepatology 2014;59:296-306.

[118] Denaes T, Lodder J, Chobert MN, Ruiz I, Pawlotsky JM, Lotersztajn S, et al. The Cannabinoid Receptor 2 Protects Against Alcoholic Liver Disease Via a Macrophage Autophagy-Dependent Pathway. Sci Rep 2016;6:28806.

[119] Habib A, Chokr D, Wan J, Hegde P, Mabire M, Siebert M, et al. Inhibition of monoacylglycerol lipase, an anti-inflammatory and antifibrogenic strategy in the liver. Gut 2018.

[120] Zhu J, Wu J, Frizell E, Liu SL, Bashey R, Rubin R, et al. Rapamycin inhibits hepatic stellate cell proliferation in vitro and limits fibrogenesis in an in vivo model of liver fibrosis. Gastroenterology 1999;117:1198-1204.

[121] Bridle KR, Popa C, Morgan ML, Sobbe AL, Clouston AD, Fletcher LM, et al. Rapamycin inhibits hepatic fibrosis in rats by attenuating multiple profibrogenic pathways. Liver Transpl 2009;15:1315-1324.

[122] Hidvegi T, Ewing M, Hale P, Dippold C, Beckett C, Kemp C, et al. An autophagyenhancing drug promotes degradation of mutant alpha1-antitrypsin Z and reduces hepatic fibrosis. Science 2010;329:229-232.

[123] Llovet JM, Zucman-Rossi J, Pikarsky E, Sangro B, Schwartz M, Sherman M, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2016;2:16018.

[124] EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Management of hepatocellular carcinoma. Journal of hepatology 2018;69:182-236.

[125] Allaire M, Nault JC. Molecular targets for HCC and future treatments. Journal of hepatology 2017;66:234-235.

[126] Levy JMM, Towers CG, Thorburn A. Targeting autophagy in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2017;17:528-542.

[127] Yang ZJ, Chee CE, Huang S, Sinicrope FA. The role of autophagy in cancer: therapeutic implications. Mol Cancer Ther 2011;10:1533-1541.

[128] Inami Y, Waguri S, Sakamoto A, Kouno T, Nakada K, Hino O, et al. Persistent activation of Nrf2 through p62 in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. The Journal of cell biology 2011;193:275-284.

[129] Lee YA, Noon LA, Akat KM, Ybanez MD, Lee TF, Berres ML, et al. Autophagy is a gatekeeper of hepatic differentiation and carcinogenesis by controlling the degradation of Yap. Nature communications 2018;9:4962.

[130] Qu X, Yu J, Bhagat G, Furuya N, Hibshoosh H, Troxel A, et al. Promotion of tumorigenesis by heterozygous disruption of the beclin 1 autophagy gene. The Journal of clinical investigation 2003;112:1809-1820.

[131] Takamura A, Komatsu M, Hara T, Sakamoto A, Kishi C, Waguri S, et al. Autophagydeficient mice develop multiple liver tumors. Genes Dev 2011;25:795-800.

[132] Tian Y, Kuo CF, Sir D, Wang L, Govindarajan S, Petrovic LM, et al. Autophagy inhibits oxidative stress and tumor suppressors to exert its dual effect on hepatocarcinogenesis. Cell Death Differ 2015;22:1025-1034.

[133] Yue Z, Jin S, Yang C, Levine AJ, Heintz N. Beclin 1, an autophagy gene essential for early embryonic development, is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2003;100:15077-15082.

[134] DeNicola GM, Karreth FA, Humpton TJ, Gopinathan A, Wei C, Frese K, et al. Oncogene-induced Nrf2 transcription promotes ROS detoxification and tumorigenesis. Nature 2011;475:106-109. [135] Ichimura Y, Kumanomidou T, Sou YS, Mizushima T, Ezaki J, Ueno T, et al. Structural basis for sorting mechanism of p62 in selective autophagy. The Journal of biological chemistry 2008;283:22847-22857.

[136] Jain A, Lamark T, Sjottem E, Larsen KB, Awuh JA, Overvatn A, et al. p62/SQSTM1 is a target gene for transcription factor NRF2 and creates a positive feedback loop by inducing antioxidant response element-driven gene transcription. The Journal of biological chemistry 2010;285:22576-22591.

[137] Lau A, Villeneuve NF, Sun Z, Wong PK, Zhang DD. Dual roles of Nrf2 in cancer. Pharmacol Res 2008;58:262-270.

[138] Lau A, Wang XJ, Zhao F, Villeneuve NF, Wu T, Jiang T, et al. A noncanonical mechanism of Nrf2 activation by autophagy deficiency: direct interaction between Keap1 and p62. Mol Cell Biol 2010;30:3275-3285.

[139] Saito T, Ichimura Y, Taguchi K, Suzuki T, Mizushima T, Takagi K, et al. p62/Sqstm1 promotes malignancy of HCV-positive hepatocellular carcinoma through Nrf2-dependent metabolic reprogramming. Nature communications 2016;7:12030.

[140] Moscat J, Diaz-Meco MT. p62 at the crossroads of autophagy, apoptosis, and cancer. Cell 2009;137:1001-1004.

[141] Perra A, Kowalik MA, Ghiso E, Ledda-Columbano GM, Di Tommaso L, Angioni MM, et al. YAP activation is an early event and a potential therapeutic target in liver cancer development. Journal of hepatology 2014;61:1088-1096.

[142] Degenhardt K, Mathew R, Beaudoin B, Bray K, Anderson D, Chen G, et al. Autophagy promotes tumor cell survival and restricts necrosis, inflammation, and tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell 2006;10:51-64.

[143] Sandilands E, Serrels B, McEwan DG, Morton JP, Macagno JP, McLeod K, et al. Autophagic targeting of Src promotes cancer cell survival following reduced FAK signalling. Nat Cell Biol 2011;14:51-60.

[144] Sharifi MN, Mowers EE, Drake LE, Collier C, Chen H, Zamora M, et al. Autophagy Promotes Focal Adhesion Disassembly and Cell Motility of Metastatic Tumor Cells through the Direct Interaction of Paxillin with LC3. Cell Rep 2016;15:1660-1672.

[145] Li J, Yang B, Zhou Q, Wu Y, Shang D, Guo Y, et al. Autophagy promotes hepatocellular carcinoma cell invasion through activation of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Carcinogenesis 2013;34:1343-1351.

[146] Lee YJ, Jang BK. The Role of Autophagy in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Int J Mol Sci 2015;16:26629-26643.

[147] Briceno E, Reyes S, Sotelo J. Therapy of glioblastoma multiforme improved by the antimutagenic chloroquine. Neurosurg Focus 2003;14:e3.

[148] Gade TPF, Tucker E, Nakazawa MS, Hunt SJ, Wong W, Krock B, et al. Ischemia Induces Quiescence and Autophagy Dependence in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Radiology 2017;283:702-710.

[149] Gao L, Song JR, Zhang JW, Zhao X, Zhao QD, Sun K, et al. Chloroquine promotes the anticancer effect of TACE in a rabbit VX2 liver tumor model. Int J Biol Sci 2013;9:322-330.

[150] Wang X, Deng Q, Feng K, Chen S, Jiang J, Xia F, et al. Insufficient radiofrequency ablation promotes hepatocellular carcinoma cell progression via autophagy and the CD133 feedback loop. Oncol Rep 2018;40:241-251.

[151] Zhao YG, Zhang H. Autophagosome maturation: An epic journey from the ER to lysosomes. The Journal of cell biology 2018.

[152] Prieto-Dominguez N, Ordonez R, Fernandez A, Garcia-Palomo A, Muntane J, Gonzalez-Gallego J, et al. Modulation of Autophagy by Sorafenib: Effects on Treatment Response. Front Pharmacol 2016;7:151.

[153] Shimizu S, Takehara T, Hikita H, Kodama T, Tsunematsu H, Miyagi T, et al. Inhibition of autophagy potentiates the antitumor effect of the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib in hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Cancer 2012;131:548-557.

[154] Zhao P, Li M, Wang Y, Chen Y, He C, Zhang X, et al. Enhancing anti-tumor efficiency in hepatocellular carcinoma through the autophagy inhibition by miR-375/sorafenib in lipid-coated calcium carbonate nanoparticles. Acta Biomater 2018;72:248-255.

[155] Townsend KN, Hughson LR, Schlie K, Poon VI, Westerback A, Lum JJ. Autophagy inhibition in cancer therapy: metabolic considerations for antitumor immunity. Immunol Rev 2012;249:176-194.

[156] Yu S, Wang Y, Jing L, Claret FX, Li Q, Tian T, et al. Autophagy in the "inflammation-carcinogenesis" pathway of liver and HCC immunotherapy. Cancer Lett 2017;411:82-89.

[157] Shibutani ST, Saitoh T, Nowag H, Munz C, Yoshimori T. Autophagy and autophagy-related proteins in the immune system. Nature immunology 2015;16:1014-1024.

[158] Ding WX, Li M, Chen X, Ni HM, Lin CW, Gao W, et al. Autophagy reduces acute ethanol-induced hepatotoxicity and steatosis in mice. Gastroenterology 2010;139:1740-1752.
[159] Ni HM, Du K, You M, Ding WX. Critical role of FoxO3a in alcohol-induced autophagy and hepatotoxicity. Am J Pathol 2013;183:1815-1825.

[160] Thomes PG, Trambly CS, Fox HS, Tuma DJ, Donohue TM, Jr. Acute and Chronic Ethanol Administration Differentially Modulate Hepatic Autophagy and Transcription Factor EB. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2015;39:2354-2363.

[161] Chao X, Wang S, Zhao K, Li Y, Williams JA, Li T, et al. Impaired TFEB-Mediated Lysosome Biogenesis and Autophagy Promote Chronic Ethanol-Induced Liver Injury and Steatosis in Mice. Gastroenterology 2018;155:865-879 e812.

[162] Ding WX, Li M, Yin XM. Selective taste of ethanol-induced autophagy for mitochondria and lipid droplets. Autophagy 2011;7:248-249.

[163] Lin CW, Zhang H, Li M, Xiong X, Chen X, Dong XC, et al. Pharmacological promotion of autophagy alleviates steatosis and injury in alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver conditions in mice. Journal of hepatology 2013;58:993-999.

[164] Eid N, Ito Y, Maemura K, Otsuki Y. Elevated autophagic sequestration of mitochondria and lipid droplets in steatotic hepatocytes of chronic ethanol-treated rats: an immunohistochemical and electron microscopic study. J Mol Histol 2013;44:311-326.

[165] Schulze RJ, Rasineni K, Weller SG, Schott MB, Schroeder B, Casey CA, et al. Ethanol exposure inhibits hepatocyte lipophagy by inactivating the small guanosine triphosphatase Rab7. Hepatol Commun 2017;1:140-152.

[166] Rasineni K, Donohue TM, Jr., Thomes PG, Yang L, Tuma DJ, McNiven MA, et al. Ethanol-induced steatosis involves impairment of lipophagy, associated with reduced Dynamin2 activity. Hepatol Commun 2017;1:501-512.

[167] You M, Matsumoto M, Pacold CM, Cho WK, Crabb DW. The role of AMP-activated protein kinase in the action of ethanol in the liver. Gastroenterology 2004;127:1798-1808.[168] Kheloufi M, Boulanger CM, Codogno P, Rautou PE. Autosis occurs in the liver of patients with severe anorexia nervosa. Hepatology 2015;62:657-658.

[169] Toledo M, Batista-Gonzalez A, Merheb E, Aoun ML, Tarabra E, Feng D, et al. Autophagy Regulates the Liver Clock and Glucose Metabolism by Degrading CRY1. Cell metabolism 2018;28:268-281 e264.





