

Parallel synthesis of a bis-triazoles library as psammaplin A analogues: A new wave of antibiofilm compounds?

Sofyane Andjouh, Yves Blache

► To cite this version:

Sofyane Andjouh, Yves Blache. Parallel synthesis of a bis-triazoles library as psammaplin A analogues: A new wave of antibiofilm compounds?. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 2019, 29, pp.614 - 618. 10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.12.047 . hal-03486179

HAL Id: hal-03486179 https://hal.science/hal-03486179v1

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960894X18309946 Manuscript 328c7bfb0e0a70ebfe219d973929449c

Parallel synthesis of a bis-triazoles library as psammaplin A analogues : a new wave of

antibiofilm compounds?

Sofyane Andjouh, ¹ Yves Blache^{1*}

¹Université de Toulon, MAPIEM, Toulon, France

*Corresponding author : blache@univ-tln.fr

Orcid ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9258-0599

Postal address : Laboratoire MAPIEM (EA 4323), SeaTech Ecole d'Ingénieurs

Nowadays, eradication of bacterial biofilms still remains a challenge for chemists and microbiologists in many economical sectors. These include medical health care since they colonize implants such as artificial joints or catheters.¹ While in marine environment, the formation of biofilms on immersed substrata, leads to major economic problems which conducted to the use of toxic biocides to fight against these communities.²⁻⁴ In this context, development of original compounds that specifically target the biofilm formation is of great need in view of rational use of antibiotics and/or biocides. Such biofilm inhibitors should have the potential to be used in a preventive treatment of a wide diversity of industrial and/or medical surfaces. Some of the antibiofilm techniques, that are tested today, are based on the observation of sessile marine macroorganisms (sponges, corals) which are constantly exposed to undesirable bacterial colonization (e.g. biofouling).⁵ To cope with biofouling and maintain unfouled exterior surfaces, several of them have developed various defense systems.⁵⁻⁹ This observation has motivated investment in the research of potential "non-toxic" antibiofilm compounds from their arsenal of secondary metabolites.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Therefore, use of such secondary metabolites on a large scale appears to be difficult to achieve since they are obtained in small quantities. These factors led to the synthesis of analogues, by maintaining the natural framework in order to retain biological activity. For this purpose, we have developed a new synthetic plan, based on click chemistry, allowing a rapid and efficient synthesis of libraries of bromotyramine/triazole analogues at a suitably large scale.^{15,16} Click chemistry is a highly efficient process for the generation of compound libraries.¹⁷ In addition, the 1,2,3-triazole ring has been explored as bioisosteres in medicinal chemistry of several chemical functions.¹⁸ Number of compounds containing this ring have shown a broad spectrum of biological activities.¹⁹⁻²²In continuation of our investigations, the present study consists in the preparation of a series of psammaplin A analogues possessing a two triazolic core. Psammaplin A, was extracted from the marine sponge Pseudoceratina sp. and was shown to exhibit interesting biological activities, such as antibacterial or anticancer properties.^{23,24}

The targeted library (Figure 1)was constructed by considering three points of chemical diversity : 1) a biosoteric replacement of the oxime/amide fonctions by 1,2,3-triazole core, since we have demonstrated that the bioisoteric replacement of an oxime by a 1,2,3-triazole was successful to afford somesinteresting elements of structure-activity relationships (SAR) in the field of antibiofilm compounds derived from the group of bromotyrosine alkaloids.^{15,16} In addition, recent reports described the diversity-oriented synthesis of pyrrolidinyl triazoles as biosisosteres of some pyrrolidinyl oxime (an mPTP blocker as a viable therapeutic target for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease).²⁵ 2) The second point concerns the replacement of the disulfide linker by different chemical classes of linker.3) Finally, substituents on aromatic rings were considered in agreement with those found in the bromotyrosine alkaloids.^{26,27} Ability of the resulting analogues to inhibit biofilm formation of three bacterial strains was investigated in order to establish SAR.



Figure 1. Structure of psammaplin A and of targeted library

Access to the desired psammaplin A analogues was achieved in a one-step by means of double Copper-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition between starting azide derivatives**3a-d** and different dialkynes. Azides **3a-d**, giving the first level of chemical diversity, were easily accessible in three steps from 4-(2-azidoethyl)-2-bromo-1-methoxybenzene¹⁵ in excellent yields (scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Preparation of starting azides 3a-d

The second level of chemical diversity was introduced by three kinds of linkers chosen from commercial sources: alkyl chain containing 3,4 and 6 carbons, two heteroatomoic dialkynes (oxygen and nitrogen), and finally two aromatic systems. The formation of the bis-triazole

analogues was then achieved by performing the copper(I)-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of the organic azides with appropriate dialkynes resulting in the formation of two 1,2,3-triazoles. In general, these reactions usually proceed to completion in 6–36 h at room temperature in water with a variety of organic co-solvents, such as *tert*-butanol, ethanol, DMF, DMSO, THF or CH₃CN.^{28,29} Ethanol was usually chosen rather than DMF to allow an easier workup and a better purity of products as described in our previous work but in this case DMF was used because of the poor solubility of the resulting bis-triazoles in ethanol. Practically, 1 equivalent of dialkyne was added to a solution of appropriate azide (**3a-d**, 2.6 equivalents), CuSO₄/sodium ascorbate in a water/DMF mixture (50/50) and the reaction time was optimized at 24 hours at room temperature. Results reported in table 1 show that all compounds were obtained in excellent yields (>77%), but it is notable that compound **5g** bearing an 1,4-linked aromatic ring could not be isolated and purified for further biological tests.





28 analogues BT1-28

compound	R	linker	yield
4 a	Н	-(CH ₂) ₃ -	92 %
4b	Н	-(CH ₂) ₄ -	87%
4 c	Н	-(CH ₂) ₆ -	93%
4d	Н	-CH ₂ -O-CH ₂ -	87%
4 e	Н	-CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂ -	63%
4f	Н		77%
4g	Н		80%
5a	CH_3	-(CH ₂) ₃ -	91%
5b	CH_3	-(CH ₂) ₄ -	96%
5c	CH_3	-(CH ₂) ₆ -	97%
5d	CH_3	-CH ₂ -O-CH ₂ -	93%
5e	CH ₃	-CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂ -	88%
5f	CH ₃		90%
5g	CH_3		Not purified
6a	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₂ -	-(CH ₂) ₃ -	93%
6b	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₂ -	-(CH ₂) ₄ -	94%
6c	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₂ -	-(CH ₂) ₆ -	89%
6d	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₂ -	-CH ₂ -O-CH ₂ -	98%

6e	$(CH3)_2N-(CH_2)_2-$	-CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂ -	84%
6f	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₂ -		84%
6 g	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₂ -		88%
7a	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₃ -	-(CH ₂) ₃ -	89%
7b	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₃ -	-(CH ₂) ₄ -	93%
7c	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₃ -	-(CH ₂) ₆ -	87%
7d	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₃ -	-CH ₂ -O-CH ₂ -	85%
7e	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₃ -	-CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂ -	79%
7 f	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₃ -		92%
7g	(CH3) ₂ N-(CH ₂) ₃ -		88%

In order to assess anti-biofilm activity of these compounds against representative Gramnegative bacterial biofilms, three strains were chosen for their capacity to form biofilms: Pseudoalteromonas lipolytica (TC8), Pseudoalteromonas ulvae (TC14) and a Paracoccus sp. strain (4M6).³⁰ In an initial screening process, all compounds were tested for their ability to modulate biofilm formation at concentration of 200 µM by using our previous method adapted from Leroy et al. using the specific fluorophore Syto[®]61³¹⁻³². Partial information about structure–activity relationship (SAR) could be highlighted at this stage: all compounds possessing an alkyl-type linker (series **a**, **b**, **c**) were inactive (less than 50% of inhibition of the adhesion). Replacement of the carbon in the series **a** by an oxygen (series \mathbf{d}) or nitrogen (series \mathbf{e}) enhanced the activity. Considering aromatic linkers (series \mathbf{f} and g), only the compounds connected in the 1,4-positions were founded to be active (series g). In order to precise structure-activity relationships, effective concentrations to inhibit 50% of the bacterial adhesion (expressed as EC₅₀) were determined for compounds 4d-g, 5d-e, 6d-g, 7d-g which inhibited more than 50% of adhesion at 200 μ M. Results of this screen are outlined in Table 2. In this way, first observation was to note that globally the TC14 strain was more sensitive to this class of bis-triazoles than TC8 and 4M6 strains. Among the three classes tested, series g possessing an 1,4-linked aromatic ring as central part (4g, 6g, 7g) were the more potent compounds especially 6g an 7g with EC₅₀ closed to ampicillin and tributyltin oxide (TBTO). In term of SAR, it is interesting to note that the dimethylaminoethyl chain (6g) as well as the dimethylaminopropyl chain (7g) are common natural framework found in bromotyrosine alkaloïds possessing antifouling properties, and that this class of substituents afforded a beneficial aspect when compared to simple hydroxyl or methyl groups found in alkaloids extracted from sponges such as aplysamines or hemibastadins or simple 2-(3'-Bromo-4'hydroxyphenol)ethanamine.³³

Table 2. Antibiofilm activity of psammaplin A bioactives analogues

cpd	_	TC14 ^a	TC8 ^a		4M6 ^a				
	% of	EC ₅₀	% of adhesion ^b	EC ₅₀	% of	EC ₅₀			
	adhesion ^b				adhesion ^b				
Series a									
4 a	53.1 ± 4.1	$> 200 \ \mu M$	63.5 ± 8.1	$> 200 \mu\text{M}$	83.4 ± 3.9	$> 200 \ \mu M$			
5a	50.4 ± 2.2	$> 200 \ \mu M$	50.0 ± 4.9	$> 200 \mu M$	49.2 ± 0.8	$> 200 \ \mu M$			
<u>6a</u>	55.8 ± 3.8	$> 200 \ \mu M$	57.0 ± 2.2	$> 200 \ \mu M$	51.5 ± 3.0	$> 200 \ \mu M$			
7a	53.8 ± 5.9	$> 200 \ \mu M$	59.9 ± 6.4	$> 200 \ \mu M$	77.2 ± 2.5	$> 200 \ \mu M$			
Series b									
4b	54.4 ± 1.8	$> 200 \ \mu M$	85.6 ± 2.1	$> 200 \ \mu M$	52.4 ± 2.3	$> 200 \ \mu M$			
5b	53.3 ± 6.3	$> 200 \ \mu M$	56.9 ± 1.7	$> 200 \ \mu M$	50.9 ± 0.3	$> 200 \ \mu M$			
6b	61.5 ± 1.0	$> 200 \ \mu M$	58.5 ± 4.3	$> 200 \mu M$	57.4 ± 1.6	$> 200 \ \mu M$			
7b	66.5 ± 5.6	$> 200 \ \mu M$	89.2 ± 4.8	$> 200 \mu\text{M}$	80.7 ± 8.8	$> 200 \ \mu M$			
			Series c	· · · ·		·			
4c	61.7 ± 6.7	$> 200 \mu M$	75.0 ± 5.2	$> 200 \mu\text{M}$	78.1 ± 1.2	$> 200 \ \mu M$			
5c	62.7 ± 3.1	$> 200 \mu\text{M}$	78.3 ± 0.6	$> 200 \mu\text{M}$	69.2 ± 0.5	$> 200 \mu M$			
6c	67.4 ± 4.0	$> 200 \mu M$	54.4 ± 10.8	$> 200 \mu M$	59.5 ± 2.2	$> 200 \mu M$			
7c	71.0 ± 4.1	$> 200 \mu M$	72.3 ± 1.4	$> 200 \mu M$	84.7 ± 1.4	$> 200 \mu M$			
		•	Series d	•		•			
		127.1 ±		194.9 ± 10.7		198.3 ± 4.1			
4d	40.3 ± 3.3	27.6	50.3 ± 5.8		47.8 ± 0.1				
		$159.8 \pm$		180.8 ± 21.3		164.1 ± 6.3			
5d	38.5 ± 7.4	33.5	42.8 ± 6.6		44.9 ± 3.2				
6d	34.8 ± 2.8	91.8 ± 17.9	34.6 ± 5.1	101.6 ± 46.2	40.4 ± 7.8	146.2 ± 81.9			
		121.7 ±		>200		>200			
7d	41.7 ± 1.7	59.1	51.6 ± 1.5		53.7 ± 4.0				
	20.2.7.7.5	50.0 . 07.0	Serie e	00.2.10.0	07.0.1.6.0				
4e 5	29.3 ± 7.5	59.9 ± 27.2	28.9 ± 17.0	80.3±18.9	27.9 ± 16.0	88.3 ± 46.3			
5e	37.4 ± 0.1	138.4 ± 8.7	48.2 ± 2.2	187.9 ± 3.0 176.5 ± 2	40.3 ± 2.7	194.5 ± 8.0			
60	40.9 ± 5.0	120.2 ± 21.6	47.1 ± 1.0	170.5±5	518 + 11	>200			
0e 7e	40.9 ± 3.0 45.0 ± 0.8	>200	47.1 ± 1.0 55 4 + 0 4	185 2+16 4	51.0 ± 4.4 56 3 + 2 4	>200			
<i>i</i> c	$+5.0 \pm 0.0$	>200	Series f	105.2±10.4	50.5 ± 2.4	>200			
4 f	568+13	> 200 µM	665 ± 116	> 200 µM	615 + 42	> 200 µM			
5f	741 + 11	$> 200 \mu M$	101 1 + 4 8	$> 200 \mu M$	762 ± 17	$> 200 \mu M$			
6f	62.2 ± 0.4	$> 200 \mu M$	65.1 + 8.8	$> 200 \mu M$	669 + 18	$> 200 \mu M$			
01 7f	65.2 ± 6.1	$> 200 \mu M$	71.6 ± 2.8	> 200 μ M	78.1 + 2.2	$> 200 \mu M$			
, 1	0010 - 017	> 200 µm	Series g	> 200 µ11	, 0.1 _ 2.2	> 200 µ11			
		$40.9 \pm$	~~~~ 5	>200		59.9 ± 7.7			
4 g	31.1 ± 6.2	11.41	58.3 ± 8.7		31.8 ± 3.5				
6g	0 ± 5.3	0.9 ± 0.83	14.6 ± 11.8	5.1±1	10.1 ± 8.1	5.1 ± 1.0			
7g	0.6 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.28	5.3 ± 2.5	15.0 ± 3.38	5.1 ± 1.43	3.5 ± 3.0			
ТВТО	ND	0.7 ± 0.3	ND	7.0 ± 3.0	ND	4.0 ± 3.0			
ampicillin	ND	9.3 ± 0.2	ND	17.9 ± 0.9	ND	144.1 ± 12.3			

^a **TC14** : *Pseudoalteromonas ulvae*, **TC8** : *Pseudoalteromonas lipolytica*, **4M6** : *Paracoccus* sp.

 $^{\text{b}}$ % of adhesion at 200 μM

 c ND : not determined, $EC_{50} > 200 \ \mu M$

In order to determine if these compounds exhibited a specific anti-biofilm activity or if this observation was simply related to a general toxic effect on the bacteria, a growth inhibition and viability assay was performed. Active compounds **6g** and **7g** were tested for their capacity to inhibit the growth of the three strains TC14, TC8 and 4M6. Experiments were performed at the high concentration of 100 μ M (fig. 2a, 2b, 2c) and using ampicillin at a concentration of 5 μ M.

At these concentrations, the two compounds presented bacteriostatic effects on the three bacterial strains. A slight effect was observed for compound **7g** while compound **6g** exhibited effects much more closed to ampicillin especially on the TC8 and 4M6 strains which seems to be more sensitive than TC14.



Figure 2a. Effect on TC14 growth of compounds 6g,7g at concentrations of 100 µM



Figure 2b. Effect on TC8 growth of compounds 6g,7g at concentrations of 100 µM



Figure 2c. Effect on 4M6 growth of compounds 6g,7g at concentrations of 100 μ M.



Figure 3. effect on TC14, TC8, and 4M6 viability of compounds 6g and 7g at concentrations of 5 and 100 μ M.

For viability, the same methodology used for antiadhesion assay with Syto[®]61 was applied using resazurin test at the concentrations of 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200 μ M. Results at 5 and 100 μ M are reported in figure 3 (see supplementary materials for detailed results). At a low concentration of 5 μ M, concentration closed to their EC₅₀ as antibiofilm compounds, compounds **6g** and **7g** were not lethal to bacteria . This suggested that their anti-biofilm activities were not directly connected to antibacterial effect in contrast to ampicillin which is toxic especially to TC14 and TC8. Furthermore, at the high concentrations (100 μ M), compounds**6g** and **7g** presented a slight bactericidal effect on the three strains. In addition, it is important to note that the antibiofilm effect of ampicillin seems to be directly related to its antibacterial effect. In fact, the good antibiofilm activities observed on TC14

and TC8 (EC₅₀ in the range of 5 μ M) were related to high toxicity, while no antibiofilm effect (EC₅₀ = 146 μ M) was associated with a low toxicity on 4M6 strains.

In conclusion, we have used click chemistry to generate a library of psammaplin A analogues based on a bis-triazole framework. In the present paper, we have generated a preliminary screening for analogues that can be designed to be selective against gram negative bacteria. Potent inhibitors of biofilm formation have been identified. Finally, the low toxicity of the more potent anti-biofilm leads allows us to focuse on future interest in the development of these molecules as non-toxic antibiofilm compounds for their potential use as non-toxic co-biocides or co-antibiotic in view of rational eradication of persistent biofilms.

Acknowledgments: This work was financially supported by the Université de Toulon (S. A. PhD grant). We are especially grateful to LBCM laboratory (Université de Bretagne Sud, France) for the supply of the 4M6 strain.

References and notes

- 1. Saint, S.; Chenoweth, CE. Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am. 2003, 17, 411.
- 2. Omae, I. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 3431.
- 3. Haras D. Mater. Techn. 2005. 93. 27.
- 4. Qian, P. Y.; Lau, S. C. K.; Dahms, H. U.; Dobretsov, S.; Harder, T. Marine biotech. 2007, 9, 399.
- Müller, W.G.; Brümmer, F.; Batel, R.; Mülle, rI.; Schröder, H. Naturwissenschaften. 2003, 90, 103-120
- Krug, P.J. 2006. Defense of benthic invertebrates against surface colonization by larvae: A chemical arms race. In: Antifouling compounds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. p. 1-53
- 7. Kirschner, C.M.; Brennan, A.B. Annu. Rev. Materials Sci. 2012, 42, 211-229.
- Wahl, M.; Goecke, F.R.; Labes, A.; Dobretsov, S., Weinberger, F. Front. microbiol. 2012, 3, 292.
- 9. Rohde, S.; Nietzer, S.; Schupp, P.J. PLoS ONE. 2015, 10:e0132236.
- 10. Bhadury, P.; Wright, P. Planta. 2004, 219, 561-578.
- 11. Fusetani, N.; Nat. Prod; Rep. 2011, 28, 400-410.
- 12. Stowe, S.D.; Richards, J.J.; Tucker, A.T.; Thompson, R.; Melander, C.; Cavanagh, J. Mar Drugs. 2011, 9, 2010-2035.
- 13. Gerwick, W.H ;; Moore B.S. ; Chem Biol. 2012, 19:85-98.
- 14. Qian, P-Y.; Li, Z., Xu, Y.; Li, Y.; Fusetani, N. Biofouling. 2015, 31, 101-122.

- 15. Andjouh, S.; Blache, Y. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2015 25:5762-5766.
- 16. Andjouh, S.; Blache, Y. Biofouling. 2016, 32, 871-881.
- 17. Thirumurugan, P ;; Matosiuk, D. ; Jozwiak, K. Chem Rev. 2013, 113, 4905-4979.
- 18. Hou, J.; Liu, X.; Shen, J.;, Zhao G.; Wang, P.G. Exp Op Drug Disc., 7,489-501.
- Whiting, M.; Tripp, JC.; Lin, Y.C.; Lindstrom, W.; Olson, A.J.; Elder, J.H.; Sharpless, K.B.; Fokin, V.V. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 7697-7710.
- Vatmurge, N,S.; Hazra, B.G.; Pore, V;S; Shirazi, F.; Chavan, P.S.; Deshpande, M.V. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2008, 18, 2043-2047.
- 21. Linares, D.; Bottzeck, O.; Pereira, O.; Praud-Tabariès, A.; Blache, Y. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 6751.
- 22. Sall, C. ; Bottzeck, O.; Praud-Tabariès, A.; Blache, Y. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2018, 28 (2), 155-159
- 23. Sup Shim, J.; Lee H.S.; Jongheon Shin, J.; Jeong Kwon, J. Cancer Lett. 2004, 2, 163-169.
- 24. Kim, D.; Lee, S.; Jung, H.J.; Yang, S. Arch. Pharm. Res. 1999, 22, 25-29.
- Jung, S.H.; Choi, K.; Ae Kyun Lee, N.P.J.; Choo,H.; Keum, G.; Choa,Y.S.; Min, S.J. Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 9674
- Swanson, D.M.; Wilson, S. J.; Boggs, J.D.; Xiao, W.; Apodaca, R.;
 Barbier, A.J.; Lovenberg, T.W.; Carruthers, N.I. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 897–900
- 27. Ullah, N.; Arafeh K.M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 158–160.
- Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2596.
- 29. Huisgen, R. 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry; Wiley: New York, 1984. pp 1–176.
- Brian-Jaisson, F.; Ortalo-Magne, A.; Guentas-Dombrowsky, L.; Armaougon, F.; Blache, Y.; Molmeret, M. Microbial Ecology 2014, 68, 94.
- Camps, M.; Briand, J. F.; Guentas-Dombrowsky, L.; Culioli, G.; Bazire, A.; Blache, Y. Mar. Poll. Bull. 2011, 62, 1032.
- 32. Leroy, C. ; Delbarre-Ladrat, C. ; Ghillebaert, F. ; Rochet, M. J. ; Compère, C. ; Combes, D. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 2007, 44, 372.
- Ortlepp, S.; Sjo, M.; Dahlstro, M.; Weber, H.; Ebel, R.; Edrada, A.; Thoms, C.; Schupp,
 P.; Bohlin, L.; Proksch, P. Marine Biotech. 2007, 9, 776–785.

Ps ammaplin A

