

Efficiency of invertebrate-based bioassessment for evaluating the ecological status of streams along a gradient of flow intermittence

Julie Crabot, Sylvain Dolédec, Maxence Forcellini, T. Datry

▶ To cite this version:

Julie Crabot, Sylvain Dolédec, Maxence Forcellini, T. Datry. Efficiency of invertebrate-based bioassessment for evaluating the ecological status of streams along a gradient of flow intermittence. Ecological Indicators, 2021, 133, pp.108440. 10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.108440. hal-03485952

HAL Id: hal-03485952 https://hal.science/hal-03485952

Submitted on 8 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1470160X21011055 Manuscript_a9f0274251e31584a68dd2ee4d88be73

1	Efficiency of invertebrate	-based bioassessment	t for evaluating t	he ecological status of
---	----------------------------	----------------------	--------------------	-------------------------

2 streams along a gradient of flow intermittence

- 3
- 4
- 5 Julie Crabot^{1,2}, Sylvain Dolédec³, Maxence Forcellini¹, Thibault Datry¹
- 6
- ⁷ ¹ INRAE, UR RiverLy, Centre de Lyon-Villeurbanne, France
- 8 ² Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, GEOLAB, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France
- ³ Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, ENTPE, UMR 5023 LEHNA, F-69622,
- 10 Villeurbanne, France
- 11
- 12 Corresponding author: julie.crabot@gmx.fr

13 Abstract

14 Intermittent rivers and ephemeral streams (IRES) represent the majority of the global river network, support high biodiversity and provide multiple ecosystem services. However, they 15 are being degraded at alarming rates, and it may be questioned whether current invertebrate-16 based biomonitoring protocols, which were designed for rivers and streams that flow all year 17 round, are also appropriate for assessing the ecological status of IRES. Here, we test for the 18 19 first time the efficiency of the French invertebrate-based biomonitoring indicators to assess the ecological status of intermittent rivers downstream six sewage treatment plants along a 20 gradient of flow intermittence (i.e. the percentage of a year without flow at a given site). We 21 22 found that the effects of drying confounded with that of sewage and in most cases, current biomonitoring metrics did not distinguish between the effect of sewage and those of drying. 23 Except for the taxonomic richness of invertebrates resistant to drying, all metrics decreased 24 25 linearly with increasing flow intermittence. In addition, the efficiency for detecting sewage effects was lower at intermittent reaches compared to perennial reaches. Last, we identified 26 27 biological traits responding to flow intermittence, but not to sewage effluents, and we further discuss the implication for traits-based monitoring. If current biomonitoring protocols 28 calibrated for perennial rivers could still be applied to reaches prone to weak flow 29 30 intermittence, alternatives are urgently needed for integrating intermittent rivers into current biomonitoring national programs. 31

32

33 Keywords

34 Traits-based approaches; I2M2; biomonitoring; ecological status; drought; temporary rivers

35 **1. Introduction**

36 In the Anthropocene, water management relies on biomonitoring approaches to assess the responses of aquatic ecosystems to the multiple anthropogenic stressors they are facing (Reid 37 et al., 2019). Accordingly, international legislations such as the Water Framework Directive 38 in Europe (European Commission, 2000) or the Clean Water Act in the USA (Environmental 39 Law Institute, 2012) call for biomonitoring to assess ecological quality of rivers and streams. 40 The use of biological signatures to assess human impairment in streams has a long tradition in 41 freshwater ecology (e.g. reviews in Dolédec and Statzner, 2010 and Friberg et al., 2011) and 42 benthic macroinvertebrates are one of the most widely used aquatic organisms for achieving 43 44 such assessments (see e.g. Bonada et al., 2006; Rosenberg and Resh, 1993). To date, current biomonitoring approaches are based almost exclusively on aquatic communities collected 45 from perennial rivers and streams (Reyjol et al., 2014; Seaman et al., 2016; Stubbington et al., 46 47 2018) and suggested alternatives for intermittent and ephemeral rivers remain rare and are not integrated into national efforts (Leigh et al., 2013; Prat et al., 2014; Steward et al., 2018). 48 Intermittent rivers and ephemeral streams, hereafter IRES, are defined as every rivers and 49 streams that cease to flow and/or dry at some points in space and time (Datry et al., 2014). 50 Many authors have questioned the suitability of current biomonitoring approaches in IRES 51 52 (Mazor et al., 2014; Munné and Prat, 2011; Prat et al., 2014; Reyjol et al., 2014; Steward et al., 2018; Stubbington et al., 2018; Wilding et al., 2018). However, only a few studies tested 53 the applicability of the current macroinvertebrate-based biomonitoring approaches for 54 55 intermittent rivers. For example, Morais et al. (2004) showed that the impact of a sewage treatment plant was not detectable in an intermittent stream in Portugal. In addition, a review 56 57 by Stubbington et al. (2018) suggested that current biomonitoring approaches needed to be refined to be efficient for IRES. Recently, a global quantification of the prevalence of non-58 perennial rivers indicates that IRES comprise the majority of the river channels on Earth and 59

might represent the norm rather than the exception (Messager et al., 2021). Such a shift in
paradigm thus calls for assessing urgently whether current biomonitoring approaches can
apply to IRES and if not, the limitations in their use or interpretation can be identified and
corrected to accurately reflect the ecological status of the river networks facing the
Anthropocene.

Both short- and long-term effects of drying on aquatic communities are relatively well 65 66 understood due to the exponential number of dedicated studies through the past decade (e.g. Busch et al., 2020; Leigh et al., 2016). Across climatic and biogeographic zones, aquatic 67 68 alpha-diversity (i.e. the local taxonomic richness at a given location) consistently decreases 69 when annual flow intermittence (i.e. the percentage of a year without flow at a given site) increases (Belmar et al., 2019; Datry et al., 2014; England et al., 2019; Gauthier et al., 2020). 70 Immediately upon drying, taxa without adaptations to cope with desiccation are eliminated 71 locally due to death or migration towards perennial refuges within the landscape (Bogan et al., 72 2015; Datry et al., 2014). The longer the dry event, the smaller the remaining pool of resistant 73 74 taxa on the surface (Bogan et al., 2013; Datry, 2012; Pařil et al., 2019) and subsurface (Storey and Quinn, 2013; Stubbington et al., 2016; Stubbington and Datry, 2013) sediments. Upon 75 rewetting, the arrival of species with high dispersal abilities from refuges also promotes 76 community recovery (Bogan et al., 2015; Datry et al., 2014). With increased drying duration, 77 the distance to refuges tends to increase, thus limiting the recolonization of previously dry 78 sites (Cañedo-Argüelles et al., 2015; Crabot et al., 2020; Gauthier et al., 2020; Sarremejane et 79 al., 2017b). As a result, legacy effects of drying occur even a long time after rewetting and 80 local taxonomic diversity is generally much lower than that of adjacent perennial reaches 81 82 (Crabot et al., 2021b; Datry, 2012; Gauthier et al., 2020; Soria et al., 2017). Previous studies reported that such taxa loss at intermittent sites was associated with a lower functional 83 84 diversity compared to perennial sites (B-Béres et al., 2019; Schriever et al., 2015). However,

because biological trait combinations can be expressed by many taxa or by only a few taxa in
a local community, functional diversity response to flow intermittence might sometimes differ
from the taxonomic patterns. As such, flow intermittence might reduce taxonomic diversity
between intermittent and perennial reaches more importantly than functional diversity (Crabot
et al., 2021a; Vander Vorste et al., 2016).

The effects of water quality degradation may confound with the natural responses of 90 91 macroinvertebrate communities to drying (Soria et al., 2020). A large majority of the taxa used as indicators, i.e. with high sensitivity to water quality degradation such as 92 93 Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera (EPT) are those that are also primarily affected by 94 drying. For example, the reduction of lateral hydrological connectivity associated with drought leads to a decline in EPT richness (Bonada et al., 2006b). Moreover, the number of 95 EPT taxa differs between permanent and intermittent reference streams (Bogan et al., 2013; 96 Datry, 2012; Straka et al., 2019). In contrast, some taxa known to be resistant to desiccation 97 and widespread in IRES, such as Odonata, Coleoptera and Heteroptera (OCH), but also 98 99 Oligochaeta and Diptera (Bonada et al., 2006b; Datry, 2012) are more tolerant to different types of water quality degradation, including water pollution (Chang et al., 2014). For 100 101 example, looking at the 38 indicators taxa used in the French IBG-DCE for their sensitivity to 102 water quality impairment, the only Coleoptera considered are Elmidae and are given a sensitivity of 2 (out of a maximal sensitivity of 9; AFNOR, 2004). Neither Heteroptera nor 103 Odonata are considered in this list. Consequently, we expect a poor success of current 104 105 biomonitoring approaches in the context of flow intermittence (Kalogianni et al., 2017; Soria 106 et al., 2020; Stubbington et al., 2018) since both the number of taxa and taxa showing 107 sensitivity to water quality degradation should decrease with increasing water quality degradation and flow intermittence (Fig. 1a). In turn, the absence of relationships between the 108 109 measured biological scores and the ecological status may occur in case of flow intermittence,

- contrary to what is observed in perennial rivers (Munné et al., 2021; Soria et al., 2020) (Fig.
 1b). However, as the responses to flow intermittence are known to be linear (e.g. Datry et al.
 2014), an effective operational space for weakly intermittent rivers and streams could allow
 using current biomonitoring approaches (Fig. 1b).
- 114



Figure 1. Conceptualized responses of communities to water quality degradation and flow intermittence (a.) and expected biomonitoring scores and performance in case of perennial and intermittent flow regimes (b.). In grey, the area that could represent an operational space along which biomonitoring approaches developed for perennial rivers could be applicable to intermittent rivers.

In this study, we quantified the structural and functional community responses to six point-122 123 source of sewage along with a gradient of flow intermittence (0 to 60% within a year). We tested the hypothesis that current macroinvertebrate-based biomonitoring protocols were not 124 125 able to infer the ecological status of naturally intermittent rivers. This is because the effect of drying on communities confounds with those of sewage pollution. Therefore, we first 126 expected that impacted sites (downstream of effluents) located in intermittent reaches would 127 128 have similar communities to that observed upstream of effluents. Thus, biomonitoring scores 129 would not differ between downstream and upstream sites in the context of flow intermittence, contrary to perennial reaches. Second, we expected the capacity of current macroinvertebrate-130 131 based biomonitoring protocols to decrease with increasing flow intermittence, as taxonomic and functional richness are likely to decrease linearly with flow intermittence. Third, we 132 anticipated that some traits should be favored by flow intermittence and some by water 133 quality degradation. To unravel the underlying mechanisms, we identified how biotic and 134 functional traits groups varied with flow intermittence and which one warranted further study 135 over the long-term for establishing robust indicators of intermittent rivers quality. 136

137

138 **2.** Materials and methods

139 *2.1. Study sites*

The Albarine River is naturally intermittent draining a 313km² catchment located in the temperate eastern France (**Fig. 2a**). The upper section of the Albarine flows for 45 km on a karstic plateau and through gorges, where several reaches are intermittent due to karstic infiltrations, mostly occurring during the summer periods (Gauthier et al., 2020). Then, the Albarine flows over a 15-km long alluvial plain to finally end in the Ain River, a tributary of the upper Rhône. Surface water continuously infiltrates into the underneath aquifer due to its alluvial plain underlain by thick (>36 m) and highly permeable (from 2.10^{-2} to 7.10^{-2} m s⁻¹)

sediments and because the river is generally perched 1-14 m above the regional aquifer water 147 table along this section (Datry, 2012; Larned et al., 2011). As a result, this section dries 148 completely during summer, when rainfall cannot compensate for transmission losses into the 149 aquifer (Datry, 2012; Gauthier et al., 2020; Larned et al., 2010). Estimated surface water 150 losses to the aquifer range from 0.85 to 2.5 m³ s⁻¹ (Datry, 2012). 151 In the upper section, depending on the winter snowpack and spring rainfall, drying can occur 152 throughout spring and summer, following a very patchy spatial pattern with high temporal 153 154 dynamism. Drying events start downstream of the lower sections during spring, at the confluence with the Ain River, and the drying front progressively moves upstream throughout 155 156 the summer period (Datry, 2012). Flow resumption along the entire river occurs generally at late autumn/early winter (Datry, 2012; Larned et al., 2011). Consequently, annual flow can 157 range between 0 and 60% along the Albarine River (Datry et al., 2011; Larned et al., 2010). 158

159

160 *2.2. Sampling design*

We selected 6 reaches along the Albarine course, each under the impact of a sewage treatment plant, whose effluents are directly released in the river, and considering the overall gradient of flow intermittence (hereafter FI) ranging from 0 to 60 % (**Fig. 2b**). At each reach, we selected a site located between 50 and 100m downstream from the effluent release point and an upstream site located between 50 and 200m upstream from the effluent release point (**Fig. 2b**).



Figure 2. Location of the catchment in France (a), and location of the six sewage treatment plants on the Albarine (b). The color of the circles indicates the flow intermittence at each reach (from dark blue for 0% FI to red for 60% FI) and the small black dots indicate the samplings upstream and downstream of the sewage effluents. Continuous lines represent the perennial sections of the rivers and the dotted lines represent the intermittent sections. Grey section are unknown.

175

176 *2.3. Flow intermittence estimates*

177 Flow intermittence was quantified at the 3 reaches located in the upper section using photo-

178 traps (Bushnell Trophycam®) setup for recording one photo every day at midnight and

179 midday and left in place for 2 years (January 2017 until December 2018; Gauthier et al.,

180 2020). For the 3 reaches selected in the lower section, FI was modelled for each reaches using

- the ELFMOD model (Larned et al., 2011). This model associated flow measurements at rated
- 182 water-level recorders at each end of the 45-km alluvial section to flow state (flowing or dry)
- and flow rate (point source discharge measures) at all points between the recorders. The
- model was calibrated using data from gauging runs between October 2003 and January 2016,

and validated using data collected outside of the calibration period. The empirical model was 185 used to reconstruct a 29-yr record of daily flows along the river (Rupp et al., 2008). We 186 subsequently tested the accuracy and precision of model-based estimates of FI using monthly 187 observations of flow made between October 2011 and June 2019 at the selected sampling 188 sites. Modelled FI at each reach was calculated by averaging annual estimates of flow 189 intermittence over the record. Observed FI was highly correlated with modelled FI (r = 0.95, 190 p < 0.001). The FI took into account only flowing and dry phases because the Albarine River 191 does not present phases of isolated pools, as it is often the case for alluvial rivers with porous 192 streambed sediments (Vander Vorste et al., 2016). 193

- 194
- 195

2.4. Physical and chemical measurements

The physical and chemical parameters up and downstream effluent releases were sampled at 196 the six reaches during spring (17 April 2018) and fall (19 December 2018) i.e., prior to and 197 198 after the summer drying period. Water samples and analytical measurements were carried out by the CARSO laboratory (https://www.groupecarso.com/en/) that routinely measures the 199 physical and chemical parameters of water bodies within the WFD context. At each location 200 of each reach, 4L of surface water was collected and brought back to the laboratory within 6h 201 to measure the following physical and chemical parameters according to the national French 202 standards (Rodier et al., 2016): water temperature (°C), pH, specific conductivity (µS.cm⁻¹), 203 dissolved oxygen (mg.L⁻¹), total phosphorus (mg.L⁻¹, NF EN ISO 9308-3), suspended solid 204 (mg.L⁻¹, NF EN 872), total organic carbon (mg.L⁻¹, NF EN 872), dissolved organic carbon 205 (mg.L⁻¹, NF EN 1484), biochemical demand in oxygen for 5 days (mg.L⁻¹, NF EN 1899-2), 206 chemical oxygen demand (mg.L⁻¹, ISO 15705), Kjeldahl nitrogen (mg.L⁻¹, NF EN 25663), 207 ammonium (mg.L⁻¹, NF T90-015-2), nitrates (mg.L⁻¹, NF EN ISO 10304-1), orthophosphates 208 (mg.L⁻¹, NF EN ISO 68) and nitrites (mg.L⁻¹, NF EN ISO 13395). In addition, organic 209

pollution was measured using the density of *Escherichia coli* (MPN.10 ml⁻¹, NF EN ISO
9308-3).

212

213 *2.5. Benthic macroinvertebrates*

214 *2.5.1. Sampling*

215 Benthic macroinvertebrates were sampled up and downstream effluent releases by the Eurofins Hydrobiology Consulting Company in spring (16-18th April 2018) and fall (17-19th 216 217 December 2018). Macroinvertebrate sampling was carried out following the NF T90-333 standards (AFNOR, 2016). Briefly, this consisted in the following steps. First, each sampling 218 219 site was first delineated by estimating the percentage of habitats (e.g. pool, riffles, run), percentage of substrates (e.g., sand, gravel, cobbles) and percentage of velocity ranges (e.g. 220 slow ≤ 0.5 m/s, fast > 0.5 m/s) over a length of approximately 15 times the mean wetted 221 222 width. This allowed estimating the variety and relative abundance of habitats separated into marginal (\leq 5% of the overall sampled location area) and dominant (>5% of the overall 223 224 sampled location area) substrates. Then, 12 samples were collected up and downstream effluent releases at each reach using a Surber sample $(1/20 \text{ m}^2, 500 \mu \text{m} \text{ mesh size})$ and they 225 were separated into 3 phases: 4 samples into the marginal habitats, 4 samples into the 226 dominant habitats taken in decreasing order of carrying capacity (from the more biogenic – 227 bryophytes, to the last biogenic – artificial uniform surfaces), and 4 complementary samples 228 into the dominant habitats considering their relative proportion over the sampling site. 229 Samples were washed in the field with the coarsest material (sediment, wood) cleaned with a 230 brush and put back in the river. All macroinvertebrates were preserved in 96% ethanol and 231 brought back to the laboratory within the day. Finally, all organisms of each sample were 232 counted and identified to the lowest practical taxonomic level. Most insects and all mollusks 233

were identified to genus or species levels, and crustaceans, annelids and mites to family, order
or genus levels following the French standard XP T90-388 (AFNOR, 2010).

236 *2.5.2. Biological indices*

Two biotic indexes are currently implemented at the national scale to assess stream 237 impairment in France: the so-called French biotic index (abbreviated IBG-DCE for "Indice 238 Biologique Global Directive Cadre sur l'Eau"; AFNOR, 2016, 2010, 2004) and the French 239 invertebrate multimetric index (abbreviated I2M2 for "Indice Invertébrés Multi-Métrique"; 240 Mondy et al., 2012). The IBG-DCE enables quantifying the impairment of the water 241 chemistry and the hydromorphological characteristics of a reach by considering the taxonomic 242 243 richness (TR, grouped into classes ranging from 1 to 14) and the sensitivity of 38 specific indicator taxa (distributed into 9 indicator groups or IG) to water quality impairment. IBG-244 DCE is computed as: TR + IG - 1 (with IBG-DCE >20 set to 20). IBG-DCE values varies 245 246 between 1 (low quality) and 20 (high quality). The categories of ecological status for the IBG-DCE are bad (note of 0-5), poor (5-9), fair (9-12), good (12-14) and high (14-20). The I2M2 247 248 is made up of 3 elementary metrics considering the sensitivity to anthropogenic disturbance: 249 Average Score Per Taxon or ASPT, relative abundance of ovoviviparous and multivoltine taxa in the assemblage; and 2 metrics describing the taxonomic assemblage structure: 250 251 Shannon diversity and taxonomic richness. The I2M2 is compatible with the requirements of the Water Framework Directive, as it considers the stream reach typology, includes the 252 calculation of a deviation from a reference state and is expressed in Ecological Quality Ratio 253 from 1 (assemblage conforming to the reference) to 0 (completely disturbed). According to 254 the classification rules for the Albarine, the categories of ecological status for the I2M2 values 255 are bad (<0.118), poor (0.118-0.236), fair (0.236-0.354), good (0.354-0.605) and high 256 (>0.605). It should be finally noted that IBG-DCE invertebrates uses the family level as the 257 finest level of identification whereas for I2M2 it is the genus. 258

259 2.5.3 Biological traits

The biological traits were extracted from the European freshwater invertebrate database 260 (Tachet et al., 2010). We considered 10 traits: maximal size, life span, number of generations 261 262 per year, types of reproduction, forms of resistance, types of respiration, dispersal, types of locomotion, food and feeding habits. In this database, traits are divided into categories and are 263 quantified using fuzzy coding (Chevenet et al., 1994), which consists in giving an affinity 264 score to a given trait category, between 0 and 3 (maximal size, life span, number of 265 generations per year, types of reproduction, forms of resistance, types of respiration, dispersal, 266 267 types of locomotion) or 0 and 5 (food and feeding habits), for each taxon. Fuzzy coding enables the compilation of information from various sources and accounts for within-taxon 268 269 variations.

270 2.6. Data analysis

To quantify the effects of the sewage treatment plants, we compared the concentrations in 271 272 nutrients and associated parameters between downstream and upstream effluent releases. For each physical and chemical parameter, we calculated the difference between the downstream 273 and upstream values at each reach and each season. Positive values indicated a higher 274 degradation caused by sewage effluents. For each physical and chemical parameter, we tested 275 if the mean difference was significantly greater than zero using unilateral paired t-tests. To 276 assess the efficiency of the overall family richness, IBG-DCE and I2M2 at detecting water 277 278 quality degradation, we used a mixed-effect model with sewage (up or downstream effluent releases), season (spring vs. fall) and their interaction as fixed effects, and reaches as random 279 effects. The significance of mixed-effect models was evaluated by a comparison with its 280 associated null model using likelihood-ratio tests. All mixed-effect models were computed 281 with the function 'lmer' of package lme4 (Bates et al., 2019). We checked whether the 282 random effects were significant using the function 'rand' of package lmerTest (Kuznetsova et 283

al., 2020). We performed four separate analyses considering overall, EPT, OCH, and
Gastropods, Oligochaeta, and Diptera (GOLD) richness. These groups were chosen because
they are known to respond differently to FI (e.g. Bonada et al., 2006b; Datry et al., 2014).

We tested our first hypothesis on the negative influence of FI on family richness, IBG-DCE and I2M2 by performing a multiple linear regression with FI and season (spring vs. fall) as explanatory variables.

291

To test our second hypothesis that the severity of flow intermittence would impact the 292 293 efficiency of pollution detection, we ran the same tests only on sites upstream effluent releases. We also computed the differences (hereafter delta) in family richness, IBG-DCE and 294 I2M2 between up and downstream effluent releases at each reach and each sampling date. If 295 296 sewage effects were detected, we would expect positive delta values for OCH and GOLD taxa richness, but negative delta values for the overall family richness, EPT richness, and for the 297 298 IBG-DCE and I2M2 metrics. We assessed the effect of FI on these delta values with a 299 multiple linear regression, using FI and season (spring vs. fall) as explanatory variables.

300

301 To further analyze how intermittence influenced our ability to detect the effects of sewage on biota, we calculated the absolute difference in abundance between up and downstream 302 effluent releases for each taxon. These delta-abundances were log-transformed to reduce the 303 304 disparities between taxa. We performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the log-305 delta abundance values using the 'dudi.pca' function of package ade4 (Dray et al., 2007; Thioulouse et al., 2018). The effect of flow regime (FR; perennial vs. intermittent) and season 306 on the taxon delta-abundances was assessed by running Monte-Carlo tests on the proportion 307 of variance explained between groups (with groups determined either by FR or by season; 308

309	Dolédec and Chessel, 1987) using the functions 'bca' and 'randtest' of package ade4. These
310	patterns were further tested by a two-way ANOVA on the mean log-delta abundance values
311	of all taxa for each reach and each season, with FR, season and their interaction as
312	explanatory variables.
313	
314	Finally, to test our third hypothesis on the influence of sewage and FI on the trait combination
315	in communities, we calculated the Community Weighted Mean (CWM) array using the
316	'functcomp' function of package FD (Laliberté et al., 2014). Then, we computed a two-way
317	ANOVA on the CWM values of each trait category, using sewage (up and downstream
318	effluent releases), FR (intermittent vs. perennial) and their interaction as explanatory
319	variables.
320	All analyses were performed using the R software (R Core Team, 2021).
321	3. Results
322	3.1. Flow and physical and chemical characteristics of the studied reaches
322 323	3.1. Flow and physical and chemical characteristics of the studied reachesOur dataset comprised two perennial reaches and four intermittent reaches, the latter drying
322 323 324	3.1. Flow and physical and chemical characteristics of the studied reachesOur dataset comprised two perennial reaches and four intermittent reaches, the latter dryingfrom 30% to 60% of the time within a year (Table 1). The difference between up and
322 323 324 325	 3.1. Flow and physical and chemical characteristics of the studied reaches Our dataset comprised two perennial reaches and four intermittent reaches, the latter drying from 30% to 60% of the time within a year (Table 1). The difference between up and downstream effluent releases values for all physical and chemical parameters were strictly
322 323 324 325 326	 3.1. Flow and physical and chemical characteristics of the studied reaches Our dataset comprised two perennial reaches and four intermittent reaches, the latter drying from 30% to 60% of the time within a year (Table 1). The difference between up and downstream effluent releases values for all physical and chemical parameters were strictly positive in 64% of all reaches and seasons. The average difference was positive for total
 322 323 324 325 326 327 	 3.1. Flow and physical and chemical characteristics of the studied reaches Our dataset comprised two perennial reaches and four intermittent reaches, the latter drying from 30% to 60% of the time within a year (Table 1). The difference between up and downstream effluent releases values for all physical and chemical parameters were strictly positive in 64% of all reaches and seasons. The average difference was positive for total organic carbon, dissolved organic carbon, total phosphorus and orthophosphate (Appendix A).
 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 	 3.1. Flow and physical and chemical characteristics of the studied reaches Our dataset comprised two perennial reaches and four intermittent reaches, the latter drying from 30% to 60% of the time within a year (Table 1). The difference between up and downstream effluent releases values for all physical and chemical parameters were strictly positive in 64% of all reaches and seasons. The average difference was positive for total organic carbon, dissolved organic carbon, total phosphorus and orthophosphate (Appendix A). This indicates that the sewage treatment plants altered the water quality of the stream. In
 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 	3.1. Flow and physical and chemical characteristics of the studied reaches Our dataset comprised two perennial reaches and four intermittent reaches, the latter drying from 30% to 60% of the time within a year (Table 1). The difference between up and downstream effluent releases values for all physical and chemical parameters were strictly positive in 64% of all reaches and seasons. The average difference was positive for total organic carbon, dissolved organic carbon, total phosphorus and orthophosphate (Appendix A). This indicates that the sewage treatment plants altered the water quality of the stream. In contrast, the average differences between up and downstream effluent releases values were

Reaches	Distance to the source (km)	FR	FI (%)
Brenod	7.2	Perennial	0
Champdor	11.0	Intermittent	60
Hauteville	19.3	Intermittent	50
Chaley	23.7	Perennial	0
Bettant	74.5	Intermittent	30
Amberieu en Bugey	51.6	Intermittent	40

Table 1. Hydrological characteristics of each sampled reach. FR stands for 'flow regime', FIstands for 'flow intermittence'.

335

336 *3.2. Biological indices*

A weak difference between up and downstream effluent releases values occurred only for the 337 GOLD richness (about 1 taxa) (Table 2). In contrast, all biological metrics decreased strongly 338 with increasing FI except the OCH and GOLD taxa richness (Table 3, Fig. 3). This holds 339 340 when considering samples made only upstream effluent releases for GOLD taxa richness, which was also influenced by season (Tables 2, 3). Except for OCH taxa richness, the delta 341 values between up and downstream effluent releases did not respond to the FI gradient (Table 342 3). We found a higher number of OCH taxa down than upstream effluent releases for the more 343 intermittent reaches. Last, all variables were lower in fall compared to spring (Table 2). 344 345 A two-way ANOVA with FR (intermittent or perennial) and season (spring or fall) as factors 346 347 indicated that two delta values responded to season (Table 4, Fig. 4). For instance, GOLD taxa were more numerous up than downstream effluent releases during spring (delta value: -348

 1.20 ± 2.17) and it was the opposite during fall (1.67 ± 1.21). The IBG-DCE was slightly higher

Degradation					Season						Interaction			
Variable	Mean upstream	Mean downstream	df	Chi2	p-value	Mean spring	Mean fall	df	Chi2	p-value	df	Chi2	p-value	
Family richness	25(±8)	27(±7)	2	0.61	0.738	30(±6)	22(±7)	2	10.12	0.006 **	1	0.04	0.838	
EPT richness	6(±2)	5(±3)	2	4.57	0.102	7(±2)	4(±2)	2	15.39	0.000 ***	1	0.63	0.427	
OCH richnes	4(±2)	5(±3)	2	1.88	0.391	5(±3)	3(±2)	2	7.224	0.027 *	1	0.03	0.861	
GOLD richness	9(±1)	9(±2)	2	8.21	0.016 *	9(±1)	9(±2)	2	7.751	0.021 *	1	7.22	0.007 **	
IBG-DCE	13.3(±3.4)	13.5(±3.3)	2	1.22	0.544	14.7(±2.2)	12.0(±3.8)	2	10.39	0.006 **	1	1.19	0.276	
I2M2	0.50(±0)	0.57(±0.15)	2	5.33	0.070	$0.58(\pm 0.15)$	0.48(±0.16)	2	8.851	0.012 *	1	0.04	0.838	

351 Table 2. Results of the mixed-effect models performed on the family richness for all taxa, EPT, OCH and GOLD, and biological indices IBG-

DCE and I2M2, as response variables, sewage (up vs. downstream effluent releases), season (spring vs fall) and their interaction as fixed effects, 352

and reaches as random effects. The random effect was significant for all variables except GOLD richness. EPT stands for Ephemeroptera, 353

Plecoptera, Trichoptera; OCH for Odonata, Coleoptera, Heteroptera; GOLD for Gastropods, Oligochaeta and Diptera. 'df' stands for degrees of 354 355

freedom.

		All sites			Referenc	rence sites only				Delta impacted-reference						
	Estimate	Estimate	SD	t value	p-value		Estimate	SD	t value	p-value		Estimate	SD	t value	p-value	
Family richness	Intercept	35	2	18.93	< 0.001	***	37	2	15.75	< 0.001	***	-2	3	-0.79	0.447	
	FI	-19	4	-4.45	< 0.001	***	-26	5	-5.02	0.001	**	14	7	2.06	0.069	
	Fall	-7	2	-3.74	0.001	**	-7	2	-2.90	0.018	*	-2	3	-0.52	0.614	
EPT richness	Intercept	9	1	14.33	< 0.001	***	9	1	11.03	< 0.001	***	-1	1	-0.94	0.371	
	FI	-7	1	-4.70	< 0.001	***	-7	2	-3.51	0.007	**	0	2	0.00	1.000	
	Fall	-2	1	-3.74	0.001	**	-2	1	-2.32	0.046	*	-1	1	-1.36	0.208	
OCH richness	Intercept	6	1	6.98	< 0.001	***	6	1	9.74	< 0.001	***	-1	1	-0.67	0.521	
	FI	-2	2	-1.27	0.219		-5	1	-3.66	0.005	**	6	2	2.42	0.039	*
	Fall	-2	1	-2.13	0.045	*	-2	1	-2.74	0.023	*	0	1	-0.31	0.763	
GOLD richness	Intercept	9	1	14.67	< 0.001	***	9	1	12.78	< 0.001	***	-1	1	-0.50	0.626	
	FI	-1	1	-0.97	0.345		-1	2	-0.57	0.581		-1	2	-0.48	0.640	
	Fall	0	1	0.62	0.541		-1	1	-1.32	0.219		3	1	2.40	0.040	*
IBGN	Intercept	17.7	0.7	24.15	< 0.001	***	17.5	1.3	13.58	< 0.001	***	0.62	0.88	0.70	0.500	
	FI	-10.1	1.7	-6.09	< 0.001	***	-10.5	2.9	-3.61	0.006	**	0.16	1.99	0.08	0.939	
	Fall	-2.8	0.8	-3.54	0.002	**	-2.0	1.3	-1.49	0.170		-2.17	0.92	-2.36	0.043	
I2M2	Intercept	0.72	0.04	17.36	< 0.001	***	0.79	0.05	14.61	< 0.001	***	-0.116	0.054	-2.13	0.062	
	FI	-0.46	0.09	-4.91	< 0.001	***	-0.55	0.12	-4.56	0.001	**	0.147	0.122	1.20	0.261	
	Fall	-0.10	0.04	-2.35	0.029	*	-0.10	0.06	-1.78	0.108		-0.045	0.057	-0.80	0.446	

Table 3. Results of the linear regression performed on the taxonomic richness for all taxa, EPT, OCH and GOLD, and indicators IBG-DCE and I2M2 as response variables, FI, and season (spring vs. fall) as explanatory variables. All models were computed using all sites, upstream effluent releases only, and on delta values between up and downstream effluent releases. EPT stands for Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera; OCH for Odometa, Calcontera, Unterentera; COLD for Castanada, Oliga chapter, and Dintera

361 for Odonata, Coleoptera, Heteroptera; GOLD for Gastropods, Oligochaeta and Diptera.





Figure 3. Macroinvertebrate family richness for the overall, EPT, OCH and GOLD taxa, and
for biological indices (IBG-DCE and I2M2) along a gradient of flow intermittence (noted FI).
The lines represent the significant fits of the mixed models. Circles and triangles represent
spring and fall samples respectively, and blue and green colors stand for sites upstream and
downstream releases respectively. EPT stands for Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera;
OCH for Odonata, Coleoptera, Heteroptera; GOLD for Gastropods, Oligochaeta and Diptera.

371up than downstream effluent releases during spring (0.67 ± 1.63) and greatly lower during fall372 (-1.80 ± 1.30) . Delta I2M2 values were the only values that responded to FR, with I2M2 being373always higher up than downstream effluent releases. Such a difference was higher at perennial374 (-0.160 ± 0.052) than intermittent reaches (-0.033 ± 0.067) . In addition, the I2M2 values375classified the intermittent reaches up and downstream effluent releases in "good" ecological376status, whereas perennial reaches were classified as "high", upstream effluent releases, and377"good", downstream effluent releases.

379	Four out of the 6 delta values did not respond to FI nor to FR: overall family richness, EPT
380	and GOLD family richness, and IBG-DCE (Table 4, Fig. 4). The overall delta family richness
381	equaled ~ zero or was even greater than zero (on average 0 ± 4 families), suggesting that
382	family richness was sometimes greater downstream than upstream effluent releases (Table 4,
383	Fig. 4). The delta EPT values were low (-1±1 taxa), indicating no response of this group to
384	sewage.

Delta value	Effect	Df	Mean Sq	F-value	Р	
Taxa richness	FR Season FR:Season Residuals	1 1 1 7	33 3 4 13	2.52 0.27 0.32	0.156 0.623 0.589	
EPT richness	FR Season FR:Season Residuals	1 1 1 7	0 2 1 2	0.00 1.06 0.33	0.968 0.337 0.585	
OCH richness	FR Season FR:Season Residuals	1 1 1 7	8 1 0 2	3.92 0.42 0.00	0.088 0.590 0.964	
GOLD richness	FR Season FR:Season Residuals	1 1 1 7	3 24 1 21	0.85 7.86 0.47	0.388 0.026 0.514	*
IBGN	FR Season FR:Season Residuals	1 1 1 7	0.0 16.6 0.4 2.8	0.01 5.89 0.13	0.948 0.046 0.733	*
I2M2	FR Season FR:Season Residuals	1 1 1 7	0.04 0.00 0.00 0.00	9.25 0.04 0.82	0.019 0.851 0.396	*

386

interaction on the delta values (difference between up and downstream effluent releases) of

the overall, EPT, OCH and GOLD family richness, and biological indices (IBG-DCE and

390 I2M2). EPT stands for Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera; OCH for Odonata,

391 Coleoptera, Heteroptera; GOLD for Gastropods, Oligochaeta and Diptera.

Table 4. Two-way ANOVA results showing the effects of season, flow regime (FR) and their



Figure 4. Delta (difference between up and downstream effluent releases) for the overall,
EPT, OCH and GOLD family richness and biological indicators (IBG-DCE and I2M2) in
intermittent (red) and perennial (blue) reaches. The red dashed line stands for no effect of
sewages. EPT stands for Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera; OCH for Odonata,
Coleoptera, Heteroptera; GOLD for Gastropods, Oligochaeta and Diptera.

400 *3.3. Structural responses*

- 401 The first-two axes of a PCA performed on the delta log-abundances of taxa explained 55.2%
- 402 of the total variance. The respective between-groups variance equaled 22.4%, p=0.011 for FR
- 403 (Fig. 5A), 11.2%, p=0.281 for the season (Fig. 5B) and 40.5%, p=0.049 for their combination
- 404 (Fig. 5C). The difference in abundances between up and downstream effluent releases were
- lower intermittent reaches compared to perennial reaches $(0.57\pm0.24 \text{ and } 1.03\pm0.22 \text{ and } 1.03\pm0.22$
- 406 respectively, Table 5). These differences were also lower in fall compared to spring
- 407 $(0.58\pm0.34 \text{ and } 0.87\pm0.26 \text{ respectively, Table 5}).$

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F	Р	
FR	1	0.56	0.56	22.34	0.002	**
Season	1	0.28	0.28	11.11	0.013	*
FR:Season	1	0.03	0.03	1.02	0.347	
Residuals	7	0.18	0.03			

409 Table 5. Results of a two-way ANOVA performed on the overall log-delta abundances

410 (difference between up and downstream effluent releases).

411



Figure 5. Projection of the samples upon the first two axes of a PCA performed on the logdelta abundances (absolute difference between up and downstream effluent releases) grouped
by FR (A), season (B), and both (C). I and P stands for "intermittent" and "perennial"
respectively, f and s stands for "fall" and "spring" respectively.

417

418 *3.4. Functional responses*

419 There was no difference in affinity for any trait category between up and downstream effluent releases (Appendix C), whereas affinity differed between intermittent and perennial reaches 420 421 for 11 trait categories belonging to six different traits. Perennial reaches were characterized by higher proportions of organisms with long life span, using ovoviviparity and passive aquatic 422 dispersal. They were also characterized by lower proportions of organisms that were 423 424 univoltine, with aerial active dispersal, resistance forms, using cemented clutches and feeding on living macrophytes compared to intermittent reaches (Appendix C). The affinity of only 425 one trait category differed between up and downstream effluent releases according to the FR: 426

427 at intermittent reaches, the proportion of organisms consuming dead plants (>1mm) was
428 higher upstream than downstream effluent releases at intermittent reaches and the opposite
429 was true at perennial reaches.

430

431 **4. Discussion**

In this study, we quantified the structural and functional responses to point-source sewage 432 effluents along a significant gradient of flow intermittence (FI; from 0 to 60%). As expected, 433 the effect of drying confounded with that of water quality degradation and in most cases, 434 435 current biomonitoring metrics did not distinguish between the effect of sewage and those linked to drying. As all community metrics decreased linearly with increased FI, our second 436 prediction was partly validated and current biomonitoring approaches could be appropriately 437 438 applied to reaches prone to weak flow intermittence. Last, we identified six biological traits responding to FI but not to water quality degradation. Confirming previous suggestions 439 (Morais et al., 2004; Soria et al., 2020; Stubbington et al., 2018), these results validate that 440 current biomonitoring approaches are unsuitable for application in intermittent rivers. If 441 intermittent rivers with very short (i.e. < 30 days per year) periods of drying could still be 442 443 monitored as their perennial counterparts, alternative biomonitoring approaches are needed for intermittent rivers with longer periods of drying (i.e. > 30 days per year), along with 444 additional work to define a safe operational space for the application of a standardized 445 446 biomonitoring approaches in intermittent rivers (Gallart et al., 2017; Munné et al., 2021). 447

448 4.1. Current macroinvertebrate-based biomonitoring tools are not suitable in intermittent
449 rivers

Our overarching hypothesis that the ecological status inferred by macroinvertebrate-based
biomonitoring protocols is poorly related to water quality of naturally intermittent rivers was
largely supported through several lines of circumstantial evidence. First, taxonomic richness

was not different up and downstream effluent releases, and the difference in abundances
between up and downstream effluent releases were lower at intermittent reaches compared to
perennial ones. Consequently, and not surprisingly, IBG-DCE did not differ between up and
downstream effluent releases at intermittent reaches. The I2M2 scores were slightly lower
downstream effluent releases, but this was largely due to the differences detected in perennial
reaches, and not intermittent ones.

459

We found no differences between up and downstream effluent releases in terms of the relative 460 presence of any trait category tested here. The two trait categories considered in the I2M2, 461 462 namely multivoltinism and ovoviviparity, were selected for this biomonitoring tool because they allowed a good trade-off between the discrimination efficiency, the specificity and the 463 464 stability of the metric, and because these trait categories were known to respond to changes in water quality or habitat degradation (Mondy et al., 2012). Indeed, a high number of 465 466 reproductive cycles is a resilience strategy in ecosystems prone to disturbance (Townsend and Hildrew, 1994). Ovoviviparity might prevent high egg mortality rate as they are protected 467 from harsh conditions (e.g. Dolédec and Statzner, 2008; Piscart et al., 2006), and it has been 468 469 shown to occur prominently during dry seasons (Bêche et al., 2006). However, for these two 470 trait categories we found no difference in their proportions between up and downstream effluent releases. Voltinism varied between perennial and intermittent reaches but we did not 471 472 observe higher multivoltinism at intermittent reaches, although it is sometimes considered as a strategy for aquatic macroinvertebrates to persist in the context of flow intermittence 473 (Bonada et al., 2007; Stubbington et al., 2017). The negative relationships between 474 475 ovoviviparity and FI is likely due to ovoviviparous (or partially ovoviviparous) taxa collected 476 that are highly dependent on flow permanence (e.g. Gammarus and Pisidium). Hence, using 477 ovoviviparity in biomonitoring methods in intermittent rivers might lead to unexpected patterns. In contrast, water quality degradation and drying had combined effects on the 478

proportion of organisms that feed on dead plants, which were scarce (8 taxa per sample) up 479 and downstream effluent releases of intermittent reaches, but were found in higher 480 proportions upstream effluent releases at perennial reaches (14 taxa per sample). The lack of 481 trait responses to water quality degradation suggests that even when accounting for biological 482 traits, current biomonitoring metrics (i.e. I2M2) are poorly discriminant in intermittent rivers, 483 partly due to a certain degree in the functional redundancy observed in aquatic 484 485 macroinvertebrate communities from naturally intermittent rivers due to a strong environmental filtering (Crabot et al., 2021a). While previous work highlighted that the I2M2 486 was more responsive to chemical water conditions compared to hydromorphological 487 alterations (Corneil et al., 2018), our study suggests that drying is an extreme case of flow 488 regime alteration which can hinder the detection of the effect of sewage. 489

490

491 Previous studies have suggested that applying current biomonitoring approaches in a context of flow intermittence can be challenging (e.g. Cid et al., 2020; Datry et al., 2014; Morais et 492 al., 2004; Reyjol et al., 2014; Steward et al., 2012; Stubbington et al., 2018). To our 493 knowledge, this is the first time that a dedicated study confirms this challenging issue using 494 macroinvertebrate-based metrics along a gradient of flow intermittence. More than half of the 495 496 worldwide river network is prone to flow intermittence (Messager et al., 2021), being as high as 35% in France (Beaufort et al., 2018; Snelder et al., 2013), with obviously profound 497 implications in terms of management in the context of the Water Framework Directive in 498 Europe. Many water bodies can be considered as in poor or mediocre state while they are in 499 500 fact in a good ecological status. For example, in our study, the abundance of indicator species including species from several EPT families such as Odontoceridae (Trichoptera), 501 Chloroperlidae and Capniidae (Plecoptera) decreased with pollution in perennial reaches but 502 not in intermittent reaches. 503

4.2. Defining an operational spaces for assessing the ecological status of intermittent rivers 504 Our second prediction was only partly supported, which suggests that identifying thresholds 505 in FI for shifting from current macroinvertebrate-based biomonitoring approaches to some 506 507 alternative is not straightforward. Confirming previous studies carried out in other intermittent rivers (e.g. Crabot et al., 2021a; Datry et al., 2014; Piano et al., 2020), we found a decrease in 508 taxonomic richness, the number of EPT taxa, and the two French biomonitoring indices with 509 510 increasing FI. However, such relationships were significant only upstream effluent releases; we found a linear effect as shown by others (e.g. Datry et al., 2014) but our limited number of 511 reaches (n=6) may hinder the identification of tipping-points in those relationships. This 512 513 indicates thresholds in FI may be difficult to identify and suggests that defining safe operational spaces for applying current macroinvertebrate-based biomonitoring approaches in 514 intermittent rivers may be a difficult task. By the way, downstream effluent releases, the 515 516 combined effects of sewage and FI hindered these relationships.

517

We also found a strong temporal variability in community responses to FI and sewage, 518 519 corroborating the view of intermittent rivers as being highly dynamic (Datry et al., 2016; Sarremejane et al., 2017a), which makes the assessment of the ecological status of these 520 521 prevalent ecosystems even more complex. The delta values in taxonomic richness, number of OCH taxa and the IBG-DCE were higher in fall, after the dry season compared to the spring 522 pre-drying season, in accordance with previous results showing difference in biomonitoring 523 524 scores between seasons on intermittent streams in reference conditions (Munné and Prat, 2011). This is most likely due to legacy effects of drying events on aquatic communities and 525 processes, as recovery upon rewetting can take several months when observed (e.g., Datry, 526 2012; Piano et al., 2020). However, even in spring, before the dry season, the persisting effect 527 of drying was still too strong to allow detection of the effects of sewage effluents. 528

Nevertheless, in some cases, the organic pollution was dramatic, with as high as 9 mg/L of 529 530 DOC and up to 31133 MPN/100mL for E. coli (respectively twice and 26 times higher than the values found upstream effluent releases of the same reach). But even in this case, the 531 532 responses of community and biomonitoring metrics were not different downstream compared to upstream the effluent release due to the drying regime of the reach. These results suggest 533 that when applied before the drying season, current macroinvertebrate-based biomonitoring 534 535 approaches are poorly applicable to naturally intermittent rivers, which echoes some recent calls to develop alternative approaches (e.g. Cid et al., 2020; Stubbington et al., 2018), either 536 focusing on aquatic biotic groups adapted to desiccation (e.g. using biological traits, Soria et 537 538 al., 2020), terrestrial and semi-aquatic organisms (e.g. riparian arthropods, Steward et al., 2018) or including regional processes to set up dynamic references (Cid et al., 2020). 539

540

541 For those bioindicators based on a reference condition such as the I2M2 (Mondy et al., 2012), a first step could be to include new references in the current classification that would be 542 543 representative of the effect of flow intermittence across ecoregions. Another path would be to better take into account the temporal dynamic of these ecosystems (Cid et al., 2020). 544 Confirming previous observations, our results highlighted the high temporal variation of 545 546 communities at reaches prone to drying and its influence on biomonitoring methods (Crabot et al., 2020; Leigh et al., 2019; Munné and Prat, 2011). As such, future models built to 547 describe reference conditions at intermittent reaches should include replicates of biotic and 548 549 abiotic samples at different seasons to capture the temporal variability of community composition and avoid underestimating the diversity at these locations (Cid et al., 2020; 550 551 Sarremejane et al., 2017a). This could be especially important in Mediterranean headwater streams with regular phases of stagnant disconnected pools, which greatly differ from flowing 552 and dry phases (Bonada et al., 2020; Gallart et al., 2017). This may be challenging because 553

the ideal biomonitoring tools should be designed according to 12 major criteria among with
the implementation comprising: low costs for sampling and sorting (field approaches), simple
sampling protocol and low cost for taxa identifications (no specialists in taxonomy required)
(Bonada et al., 2006a). Therefore, assessing the ecological status of intermittent rivers will
surely involve a shift in paradigm.

559

560 Many formerly perennial rivers are becoming intermittent (Döll and Schmied, 2012; Messager et al., 2021), raising the question whether current biomonitoring tools would also 561 fail in such novel-ecosystems (sensu Hobbs et al., 2013). Whereas we are unaware of 562 563 empirical data that could help to address this key question, our results suggest that current macroinvertebrate-based approaches would be even less adequate in artificially intermittent 564 rivers, at least during the first times following the change in flow regime. This is because in 565 566 such contexts, a dramatic decrease in the number of species is expected, along with cascading effects on functional traits due to poor functional redundancy (Aspin et al., 2019; Crabot et 567 568 al., 2021a). The key question is to determine the trajectory of communities in newly-569 intermittent rivers, which remain unknown (Crabot et al., 2021b). If community trajectories converge gradually towards those from naturally intermittent rivers, our results indicate 570 571 substantial improvements will be needed to accurately assess the ecological status of rivers and streams prone to drying. If these trajectories take a different path, we are today unable to 572 foresee which biomonitoring tools will be needed and call for a research agenda taking into 573 574 account the alterations of flow regimes and appearance of hybrid ecosystems (sensu Hobbs et al., 2013) in the Anthropocene era. 575

577 Acknowledgements

- 578 We thank A. Mustarda for her early analyses of the dataset in the context of her Master
- 579 degree. This work was performed under the auspice of the EUR H2O'Lyon (ANR-17-EURE-
- 580 0018) of Université de Lyon (UdL) within the program "Investissements d'Avenir" operated
- 581 by the French National Research Agency (ANR). We thanks A. Laini and R. Vander Vorste
- 582 for their meaningful comments on a previous version of the manuscript.

583 Funding

- 584 This work was funded by the Rhône Mediterranean & Corsica Water Agency through the
- program "Biomonitoring of intermittent rivers". TD and MF were supported by the H2020
- 586 European Research and Innovation action Grant Agreement N°869226 (DRYvER).

587 Author contributions

- 588 Julie Crabot: Formal analysis, Writing Original Draft. Sylvain Dolédec: Formal analysis,
- 589 Writing Original Draft. Maxence Forcellini: Formal analysis. Thibault Datry:
- 590 Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing Original Draft.

591 **Competing interest**

592 The authors have no competing interest to declare.

593 Data availability

- 594 Data will be available on Dryad at the temporary location:
- 595 https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.kprr4xh5w

596 **References**

- AFNOR, 2016. Macroinvertébrés : Prélèvement en cours d'eau peu profond (No. NF T90-333).
 AFNOR.
- AFNOR, 2010. Qualité de l'eau -Traitement au laboratoire d'échantillons contenant des macroinvertébrés de cours d'eau. (No. XP T90-388). AFNOR.
- AFNOR, 2004. Qualité de l'eau Détermination de l'indice biologique global normalisé (IBGN) (No. NF
 T90-350). AFNOR.
- Aspin, T.W.H., Khamis, K., Matthews, T.J., Milner, A.M., O'Callaghan, M.J., Trimmer, M., Woodward,
 G., Ledger, M.E., 2019. Extreme drought pushes stream invertebrate communities over
 functional thresholds. Global Change Biology 25, 230–244.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14495

- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., Christensen, R.H.B., Singmann, H., Dai, B., Scheipl, F.,
 Grothendieck, G., Green, P., Fox, J., 2019. Ime4: Linear Mixed-Effects Models using "Eigen"
 and S4.
- B-Béres, V., Tóthmérész, B., Bácsi, I., Borics, G., Abonyi, A., Tapolczai, K., Rimet, F., Bouchez, Á.,
 Várbíró, G., Török, P., 2019. Autumn drought drives functional diversity of benthic diatom
 assemblages of continental intermittent streams. Advances in Water Resources 126, 129–
 136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2019.02.010
- Beaufort, A., Lamouroux, N., Pella, H., Datry, T., Sauquet, E., 2018. Extrapolating regional probability
 of drying of headwater streams using discrete observations and gauging networks. Hydrology
 and Earth System Sciences 22, 3033–3051. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-3033-2018
- Bêche, L.A., Mcelravy, E.P., Resh, V.H., 2006. Long-term seasonal variation in the biological traits of
 benthic-macroinvertebrates in two Mediterranean-climate streams in California, U.S.A.
 Freshwater Biology 51, 56–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2005.01473.x
- Belmar, O., Bruno, D., Guareschi, S., Mellado-Díaz, A., Millán, A., Velasco, J., 2019. Functional
 responses of aquatic macroinvertebrates to flow regulation are shaped by natural flow
 intermittence in Mediterranean streams. Freshwater Biology 64, 1064–1077.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13289
- Bogan, M.T., Boersma, K.S., Lytle, D.A., 2015. Resistance and resilience of invertebrate communities
 to seasonal and supraseasonal drought in arid-land headwater streams. Freshwater Biology
 60, 2547–2558. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12522
- Bogan, M.T., Boersma, K.S., Lytle, D.A., 2013. Flow intermittency alters longitudinal patterns of
 invertebrate diversity and assemblage composition in an arid-land stream network.
 Freshwater Biology 58, 1016–1028. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12105
- Bonada, N., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Gallart, F., Von Schiller, D., Fortuño, P., Latron, J., Llorens, P.,
 Múrria, C., Soria, M., Vinyoles, D., Cid, N., 2020. Conservation and Management of Isolated
 Pools in Temporary Rivers. Water 12, 2870. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12102870
- Bonada, N., Dolédec, S., Statzner, B., 2007. Taxonomic and biological trait differences of stream
 macroinvertebrate communities between mediterranean and temperate regions:
 implications for future climatic scenarios. Global Change Biology 13, 1658–1671.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01375.x
- Bonada, N., Prat, N., Resh, V.H., Statzner, B., 2006a. DEVELOPMENTS IN AQUATIC INSECT
 BIOMONITORING: A Comparative Analysis of Recent Approaches. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 51,
 495–523. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.51.110104.151124
- Bonada, N., Rieradevall, M., Prat, N., Resh, V.H., 2006b. Benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages and
 macrohabitat connectivity in Mediterranean-climate streams of northern California. jnbs 25,
 32–43. https://doi.org/10.1899/0887-3593(2006)25[32:BMAAMC]2.0.CO;2
- Busch, M.H., Costigan, K.H., Fritz, K.M., Datry, T., Krabbenhoft, C.A., Hammond, J.C., Zimmer, M.,
 Olden, J.D., Burrows, R.M., Dodds, W.K., Boersma, K.S., Shanafield, M., Kampf, S.K., Mims,
 M.C., Bogan, M.T., Ward, A.S., Perez Rocha, M., Godsey, S., Allen, G.H., Blaszczak, J.R., Jones,
 C.N., Allen, D.C., 2020. What's in a Name? Patterns, Trends, and Suggestions for Defining
- 647 Non-Perennial Rivers and Streams. Water 12, 1980. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12071980
 648 Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Boersma, K.S., Bogan, M.T., Olden, J.D., Phillipsen, I., Schriever, T.A., Lytle,
 649 D.A., 2015. Dispersal strength determines meta-community structure in a dendritic riverine
 650 network. J. Biogeogr. 42, 778–790. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12457
- Chang, F.-H., Lawrence, J.E., Rios-Touma, B., Resh, V.H., 2014. Tolerance values of benthic
 macroinvertebrates for stream biomonitoring: assessment of assumptions underlying scoring
 systems worldwide. Environ Monit Assess 186, 2135–2149. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661013-3523-6
- 655 Chevenet, F., Dolédec, S., Chessel, D., 1994. A fuzzy coding approach for the analysis of long-term
 656 ecological data. Freshwater Biology 31, 295–309. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365657 2427.1994.tb01742.x

- Cid, N., Bonada, N., Heino, J., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Crabot, J., Sarremejane, R., Soininen, J.,
 Stubbington, R., Datry, T., 2020. A Metacommunity Approach to Improve Biological
 Assessments in Highly Dynamic Freshwater Ecosystems. BioScience 70, 427–438.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa033
- Corneil, D., Villeneuve, B., Piffady, J., Chandesris, A., Usseglio-Polatera, P., Souchon, Y., 2018.
 Introducing nested spatial scales in multi-stress models: towards better assessment of
 human impacts on river ecosystems. Hydrobiologia 806, 347–361.
- 665 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-017-3374-1
- 666 Crabot, J., Heino, J., Launay, B., Datry, T., 2020. Drying determines the temporal dynamics of stream
 667 invertebrate structural and functional beta diversity. Ecography 43, 620–635.
 668 https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.04835
- Crabot, J., Mondy, C.P., Usseglio-Polatera, P., Fritz, K.M., Wood, P.J., Greenwood, M.J., Bogan, M.T.,
 Meyer, E.I., Datry, T., 2021a. A global perspective on the functional responses of stream
 communities to flow intermittence. Ecography na, na. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.05697
- 672 Crabot, J., Polášek, M., Launay, B., Pařil, P., Datry, T., 2021b. Drying in newly intermittent rivers leads
 673 to higher variability of invertebrate communities. Freshwater Biology 66, 730–744.
 674 https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13673
- Datry, T., 2012. Benthic and hyporheic invertebrate assemblages along a flow intermittence gradient:
 effects of duration of dry events. Freshwater Biology 57, 563–574.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2011.02725.x
- Datry, T., Bonada, N., Heino, J., 2016. Towards understanding the organisation of metacommunities
 in highly dynamic ecological systems. Oikos 125, 149–159. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.02922
- Datry, T., Corti, R., Claret, C., Philippe, M., 2011. Flow intermittence controls leaf litter breakdown in
 a French temporary alluvial river: the "drying memory." Aquat Sci 73, 471–483.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-011-0193-8
- Datry, T., Larned, S.T., Fritz, K.M., Bogan, M.T., Wood, P.J., Meyer, E.I., Santos, A.N., 2014. Broadscale patterns of invertebrate richness and community composition in temporary rivers:
 effects of flow intermittence. Ecography 37, 94–104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.16000587.2013.00287.x
- Datry, Thibault, Larned, S.T., Tockner, K., 2014. Intermittent Rivers: A Challenge for Freshwater
 Ecology. BioScience 64, 229–235. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bit027
- Dolédec, S., Chessel, D., 1987. Seasonal successions and spatial variables in freshwater environments.
 I. Description of a complete two-way layout by projection of variables[Rythmes saisonniers et composantes stationnelles en milieu aquatique. I. Description d'un plan d'observation
 complet par projection de variables]. Acta Oecologica/Oecologia Generalis 8, 403–426.
- 693 Dolédec, S., Statzner, B., 2010. Responses of freshwater biota to human disturbances: contribution of
 694 J-NABS to developments in ecological integrity assessments. Journal of the North American
 695 Benthological Society 29, 286–311. https://doi.org/10.1899/08-090.1
- 696 Dolédec, S., Statzner, B., 2008. Invertebrate traits for the biomonitoring of large European rivers: an
 697 assessment of specific types of human impact. Freshwater Biology 53, 617–634.
 698 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2007.01924.x
- Döll, P., Schmied, H.M., 2012. How is the impact of climate change on river flow regimes related to
 the impact on mean annual runoff? A global-scale analysis. Environ. Res. Lett. 7, 014037.
 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/1/014037
- Dray, S., Dufour, A.B., Chessel, D., 2007. The ade4 package-II: Two-table and K-table methods. R
 News 7, 47–52.
- Finishing Constitute, 2012. The Clean Water Act Jurisdictional Handbook, 2nd ed.
 Environmental Law Institute, Washington, DC.

710	European Commission, 2000. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of
711	23 October 2000 Establishing a Framework for Community Action in the Field of Water
712	Policy. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
713	Friberg, N., Bonada, N., Bradley, D.C., Dunbar, M.J., Edwards, F.K., Grey, J., Hayes, R.B., Hildrew, A.G.,
714	Lamouroux, N., Trimmer, M., Woodward, G., 2011. Biomonitoring of Human Impacts in
715	Freshwater Ecosystems: The Good, the Bad and the Ugly, in: Woodward, G. (Ed.), Advances
716	in Ecological Research. Academic Press, pp. 1–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-
717	374794-5.00001-8
718	Gallart, F., Cid, N., Latron, J., Llorens, P., Bonada, N., Jeuffroy, J., Jiménez-Argudo, SM., Vega, RM.,
719	Solà, C., Soria, M., Bardina, M., Hernández-Casahuga, AJ., Fidalgo, A., Estrela, T., Munné, A.,
720	Prat, N., 2017. TREHS: An open-access software tool for investigating and evaluating
721	temporary river regimes as a first step for their ecological status assessment. Science of The
722	Total Environment 607–608, 519–540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.209
723	Gauthier, M., Launay, B., Goff, G.L., Pella, H., Douady, C.J., Datry, T., 2020. Fragmentation promotes
724	the role of dispersal in determining 10 intermittent headwater stream metacommunities.
725	Freshwater Biology 65, 2169–2185. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13611
726	Hobbs, R.J., Higgs, E.S., Hall, C.M., 2013. Introduction: Why Novel Ecosystems?, in: Novel Ecosystems.
727	John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, pp. 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118354186.ch1
728	Kalogianni, E., Vourka, A., Karaouzas, I., Vardakas, L., Laschou, S., Skoulikidis, N.Th., 2017. Combined
729	effects of water stress and pollution on macroinvertebrate and fish assemblages in a
730	Mediterranean intermittent river. Science of The Total Environment 603–604, 639–650.
731	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.078
732	Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B., Christensen, R.H.B., Jensen, S.P., 2020. ImerTest: Tests in Linear
733	Mixed Effects Models.
734	Laliberté, E., Legendre, P., Shipley, B., 2014. FD: Measuring functional diversity (FD) from multiple
735	traits, and other tools for functional ecology.
736	Larned, S.T., Datry, T., Arscott, D.B., Tockner, K., 2010. Emerging concepts in temporary-river ecology.
737	Freshwater Biology 55, 717–738. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02322.x
738	Larned, S.T., Schmidt, J., Datry, T., Konrad, C.P., Dumas, J.K., Diettrich, J.C., 2011. Longitudinal river
739	ecohydrology: flow variation down the lengths of alluvial rivers. Ecohydrology 4, 532–548.
740	https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.126
741	Leigh, C., Aspin, T.W.H., Matthews, T.J., Rolls, R.J., Ledger, M.E., 2019. Drought alters the functional
742	stability of stream invertebrate communities through time. Journal of Biogeography 46,
743	1988–2000. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13638
744	Leigh, C., Boulton, A.J., Courtwright, J.L., Fritz, K., May, C.L., Walker, R.H., Datry, T., 2016. Ecological
745	research and management of intermittent rivers: an historical review and future directions.
746	Freshw Biol 61, 1181–1199. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12646
747	Leigh, C., Stubbington, R., Sheldon, F., Boulton, A.J., 2013. Hyporheic invertebrates as bioindicators of
748	ecological health in temporary rivers: a meta-analysis. Ecological Indicators 32, 62–73.
749	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.03.006
750	Mazor, R.D., Stein, E.D., Ode, P.R., Schiff, K., 2014. Integrating intermittent streams into watershed
751	assessments: applicability of an index of biotic integrity. jnbs.1 33, 459–474.
752	https://doi.org/10.1086/675683
753	Messager, M.L., Lehner, B., Cockburn, C., Lamouroux, N., Pella, H., Snelder, T., Tockner, K.,
754	Trautmann, T., Watt, C., Datry, T., 2021. Global prevalence of non-perennial rivers and
755	streams. Nature 594, 391–397. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03565-5
756	Mondy, C.P., Villeneuve, B., Archaimbault, V., Usseglio-Polatera, P., 2012. A new macroinvertebrate-
757	based multimetric index (I2M2) to evaluate ecological quality of French wadeable streams
758	fulfilling the WFD demands: A taxonomical and trait approach. Ecol. Indic. 18, 452–467.
759	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.12.013

762 Hydrobiologia 516, 229–249. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:HYDR.0000025268.66163.32 763 Munné, A., Bonada, N., Cid, N., Gallart, F., Solà, C., Bardina, M., Rovira, A., Sierra, C., Soria, M., 764 Fortuño, P., Llorens, P., Latron, J., Estrela, T., Fidalgo, A., Serrano, I., Jiménez, S., Vega, R., 765 Prat, N., 2021. A Proposal to Classify and Assess Ecological Status in Mediterranean 766 Temporary Rivers: Research Insights to Solve Management Needs. Water 13, 767. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13060767 767 768 Munné, A., Prat, N., 2011. Effects of Mediterranean climate annual variability on stream biological 769 quality assessment using macroinvertebrate communities. Ecological Indicators 11, 651–662. 770 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2010.09.004 771 Pařil, P., Polášek, M., Loskotová, B., Straka, M., Crabot, J., Datry, T., 2019. An unexpected source of invertebrate community recovery in intermittent streams from a humid continental climate. 772 773 Freshwater Biology 64, 1971–1983. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13386 774 Piano, E., Doretto, A., Mammola, S., Falasco, E., Fenoglio, S., Bona, F., 2020. Taxonomic and 775 functional homogenisation of macroinvertebrate communities in recently intermittent Alpine 776 watercourses. Freshwater Biology 65. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13611 777 Piscart, C., Usseglio-Polatera, P., Moreteau, J.-C., Beisel, J.-N., 2006. The role of salinity in the 778 selection of biological traits of freshwater invertebrates. Archiv für Hydrobiologie 166, 185-779 198. https://doi.org/10.1127/0003-9136/2006/0166-0185 780 Prat, N., Gallart, F., Schiller, D.V., Polesello, S., García-Roger, E.M., Latron, J., Rieradevall, M., Llorens, 781 P., Barberá, G.G., Brito, D., Girolamo, A.M.D., Dieter, D., Porto, A.L., Buffagni, A., Erba, S., 782 Nikolaidis, N.P., Querner, E.P., Tournoud, M.G., Tzoraki, O., Skoulikidis, N., Gómez, R., 783 Sánchez-Montoya, M.M., Tockner, K., Froebrich, J., 2014. The Mirage Toolbox: An Integrated 784 Assessment Tool for Temporary Streams. River Research and Applications 30, 1318–1334. 785 https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.2757 786 R Core Team, 2021. R: The R Project for Statistical Computing [WWW Document]. URL 787 https://www.r-project.org/ 788 Reid, A.J., Carlson, A.K., Creed, I.F., Eliason, E.J., Gell, P.A., Johnson, P.T.J., Kidd, K.A., MacCormack, 789 T.J., Olden, J.D., Ormerod, S.J., Smol, J.P., Taylor, W.W., Tockner, K., Vermaire, J.C., Dudgeon, 790 D., Cooke, S.J., 2019. Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater 791 biodiversity. Biological Reviews 94, 849-873. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12480 792 Reyjol, Y., Argillier, C., Bonne, W., Borja, A., Buijse, A.D., Cardoso, A.C., Daufresne, M., Kernan, M., 793 Ferreira, M.T., Poikane, S., Prat, N., Solheim, A.-L., Stroffek, S., Usseglio-Polatera, P., 794 Villeneuve, B., van de Bund, W., 2014. Assessing the ecological status in the context of the 795 European Water Framework Directive: Where do we go now? Science of The Total 796 Environment 497–498, 332–344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.07.119 797 Rodier, J., Legube, B., Merlet, N., Alary, C., Belles, A., 2016. L'analyse de l'eau Contrôle et 798 interprétation. Dunod, p. 1297. 799 Rosenberg, D.M., Resh, V.H. (Eds.), 1993. Freshwater Biomonitoring and Benthic Macroinvertebrates. 800 Springer US. 801 Rupp, D., Larned, S., Arscott, D., Schmidt, J., 2008. Reconstruction of a Daily Flow Record Along a 802 Hydrologically Complex Alluvial River. Journal of Hydrology 359, 88–104. 803 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.06.019 804 Sarremejane, R., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Prat, N., Mykrä, H., Muotka, T., Bonada, N., 2017a. Do 805 metacommunities vary through time? Intermittent rivers as model systems. Journal of 806 Biogeography 44, 2752–2763. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13077 807 Sarremejane, R., Mykrä, H., Bonada, N., Aroviita, J., Muotka, T., 2017b. Habitat connectivity and

Morais, M., Pinto, P., Guilherme, P., Rosado, J., Antunes, I., 2004. Assessment of temporary streams:

the robustness of metric and multimetric indices under different hydrological conditions.

760

761

808dispersal ability drive the assembly mechanisms of macroinvertebrate communities in river809networks. Freshwater Biology 62, 1073–1082. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12926

- Schriever, T.A., Bogan, M.T., Boersma, K.S., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Jaeger, K.L., Olden, J.D., Lytle, D.A.,
 2015. Hydrology shapes taxonomic and functional structure of desert stream invertebrate
 communities. Freshwater Science 34, 399–409. https://doi.org/10.1086/680518
- Seaman, M., Watson, M., Avenant, M., King, J., Joubert, A., Barker, C., Esterhuyse, S., Graham, D.,
 Kemp, M., Le Roux, P., Prucha, B., Redelinghuys, N., Rossouw, L., Rowntree, K., Sokolic, F.,
 Rensburg, L., van der Waal, B., Van Tol, J.J., Vos, T., 2016. DRIFT-ARID: A method for assessing
 environmental water requirements (EWRs) for non-perennial rivers. Water S.A 42.
- 817 https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v42i3.01
- Snelder, T.H., Datry, T., Lamouroux, N., Larned, S.T., Sauquet, E., Pella, H., Catalogne, C., 2013.
 Regionalization of patterns of flow intermittence from gauging station records. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 17, 2685–2699. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-2685-2013
- Soria, M., Gutiérrez-Cánovas, C., Bonada, N., Acosta, R., Rodríguez-Lozano, P., Fortuño, P., Burgazzi,
 G., Vinyoles, D., Gallart, F., Latron, J., Llorens, P., Prat, N., Cid, N., 2020. Natural disturbances
 can produce misleading bioassessment results: Identifying metrics to detect anthropogenic
 impacts in intermittent rivers. Journal of Applied Ecology 57, 283–295.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13538
- Soria, M., Leigh, C., Datry, T., Bini, L.M., Bonada, N., 2017. Biodiversity in perennial and intermittent
 rivers: a meta-analysis. Oikos 126, 1078–1089. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.04118
- Steward, A., Von Schiller, D., Tockner, K., Marshall, J., Bunn, S., 2012. When the river runs dry: Human
 and ecological values of dry riverbeds. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 10, 202–
 209. https://doi.org/10.1890/110136
- Steward, A.L., Negus, P., Marshall, J.C., Clifford, S.E., Dent, C., 2018. Assessing the ecological health of
 rivers when they are dry. Ecological Indicators 85, 537–547.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.10.053
- Storey, R., Quinn, J., 2013. Survival of aquatic invertebrates in dry bed sediments of intermittent
 streams: Temperature tolerances and implications for riparian management. Freshwater
 Science 32, 250–266. https://doi.org/10.1899/12-008.1
- Straka, M., Polášek, M., Syrovátka, V., Stubbington, R., Zahrádková, S., Němejcová, D., Šikulová, L.,
 Řezníčková, P., Opatřilová, L., Datry, T., Pařil, P., 2019. Recognition of stream drying based on
 benthic macroinvertebrates: A new tool in Central Europe. Ecological Indicators 106, 105486.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105486
- Stubbington, R., Bogan, M.T., Bonada, N., Boulton, A.J., Datry, T., Leigh, C., Vander Vorste, R., 2017.
 Chapter 4.3 The Biota of Intermittent Rivers and Ephemeral Streams: Aquatic Invertebrates,
 in: Datry, T., Bonada, N., Boulton, A. (Eds.), Intermittent Rivers and Ephemeral Streams.
 Academic Press, pp. 217–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803835-2.00007-3
- Stubbington, R., Chadd, R., Cid, N., Csabai, Z., Milisa, M., Morais, M., Munne, A., Paril, P., Pesic, V.,
 Tziortzis, I., Verdonschot, R.C.M., Datry, T., 2018. Biomonitoring of intermittent rivers and
 ephemeral streams in Europe: Current practice and priorities to enhance ecological status
 assessments. Sci. Total Environ. 618, 1096–1113.
- 849 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.137
- Stubbington, R., Datry, T., 2013. The macroinvertebrate seedbank promotes community persistence
 in temporary rivers across climate zones. Freshwater Biology 58, 1202–1220.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12121
- Stubbington, R., Gunn, J., Little, S., Worrall, T.P., Wood, P.J., 2016. Macroinvertebrate seedbank
 composition in relation to antecedent duration of drying and multiple wet-dry cycles in a
 temporary stream. Freshwater Biology 61, 1293–1307. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12770
- Tachet, H., Philippe, R., Bournaud, M., Usseglio-Polatera, P., 2010. Invertebres D'eau Douce.
 Systematique, Biologie, Ecologie. CNRS Editions, Paris.
- Thioulouse, J., Dray, S., Dufour, A.-B., Siberchicot, A., Jombart, T., Pavoine, S., 2018. Multivariate
 Analysis of Ecological Data with ade4. Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1 4939-8850-1

- Townsend, C.R., Hildrew, A.G., 1994. Species traits in relation to a habitat templet for river systems.
 Freshwater Biology 31, 265–275. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1994.tb01740.x
- Vander Vorste, R., Corti, R., Sagouis, A., Datry, T., 2016. Invertebrate communities in gravel-bed,
 braided rivers are highly resilient to flow intermittence. Freshwater Science 35, 164–177.
 https://doi.org/10.1086/683274
- Wilding, N.A., White, J.C., Chadd, R.P., House, A., Wood, P.J., 2018. The influence of flow permanence
 and drying pattern on macroinvertebrate biomonitoring tools used in the assessment of
 riverine ecosystems. Ecological Indicators 85, 548–555.
- 869 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.10.059