

## Anti Müllerian Hormone: More than a biomarker of female reproductive function

Mathilde Victoria, Julie Labrosse, Fabien Krief, Isabelle Cédrin-Durnerin, Marjorie Comtet, Michaël Grynberg

### ► To cite this version:

Mathilde Victoria, Julie Labrosse, Fabien Krief, Isabelle Cédrin-Durnerin, Marjorie Comtet, et al.. Anti Müllerian Hormone: More than a biomarker of female reproductive function. Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction, 2019, 48, pp.19 - 24. 10.1016/j.jogoh.2018.10.015 . hal-03484983

## HAL Id: hal-03484983 https://hal.science/hal-03484983

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

#### Anti Müllerian Hormone: more than a biomarker of female reproductive function

Mathilde Victoria<sup>1</sup>, Julie Labrosse<sup>1</sup>, Fabien Krief<sup>1</sup>, Isabelle Cédrin-Durnerin<sup>1</sup>, Marjorie Comtet<sup>1,2</sup>, Michaël Grynberg<sup>3,4,\*</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Preservation, Hôpital Jean Verdier, Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris-Seine-Saint-Denis, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Bondy 93143, France

<sup>2</sup> University Paris XIII, 93000 Bobigny, France

<sup>3</sup> Department of Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Preservation, Hôpital Antoine Béclère, Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris Sud, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Clamart 92140, France

<sup>3</sup> Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris Saclay, Le Kremlin Bicêtre 94276, France

<sup>4</sup> Inserm U1133 Université Paris Diderot, Paris 75013, France

\* Correspondance to: Prof. Michaël Grynberg, <sup>1</sup>Department of Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Preservation, Hôpital Antoine Béclère, 157, rue de la Porte de Trivaux, 92140 Clamart, France. Phone: +33145374053 ; Fax: +33145374980 ; Email: michael.grynberg@aphp.fr

## Anti Müllerian Hormone: more than a biomarker of female reproductive function

*Mathilde Victoria<sup>1</sup>, Julie Labrosse<sup>1</sup>, Fabien Krief<sup>1</sup>, Isabelle Cédrin-Durnerin<sup>1</sup>, Marjorie Comtet<sup>1,2</sup>, Michaël Grynberg<sup>3,4,5</sup>* 

 Department of Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Preservation, Hôpital Jean Verdier, Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris-Seine-Saint-Denis, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Bondy 93143, France
 University Paris XIII, 93000 Bobigny, France

**3.** Department of Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Preservation, Hôpital Antoine Béclère, Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris Sud, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Clamart 92140, France

4. Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris Saclay, Le Kremlin Bicêtre 94276, France

5. Inserm U1133 Université Paris Diderot, Paris 75013, France

#### • <u>Corresponding author:</u>

#### Pr. Michael Grynberg

Department of Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Preservation Hôpital Antoine Béclère, 157 rue de la Porte de Trivaux, 92140 Clamart, France Telephone: +33145374053 Fax: +33145374980 michael.grynberg@aphp.fr

#### <u>Abstract</u>

Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH), known for its role during sexual differentiation, is a dimeric glycoprotein that belongs to the transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) family. AMH has recently been identified as a reliable marker of ovarian reserve that can help predict early ovarian follicle loss and menopause onset. AMH levels also reflect the effects of damaging gynecologic surgeries or gonadotoxic treatments such as chemotherapy on ovarian reserve. Furthermore, AMH participates in the diagnosis of certain diseases such as granulosa cell tumors or Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS). Currently used to establish patient profiles and predict ovarian response to stimulation, its role in ART techniques is crucial. Nevertheless, AMH appears to be a weak independent predictor of qualitative outcomes such as implantation, pregnancy, and live birth. As the reliability and reproducibility of AMH dosage have raised many doubts due to different existing standards and thresholds, an international consensus is still expected to improve AMH measurement and interpretation.

#### Short title: AMH in female

Keywords: Anti-Müllerian Hormone; AMH; ovarian reserve; menopause onset

#### 1 Introduction

2 Anti-Müllerian Hormone (AMH), a homodimeric glycoprotein belonging to the transforming growth factor ß (TGF-ß) superfamily, has first been described in the 1940s by Alfred Jost for 3 4 its role in male sexual differentiation (1, 2). It is today also known for its determining role in ovarian function (3, 4). Encoded on chromosome 19, (19p13.2-13.3), its molecular weight is 5 of 140 kDa and its signaling pathway is mediated through two serine/threonine kinase 6 transmembrane receptors (5, 6). AMH binds to AMH type II receptor, which provokes the 7 8 phosphorylation of AMH type I receptor and consequent downstream signaling through the activation of Smad proteins. After translocation to the nucleus, phosphorylated Smad proteins 9 10 activate or inhibit the transcription of specific genes (7).

11

#### 12 **Biological roles of AMH**

In males, the SRY gene expressed on chromosome Y is responsible of testicular differentiation. Müllerian ducts regress under the influence of AMH (produced by Sertoli cells from the end of the 7th week of gestation), and Wolffian ducts are maintained under the influence of testosterone (produced by Leydig cells). Virilization of the external genitalia is then induced by the transformation of testosterone into dihydrotestosterone (DHT).

18

In females, Müllerian ducts persist and develop into what will become the tubes, the uterus
and the upper part of the vagina. AMH secretion by ovarian granulosa cells starts around 36
weeks of gestation, reaches a peak around 25 years of age, and continues until menopause (8).
AMH is mostly secreted by primary, secondary, pre-antral and small antral follicles (< 4mm)</li>
(9). No AMH secretion is observed in FSH-dependent nor atretic follicles (10, 11).
Most studies concerning the role of AMH in ovaries were performed in mouse model. Faster

25 rates of primordial follicular recruitment were observed in absence of AMH, resulting in a

depleted ovarian reserve at a younger age (12). In humans, an *in vitro* analysis of ovarian cortical tissue suggested that AMH was also involved in the inhibition of follicular recruitment (13). AMH may also protect growing follicles from premature maturation by opposing the effects of FSH (14).

30

In an analysis of 42 women undergoing oophorectomy for benign gynecologic reasons, 31 Hansen et al. reported a correlation between AMH levels and the number of primordial 32 follicles present in ovarian tissue (15). Antral follicle count (AFC - defined as the number of 33 follicles of 2 to 10 mm on both ovaries estimated by ultrasound) and AMH are currently 34 considered as the most reliable biomarkers for the assessment of ovarian reserve, which is 35 consistent with the idea that AMH is mainly produced by small follicles (10). Dewaily et al. 36 suggested that Body Mass Index (BMI), menstrual cycle length, as well as FSH, LH and 37 38 testosterone levels could explain discordant values of AMH and AFC observed in a same patient (16). 39

40

#### 41 Measurement of AMH levels

A challenge in the interpretation of AMH dosage relies in the different standards and 42 thresholds that exist. Serum AMH is found in different forms: an inactive non-cleaved form 43 known as pro-AMH and a cleaved, biologically active form called AMH(N,C). AMH(N,C) is 44 composed of N-terminal and C-terminal non-covalently associated fragments that can bind to 45 AMH receptors (AMH RII) (17). Pro-AMH and AMH(N,C) are detected by an immunometric 46 method in which a capture antibody and a detecting antibody bind to the N-terminal or C-47 terminal portions of AMH. The existence of an isolated C-terminal form (AMHC) in serum is 48 currently being debated. 49

Despite a large number of publications over the last 10 years, the reliability and 50 reproducibility of AMH measurement have raised many doubts, mainly due to the operator-51 dependent manual dosing technique that uses different antibodies and thresholds: Elisa assays 52 (mainly Beckman Gen II and EIA/AMH Immunotech) and two Anshlab assays: 53 (Ultrasensitive (Al-105i) and Pico-AMH). Since 2014, automated dosing techniques have 54 been developed to overcome these limitations and improve the sensitivity and reproducibility 55 of AMH measurements. Elecsys AMH (Roche Diagnostics International) and Access AMH 56 (Beckman Coulter) kits have shown superiority over manual assays, with 15% to 20% lower 57 values, but have the disadvantage of using different thresholds (18). In 2017, Peigné et al. 58 59 (17) compared different AMH kits available in France: three manual (AMH Gen II, Ultrasensible Al - 105i, AL-124i or « pico-AMH) and two automated ones (Elecsys AMH, 60 AMH Access Dxi). The two automated methods were four times more accurate, faster (18-40 61 62 min vs. 4-6h for manual), ten times more sensitive than Elisa assays, required less serum for analysis (50 mL) and reduced 5 inter-laboratory variations (18,19). Differences between kits 63 64 were mostly observed for low AMH values. Values obtained with Acess Dxi were equal or lower to that of Gen II, and Elecsys Roche values were 12 to 28% lower. No difference was 65 observed between the two automated assays. 66

67

A recent study reported that median values of AMH were of 2.69 ng/mL (Access) and 2.34ng/mL (Roche) between 18 and 35 years old (20). Anckaert *et al.* (21) suggested median age-specific values of AMH for normo-ovulating women with Elecsys assays: 4 / 3.31 / 2.81 / 2 / 0.882 and 0.071 ng/mL for age ranges respectively : 20-24 / 25-29 / 30-34 / 35-39 / 40-44 and 45-50 years old. International standards are expected in order to measure AMH levels accurately, as precise measurement of low AMH levels is notably important for post chemotherapy evaluation of ovarian recovery (22).

#### 75 Serum AMH variations

Nomograms on AMH levels in normo-ovulatory women from birth to menopause have been 76 developed (23, 24). The first full description of AMH production up to menopause in healthy 77 women was lead by Kelsev et al. in 2011, showing that 34% of AMH variation was due to 78 age. A peak of secretion of AMH is observed during neonatal life, before puberty, and around 79 24.5 years of age. AMH then declines until menopause. Variations of AMH levels during the 80 first months of life can be explained by an activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal 81 axis during neonatal period that induces a transient increase of gonadotropins that stimulates 82 hormone production (25). Moreover, since AMH inhibits FSH-dependent follicle recruitment 83 and FSH-dependent follicular growth, the production of AMH may limit inappropriate 84 activation of follicular growth related to high rates of neonatal FSH. Between ages 8 to 24, 85 plasma AMH concentrations remain relatively stable (26). Small fluctuations during the 86 87 pubertal transition have been reported, but appear to be minimal (27). After a peak between 16 and 25 years old, AMH declines until menopause, reflecting the progressive depletion of 88 89 ovarian reserve (23, 27, 28).

AMH levels do not considerably vary within and between cycles, contrarily to other hormonal markers of ovarian follicular status (FSH, estradiol, Inhibin B) (29, 30). Contradictory results were observed concerning the influence of hormonal contraception (HC) on serum AMH levels, some observing lower AMH levels in women using HC, while others did not find a significant difference (31). Kucera *et al.* observed no negative impact of HC on AMH serum levels in women examined one year after termination of HC used during at least ten years (32).

#### 97 Prediction of the age of menopause onset

Mean age of menopause is estimated around 51 years old (33). Individualized counseling, 98 early treatment or oocyte preservation can be options for patients concerned by early 99 menopause. However, so far, no marker exists to assess the onset of menopause. AMH may 100 be a more effective marker than FSH, menstrual irregularity, or maternal age (34, 35). AMH 101 serum levels decline with age; starting from 21 years of age and onwards, AMH levels 102 decrease from 5.6% per year, and become undetectable during the 3 to 5 years before 103 104 menopause onset (36, 37). Consequently to Broer et al. (38), Depmann et al. (20) showed in a prospective study that AMH significantly predicted menopause in a model next to age. 105 However, coherently to other studies, AMH appeared to be less effective to predict extreme 106 menopausal ages (34, 39, 40). 107

A recent prospective study lead on 327 women concerned by early menopause showed that 108 109 every AMH decrease of 0.10 ng/mL was associated to a 14% higher risk of early menopause (95% CI [1.10-1.18]; p < 0.001) (41). After adjusting on demographic, 110 111 behavioral, and reproductive factors, the calculated odds ratios for early menopause 112 associated with AMH levels of 1.5, 1.0 and 0.5 ng/ml were 2.6, 7.5 and 23, respectively, compared to an AMH level of 2.0 ng/ml (p < 0.001). Furthermore, a meta-analysis (42) lead 113 on 2596 patients (of which 1077 menopausal women) showed that AMH associated to age 114 was more effective in the prediction of early menopause than age alone (Hazard Ratio: 1.01 115 and 95% CI [0.97-1.04] for age alone vs. Hazard Ratio: 0.33 and 95% CI [0.24-0.45] for age 116 + AMH). Nevertheless, a precise AMH threshold remains to be defined and other variables 117 such as maternal age of menopause, genetics, and lifestyle factors (smoking, BMI, use of 118 119 alcohol, parity) have to be considered.

#### 120 Prediction of the risk of iatrogenic amenorrhea

121 Treatments such as chemotherapy (CT), radiotherapy, ovarian surgery or artery embolization are known to have detrimental effects on female fertility. The ovarian toxicity of CT relies in 122 123 increased apoptotic processes, cortical fibrosis and blood vessel injury and varies with age, treatment and dosage (43, 44). Alkylating agents are identified to be the most ovariotoxic 124 existent agents, but no clear threshold yet exists for a safe alkylating agent dose (44). 125 Ovariotoxicity of other chemotherapy agents remains debated and poorly documented. A 126 systemic review by Silva et al. showed that exposure to taxanes was negatively associated 127 with menses recovery (45). 128

129

Recent studies have suggested that AMH could be used to predict ovarian follicle loss for CT 130 patients. A study including 59 women treated with chemotherapy for early breast cancer 131 132 demonstrated that long-term ovarian function after treatment could be predicted by pretreatment serum AMH concentrations and that this marker was the only significant 133 134 predictor of menses after 4-5 years compared to age, Inhibin B and FSH (46). AMH also 135 enables to follow the evolution of ovarian reserve during chemotherapy. Anderson et al. reported a 55% decrease of AMH levels after one CT cycle and that baseline AMH levels 136 were significantly correlated with AMH levels after the first CT cycle, as they remained 137 higher in patients with higher baseline serum AMH levels (46). In 2017, Dezellus et al. 138 analyzed a large prospective multicentric cohort of 249 breast cancer patients (47). Mean 139 basal AMH levels were of 4.19ng/mL (median 2.95ng/mL). Four months after CT 140 completion, AMH levels were of  $0.78 \pm 1.40$  ng/mL. Women with post-chemotherapy 141 amenorrhea were significantly older and had lower basal AMH levels than women that 142 recovered menses (47). Moreover, a prognostic score to estimate the time to recovery of 143 ovarian function following chemotherapy was developed based on 109 breast cancer patients, 144

considering age, AMH and BMI (48). Patients with AMH levels above 0.7 ng/mL before
chemotherapy, under 40 years old, and overweight or obese (BMI > 25) were more likely to
regain ovarian function.

148

Radiation therapy is also recognized as highly ovario-toxic even at low doses, associated to 149 extremely low or undetectable AMH dosages in post-treatment patients (49). Furthermore, the 150 damage of gynecological surgeries on ovarian function (endometriomas, cysts, etc.) can be 151 152 evaluated by comparing pre- and post-operative AMH levels (50). Endometrioma surgery is associated with a significant decrease in AMH levels, corresponding to an important removal 153 of ovarian tissue (51-54), and is correlated with the bilaterality and severity of endometriomas 154 (55). It is therefore crucial to consider these consequences before operating patients with 155 endometriosis that have a pregnancy desire. 156

157

#### 158 AMH and fertility

159 It remains unclear whether low AMH levels are predictive of lower spontaneous fertility (56). 160 Adjusted on age, a prospective study lead on patients aged from 30 to 44 years old found lower fertility rates in patients with AMH levels under 0.7 ng/mL (57). Conversely, by 161 measuring biomarkers of ovarian reserve (AMH, FSH and Inhibin B) in 750 women aged 162 from 30 to 44 years old without a history of infertility. Steiner et al. (58) showed that women 163 with low AMH levels (<0.7ng/mL) did not have a significantly different predicted probability 164 of conceiving compared to other women, after 6 cycles (65% vs. 62%, respectively), nor after 165 12 cycles (84% vs. 75%). Similarly, a study of 87 women found no correlation between 166 baseline AMH levels and time required to conceive naturally (52). Pregnancies were reported 167 even in women with undetectable AMH levels, particularly for young patients (59). 168

A study comparing three groups of patients according to AMH levels (low: < 1.3ng/mL 169 (9.5 pmol/L), intermediate: 1.3-4.6 ng/mL (9.5-33 pmol/L), and high: > 4.6 ng/mL (33 pmol/L)) 170 observed significantly increased pregnancy rates and reduced time to pregnancy for women 171 with high AMH levels, compared to women with intermediate and low AMH levels (60). 172 However, highest pregnancy rates (84.1%) were seen in regular cycling women with high 173 AMH and spontaneous pregnancies were observed in patients with AMH levels down to 174 0.2ng/mL (1.2pmol/L) (60). Conversely, fecundability in young healthy patients (19 to 35 175 176 years old) seems to be reduced when associated to high AMH levels ( > 5.5 ng/mL) (39 pmol/L) compared to AMH levels under 2ng/mL (14pmol /L), which could be explained by 177 178 polycystic ovary syndrome patients (61).

179

AMH appears to be a weak independent predictor of qualitative outcomes of ART such as implantation, pregnancy, and live birth. In a meta-analysis by Iliodromiti *et al.* comprising 6.356 women, the predictive accuracy of AMH on live birth in women undergoing IVF was poor (62). In a meta-analysis of 5.373 women undergoing IVF, Tal *et al.* (63) found that the area under ROC curve (AUC) for AMH in prediction of clinical pregnancy was of 0.63 (95% CI [0.618–0.650]).

186

Although an AMH threshold of 1 ng/mL (7.1 pmol/L) is usually taken, no clear AMH level threshold exists to conclude on a low, normal or increased ovarian reserve, nor on the chances of a future pregnancy (64). As other factors most likely affect pregnancy chances, clinicians should not only rely on the dosage of AMH, but on the patient's whole clinical context. The poor predictive power of AMH for live births should thus be kept in mind when counseling infertility patients regarding ART outcomes, and low or even undetectable AMH values should not be used as a sole factor in excluding patients from undergoing ART. Moreover, clinicians should consider the psychological impact of AMH routine testing for womenpotentially that might lead to unjustified anxiety.

196

# 197 <u>Prediction of ovarian response to stimulation: Individualized Controlled Ovarian</u> 198 Stimulation (iCOS)

Serum AMH values help identify patient profiles and predict response to ovarian stimulation 199 (65). Thus, AMH associated to antral follicular count may be the best predictive marker of 200 201 hyper- or hypo-response (66). Since 2013, dosing AMH before IVF is recommended by ESHRE (European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology) and NICE (National 202 Institute of Excellence for Health and Care) to individualize strategies for ovarian stimulation. 203 The NICE consensus sets the threshold of 0.75ng/mL (5.4 pmol/L) for a predictive low 204 response to stimulation and that of 3.5 ng/mL (25 pmol/L) for a strong response (67). More 205 206 recently, the POSEIDON group has defined several factors, including AMH, as tools to help 207 treatment decisions. Defined with the manual dosing technique (17), the AMH threshold 208 associated to low response was 1.2 ng/mL (8.6 pmol/L) (68, 69). Recent data suggest that the 209 individualization of ovarian stimulation, based on specific measurement of parameters specific to each patient, improves results while reducing treatment cost (70). Thus, AMH is a 210 key tool to determine the initial stimulation dose to be administered, enabling individualized 211 counseling and strategy adjustments. 212

213

#### 214 AMH: a diagnostic tool

#### 215 Granulosa Cell Tumors

Granulosa cell tumors (GCT), the most common type of tumor of the "sex cord-stromal tumors" family, are rare tumors of variable malignancy. Although signs of clinical hyperestrogenesis or the presence of a unilateral, adnexal mass (either cystic, multilocular, or

both solid and cystic) in MRI can lead to its diagnosis, its preoperative diagnosis remains 219 difficult to establish. Conventional ovarian tumor markers (CA125, CA19-9 and ACE) are 220 generally elevated. By aromatizing androgens, the tumor secretes both estrogen, inhibin B, 221 222 and AMH. Studies suggested AMH as a robust marker of tumor recurrence, progression and treatment efficacy in adult type GCTs, more sensitive than serum inhibin levels and more 223 specific than estradiol levels (71-73). Chang et al. observed a significant correlation between 224 aggregate tumor mass and serum AMH levels, in pathological specimens and when 225 226 determined non-invasively by abdominal CT or MRI (74). Walter et al. showed that elevated AMH concentrations in female dogs were indicative of granulosa cell tumors (75). However, 227 negative testing does not rule out the existence of small GCTs, and differentiating granulosa 228 cell tumors from luteinized follicular cysts may be difficult. In a meta-analysis published in 229 2009 evaluating the performance of Inhibin B and AMH in the diagnosis and monitoring of 230 231 GCT, authors reported that the sensitivity of Inhibin varied from 89 to 100 % and that of AMH from 76 to 91%, both with high specificity (91-100%) (76). 232

233

#### 234 Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS)

Concerning 5 to 10 % of women, Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS) is the most common 235 cause of chronic anovulation and hyperandrogenism in young women. Since 2003, PCOS is 236 237 defined by the Rotterdam classification (2003), requiring at least 2 out of the 3 following characteristics: (i) cycle disorder, (ii) clinical or biological hyperandrogenism, (iii) antral 238 follicular excess on ultrasound with  $\geq 12$  follicles from 2 to 9 mm per ovary and/or ovarian 239 volume  $\geq 10$  mL. Due to the capacity of ultrasonographic technology to detect smaller 240 follicles, the cut off is now of 19 to 25 follicles (77, 78). This threshold is nonetheless still 241 242 dependent on the operator and ultrasound equipment, and might continue to increase (79).

243

Since a solid correlation exists between AMH and AFC, AMH may play a role in the 244 diagnosis of PCOS. Its use is yet not recognized in clinical practice. In vitro, AMH production 245 by granulosa cells was found to be 4-fold higher in normo ovulatory PCOS and 75-fold higher 246 247 in anovulatory PCOS compared to normal ovaries, suggesting that AMH in PCOS women is not only explained by the increase of pre-antral and small antral follicles (80). The role of 248 androgens has been evoked, since a positive correlation between serum androgen and number 249 of follicles has been reported. The overproduction of androgens could be an intrinsic defect of 250 251 theca cells in PCOS patient (81, 82). Serum AMH levels could therefore be correlated to the severity of PCOS symptoms (hyperandrogenism or severity of ovulation disorders). 252

253

AMH may be used as an item in the Rotterdam classification. However, no AMH threshold 254 exists to define PCOS. With the enzyme immunoassay AMH-EIA by Beckman Coulter 255 256 Immunotech, Dewailly et al. (83) found that serum AMH levels were a more reliable marker of polycystic ovarian morphology (PCOM) than follicle number, and suggested an AMH 257 258 threshold of 5 ng/mL (35pmol/L) to be included in the current diagnostic classifications of 259 PCOS. In 2013, a systematic review and meta-analysis have leaned the capacity of AMH to diagnose PCOS (84). Ten studies were included and a summary ROC curve was constructed. 260 Using a cutoff level of 4.7ng/mL, AMH had a 82.8% sensitivity and a 79.4% specificity for 261 PCOS diagnosis, with an AUC of 0.87. Pigny et al. (85) recently compared threshold values 262 according to five types of assays (tested manual assays: Gen II, EIA AMH / MIS Immunotech 263 and Ultrasensitive Anshlab, and automatic assays: Access Dxi and Elecsys Cobas). AMH 264 thresholds of 5.6 ng/mL (40 pmol/L) for manual tests and 4.2ng/mL (30pmol/L) for 265 automated tests were defined. Despite the absence of consensus on an AMH threshold for 266 267 PCOS diagnosis (85), serum AMH assays might be an interesting alternative, and are recommended by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (86). The new 268

ESHRE guidelines published in 2018 do not recommend the use of serum AMH levels as an alternative for the detection of PCOM, nor as a single test for the diagnosis of PCOS (87). However, it is mentioned that with improved standardization of assays and established cut off thresholds validated in large scale populations of different ages and ethnicities, AMH assays would be a reliable diagnosis tool for PCOM.

274

#### 275 <u>Unresponsiveness of antral follicles to FSH</u>

276 Savage syndrome or unresponsiveness of antral follicles to FSH is very rare syndrome characterized by the association of primary amenorrhea, gonadotropin levels at menopausal 277 range, and normal antral follicle count. This condition is frequently misdiagnosed and 278 confused with ovarian failure. A normal AMH assay according to age, indicating the presence 279 of antral follicles, could help establish its diagnosis. Although these patients are poor 280 281 responders to ovarian stimulation, they may be candidates for oocyte in vitro maturation (IVM) rather than initially being oriented towards oocyte donation. The first case report on 282 283 IVM in a 29-year-old Savage Syndrome patient has been published in 2013. The patient had high gonadotrophin levels, normal serum AMH levels (between 4,36 and 4,50 ng/mL) and an 284 AFC between 18 and 23 (88). Fifteen immature oocytes were obtained, 12 of which reached 285 metaphase II, leading to seven embryos and three transfers. A pregnancy was obtained and the 286 patient delivered a healthy baby at term. 287

288

#### 289 **Perspectives**

Research on AMH opens new perspectives, especially in therapeutics. Devoid of any toxicity, AMH may be a potential natural anti-cancer agent to treat tumors expressing the AMH receptor. Furthermore, the administration of recombinant AMH during treatment could reduce follicular loss induced by chemotherapy.

#### 294 <u>Conclusion</u>

As a reliable marker of ovarian reserve, AMH plays an increasing role in the prediction of menopause onset, iatrogenic amenorrhea, and ovarian response to stimulation in ART techniques, thus facilitating individualized counseling of patients. Since no clear AMH level threshold exists to conclude on a low, normal or increased ovarian reserve, an international consensus on AMH measurement is expected.

#### References

1. Massagué J, Attisano L, Wrana JL. The TGF-beta family and its composite receptors. Trends Cell Biol. mai 1994;4(5):172-8.

2. Josso N, Picard JY, Rey R, di Clemente N. Testicular anti-Müllerian hormone: history, genetics, regulation and clinical applications. Pediatr Endocrinol Rev PER. Juin 2006;3(4):347-58.

3. Visser JA, de Jong FH, Laven JSE, Themmen APN. Anti-Müllerian hormone: a new marker for ovarian function. Reprod Camb Engl. janv 2006;131(1):1-9.

4. Rey R, Sabourin JC, Venara M, Long WQ, Jaubert F, Zeller WP, et al. Anti-Müllerian hormone is a specific marker of sertoli- and granulosa-cell origin in gonadal tumors. Hum Pathol. oct 2000;31(10):1202-8.

5. Cohen-Haguenauer O, Picard JY, Mattéi MG, Serero S, Nguyen VC, de Tand MF, et al. Mapping of the gene for anti-müllerian hormone to the short arm of human chromosome 19. Cytogenet Cell Genet. 1987;44(1):2-6.

6. Teixeira J, Maheswaran S, Donahoe PK. Müllerian inhibiting substance: an instructive developmental hormone with diagnostic and possible therapeutic applications. Endocr Rev. oct 2001; 22(5):657-74.

7. Josso N, di Clemente N, Gouédard L. Anti-Müllerian hormone and its receptors. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 20 juin 2001;179(1-2):25-32.

8. Rajpert-De Meyts E, Jørgensen N, Graem N, Müller J, Cate RL, Skakkebaek NE. Expression of anti-Müllerian hormone during normal and pathological gonadal development: association with differentiation of Sertoli and granulosa cells. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Oct 1999;84(10):3836-44.

9. Feyereisen, E. *et al.* Anti-Müllerian hormone: clinical insights into a promising biomarker of ovarian follicular status. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* 12, 695–703 (2006).

10. Broer SL, Broekmans FJM, Laven JSE, Fauser BCJM. Anti-Müllerian hormone: ovarian reserve testing and its potential clinical implications. Hum Reprod Update. Oct 2014;20(5):688-701.

11. Weenen C, Laven JSE, Von Bergh ARM, Cranfield M, Groome NP, Visser JA, et al. Anti-Müllerian hormone expression pattern in the human ovary: potential implications for initial and cyclic follicle recruitment. Mol Hum Reprod. févr 2004;10(2):77-83.

12. Durlinger ALL, Gruijters MJG, Kramer P, Karels B, Ingraham HA, Nachtigal MW, et al. Anti-Müllerian hormone inhibits initiation of primordial follicle growth in the mouse ovary. Endocrinology. mars 2002;143(3):1076-84.

13. Carlsson IB, Scott JE, Visser JA, Ritvos O, Themmen APN, Hovatta O. Anti-Müllerian hormone inhibits initiation of growth of human primordial ovarian follicles in vitro. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. sept 2006;21(9):2223-7.

14. Visser JA, Themmen APN. Anti-Müllerian hormone and folliculogenesis. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 29 avr 2005;234(1-2):81-6.

15. Hansen, K. R., Hodnett, G. M., Knowlton, N. & Craig, L. B. Correlation of ovarian reserve tests with histologically determined primordial follicle number. *Fertil. Steril.* **95**, 170–175 (2011).

16. M.Š. Alebić, N. Stojanović, D. Dewailly. Discordance between serum anti-Müllerian hormone concentrations and antral follicle counts: not only technical issues. Human Reproduction. Hum Reprod. 2018 Jun 1;33(6):1141-1148

17. Peigné M, Robin G, Catteau-Jonard S, Giacobini P, Dewailly D, Pigny P. [How to deal with the different serum AMH kits in France in 2017?]. Gynecol Obstet Fertil Senol. Oct 2017;45(10):558-65.

18. van Helden J, Weiskirchen R. Performance of the two new fully automated anti-Müllerian hormone immunoassays compared with the clinical standard assay. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. Août 2015; 30(8):1918-26.

19. Nelson SM, Pastuszek E, Kloss G, Malinowska I, Liss J, Lukaszuk A, et al. Two new automated, compared with two enzyme-linked immunosorbent, antimüllerian hormone assays. Fertil Steril. oct 2015;104(4):1016-1021.e6.

20. Depmann M, Eijkemans MJC, Broer SL, Scheffer GJ, van Rooij I a. J, Laven JSE, et al. Does anti-Müllerian hormone predict menopause in the general population? Results of a prospective ongoing cohort study. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. 2016;31(7):1579-87.

21. Anckaert E, Öktem M, Thies A, Cohen-Bacrie M, Daan NMP, Schiettecatte J, et al. Multicenter analytical performance evaluation of a fully automated anti-Müllerian hormone assay and reference interval determination. Clin Biochem. févr 2016;49(3):260-7.

22. Decanter C, Peigne M, Mailliez A, Morschhauser F, Dassonneville A, Dewailly D, et al. Toward a better follow-up of ovarian recovery in young women after chemotherapy with a hypersensitive antimüllerian hormone assay. Fertil Steril. août 2014;102(2):483-7.

23. Kelsey TW, Wright P, Nelson SM, Anderson RA, Wallace WHB. A validated model of serum anti-müllerian hormone from conception to menopause. PloS One. 2011; 6(7):e22024.

24. Lashen H, Dunger DB, Ness A, Ong KK. Peripubertal changes in circulating antimüllerian hormone levels in girls. Fertil Steril. juin 2013;99(7):2071-5.

25. Andersson AM, Müller J, Skakkebaek NE. Different roles of prepubertal and postpubertal germ cells and Sertoli cells in the regulation of serum inhibin B levels. J Clin Endocrinol Metab déc 1998; 83(12):44518.

26. Lindhardt Johansen M, Hagen CP, Johannsen TH, Main KM, Picard J-Y, Jørgensen A, et al. Anti-müllerian hormone and its clinical use in pediatrics with special emphasis on disorders of sex development. Int J Endocrinol. 2013; 2013:198698.

27. Hagen CP, Aksglaede L, Sørensen K, Mouritsen A, Andersson A-M, Petersen JH, et al. Individual serum levels of anti-Müllerian hormone in healthy girls persist through childhood and adolescence: a longitudinal cohort study. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. mars 2012;27(3):861-6.

28. La Marca A, Sighinolfi G, Giulini S, Traglia M, Argento C, Sala C, et al. Normal serum concentrations of anti-Müllerian hormone in women with regular menstrual cycles. Reprod Biomed Online. oct 2010;21(4):463-9.

29. van Disseldorp J, Lambalk CB, Kwee J, Looman CWN, Eijkemans MJC, Fauser BC, et al. Comparison of inter- and intra-cycle variability of anti-Mullerian hormone and antral follicle counts. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. janv 2010;25(1):221-7.

30. Broer SL, Broekmans FJM, Laven JSE, Fauser BCJM. Anti-Müllerian hormone: ovarian reserve testing and its potential clinical implications. Hum Reprod Update. Oct 2014; 20(5):688-701.

31. Bentzen JG, Forman JL, Pinborg A, et al. Ovarian reserve parameters: a comparison between users and non-users of hormonal contraception. Reprod Biomed Online 2012; 25:612–19

32. Kucera R, Ulcova-Gallova Z, Topolcan O. Effect of long-term using of hormonal contraception on anti-Müllerian hormone secretion. Gynecol Endocrinol Off J Int Soc Gynecol Endocrinol. 2016;32(5):383-5.

33. Richardson SJ, Senikas V, Nelson JF. Follicular depletion during the menopausal transition: evidence for accelerated loss and ultimate exhaustion. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Déc 1987; 65(6):1231-7.

34. Dólleman M, Depmann M, Eijkemans MJC, Heimensem J, Broer SL, van der Stroom EM, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a more accurate predictor of individual time to menopause than mother's age at menopause. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. mars 2014;29(3):58491.

35. Harlow SD, Gass M, Hall JE, Lobo R, Maki P, Rebar RW, et al. Executive summary of the Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop + 10: addressing the unfinished agenda of staging reproductive aging. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. avr 2012;97(4):1159-68.

36. Bentzen JG, Forman JL, Johannsen TH, Pinborg A, Larsen EC, Andersen AN. Ovarian antral follicle subclasses and anti-mullerian hormone during normal reproductive aging. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. avr 2013;98(4):160211.

37. Kelsey TW, Wright P, Nelson SM, Anderson RA, Wallace WHB. A validated model of serum anti-müllerian hormone from conception to menopause. PloS One. 2011;6(7):e22024.

38. Broer SL, Eijkemans MJC, Scheffer GJ, van Rooij I a. J, de Vet A, Themmen APN, et al. Antimullerian hormone predicts menopause: a long-term follow-up study in normoovulatory women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. août 2011;96(8):25329.

39. Sowers MR, Eyvazzadeh AD, McConnell D, Yosef M, Jannausch ML, Zhang D, et al. Antimullerian hormone and inhibin B in the definition of ovarian aging and the menopause transition. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. sept 2008;93(9):347883.

40. Tehrani FR, Solaymani-Dodaran M, Tohidi M, Gohari MR, Azizi F. Modeling age at menopause using serum concentration of anti-mullerian hormone. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Févr 2013; 98(2):72935.

41.Bertone-JohnsonER, MansonJE, Purdue-SmitheAC, SteinerAZ, EliassenAH, HankinsonSE, RosnerBA, WhitcombBW.AntiMüllerian hormone levels and incidence of early natural menopause in<br/>Hum Reprod. 2018 Jun 1;33(6):1175-1182.a prospective study.

42. Depmann M, Eijkemans MJC, Broer SL, Broekmans FJM, et al. Does AMH relate to timing of menopause? Results of analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2018 Jul 18. 43. Meirow D, Dor J, Kaufman B, Shrim A, Rabinovici J, Schiff E, et al. Cortical fibrosis and blood-vessels damage in human ovaries exposed to chemotherapy. Potential mechanisms of ovarian injury. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. juin 2007;22(6):1626-33.

44. Peigné M, Decanter C. Serum AMH level as a marker of acute and long-term effects of chemotherapy on the ovarian follicular content: a systematic review. Reprod Biol Endocrinol RBE.26 mars 2014; 12:26.

45. Silva C, Caramelo O, Almeida-Santos T, Ribeiro Rama AC. Factors associated with ovarian function recovery after chemotherapy for breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. 2016;31(12):2737-49.

46. Anderson RA, Rosendahl M, Kelsey TW, Cameron DA. Pretreatment anti-Müllerian hormone predicts for loss of ovarian function after chemotherapy for early breast cancer. Eur J Cancer Oxf Engl 1990. nov 2013;49(16):3404-11.

47. Dezellus A, Barriere P, Campone M, Lemanski C, Vanlemmens L, Mignot L, et al. Prospective evaluation of serum anti-Müllerian hormone dynamics in 250 women of reproductive age treated with chemotherapy for breast cancer. Eur J Cancer Oxf Engl 1990. 2017;79:72-80.

48. Su H-CI, Haunschild C, Chung K, Komrokian S, Boles S, Sammel MD, et al. Prechemotherapy antimullerian hormone, age, and body size predict timing of return of ovarian function in young breast cancer patients. Cancer. 1 déc 2014; 120(23):3691-8.

49. Gracia CR, Sammel MD, Freeman E, Prewitt M, Carlson C, Ray A, et al. Impact of cancer therapies on ovarian reserve. Fertil Steril. janv 2012;97(1):134-140.e1.

50. Alborzi S, Keramati P, Younesi M, Samsami A, Dadras N. The impact of laparoscopic cystectomy on ovarian reserve in patients with unilateral and bilateral endometriomas. Fertil Steril. févr 2014;101(2):427-34.

51. Streuli I, de Ziegler D, Gayet V, Santulli P, Bijaoui G, de Mouzon J, et al. In women with endometriosis anti-Müllerian hormone levels are decreased only in those with previous endometrioma surgery. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. nov 2012; 27(11):3294-303.

52. Streuli I, de Mouzon J, Paccolat C, Chapron C, Petignat P, Irion OP, et al. AMH concentration is not related to effective time to pregnancy in women who conceive naturally. Reprod Biomed Online. févr 2014;28(2):216-24.

53. Raffi F, Metwally M, Amer S. The impact of excision of ovarian endometrioma on ovarian reserve: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Sept 2012; 97(9):3146-54.

54. Somigliana E, Berlanda N, Benaglia L, Viganò P, Vercellini P, Fedele L. Surgical excision of endometriomas and ovarian reserve: a systematic review on serum antimüllerian hormone level modifications. Fertil Steril. déc 2012;98(6):1531-8.

55. Hirokawa W, Iwase A, Goto M, Takikawa S, Nagatomo Y, Nakahara T, et al. The postoperative decline in serum anti-Mullerian hormone correlates with the bilaterality and severity of endometriosis. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. avr 2011; 26(4):904-10. 56. Depmann M, Broer SL, Eijkemans MJC, van Rooij I a. J, Scheffer GJ, Heimensem J, et

al. Anti-Müllerian hormone does not predict time to pregnancy: results of a prospective cohort study. Gynecol Endocrinol Off J Int Soc Gynecol Endocrinol. août 2017;33(8):644-8.

57. Steiner AZ, Herring AH, Kesner JS, Meadows JW, Stanczyk FZ, Hoberman S, et al. Antimüllerian hormone as a predictor of natural fecundability in women aged 30-42 years. Obstet Gynecol. avr 2011; 117(4):798-804.

58. Steiner AZ, Pritchard D, Stanczyk FZ, Kesner JS, Meadows JW, Herring AH, et al. Association Between Biomarkers of Ovarian Reserve and Infertility Among Older Women of Reproductive Age. JAMA. 10 2017; 318(14):1367-76.

59. Gleicher N, Weghofer A, Barad DH. Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) defines, independent of age, low versus good live-birth chances in women with severely diminished ovarian reserve. Fertil Steril. déc 2010;94(7):2824-7.

60. Korsholm A-S, Petersen KB, Bentzen JG, Hilsted LM, Andersen AN, Hvidman HW. Investigation of anti-Müllerian hormone concentrations in relation to natural conception rate and time to pregnancy. Reprod Biomed Online. mai 2018;36(5):568-75.

61. Hagen CP, Vestergaard S, Juul A, Skakkebæk NE, Andersson A-M, Main KM, et al. Low concentration of circulating antimüllerian hormone is not predictive of reduced fecundability in young healthy women: a prospective cohort study. Fertil Steril. déc 2012;98(6):1602-1608.e2.

62. Iliodromiti S, Kelsey TW, Wu O, Anderson RA, Nelson SM. The predictive accuracy of anti- Müllerian hormone for live birth after assisted conception: a systematic review and metaanalysis of the literature. Hum Reprod Update. août 2014;20(4):560-70.

63. Tal R, Tal O, Seifer BJ, Seifer DB. Antimüllerian hormone as predictor of implantation and clinical pregnancy after assisted conception: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Fertil Steril. janv 2015;103(1):119-130.e3.

64. Broer SL, Mol B, Dólleman M, Fauser BC, Broekmans FJM. The role of anti-Müllerian hormone assessment in assisted reproductive technology outcome. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. juin 2010;22(3):193-201.

65. La Marca A, Sighinolfi G, Radi D, Argento C, Baraldi E, Artenisio AC, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) as a predictive marker in assisted reproductive technology (ART). Hum Reprod Update. avr 2010;16(2):113-30.

66. Broer SL, Dólleman M, Opmeer BC, Fauser BC, Mol BW, Broekmans FJM. AMH and AFC as predictors of excessive response in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation: a metaanalysis. Hum Reprod Update. févr 2011;17(1):46-54.

 $67.\ https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg156/chapter/Recommendations \# ftn.footnote\_5.$ 

68. Ferraretti AP, La Marca A, Fauser BCJM, Tarlatzis B, Nargund G, Gianaroli L, et al. ESHRE consensus on the definition of « poor response » to ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization: the Bologna criteria. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. juill 2011;26(7):1616-24.

69. Poseidon Group (Patient-Oriented Strategies Encompassing Individualized Oocyte Number), Alviggi C, Andersen CY, Buehler K, Conforti A, De Placido G, et al. A new more detailed stratification of low responders to ovarian stimulation: from a poor ovarian response to a low prognosis concept. Fertil Steril. juin 2016;105(6):1452-3.

70. Nyboe Andersen A, Nelson SM, Fauser BCJM, García-Velasco JA, Klein BM, Arce J-C, et al. Individualized versus conventional ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization: a multicenter, randomized, controlled, assessor-blinded, phase 3 noninferiority trial. Fertil Steril. 2017;107(2):387-396.e4.

71. Rey RA, Lhommé C, Marcillac I, Lahlou N, Duvillard P, Josso N, et al. Antimüllerian hormone as a serum marker of granulosa cell tumorsof the ovary: comparative study with serum alpha-inhibin and estradiol. Am J Obstet Gynecol. mars 1996;174(3):958-65.

72. Gustafson ML, Lee MM, Scully RE, Moncure AC, Hirakawa T, Goodman A, et al. Müllerian inhibiting substance as a marker for ovarian sex-cord tumor. N Engl J Med. 13 févr 1992; 326(7):466-71.

73. Lane AH, Lee MM, Fuller AF, Kehas DJ, Donahoe PK, MacLaughlin DT. Diagnostic utility of Müllerian inhibiting substance determination in patients with primary and recurrent granulosa cell tumors. Gynecol Oncol. avr 1999;73(1):51-5.

74. Chang HL, Pahlavan N, Halpern EF, MacLaughlin DT. Serum Müllerian Inhibiting Substance/anti-Müllerian hormone levels in patients with adult granulosa cell tumors directly correlate with aggregate tumor mass as determined by pathology or radiology. Gynecol Oncol. juill 2009;114(1):57-60.

75. Walter B, Coelfen A, Jäger K, Reese S, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Aupperle-Lellbach H. Anti-Mullerian hormone concentration in bitches with histopathologically diagnosed ovarian tumours and cysts. Reprod Domest Anim Zuchthyg. juin 2018;53(3):784-92. 76. Geerts I, Vergote I, Neven P, Billen J. The role of inhibins B and antimüllerian hormone for diagnosis and follow-up of granulosa celltumors. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2009 Jul;19(5):847-55

77. Lujan ME, Jarrett BY, Brooks ED, Reines JK, Peppin AK, Muhn N, et al. Updated ultrasound criteria for polycystic ovary syndrome: reliable thresholds for elevated follicle population and ovarian volume. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. mai 2013;28(5):1361-8.

78. Dewailly D, Gronier H, Poncelet E, Robin G, Leroy M, Pigny P, et al. Diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): revisiting the threshold values of follicle count on ultrasound and of the serum AMH level for the definition of polycystic ovaries. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. Nov 2011; 26(11):3123-9.

79. Dewailly D, Alebić MŠ, Duhamel A, Stojanović N. Using cluster analysis to identify a homogeneous subpopulation of women with polycystic ovarian morphology in a population of non-hyperandrogenic women with regular menstrual cycles. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. Nov 2014; 29(11):2536-43.

80. Pellatt L, Hanna L, Brincat M, Galea R, Brain H, Whitehead S, et al. Granulosa cell production of anti-Müllerian hormone is increased in polycystic ovaries. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. janv 2007;92(1):240-5.

81. Eldar-Geva T, Margalioth EJ, Gal M, Ben-Chetrit A, Algur N, Zylber-Haran E, et al. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone levels during controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in women with polycystic ovaries with and without hyperandrogenism. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. Juill 2005; 20(7):1814-9.

82. Carlsen SM, Vanky E, Fleming R. Anti-Müllerian hormone concentrations in androgensuppressed women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. Juill 2009; 24(7):1732-8.

83. Dewailly D, Gronier H, Poncelet E, Robin G, Leroy M, Pigny P, et al. Diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): revisiting the threshold values of follicle count on ultrasound and of the serum AMH level for the definition of polycystic ovaries. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. Nov 2011; 26(11):3123-9.

84. Iliodromiti S, Kelsey TW, Anderson RA, Nelson SM. Can anti-Mullerian hormone predict the diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome? A systematic review and meta-analysis of extracted data. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. août 2013;98(8):3332-40.

85. Pigny P, Gorisse E, Ghulam A, Robin G, Catteau-Jonard S, Duhamel A, et al. Comparative assessment of five serum antimüllerian hormone assays for the diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril. avr 2016;105(4):1063-1069.e3.

86. Goodman NF, Cobin RH, Futterweit W, Glueck JS, Legro RS, Carmina E, et al. Amreican Association of clinical endocrinologists, American College of Endocrinology, and androgen excess and PCOS Society Disease State Clinical Review: guide to the best practices in the evaluation and treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome – Part 1. Endocr Pract Off J Am Coll Endocrinol Am Assoc Clin Endocrinol. Nov 2015;21(11):1291-300.

87. Helena J Teede, Marie L Misso, Michael F Costello, Anuja Dokras, Joop Laven, Lisa Moran, Terhi Piltonen, Robert J Norman. Recommendations from the international evidencebased guideline for the assessment and management of polycystic ovary syndrome. September 2018; 33 (9, 1): 1602–1618.

88. Grynberg M, Peltoketo H, Christin-Maître S, Poulain M, Bouchard P, Fanchin R. First birth achieved after in vitro maturation of oocytes from a woman endowed with multiple antral follicles unresponsive to follicle-stimulating hormone. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Nov 2013; 98(11):4493-8.