



**HAL**  
open science

# HPV detection and genotyping of head and neck cancer biopsies by molecular testing with regard to the new oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma classification based on HPV status

David Veyer, Maxime Wack, Ophélie Grard, Pierre Bonfils, Stéphane Hans, Laurent Bélec, Cécile Badoual, Hélène Péré

## ► To cite this version:

David Veyer, Maxime Wack, Ophélie Grard, Pierre Bonfils, Stéphane Hans, et al.. HPV detection and genotyping of head and neck cancer biopsies by molecular testing with regard to the new oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma classification based on HPV status. *Pathology*, 2019, 51, pp.421 - 425. 10.1016/j.pathol.2019.02.002 . hal-03484421

**HAL Id: hal-03484421**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03484421>**

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **HPV detection and genotyping of FFPE head and neck cancer biopsies by**  
2 **molecular testing to address new oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma**  
3 **classification based on HPV status.**

4  
5 **Running title:** HPV molecular tests comparison on FFPE HNSCC biopsies.

6  
7 **David Veyer<sup>1</sup>, Maxime Wack<sup>4,5</sup>, Ophélie Grard<sup>1</sup>, Pierre Bonfils<sup>3,5</sup>, Stéphane Hans<sup>3a</sup>,**  
8 **Laurent Belec<sup>1,5</sup>, Cécile Badoual<sup>2,5</sup>, Hélène Péré<sup>1,5,#</sup>**

9  
10 <sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de virologie, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou,  
11 and Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France ;

12 <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire d'anatomo-cytopathologie, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou,  
13 and Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris;

14 <sup>3</sup>Service d'ORL et chirurgie cervico-faciale, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou,  
15 and Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris;

16 <sup>4</sup>Département d'Informatique Médicale, Biostatistiques et Santé Publique, Hôpital Européen  
17 Georges Pompidou, and Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris

18 <sup>5</sup>Faculté de Médecine Paris Descartes, Université Paris Descartes (Paris V),  
19 Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris

20  
21  
22  
23 **Key words:** Anyplex II HPV28 Seegene<sup>®</sup> HPV Genotyping assay; Inno-Lipa<sup>®</sup> HPV Genotyping  
24 Extra II ; HPV16 E6 viral load; HNSCC; FFPE biopsy

25  
26  
27 Corresponding author :

28  
29 Dr Hélène Péré  
30 [helene.pere@aphp.fr](mailto:helene.pere@aphp.fr)  
31

32  
33  

---

<sup>a</sup> Stéphane Hans is currently affiliated to the Service d'ORL et de chirurgie cervico-faciale at Hôpital FOCH, UFR Simone Veil, Université Versailles Saint Quentin-en-Yvelines.

34 **Abstract**

35 Recently, both the WHO/IARC (World Health Organisation/International Agency for  
36 Research on Cancer) and the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) have classified  
37 the oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC) on the basis of HPV status. For this  
38 purpose, the WHO/IARC recommended direct molecular HPV testing. In practice, formalin-  
39 fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) biopsy specimens are frequently the only available samples.  
40 We herein compared in parallel two commercially available molecular assays that were firstly  
41 designed for cervical HPV detection and genotyping: Inno-Lipa<sup>®</sup> HPV genotyping extra II  
42 assay and Anyplex<sup>™</sup> II HPV 28.

43 A total of 55 samples were tested. By IL assay, chosen as reference assay, 27 (49.1%)  
44 biopsies were positive for HPV16, 10 (18.2%) were positive for HPV but negative for  
45 HPV16, and 18 (32.7%) were negative for HPV. A valid result with AP28 was obtained for  
46 51 biopsy samples (92.7%). Among 37 HPV-positive samples by IL, 33 (89.2%) were  
47 positive by AP28. The agreement between both assays was good (Cohen's  $\kappa = 0.78$ ). Among  
48 the six discrepancies between assays, always associated with low HPV16 viral load, four  
49 biopsies positive for HPV16 by IL could not be detected by AP28.

50 Taken together, these observations demonstrate that both assays could be used in routine for  
51 HPV detection and genotyping on FFPE-biopsy samples of head and neck tumour.

52

53

## 54 **Introduction**

55           With 600,000 cases per year, head and neck cancer was estimated to be the sixth most  
56 common cancer worldwide <sup>1</sup>. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) represents  
57 90% of them. Since 2007, human papillomavirus (HPV) was considered as an independent  
58 risk factor for HNSCC by the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research  
59 on Cancer (WHO/IARC) <sup>2</sup>. Broad genetic distribution of HPV has been reported in  
60 oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC), including HPV16 in 85% of cases,  
61 followed by HPV18, 31, 33, 35, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 68 and 82 <sup>3,4</sup>. Finally, OPSCC is  
62 currently considered as an epidemic viral-induced carcinoma, since the incidence of HPV-  
63 positive carcinoma of the tonsil nearly doubled every 10 years <sup>5-8</sup>.

64           Recently, the major modification in the eight edition of the American Joint Committee  
65 on Cancer (AJCC) Staging Manual, Head and Neck Section was the introduction of a specific  
66 staging algorithm for high-risk (HR) HPV-associated OPSCC <sup>9</sup>. Furthermore, the 2017  
67 edition of the WHO/IARC on Head and Neck tumor classified the OPSCC on the basis of  
68 HPV status <sup>10-12</sup>. HPV-positive OPSCC constitutes a tumor entity with better prognosis, a  
69 distinct epidemiological profile, with specific genetic features and clinical presentations and  
70 outcomes. Immuno-histochemical detection of HPV using p16 staining as surrogate marker of  
71 HPV has been until now widely carried out <sup>13</sup>. The 2017-revised WHO/IARC  
72 recommendations introduced direct HPV testing based on *in-situ* hybridization and/or PCR in  
73 order to classify the OPSCC according to HPV status <sup>12</sup>. Until now, several commercially  
74 available assays have been clinically validated for the detection and genotyping of HPV-  
75 associated cervical cancer <sup>14,15</sup>, whereas to our knowledge none have been validated for  
76 OPSCC. Furthermore, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) biopsy samples are  
77 frequently the only available ones for molecular testing after pathological examination. Such

78 FFPE samples however necessitate specific processing before PCR analysis because formalin  
79 fixation induces fragmentation of nucleic acids<sup>16-18</sup>.

80 Taken together, the aim of the present study was to evaluate a new multiplex real-time  
81 PCR-based assay (Anyplex™ II HPV 28, Seegene, Seoul, South Korea) (AP28), allowing to  
82 detect and genotype a wide range of high-risk HPV genotypes and previously tested for  
83 cervical samples<sup>19,20</sup>, in a prospective series of OPSCC FFPE samples, by reference to Inno-  
84 Lipa® HPV genotyping extra II assay (Fujirebio, Gent, Belgium) (IL) chosen as reference  
85 assay<sup>21-23</sup>.

86

## 87 **Materials and methods**

88 **Collection of biopsy samples and processing.** Head and neck biopsy samples received  
89 before treatment at the European Georges Pompidou hospital were prospectively included  
90 between 2014 and 2017 for routine pathologic examination. Patient had not received any  
91 treatment for their cancer at the time of the biopsy. The biopsies were fixed in formalin 10%  
92 overnight and included in paraffin. FFPE-biopsies diagnosed as HNSCC were selected by a  
93 pathologist for further cutting in 5- to 20- µm sections. Five sections were sent to the ISO  
94 15189-accredited virology laboratory of the hospital for DNA extraction prior to HPV  
95 detection and genotyping by IL and, in parallel, by in-house quantitative real-time PCR  
96 targeting E6 gene from HPV16 (HPV16 qPCR). Afterwards, same DNA extracts were  
97 subjected to multiplex HPV PCR by AP28.

98 **DNA extraction procedures.** Sections of FFPE-biopsies were deparaffinised overnight at  
99 +56°C with 40 µl of proteinase K (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and 360 µl of ATL buffer  
100 (Qiagen). Afterwards, 200 µl of ATL buffer were added and incubated 10 min at +70°C.  
101 DNA was further extracted using QiaAmp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen), and eluted in 50 µl of  
102 PCR-grade water. For discordant results between IL and AP28, 5 new sections of biopsy

103 samples were also subjected to DNA extraction procedure optimized for FFPE-biopsies, as  
104 previously described by Steinau *et al*<sup>17</sup>.

105 **HPV detection and genotyping in routine.** Two molecular HPV assays are used in parallel  
106 for routine HPV detection and genotyping on FFPE-biopsies. The IL assay consists of PCR  
107 amplification of a small 65 bp-fragment of the L1 gene using SPF10 primers sets and the  
108 ubiquitary gene human leukocyte antigen-DPB1 as internal control, followed by hybridization  
109 of specific HPV probes in a dedicated automat according to manufacturer's instructions. The  
110 IL assay detects 13 HR HPV (HPV -16, -18, -31, -33, -35, -39, -45, -51, -52, -56, -58, -59, -  
111 68), 9 low-risk (LR) HPV (HPV -06, -11, -40, -42, -43, -44, -54, -61, -81), 7 genotypes  
112 reported as possibly carcinogenic (HPV -26, -53, -66, -67, -70, -73, -82) and 3 genotypes not  
113 described as carcinogenic (HPV -62, -83, -89)<sup>24</sup>.

114 HPV16 qPCR was also systematically carried out, as previously described<sup>25</sup>, in order  
115 to double check every sample for HPV16 which constitutes the most prevalent HPV genotype  
116 in HNSCC<sup>26</sup>, as well as to assess lack of contamination by IL. For quantification, serial  
117 dilutions of titrated Caski cells (Amplirun, Orgentec, France) were used to plot external  
118 standard curve.

119 Positive controls for HPV16 and HPV18 consisted in DNA extracted from SiHa and  
120 HeLa cell lines, respectively; water was used as negative control.

121 **HPV detection and genotyping by multiplex PCR.** The AP28 assay that distinguishes 28  
122 HPV genotypes, by amplifying 100 to 200 bp-fragments of the L1 gene [including 13 HR  
123 types (HPV -16, -18, -31, -33, -35, -39, -45, -51, -52, -56, -58, -59, and -68), 8 LR types (HPV -  
124 -6, -11, -40, -42, -43, -44, -54, -61) and 7 genotypes reported as possibly carcinogenic (HPV -  
125 26, 53, -66, -69, 70 -73 and -82)], and human gene  $\beta$ -globin in two different reactions was  
126 used for multiplex HPV molecular testing<sup>27</sup>. Melting curves were obtained at 30, 40, and 50  
127 cycles. Results were first automatically analysed using the Seegene Viewer software, version

128 2.0 (Seegene) and raw data of results were checked by the virologist. The results were  
129 considered as invalid when the negative controls were negative and no HPV was found. An  
130 estimation of the viral load was approached by indicating the cycle number at which the  
131 positivity was detected: + (50 cycles), ++ (40 cycles) and +++ (30 cycles).

132 **Ethical clearance.** All included patients belonged to a cohort declared and approved by the  
133 Ethics Committee (Comité de Protection des Personnes Ile de France II, no. 2015-09-04)

134 **Statistical analysis.** IL assay was chosen in our laboratory as the reference technique for  
135 HPV detection and genotyping, because the assay was reported to show high analytical  
136 sensitivity and specificity on FFPE samples<sup>28,29</sup>. Results strictly similar by AP28 and IL  
137 assays were defined as identical; results giving at least one identical HPV genotype by AP28  
138 and IL assays were defined as compatible; other results were defined as discordant.  
139 Agreement between IL and AP28 assays was assessed by the Cohen's  $\kappa$  test: 1 indicating  
140 perfect agreement; 1 to 0.81, very good agreement; 0.80 to 0.61, good agreement; 0.60 to  
141 0.21; moderate to poor agreement. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare HPV16 viral  
142 loads between samples showing concordant or discordant results. A linear regression model  
143 was used to assess the relation between the viral load and the semi-quantitative result of  
144 AP28.

145

## 146 **Results**

147 Fifty-five biopsy samples from patients followed for HNSCC were prospectively selected. By  
148 the IL assay, 27 (49.1%) biopsies were HPV16-positive and 10 (18.2%) were HPV-positive  
149 but not HPV16-positive, as depicted in the Table 1. Among the 37 positive samples, 3  
150 (biopsies #8, #19 and #33) were positive for more than one HPV. Finally, 18 (32.7%) biopsy  
151 samples were HPV-negative.

152

153 **Comparison of HPV detection and genotyping results between IL and AP28 assays.**

154 The same DNA extracts from the 55 selected biopsy samples tested by IL were further  
155 subjected to AP28 assay. Among 7 discordant samples, one that was initially negative when  
156 analyzed with the Seegene Viewer software was finally classified as positive after raw data  
157 analysis; the raw data analysis of the remaining discordant samples (corresponding to 10.9%  
158 of samples) gave similar results to the ones obtained by automatic analysis. Final results are  
159 shown in the Tables 1 and 2. A valid result with AP28 was obtained for 51 biopsy samples  
160 (92.7%) (Table 2). Among the 37 HPV-positive biopsy samples by IL, 33 (89.2%) were  
161 found positive by AP28, including 90.9% of identical results and 9.1% of compatible results,  
162 the remaining results being either invalid (n=2) or negative (n=2). Finally, the vast majority  
163 (n=16; 88.9%) of 18 biopsy samples negative by IL were also negative by AP28; only 2 IL-  
164 negative biopsies were found invalid by AP28. The overall agreement between both assays  
165 was good (Cohen's  $\kappa$  coefficient = 0.78). Among the 27 samples that were HPV16-positive  
166 with IL, AP28 detected HPV16 in 23 (85.2%), 2 (7.4%) were negative and 2 (7.4%) invalid  
167 (Table 1). AP28 did not detect any HPV16 in samples that were negative for HPV16 with IL.  
168 Regarding HPV16 detection, the agreement between both assays was good (Cohen's  
169  $\kappa$  coefficient=0.75).

170 **HPV16 viral load.** HPV16 qPCR was carried out on the 55 biopsy samples. All HPV16-  
171 positive samples with IL were positive by HPV16 qPCR and all HPV16-negative samples  
172 with IL were also negative with HPV16 qPCR (Table 1). The median of HPV16 viral loads  
173 was higher in concordant than in discordant samples [20,800 copies/ $\mu$ l (4.32 log/ $\mu$ l), range,  
174 734-595000 *versus* 503 copies/ $\mu$ l (2.70 log/ $\mu$ l), range, 133-512; P=0.00077]. Interestingly, the  
175 HPV16 viral loads of IL-positive/AP28-negative results were low (Table 1). As expected,  
176 using a linear regression model, a significant relation (P=0.000388) was observed between the  
177 viral load (expressed in log<sub>10</sub>) and the AP28 semi-quantitative results with a linear coefficient



178 of 0.79 log between each level of AP28 (negative, +, ++ and +++). The Figure 1 depicts the  
179 results of HPV16 viral loads and AP28 semi-quantitative results.

180

## 181 **Discussion**

182 We herein compared on a large series of FFPE-biopsy samples of HNSCC two  
183 commercially available molecular assays targeting L1 gene for routine HPV detection and  
184 genotyping. Nearly half of FFPE-biopsy samples from patients followed for HNSCC in our  
185 hospital was found positive for HPV DNA using IL as reference assay, emphasizing the need  
186 to strictly follow the recent 2017-revised WHO/IARC recommendation of direct HPV testing  
187 in case of OPSCC. Both assays showed good agreement for HPV detection as well as for  
188 genotyping. However, rare discrepancies between assays could be observed with positive  
189 samples for HPV16 by IL which were not detected by AP28. Interestingly, these  
190 discrepancies were always associated with low HPV16 viral load. These observations  
191 demonstrate that both assays could be used in routine for HPV detection and genotyping on  
192 FFPE-biopsy samples of HNSCC, keeping in mind that a few biopsy samples with low  
193 HPV16 viral load could be missed. Taken together, our findings clearly emphasize the  
194 necessity to validate commercially available molecular assays on patients' biopsy samples,  
195 and to confront the results with other molecular technics in case of low HPV viral load.

196 In the present series, the calculated agreement between IL and AP28 results was good,  
197 both for HPV16 and other genotypes. However, around ten percent of biopsy samples gave  
198 discrepancies between both assays, including biopsies positive for HPV16 by IL which were  
199 not detected by AP28. Using HPV16 qPCR, low HPV16 viral load was clearly associated  
200 with the misdetection of HPV16 by AP28 assay. Although HPV16 viral load was not  
201 normalized on extracted DNA quantity, the experimentations were carried out on the same  
202 tissue extract allowing accurate comparison of the results obtained by AP28 and HPV16

203 qPCR. The capability of IL assay to detect HPV16 in samples harboring low HPV16 viral  
204 load is likely due to sufficient amplification efficiency in samples containing fragmented  
205 DNA. Indeed, it is well reported that DNA recovering in FFPE specimens may be influenced  
206 by several factors, such as formalin quality and concentration, length of fixation, paraffin  
207 quality and temperature <sup>30</sup>. As a consequence, DNA in FFPE biopsy is either completely or  
208 partially degraded into DNA fragments of 200 bp or less <sup>16</sup>. The IL assay relies on the  
209 amplification of shorter fragments than AP28 assay, a feature which could partly explain the  
210 discrepancies observed between both assays in our series. Furthermore, discordant results  
211 could also be associated with extract quantity used for experiment. Thus, the IL assay requires  
212 more quantity of tissue extract (10 µl) than AP28 (5 µl), according to manufacturers'  
213 instructions. The possibility may be also envisioned that mutations affecting the priming sites  
214 of AP28 primers could have led to misdetection. This hypothesis should be however ruled out  
215 because the correlation between the efficiency of detection by AP28 and HPV16 viral load  
216 was marked. Finally, we checked that observed discrepancies were not due to extraction  
217 procedures.

218         In our series, one negative sample by AP28 which was positive by IL was finally  
219 diagnosed as positive for HPV16 after analysis of the raw data. This finding indicates that raw  
220 data of every AP28 negative samples need to be analyzed in order to detect some of the false-  
221 negative results. Indeed, the automatic analysis and cut-off used by AP28 test may miss out  
222 some HPV-positive samples since the assay was primarily designed to detect HPV on either  
223 cervical swab or liquid based cytology specimen and not on FFPE samples from  
224 oropharyngeal origin. In practice, the automatic Seegene software interpretation should be  
225 used with caution for negative or invalid FFPE biopsies.

226         Our observations indicate that IL as well as AP28 assays could be used in clinical  
227 laboratory to detect and genotype HPV in FFPE OPSCC biopsy samples. To our knowledge,

228 only one study compared the performance of the AP28 assay and the CLART system from  
229 Genomica (Madrid, Spain) on only 3 FFPE HNSCC samples <sup>27</sup>. In our series, the IL assay  
230 appeared as sensitive as in-house HPV16 qPCR, in keeping with previous reports showing  
231 that IL is highly reliable on FFPE archival samples. Nevertheless, the AP28 technic could be  
232 preferred depending on the recruitment of the laboratory, particularly if the number of  
233 samples is important. Indeed, AP28 relies on real-time PCR, which allows easier and faster  
234 procedure as compared with IL technic which appears more cumbersome and requires  
235 specific material for hybridization on membrane following PCR amplification. In addition, the  
236 risk of cross contamination between samples is less important with AP28 than with IL.  
237 However, it should be kept in mind that misdetection of HPV16-positive biopsies could occur  
238 with AP28 assay. Since HPV16 is known to be the most prevalent HPV genotype in OPSCC,  
239 diagnosed in 85% of cases <sup>26</sup>, one could strongly recommend confirming the negative results  
240 by AP28 with HPV16 genotype-specific molecular assay validated on FFPE samples. In our  
241 laboratory, we have chosen to screen first for HPV16 by in-house HPV16 qPCR, and then to  
242 test further the negative samples with IL or AP28 to potentially detect less common genotypes  
243 in OPSCC, or confirm the negativity for HPV.

244 In conclusion, our observations demonstrate that both commercially available IL and  
245 AP28 assays could be used in clinical laboratories with similar performances in routine on  
246 OPSCC FFPE samples, in order to address the recent recommendations for direct HPV testing  
247 in OPSCC <sup>12</sup>. Nevertheless, low HPV viral load in FFPE biopsy samples could be a limiting  
248 factor, rendering in medical practice the diagnosis of HPV in OPSCC sometimes difficult.  
249 Finally, HPV diagnosis and genotyping in OPSCC may necessitate several complementary  
250 technics, to overcome the limitation of some commercially available assays.

251

252

253 **Authors' contributions.** DV, OG, CB and HP have conceived and designed the research;  
254 OG, has performed the experiments; MW performed statistical analyses; DV, PB, SH, CB and  
255 HP analyzed the results ; DV, LB and HP drafted the manuscript.

256 **Funding.** No grant was received for the study.

257

258

259 **References**

- 260 1. Dayyani F, Etzel CJ, Liu M, Ho C-H, Lippman SM, Tsao AS. Meta-analysis of the  
261 impact of human papillomavirus (HPV) on cancer risk and overall survival in head and  
262 neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC). *Head Neck Oncol* [Internet]. 2010 Jun 29  
263 [cited 2018 Mar 26];2(1):15. Available from:  
264 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20587061>
- 265 2. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Human  
266 papillomaviruses. *IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog risks to humans* [Internet]. 2007 [cited  
267 2018 Jul 6];90:1–636. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18354839>
- 268 3. Kreimer AR, Clifford GM, Boyle P, Franceschi S. Human Papillomavirus Types in  
269 Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinomas Worldwide: A Systematic Review. *Cancer*  
270 *Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* [Internet]. 2005 Feb 1 [cited 2018 Mar 26];14(2):467–75.  
271 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15734974>
- 272 4. Si-Mohamed A, Badoual C, Hans S, Péré H, Tartour E, Brasnu D. An unusual human  
273 papillomavirus type 82 detection in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma: case report and  
274 review of literature. *J Clin Virol* [Internet]. 2012 Jun [cited 2018 Mar 26];54(2):190–3.  
275 Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1386653212000923>
- 276 5. Ryerson AB, Peters ES, Coughlin SS, Chen VW, Gillison ML, Reichman ME, et al.  
277 Burden of potentially human papillomavirus-associated cancers of the oropharynx and  
278 oral cavity in the US, 1998-2003. *Cancer* [Internet]. 2008 Nov 15 [cited 2018 Mar  
279 26];113(10 Suppl):2901–9. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/cncr.23745>
- 280 6. Hocking JS, Stein A, Conway EL, Regan D, Grulich A, Law M, et al. Head and neck  
281 cancer in Australia between 1982 and 2005 show increasing incidence of potentially  
282 HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancers. *Br J Cancer* [Internet]. 2011 Mar 1 [cited 2018  
283 Mar 26];104(5):886–91. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/6606091>

- 284 7. Näsman A, Attner P, Hammarstedt L, Du J, Eriksson M, Giraud G, et al. Incidence of  
285 human papillomavirus (HPV) positive tonsillar carcinoma in Stockholm, Sweden: An  
286 epidemic of viral-induced carcinoma? *Int J Cancer* [Internet]. 2009 Jul 15 [cited 2018  
287 Jul 6];125(2):362–6. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19330833>
- 288 8. Chaturvedi AK. Epidemiology and Clinical Aspects of HPV in Head and Neck  
289 Cancers. *Head Neck Pathol* [Internet]. 2012 Jul 3 [cited 2018 Mar 26];6(S1):16–24.  
290 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22782220>
- 291 9. Lydiatt WM, Patel SG, O’Sullivan B, Brandwein MS, Ridge JA, Migliacci JC, et al.  
292 Head and Neck cancers-major changes in the American Joint Committee on cancer  
293 eighth edition cancer staging manual. *CA Cancer J Clin* [Internet]. 2017 Mar [cited  
294 2018 Nov 9];67(2):122–37. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.3322/caac.21389>
- 295 10. Westra WH, Lewis JS. Update from the 4th Edition of the World Health Organization  
296 Classification of Head and Neck Tumours: Oropharynx. *Head Neck Pathol* [Internet].  
297 2017 Mar 28 [cited 2018 Mar 26];11(1):41–7. Available from:  
298 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28247229>
- 299 11. El-Naggar AK, Chan JKC, Takata T, Grandis JR, Slootweg PJ. The fourth edition of  
300 the head and neck World Health Organization blue book: editors’ perspectives. *Hum*  
301 *Pathol* [Internet]. 2017 Aug [cited 2018 Mar 26];66:10–2. Available from:  
302 <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0046817717301715>
- 303 12. El-Naggar AK, Chan JKC, Rubin Grandis J, Takata T, Slootweg PJ, International  
304 Agency for Research on Cancer. WHO classification of head and neck tumours  
305 [Internet]. [cited 2018 Jul 6]. 347 p. Available from: [http://publications.iarc.fr/Book-](http://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Who-Iarc-Classification-Of-Tumours/Who-Classification-Of-Head-And-Neck-Tumours-2017)  
306 [And-Report-Series/Who-Iarc-Classification-Of-Tumours/Who-Classification-Of-Head-](http://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Who-Iarc-Classification-Of-Tumours/Who-Classification-Of-Head-And-Neck-Tumours-2017)  
307 [And-Neck-Tumours-2017](http://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Who-Iarc-Classification-Of-Tumours/Who-Classification-Of-Head-And-Neck-Tumours-2017)
- 308 13. Augustin J, Outh-Gauer S, Mandavit M, Gasne C, Grard O, Denize T, et al. Evaluation

- 309 of the efficacy of the 4 tests (p16 immunochemistry, polymerase chain reaction, DNA,  
310 and RNA in situ hybridization) to evaluate a human papillomavirus infection in head  
311 and neck cancers: a cohort of 348 French squamous cell carcinomas. *Hum Pathol*  
312 [Internet]. 2018 Aug [cited 2018 Sep 25];78:63–71. Available from:  
313 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29684499>
- 314 14. Arbyn M, Snijders PJF, Meijer CJLM, Berkhof J, Cuschieri K, Kocjan BJ, et al. Which  
315 high-risk HPV assays fulfil criteria for use in primary cervical cancer screening? 2015  
316 [cited 2018 Sep 25]; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2015.04.015>
- 317 15. Hesselink AT, Sahli R, Berkhof J, Snijders PJF, van der Salm ML, Agard D, et al.  
318 Clinical validation of Anyplex<sup>TM</sup> II HPV HR Detection according to the guidelines for  
319 HPV test requirements for cervical cancer screening. *J Clin Virol* [Internet]. 2016 Mar  
320 [cited 2018 Sep 25];76:36–9. Available from:  
321 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26809131>
- 322 16. Gilbert MTP, Haselkorn T, Bunce M, Sanchez JJ, Lucas SB, Jewell LD, et al. The  
323 isolation of nucleic acids from fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues-which methods are  
324 useful when? Volff J-N, editor. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2007 Jun 20 [cited 2018 Mar  
325 26];2(6):e537. Available from: <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000537>
- 326 17. Steinau M, Patel SS, Unger ER. Efficient DNA extraction for HPV genotyping in  
327 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues. *J Mol Diagn* [Internet]. 2011 Jul [cited 2018  
328 Mar 26];13(4):377–81. Available from:  
329 <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1525157811001140>
- 330 18. Kocjan BJ, Hošnjak L, Poljak M. Detection of alpha human papillomaviruses in  
331 archival formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue specimens. *J Clin Virol*  
332 [Internet]. 2016 Mar [cited 2018 Mar 26];76 Suppl 1:S88–97. Available from:  
333 <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1386653215006927>

- 334 19. Kwon M-J, Roh KH, Park H, Woo H-Y. Comparison of the Anyplex II HPV28 assay  
335 with the Hybrid Capture 2 assay for the detection of HPV infection. *J Clin Virol*  
336 [Internet]. 2014 Apr [cited 2018 Mar 26];59(4):246–9. Available from:  
337 <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1386653214000353>
- 338 20. Estrade C, Sahli R, McAdam AJ. Comparison of Seegene Anyplex II HPV28 with the  
339 PGMY-CHUV assay for human papillomavirus genotyping. *J Clin Microbiol*  
340 [Internet]. 2014 Feb 1 [cited 2018 Mar 26];52(2):607–12. Available from:  
341 <http://jcm.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/JCM.02749-13>
- 342 21. Alberizzi P, Spinillo A, Gardella B, Cesari S, Silini EM. Evaluation of the HPV typing  
343 INNO-LiPA EXTRA assay on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded cervical biopsy  
344 samples. *J Clin Virol* [Internet]. 2014 Dec [cited 2017 Aug 9];61(4):535–9. Available  
345 from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S138665321400403X>
- 346 22. Castro FA, Koshiol J, Quint W, Wheeler CM, Gillison ML, Vaughan LM, et al.  
347 Detection of HPV DNA in paraffin-embedded cervical samples: a comparison of four  
348 genotyping methods. *BMC Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2015 Dec 25 [cited 2017 Aug  
349 9];15(1):544. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26607224>
- 350 23. St Guily JL, Jacquard A-C, Prétet J-L, Haesebaert J, Beby-Defaux A, Clavel C, et al.  
351 Human papillomavirus genotype distribution in oropharynx and oral cavity cancer in  
352 France--The EDiTH VI study. *J Clin Virol* [Internet]. 2011 Jun [cited 2018 Mar  
353 26];51(2):100–4. Available from:  
354 <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1386653211001077>
- 355 24. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans.,  
356 International Agency for Research on Cancer. A review of human carcinogens.  
357 Biological agents. [Internet]. International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2012 [cited  
358 2018 Oct 17]. 475 p. Available from: <http://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report->



- 359 Series/Iarc-Monographs-On-The-Evaluation-Of-Carcinogenic-Risks-To-  
360 Humans/Biological-Agents-2012
- 361 25. Prétet J-L, Dalstein V, Monnier-Benoit S, Delpéut S, Mouglin C. High risk HPV load  
362 estimated by Hybrid Capture II® correlates with HPV16 load measured by real-time  
363 PCR in cervical smears of HPV16-infected women. *J Clin Virol* [Internet]. 2004 Oct  
364 [cited 2018 Jul 6];31(2):140–7. Available from:  
365 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15364271>
- 366 26. Gillison ML, Chaturvedi AK, Anderson WF, Fakhry C. Epidemiology of Human  
367 Papillomavirus–Positive Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*  
368 [Internet]. 2015 Oct 10 [cited 2018 Mar 26];33(29):3235–42. Available from:  
369 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26351338>
- 370 27. Lillsunde Larsson G, Carlsson J, Karlsson MG, Helenius G. Evaluation of HPV  
371 Genotyping Assays for Archival Clinical Samples. *J Mol Diagn* [Internet]. 2015 May  
372 [cited 2018 Mar 26];17(3):293–301. Available from:  
373 <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1525157815000410>
- 374 28. Gravitt PE, van Doorn LJ, Quint W, Schiffman M, Hildesheim A, Glass AG, et al.  
375 Human papillomavirus (HPV) genotyping using paired exfoliated cervicovaginal cells  
376 and paraffin-embedded tissues to highlight difficulties in attributing HPV types to  
377 specific lesions. *J Clin Microbiol* [Internet]. 2007 Oct 1 [cited 2017 Aug  
378 9];45(10):3245–50. Available from: <http://jcm.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/JCM.00216-07>
- 379 29. Tan SE, Garland SM, Rumbold AR, Tabrizi SN. Comparison of the INNO-LiPA and  
380 PapType assays for detection of human papillomavirus in archival vulva dysplasia  
381 and/or neoplasia tissue biopsy specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* [Internet]. 2011 Nov [cited  
382 2017 Aug 9];49(11):3980–2. Available from:  
383 <http://jcm.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/JCM.00516-11>

- 384 30. Klopfleisch R, Weiss ATA, Gruber AD. Excavation of a buried treasure--DNA,  
385 mRNA, miRNA and protein analysis in formalin fixed, paraffin embedded tissues.  
386 *Histol Histopathol* [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2018 Mar 26];26(6):797–810. Available  
387 from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21472693>

388

389

390 **Table 1.** HPV detection and genotyping by IL, AP28 and E6 HPV-16 detection and quantification by in-  
 391 house PCR of 37 IL-positive FFPE-biopsies diagnosed as HNSCC. HPV 16-positive samples are presented  
 392 by increasing viral load.

ID	HPV genotyping results		In-house E6 HPV16 PCR	
	IL	AP28 (semi-quantitative results <sup>a</sup> )	Qualitative results	Viral load [copies/ $\mu$ l (log)]
#3	HPV16	Invalid <sup>b</sup>	Positive	ND
#5	HPV16	Invalid <sup>b</sup>	Positive	133 (2.12)
#7	HPV16	Negative <sup>b</sup>	Positive	503 (2.70)
#4	HPV16	Negative <sup>b</sup>	Positive	512 (2.72)
#30	HPV16	HPV16 (+)	Positive	734 (2.87)
#50	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	3,910 (3.59)
#33	HPV16 ; HPV82	HPV16 (+)	Positive	4,000 (3.60)
#9	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	4,860 (3.69)
#14	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	5,010 (3.70)
#46	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	5,070 (3.70)
#10	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	5,360 (3.73)
#45	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	5,650 (3.75)
#35	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	7,730 (3.89)
#13	HPV16	HPV16 (+)	Positive	9,230 (3.97)
#49	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	20,200 (4.31)
#44	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	20,800 (4.32)
#12	HPV16	HPV16 (+)	Positive	35,200 (4.55)
#2	HPV16	HPV16 (+)	Positive	40,200 (4.60)
#48	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	78,300 (4.89)
#11	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	78,400 (4.89)
#32	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	80,200 (4.90)
#16	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	82,400 (4.92)
#6	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	112,000 (5.05)
#27	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	128,000 (5.11)
#39	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	183,000 (5.26)
#1	HPV16	HPV16 (++)	Positive	323,000 (5.51)
#42	HPV16	HPV16 (+++)	Positive	595,000 (5.77)
#34	HPV6	HPV6 (++)	Negative	NA
#43	HPV6	HPV6 (++)	Negative	NA
#18	HPV11	HPV11 (++)	Negative	NA
#31	HPV11	HPV11 (++)	Negative	NA
#40	HPV11	HPV11 (++)	Negative	NA
#19	HPV18 ; HPV39p	HPV18 (++)	Negative	NA
#47	HPV18	HPV18 (+++)	Negative	NA
#8	HPV33 ; HPV52p	HPV33 (+++)	Negative	NA
#38	HPV59	HPV59 (++)	Negative	NA
#22	HPV82	HPV82 (+++)	Negative	NA

393 <sup>a</sup> Semi-quantitative results are given in brackets (detection of signal at 30 cycles: +++ ; 40 cycles: ++ ; 50 cycles: +);

394 <sup>b</sup> Results checked manually without the automated analysis software (Seegene Viewer version 2.0, Seegene).

395 IL: Inno-Lipa® HPV genotyping extra II assay (Fujirebio, Gent, Belgium); AP28: Anyplex™ II HPV 28, Seegene (Seoul, South  
396 Korea); NA: Not attributable; ND: Not done; p: probable  
397  
398

399

400 **Table 2.** Qualitative detection of HPV by IL and AP28

401 assays in 55 FFPE-biopsies diagnosed as HNSCC.

402

IL/AP28 results	N (%)
<b>Concordant results</b>	
. <b>Positive/Positive</b>	33 (60.0)
○ <b>Identical</b>	30 (90.9) <sup>a,b</sup>
○ <b>Compatible</b>	3 (9.1) <sup>c</sup>
. <b>Negative/Negative</b>	16 (29.1)
<b>Discordant results</b>	
. <b>Positive/Negative</b>	2 (3.6)
. <b>Positive/Invalid</b>	2 (3.6)
. <b>Negative/Invalid</b>	2 (3.6)
<b>Total</b>	
	55 (100.0)

418

419 <sup>a</sup> In brackets: percentage of IL/AP28 concordant out of total Positive/Positive;420 <sup>b</sup> Among discordant samples, only one initially negative by Seegene Viewer

421 software (Seegene) showed raw data compatible with positivity, and was

422 classified as positive sample;

423 <sup>c</sup> In brackets: percentage of IL/AP28 compatible out of total Positive/Positive.424 IL: Inno-Lipa<sup>®</sup> HPV genotyping extra II assay (Fujirebio, Gent, Belgium);425 AP28: Anyplex<sup>™</sup> II HPV 28, Seegene (Seoul, South Korea)

426

427

428 **Legend for the figure**

429 **Figure 1.** Comparison of HPV16 viral loads (in-house qPCR) and HPV16 AP28 semi-  
430 quantitative results. HPV16 semi-quantitative results are presented as negative, +, ++ and +++  
431 according to the cycle at which the signal is detected (no detection, detection at 50, 40 and 30  
432 cycles respectively). The Spearman's rank correlation test is shown on the figure ( $R=0.54$ ,  
433  $p=0.0058$ ).

434

