

# French handlers' perspectives on Animal-Assisted Interventions

Alice Mignot, Karelle de Luca, Gérard Leboucher, Véronique Servais

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Alice Mignot, Karelle de Luca, Gérard Leboucher, Véronique Servais. French handlers' perspectives on Animal-Assisted Interventions. Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice, 2021, 44, pp.101356.  $10.1016/\mathrm{j.ctcp.}2021.101356$ . hal-03484132

HAL Id: hal-03484132

https://hal.science/hal-03484132

Submitted on 24 May 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# French handlers' perspectives on Animal-

# **Assisted Interventions**

#### Authors:

Alice Mignot1, 2, 3\*, Karelle de Luca3, Gérard Leboucher2, Véronique Servais1

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire d'Anthropologie Sociale et Culturelle, Université de Liège, France

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire Ethologie, Cognition, Developpement, Université Paris Nanterre, France

<sup>3</sup> R&D Lyon, Boehringer Ingelheim Animal Health, Saint Priest, France

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Alice Mignot, MSc in Clinical psychology, Ph.D student

Laboratoire éthologie, cognition, développement

Université de Nanterre, Batiment Charlotte Delbo (ex BSL) 1er étage

200, avenue de la République

92001 Nanterre Cedex 14

alice.mignot@parisnanterre.fr

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests: None

Word count: 3734

# French handlers' perspectives on Animal-

# Assisted Interventions

- 3 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
- 4 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
- 5 Competing interests: None
- 6 Word count: 3914

## 7 Abstract (321)

- 8 Background: Animal-Assisted Interventions (AAI) are well implemented in human
- 9 healthcare, in France as elsewhere; yet there are still difficulties in characterizing these
- practices and misconceptions about their mechanisms little is known about the French
- practice of AAI and about the human-animal team.
- 12 Objectives: This study aims to characterize AAI by exploring their specificities through
- 13 French handlers' perspectives.
- 14 Material and method: An online survey addressed to French handlers working in AAI with
- mainly one dog was carried out. This research included questions about their practice in AAI
- 16 (registration status, beneficiaries, and animals) and their background (training in AAI, training
- 17 in the medico-social field, training in animal behavior). We then examined a
- 18 phenomenological understanding of handlers' definitions of their practice in AAI, their
- motivations to work with these approaches, and the expectations of the human-animal team.
- We used an open coding strategy and created major themes from their answers.
- 21 Results: 111 handlers participated in this study. The quantitative data highlighted a

heterogeneity of handlers' profiles and professional backgrounds, although most profiles had previous training in healthcare. Five themes characterizing AAI emerged from the qualitative analysis: (1) AAI as additional approaches to care settings, (2) AAI as person-centered approaches, (3) the complementarity between handlers and their animal(s), (4) the shared role of mediator, and (5) handlers' beliefs about the human-animal relationship related to their personal experiences. This survey allowed us to understand how the French use AAI and its role in the care system.

Conclusion: The benefits of AAI are numerous both for care settings and for the caregivers mainly by making the care more humane. AAI seem to put the wellbeing of beneficiaries and the relationship with the caregiver at the center of the care. The complementarity of the human-animal team is the common feature of these practices and is critical to their success. Future interdisciplinary studies are required to explore the particularities of these interspecific approaches and the differences between countries.

## 1. Introduction

Practices utilizing the human-animal bond in healthcare settings are commonly designated by the term Animal-Assisted Interventions (AAI). They are defined as "a goal oriented and structured intervention that intentionally includes or incorporates animals in health, education and human services (e.g., social work) for the purpose of therapeutic gains in humans. It involves people with knowledge of the people and animals involved" [1]. AAI include several sub categories such as Animal-Assisted Therapies (AAT), Animal-Assisted Activities (AAA), Animal-Assisted Education (AAE) and Animal-Assisted Coaching (AAC) [1]. These methods are receiving increased attention within the medical and paramedical fields because of their benefits on a large range of health-related problems [2]. The interactions with animals in care settings have positive impacts on human health such as the

decrease of anxiety [3–5] and depression [6,7] as well as the improvement of social skills [8–10] and self-esteem [11,12]. As a result, the benefits of animals on human health represent a significant scientific research field that continues to grow [13]; yet there is still difficulty to characterize AAI. Even if there is a professionalization of the field, there is still a lack of standards and inconsistencies about the terms and definitions of AAI [14–17], specifically, regarding the French AAI where there is a lack of data about these seemingly heterogeneous practices [18]. It could be linked to the absence of governmental regulation and mandatory training to practice AAI [16,19]. Furthermore, most research on AAI has been focused on proving the efficiency of animals on the beneficiaries [20]. However, the complementarity of the human-animal dyad is central in AAI for the influence and mutual benefits it has during sessions [21–23]. Handlers are regularly excluded from studies and little is known about their perspectives and their roles [24,25]. There are still misconceptions about AAI, such as the thinking that petting the animals is sufficient to get the reward [14].

#### 1.1. Theoretical framework

We aimed to apply the recommendations of changes in AAI research suggested by Delfour & Servais [26] that are: "the consideration of the animal as a subject; the restitution of their speech to handlers; and the development of attentive and creative methods of observation and investigation". Consequently, the qualitative perspective respects these criteria since it "facilitates better understanding of factors that may influence the intervention implementation" [17]. As highlighted in the Shen et al. [28] review of qualitative studies, these studies can be a way to reveal possible mechanisms of AAI. However, they focused on the beneficiaries point of view [29–31]. Only a few of the studies were interested in handlers' opinions about their practices in AAI [24,32–36] and were principally focused on their knowledge and attitudes regarding specific practice [37]. This makes it essential to interview

- 70 handlers with different backgrounds and considerations towards the relationship between
- 71 themselves and their animals to get a better understanding of AAI.
- 72 The aim of the present research is to contribute to increasing the body of knowledge
- 73 surrounding AAI by integrating handlers' opinions.
- 74 To this end, we focused on two axes through handlers' answers to four questions. The first
- axis concerned the main features of AAI that we obtained through interviewing handlers
- about their definition of their own practice in AAI. In addition, we assumed that handlers'
- 77 professional backgrounds and motivations to work in AAI enabled them to understand the
- 78 characteristics of these practices. The second axis concerned the interspecific
- 79 complementarity of the human-animal team that we investigated through their views on their
- dedicated roles and the roles of their animals in AAI.

## 2. Materials & Method

81

82

#### **2.1.** Participants & recruitment

- 83 Our cohort was composed of 111 French handlers in AAI. Our inclusion criteria were to be
- 84 active in AAI and to work with at least one dog because dogs constitute the most represented
- species in AAI [38–41]. Handlers were all volunteers and we had no selection criteria based
- on their professional backgrounds. We constructed an online questionnaire that was posted
- on AAI-specialized social media accounts and sent by email from April 2018 to May 2019. It
- was important for us to develop an online questionnaire for ease of use and timesaving
- 89 reasons. Moreover, contrary to other qualitative research that focuses on small samples and/or
- specific groups, we aimed to interview a large panel of handlers.

#### 91 **2.2.** Ethics

92 Before accessing the questionnaire, handlers were required to complete a consent form that

included an explanation of the study framework, objectives and the research ethics features. Signing this consent form guaranteed the confidentiality of their responses, the possibility of interrupting the research, respect for their integrity and their rights in accordance with the research ethics. The collection, processing and storage of personal data complied with the rules laid down by the European General Data Protection Regulation [42].

#### 2.3. Data collection

The questionnaire was built based on a literature review [1,8,24,26,33,43–45] and informal interviews of handlers and scientific experts in the field. It was entirely written in French and was composed of four unequal sections for approximatively 20 minutes in total. We used a mixed method; therefore, some data was obtained through closed questions while other data was obtained through open questions. We chose to separate our data into specific articles; therefore, this one presents only data about the characteristics of AAI through handlers' perceptions.

#### **2.4.** Analysis

The data presented below are based on sociodemographic and open questions. Quantitative data were treated with the Software GraphPad Prism 8. These data concerned sociodemographic questions (gender, age), followed by questions about their current practice in AAI (their registration status, the populations and the animal species they work with) and questions about their professional background (their training in AAI and their education institution, their training in the medico-social field and their training in animal behavior). Descriptive characteristics of the cohort were calculated and presented as means averages for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables.

- The qualitative method was selected for four open questions:
- How do you define your practice of AAI?

- What motivated you to work in AAI?
- For you, what is the role of the animal in AAI?
- For you, what is the role of the human actor (handler) in AAI?

We used a phenomenological method because it "describes the meaning for several individuals of their lived experiences of a concept or a phenomenon; describing what all participants have in common as they experience a phenomenon" [46]. Like Firmin et al. [24], we used an open coding strategy with a line-by-line analysis approach and developed clusters of meaning into themes. We wrote a description of the significant themes that emerged from our data [46] and illustrated them with citations (translated from French to English) of subjects with their anonymity number.

# 3. Results

#### **3.1.** Demographic characteristics of our sample

Our sample was composed of 111 handlers in AAI. They were mostly women (94.59%; N=105) with a mean age of 41 years (min 20 years; max 68 years). Handlers worked with a broad range of populations; based on the two first pathologies cited we analyzed data on 166 answers. Beneficiaries were mostly elderly with dementia (30.12%; N=50), followed by people with mental and/or motor disability (22.29%; N=37), followed by Pervasive Developmental Disorders (13.85%; N=23) and people with various mental health problems (13.25%; N=22). Also, 47.75% (N=53) of the handlers in our sample only worked with dogs, the rest worked with two species on average (range 1 to 7), mainly small pets such as guinea pigs and rabbits (45.04%; N=50). Handlers' professional backgrounds were different. 83.78% (N=93) of our sample were trained in AAI from different institutions that included both university training centers and private structures. 71.17% (N=79) of interviewed handlers had training in the medico-social field that represented various types of care professions. They

were mostly psychologists (24/05%;N=19), caseworkers (16.46%;N=13), nurses (13.92%;N=11) and psychomotor therapists and occupational therapists (12.66%;N=10). In addition, some of them had a background in the animal field (37.84%: N=42) which concerned mostly dog trainer (50%; N=21) and veterinary/assistant veterinary (19.05%; N=8).

#### 3.2. Qualitative analysis

- Five themes were identified as important characteristics of AAI: (1) AAI as additional approaches in care settings, (2) the person-centered approach, (3) the complementarity between handler and their animal(s), (4) the shared role of mediator and (5) handlers' beliefs about the human-animal relationship related to their personal experiences. A brief description of each theme supported with illustrative citation of participant data is presented for every result of the study.
- 3.2.1. AAI as additional approaches in care settings
  - Handlers alluded that AAI bring benefits to various care settings. They mentioned objectives that concern a diverse set of domains (therapeutic, educative, social, pedagogical etc.). Most of them referred to the introduction of AAI to mitigate the limits of conventional care. More specifically, care professionals referred to AAI as additional approaches to support their work and some of them distinguished themselves from other handlers by the therapeutic value of their AAI. Regardless of their initial training, handlers mentioned the benefits that AAI brought to themselves, such as being able to specialize in a new approach or even a career change.
- s1: "The inadequacies of "conventional" approaches"
- s32: "It is a practice with a therapeutic aim (by virtue of my function)"
- s46: "It is a "way" to achieve an objective that cannot be achieved with conventional tools"

166	s70: "Another string to my bow"
167	s109: "Doing the work for which he [the handler] was trained, for me as a
168	psychologist, with an additional tool that is the dog"
169	3.2.2. Person-centered approaches
170	The construction of the objectives seemed to be flexible and adapted to each patient
171	Therefore, the beneficiary appeared to regain an active role in his or her care. The most
172	frequently cited objectives concerned the well-being of beneficiaries and the creation of bonds
173	between beneficiary and caregiver. When handlers described their relationship with
174	beneficiaries, they used words associated with positivity and warmth (i.e. "link," "alliance,"
175	"trust," "affection," "tenderness," and "empathy"). For most handlers, their animals were
176	seen as assistants that take an immersive role in these relationships.
177	s5: "My practice is created according to my patients: they are the ones who initiate the
178	process and propose activities around the dog most often"
179	s50: "[] sometimes there is also a therapeutic interest, but this is not the primary
180	goal"
181	s73: "humane and playful"
182	s99: "The goal is to increase interactions with humans through interactions with
183	animals"
184	3.2.3. Complementarity of the human-animal team
185	Handlers pointed out the central role of animals into enriching the actual care. They spoke
186	about the common intrinsic attributes of animals such as their absence of judgement, their
187	neutral attitude toward human pathologies, and the absence of verbal communication.
188	Handlers referred to their main role as optimizing the effects of the animal and to guarantee

safety. That implies a work upstream to construct the project, downstream to evaluate

objectives and adapt the next sessions and the adjustment of what is emerging during

189

190

sessions. They also mentioned a major responsibility in their animals' welfare by observing their behavior such as their signs of fatigue and stress. Finally, they highlighted a teamwork with their animals.

s14: "He can be himself! Not being programmed. To offer with one's naturalness a well-being to people, as well as efforts without realizing it"

s39: "a partnership relationship with my dog"

s48: "I am the guarantor of the framework and safety during the session"

s61:" Define, organize, adjust, readjust, guide, evaluate the sessions [...]"

s79: "Create situations to enrich patient/animal exchanges, frame the work"

s83: "Protecting your animal and listening to them to see when they have had enough"

s97: "The animal is a precious help for the handler, they offer them/us a multitude of possibilities to enter into a relationship, to consolidate a relationship, to make people work on so many different objectives"

#### 3.2.4. The shared role of mediators

Handlers seem to not make any difference between the animal species involved, however dogs seemed to be considered more proactive in the interactions. The most common term used to talk about the animal's role is "mediator", acting as a link between handler and beneficiary, but also between objectives and beneficiary. Some handlers went beyond this, suggesting that the animal is the intermediary that allows the establishment and/or reinforcement of the care relation. Handlers were also referring to themselves as mediators between the animal-beneficiary interactions and objectives. It was their duty to intervene in specific ways in order to influence the behavior of the animal offering support so as to guide the interactions and reach the objectives. Then, they can stimulate or calm beneficiaries according to what is happening during the interactions.

216 s38: "An added value to the relationship of committed help and a precious mediator because it is alive and offers a diversity of emotions" 217 218 s39: "It depends, sometimes I am the mediator of the meeting with the animal, 219 sometimes it is him who allows the patient to access the care and to come to meet me" 220 s48: "It is the use of the animal presence as a media in the caring relationship" 221 s73: "Accompany the patient-dog pairing to work on the patient's own objectives" 222 3.2.5. Beliefs about the human-animal relationship related to their personal experiences 223 Handlers directed themselves to AAI because they had beliefs about the benefits of the human-animal relationship. Their beliefs were mostly linked to their personal experiences 224 225 with animals more than the theory around the human-animal bond. They believed that the 226 introduction of animals into care would benefit other humans. In addition, they had a passion 227 for animals and the care of other humans. AAI were therefore a good compromise to work 228 with both humans and animals. 229 s8: "Combining my passion for dogs with my job" s85: "It's natural because I've always shared my life with dogs [...]" 230

s108: "The conviction that the animal can bring things to humans"

# 4. Discussion

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

The purpose of this study was to gain insight into the representations of handlers in AAI, focusing on their definitions of their practices, their motivations to work in AAI and the roles of the human-animal team. Our research suggests that AAI include numerous methods because of the variety of handlers' professional backgrounds and the possibility of adding AAI to various settings. Even though there is a wide heterogeneity of practices, we found common features to all handlers. Some characteristics concur on the more "humane" care, the

convictions of handlers based on their personal experiences with animals and the complementarity of the human-animal team.

The goal of the present research was to contribute to the body of knowledge surrounding AAI and representations of handlers via two axes. The first one concerned the main features of AAI. The second one concerned the interspecific complementarity of the human-animal team.

#### 4.1. The characteristics of the French practice of AAI

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

Our first aim was to highlight the main features of the French practice of AAI. Handlers defined AAI as holistic approaches that allow a wide cross-section of applications in human health (psychological, motor, speech, cognitive, social). Therefore, handlers reported to work in AAI with various populations and animal species. This is consistent with the common French application of AAI that is defined as a set of heterogeneous practice [18], contrary to the US model that is more categorized. To underline the main features of AAI, we assumed that the interview about handlers' professional backgrounds would give us information. Indeed, it highlights various profiles in handlers that correspond to a variety of settings. Furthermore, handlers were trained in various fields and in various institutions. As mentioned before by Kruger et al. [11], it could explain the heterogeneity of AAI because handlers will practice AAI according to their initial professions. The variety of their professional backgrounds can be explained by their motivations to work in AAI, which were mostly based on their personal positive experiences with animals. Handlers introduced AAI because of their convictions that AAI brought something new to care, which is consistent with Michalon [47]. AAI can therefore concern people with various professional backgrounds who have the willingness of compromise between care work and love for animals in common. In this sense, AAI are chosen because of handlers' intrinsic convictions more than their theoretical knowledge of the human-animal bond. Another feature that we can underline about handlers' professional backgrounds is a distinction between handlers that were care professionals and others who were not. Care professionals incorporated their initial training first to define their practices in AAI, which is consistent with another recent French report [19]. Consequently, most participants of our sample can be considered as AAT handlers because they are care professionals working within the scope of their professions [1,35]. However, it seems that the common US model can hardly be applied to the French practice of AAI because the French practice is more heterogeneous than a distinction between care professionals and the non-care professionals. This is consistent with previous studies that highlighted the difficulty in exporting the US model to other countries [35,48]. Finally, we wanted to underline that some handlers work in AAI without any training, which can expose the practice to certain abuses. This diversity of handlers' backgrounds points out the need of standards to ensure: i) the quality of sessions, ii) the welfare of animals and iii) the welfare of beneficiaries as proposed by the Italian model [49]. Efforts should be made on the regulation of these practices in France mostly concerning the necessary minimal training of handlers to ensure quality and safety within AAI sessions.

#### 4.2. Reintroducing some care in the cure

The most common trait of AAI mentioned by handlers was that its practices contrast with classical approaches. The differences cited by handlers are consistent with previous studies, though more research is needed to increase our understanding of the mechanisms. Contrary to conventional medicine, the objectives cited mostly focus on the well-being of beneficiaries during sessions, which is consistent with the theme "mood improvement" found in 6 qualitative studies about AAI in the review of Shen et al.[28]. More specifically, handlers referred to AAI as a moment where the disease is no longer central in the care, which is consistent with the theme "fostering feeling of normalcy" highlighted in the review of Shen et al. [28]. Therefore, the relationship between caregiver and patient was central and handlers gave importance to creating an alliance, almost an affectionate relationship with beneficiaries,

which was redundant in other qualitative researches [24,31,35]. This was first highlighted by Levinson [37] who reported AAI as allowing the change from "patient" to individual. We can assume that AAI are close to "person-centered approaches" that are defined as "putting the person with the human's worth and uniqueness, as well as the person's interests and lived experience, at the center of the caring process" [51]. It is interesting also to note that AAI give some benefits to handlers, too, with the positive emotions of the beneficiaries giving them joy and a sense of usefulness [35,52]. Handlers also mentioned that AAI help them to overcome the impasse with traditional tools and the possibility of spending time with their animals [32]. Therefore, the benefits of AAI seem to be due to their differences with classical medicine that focuses on curing the patient first. The introduction of animals in care settings could allow another form of care work, a more humane care regarding the objectives but also the consideration of patients as individuals. Further investigations need to focus on limits of the current care and the fact that animals bring more humanity.

#### 4.3. The complementarity of the human-animal dyad

Our second goal was to question separately the roles of handlers and animals to understand the specificity of the interspecific teamwork. The centrality of animals in these practices are indeed recognized since they are critical to the identity of AAI [53]. Though, the interviews of the handlers highlight the importance of the interspecific collaboration in AAI [35]. Handlers mentioned the intrinsic qualities of animals, such as their absence of judgment and their unconditional love [54]. However, animals are also actors in the therapeutic setting that facilitate contact between humans and facilitate the establishment of a therapeutic relationship [40,55–59]. Still, it is important to highlight that the relationship between beneficiary and animal is not a substitute to the relationship between handler and beneficiary [60]. Handlers referred to their roles as mediators and as "good" caregivers who succeed in creating a positive relationship with beneficiaries. They are also the spokesperson for their animals and

need to ensure their well-being and appropriate interactions [18,61]. Therefore, handlers and their skills are necessary to build the framework around AAI, such as ensuring the good conditions of interactions and the evaluation of objectives. This data clarifies the fact that studies must take in account both handlers and animals to understand the mechanisms of AAI, whereas most research is focused on proving the benefits of animals [20]. Moreover, it would be interesting to clarify the aspects related to the different modalities of interspecific relationships based on the animal species involved. Indeed, dogs are the most common species in AAI because they are well adapted to therapeutic settings because of their availability, trainability and predictability [62]. Some authors point out that dogs allow for a more therapeutic work with more reciprocity than other species [22,58]. It may be due to their outstanding skills to communicate and their ability to create relationships with humans [63]. They are also easier to train for therapy [64]. Other species, such as small pets, are increasingly introduced in AAI on the other hand because of their small size and toy-like appearance that can allow for the development of other forms of relationships [65].

#### 4.4. Limits

This research presents some limits that can be palliated in further studies. First, our sample was mostly composed of handlers that were initially care professionals, which can be a bias for the representativeness of our study. Further studies can focus on handlers without a background in the medico-social field to observe the pertinence of their answers vis-à-vis of those with a medical professional background. Secondly, our cohort concerned handlers that worked with dogs and other species, but we focused on dogs. Other specific studies on other animal species introduced into AAI could bolster the knowledge. Finally, our study concerned the French practice of AAI; other studies in various European countries can be useful to understand the importation of the US model to France.

## 5. Conclusion

The aim of this study was to underline handlers' perspectives on Animal-Assisted Interventions in order to contribute to the body of knowledge surrounding AAI. To this end, we focused on the main features of AAI and the interspecific complementarity of the human-animal team that we interviewed. Our study underlined that AAI in France are heterogeneous because they are complementary approaches to various care settings. This is also linked to the fact that handlers will work in accordance to their initial training, which represent a wide scope of fields. Moreover, handlers' profiles are heterogeneous because these practices concern people who want to include their passion of animals in their work. It seems that AAI allow a more "humane" care through the presence of animals. This point needs more consideration to question the actual care and its limits for both patients and caregivers. Finally, the human-animal dyad must be considered as a teamwork. The animal is here to "be himself" and the handler to bear the benefits of the beneficiary-animal relationship to fulfil the objectives. Consequently, this exploratory study highlights the heterogeneity of AAI and the need to focus on individual considerations [2,66–68].

# Acknowledgments

355 The researchers would like to thank the handlers who took the time to answer the 356 questionnaires.

### References

[1] IAHAIO, The IAHAIO Definitions for Animal Assisted Intervention and Guidelines for Wellness of Animals Involved in AAI, in: Handb. Anim.-Assist. Ther., Elsevier, 2019: pp. 499–504. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815395-6.15001-1.

- 361 [2] F. Cirulli, M. Borgi, A. Berry, N. Francia, E. Alleva, Animal-assisted interventions as 362 innovative tools for mental health, Ann. DellIstituto Super. Sanità. (2011). 363 https://doi.org/10.4415/ANN\_11\_04\_04.
- 364 [3] S.B. Barker, K.S. Dawson, The effects of animal-assisted therapy on anxiety ratings of hospitalized psychiatric patients, Psychiatr. Serv. 49 (1998) 797–801.
- 366 [4] K.M. Cole, A. Gawlinski, N. Steers, J. Kotlerman, Animal-assisted therapy in patients hospitalized with heart failure, Am. J. Crit. Care. 16 (2007) 575–585.
- 368 [5] T.C. Waite, L. Hamilton, W. O'Brien, A meta-analysis of animal assisted interventions 369 targeting pain, anxiety and distress in medical settings, Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract. 370 33 (2018) 49–55.
- 371 [6] K. Koukourikos, A. Georgopoulou, L. Kourkouta, A. Tsaloglidou, Benefits of Animal Assisted Therapy in Mental Health, Int. J. Caring Sci. 12 (2019) 1898.
- 373 [7] C. Ambrosi, C. Zaiontz, G. Peragine, S. Sarchi, F. Bona, Randomized controlled study 374 on the effectiveness of animal-assisted therapy on depression, anxiety, and illness 375 perception in institutionalized elderly, Psychogeriatrics. 19 (2019) 55–64.
- 376 [8] C. King, J. Watters, S. Mungre, Effect of a time-out session with working animal-377 assisted therapy dogs, J. Vet. Behav. 6 (2011) 232–238. 378 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2011.01.007.
- J.L. Becker, E.C. Rogers, B. Burrows, Animal-assisted social skills training for children with autism spectrum disorders, Anthrozoös. 30 (2017) 307–326.
- 381 [10] K. Hediger, S. Thommen, C. Wagner, J. Gaab, M. Hund-Georgiadis, Effects of animal-382 assisted therapy on social behaviour in patients with acquired brain injury: a randomised 383 controlled trial, Sci. Rep. 9 (2019) 1–8.
- 384 [11] K.A. Kruger, S.W. Trachtenberg, J.A. Serpell, Animal-Assisted Interventions in Adolescent Mental Health:, (2004) 38.
- 386 [12] S.E. Schuck, H.L. Johnson, M.M. Abdullah, A. Stehli, A.H. Fine, K.D. Lakes, The role 387 of animal assisted intervention on improving self-esteem in children with attention 388 deficit/hyperactivity disorder, Front. Pediatr. 6 (2018) 300.
- 389 [13] Fine, Beck, Ng, The State of Animal-Assisted Interventions: Addressing the Contemporary Issues that will Shape the Future, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health. 16 (2019) 3997. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16203997.
- 392 [14] N. Parish-Plass, Order Out of Chaos Revised: A Call for Clear and Agreed-Upon Definitions Differentiating Between Animal-Assisted Interventions, (2014) 37.
- 394 [15] A. Santaniello, F. Dicé, R. Claudia Carratú, A. Amato, A. Fioretti, L.F. Menna, 395 Methodological and Terminological Issues in Animal-Assisted Interventions: An 396 Umbrella Review Systematic of Reviews, Animals. 10 (2020)759. 397 https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10050759.
- 398 [16] M.-J. Enders-Slegers, K. Hediger, A. Beetz, B. Jegatheesan, D. Turner, Animal-assisted 399 interventions with in an international perspective: Trends, research, and practices, in: 400 Handb. Anim.-Assist. Ther. Found. Guidel. Anim.-Assist. Interv., Elsevier, 2019: pp. 401 465–477.
- 402 [17] J. López-Cepero, Current Status of Animal-Assisted Interventions in Scientific Literature: A Critical Comment on Their Internal Validity, Animals. 10 (2020) 985.
- 404 [18] J. Michalon, Panser avec les animaux: Sociologie du soin par le contact animalier, 405 Presses des Mines, Paris, 2014.
- 406 [19] F. Boizeau, A. Courcoul, M. Hamon, H. Ladreyt, S. Lefebvre, Institut d'Etudes 407 Politiques de Lyon, (2017) 176.
- 408 [20] V. Servais, Du surnaturel au malentendu Pour une approche interactionnelle des systèmes de communication homme/animal., in: 6ème Congrès Européen de Science des Systèmes, 2005.

- 411 [21] E. Payne, P. Bennett, P. McGreevy, Current perspectives on attachment and bonding in 412 the dog–human dyad, Psychol. Res. Behav. Manag. (2015) 71. 413 https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S74972.
- 414 [22] L.F. Menna, A. Santaniello, M. Todisco, A. Amato, L. Borrelli, C. Scandurra, A. Fioretti, The Human–Animal Relationship as the Focus of Animal-Assisted Interventions: A One Health Approach, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health. 16 (2019) 3660. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16193660.
- 418 [23] S. Kuzara, P. Pendry, N.R. Gee, Exploring the Handler-Dog Connection within a University-Based Animal-Assisted Activity, Animals. 9 (2019) 402.
- 420 [24] M.W. Firmin, J.E. Brink, R.L. Firmin, M.E. Grigsby, J.F. Trudel, Qualitative 421 Perspectives of an Animal-Assisted Therapy Program, Altern. Complement. Ther. 22 422 (2016) 204–213. https://doi.org/10.1089/act.2016.29073.mwf.
- 423 [25] M. Grandgeorge, M. Hausberger, Human-animal relationships: from daily life to animal-424 assisted therapies, Ann. DellIstituto Super. Sanità. (2011). 425 https://doi.org/10.4415/ANN\_11\_04\_12.
- 426 [26] F. Delfour, V. Servais, L'animal dans le soin : entre théories et pratiques, (2012) 7.
- 427 [27] D. Shen-Miller, Qualitative Directions in Human–Animal Companion Research, in: 428 Psychol. Hum.-Anim. Bond, Springer, 2011: pp. 361–382.
- 429 [28] R.Z.Z. Shen, P. Xiong, U.I. Chou, B.J. Hall, "We need them as much as they need us":
  430 A systematic review of the qualitative evidence for possible mechanisms of
  431 effectiveness of animal-assisted intervention (AAI), Complement. Ther. Med. 41 (2018)
  432 203–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2018.10.001.
- 433 [29] A.M. Lange, J.A. Cox, D.J. Bernert, C.D. Jenkins, Is Counseling Going to the Dogs? An
  434 Exploratory Study Related to the Inclusion of an Animal in Group Counseling with
  435 Adolescents, J. Creat. Ment. Health. 2 (2007) 17–31.
  436 https://doi.org/10.1300/J456v02n02\_03.
- 437 [30] A. Schmitz, M. Beermann, C.R. MacKenzie, K. Fetz, C. Schulz-Quach, Animal-assisted 438 therapy at a University Centre for Palliative Medicine a qualitative content analysis of 439 patient records, BMC Palliat. Care. 16 (2017) 50. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12904-017-0230-z.
- 441 [31] C. Lubbe, S. Scholtz, The application of animal-assisted therapy in the South African 442 context: A case study, South Afr. J. Psychol. 43 (2013) 116–129. 443 https://doi.org/10.1177/0081246312474405.
- 444 [32] K. Abrahamson, Y. Cai, E. Richards, K. Cline, M.E. O'Haire, Perceptions of a hospital-445 based animal assisted intervention program: An exploratory study, Complement. Ther. 446 Clin. Pract. 25 (2016) 150–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctcp.2016.10.003.
- 447 [33] B. Berget, O.G. Aasland, S. Grepperud, B.O. Braastad, Animal-Assisted Interventions 448 and Psychiatric Disorders: Knowledge and Attitudes among General Practitioners, 449 Psychiatrists, and Psychologists, Soc. Anim. 21 (2013) 284–293. 450 https://doi.org/10.1163/15685306-12341244.
- 451 [34] J. Bibbo, Staff Members' Perceptions of an Animal-Assisted Activity, Oncol. Nurs. 452 Forum. 40 (2013) E320–E326. https://doi.org/10.1188/13.ONF.E320-E326.
- 453 [35] A.F. Black, A. Chur-Hansen, H.R. Winefield, Australian psychologists' knowledge of 454 and attitudes towards animal-assisted therapy: Psychologists and animal-assisted 455 therapy, Clin. Psychol. 15 (2011) 69–77. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-456 9552.2011.00026.x.
- 457 [36] P. Crowley-Robinson, D.C. Fenwick, J.K. Blackshaw, A long-term study of elderly 458 people in nursing homes with visiting and resident dogs, Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 47 459 (1996) 137–148.

- 460 [37] S.V. Abate, Nurse Leaders' Perspectives on Animal-Assisted Interventions, Walden University, 2020.
- 462 [38] A. Hatch, The View from All Fours: A Look at an Animal-Assisted Activity Program
  463 from the Animals' Perspective, Anthrozoös. 20 (2007) 37–50.
  464 https://doi.org/10.2752/089279307780216632.
- 465 [39] M. Maurer, F. Delfour, J.-L. Adrien, Analyse de dix recherches sur la thérapie assistée 466 par l'animal : quelle méthodologie pour quels effets ?, J. Réadapt. Médicale Prat. Form. 467 En Médecine Phys. Réadapt. 28 (2008) 153–159. 468 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmr.2008.09.030.
- 469 [40] J. Nimer, B. Lundahl, Animal-Assisted Therapy: A Meta-Analysis, Anthrozoös. 20 (2007) 225–238. https://doi.org/10.2752/089279307X224773.
- 471 [41] Z. Ng, L. Morse, J. Albright, A. Viera, M. Souza, Describing the Use of Animals in 472 Animal-Assisted Intervention Research, J. Appl. Anim. Welf. Sci. 22 (2019) 364–376. 473 https://doi.org/10.1080/10888705.2018.1524765.
- 474 [42] P. Voigt, A. Von dem Bussche, The eu general data protection regulation (gdpr), Pract. 475 Guide 1st Ed Cham Springer Int. Publ. (2017).
- 476 [43] D. Society, Standards of practice for animal assisted activities and animal assisted therapy, Delta Society Renton (WA), 1996.
- 478 [44] F. Boizeau, A. Courcoul, M. Hamon, H. Ladreyt, S. Lefebvre, La médiation animale -479 problématiques règlementaires et enjeux professionnels, Institut d'Etudes Politiques de 480 Lyon VetAgro Sup – Ecole Nationale des Services Vétérinaires, 2017.
- 481 [45] N.M. Budahn, Effectiveness of Animal-Assisted Therapy: Therapists' Perspectives, 482 Master Soc. Work Clin. Res. Pap. (2013) 42.
- 483 [46] J.W. Creswell, C.N. Poth, Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches, Sage publications, 2016.
- 485 [47] J. Michalon, Les relations anthropozoologiques à l'épreuve du travail scientifique. 486 L'exemple de l'animal dans les pratiques de soin, Sociétés. 108 (2010) 75. 487 https://doi.org/10.3917/soc.108.0075.
- 488 [48] D.K. Haubenhofer, S. Kirchengast, Austrian and American approaches to animal-based 489 health care services, Anthrozoös. 19 (2006) 365–373. 490 https://doi.org/10.2752/089279306785415484.
- 491 [49] M. Simonato, The Italian Agreement between the Government and the Regional 492 Authorities: National Guidelines for AAI and Institutional Context, People Anim. Int. J. 493 Res. Pract. 1 (2018) 13.
- 494 [50] B.M. Levinson, The future of research into relationships between people and their animal companions, Int. J. Study Anim. Probl. 3(4) (1982) 283–294.
- 496 [51] D. Edvardsson, P.O. Sandman, L. Borell, Implementing national guidelines for person-497 centered care of people with dementia in residential aged care: effects on perceived 498 person-centeredness, staff strain, and stress of conscience, Int. Psychogeriatr. 26 (2014) 499 1171–1179. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610214000258.
- 500 [52] E.D. Gundersen, B. Johannessen, What motivates arrangements of dog visits in nursing homes? Experiences by dog handlers and nurses, Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract. 31 (2018) 104–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctcp.2018.02.007.
- 503 [53] L. Marino, Construct Validity of Animal-Assisted Therapy and Activities: How 504 Important Is the Animal in AAT?, Anthrozoös. 25 (2012) 139–151. https://doi.org/10.2752/175303712X13353430377219.
- 506 [54] K. Wry Aanderson, K. Wry-Aanderson, D. Anderson, Alberta, Alberta Children's 507 Services, Chimo Project, Paws on purpose: implementing an animal-assisted aherapy 508 program for children and youth, including those with FASD and developmental 509 disabilities, Chimo Project, Edmonton, 2008.

- 510 [55] A. Beetz, K. Kotrschal, D.C. Turner, K. Hediger, K. Uvnäs-Moberg, H. Julius, The 511 Effect of a Real Dog, Toy Dog and Friendly Person on Insecurely Attached Children 512 During a Stressful Task: An Exploratory Study, Anthrozoös. 24 (2011) 349–368. 513 https://doi.org/10.2752/175303711X13159027359746.
- 514 [56] L.M. Glenk, A Dog's Perspective on Animal-Assisted Interventions, in: M.R. Pastorinho, A.C.A. Sousa (Eds.), Pets Sentin. Forecast. Promot. Hum. Health, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020: pp. 349–365. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30734-9\_15.
- 518 [57] Y. Joye, Biophilia in Animal-Assisted Interventions—Fad or Fact?, Anthrozoös. 24 (2011) 5–15. https://doi.org/10.2752/175303711X12923300467249.
- 520 [58] A.M. Beetz, Theories and possible processes of action in animal assisted interventions, 521 Appl. Dev. Sci. 21 (2017) 139–149. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2016.1262263.
- 522 [59] B. de Villers, V. Servais, La médiation animale : un concept fourre-tout?, in: Liège, 523 Belgique, 2017: p. 9.
- 524 [60] C.K. Chandler, Animal Assisted Therapy in Counseling, Taylor & Francis, 2005.
- 525 [61] Z. Ng, J. Albright, A.H. Fine, J. Peralta, Our Ethical and Moral Responsibility, in: 526 Handb. Anim.-Assist. Ther., Elsevier, 2015: pp. 357–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-527 0-12-801292-5.00026-2.
- 528 [62] L. Glenk, Current Perspectives on Therapy Dog Welfare in Animal-Assisted Interventions, Animals. 7 (2017) 7. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani7020007.
- 530 [63] L. Beck, E.A. Madresh, Romantic Partners and Four-Legged Friends: An Extension of 531 Attachment Theory to Relationships with Pets, Anthrozoös. 21 (2008) 43–56. https://doi.org/10.2752/089279308X274056.
- 533 [64] F. Bert, M.R. Gualano, E. Camussi, G. Pieve, G. Voglino, R. Siliquini, Animal assisted 534 intervention: A systematic review of benefits and risks, Eur. J. Integr. Med. 8 (2016) 535 695–706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eujim.2016.05.005.
- 536 [65] K. Loukaki, P. Koukoutsakis, N. Kostomitsopoulos, Animal welfare issues on the use of 537 rabbits in an animal assisted therapy program for children, J. Hell. Vet. Med. Soc. 61 538 (2010) 220–225.
- [66] J.A. Serpell, The Human-Animal Bond, in: L. Kalof (Ed.), Oxf. Handb. Anim. Stud.,
   Oxford University Press, 2017: pp. 80–97.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199927142.013.31.
- 542 [67] A.M. Beetz, Theories and possible processes of action in animal assisted interventions, 543 Appl. Dev. Sci. 21 (2017) 139–149. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2016.1262263.
- 544 [68] A. Colussi, B. Stefanon, C. Adorini, M. Sandri, Variations of salivary cortisol in dogs 545 exposed to different cognitive and physical activities, Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 17 (2018) 1030– 546 1037. https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2018.1453756.

547

548