

Synthesis of the distribution of subsidence of the lower Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta, Bangladesh

Michael Steckler, Bar Oryan, Carol Wilson, Céline Grall, Scott Nooner,

Dhiman Mondal, S. Humayun Akhter, Scott Dewolf, Steve Goodbred

▶ To cite this version:

Michael Steckler, Bar Oryan, Carol Wilson, Céline Grall, Scott Nooner, et al.. Synthesis of the distribution of subsidence of the lower Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta, Bangladesh. Earth-Science Reviews, 2022, 224, pp.103887. 10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103887. hal-03482391

HAL Id: hal-03482391 https://hal.science/hal-03482391v1

Submitted on 15 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Synthesis of the Distribution of Subsidence of the Lower Ganges-1

Brahmaputra Delta, Bangladesh 2

- Michael S. Steckler¹, Bar Oryan^{1,2}, Carol A. Wilson³, Céline Grall⁴, Scott L. Nooner⁵, Dhiman 3 R. Mondal⁶, S. Humayun Akhter⁷, Scott DeWolf⁸ and Steve L. Goodbred⁹ 4
- 5 (1) Lamont -Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, NY, United States
- 6 (2) Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Columbia University, Palisades, NY, United States 7
- 8 (3) Department of Geology and Geophysics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, 9 **United States**
- 10 (4) LIENSs, La Rochelle University, La Rochelle, France
- (5) Department of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of North Carolina Wilmington, 11 12 Wilmington, NC, United States
- 13 (6) MIT Haystack Observatory, MIT, Westford, MA, United States
- 14 (7) Department of Geology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh 15
 - now Vice Chancellor, Bangladesh Open University, Gazipur, Bangladesh
- 16 (8) Department of Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences, Clemson University, 17 Clemson, SC, United States
- 18 (9) Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, 19 **United States**
- 20
- 21 Corresponding Author: Michael S. Steckler
- 22 E-mail: steckler@LDEO.columbia.edu
- 23

24 **Author contributions:**

- 25 MSS: Wrote text, drafted figures, interpreted results, installed GNSS and helped with other 26 equipment
- 27 BO: Processed GNSS data, wrote and edited text, drafted figure.
- 28 CAW: Installed and analyzed RSETs, wrote and edited text
- 29 CG: Analyzed well data, wrote and edited text
- 30 SLN: Helped install GNSS and compaction meter, seasonal correction to GNSS data, edited text.
- 31 DRM: Tide gauge analysis, aided in GNSS processing, augering at Temple
- 32 SHA: Installation and maintenance of GNSS
- 33 SD: Installation of compaction meter and analysis of results
- 34 SLG: Analysis of tube well data, augering at Temple
- 35
- 36 **Competing Interest Statement:** The authors have no competing interests
- 37 Keywords: land subsidence, deltas, Bangladesh, compaction, sediments, sustainability
- 38
- 39 Submitted to Earth-Science Reviews, August 20, 2021, revised October 12, 2021
- 40

41 Abstract

42 Deltas, the low-lying land at river mouths, are sensitive to the delicate balance between sea 43 level rise, land subsidence and sedimentation. Bangladesh and the Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta 44 (GBD) have been highlighted as a region at risk from sea-level rise, but reliable estimates of land 45 subsidence have been limited. While early studies suggested high rates of relative sea-level rise, 46 recent papers estimate more modest rates. Our objective is to better quantify the magnitude, spatial variability, and depth variation of sediment compaction and land subsidence in the lower 47 48 GBD to better evaluate the processes controlling them and the pattern of relative sea level rise in 49 this vulnerable region. We combine subsidence and compaction estimates from hand-drilled tube 50 wells and historic sites (1-5 mm/y), GNSS and river gauges (4-8 mm/y) and RSET-MH and 51 borehole vertical strainmeters (9-10 mm/y) in SW Bangladesh. The differences between the 52 different types of measurements reflect the different timescales, spatial distribution and depth 53 sensitivity of the different observations. Rates are lower for times >300y providing data on the 54 timescale of compaction. We also observe differences related to the degree to which different 55 devices measure shallow and deep subsidence. Higher values reflect a greater component of 56 subsidence from young shallow deposits from soil compaction and organic matter degradation. 57 Thus, we observe different rates for different environments and physical settings. These 58 differences indicate that in planning adaptation for rising sea level, hard construction with a solid 59 foundation may experience different subsidence rates than open fields or reclaimed land with 60 recent natural or anthropogenic sedimentation.

61

62 Significance Statement

63 Land subsidence increases the impact of sea level rise. We combine six different types of 64 measurements that examine land subsidence in coastal Bangladesh. The results show that causes 65 of subsidence, including compaction of the sediments varies both spatially and with depth, and 66 that compaction and organic matter degradation from young shallow deposits is a significant 67 contribution to subsidence. This suggests that hard construction with a solid foundation, such as 68 buildings and embankments, may experience a lower subsidence rates than open fields or 69 reclaimed land with recent natural or anthropogenic sedimentation.

70

71

72 **1. INTRODUCTION**

73 Deltas, the low-lying land at river mouths, are particularly sensitive to the delicate balance 74 between sea level rise, land subsidence and sedimentation (Milliman et al., 1989). An estimated 75 350 million people globally inhabit these vulnerable landscapes (Edmonds et al., 2020), thus 76 processes that control growth versus loss of land is vital to the stability of coupled human-natural 77 deltaic systems (Syvitski et al., 2009; Tessler et al., 2015). Low-lying river deltaplains grow by 78 receiving sediments transported to the coast. On the other hand, the weight of the sediments 79 causes compaction and isostatic loading that induces subsidence, which reduces the growth of 80 the delta. Human modification, especially subsurface fluid withdrawal, can further exacerbate 81 subsidence (e.g., Dixon et al., 2006; Akhter et al., 2009; Minderhoud et al., 2017; Erkens et al, 82 2016). Upstream damming and river diversions have substantially decreased the sediment supply 83 to many deltas (e.g., Syvitski et al, 2005; Blum and Roberts, 2009; Giosan et al., 2014; Kondolf 84 et al., 2014, Gebremichael et al, 2018). A detailed understanding of the balance between sea 85 level rise, sedimentation and subsidence is critically important for assessing the sustainability of deltas. The elevation balance at deltas can be summarized by the following equation modified 86 87 from Syvitski et al. (2009):

88
$$\Delta_{\text{REL}} = -\Delta E - C_n - C_a - M + A \tag{1}$$

89 Where Δ_{REL} is the rate of relative vertical change in delta surface elevation, ΔE is <u>E</u>ustatic 90 sea level rise rate, C_n is the rate of subsidence from <u>Natural Compaction</u>, C_a is the subsidence 91 rate of <u>A</u>ccelerated <u>Compaction</u> due to human activities, M is the rate of vertical crustal 92 <u>M</u>ovement (including both tectonics and isostatic motions), and A is the sediment <u>Aggradation</u> 93 rate. Thus, multiple components of subsidence increase elevation loss while sedimentation 94 counters elevation loss by the deposition of organic and inorganic material in areas of new 95 accommodation.

96 As the boundary between land and sea, a number of studies have found that deltas are at risk 97 from sea level rise and climate change, and are becoming increasingly vulnerable to flooding, 98 erosion, and salinization (Ericson et al., 2006; Syvitski et al., 2009; Ostanciaux et al., 2012; Tessler et al., 2015, 2018). A recent study (Nienhuis et al., 2020) found globally deltas are still 99 100 gaining land, but with accelerating sea level rise and anthropogenic changes this is likely not 101 sustainable. However, most large and medium size deltas have insufficient sediment supply to 102 maintain their current size (Giosan et al., 2014) and there is declining sediment supply to most 103 major deltas due to climate change and human intervention (Dunn et al., 2019). While accurate 104 estimations of ΔREL are critically needed for addressing the human sustainability in deltas, these 105 estimates are plagued with difficulties, such as constraining all the parameters that play out both 106 locally and regionally, and having sufficient long-term instrumental records that capture 107 interannual variability. In order to fully understand ΔREL , a variety of measurements are 108 required, as different instruments provide distinct information on compaction and subsidence. 109 For example, different instrument anchoring depths yields different results (Keogh et al., 2019). 110 This can lead to a large variability in measurements, such that the regional pattern is difficult to 111 distinguish. This is the case for the Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta (GBD), the largest delta in the 112 world (Brown and Nicholls, 2015; Paszkowski et al., 2021). We present here a coherent 113 synthesis of vertical elevation change, compaction, and subsidence in this region, revisit 114 previously published data, and update this dataset with newly acquired data. Finally, we analyze these datasets together to extract significant information about the temporal and spatial variability of subsidence in the GBD, one of the most densely populated regions of the world.

117 **2. REGIONAL SETTING**

118 The GBD, the largest delta in the world, is formed by two of the world's major rivers (Fig. 119 1). The GBD has been highlighted as a region at risk from rising river and ocean water levels (e.g., Milliman et al., 1989; Ericson et al., 2006; Syvitski et al., 2009; Tessler et al., 2018). It 120 121 receives >3/4 of the water and sediment drained from the Himalayas (Milliman and Farnsworth, 122 2011) creating a fertile and densely-populated delta in which >130 million people live. This low-123 lying land, with half of Bangladesh at elevations <10 m, undergoes riverine flooding every 124 monsoon season: in a normal year, 20-25% of the land is submerged, but can reach 60-70% 125 during an extreme flood (Mirza, 2003). The GBD is still net gaining land, with growth at the 126 river mouth outpacing land loss along the coast farther west (e.g., Allison, 1998, Brammer, 2014). While parts of the delta near the Lower Meghna River mouth (Fig. 1) are receiving 127 128 sufficient sediment and gaining land, other regions away from the major rivers are in decline 129 (Wilson and Goodbred, 2015). In the tidal delta near the coast, sediment supply averages 11 mm/y in the Sundarbans (Rogers et al., 2013) and 23 mm/y farther east (Rogers et al., 2017) with 130 131 large variability. Anthropogenic channel infilling in the delta interior also contributes to net land 132 gain (Wilson et al., 2017). However, large tracts of coastal Bangladesh have been embanked 133 (poldered), halting sediment delivery within the polders. This region, where natural and 134 anthropogenically-enhanced subsidence is no longer offset by sedimentation, is where the land is at greatest risk (Wilson and Goodbred, 2015; Auerbach et al., 2015). This has exacerbated 135 136 waterlogging of the embanked islands and a shift from rice cultivation to shrimp farming 137 (Alauddin and Hamid, 1999).

138 In the fluvial delta farther upstream, sedimentation is focused near the rivers while 139 subsidence is distributed broadly. Elevation increases near rivers while areas farther away 140 subside. This increasing elevation contrast through time drives river avulsions (Slingerland and 141 Smith, 2004), thereby spreading the sediments delta-wide over sufficiently long (geologic) 142 timescales (Reitz et al., 2015). Major tributaries to the upper delta, such as the Tista are also 143 highly avulsive, in part associated with flexural loading across the hinge zone (Grimaud et al., 144 2020). The result is a dynamic landscape where sedimentation and subsidence patterns are 145 continually in flux. Around the turn of the 19th century, there was the well-known westward avulsion of the Old Brahmaputra River to its present Jamuna channel (Fig. 1). This is one of 146 147 several Holocene avulsions of the Brahmaputra, which averages avulsions every ~1800y (Reitz 148 et al., 2015; Sincavage et al., 2017). The Ganges has also undergone avulsions. Prior to the mid 149 1600s, the Hooghly River (Fig. 1) was the main channel of the Ganges River (Eaton, 1993; 150 Parua, 2010). The Mathabhanga, Gorai, Arial Khan, among others, were major distributaries to 151 the east of the Hooghly. The shift of the Ganges to the Padma led to a reduction of water and 152 sediment to these channels. The resulting increased salinity incursion in the lower deltaplain led 153 to the building of polders (embankments) in the 1960s and 70s to improve agricultural production. Now, the Farakka Barrage in India diverts water from the Ganges into the Hooghly 154 155 and efforts have been made in Bangladesh to restore flow to the Gorai. Sometime in the late 19th century the Ganges shifted from flowing down the Arial Khan and Tetulia channels to join the 156 157 Brahmaputra in the Lower Meghna channel (Fig. 1). As a result, the Lower Meghna is widening 158 while the Arial Khan and Tetulia are narrowing (Allison, 1998; Brammer, 2014).

159 The interplay of sedimentation, subsidence and sea level at the GBD is further complicated 160 by active tectonics at the eastern half of the delta (Fig. 2). The IndoBurma subduction zone (IBSZ) is the along strike continuation of the Sumatra subduction zone. While most subduction 161 162 zones are submarine, in Bangladesh the incoming plate is capped by the GBD with its 16-20 km of sediment (Singh et al., 2016; Mitra et al., 2018; Ismaiel et al., 2019) and as a result, the 163 164 accretionary prism is entirely subaerial (Fig. 1). It encompasses to a >300 km area hosting a 165 series of bivergent anticlines (Betka et al., 2018). The less well-developed frontal anticlines are 166 blind and buried by the delta, but are known from gas exploration. The position of the 167 deformation front (Fig. 1) is based on mapping these anticlines (Betka et al., 2018). East of the 168 deformation front, there is additional subsidence from flexural loading, and uplift from 169 shortening and thickening in the accretionary prism. Furthermore, the earthquake cycle produces 170 cycles of subsidence and uplift through elastic loading of the megathrust underlying the entire 171 area (Fig. 2). Akhter (2010) suggested that the avulsion of the Old Brahmaputra to the current 172 Jamuna channel (Fig. 1) may have been due to tectonics, perhaps triggered by a 1787 earthquake. 173 Furthermore, earthquakes can produce pulses of sediment delivery downstream. Enhanced 174 sediment flux from the 1950 Assam earthquake has been documented (Goswami, 1985; Sarma, 175 2005; Sarker and Thorne, 2006) along with progressive changes in the Brahmaputra River width and braiding from the sediment pulse. Given these additional complexities, this paper's primary 176 177 focus is on the components of elevation change, compaction, and subsidence in the non-tectonic 178 part (i.e., west of deformation front, Fig. 1) of the GBD in southwest Bangladesh as defined by 179 Grall et al. (2018).

180 The extensive natural and anthropogenic changes in the sediment distribution within the GBD illuminate the importance of addressing of how subsidence is distributed across the delta, 181 182 particularly on the lower tidal deltaplain. While sedimentation drives compaction and isostatic 183 adjustment, the long timescales of these responses mean that they have significant lags and that 184 subsidence continues after rivers have shifted their depocenters. This sets up a cycle of delta lobe 185 progradation followed by degradation after abandonment, similar to the Mississippi Delta 186 (Allison et al., 2003). However, reliable estimates of land subsidence and relative sea level rise (the combination of sea level rise and subsidence) at the GBD have been limited. Early global 187 188 studies that included the GBD suggested high rates of relative sea level rise (Ericson et al., 2006; 189 Syvitski et al., 2009; Tessler et al., 2018), while more recent local papers suggest modest rates 190 (Pethick and Orford, 2013; Grall et al., 2018; Becker et al., 2020). Knowing the current rates of 191 sediment compaction, tectonic land movement and isostatic loading (Fig. 2) is critical for 192 understanding the sedimentation patterns in the GBD and the prospect for near future land loss 193 and salinization. Recent studies (Karpytchev et al., 2018; Krien et al., 2019), suggest that 194 isostatic loading by the sediments contribute significantly to the subsidence of the delta. The 195 contribution of sediment compaction and organic matter degradation may be large at the GBD 196 (Higgins et al., 2014) given the high sedimentation rates (Rogers et al., 2013, 2017) and 197 thicknesses (Singh et al., 2016; Mitra et al., 2018; Ismaiel et al., 2019). While the GBD is 198 predominantly considered a mineralogenic deltaplain, some organogenic wetland areas exist, and 199 Higgins et al. (2014) documented that these fine-grained organic regions have experienced 200 substantial subsidence after reclamation. In addition, groundwater extraction is significant near 201 Dhaka (Akhter et al., 2009), but widespread irrigation is broadly lowering the water table 202 (Shamsudduha et al., 2009).

203 Quantitative estimates of these multiple factors throughout the GBD are poorly known. 204 Chamberlain et al. (2020) provided an overview of methods for quantifying the sedimentation and subsidence history of the GBD, and a summary of efforts to date. Here we compile previous and recently published subsidence measurements with new evaluations of GNSS, tide gauge, and historical building measurements, and discuss the nuances between shallow vs deep and short- vs long-term processes. Our objective is to better quantify the magnitude, spatial variability, and depth variation of compaction and subsidence in the GBD to better evaluate the processes controlling it and the pattern of relative sea level rise in this vulnerable region.

211 **3. COMPACTION PROCESSES**

212 As sediments are buried, they undergo a variety of sediment compaction and consolidation 213 processes resulting in the loss of porosity and decrease in sediment layer thicknesses through 214 time and with depth, inducing subsidence of the overlying strata (Fig. 2). With greater depth, 215 sediment grains reorganize into more compact arrangements, particularly platy clay minerals that rotate to horizontal orientations. Smaller grains can fill pores between larger grains. With 216 increasing pressure and temperature, grains can dissolve at inter-grain contacts and reprecipitate 217 218 into pore spaces to further lower porosity. Additional dissolved minerals may be transported through the basin and contribute to cementation. Chemical reactions, such as dehydration of 219 220 clays, further reduce the sediment water content. At still greater depth, metamorphic reactions 221 reduce sediment volume. The progressive reduction of porosity with depth or lithostatic 222 overburden has been modeled by a variety of empirical formulas, often with an exponential form 223 (e.g., Athy, 1930; Terzaghi and Peck, 1967; Sclater and Christie, 1980; Gluyas and Cade, 1997; 224 Kooi and DeVries, 1998; Bahr et al., 2001; Sheldon and Retallack, 2001; Kominz et al., 2011). 225 The initial porosity of the sediments and its decay with depth depend strongly on the lithology of 226 the sediments. Organic-rich clay and silt generally have higher initial porosities and undergo 227 greater compaction than coarser sediments (Sheldon and Retallack, 2001; Meckel et al., 2007; 228 van Asselen, 2011; Kominz et al., 2011). Another factor is that low permeability sediments, such 229 as shale, may hinder the upward flow of fluids, slowing or halting compaction and creating 230 overpressure in the sediments (e.g., Gluyas and Cade, 1997; Gordon and Flemings, 1998). In the 231 GBD, extensive overpressure is present below depths of 3-5 km where sediments are mainly 232 deeper-water shales (Zahid and Uddin, 2005).

233 Another important consideration impacting compaction is the incision of the delta during the 234 last glacial maximum (LGM). While lowstand deltas are found offshore near the shelf edge 235 (Palamenghi, 2012), within the GBD, a large valley 60-90m deep was incised into older 236 Pleistocene-aged sediments during the LGM (Fig. 1, Pickering et al., 2014; Goodbred et al., 237 2014). Previously buried sediments do not significantly decompact with unloading (Chapman, 238 1983). Further compaction only occurs when the valleys are subsequently filled and overburden 239 pressure exceeds the previously level. Thus, during the Holocene, compaction in the GBD has 240 primarily occurred in the Holocene-aged sediments and not in the underlying older strata (Fig. 241 2).

242 At shallow depths, compaction of young sediments can be rapid, particularly for highly 243 porous muddy sediments (Hedberg, 1936; Kominz et al., 2011; van Asselen, 2011). Peat and 244 other organic rich soils undergo even more rapid compaction in the near surface than other soils (Sheldon and Retallack, 2001; van Asselen, 2011). Oxidation of peats due to groundwater 245 246 lowering can cause significant subsidence (van Asselen et al., 2018). However, few true peats 247 with very high percentages of organic matter are found in the GBD (Brammer, 1990; Goodbred 248 et al. 2003, Best et al. 2007). Large water level fluctuations and biologic respiration lead to 249 oxidation of most organic material before it is deeply buried. In addition, roots occupy soil

volume, which can reach 10-20% in the Sundarbans mangrove forest in the near surface
(Auerbach et al., 2015) leading to thickness loss as the plants senesce, dewater, and are oxidized
with burial. Bioturbation and animal burrows can further increase the porosity at very shallow
levels. These effects can contribute to a large amount of effective sediment compaction in the
upper few meters of the sediment column.

- 255
- 256

4. PREVIOUS ESTIMATES OF SUBSIDENCE IN THE GBD

258 A limited number of studies have examined subsidence rates in the GBD. Alam (1996) and Hoque and Alam (1997) compiled radiocarbon dates on Holocene samples and obtained 259 260 subsidence rates from 0.53 (Kolkata) to 5.48 mm/y (Khulna) (Fig. 1), but suggested that rates could reach 20-30 mm/y in places. Alam (1996) assigned the reported top of the Plio-Pleistocene 261 262 Dupi Tila formation in the 1960 Hazipur-1 well as corresponding to the beginning of the 263 Holocene. As a result, he estimated a subsidence rate of 22 mm/y that is likely too high (see 264 supplement). This was used in a global analysis of delta subsidence (Ericson et al., 2006) to 265 suggest a high subsidence rate in the GBD. Radiocarbon data on auger and vibracores up to 7m 266 depth across the lower delta plain (Allison et al., 2003) indicated sediment accumulation rates of 267 1-7 mm/y and subsidence rates of 1-4 mm/y. A summary of the papers discussed in this section is provided in Table S1. 268

269 In a study of global deltas, Syvitski et al. (2009) suggested a GBD subsidence rate of up to 18 270 mm/y. Their estimate is based on a high rate of subsidence at the Khepupara tide gauge. However, our examination of the 1977-2010 record of this gauge using hourly Bangladesh 271 Inland Water Transportation Authority (BIWTA) data shows several decadal-scale changes in 272 273 rates (Fig. 3), with at least one change in 2000 corresponding to when the gauge was relocated 274 based on local interviews. The publicly available data (1987-2000) from the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL) corresponds closely to the period of high subsidence of the gauge, 275 276 and thus should be regarded as an overestimate (Fig .3). Ostanciaux et al. (2012) studied global 277 trends of coastal vertical motion and estimated high rates at the GBD of 11-20 mm/y, again 278 biased by the public Khepupara gauge data.

279 In contrast, Sarker et al. (2012) examined plinth elevations relative to the surrounding ground levels (Fig. 6) at four historic sites that are 200-600 years old and determined low subsidence 280 rates of 0-2.5 mm/yr. However, as described below, a reanalysis of one site, the Shakher Temple 281 in the Sundarbans, yields a higher rate of 3.4 mm/y (Chamberlain et al., 2020). At Katka Beach 282 283 in the Sundarbans, Hanebuth et al. (2013) discovered 300-year old salt kilns uncovered by 284 coastal erosion. The kilns would have been built just above spring high tide level indicating 4.1 285 mm/y subsidence. The remains of additional salt kiln sites in the region have been discovered 286 and are being dated (H. Kudrass, Pers. Comm., 2020).

Brown and Nichols (2015) compiled a comprehensive suite of >200 measurements of subsidence in the GBD. Methodologies included carbon dating, borings/wells/auger logs, archaeological sites, InSAR, GNSS, optically stimulated luminescence dating, geomorphology, estimates of compaction from groundwater depletion, and magnetostratigraphic dating. However, by mixing multiple types of measurements with insufficient constraints on their settings, they obtained subsidence rates that varied from 44 to -1 mm/y, including broad ranges of values at 293 individual sites. Their comprehensive mixture of samples with limited context also shows the 294 "Sadler effect" (Sadler, 1981), with mean subsidence rates decreasing with increasing timescale 295 of measurement. A critical problem is the need to distinguish between subsidence and sediment 296 accumulation rates. For example, if incision by a river is followed by rapid deposition when the 297 river migrates or avulses, the net effect is younger river channel sediments replaces older sediments. This "channel incision effect" yields incorrect high apparent subsidence rates (Fig. 4). 298 Grall et al. (2018) used >400 tube wells with almost 200 14 C dates (Fig. 5), as well as seismic 299 300 data along the Brahmaputra River and offshore, to estimate average Holocene subsidence rates. 301 The authors identified and removed samples affected by the channel incision effect, and 302 distinguished components due to sediment accumulation, eustatic sea level rise and subsidence. 303 Results revealed a systematic variation of subsidence rates across the delta. In the lower GBD, 304 subsidence increases from near zero rates landward of the Hinge Zone to 4.5 mm/yr at the 305 southern coast of Bhola Island (Fig. 5). The Hinge Zone is the track of the Eocene shelf edge, 306 which also corresponds to the boundary between the thinly sediment-covered Indian craton and 307 the thick sedimentary depocenter of the Bengal Basin (Fig. 1; Steckler et al., 2008).

308 Recently, Becker et al. (2020) analyzed groups of river and tide gauges to reconstruct 309 subsidence rates in the delta (Fig. 5). The averaging of 19-24 stations for each zone, along with 310 the corrections and analysis in the paper, minimized the effect of poor tide gauges, such as Khepupara. They estimate a maximum of up to 7 mm/y subsidence for the period of 1993-2012. 311 312 This is noticeably higher than the Holocene rates of Grall et al. (2018) (Fig. 5), but the pattern is 313 generally coherent for the different morphodynamic units (Grall et al., 2018). In the tectonic 314 areas east of the IBSZ deformation front, elastic loading by the locked megathrust (Steckler et al., 2016) is expected to contribute 3-4 mm/y of subsidence (Oryan et al., 2020) that would be 315 316 countered by earthquake related uplift in the average Holocene rates, which are significantly 317 lower.

318 GNSS geodesy provides another means of assessing current subsidence rates. Our GNSS 319 stations in Dhaka and Sylhet showed locally high subsidence rates of 12 mm/y (Fig 1, Steckler 320 et al., 2010). Reitz et al. (2015) expanded the results to include 18 stations. Sites in NW Bangladesh at or landward of the Hinge Zone showed subsidence rates <1 mm/y, while sites in 321 322 Sylhet, a tectonically active basin, showed high rates (7-12 mm/y). The high subsidence rate in 323 Dhaka at 12 mm/y from groundwater withdrawal was confirmed in the longer time series. Rates 324 in the foldbelt farther east were variable depending on the structural position of the GPS site. 325 Their three sites in the coastal belt showed moderate but variable rates of 3-8 mm/yr.

326 Higgins et al. (2014) used InSAR measurements with the ALOS-1 satellite to create a map of subsidence rates across a >10,000 km² swath of central Bangladesh (location in Fig. 5). They 327 obtained rates from 0 to >18 mm/yr, with the lowest rates primarily in Pleistocene Madhupur 328 329 Clay and the highest rates in Holocene organic-rich muds. One high subsidence area follows an 330 eastern branch of the Lower Meghna that previously flowed past Noakhali (approximately the 331 position of the M in Lower Meghna in Figure 1), but was filled with sediments following the 332 1950 Assam earthquake (Sarker et al., 2013). These young deposits are clearly undergoing rapid 333 compaction. Dhaka has high rates of subsidence from groundwater withdrawal (Steckler et al., 334 2010), and the InSAR (Higgins et al., 2014) shows variable rates that correlate with the 335 underlying geology. Further investigations using InSAR from the Sentinel-1 satellite are ongoing 336 (Woods et al., 2019).

337 **5. UPDATED SUBSIDENCE RATES**

We present our efforts to expand and improve the data on subsidence of the GBD and attempt to construct a coherent pattern of subsidence taking into account the different timescales of the measurements, the spatial distribution of sites in the context of the geology of the delta, and the depth component of each type of measurement.

342 5.1 Revised Long-term Subsidence from Historic Sites

343 As mentioned above, human historic sites can provide evidence of subsidence over hundreds 344 of years. Sarker et al. (2012) examined four historic sites, two Hindu temples and two Muslim 345 mosques. A key component in determining subsidence from historic sites is identifying the plinth 346 level, the base or platform upon which the building is built (Fig. 6). Construction typically 347 includes a base that is built up from the original Tidal Platform Level (TPL) to the Homestead 348 Platform Level (HPL), which is close to SHWL (Spring High Water Level), and then a plinth 349 level (Fig. 6) that is 0.5-0.8 m higher to protect against floods. The thickness of the homestead 350 platform is equal to a/2 (Fig. 6), or half the difference between the NHWL (Neap High Water Level) and SHWL, placing the TPL at mean high water. 351

For the two 15th century mosques at Bagherat, subsidence is estimated as 1.9±0.6 mm/y 352 (Sarker et al., 2012). Lower rates of 1.25 mm/y and 0.14±0.74 mm/y were found for the two 353 ancient Hindu temples, the Shakher Temple and Doyamayee Mondir. We re-evaluated the 354 subsidence at one of these temples during a visit (Fig. 7). We believe that the plinth level of the 355 356 400-year old the Shakher Temple in the Sundarbans was misidentified. In their analysis of the 357 temple, Sarker et al. (2012) placed the plinth level at the entrance of the temple at the top of the stairs, even with the interior of the temple (Fig. 7). While Muslim mosques are communal prayer 358 359 halls that often are open at ground level, Hindu temples are commonly raised, as they are the 360 home to gods (in this case, the Goddess Kali). Thus, one ascends the temple to enter the home of the goddess (Sharma and Deshpande, 2017). We believe the previous evaluation (Sarker et al., 361 362 2012) missed this architectural feature. Instead, we located a ridge in the bricks near ground level 363 (Fig. 7) that we associate with the plinth level (Chamberlain et al., 2020). In addition, augering discovered a buried brick layer 1.5m below the surface. We interpret the brick layer as the 364 365 original TPL level minus any excavation for preparing and leveling the site for construction. The brick layer and revised plinth level are consistent and yield a new subsidence rate of 3.4±0.5 366 mm/y (details in the supplement). We have not visited the other Hindu Temple, but it may have 367 368 the same issue, so we exclude it from our calculations.

369 5.2 New Recent Shallow Subsidence From RSET-MH

370 Rod surface elevation tables and marker horizons (RSET-MH) is a method of determining 371 surface elevation changes and sedimentation rates in deltas and wetlands (Cahoon et al., 1995, 372 2002). Elevation measurements are made from a rod driven into the ground to the depth of 373 refusal or a maximum 24.38 m (80 ft.) (D. Cahoon, pers. comm., 2009). Following the 374 procedures in Cahoon et al. (2002), a horizontal arm is attached to the rod from which 9 375 measurements of surface elevation surrounding the site are taken at 8 different positions for a 376 total of 72 measurements. Tile marker horizons are used to measure sediment accumulation rates 377 at each site visit. Shallow subsidence above the base of the rods is determined by the difference 378 between the elevation change and the sedimentation rate. What distinguishes these measurements 379 from those described earlier is that the RSET-MH measure subsidence up to the surface in places 380 with active sediment deposition. Thus, these rates include very shallow and seasonal near surface 381 sediment consolidation and organic matter decomposition. Wilson et al. (2021) has established a 382 network of 22 RSET-MH in the tidal deltaplain of the GBD (Fig. 8) with measurements made 383 twice a year, before and after the monsoon that is responsible for most of the sedimentation. At Polder 32, there are 8 RSET-MHs, including 4 inside the polder and 4 in the adjoining 384 385 Sundarbans forest (Bomer et al. 2020). The remaining sites have a pair of RSET-MHs. This 386 enables us to obtain measurements both inside and outside of the embankments around the 387 deltaic islands (polders, N=14), and within the Sundarbans mangrove forest near stream banks 388 and interiors (N=8). Most were installed in 2019 co-located with our GNSS stations to 389 distinguish shallow and deep subsidence (Keogh and Törnqvist, 2019; Karegar et al., 2020). 390 Reliable rates for the new sites are not yet available as approximately 5 years are needed to establish long-term rates for all the measurements (elevation change, sediment accretion, shallow 391 392 subsidence) and to separate the long-term trends from seasonal variations. Furthermore, our 393 measurements have been disrupted due to the COVID-19 pandemic. However, preliminary 394 results show seasonal shallow subsidence appears to be exacerbated during the dry season, 395 especially in embanked settings where farmers drain their rice paddies and allow fields to go 396 fallow (Wilson et al., 2021; Bomer et al., 2020). With maturation of the paired RSETs inside and 397 outside of embankments, we should be able to remove this seasonal anthropogenic signal over 398 time. A set of 4 stations in the natural Sundarbans mangrove forest adjacent to Polder 32 399 established in 2014 yielded seasonal shallow subsidence rates of 7-18 mm/y over a 5-year period, averaging 9.7±1.6 mm/y (Bomer et al., 2020), significantly higher than other 400 401 measurements despite not including deep subsidence from below the base of the RSET.

402 5.3 New Recent Compaction from Vertical Strainmeters

403 DeWolf et al. (2013, in prep.) installed two sets of optical fiber strainmeters in hand-drilled 404 wells in Bangladesh. The site in southwest Bangladesh at Bhanderkote, Khulna (called the 405 Khulna compaction meter or KHLC, Fig. 8) contains 6 wells drilled to depths of 20, 40, 60, 80, 100 and 300 m. Each well contains two pairs of optical fibers grouted into the bottom of the well 406 407 and attached to a concrete monument at the top. The length of each fiber was measured weekly from 2011 to 2016 by local collaborators. In March 2015, the river adjacent to the site was 408 409 dredged to improve navigation. Readjustment of the river profile led to bank erosion that 410 destroyed KHLC in 2016. Measurements show a seasonal extension of the fibers during the 411 summer monsoon due to clay swelling or poroelasticity with a longer-term subsidence trend. Shortening rates of the fibers generally increase with depth (Figure 9A) and are consistent with 412 413 an exponential curve for a total compaction rate of ~9 mm/y for the upper 300 m, with most 414 compaction occurring in Holocene strata above 60 m depth and no compaction below 100 m, 415 within errors. Based on nearby tube well transects, the thickness of the Holocene strata here 416 exceeds 90 m (Fig. 1, 8). Thus, KHLC is located in the broad incised valley excavated by the 417 Brahmaputra River during the last glacial maximum (Fig. 1). The lack of compaction beneath the 418 Holocene is not unexpected, as the sediments below experienced compaction prior to the 419 lowstand incision.

420 Additional interpretation needs to take into account recent sedimentation on the site. The 421 river at KHLC was previously >300m wide (Fig. 9B), but historical imagery shows it narrowed 422 dramatically between 1989 and 1999 (Wilson et al., 2017) and OSL dating of samples from an 423 auger hole at the site shows 4.44 m of deposition since 1987 ± 3 CE due to the channel filling 424 (Chamberlain et al., 2020). KHLC was installed on the bank of this narrow (<10 m) river in 425 2011. Boat traffic on the river could only move at high tide leading to the government decision to 426 dredge it. The compaction meter on the river bank was the site of sediment deposition, averaging 10-15 mm/y of tidalites per year until the river was widened (Fig. 9C; Chamberlain et al., 2020).
Deposition likely occurred only during high tides during the monsoon when the river level was
sufficient to flood the site. Thus, the high subsidence rate measured in the shallower strainmeters
is associated with active sediment deposition and consolidation of recently deposited sediments
near the surface.

432 5.4 New Recent Subsidence from GNSS

433 GNSS enables observations using fixed antennas over years to estimate rates of tectonic 434 deformation as well as subsidence or uplift on the order of ± 1 mm/y or better. Generally, it takes 435 >2.5 years to determine reliable horizontal rates and >4.5 years for vertical rates (Blewitt and 436 Lavallée, 2002). We find the vertical rates for the DHAK station, with seasonal corrections, 437 stabilized after 6 years. In the GBD, GNSS show a large seasonal component of up to 5-6 mm/y (Steckler et al., 2010). This downward motion during the summer is due to loading by seasonal 438 flooding and recharge of groundwater during the monsoon. It represents lithospheric-scale elastic 439 deformation from an average of ~100 x 10^9 tonnes (maximum ~150 x 10^9) of water, 440 441 approximately 7.5% of the annual flow of the Ganges, Brahmaputra and Meghna Rivers that is 442 sequestered in Bangladesh. As a result, continuous GNSS sites are necessary for accurate vertical 443 rates. In Bangladesh, most antennas have been mounted on either stainless steel threaded rods 444 cemented or epoxied into reinforced concrete buildings, or on tripods constructed out of welded 445 stainless-steel rods driven into the ground. These systems capture subsidence where they are 446 coupled to the ground, either the foundation of the building or at the ~ 2 m depth of the rods. 447 Thus, GNSS, particularly building sites, may not measure the shallowest component of land 448 surface subsidence (Keogh and Törnqvist, 2019).

449 We have processed all available GNSS data in the GBD using GAMIT/GLOBK (Herring et 450 al., 2018) with 16 International GNSS Service (IGS) stations used for stabilization. The vertical 451 rates are given in Table S1 and the vertical time series for each of the sites is shown in Figure S3. 452 The first continuous GPS receivers in Bangladesh were installed in 2003 and the number of sites 453 has grown over the years. We installed additional sites in southwest Bangladesh in 2012 and 454 2019, and rehabilitated older sites in 2014 and 2019. In this study, we have also included sites 455 that have been installed by the Earth Observatory of Singapore (Mallick et al., 2019) and the continuous station deployed by the French IRD (Institut de Recherche pour le Développement) 456 457 through the Belmont Forum BanD-Aid project (Shum et al., 2014), which is maintained by 458 CNRS-INSU (L'institut national des sciences de l'Univers). Continued measurements enhance 459 the length of the record and thus the accuracy of subsidence rates. For all sites, the seasonal 460 signal was removed by modeling the vertical deflection from water loading (Steckler et al., 461 2010). Water level was calculated using >300 daily river gauge and >1200 weekly ground water well measurements of the water table (Steckler et al., 2010; Nooner et al., in prep). The 462 463 deflection from the regional water mass was calculated and removed using a best fit estimate of 464 the Young's Modulus at each GNSS station with a best-fit trendline.

Figure 8 shows results for the coastal zone of Bangladesh and India. The font size used is proportional to the square root of the times series length to reflect the reliability of the rate estimates. The rates for the newest sites, established in 2019, are still too short to be reliable and are not further considered. The larger symbols correspond to sites that have recorded data, sometimes intermittently, for 5-17 years. In the coastal belt, GNSS subsidence rates near the sandy Brahmaputra (Lower Meghna) river mouth are 4-5 mm/y (Fig. 8), similar to the Holocene rates determined by Grall et al. (2018) and lower than the river gauge sites (Becker et al., 2020). Farther west, we generally determined higher rates (5-8 mm/y for longer term stations) that exceed the Holocene average rates by several millimeters per year. We associate these higher rates with muddier settings farther from the river mouth that may partially reflect additional nearsurface consolidation and organic matter oxidation.

476 6. DISCUSSION

477 **6.1** Temporal and methodological controls on subsidence rates

478 The subsidence measurements presented here using different methodologies exhibit 479 variations that show systematic patterns spatially-both in the horizontal and with depth-and 480 temporally, (Fig. 10). In delta systems, it is recognized that thick sedimentary deposits loading 481 the lithospheric plate enhance the subsidence rate. This isostatic adjustment to the sediment load 482 likely contributes significantly to the long-term rate of subsidence (Karpytchev et al., 2018, 483 Krien et al., 2019). Overall, subsidence rates are inversely time-dependent, with younger 484 deposits consolidating at greater rates commensurate with their age (i.e., Sadler effect). We find 485 this fundamental temporal control also holds true in the GBD. Holocene averaged subsidence 486 rates (Grall et al., 2018) (Fig. 5) are lower than contemporary rates from tide gauges, GNSS, 487 RSET and the vertical strainmeter. The rates from the 300-600 year old historic sites (Fig. 8; Sarker et al., 2012; Hanebuth et al., 2013; Chamberlain et al., 2020) are similar to the Holocene 488 489 rates (Grall et al., 2018), providing a timescale for shallow sediment compaction similar to the 490 Mississippi Delta (Jankowski et al., 2017; Keogh and Törnqvist, 2019). The Nile Delta also 491 shows higher contemporary rates from GNSS and InSAR (6-10 mm/y; Gebremichael et al., 492 2017; Saleh and Becker, 2019) relative to Holocene rates (0-4.5 mm/y; Marriner et al., 2012).

493 GNSS subsidence rates from the past two decades (i.e., modern rates) generally show slightly 494 higher values than the longer-term Holocene average rates (Figs. 5, 8). In the east, near the 495 Lower Meghna River, rates are within a millimeter/year of the Holocene rates. However, farther 496 west, GNSS subsidence rates are consistently a few mm/y higher than the longer-term rates. We 497 tentatively ascribe this difference to greater sediment compaction in the muddier sediments as 498 described in the next section. The modern rates from tide and river gauges (Fig. 5; Becker et al., 499 2020) show an overall similarity to the GNSS rates in being slightly higher than the Holocene 500 average values. However, the rates to the west are lower while the rates farther east are higher, 501 inconsistent with attributing the GNSS rate differences to lithology.

502 Farther east, the river gauges show substantial subsidence (5.2 mm/y) along and east of the 503 Meghna River where the Holocene rates rapidly taper to zero (Fig. 5). We interpret this to reflect 504 short-term subsidence associated with ongoing deformation above the locked subduction 505 megathrust (Steckler et al., 2016; Mallick et al., 2019; Fig. 1), which may reach 3-4 mm/y 506 (Orvan et al., 2020). Megathrust earthquakes would likely uplift this region. The 1762 M8.5 507 earthquake farther south along the Arakan coast resulted in 2-7 m of coastal uplift (Aung et al., 508 2008; Wang et al., 2013; Mondal et al., 2018). Over the longer term, we expect that the net effect 509 of the current interseismic subsidence, and infrequent coseismic and postseismic uplift would be a slight net uplift related to shortening on the blind detachment folds in the frontal foldbelt 510 (Betka et al., 2018; Mondal et al., 2018, Mallick et al., 2021). Thus, we interpret the difference 511 512 between the shorter-term and longer-term rates to reflect the seismic cycle in this region.

513 The highest rates of subsidence are located north of the coastal zone near Dhaka (Fig. 5, 8) 514 due to groundwater extraction. At Dhaka, there is a significant cone of withdrawal from water 515 pumping such that the water table is currently >70 m below sea level and had been dropping by ~3 m/y since the 1980s (Akhter et al., 2009; Shamsudduha et al., 2009, 2011). GNSS sites at the
center of the cone show subsidence rates of 9-13 mm/y (Fig. 8). The river gauges, covering a
large area from the center of the cone out beyond the cone edge, yield 7.2 mm/y.

519 The devices measuring shallow subsidence, the RSET-MH and KHLC, show higher rates of 520 9-10 mm/y (Fig. 8). These instruments, located in sites of active sedimentation, include shallow 521 subsidence not recorded by either the river gauges or GNSS. The anchor depth of the river 522 gauges in Bangladesh is unknown; they average 20 m in the Mississippi Delta (Keogh and 523 Törnqvist, 2019). The GNSS sites in Bangladesh are mainly installed on reinforced concrete buildings. The depth of pilings for the foundations are unknown, however, the ground is 524 525 compacted before construction and there is no young sedimentation. Thus, shallow subsidence 526 above some significant depth is not measured by either river gauges or GNSS. RSET-MH in the 527 Mississippi Delta (Jankowski et al., 2017) show that shallow subsidence is primarily focused in 528 the upper 5-10 m of sediment, averaging 6.4±5.4 mm/year (Jankowski et al., 2017). GNSS-IR 529 (interferometric reflectometry; Karegar et al., 2020) measures subsidence of the ground surface 530 relative to anchored GNSS and found rates of 3-6 mm/y. Our results suggest similar amounts of shallow subsidence recorded by the RSET-MH and KHLC that are missed by the river gauges 531 532 and GNSS sites because this subsidence occurs shallower than the depth at which the instruments 533 are rooted. The GNSS do include deep subsidence that occurs below the base of the RSET or 534 strainmeters. Thus, the total subsidence at a site with active sedimentation may be equal to the 535 sum of the GNSS on buildings plus 3-6 mm/y of shallow subsidence, or equal to the RSET and 536 KHLC with the addition of 2-3 mm/y or more of deep subsidence. The total subsidence may 537 therefore reach values of 12-14 mm/y.

538 6.2 The role of lithology with subsidence

539 Differences in subsidence rates indicate that there is a considerable amount of ongoing 540 shallow subsidence in the GBD due to sediment compaction, consolidation and organic matter 541 degradation. GNSS subsidence rates are consistently a few mm/y higher than the longer-term 542 rates in southwestern Bangladesh farther from the sandy main mouths of the Ganges River: the 543 Hooghly River in India prior to the mid 1600s, the Arial Khan/Tetulia Channel from then until 544 the mid 1900s and the Lower Meghna River since then (Fig. 1). Thus, the recent sediments are expected to be muddler in this region between the major rivers. 545 Thicker total Holocene 546 sediments upstream of the Swatch of No Ground canyon in SW Bangladesh (Fig. 1) may also 547 play a role in contributing to subsidence from compaction here.

548 More local lithologic differences may also contribute to variations in compaction. For 549 example, while the GNSS on Polder 32 measures 5.6 mm/y subsidence, the RSET-MHs 6-9 km 550 away in the Sundarbans record 9.7 mm/y of shallow subsidence (Fig. 8, 10). RSET-MH 551 subsidence values only include compaction above the base of the rods (in this case, 24.4 m). 552 Meanwhile, KHLC to the NE shows the shallow subsidence is distributed over a greater depth 553 range (Fig. 9). While the total compaction of 9 mm/y is similar between KHLC and the RSET-554 MH, KHLC only records 3.1 and 5.6 mm/y at the shallowest 20 and 40 m depth wells. This indicates significant variability in the shallow subsidence between sites, with the natural 555 Sundarbans mangrove forest having more compaction occurring at very shallow depths (Bomer 556 557 et al., 2020). This may be due to the muddier nature of the deposits in the Sundarbans and the greater root density in the mangroves (Bomer et al., 2020) since muddy sediments undergo more 558 559 shallow compaction than sands (Kominz et al., 2011). At the compaction meter site, in contrast, the deposits beneath the recent channel fill were mainly very fine sand (Wilson et al., 2015; 560

561 Chamberlain et al., 2020). Furthermore, shallow subsidence in natural areas such as the 562 Sundarbans mangrove forest is driven by seasonal dewatering of the shallow subsurface (<2m) 563 with lowering of the groundwater table during the dry season (Bomer et al., 2020).

564 SYNTHESIS AND IMPLICATIONS

565 The combination of multiple methods of estimating subsidence and compaction in the GBD leads to a pattern of subsidence varying with timescale, spatial location and depth (Fig. 10). 566 567 Subsidence is lower at longer timescales. We attribute this to the rate at which fresh young 568 sediments are undergoing initial compaction and organic matter degradation. As the rates for 569 multi-century historic sites and Holocene sites are similar, we conclude this occurs at the century 570 time scale and the longer time scales reflect compaction and isostasy from a more stable 571 sediment porosity profile. Grall et al. (2018) found that the longer-term rates increase from near 572 zero at the Hinge Zone to 4.5 mm/y near the coast. This likely reflects the increase in both the Holocene and total sediment thickness, as well as the increasing proportion of mud from the 573 574 Hinge Zone toward the coast. River gauges and GNSS systems yield rates that are a few millimeters a year higher than the long-term rates (Fig. 10). These systems are rooted in the 575 ground or on buildings and therefore do not measure very near surface compaction (<5 m). 576 577 However, ongoing compaction of their underlying sediments contributes to greater current rates of subsidence than the long-term rates. 578

579 Systems that measure shallow subsurface compaction and subsidence (<100 m), such as 580 RSET-MH and optical fiber strain meters, yield considerably higher rates of 9-10 mm/y (Fig. 10). Neither of these systems measure deeper subsidence, so the total subsidence rate must be at 581 582 least a few millimeters a year higher. These results also indicate that there is considerable 583 subsidence arising from near-surface processes related to compaction, sediment consolidation 584 and organic matter degradation. The upper few meters of sediments tend to be finer grained 585 (Wilson and Goodbred, 2015; Bomer et al., 2020). These sediments are reworked by shifting 586 channels so that the preserved sediments are generally coarse, although channels are less mobile 587 in the tidal realm. However, these loose ephemeral sediments may contribute to high compaction 588 rates in the near surface that could reach 5 mm/y or more. We note the depth distribution of the overall compaction contribution remains uncertain. The KHLC compaction meter suggests most 589 590 compaction in the southwest region is occurring in the Holocene sediment deposited within the 591 incised valleys. However, the RSET-MH suggests that most of the compaction may be even 592 shallower, and that groundwater hydrology may play a large role. This significant shallow 593 contribution needs further investigation to better quantify it.

594 Deep compaction of sediments below the Holocene appears to be limited, and we find that 595 the bulk of the subsidence from compaction is from within Holocene-aged sediments. 596 Viscoelastic modeling (Karpytchev et al., 2018; Krien et al., 2019) suggest 1-3 mm/y of isostatic 597 adjustment from the sediment load. As noted earlier, the pre-Holocene sediments below the 598 Brahmaputra incised valley may be overcompacted and contribute little to current rates. At 599 depths greater than 3-5 km, the sediments in the GBD are highly overpressured (Zahid and 600 Uddin, 2005), which also means that dewatering and compaction of these sediments are limited (Gordon and Flemings, 1998). 601

602 Given spatial and temporal variability of subsidence rates revealed here, we must ask which 603 rates are significant for people living on a delta? When planning adaptations to rising sea level in 604 the GBD, the physical environment and nature of any construction must be seriously considered. 605 Specifically, it should be acknowledged that subsidence rates can differ, even locally, and this 606 has implications for nature-based solutions and/or hard constructed solutions. For example, designs for embankment construction must take rates of sea level rise and ground subsidence into 607 608 account. Reinforced concrete buildings, such as those hosting the GNSS, are subsiding at 4-8 609 mm/y. Are the embankments constructed of compacted sand and pilings faced with concrete 610 blocks settling at a rate similar to the GNSS? In contrast, in regions of active sedimentation, we 611 find significantly higher rates of subsidence. Is this high rate due to very near surface 612 consolidation only present where there is active sedimentation? If sedimentation stops, how long 613 will higher subsidence rates continue? From the historic sites, we estimate it is likely shorter than 614 300-600 years. Still, the findings suggest that natural subsidence processes can continue for 615 decades to centuries.

616 At Polder 32, we have all the different types of measurements available in a limited area. We found 2.4-3.2 mm/y of long-term subsidence, increasing to 5.5-5.6 mm/y of short-term 617 subsidence (Figure 10, bottom). Shallow compaction measured nearby reaches 9-10 mm/y. 618 619 Within Polder 32, Auerbach et al. (2015) found that there was a loss of 1.0-1.5 m of elevation 620 relative to the Sundarbans over 50 years since the embankment was built. Using their values of 621 11 mm/y of sediment accretion in the Sundarbans and an extra 20 cm of elevation loss from root 622 extraction, these findings suggest 5-15 mm/y of subsidence in the polder interior since the embankment precluded natural sedimentation. This suite of values is consistent with the 3-6 623 624 mm/y of very shallow compaction seen in the Mississippi Delta (Jankowski et al., 2017; Karegar 625 et al., 2020). This means that for restoring polder elevation through nature-based solutions, such as Tidal River Management (Shampa and Pramanik, 2012; Islam et al., 2021), sediment volumes 626 that are required need to account for expected compaction which will occur in the shallow 627 628 subsurface and the resultant relatively high subsidence rate.

629 These results illustrate the complexity of subsidence and compaction as a function of depth, 630 space and time, and begins to unravel the values in a densely-populated, vulnerable delta. One 631 cannot characterize subsidence with a single value without reference to its context. While further work is still required to better understand the variability of subsidence rates and their relationship 632 to the underlying geology and the physical processes that contribute to subsidence, our results 633 634 begin to provide values for the Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta. Similar relative rates likely apply to other deltas as well. Which values are appropriate for mitigation of sea level rise and 635 maintenance of the GBD and other deltas depend on both the local and regional settings. For 636 637 instance, locally, subsidence appears to be lower for embankments and buildings, but higher for 638 sites of active sedimentation. All of these rates can be exacerbated by anthropogenic 639 modification, such as fluid withdrawal.

640 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the people of Bangladesh who allowed us to install our equipment at their schools, homes and offices and allowed us to repeatedly visit them. This work has been supported over the years by NSF INT 99-00487, NSF EAR 06-36037, NSF OISE 09-68354, ONR N00014- 11- 1- 0683, NSF Coastal SEES 16-00258 and OCE-1600319, and BWDB "Long Term Monitoring, Research and Analysis of Bangladesh Coastal Zone", part of the Coastal Embankment Improvement Project, Phase-1 (CEIP-1). The manuscript was greatly improved by comments from reviewers, particularly anonymous Reviewer 1.

- 648
- 649 **References**

- Akhter, H., M.S. Ahmed and K.B.S. Rasheed (2009). Spatial and temporal analysis of
 groundwater level fluctuations in Dhaka City, Bangladesh, Asian J. Earth Sciences, 2,49-57.
- Akhter, S.H. (2010), Earthquakes of Dhaka, in Environment of Capital Dhaka—Plants Wildlife
 Gardens Parks Air Water and Earthquake, edited by M. A. Islam, pp. 401–426, Asiatic
 society of Bangladesh, Bangladesh.
- Alam, M. (1996). Subsidence of the Ganges–Brahmaputra delta of Bangladesh and associated
 drainage, sedimentation and salinity problems. In: Milliman, J.D., Haq, B.U. (Eds.), Sealevel Rise and Coastal Subsidence. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 169–192.
- Alauddin, M. and Hamid, M. A. (1999). Shrimp culture in Bangladesh with emphasis on social
 and economic aspects. Towards sustainable shrimp culture in Thailand and the region. Edited
 by P.T. Smith. Canberra: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research. 53-62
- Allison, M.A. (1998). Historical changes in the Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta front. Journal of
 Coastal Research, 14(4), 1269–1275.
- Allison, M. A., Khan, S. R., Goodbred, S. L., & Kuehl, S. A. (2003). Stratigraphic evolution of
 the late Holocene Ganges-Brahmaputra lower delta plain. Sedimentary Geology, 155(3),
 317–342. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(02)00185-9</u>.
- Athy, L.F., 1930. Density, porosity, and compaction of sedimentary rocks. Bull. Am. Ass. Petrol.
 Geol., 14: 1-24.
- Auerbach, L., Goodbred, S., Mondal, D., Wilson, C., Ahmed, K.R., Roy, K., Steckler, M.,
 Gilligan, J., Ackerly, B. (2015). In the Balance: Natural v. Embanked Landscapes in the
 Ganges-Brahmaputra Tidal Delta Plain, Nature Climate Change. 5, 153-157, doi:
 10.1038/nclimate2472.
- Aung T.T., K. Satake, Y. Okamura, M. Shishikura, W. Swe, H. Saw, T.L. Swe, S.T. Tun, T.
 Aung (2008). Geologic evidence for three great earthquakes in the past 3400 years off
 Myanmar, Journal of Earthquake and Tsunami, 2, 259–265.
- Becker, M., F. Papa, M. Karpytchev, C. Delebecque, Y. Krien, J.U. Khan, V. Ballu, F. Durand,
 G. Le Cozannet, A.K.M.S. Islam, S. Calmant, C.K. Shum (2020) Water level changes,
 subsidence, and sea level rise in the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna delta, Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences, 117 (4) 1867-1876; doi:10.1073/pnas.1912921117.
- Betka, P.M., L. Seeber, S. Thomson, M.S. Steckler, R. Sincavage, C. Zoramthara (2018). Slip
 partitioning above a shallow, weak décollement beneath the Indo-Burman accretionary
 prism, Earth and Planetary Science Letters 503, 17–28, 10.1016/j.epsl.2018.09.003.
- Blewitt, G. and D. Lavallée (2002) Effect of annual signals on geodetic velocity. Journal of
 Geophysical Research, 107, 2145-2156, doi: 10.1029/2001JB000570.
- Blum M.D., Roberts, H.H. (2009) Drowning of the Mississippi Delta due to insufficient
 sediment supply and global sea-level rise. Nat. Geosci. 2: 488–491. doi:10.1038/NGEO553
- Bomer, E.J., Wilson, C.A., Hale, R.P., Hossain, A.N.M., and Rahman, F.M.A. (2020). Surface
 elevation and sedimentation dynamics in the Ganges-Brahmaputra tidal delta plain,
 Bangladesh: implications for the sustainability of natural and human-impacted coastal
 systems. *Catena*.187:104312.
- Brammer, H. (1990). Floods in Bangladesh: Geographical background to the 1987 and 1988
 floods. Geographical Journal, 156, 12–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/635431</u>
- Brammer, H. (2014). Bangladesh's dynamic coastal regions and sea-level rise. Climate Risk
 Management, 1, 51-62.
- Brown, S., R. J. Nicholls, Subsidence and human influences in mega deltas: The case of the
 Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna. Sci. Total Environ. 527–528, 362–374 (2015).

- 696 Cahoon, D.R., D.J. Reed, and J.W. Day. 1995. Estimating shallow subsidence in microtidal salt
 697 marshes of the southeastern United States: Kaye and Barghoorn revisited. Mar. Geol. 128:1–
 698 9. doi:10.1016/0025-3227(95)00087-F
- Cahoon, D.R., J.C. Lynch, B.C. Perez, B. Segura, R.D. Holland, C. Stelly, et al. 2002. High precision measurements of wetland sediment elevation: II. The rod surface elevation table. J. Sediment. Res. 72:734–739. doi:10.1306/020702720734
- Chamberlain, E.L., S.L. Goodbred, R. Hale, M.S. Steckler, J. Wallinga, C. Wilson (2020).
 Integrating geochronologic and instrumental approaches across the Bengal Basin, Earth
 Surface Processes and Landforms, 45, 56-74., <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4687</u>.
- Chamberlain, EL, Wallinga, J, Reimann, T, Goodbred, SL, Steckler, M, Shen, Z and Sincavage,
 R (2017). Luminescence dating of delta sediments: novel approaches explored for the
 Ganges-Brahmaputra- Meghna delta. Quaternary Geochronology 41: 97–111. DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo. 2017.06.006
- Chapman, R.E. (1983). Chapter 3: Compaction of sediment and sedimentary rocks, and its
 consequences, in R.E. Chapman, Petroleum Geology, Developments in Petroleum Science
 16, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 41-65.
- DeWolf; S., S.L. Nooner; M.S. Steckler; M.A. Zumberge; S.H. Akhter, Optical Fiber Borehole
 Strainmeter Arrays for Measuring Sediment Compaction in Bangladesh, Abstract EP31A0831 presented at 2013 Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, Calif., 9-13 Dec. 2013.
- Dixon, T. H., Amelung, F., Ferretti, A., Novali, F., Rocca, F., Dokka, R., et al. (2006). Space
 geodesy: Subsidence and flooding in New Orleans. Nature, 441(7093), 587–588.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/441587a.
- Dunn F.E., S.E. Darby, R.J. Nicholls, S. Cohen, C. Zarfl and B.M. Fekete (2019). Projections of
 declining fluvial sediment delivery to major deltas worldwide in response to climate change
 and anthropogenic stress, Environ. Res. Lett. 14, 084034, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/ab304e.
- Eaton, Richard M. The Rise of Islam and the Bengal Frontier, 1204-1760. Berkeley: University
 of California Press, 192pp.
- Edmonds, D.A., R.L. Caldwell, E.S. Brondizio & S.M.O. Siani (2020). Coastal flooding will
 disproportionately impact people on river deltas, Nature Communications, 11, 474, doi:
 10.1038/s41467-020-18531-4.
- Ericson, J.P., Vörösmarty, C.J., Dingman, S.L., Ward, L.G., Meybeck, M., 2006. Effective sealevel rise and deltas: causes of change and human dimension implications. Glob. Planet.
 Chang. 50, 63–82. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2005.07.004</u>.
- Erkens, G., M.J. van der Meulen, H. Middelkoop (2016). Double trouble: subsidence and CO2
 respiration due to 1,000 years of Dutch coastal peatlands cultivation, Hydrogeol. J. 24, 551–
 568, doi: 10.1007/s10040-016-1380-4.
- Gebremichael, E., Sultan, M., Becker, R., El Bastawesy, M., Cherif, O., & Emil, M. (2018).
 Assessing land deformation and sea encroachment in the Nile Delta: A radar interferometric and inundation modeling approach. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123, 3208–3224. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB015084
- Giosan, L, J. Syvitski, S. Constantinescu and J. Day (2014). Protect the world's deltas. Nature
 516, 31–33.
- 738 Gluyas, J., C.A. Cade, (1997). Prediction of porosity in compacted sands, AAPG Memoir 69:
- Reservoir Quality Prediction in Sandstones and Carbonates, Edited by J.A. Kupecz, J.
 Gluyas, and S. Bloch, 19-27.

- Goodbred SL, Kuehl SA, Steckler M, Sarker MH. 2003. Controls on facies distribution and
 stratigraphic preservation in the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta sequence. Sediment. Geol.
 155:301–16
- Goodbred, S.L., P.M. Paolo, M.S. Ullah, R.D. Pate, S.R. Khan, S.A. Kuehl, S.K. Singh, W.
 Rahaman (2014). Piecing together the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna River delta: Use of
 sediment provenance to reconstruct the history and interaction of multiple fluvial systems
 during Holocene delta evolution. GSA Bulletin 126, 1495–1510. doi: 10.1130/B30965.1.
- 747 during Holocene delta evolution. GSA Bulletin 120, 1495–1510. dol. 10.1150/B50905.1.
- Gordon, D.S., Flemings, P.B. (1998). Generation of overpressure and compaction-driven fluid
 flow in a Plio-Pleistocene growth-faulted basin, Eugene Island 330, offshore Louisiana.
 Basin Res. 10, 177–196.
- Govin, G., Najman, Y., Copley, A., Millar, I., van der Beek, P., Huyghe, P., Grujic, D.,
 Davenport, J. (2018). Timing and mechanism of the rise of the Shillong Plateau in the
 Himalayan foreland. Geology 46, 279–282. https://doi.org/10.1130/G39864.1.
- Grall, C., M.S. Steckler, J.L. Pickering, S. Goodbred, R. Sincavage, C. Paola, S.H Akhter, V.
 Spiess (2018) A base-level stratigraphic approach to determining Holocene subsidence of the
 Ganges–Meghna–Brahmaputra Delta plain, Earth and Planetary Science Letters 499, 23–36,
 10.1016/j.epsl.2018.07.008.
- Grimaud, J.-L., Grall, C., Goodbred, S., Steckler, M. S., Sincavage, R., Pickering, J. L., Paola,
 C., Seeber, L., Hossain, M. S. (2020). Flexural deformation controls on Late Quaternary
 sediment dispersal in the Garo- Rajmahal Gap, NW Bengal Basin. *Basin Research*, *32*,
 1242–1260. https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12425
- 762 Hanebuth, T.J.J., Kudrass, H.R., Linstaedter, J., Islam, B., Zander, A.M. (2013). Rapid coastal subsidence in the central Ganges–Brahmaputra Delta (Bangladesh) since the 17th century 763 764 Geology 41 from submerged salt-producing kilns. (9), 987-990. deduced 765 http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/G34646.1.
- Hedberg, H.D. (1936). Gravitational compaction of clays and shales. Am. J. Sci., 31, 241-287.
- Herring, T.A., R.W. King, M.A. Floyd, S.C. McClusky, 2018. Introduction to GAMIT/GLOBK
 Release 10.7, 54p.
- Higgins, S.A., Overeem, I., Steckler, M.S., Syvitski, J.P.M., Seeber, L., Akhter, S.H. (2014).
 InSAR measurements of compaction and subsidence in the Ganges–Brahmaputra Delta,
 Bangladesh. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 119 (8). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/</u> 2014JF003117
 (2014JF003117).
- Hoque, M., Alam, M. (1997). Subsidence in the lower deltaic areas of Bangladesh. Mar. Geod.
 20 (1), 105–120. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01490419709388098</u>.
- Islam, Md.F., H. Middelkoop, P.P. Schot, S.C. Dekker, J. Griffioen (2021). Spatial and seasonal
 variability of sediment accumulation potential through controlled flooding of the beels
 located in the polders of the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna delta of Southwest Bangladesh.
 Hydrological Processes. 35, 14119, doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14119.
- Jankowski, K. L., Törnqvist, T. E., and Fernandes, A. M. (2017). Vulnerability of Louisiana's
 coastal wetlands to present-day rates of relative sea-level rise, Nat. Commun., 8, 14792,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14792.
- Karegar, M. A., Larson, K. M., Kusche, J., & Dixon, T. H. (2020). Novel quantification of
 shallow sediment compaction by GPS interferometric reflectometry and implications for
 flood susceptibility. Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL087807.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087807

- Karpytchev, M., V. Ballu, Y. Krien, M. Becker, S. Goodbred, G. Spada, S. Calmant, C. Shum,
 and Z. Khan (2018). Contributions of a strengthened early Holocene monsoon and sediment
 loading to present-day subsidence of the Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta. Geophysical Research
 Letters,45,1433–1442. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076388
- Keogh, M.E., and T.E. Törnqvist (2019). Measuring rates of present-day relative sea-level rise in
 low-elevation coastal zones: a critical evaluation. Ocean Sci., 15, 61–73,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/os-15-61-2019.
- Kominz M.A., K. Patterson, and D. Odette (2011). Lithology dependence of porosity in slope
 and deep marine sediments, Journal of Sedimentary Research, 2011, 81, 730–742, doi:
 10.2110/jsr.2011.60.
- Kondolf G.M., Z.K. Rubin and J.T. Minear (2014). Dams on the Mekong: cumulative sediment
 starvation Water Resour. Res. 50, 5158–69
- Krien, Y., M. Karpytchev, V. Ballu, M. Becker, C. Grall, S. Goodbred, S. Calmant, C.K. Shum,
 and Z. Khan (2019) Present-day subsidence in the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna delta:
 Eastern amplification of the Holocene sediment loading contribution. Geophys. Res. Lett. 49,
 10764–10772.
- Mallick, R., R. Bürgmann, K. Johnson, & J. Hubbard (2021). A unified framework for
 earthquake sequences and the growth of geological structure in fold-thrust belts. Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 126, e2021JB022045. doi: 10.1029/2021JB022045
- Mallick, R., E.O. Lindsey, L. Feng, J. Hubbard, P. Banerjee, and E.M. Hill (2019). Active
 Convergence of the India- Burma- Sunda Plates Revealed by a New Continuous GPS
 Network, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124. doi: 10.1029/2018JB016480
- Marriner, N., Flaux, C., Morhange, C., and Kaniewski, D. (2012). Nile Delta's sinking past:
 Quanti*fi*able links with Holocene compaction and climate-driven changes in sediment
 supply? Geology, 40(12), 1083–1086. <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/G33209.1</u>
- Milliman J.D., J.M. Broadus and F. Gable, (1989). Environmental and Economic Implications of
 Rising Sea Level and Subsiding Deltas: The Nile and Bengal Examples. Ambio, 18, 340-345.
- Milliman, J.D., and K.L. Farnsworth (2011). River Discharge to the Coastal Ocean: A Global
 Synthesis. Cambridge University Press, 384 pp.
- Minderhoud, P.S.J., G. Erkens, V.H. Pham, V.T. Bui, L. Erban, H. Kooi and E. Stouthamer
 (2017) Impacts of 25 years of groundwater extraction on subsidence in the Mekong delta,
 Vietnam, Environ. Res. Lett. 12, 064006, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aa7146.
- Mirza, M.M.Q. (2003). Three Recent Extreme Floods in Bangladesh: A Hydro-Meteorological
 Analysis, Natural Hazards 28, 35–64.
- Mitra, S., Priestley, K. F., Borah, K., & Gaur, V. K. (2018). Crustal structure and evolution of
 the Eastern Himalayan plate boundary system, Northeast India. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Solid Earth, 123, 621–640. doi: 10.1002/2017JB014714
- Mondal, D., C.M. McHugh, R.M. Mortlock, M.S. Steckler, S. Mustaque (2018). Microatolls
 document the 1762 and prior earthquakes along the southeast coast of Bangladesh,
 Tectonophysics, 745, 196–213, doi: 10.1016/j.tecto.2018.07.020.
- Nienhuis, J.H., A.D. Ashton, D.A. Edmonds, A.J.F. Hoitink, A.J. Kettner, J.C. Rowland & T.E.
 Törnqvist (2020). Global-scale human impact on delta morphology has led to net land area
 gain. Nature, 577, 514-518, doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1905-9.
- Oryan, B., M.S Steckler, D.R. Mondal, S.H. Akhter, S. Singha, C. Grall, and E.O. Lindsey
 (2020) The Indo-Burma Detachment Geometry Constrained by an Updated Vertical and

- Horizontal GPS Velocity Field in Bangladesh, Abstract T048-0021, AGU Fall Meeting, Dec.
 1-17, 2020.
- Ostanciaux, É., Husson, L., Choblet, G., Robin, C., Pedoja, K. (2012). Present-day trends of
 vertical ground motions along the coast lines. Earth Sci. Rev. 110, 74–92. <u>http://dx</u>.
 doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.10.004.
- Palamenghi, L. (2012) Tectonic and Sea Level Control on the Transport and Depositional
 Processes in a Siliciclastic Sedimentary Basin. Insights from the Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta,
 Bengal Basin, Bangladesh. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bremen, 166pp.
- Parua, P.K. (2010). The Ganga: Water Use in the Indian Subcontinent. Water Science and
 Technology Library 64, Springer, 404 pp. doi: 10.1007/978-90-481-3103-7
- 841 Paszkowski, A., Goodbred, S., Borgomeo, E., Khan, M. S. A., and Hall, J. W. (2021).
- Geomorphic change in the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna delta. Nature Reviews Earth &
 Environment, 2, 763–780. doi: 10.1038/s43017-021-00213-4.
- Pawlowicz, R., B. Beardsley and S. Lentz (2002). Classical tidal harmonic analysis including
 error estimates in MATLAB using T_TIDE, Computers & Geosciences 28, 929–937.
- Pethick, J. and Orford, J.D. (2013). Rapid rise in effective sea-level in southwest Bangladesh: Its
 causes and contemporary rates. Global Planetary Change 111: 237–245. doi:
 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.09.019
- Pickering, J.L., S.L. Goodbred Jr., M.D. Reitz, T.R. Hartzog, D.R. Mondal, and M.S. Hossain
 (2014), Late Quaternary sedimentary record and Holocene channel avulsions of the Jamuna
 and Old Brahmaputra River valleys in the upper Bengal delta plain, *Geomorphology* 227:
 123-136, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.09.021
- Reitz, M.D., Pickering, J.L., Goodbred, S.L., Paola, C., Steckler, M.S., Seeber, L., Akhter, S.H.,
 2015. Effects of tectonic deformation and sea level on river path selection: theory and
 application to the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna River Delta. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003202</u>.
- Rogers, K.G., S.L. Goodbred, D.R. Mondal (2013). Monsoon sedimentation on the 'abandoned'
 tide-influenced Ganges-Brahmaputra delta plain, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 131,
 297-309, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.07.014.</u>
- Rogers, K., & Overeem, I. (2017). Doomed to drown? Sediment dynamics in the humancontrolled floodplains of the active Bengal Delta. Elementa Science of the Anthropocene, 5, 66. Doi: 10.1525/elementa.250
- Sadler, P.M. (1981). Sediment accumulation rates and the completeness of stratigraphic sections.
 The Journal of Geology, 89, 569–584.
- Saleh, M., and M. Becker (2019). New estimation of Nile Delta subsidence rates from InSAR
 and GPS analysis, Environmental Earth Sciences, 78, doi: 10.1007/s12665-018-8001-6
- Sarker, M.H., J. Akter and Md.M. Rahman (2013). Century-scale dynamics of the Bengal Delta
 and future development, 4th International Conference on Water & Flood Management
 (ICWFM-2013), 91-104.
- 870 Sarker, M.H., Choudhury, G.A., Akter, J., Hore, S.K. (2012). Bengal Delta Not Sinking at a
 871 Very High Rate. Daily Star (23rd December 2012).
- 872 Sarker, M. H. & Thorne, C. R., (2006). "Morphological response of the Brahmaputra-Padma-
- 873 Lower Meghna river system to the Assam Earthquake of 1950, In: Braided Rivers: Process,
- 874 Deposits, Ecology and Management (ed. by G. H. S. Smith, J. L. Best, C. S. Bristow, & G. E.
- 875 Petts)." 289–310. Special Publication 36 of the IAS, Blackwell Publishing, UK.

- Sclater, J. G., and Christie, P. A. (1980), Continental stretching; An explanation of the post MidCretaceous subsidence of the central North Sea basin: Journal of Geophysical Research, 85,
 3711-3739.
- Shampa, M. & Pramanik, I.M. (2012). Tidal River Management (TRM) for Selected Coastal
 Area of Bangladesh to Mitigate Drainage Congestion, *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.* 1, 1–6.
- Shamsudduha, M., Chandler, R.E., Taylor, R.G., and Ahmed, K.M. (2009). Recent trends in
 groundwater levels in a highly seasonal hydrological system: the Ganges-BrahmaputraMeghna Delta. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 13, 2373–2385.
- Sharma, S., and S. Deshpande (2017). Architectural strategies used in Hindu temples to
 emphasize sacredness, Journal of Architectural and Planning Research, 34, 4, 309-319
- Sheldon, N.D. and G.J. Retallack (2001). Equation for compaction of paleosols due to burial.
 Geology, 29, 247–250.
- Shum, C., A. Liibusk, R. Ahmed, B. Braun, V. Ballu, S. Calmant, J. Chen, J. Guo, F. Hossain,
 M. Hossain, C. Jenkins, Z. Khan, M. Kuhn, J. Kusche, F. Papa; M. Becker, A. Bernzen, C.
 Brachet, M. Calzas, C. Dai, O. Francis, Y. Jia, J. Kim, C. Kuo, D. Maillard, C. Mayet, R.
 Rietbroek, K. Shang, L. Testut, K. Tseng, B. Uebbing, P. Valty, J. Wan, K. Zhu (2014).
 Quantifying and projecting relative sea-level rise at the regional scale: The Bangladesh SeaLevel Project (BanD-AID), Abstract G21B-0439, EOS Trans., Fall American Geophysical
 Union Meeting, San Francisco, December 15–19.
- 895 Sincavage R., Goodbred, S., and Pickering, J., (2017) Holocene Brahmaputra River path 896 selection and variable sediment bypass as indicators of fluctuating hydrologic and climate 897 conditions Sylhet Bangladesh: Basin Research, 30, in Basin, 302-320, 898 doi:10.1111/bre.12254.2017
- 899 Singh, A., K. Bhushan, C. Singh, M.S. Steckler, S.H. Akhter, L. Seeber, W.-Y. Kim, A.K. 900 Tiwari, R. Biswas (2016). Crustal structure and tectonics of Bangladesh: New constraints 901 from inversion of receiver functions, Tectonophysics, 680, 99–112, doi: 902 10.1016/j.tecto.2016.04.046.
- Slingerland, R. and Smith, N. D. (2004). River Avulsions and Their Deposits, Ann. Rev. of Earth
 and Planetary Sci., 32, 255-283.
- Steckler, M.S., Akhter, S.H., and L. Seeber (2008). Collision of the Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta
 with the Burma Arc: Implications for earthquake hazard. Earth and Planetary Science Letters,
 273, 367-378.
- Steckler, M.S., Mondal, D., Akhter, S.H. Seeber, L., L. Feng, J. Gale, E.M. Hill, M. Howe
 (2016) Locked and loading megathrust linked to active subduction beneath the Indo-Burman
 Ranges, Nature Geosciences, Nature Geosciences, 9, 615–618, doi: 10.1038/ngeo2760.
- Steckler, M.S., Nooner, S.L., Akhter, S.H., Chowdhury, S.K., Bettadpur, S., Seeber, L., Kogan,
 M.G. (2010). Modeling Earth deformation from monsoonal flooding in Bangladesh using
 hydrographic, GPS, and Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) data. J.
- 914 Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 115, B08407. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009JB007018</u>.
- Syvitski, J.P.M., Kettner, A.J., Overeem, I., Hutton, E.W.H., Hannon, M.T., Brakenridge, G.R.,
 Day, J., Vörösmarty, C., Saito, Y., Giosan, L., Nicholls, R.J. (2009) Sinking deltas due to
 human activities. Nat Geosci 2:681–686. doi:10.1038/ngeo629
- 918 Syvitski J.P.M., Vörösmarty, C.J., Kettner, A.J., Green, P. (2005) Impact of humans on the flux
- 919 of terrestrial sediment to the global coastal ocean. Science 308:376–380.
 920 doi:10.1126/science.1109454

- 921 Terzaghi, K., Peck, R.B. (1967). Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice, 2nd ed. Wiley, New
 922 York, 729pp.
- Tessler, Z., C. J. Vörösmarty, M. Grossberg, I. Gladkova, H. Aizenman, J.P.M. Syvitski, E.
 Foufoula-Georgiou (2015). Profiling risk and sustainability in coastal deltas of the world.
 Science, 349 (6248), 638-643.
- 926 Tessler, Z. D., C. J. Vörösmarty, I. Overeem and J. P. Syvitski (2018) A model of water and
 927 sediment balance as determinants of relative sea level rise in contemporary and future deltas.
 928 Geomorphology.
- 929 van Asselen, S. (2011). The contribution of peat compaction to total basin subsidence:
 930 Implications for the provision of accommodation space in organic- rich deltas. Basin Res.
 931 23, 239–255
- van Asselen, S., G. Erkens, E. Stouthamer, H. Woolderink, R. Geeraert, and M.M. Hefting
 (2018) The relative contribution of peat compaction and oxidation to subsidence in built-up
 areas in the Rhine-Meuse delta, The Netherlands. Science of the Total Environment 636,
 177–191, doi: 0.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.141.
- Wang, Y., Shyu, J.B.H., Sieh, K., Chiang, H.-W., Wang, C.-C., Aung, T., Lin, Y.N., Shen, C.-C.,
 Min, S., Than, O., Lin, K.K., Tun, S.T., 2013. Permanent upper plate deformation in western
 Myanmar during the great 1762 earthquake: Implications for neotectonic behavior of the
 northern Sunda megathrust. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 118, 1277–1303, doi:
 10.1002/jgrb.50121.
- Wilson C., J. Bomer, S. Akter, M. Rana, M. Steckler, B. Oryan (2021). Impacts of poldering:
 elevation change, sediment dynamics, and subsidence in the natural and human-altered
 Ganges Brahmaputra tidal deltaplain. Abstract EGU21-13928 presented at EGU General
 Assembly 2021, April 19-30.
- Wilson, C.A., and S.L. Goodbred (2015). Construction and Maintenance of the GangesBrahmaputra Meghna Delta: Linking Process, Morphology, and Stratigraphy. Annual
 Review of Marine Science, 7, 67-88.
- Wilson, C., Goodbred, S., Small, C., Gilligan, J., Sams, S., Mallick, B., & Hale, R. (2017).
 Widespread infilling of tidal channels and navigable waterways in the human-modified tidal deltaplain of Southwest Bangladesh. Elementa-Science of the Anthropocene, 5, 78. doi: 10.1525/elementa.263.
- Woods, C., I. Overeem, K. Tiampo, M. Steckler (2019) DInSAR Sentinel-1A time series
 analysis to map subsidence of the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna Delta, Abstract G13B-0538
 presented at AGU Fall Meeting, San Francisco, Dec 9-13, 2019.
- Zahid, K.M., Uddin, A. (2005). Influence of overpressure on formation velocity evaluation of
 Neogene strata from the eastern Bengal Basin, Bangladesh, J. Asian Earth Sci. 25, 419–429.
- 957

958 Figures





Figure 1. Location map of Bangladesh and the Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta showing major tectonic and sedimentary boundaries, and significant rivers. The Hinge Zone is the transition between the Indian craton and the Bengal Basin with up to 20 km of sediments. The Topographic Break is the boundary between the Fluvial Fan Delta to the north and the flatter Fluvial-Tidal Delta to the south (Wilson and Goodbred, 2015). K = Kolkata, Kh = Khulna, Dh = Dhaka, S = Sylhet, H = Hazipur-1 well, SoNG = Swatch of No Ground Canyon. The inset shows the regional topography with the outline of the drainage basin of the Granges, Brahmaputra and

Meghna River basins outlined in black and the rivers in white. The red box shows the location ofthe detailed figure.



969

970 Figure 2. Cartoon illustrating subsidence processes active in the Ganges-Brahmaputra Delta in a schematic, not to scale, cross section. In the eastern delta, the tectonics of the IndoBurma 971 foldbelt and Shillong Plateau are significant. Elastic loading from the earthquake cycle adds 972 973 interseismic subsidence that is reversed during earthquakes. Both the tectonics and sedimentation 974 contribute to flexural isostatic loading of the lithosphere. The old passive margin of seaward of 975 the Hinge Zone (HZ) is subject to thermal subsidence, but the rate is very low for this older 976 margin. Within the sediments, deep compaction over kilometer-scale depth contributes, but is limited where overpressure slows fluid expulsion. More of the sediment compaction occurs in 977 978 the Holocene sediments that have filled the incision from the last glacial period (G and B mark 979 the Ganges and Brahmaputra incised valleys). In the very near surface, additional processes, such 980 as organic matter degradation, come into play and contribute to large amount of compaction in 981 the upper few meters of sediments.





Figure 3. Water level data from the Khepupara tide gauge. The top shows hourly data from the Bangladesh Inland Water Transportation Authority (BIWTA) in red and a tidal model from t_tide (Pawlowicz, 2002) in blue showing a mean sea level rise rate of 9.2 mm/y, indicated by a white line. However, examination of the data shows variable rates of sea level rise. The middle shows fits to the three distinct regimes with the rates noted below each segment. The bottom shows the more limited publicly-available time series from PSMSL. It corresponds

approximately to the central portion of the longer times series when the apparent sea level rise

990 rate was greatest.



991

992 Figure 4. Cartoon and plot illustrating the channel incision effect. Well 1 records a continuous 993 section with close to linear subsidence rates based on two dated samples. In Well 2, a river 994 channel incised into the section, depositing sandy sediments before avulsing to a newer position. 995 The dates from this well record an anomalously high apparent subsidence rate due to the younger 996 river deposits and an underestimated subsidence rate for the lower section, illustrating the need 997 for the context of dated samples.







1001 gauges (Becker et al., 2020) as colored dots with the corresponding average rates on subsidence1002 over a 20-year period for each set. The K indicates the position of the tide gauge at Khepupara.



1003

Figure 6. Diagram (Sarker et al., 2012) illustrating the computation of subsidence for historic sites. RSLR = relative sea level rise with 0.25 m equal to eustatic sea level rise over the period since the historic sites were built; MSL = mean sea level; HPL is the homestead platform level.

1007 PLS and PLE are starting and ending plinth level, respectively. See text for other notations.

1008







Figure 8. Subsidence rates in the lower GBD west of the deformation front. Except for
historic sites, text size is proportional to the square root of the time series length to represent the
reliability of the values. High rates around Dhaka reflect subsidence from ground water
withdrawal. Historic sites yield values similar to Holocene average rates in Figure 4 (Grall et al.,
2018). GNSS rates are similar to slightly higher, especially farther west. The vertical borehole
strain meter (DeWolf et al., 2015, in prep.) and published RSET-MH value (Bomer et al., 2020)
record compaction up to the surface and yield significantly higher rates.







1028 1029

Figure 10. Summary cartoon of subsidence and compaction measurements for a section centered the Brahmaputra incised valley (Fig. 2). Compacting sediments are in shades of brown to yellow with brown indicating faster compaction. The methods applied to distinguish rates and their timescales and values are shown in blue. The RSET and KHLC measure compaction from their base to the surface (upward arrows), while the other system measure subsidence below their base (downward arrows). At the bottom, values for long-term subsidence, shallow compaction, and

1035 short-term subsidence for the area around Polder 32, where we have all these systems, are given.