



HAL
open science

Kinetic time-inhomogeneous Lévy-driven model

Mihai Gradinaru, Emeline Luirard

► **To cite this version:**

Mihai Gradinaru, Emeline Luirard. Kinetic time-inhomogeneous Lévy-driven model. 2022. hal-03478268v3

HAL Id: hal-03478268

<https://hal.science/hal-03478268v3>

Preprint submitted on 21 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Kinetic time-inhomogeneous Lévy-driven model

Mihai Gradinaru and Emeline Luirard

Univ Rennes, CNRS, IRMAR - UMR 6625, F-35000 Rennes, France
{Mihai.Gradinaru,Emeline.Luirard}@univ-rennes1.fr

Abstract: We study a one-dimensional kinetic stochastic model driven by a Lévy process with a non-linear time-inhomogeneous drift. More precisely, the process (V, X) is considered, where X is the position of the particle and its velocity V is the solution of a stochastic differential equation with a drift of the form $t^{-\beta}F(v)$. The driving process can be a stable Lévy process of index α or a general Lévy process under appropriate assumptions. The function F satisfies a homogeneity condition and β is non-negative. The behavior in large time of the process (V, X) is proved and the precise rate of convergence is pointed out by using stochastic analysis tools. To this end, we compute the moment estimates of the velocity process.

Keywords: kinetic stochastic equation; time-inhomogeneous stochastic differential equation; Lévy process; explosion times; scaling transformations; asymptotic distributions; ergodicity; tightness.

MSC2020 Subject Classification: Primary 60H10; Secondary 60F17; 60G52; 60G18; 60J65.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider a one-dimensional stochastic kinetic model driven by a Lévy process.

$$dV_t = dL_t - F(V_t)t^{-\beta} dt \quad \text{and} \quad X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t V_s ds. \quad (1)$$

The process $(V_t, X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ may be thought of as the velocity and position processes of a particle subject to a friction force $F(v)t^{-\beta}$ and interacting with its environment.

Our purpose is to study the long-time behavior of solutions of (1) where L is an α -stable (non-symmetric) Lévy process. More precisely, we look for the convergence in distribution of the process $(V_{t/\varepsilon}, X_{t/\varepsilon})_{t > 0}$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, with an appropriate rate.

It is a simple observation, when $F = 0$, to see that the rescaled process $(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}V_{t/\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}}X_{t/\varepsilon})_{t > 0}$ converges in distribution towards the Kolmogorov process $(\mathcal{S}_t, \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_s ds)_{t > 0}$, where \mathcal{S} has the same distribution as the driving process.

The goal of the present paper is to extend the results obtained in [GL21], where the driving process is a Brownian motion ($\alpha = 2$).

The study of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) driven by a Lévy process is a topic of great interest (see [Bas03] for a survey). The α -stable perturbation is a generalization of the Gaussian case, and it is also motivated by some Langevin-type models in stochastic climate dynamics (see [Dit99]). So far, most of the papers present results about existence and uniqueness of solution, see for instance [AS09], [Don18], [Kur07], [Pil13], [CSZ18] and [CZZ17]. The coefficients of the studied SDE are often supposed to be time-homogeneous (see for instance [AS09] and [Don18]). Accordingly, the case of time-dependent coefficients is scarcely studied (see [CZZ17], [Kur07] and [Zha13]). In this situation, the usual tools associated with time-homogeneous equation may no longer be invoked.

Furthermore, few papers (see [AS09], [PSXZ12], [Rek20]) present results about the asymptotic behavior of the solution of such SDEs. For instance, in [AS09] the authors give conditions for asymptotic stability of the solutions for a SDE driven by a Brownian motion and a compensated Poisson process, with coefficients that are supposed to satisfy usual global Lipschitz and growth assumptions. In [PSXZ12], the authors establish the exponential ergodicity of the solutions of a SDE driven by an α -stable process, where the drift coefficient is supposed to be the sum of two components, one linear and the other bounded. In these papers, coefficients are time-homogeneous. In a number of articles, the small noise influence of the solutions is analyzed. To our knowledge, the only works considering the long-time behavior are [FT21], in a time-homogeneous setting, and the present one.

Let us explain heuristically what the intuition of our analysis is. In long-time regime, we observe three schemes, depending on the balance between the space and time coefficients of the drift function with respect to α , the parameter of stability of the driving process. When the drag force is sufficiently “small at infinity”, the convergence towards the Kolmogorov process $(\mathcal{S}, \int \mathcal{S})$ still holds. When the two terms in the stochastic equation of the velocity process offset, we still get a kinetic process of the form $(\mathcal{V}, \int \mathcal{V})$, as limiting process. Though the process \mathcal{V} no longer has the same distribution as the driving Lévy process. Alternatively, when the drift swings with the random noise, the limiting process is no longer kinetic.

Proofs are mainly based on moment estimates and the self-similarity of the driving process. By their scaling property, Lévy stable processes are natural extensions of the Brownian motion. However, the jump component of the Lévy noise brings difficulties. Indeed, by contrast with a Brownian motion, an α -stable Lévy process can only have moments of order $\kappa \in [0, \alpha)$. Thus, moment estimation of the velocity process stands as a significant part of our study (see Section 4). Moment estimates of Lévy and Lévy-type processes were studied in [LP06], [Küh17] and [DS15]. Nevertheless, the methods used can not be easily adapted to the solutions of a SDE. In fact, the key idea will be to make a non-homogeneous cutting of the jumps size of the driving process. As explained in [CDRM20] and references therein, the cutting threshold $\xi \mapsto \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ makes appear integral terms satisfying the scaling property (see the proof of Proposition 4.5).

The proof of the critical and sub-critical cases (see Theorem 2.4) significantly relies on a change in both space and time, taking advantage of the scaling property of the driving process, to be close to a stationary time-homogeneous SDE, as performed in [AW09] and [GO13].

In addition, extensions for the solution of the SDE driven by a general Lévy process are stated in Theorems 6.1 and 6.2. Let us point out that the case analyzed in Section 6.3 agrees with the equation (1) p. 1442 in [Dit99], where the described Langevin equation has two noise terms, a white noise and a pure-jump noise. To this end, we first study the asymptotic behavior of the rescaled Lévy driving process $(v_\varepsilon L_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$, where v_ε is some rate of convergence. Under appropriate assumptions on its Lévy measure, it converges in distribution, as ε goes to zero (see Proposition 6.3 below).

Here is the structure of the paper. In Section 2, we introduce some notations and state our main results. Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 are extension for the α -stable setting of the main results stated in [GL21] in case of a Brownian driving process. We study the existence of the solution of (1) in Section 3. In Section 4, we give estimates of the moment, which also ensure the non-explosion of the velocity process. The proofs of our main results are presented in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 is devoted to the study of the convergence of a rescaled Lévy process and to the extension of Theorem 2.2.

2 Notations and main results

Let $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Lévy process. Throughout the paper, we deal with L as an α -stable Lévy process with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. We call ν its Lévy measure, given by $\nu(dz) = |z|^{-1-\alpha} [a_+ \mathbb{1}_{\{z > 0\}} + a_- \mathbb{1}_{\{z < 0\}}] dz$ with $a_+, a_- \geq 0$. As a Lévy measure, it satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (1 \wedge z^2) \nu(dz) < +\infty$. By Lévy-Itô’s decomposition, if $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, then L is a pure-jump Lévy process and there exists a Poisson point measure N and its compensated Poisson measure \tilde{N} such that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$L_t = \begin{cases} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} z N(ds, dz) & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ \int_0^t \int_{\{0 < |z| < 1\}} z \tilde{N}(ds, dz) + \int_0^t \int_{\{|z| \geq 1\}} z N(ds, dz) & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} z \tilde{N}(ds, dz) & \text{if } \alpha \in (1, 2). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In Section 6, the case of a generalized Lévy driving process will be discussed.

The space of continuous functions $\mathcal{C}((0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ is endowed with the uniform topology

$$d_u : f, g \in \mathcal{C}((0, +\infty)) \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \min \left(1, \sup_{[\frac{1}{n}, n]} |f - g| \right).$$

Set $\Lambda := \{\lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ continuous and increasing function s.t. } \lambda(0) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda(t) = +\infty\}$ and

$$k_n(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq n, \\ n + 1 - t & \text{if } n < t < n + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n + 1 \leq t. \end{cases}$$

The space of right-continuous with left limits (càdlàg) functions $\mathcal{D}((0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ is endowed with the Skorokhod topology d_s defined for $(f, g) \in \mathcal{D}((0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})^2$ by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \min \left(1, \inf \left\{ a \text{ s.t. } \exists \lambda \in \Lambda, \sup_{s \neq t} \left| \log \frac{\lambda(t) - \lambda(s)}{t - s} \right| \leq a, \sup_{t \geq \frac{1}{n}} |k_n(t) (f \circ \lambda(t) - g(t))| \leq a \right\} \right).$$

For simplicity, we shall write \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} for $\mathcal{C}((0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{D}((0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$, respectively.

For a family $((Z_t^{(\varepsilon)})_{t>0})_{\varepsilon>0}$ of càdlàg processes, we write

$$(Z_t^{(\varepsilon)})_{t>0} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (Z_t)_{t>0},$$

if $(Z_t^{(\varepsilon)})_{t>0}$ converges in distribution to $(Z_t)_{t>0}$ in \mathcal{D} , as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We write

$$(Z_t^{(\varepsilon)})_{t>0} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{\text{f.d.d.}} (Z_t)_{t>0},$$

if for all finite subsets $S \subset (0, +\infty)$, the vector $(Z_t^{(\varepsilon)})_{t \in S}$ converges in distribution to $(Z_t)_{t \in S}$ in \mathbb{R}^S , as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Let β a non-negative real number and F a continuous function satisfying

$$\text{for some } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \forall v \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0, F(\lambda v) = \lambda^\gamma F(v). \quad (H_\gamma)$$

We introduce another assumption on F , which will sometimes be imposed in the sequel.

When (i) $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ or (ii) $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $\gamma \geq 1$,

$$\text{we suppose furthermore that for all } v \in \mathbb{R}, vF(v) \geq 0. \quad (H_{\text{sgn}})$$

We take an interest into the following one-dimensional stochastic kinetic model defined, for $t \geq t_0 > 0$, by

$$dV_t = dL_t - t^{-\beta} F(V_t) dt, \text{ with } V_{t_0} = v_0 > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad dX_t = V_t dt, \text{ with } X_{t_0} = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\text{SKE})$$

In the following, sgn is the sign function with the convention that $\text{sgn}(0) = 0$. The abbreviation a.s. stands for almost surely. We denote by C some positive constants, which may change from line to line. We use the subscripts to indicate the parameters on which it depends. For instance, $C_{t_0, \alpha}$ denotes a constant depending on the parameters t_0 and α .

Remark 2.1. *If a function π satisfies (H_γ) , then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\pi(x) = \pi(\text{sgn}(x)) |x|^\gamma$.*

As an example of a function satisfying (H_γ) one can keep in mind $F : v \mapsto \text{sgn}(v) |v|^\gamma$ (see also [GO13]). Let us state our main results.

Theorem 2.2. *Consider $\gamma \in (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha)$. Assume that (H_γ) and (H_{sgn}) are satisfied, and $\beta > 1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{\alpha}$. Let $(V_t, X_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ be the solution to (SKE) and $(\mathcal{S}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be an α -stable process, having same distribution as $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$.*

Then, in the space \mathcal{D} ,

$$(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} V_{t/\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} X_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{} \left(\mathcal{S}_t, \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_s ds \right)_{t > 0}.$$

Remark 2.3. *Theorem 2.2 is also true when the following hypothesis holds instead of (H_γ) .*

$$\begin{aligned} &F \text{ is such that (SKE) has a unique solution up to explosion and} \\ &|F| \leq G \text{ where } G \text{ is a positive function satisfying } (H_\gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (H_{\text{bis}}^\gamma)$$

For instance, the function $F : v \mapsto \frac{v}{(1+v^2)}$ (see also [FT21]) satisfies (H_{bis}^γ) (with $\gamma = 0$).

Theorem 2.4. *Consider $\gamma \in (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha)$ and $\beta = 1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{\alpha}$. Assume that (H_γ) and (H_{sgn}) are satisfied. Let $(V_t, X_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ be the solution to (SKE).*

Call \tilde{H} the ergodic process solving the following SDE driven by an α -stable process $(R_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with same distribution as L and starting at its invariant measure,

$$dH_s = dR_s - \frac{H_s}{\alpha} ds - F(H_s) ds.$$

We denote by $\Lambda_{F,t_1,\dots,t_d}$ the finite-dimensional distributions of \tilde{H} . We call $(\mathcal{V}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the process having as finite-dimensional distributions the pushforward measure of $\Lambda_{F,\log(t_1),\dots,\log(t_d)}$ by the linear map $T(u_1, \dots, u_d) := (t_1^{1/\alpha} u_1, \dots, t_d^{1/\alpha} u_d)$. Then, under (H_γ) , the following convergence, in the space \mathcal{D} , holds

$$(\varepsilon^{1/\alpha} V_{t/\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{1+1/\alpha} X_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\mathcal{V}_t, \int_0^t \mathcal{V}_s ds \right)_{t > 0}.$$

Theorem 2.5. Consider $\alpha > 1$, $\gamma \in [1, \alpha)$ and $\beta < 1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{\alpha}$. Assume that (H_γ) and (H_{sgn}) are satisfied. Let $(V_t, X_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ be the solution to (SKE). Define $q := \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \gamma - 1} < \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Call \hat{H} the ergodic process solving the following SDE driven by an α -stable process $(R_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with same distribution as L and starting at its invariant measure,

$$dH_s = dR_s - F(H_s) ds.$$

Call Π_F its invariant measure. We call $(\mathcal{V}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the process whose finite dimensional distribution are $T * (\Pi_F^{\otimes d})$: the pushforward measure of $\Pi_F^{\otimes d}$ by the linear map $T(u_1, \dots, u_d) := (t_1^q u_1, \dots, t_d^q u_d)$. Then,

$$(\varepsilon^q V_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{f.d.d.} (\mathcal{V}_t)_{t \geq 0}.$$

Remark 2.6. As we will see in Section 3, the assumption $\gamma > 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ is needed in order to obtain the existence up to explosion of the solution under hypothesis (H_γ) .

Remark 2.7. Let us point out that, during the proof of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, we employ some moment estimates for the solution V . We state the estimates below.

Assume that (H_{sgn}) is satisfied. We suppose also that the hypothesis on the sign of F holds for $(\alpha, \gamma, \kappa) \in (1, 2) \times [0, 1] \times (1, \alpha)$. Then, for any $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa \in [0, \alpha)$, there exists a constant $C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0}$ such that,

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}.$$

Note that the above bounds are the best possible, taking $F = 0$.

3 Existence up to explosion

In this section, we study the existence of the solution to (SKE) up to explosion time.

Remark 3.1. Assume that (H_γ) holds. Pick $\beta \geq 0$. If $0 < \gamma < 1$, the function $x \mapsto F(x)t^{-\beta}$ is γ -Hölder and if $\gamma \geq 1$, it is locally Lipschitz.

Proposition 3.2. Assume that (H_γ) is satisfied. There exists a pathwise unique strong solution to (SKE), defined up to the explosion time, provided that

(i) $1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} < \gamma < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$ when $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

(ii) $\gamma \geq 1$ when $\alpha > 1$.

Proof. If $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, the drift coefficient is γ -Hölder (see Remark 3.1) and locally bounded, thereby the conclusion of the first point follows from Remark 1.3 in [CZZ17].

Assume now that $\alpha > 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. The drift coefficient is locally Lipschitz (see Remark 3.1) and locally bounded, so we can apply Lemma 115 p. 78 in [Sit05] to get the pathwise uniqueness. Thanks to Theorem 137 p. 104 in [Sit05], it suffices to prove that there exists a weak solution.

The drift coefficient is continuous with respect to its two variables, so it is a locally bounded and measurable function. By a standard localization argument, using Theorem 9.1 p. 231 in [IW81], since the drift coefficient is locally Lipschitz, there is a unique solution defined up to explosion. \square

4 Moment estimates and non-explosion of the velocity process

In this section, we present estimates on moments of the velocity process V solution to (SKE). This will be useful to conclude of the non-explosion of solution to (SKE) with Lemma 4.1, and to control some terms appearing along the proofs of Theorem 2.2 and 2.4 in Section 5.

Let V be the unique solution up to explosion time to (SKE). For all $r \geq 0$, define the stopping time

$$\tau_r := \inf\{t \geq t_0, |V_t| \geq r\}. \quad (3)$$

Set $\tau_\infty := \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_r$ the explosion time of V .

We give first a sufficient condition for the non-explosion of a general process.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(Y_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ be a càdlàg process and τ_∞ its explosion time. Assume that there exist two measurable and non-negative functions ϕ and b such that*

- (i) ϕ is non-decreasing and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \phi(r) = +\infty$,
 - (ii) b is finite-valued,
 - (iii) and for all $t \geq t_0$,
- $$\sup_{r \geq 0} \mathbb{E} [\phi(|Y_{t \wedge \tau_r}|)] \leq b(t). \quad (4)$$

Then $\tau_\infty = +\infty$ a.s.

Proof. Pick $t \geq t_0$. Using the definition of τ_r , the monotony of ϕ and (iii), we get, for all $r \geq 0$,

$$\phi(r) \mathbb{P}(\tau_r \leq t) \leq \mathbb{E} [\phi(Y_{\tau_r}) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \leq t\}}] \leq \mathbb{E} [\phi(Y_{\tau_r})] \leq b(t).$$

Thus, by Fatou's lemma,

$$0 \leq \mathbb{P}(\tau_\infty \leq t) \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_r \leq t) \leq b(t) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\phi(r)} = 0.$$

As a consequence,

$$0 \leq \mathbb{P}(\tau_\infty < +\infty) \leq \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{P}(\tau_\infty \leq t) = 0.$$

This concludes the proof. □

We will show that there exists a constant $C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0}$ such that

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad \mathbb{E} [|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}. \quad (5)$$

Proposition 4.2. *Pick $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Assume that (H_{sgn}) holds. Recall that $(V_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ is the solution of (SKE). For any γ, β , the explosion time τ_∞ is a.s. infinite and for all $\kappa \in [0, \alpha)$, there exists a constant C_{κ, t_0} such that, we have*

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad \mathbb{E} [|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Fix $t \geq t_0$. Since $\alpha < 1$, the stable process can be written as

$$L_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} z N(ds, dz) = \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta L_s.$$

Fix $\kappa \in [0, \alpha)$. Pick the sequence of \mathcal{C}^2 -functions $f_n : x \mapsto \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{1}{n}}$, which converges uniformly to $x \mapsto |x|$ on \mathbb{R} . Then, for all $n \geq 1$, we apply Itô's formula (see Theorem 32 p. 78 in [Pro05]) to get

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(V_{t \wedge \tau_r}) &= f_n(v_0) - \int_{t_0}^{t \wedge \tau_r} f'_n(V_s) F(V_s) s^{-\beta} ds + \int_{t_0}^{t \wedge \tau_r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (f_n(V_{s-} + z) - f_n(V_{s-})) N(ds, dz) \\ &\leq f_n(v_0) + \sum_{s \leq t \wedge \tau_r} (f_n(V_{s-} + \Delta L_s) - f_n(V_{s-})). \end{aligned}$$

The term $\int_{t_0}^{t \wedge \tau_r} f'_n(V_s) F(V_s) s^{-\beta} ds$ is non-negative, since (H_{sgn}) holds.

Hence, the previous equation can be written as

$$f_n(V_{t \wedge \tau_r}) \leq f_n(v_0) + \sum_{s \leq t \wedge \tau_r} (f_n(V_s) - f_n(V_{s-})).$$

Since $\|f'_n\|_\infty \leq 1$, we deduce that $(f_n(V_s) - f_n(V_{s-})) \leq |\Delta V_s| = |\Delta L_s|$, hence,

$$|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}| \leq f_n(V_{t \wedge \tau_r}) \leq f_n(v_0) + \sum_{s \leq t \wedge \tau_r} |\Delta L_s|.$$

Furthermore, since $\kappa < \alpha < 1$, we have

$$|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa \leq f_n(v_0)^\kappa + \left(\sum_{s \leq t \wedge \tau_r} |\Delta L_s| \right)^\kappa.$$

Taking the expectation, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa] \leq \mathbb{E}[f_n(v_0)^\kappa] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{s \leq t} |\Delta L_s|\right)^\kappa\right].$$

Notice that the process $L_t^+ := \sum_{s \leq t} |\Delta L_s|$ is an α -stable process. Then, since $\kappa < \alpha$, letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa] \leq |v_0|^\kappa + \mathbb{E}[|L_t^+|^\kappa] \leq C_{t_0, \kappa} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.1, we can conclude that the explosion time of V is a.s. infinite, and (6) follows, letting $r \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Pick $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Recall that $(V_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ is the solution of (SKE). For any $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ and any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the explosion time τ_∞ is a.s. infinite and for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, there exists $C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0}$ such that we have*

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad \mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0} \begin{cases} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} & \text{if } \frac{\gamma-1}{\alpha} + 1 \leq \beta, \\ t^{\kappa \frac{1-\beta}{1-\gamma}} & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Remark 4.4. *If $\frac{\gamma-1}{\alpha} + 1 > \beta$, then the moment estimate (7) becomes*

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad \mathbb{E}[|V_t|] \leq C_{\gamma, \beta, t_0} t^{\frac{1-\beta}{1-\gamma}}.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Assume that $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ and fix $\kappa \in [0, 1]$. Then Jensen's inequality yields, for all $t \geq t_0$, $\mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq \mathbb{E}[|V_t|]^\kappa$, hence it suffices to verify (7) only for $\kappa = 1$.

Recall that under (H_γ) , there exists a positive constant K , such that for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$, $|F(v)| \leq K|v|^\gamma$. Hence, we can write, for any $t \geq t_0$ and $r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |V_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-}| &\leq |v_0 - L_{t_0}| + |L_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-}| + \int_{t_0}^{t \wedge \tau_r} s^{-\beta} |F(V_{s \wedge \tau_r})| ds \\ &\leq |v_0 - L_{t_0}| + |L_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-}| + K \int_{t_0}^{t \wedge \tau_r} s^{-\beta} |V_{s \wedge \tau_r}|^\gamma ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since L is an α -stable process, it has a finite first moment, which can be computed. Taking the expectation in the above inequality, we get, by choosing C_{t_0} big enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|V_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-}|] &\leq \mathbb{E}[|v_0 - L_{t_0}|] + \mathbb{E}[|L_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-}|] + K \int_{t_0}^t s^{-\beta} \mathbb{E}[|V_{s \wedge \tau_r}|^\gamma] ds \\ &\leq C_{t_0} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + K \int_{t_0}^t s^{-\beta} \mathbb{E}[|V_{s \wedge \tau_r}|^\gamma] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that τ_r is given by (3), the function $g_r : t \mapsto \mathbb{E}[|V_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-}|]$ is bounded by r . Applying a Grönwall-type lemma (see Lemma A.1), we end up, for $\beta \neq 1$, with

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad \mathbb{E}[|V_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-}|] \leq C_\gamma \left[C_{t_0} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta} K (t^{1-\beta} - t_0^{1-\beta}) \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \right].$$

The case $\beta = 1$ can be treated similarly. Thanks to Lemma 4.1, we conclude that the explosion time of V is a.s. infinite, and (7) follows from Fatou's lemma. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Pick $\alpha \in [1, 2)$. Assume here that for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$, $vF(v) \geq 0$. Recall that $(V_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ is the solution of (SKE). For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the explosion time τ_∞ is a.s. infinite and there exists C_{κ, t_0} such that*

$$\text{for } \kappa \in (0, \alpha), \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad \mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}. \quad (8)$$

Proof. The key idea is to slice the small and big jumps in a non-homogeneous way with respect to the function $\xi \mapsto \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. We write the proof in the general setting of $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. When $\alpha = 1$, the proof is similar since ν is symmetric.

Pick $\xi \geq t_0$. As explained in [CDRM20] and references therein, by using this cutting threshold, the α -stable Lévy driving process can be written as

$$L_t - L_{t_0} = \int_{t_0}^t \int_{|z| \leq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} z \tilde{N}(ds, dz) + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{|z| > \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} z N(ds, dz) - \int_{t_0}^t \int_{|z| > \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} z \nu(dz) ds.$$

The two first integrals then satisfy the same scaling property as the α -stable Lévy driving process.

We can compute

$$\int_{|z| > \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} z \nu(dz) = \frac{a_+ - a_-}{\alpha - 1} \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}.$$

STEP 1. We first apply Itô's formula and estimate the expectation of each term for $\kappa \leq 1$, in order to get (8).

Fix $\eta > 0$ and define the \mathcal{C}^2 -function $f : v \mapsto (\eta + v^2)^{\kappa/2}$. For all $t \geq t_0$, by Itô's formula, using that for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$, $vF(v) \geq 0$, we have

$$f(V_{t \wedge \tau_r}) \leq f(V_0) - \frac{a_+ - a_-}{\alpha - 1} \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \int_{t_0}^t \mathbb{1}_{\{s \leq \tau_r\}} f'(V_s) ds + M_t + R_t + S_t, \quad (9)$$

where

$$M_t := \int_{t_0}^t \int_{0 < |z| < \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \mathbb{1}_{\{s \leq \tau_r\}} [f(V_{s-} + z) - f(V_{s-})] \tilde{N}(ds, dz), \quad (10)$$

$$R_t := \int_{t_0}^t \int_{|z| \geq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \mathbb{1}_{\{s \leq \tau_r\}} [f(V_{s-} + z) - f(V_{s-})] N(ds, dz), \quad (11)$$

$$S_t := \int_{t_0}^t \int_{0 < |z| < \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \mathbb{1}_{\{s \leq \tau_r\}} [f(V_s + z) - f(V_s) - z f'(V_s)] \nu(dz) ds. \quad (12)$$

Note that, since $\kappa < 1$, for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f'(v)| \leq \kappa \eta^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}}. \quad (13)$$

Moreover, remark that for all $k > \alpha$,

$$\int_{0 < |z| < \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} |z|^k \nu(dz) = \frac{a_+ + a_-}{k - \alpha} \xi^{\frac{k}{\alpha} - 1}, \quad (14)$$

and for all $k < \alpha$,

$$\int_{|z| \geq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} |z|^k \nu(dz) = \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - k} \xi^{\frac{k}{\alpha} - 1}. \quad (15)$$

We estimate expectations of M , R and S .

To that end, we first show that the local martingale $(M_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ is a martingale.

Fix $q \geq 2$ and $r \geq 0$. Set

$$I_t(q) := \int_{t_0}^t \int_{0 < |z| < \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \mathbb{1}_{\{s \leq \tau_r\}} |f(V_{s-} + z) - f(V_{s-})|^q \nu(dz) ds.$$

Notice that, since for all $|v| \leq r$ and $|z| \leq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, $|f(v+z) - f(v)| \leq \|f'\|_{[-(r+\xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}), r+\xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}]} \|z\|$, so we have

$$I_t(q) \leq \|f'\|_{[-(r+\xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}), r+\xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}]}^q \int_{t_0}^t \int_{0 < |z| < \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \mathbb{1}_{\{s \leq \tau_r\}} |z|^q \nu(dz) ds.$$

Hence, it is a finite quantity, since $q \geq 2$ and (14) holds. Therefore, for $q \geq 2$, by Kunita's inequality (see Theorem 4.4.23 p. 265 in [App09]), there exists D_q such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |M_s|^q \right] \leq D_q \left(\mathbb{E} \left[I_t(2)^{q/2} \right] + \mathbb{E} [I_t(q)] \right) < +\infty.$$

Hence, by Theorem 51 p. 38 in [Pro05], M is a martingale.

We estimate now the finite variation part S defined in (12). We use a similar idea as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 p. 3863 in [DS15]. Note that for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f''(v)| &= \kappa(2 - \kappa)v^2(v^2 + \eta)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-2} + \kappa(v^2 + \eta)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-1} \\ &= \kappa(2 - \kappa)v^2(v^2 + \eta)^{-1}(v^2 + \eta)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-1} + \kappa(v^2 + \eta)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-1} \\ &\leq \kappa(3 - \kappa)(v^2 + \eta)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-1} \\ &\leq \kappa(3 - \kappa)\eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-1}, \text{ since } \frac{\kappa}{2} - 1 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $|z| < \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. Using Taylor's formula, we get a.s.

$$|f(V_s + z) - f(V_s) - zf'(V_s)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\kappa(3 - \kappa)\eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-1}z^2.$$

Hence, we get the almost sure following bound

$$\left| \int_{0 < |z| < \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} (f(V_s + z) - f(V_s) - zf'(V_s)) \nu(dz) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\kappa(3 - \kappa)\eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-1} \int_{0 < |z| < \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} z^2 \nu(dz).$$

Injecting (14), we get

$$\left| \int_{0 < |z| < \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} (f(V_s + z) - f(V_s) - zf'(V_s)) \nu(dz) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\kappa(3 - \kappa)\eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-1} \frac{a_+ + a_-}{2 - \alpha} \xi^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1}. \quad (16)$$

It remains to study the Poisson integral R defined in (11), using Theorem 2.3.7 p. 106 in [App09]. Pick $\kappa \leq 1$, by Hölder property of power functions, we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} f(v + z) - f(v) &= (\eta + (v + z)^2)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} - ((v + z)^2)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} + (v + z)^\kappa - v^\kappa + (v^2)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} - (\eta + v^2)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \\ &\leq 2\eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} + |z|^\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\int_{|z| \geq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} f(V_s + z) - f(V_s) \nu(dz) \leq \eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \nu(|z| \geq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) + \int_{|z| \geq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} |z|^\kappa \nu(dz).$$

Injecting (15), this leads to

$$\int_{|z| \geq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} f(V_s + z) - f(V_s) \nu(dz) \leq \eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha} \xi^{-1} + \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - \kappa} \xi^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}-1}. \quad (17)$$

Gathering (13), (17) and (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(V_{t \wedge \tau_r})] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(V_{t_0})] + t\xi^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \left(\kappa\eta^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} \frac{a_+ - a_-}{\alpha - 1} \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + \eta^{\kappa/2} \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha} + \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - \kappa} \xi^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa(3 - \kappa)\eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-1} \frac{a_+ + a_-}{2 - \alpha} \xi^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Choosing $\eta = t^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}$ and $\xi = t$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(V_{t_0})] + t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} \times \left(\kappa \frac{a_+ - a_-}{\alpha - 1} + \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha} + \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - \kappa} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa(3 - \kappa) \frac{a_+ + a_-}{2 - \alpha} \right) \\ &\leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.1, we can conclude that the explosion time of V is a.s. infinite and letting $r \rightarrow +\infty$, for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}. \quad (19)$$

STEP 2. Pick $\kappa \in (1, \alpha)$. We estimate R in another way, using again Theorem 2.3.7 p. 106 in [App09]. By Hölder property of power function and (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|z| \geq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} |f(V_s + z) - f(V_s)| \nu(dz) &\leq \int_{|z| \geq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} |2zV_s + z^2|^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \nu(dz) \\ &\leq C_\kappa \left(\frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - \kappa} \xi^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}-1} + |V_s|^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - \frac{\kappa}{2}} \xi^{\frac{\kappa}{2\alpha}-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Gathering (16), (20) and then using that for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$, $|f'(v)| \leq \kappa |v|^{\kappa-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(V_{t_0})] + t \left(C_\kappa \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - \kappa} \xi^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}-1} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa (3 - \kappa) \eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}-1} \frac{a_+ + a_-}{2 - \alpha} \xi^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} \right) \\ &\quad + \kappa \frac{a_+ - a_-}{\alpha - 1} \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \int_{t_0}^t \mathbb{E}[|V_s|^{\kappa-1}] ds + C_\kappa \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - \frac{\kappa}{2}} \xi^{\frac{\kappa}{2\alpha}-1} \int_{t_0}^t \mathbb{E}[|V_s|^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Injecting (19), and choosing $\eta = t^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}$ and $\xi = t$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0, \alpha} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}.$$

Taking $r \rightarrow +\infty$, (8) follows. \square

Example 4.1. Remark that the velocity process V is more explicit in the linear case ($\gamma = 1$). Choose $F(1) = \rho > 0$, $F(-1) = -\rho$. Pick $\beta \neq 1$, so

$$V_t = v_0 + \exp\left(-\rho \frac{t^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta}\right) \int_{t_0}^t \exp\left(\rho \frac{s^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta}\right) dL_s$$

is solution of (SKE).

Hence, by an integration by parts,

$$V_t = v_0 + L_t - e^{\rho \frac{1}{1-\beta}(t_0^{1-\beta} - t^{1-\beta})} L_{t_0} - e^{-\rho \frac{t^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta}} \int_{t_0}^t \rho s^{-\beta} e^{\rho \frac{s^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta}} L_s ds.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[|V_t|] \leq C_{t_0} \left(t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + t^{1-\beta+\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right) \leq C_{t_0} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

The case $\beta = 1$ can be treated similarly.

5 Proof of the asymptotic behavior of the solution

This section is devoted to the proofs of our main results, Theorems 2.2 and 2.4.

Notice that it suffices to prove the convergence of the rescaled velocity process $(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} V_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0}$ in the space \mathcal{D} endowed with the Skorokhod topology. Assume for a moment that this convergence is proved.

For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $t \geq \varepsilon t_0$ we can write

$$\varepsilon^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} X_{t/\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} x_0 + \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t V_s^{(\varepsilon)} ds.$$

Let us introduce the mapping $g_\varepsilon : V \mapsto \left(V_t, \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t V_s ds \right)_{t > 0}$ defined and valued on \mathcal{D} . Clearly, the theorem will be proved once we show that $g_\varepsilon(V_\bullet^{(\varepsilon)})$ converges weakly in \mathcal{D} endowed by the Skorokhod topology. This mapping is converging, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, to the continuous mapping $g : V \mapsto \left(V_t, \int_0^t V_s ds \right)_{t > 0}$.

In order to see $V^{(\varepsilon)}$ as a process of $\mathcal{D}([0, +\infty))$, let us state for all $s \in [0, \varepsilon t_0]$, $V_s^{(\varepsilon)} := V_{\varepsilon t_0}^{(\varepsilon)} = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} v_0$. Call P_ε, P the distribution of $V^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathcal{S}$, respectively. Invoking the Portmanteau theorem (see Theorem 2.1 p. 16 in [Bil99]), it suffices to prove that for all bounded and uniformly continuous function $h : \mathcal{D}([0, +\infty)) \times \mathcal{D}([0, +\infty)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}([0, +\infty))^2} h(g_\varepsilon(\omega)) dP_\varepsilon(d\omega) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{D}([0, +\infty))^2} h(g(\omega)) dP(d\omega).$$

Pick such a function h . By assumption, the convergence $P_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P$ holds, hence, using Lemma A.4, it suffices to prove that the uniformly bounded sequence $(h \circ g_\varepsilon)$ of continuous functions on $\mathcal{D}([0, +\infty))$ converges to the continuous function $h \circ g$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathcal{D}([0, +\infty))$. Let K be a compact set of $\mathcal{D}([0, +\infty))$. Then, for all $\omega \in K$, $\max_{[0, \varepsilon t_0]} |\omega|$ is uniformly bounded by a constant, say M . Fix $\eta > 0$. By the uniform continuity of h , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\omega \in K$,

$$d_u(g_\varepsilon(\omega), g(\omega)) \leq \delta \implies |h \circ g_\varepsilon(\omega) - h \circ g(\omega)| \leq \eta.$$

There exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ small enough, such that for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$, for all $\omega \in K$,

$$d_u(g_\varepsilon(\omega), g(\omega)) \leq C \left| \int_0^{\varepsilon t_0} \omega(s) ds \right| \leq C\varepsilon t_0 M \leq \delta.$$

Therefore, we proved that it suffices to prove the convergence of the rescaled velocity process $(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} V_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0}$ in order to prove Theorems 2.2 and 2.4.

In Sections 5.1 and 5.2, the aim is to prove the convergence of the velocity process.

5.1 Asymptotic behavior above the critical line

In the remainder of this section, we assume that $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\beta > 1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{\alpha}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Thanks to a change of variables, we have, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $t \geq \varepsilon t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} V_{t/\varepsilon} &= \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (v_0 - L_{t_0}) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} L_{t/\varepsilon} - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{t_0}^{t/\varepsilon} F(V_s) s^{-\beta} ds \\ &= \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (v_0 - L_{t_0}) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} L_{t/\varepsilon} - \varepsilon^{\beta-1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t F(V_{u/\varepsilon}) u^{-\beta} du. \end{aligned}$$

By self-similarity, $L^{(\varepsilon)} := (\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} L_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ has the same distribution as an α -stable process. As a consequence, thanks to Theorem 3.1 p. 27 in [Bil99] and Lemma A.3, it suffices to prove

$$\forall T \geq t_0 \quad \sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} \left| V_t^{(\varepsilon)} - L_t^{(\varepsilon)} \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (22)$$

Recall that under (H_γ) , there exists a positive constant K , such that

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \left| F \left(\frac{V_\bullet^{(\varepsilon)}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right) \right| \leq K \left| V_\bullet^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma. \quad (23)$$

Modifying the factor in front of the integral, we get

$$V_t^{(\varepsilon)} = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (v_0 - L_{t_0}) + L_t^{(\varepsilon)} - \varepsilon^{\beta-1+\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} F \left(\frac{V_u^{(\varepsilon)}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right) u^{-\beta} du. \quad (24)$$

Gathering (24) and (23), for all $T \geq \varepsilon t_0$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} \left| V_t^{(\varepsilon)} - L_t^{(\varepsilon)} \right| &\leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (v_0 - L_{t_0}) + \varepsilon^{\beta-1+\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}} \sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} F \left(\frac{V_u^{(\varepsilon)}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right) u^{-\beta} du \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (v_0 - L_{t_0}) + \varepsilon^{\beta-1+\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^T K \left| V_u^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma u^{-\beta} du. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation and using the moment estimates on V (see Remark 2.7), we obtain, when $\beta \neq \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\beta-1+\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\varepsilon t_0}^T K \left| V_u^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma u^{-\beta} du \right] &= \varepsilon^{\beta-1+\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^T K \mathbb{E} \left[\left| V_u^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma \right] u^{-\beta} du \\ &= \varepsilon^{\beta-1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^T K \mathbb{E} \left[\left| V_{u/\varepsilon} \right|^\gamma \right] u^{-\beta} du \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{\beta-1+\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^T K C_{\alpha, \beta, t_0} u^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\beta} du \\ &= C \left(\varepsilon^{\beta-1+\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}} T^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\beta+1} - t_0^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\beta+1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, setting $q := \min(\beta - 1 + \frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha})$ which is positive, since $\beta > 1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{\alpha}$, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} \left| V_t^{(\varepsilon)} - L_t^{(\varepsilon)} \right| \right] = O(\varepsilon^q).$$

The case $\beta = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ can be treated similarly to get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} \left| V_t^{(\varepsilon)} - L_t^{(\varepsilon)} \right| \right] = O_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \ln(\varepsilon)).$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 5.1. *Observe that we did not use the condition “ $\gamma < 1$ or for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$, $vF(v) \geq 0$ ” in this proof, except to get moment estimates.*

5.2 Asymptotic behavior on the critical line

We adapt the Proposition 2.1, p. 187 of [GO13] to the α -stable Lévy case.

Pick a \mathcal{C}^2 -diffeomorphism $\varphi : [0, t_1) \rightarrow [t_0, +\infty)$. Let V be the solution to the equation (SKE). Thanks to Proposition 3.4.1 p. 124 in [ST94], the following process is also an α -stable process

$$(R_t)_{t \geq 0} := \left(\int_0^t \frac{dL_{\varphi(s)}}{\varphi'(s)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right)_{t \geq 0}. \quad (25)$$

Then, by the change of variables $t = \varphi(s)$, we get

$$V_{\varphi(t)} - V_{\varphi(0)} = \int_0^t \varphi'(s)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} dR_s - \int_0^t \frac{F(V_{\varphi(s)})}{\varphi(s)^\beta} \varphi'(s) ds.$$

Thanks to an integration by parts, we get

$$d \left(\frac{V_{\varphi(s)}}{\varphi'(s)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right) = dR_s - \frac{\varphi'(s)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\varphi(s)^\beta} F(V_{\varphi(s)}) ds - \frac{\varphi''(s)}{\alpha \varphi'(s)} \frac{V_{\varphi(s)}}{\varphi'(s)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} ds.$$

Set $\Omega = \overline{\mathcal{D}}([t_0, \infty))$ the set of càdlàg functions, that equal ∞ after their (possibly infinite) explosion time. Introduce the scaling transformation Φ_φ defined, for $\omega \in \Omega$, by

$$\Phi_\varphi(\omega)(s) := \frac{\omega(\varphi(s))}{\varphi'(s)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}, \text{ with } s \in [0, t_1).$$

As a consequence, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 5.2. *If V is a solution to the equation (SKE), then $V^{(\varphi)} := \Phi_\varphi(V)$ is a solution to*

$$dV_s^{(\varphi)} = dR_s - \frac{\varphi'(s)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\varphi(s)^\beta} F(\varphi'(s)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} V_s^{(\varphi)}) ds - \frac{\varphi''(s)}{\varphi'(s)} \frac{V_s^{(\varphi)}}{\alpha} ds, \text{ with } V_0^{(\varphi)} = \frac{V_{\varphi(0)}}{\varphi'(0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}, \quad (26)$$

where R is given by (25).

Conversely, if $V^{(\varphi)}$ is a solution to (26), then $\Phi_\varphi^{-1}(V^{(\varphi)})$ is a solution to the equation (SKE), where

$$L_t - L_{t_0} := \int_{t_0}^t (\varphi' \circ \varphi^{-1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) dR_{\varphi^{-1}(s)}.$$

Furthermore, uniqueness in law, pathwise uniqueness, strong existence hold for the equation (SKE) if and only if they hold for the equation (26).

In the following, we will focus on an exponential change of time $\varphi_e : 0 \leq t \mapsto t_0 e^t$. This scaling is convenient since it allows to produce a time-homogeneous term in (26). Thanks to Proposition 5.2, the process $V^{(e)} := \Phi_e(V)$ satisfies the SDE driven by an α -stable process $(R_t)_{t \geq 0}$,

$$dV_s^{(e)} = dR_s - \frac{V_s^{(e)}}{\alpha} ds - t_0^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\beta} e^{(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\beta)s} F(t_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} e^{\frac{s}{\alpha}} V_s^{(e)}) ds. \quad (27)$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Assume in the sequel that $\beta = 1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{\alpha}$.

STEP 1. Firstly we prove the finite-dimensional convergence. To that end, we reduce the problem to the

convergence of a time-homogenous process.
Since (H_γ) holds, (27) becomes

$$dV_s^{(e)} = dR_s - \frac{V_s^{(e)}}{\alpha} ds - F(V_s^{(e)}) ds. \quad (28)$$

Using the bijection Φ_e induced by the exponential change of time (see Proposition 5.2), and the unique strong existence of the velocity process V (see Proposition 3.2 and Remark 2.7), there exists a pathwise unique strong solution H to the time-homogeneous equation (28). Hence, we have the equality

$$\left(\frac{V_{t_0 e^t}}{(t_0 e^t)^{1/\alpha}} \right)_{t \geq 0} = (H_t)_{t \geq 0},$$

as two solutions of the same SDE, starting from the same point. We can write the above equality as

$$\left(\frac{V_t}{t^{1/\alpha}} \right)_{t \geq t_0} = (H_{\log(t/t_0)})_{t \geq t_0}.$$

So, we have, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in [\varepsilon t_0, +\infty)^d$,

$$\left(\frac{V_{\varepsilon^{-1} t_1}}{(\varepsilon^{-1} t_1)^{1/\alpha}}, \dots, \frac{V_{\varepsilon^{-1} t_d}}{(\varepsilon^{-1} t_d)^{1/\alpha}} \right) = (H_{\log(t_1) + \log((\varepsilon t_0)^{-1})}, \dots, H_{\log(t_d) + \log((\varepsilon t_0)^{-1})}). \quad (29)$$

Since $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-F(x) - x/\alpha}{x} < 0$, it follows from Proposition 0.1 in [Kul09] that the process $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially ergodic. We denote its invariant measure by Λ_F . Call \tilde{H} the solution of the time homogeneous equation (28) starting from Λ_F . For $t_1, \dots, t_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\Lambda_{F, t_1, \dots, t_d} := \mathcal{L}(\tilde{H}_{t_1}, \dots, \tilde{H}_{t_d})$ be the distribution of $(\tilde{H}_{t_1}, \dots, \tilde{H}_{t_d})$. Then, for all $s \geq 0$, $\Lambda_{F, t_1, \dots, t_d} = \Lambda_{F, t_1+s, \dots, t_d+s}$. Indeed, thanks to the invariance property of Λ_F , (\tilde{H}_\bullet) and $(\tilde{H}_{\bullet+s})$ satisfy the same SDE, starting from the same point. As a consequence, we get the stationary limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L} \left(\tilde{H}_{\log(t_1) + \log((\varepsilon t_0)^{-1})}, \dots, \tilde{H}_{\log(t_d) + \log((\varepsilon t_0)^{-1})} \right) = \Lambda_{F, \log(t_1), \dots, \log(t_d)}. \quad (30)$$

Moreover, by exponential ergodicity, for every $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and bounded function,

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_{\log(t_1/(t_0\varepsilon))}, \dots, H_{\log(t_d/(t_0\varepsilon))} \right) \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(\tilde{H}_{\log(t_1/(t_0\varepsilon))}, \dots, \tilde{H}_{\log(t_d/(t_0\varepsilon))} \right) \right] \right| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0. \quad (31)$$

We postpone the proof of this limit in Step 2.

To conclude this step, gather (29), (30) and (31) to get

$$\left(\frac{V_{\varepsilon^{-1} t_1}}{(\varepsilon^{-1} t_1)^{1/\alpha}}, \dots, \frac{V_{\varepsilon^{-1} t_d}}{(\varepsilon^{-1} t_d)^{1/\alpha}} \right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_{F, \log(t_1), \dots, \log(t_d)}.$$

This can also be written as

$$\left(\varepsilon^{1/\alpha} V_{t_1/\varepsilon}, \dots, \varepsilon^{1/\alpha} V_{t_d/\varepsilon} \right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T * \Lambda_{F, \log(t_1), \dots, \log(t_d)},$$

where $T * \Lambda_{F, \log(t_1), \dots, \log(t_d)}$ is the pushforward of the measure $\Lambda_{F, \log(t_1), \dots, \log(t_d)}$ by the linear map $T(u_1, \dots, u_d) := (t_1^{1/\alpha} u_1, \dots, t_d^{1/\alpha} u_d)$.

STEP 2. Let us now prove (31).

For sake of clarity, let us give a proof for $d = 2$, the general case $d \geq 2$ is similar.

Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and bounded function. Pick $\varepsilon t_0 \leq s \leq t$. Set $h_0 = v_0 t_0^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, (31) is now equivalent to

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_{\log(s/(t_0\varepsilon))}, H_{\log(t/(t_0\varepsilon))} \right) \middle| H_0 = h_0 \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_{\log(s/(t_0\varepsilon))}, H_{\log(t/(t_0\varepsilon))} \right) \middle| H_0 \sim \Lambda_F \right] \right| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

We set $\mu_\varepsilon := \mathcal{L} \left(H_{\log(s/(t_0\varepsilon))} \middle| H_0 = h_0 \right)$. We now use the generalized Markov property of solution to SDE driven by Lévy process. For the sake of completeness, we state and prove it in our context in Appendix (see Lemma A.6). This leads to

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_{\log(s/(t_0\varepsilon))}, H_{\log(t/(t_0\varepsilon))} \right) \middle| H_0 = h_0 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_0, H_{\log(t/s)} \right) \middle| H_0 \sim \mu_\varepsilon \right]$$

and, since Λ_F is invariant,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_{\log(s/(t_0\varepsilon))}, H_{\log(t/(t_0\varepsilon))} \right) \middle| H_0 \sim \Lambda_F \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_0, H_{\log(t/s)} \right) \middle| H_0 \sim \Lambda_F \right].$$

Then, we are reduced to prove

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_0, H_{\log(t/s)} \right) \middle| H_0 \sim \mu_\varepsilon \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_0, H_{\log(t/s)} \right) \middle| H_0 \sim \Lambda_F \right] \right| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

The left-hand side can be written as,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_0, H_{\log(t/s)} \right) \middle| H_0 = y \right] (\mu_\varepsilon(dy) - \Lambda_F(dy)).$$

Hence, setting $p(t, x, dy) := \mathbb{P}_x(H_t \in dy)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{TV}$ for the total variation norm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_0, H_{\log(t/s)} \right) \middle| H_0 \sim \mu_\varepsilon \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\psi \left(H_0, H_{\log(t/s)} \right) \middle| H_0 \sim \Lambda_F \right] \right| \\ & \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(\log(s/(t_0\varepsilon)), h_0, dy) - \Lambda_F(dy)| \\ & \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|p(\log(s/(t_0\varepsilon)), h_0, \cdot) - \Lambda_F\|_{TV}. \end{aligned}$$

This converges to 0, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ by exponential ergodicity of H .

STEP 3. Let us prove now the tightness of the family of distributions of càdlàg process $(V^{(\varepsilon)})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0} = (\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} V_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0}$ on every compact interval $[m, M]$, $0 < m \leq M$.

We check the Aldous's tightness criterion stated at Theorem 16.10 p.178 in [Bil99]. Let a, η, T be positive reals. Let τ be a discrete stopping time with finite range \mathcal{T} , bounded by T . Choose $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

We have, by Jensen's inequality, for $r = \frac{\alpha}{2}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| V_{\tau+\delta}^{(\varepsilon)} - V_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^r \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| L_{\tau+\delta}^{(\varepsilon)} - L_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^r \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_\tau^{\tau+\delta} K \left| V_u^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma u^{-\beta} du \right]^r.$$

Since $L^{(\varepsilon)}$ is an α -stable process, by the strong Markov property,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| L_{\tau+\delta}^{(\varepsilon)} - L_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^r \right] = \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E}_{L_\tau} [|L_\delta - L_0|^r]] \leq C\delta^{\frac{r}{\alpha}}.$$

The stopping time has a finite range \mathcal{T} . Hence, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_\tau^{\tau+\delta} K \left| V_u^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma u^{-\beta} du \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\int_\tau^{\tau+\delta} K \left| V_u^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma u^{-\beta} du \middle| \tau \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(\tau = \tau_i)} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau = \tau_i\}} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_i+\delta} K \left| V_u^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma u^{-\beta} du \right] \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau = \tau_i\}} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(\tau = \tau_i)} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_i+\delta} K \left| V_u^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma u^{-\beta} du \right] \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau = \tau_i\}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For each $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}$, using the relation $\beta = 1 + \frac{(\gamma-1)}{\alpha}$ and the moment estimates on V (see Remark 2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_i+\delta} K \left| V_u^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma u^{-\beta} du \right] &= \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_i+\delta} K \mathbb{E} \left[\left| V_u^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^\gamma \right] u^{-\beta} du \\ &\leq K \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_i+\delta} u^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \beta} du = K \left[(\tau_i + \delta)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - \tau_i^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right] \\ &\leq K\delta^{1, \frac{1}{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

The term $\delta^{1, \frac{1}{\alpha}}$ has to be read as δ or $\delta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ depending on the fact that $x \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function on $[0, T + \delta]$, if $\alpha < 1$, or a $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ -Hölder function, if $\alpha > 1$.

By Markov's inequality, for δ small enough, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left| V_{\tau+\delta}^{(\varepsilon)} - V_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \right| \geq a \right) \leq \frac{K\delta^{r, \frac{r}{\alpha}}}{a^r} \leq \eta.$$

Furthermore, by moment estimates (see Propositions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.5), for all $t \geq \varepsilon t_0$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \left[\left| V_t^{(\varepsilon)} \right|^r \right] \leq C t^{\frac{r}{\alpha}}.$$

Hence, using again Markov's inequality, by Corollary and Theorem 16.8 p. 175 in [Bil99], this concludes the proof of the tightness of the velocity process and therefore the proof of Theorem 2.4. \square

5.3 Asymptotic behavior below the critical line

Assume in this section that $\beta < 1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{\alpha}$ and $\alpha > 1$. As a consequence, $\alpha q < 1$. We take an interest into the power change of time $\varphi_q : t \mapsto \left(t_0^{1-\alpha q} + (1-\alpha q)t \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha q}}$. Thanks to Proposition 5.2, the process $V^{(q)} := \Phi_q(V)$ satisfies the SDE driven by an α -stable process R having the same distribution as L ,

$$dV_s^{(q)} = dR_s - F(V_s^{(q)}) ds - q\varphi_q^{\alpha q-1} V_s^{(q)} ds. \quad (32)$$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. STEP 1. We first prove the finite dimensional convergence of the velocity process $(V_t^{(\varepsilon)})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0} := (\varepsilon^q V_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0}$. We give a proof for $d = 2$, the general case $d \geq 2$ is similar. We call H the ergodic process solution to

$$dH_s = dR_s - F(H_s) ds, \text{ with } H_0 = h_0 := v_0 t_0^{-q}. \quad (33)$$

We denote by Π_F its invariant measure. Using the bijection induced by the power change of time (Proposition 5.2), as solutions of the same SDE starting at the same point, we have, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, and $(s, t) \in [\varepsilon t_0, +\infty)^2$,

$$\left(\varepsilon^q \frac{V_{\varepsilon^{-1}s}^{(\varepsilon)}}{s^q}, \varepsilon^q \frac{V_{\varepsilon^{-1}t}^{(\varepsilon)}}{t^q} \right) = \left(V_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}s)}^{(q)}, V_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}t)}^{(q)} \right)$$

Using Theorem 3.1 p. 27 in [Bil99], it suffices to prove that for all $(s, t) \in [\varepsilon t_0, +\infty)^2$

- $\left\| \left((H_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}s)}, H_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}t)}), \left(V_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}s)}^{(q)}, V_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}t)}^{(q)} \right) \right) \right\| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is a metric on \mathbb{R}^2 .
- $(H_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}s)}, H_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}t)}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Pi_F \otimes \Pi_F$.

STEP 2. We prove that for all $t \geq \varepsilon t_0$, $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(H_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}t)} - V_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}t)}^{(q)} \right)^2 \right] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$.

Pick $t \geq \varepsilon t_0$. For simplicity of notation, we write $H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} := H_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}t)}$ and $V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} := V_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}t)}^{(q)}$. We have

$$d \left(H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right) = -\varepsilon^{\alpha q-1} \left(F(H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)}) - F(V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)}) \right) t^{-\alpha q} dt + q t^{-1} V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} dt.$$

Pick $\delta > 0$ and $\kappa \in (1, \alpha)$, by straightforward differentiation,

$$\begin{aligned} d \left| H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right|^\kappa &\leq -\frac{\kappa \varepsilon^{\alpha q-1}}{t^{\alpha q}} \left| F(H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)}) - F(V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)}) \right| \left| H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right|^{\kappa-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{|H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)}| > \delta\}} dt \\ &\quad + \kappa t^{-1} q V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \operatorname{sgn} \left(H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right) \left| H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right|^{\kappa-1} dt \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma \geq 1$, the function F^{-1} is $\frac{1}{\gamma}$ -Hölder, therefore there exists $C_\gamma > 0$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned} d \left| H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right|^\kappa &\leq -\frac{\kappa \varepsilon^{\alpha q-1}}{t^{\alpha q}} C_\gamma \delta^{\gamma-1} \left| H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right|^\kappa \mathbb{1}_{\{|H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)}| > \delta\}} dt \\ &\quad + \kappa t^{-1} \left| q V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right| \left| H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right|^{\kappa-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

We set $g_\varepsilon(t) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left| H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right|^\kappa \right]$ and $\tilde{g}_\varepsilon(t) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left| H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right|^\kappa \mathbb{1}_{\{|H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)}| > \delta\}} \right]$. Taking expectation, we get,

$$\tilde{g}'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\frac{\kappa \varepsilon^{\alpha q-1}}{t^{\alpha q}} C_\gamma \delta^{\gamma-1} \tilde{g}_\varepsilon(t) + b_\varepsilon(t), \text{ with } \tilde{g}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon t_0) = 0 \quad (34)$$

where, using Hölder's inequality and moment estimates (Proposition 4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_\varepsilon(t) &:= \kappa t^{-1} q \mathbb{E} \left[\left| V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \left[H_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right]^{\kappa-1} \right| \right] \leq \kappa t^{-1} |q| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(V_t^{(\varphi, \varepsilon)} \right)^\kappa \right]^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} g_\varepsilon(t)^{\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa}} \\ &\leq C t^{-1} \left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-q} g_\varepsilon(t)^{\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa}}. \end{aligned}$$

We use comparison theorem for ordinary differential equation on (34) to get, setting $h(t) := \frac{1}{1-\alpha q} C_\gamma \delta^{\gamma-1} t^{1-\alpha q}$

$$\tilde{g}_\varepsilon(t) \leq \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t b_\varepsilon(s) \exp(-\kappa \varepsilon^{\alpha q-1} (h(t) - h(s))) ds.$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} g_\varepsilon(t) &\leq \delta^2 + \tilde{g}_\varepsilon(t) \\ &\leq \delta^2 + \exp(-\kappa \varepsilon^{\alpha q-1} h(t)) C \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t s^{-1} \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-q} [g_\varepsilon(s) \exp(\kappa \varepsilon^{\alpha q-1} h(s))]^{\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa}} \exp(\varepsilon^{\alpha q-1} h(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying a Grönwall-type lemma (Lemma A.1) to $g_\varepsilon(s) \exp(\kappa \varepsilon^{\alpha q-1} h(s))$, we have

$$g_\varepsilon(t) \leq C \delta^2 + C \left(\int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t s^{-1} \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-q} \exp(-\varepsilon^{\alpha q-1} (h(t) - h(s))) ds \right)^\kappa.$$

We conclude, using the dominated convergence theorem, since $1 - \alpha q > 0$, that for all $\delta > 0$

$$0 \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} g_\varepsilon(t) \leq \delta^2. \quad (35)$$

To prove the domination hypothesis, note that by optimization of the function $x \mapsto x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \exp(-Ax)$,

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{\varepsilon t_0 \leq s \leq t\}} s^{-1} s^{-1} \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-q} \exp(-\varepsilon^{\alpha q-1} (h(t) - h(s))) \leq C \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq s \leq t\}} s^{-1+\frac{1}{\alpha}-q} \frac{1}{(h(t) - h(s))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}.$$

This function is integrable, since $1 - \alpha q > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. We let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (35) to conclude that for all $t > 0$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} g_\varepsilon(t) = 0$.

STEP 3. Pick $(s, t) \in [\varepsilon t_0, +\infty)^2$. Similarly, as in [GL21], one can prove that the solution H to (33) satisfies

$$(H_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}s)}, H_{\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}t)}) \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{} \Pi_F \otimes \Pi_F. \quad (36)$$

□

6 Extended results in the Lévy case

In this section, the driving process of (SKE) is supposed to be a general Lévy process L . We denote by (A, ν, b) its generating triplet, with respect to the truncation function $h : z \mapsto -1 \vee (z \wedge 1)$. Here ν is the Lévy measure, $A > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that, in virtue of the Lévy-Khintchine formula (see Theorem 8.1 p. 37 in [Sat99]), the characteristic function of L_t is given by

$$\mathbb{E} [e^{iuL_t}] = e^{t\psi(u)}, \text{ where } \psi(u) := -\frac{Au^2}{2} + ibu + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{iux} - 1 - iuh(x)) \nu(dx).$$

Pick $\alpha \in (0, 2) \setminus \{1\}$. The Lévy measure ν of the driving process is supposed to satisfy either

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(z) &= \frac{g(z)}{|z|^{1+\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \neq 0\}}, \text{ where } g \text{ is a non-negative measurable function such that} \\ c^+ &:= \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} g(z) \geq 0, \quad c^- := \lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} g(z) \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$$

or

$$\text{for some } \alpha_0 > 1, \quad \int_{|z| \geq 1} |z|^{\alpha_0} \nu(dz) < +\infty. \quad (H_2^{\nu, \alpha_0})$$

Remark that if ν satisfies $(H_1^{\nu,\alpha})$ with $\alpha > 1$, then it satisfies (H_2^{ν,α_0}) . Note that any tempered stable process satisfies $(H_1^{\nu,\alpha})$, and any truncated α -stable process satisfies (H_2^{ν,α_0}) .

For clarity, we recall the stochastic kinetic model: for $t \geq t_0 > 0$,

$$dV_t = dL_t - t^{-\beta} F(V_t) dt, \text{ with } V_{t_0} = v_0 > 0, \text{ and } dX_t = V_t dt, \text{ with } X_{t_0} = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\text{SKE})$$

We work under the assumption that there exists a unique solution to (SKE). We will show that Theorem 2.2 can be extended with this general Lévy driving process. We suppose first the Lévy process to be without a Brownian component. This case will be discussed in Section 6.3. We obtain the two following theorems, depending on which hypothesis is satisfied by the Lévy measure ν .

Theorem 6.1. *Consider $\gamma \in [0, \alpha)$ and $\beta \geq 0$. Assume that $(H_1^{\nu,\alpha})$ and (H_{sgn}) are satisfied and define*

$$p_\alpha(\gamma) := \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} & \text{if } g \text{ is bounded,} \\ \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \in (1, 2), \gamma \in [0, 1) \text{ and } b = 0, \\ \gamma & \text{if } \alpha \in [1, 2), \gamma \in [0, 1) \text{ and } b \neq 0, \\ \gamma & \text{if } \alpha \in (1, 2), \gamma = 1, \\ \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} + \frac{\gamma}{2} & \text{if } \alpha \in (1, 2), \gamma \in (1, \alpha). \end{cases}$$

Let $(V_t, X_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ be the solution to (SKE).

Then there exist a rate of convergence ε^θ and a Lévy process \mathcal{L} , given in Proposition 6.3 (iii)-(v), such that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, if $\beta > 1 + p_\alpha(\gamma) - \theta$, in the space \mathcal{D} ,

$$(\varepsilon^\theta V_{t/\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{1+\theta} X_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\mathcal{L}_t, \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_s ds \right)_{t > 0}.$$

Theorem 6.2. *Consider $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$. Assume that (H_2^{ν,α_0}) is satisfied and define*

$$p_{\alpha_0}(\gamma) := \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in [0, 1), \\ \min(\gamma, \frac{\gamma}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\gamma}{2}) & \text{if } \gamma \in [0, 1) \text{ and } vF(v) \geq 0, \\ \frac{\gamma}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\gamma}{2} & \text{if } \gamma \in [1, \alpha_0] \text{ and } vF(v) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $\beta > 1 + p_{\alpha_0}(\gamma) - 1$.

Let $(V_t, X_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ be the solution to (SKE).

Then there exists a Lévy process \mathcal{L} , given in Proposition 6.3 (iii), such that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, in the space \mathcal{D} ,

$$(\varepsilon V_{t/\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^2 X_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\mathcal{L}_t, \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_s ds \right)_{t > 0}.$$

6.1 Large time behavior of the Lévy driving process

Since the Lévy noise is no longer self-similar, we need to study its large-time behavior. We dedicate this subsection to the study of the convergence in distribution of the rescaled noise $(L_t^{(\varepsilon)})_{t \geq 0} := (v_\varepsilon L_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$, for a suitable rate v_ε , tending to 0.

We introduce another assumption on ν , which will sometimes be imposed in the sequel:

$$\nu(z) = \frac{g(z)}{|z|^{1+\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \neq 0\}}, \text{ where } g \text{ is a non negative measurable function with} \quad (H_g)$$

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{|g(z) - g(-z)|}{z^\alpha} dz < +\infty.$$

Inspired from [RT11], we get the following result.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Lévy process having generating triplet (A, ν, b) , with respect to the truncation function $h : z \mapsto -1 \vee (z \wedge 1)$.*

- (i) *Assume that the Lévy measure satisfies the condition $(H_1^{\nu,\alpha})$ with $\alpha = 1$, $c^+ = c^- = c$ and (H_g) . Then the process $(\varepsilon L_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ converges in distribution to the strictly 1-stable Lévy process \mathcal{L} generated by $(0, \nu^*, b^*)$, where $b^* := b + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{g(z) - g(-z)}{z^{1+\alpha}} (z - h(z)) dz$ and*

$$\nu^*(dz) := \frac{c \mathbb{1}_{\{z \neq 0\}}}{|z|^2} dz.$$

(ii) Suppose that the Lévy measure satisfies the condition $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ with $1 < \alpha < 2$ and (H_g) . If

$$b^* := b + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{g(z) - g(-z)}{z^{1+\alpha}} (z - h(z)) dz \neq 0,$$

then the process $(\varepsilon L_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ converges in distribution to the deterministic Lévy process \mathcal{L} generated by $(0, 0, b^*)$.

(iii) If the Lévy measure satisfies (H_2^{ν, α_0}) and $b^* := b + \int_{|z| \geq 1} (z - h(z)) \nu(dz) \neq 0$, then the process $(\varepsilon L_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ converges in distribution to the deterministic Lévy process L^* generated by $(0, 0, b^*)$.

(iv) Assuming that $0 < \alpha < 1$, if the Lévy measure satisfies the condition $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$, then the process $(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} L_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ converges in distribution to the α -stable Lévy process \mathcal{L} with

$$\nu^*(dz) := \frac{c^+ \mathbb{1}_{\{z > 0\}} + c^- \mathbb{1}_{\{z < 0\}}}{|z|^{1+\alpha}} dz.$$

(v) Assuming that $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $b = 0$, if the Lévy measure satisfies the condition $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$, then the process $(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} L_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ converges in distribution to the Lévy process \mathcal{L} with measure and center

$$\nu^*(dz) := \frac{c^+ \mathbb{1}_{\{z > 0\}} + c^- \mathbb{1}_{\{z < 0\}}}{|z|^{1+\alpha}} dz, \quad b^* := \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} z \nu^*(dz).$$

Proof. From the Lévy-Khintchine's formula, the generating triplet of $(L^{(\varepsilon)})_{t \geq 0} := (v_\varepsilon L_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ is given by

$$A^\varepsilon = \frac{v_\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon} A, \tag{37}$$

$$\text{for all } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \nu^\varepsilon(B) = \varepsilon^{-1} \nu(\{z, z v_\varepsilon \in B\}), \tag{38}$$

$$b^\varepsilon = \frac{v_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \left[b + \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (v_\varepsilon^{-1} h(v_\varepsilon z) - h(z)) \nu(dz) \right]. \tag{39}$$

Call (A^*, ν^*, b^*) the generating triplet of the limiting process \mathcal{L} . By Corollary 3.6 p. 415 in [JS03] and Theorem 14.7 p. 81 in [Sat99], we have to check that

$$b^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} b^*, \tag{40}$$

$$A^\varepsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} h^2(z) \nu^\varepsilon(dz) \longrightarrow A^* + \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} h^2(z) \nu^*(dz), \tag{41}$$

and that for any continuous and bounded function f which is zero in a neighborhood of zero,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^*} f(z) \nu^\varepsilon(dz) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} f(z) \nu^*(dz). \tag{42}$$

(i) Recall that $\alpha = 1$ and assume that the Lévy measure ν satisfies the conditions $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ and (H_g) . To prove (40), we write b^ε as

$$b^\varepsilon = b + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{g(z) - g(-z)}{z^{1+\alpha}} (\varepsilon^{-1} h(\varepsilon z) - h(z)) dz.$$

The dominated convergence theorem can be applied, and we show that it converges to

$$b^* = b + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{g(x) - g(-x)}{x^2} (x - h(x)) dx.$$

Observe that the condition (H_g) was only required for this step.

Afterwards, note that, using a change of variables,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^*} h^2(z) \nu^\varepsilon(dz) = v_\varepsilon^\alpha \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} h^2(z) \frac{g(z v_\varepsilon^{-1})}{|z|^{1+\alpha}} dz,$$

and thus, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to prove that the last integral converges to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^*} h^2(z) \nu^*(dz).$$

Let f be a continuous and bounded function which is zero in a neighborhood of zero, then, using again a change of variables and applying the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^*} f(z) \nu^\varepsilon(dz) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} f(z) \nu^*(dz).$$

(ii) The proof is similar to the previous one and thus is left to the reader.

(iii) In this point, we assume that (H_2^{ν, α_0}) holds.

The convergence (40) follows from the dominated convergence theorem.

Using the explicit form of the truncation function, we get, for $v_\varepsilon < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} h^2(z) \nu^\varepsilon(dz) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \varepsilon^{-1} h^2(v_\varepsilon z) \nu(dz) \\ &= \varepsilon \int_{0 < |z| < 1} z^2 \nu(dz) + \int_{1 \leq |z| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}} \varepsilon z^2 \nu(dz) + \int_{|z| > \varepsilon^{-1}} \varepsilon^{-1} \nu(dz). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Using the property of a Lévy measure, the first term in (43) converges to zero, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by assumption. Then, the last two terms in (43) are lower than

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha_0 - 1} \int_{1 < |z| < \varepsilon^{-1}} |z|^{\alpha_0} \nu(dz) + \varepsilon^{\alpha_0 - 1} \int_{|z| \geq \varepsilon^{-1}} |z|^{\alpha_0} \nu(dz),$$

which converges to zero, when ε goes to zero, since $\alpha_0 > 1$.

Let f be a continuous and bounded function and assume that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f(z) = 0$ for all $|z| \leq \delta$, thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^*} f(z) \nu^\varepsilon(dz) = \int_{|z| > \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{-1} f(v_\varepsilon z) \nu(dz) \leq C \varepsilon^{\alpha_0 - 1} \int_{|z| > \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} |z|^{\alpha_0} \nu(dz).$$

This vanishes as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

(iv) Take $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Using the explicit form of h , giving in Proposition 6.3, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} b^\varepsilon &= \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} b + \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} [\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} - \varepsilon^{-1}] \nu(dz) + \int_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^{+\infty} [\varepsilon^{-1} - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}] \nu(dz) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \int_{-\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^{-1} (z + 1) \nu(dz) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \int_1^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} (z - 1) \nu(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Since g has finite limits at infinity, for any $\delta > 0$, we can choose $\eta > 1$ big enough so that $|g(z) - c^+| < \delta$ for $z \geq \eta$, and $|g(z) - c^-| < \delta$ for $z \leq -\eta$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \int_1^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} (z - 1) \nu(dz) &= \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \int_\eta^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} (z - 1) \nu(dz) \\ &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} (c^+ + \delta) \int_\eta^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \frac{x - 1}{x^{1 + \alpha}} dx = \frac{c^+ + \delta}{1 - \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \int_1^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} (z - 1) \nu(dz) &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \int_\eta^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} (z - 1) \nu(dz) \\ &\geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} (c^+ - \delta) \int_\eta^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \frac{z - 1}{z^{1 + \alpha}} dz = \frac{c^+ - \delta}{1 - \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The choice of δ being arbitrary, we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \int_1^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} (z-1) \nu(dz) = \frac{c^+}{1-\alpha}.$$

If ε is small enough, then we can upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^{+\infty} [\varepsilon^{-1} - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}] \nu(dz) &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\varepsilon^{-1} - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1})(c^+ + \delta) \nu([\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, +\infty)) \\ &= \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \frac{c^+ + \delta}{\alpha} = \frac{c^+ + \delta}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^{+\infty} [\varepsilon^{-1} - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}] \nu(dz) &\geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\varepsilon^{-1} - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1})(c^+ - \delta) \nu([\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, +\infty)) \\ &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \frac{c^+ - \delta}{\alpha} = \frac{c^+ - \delta}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, this leads to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \int_{-\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^{-1} (z-1) \nu(dz) = -\frac{c^-}{1-\alpha},$$

and,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} [\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} - \varepsilon^{-1}] \nu(dz) = -\frac{c^-}{\alpha}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} b^\varepsilon = \frac{c^+ - c^-}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}.$$

Since, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^*} h(z) \nu^*(dz) = \frac{c^+ - c^-}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}$, the drift coefficient of the limiting process equals zero.

The proof of (41) and (42) are identical to the one done in (i).

(v) Take $1 < \alpha < 2$ and assume that $b = 0$.

After a change of variables, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to

$$b^\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \left(h(y) - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} h(y \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \right) \frac{g(y \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})}{|y|^{1+\alpha}} dy.$$

The proof of (41) and (42) are identical to the one done in (i).

□

6.2 Proofs of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2

In this section, we suppose that $\beta > 1 + p_\alpha(\gamma) - \theta$, where θ is the exponent of the rate of convergence given in Proposition 6.3 and $p_\alpha(\gamma)$ is given in the statement of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2. Recall that $(V_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ is a solution of (SKE).

6.2.1 Moment estimates of the velocity process

As in Section 4, we will show that there exists a constant $C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0}$ such that

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0, b} t^{p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa)}, \quad (44)$$

where $p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa)$ has to be detailed.

Proposition 6.4. *Pick $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Assume $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ and (H_{sgn}) . For any γ, β , the explosion time τ_∞ is a.s. infinite and for all $\kappa \in [0, \alpha)$, there exists $C_{\kappa, t_0, b}$ such that, we have*

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0, b} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}, \text{ or equivalently } p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa) = \frac{\kappa}{\alpha}.$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 4.2. The term $\int_{t_0}^t b f'_n(V_s) ds$ is bounded by $|b|t$ since $\|f'_n\|_\infty \leq 1$. Moreover, since ν satisfies $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ with $\alpha < 1$, the process $L_t^+ := \sum_{s \leq t} |\Delta L_s|$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1 c) in [DS15]. Thus, for all $\kappa \in [0, \alpha)$, for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$\mathbb{E} [|L_t^+|^\kappa] \leq C_{t_0, \kappa} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}.$$

The estimates for V follows. \square

Proposition 6.5. *Assume either (H_2^{ν, α_0}) or $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. For any $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ and any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ the explosion time τ_∞ is a.s. infinite and for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, there exists $C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0}$ and C_{γ, t_0} such that under $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$, we have*

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad \mathbb{E} [|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} + C_{\gamma, t_0} |b|^\kappa t^\kappa. \quad (45)$$

Or equivalently $p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa) = \frac{\kappa}{\alpha}$ if $b = 0$, and $p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa) = \kappa$, else.
And under (H_2^{ν, α_0}) , there exists $C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0, b}$ such that we have

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad \mathbb{E} [|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\gamma, \kappa, \beta, t_0, b} t^\kappa.$$

Proof. We explain the differences with respect to the proof of Proposition 4.3. Under each hypothesis on the Lévy measure ν , the Lévy process has a first finite moment. We write L_t as the sum $bt + \widehat{L}_t$, where \widehat{L} is the Lévy process without the drift part.

We get,

$$\mathbb{E} [|V_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-}|] \leq C_{t_0} |b|t + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \widehat{L}_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-} \right| \right] + K \int_{t_0}^t s^{-\beta} \mathbb{E} [|V_{s \wedge \tau_r}|]^\gamma ds.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 (a) p. 3861 in [DS15] can be adapted to estimate the moment of the Lévy process stopped at the stopping time τ_r , given by (3).

- (i) If the Lévy measure ν satisfies $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ with $1 < \alpha < 2$, then it satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1 (a) and (c) in [DS15]. Thus, for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, there exists $C_{t_0, \kappa}$ such that, for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \widehat{L}_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-} \right|^\kappa \right] \leq C_{t_0, \kappa} t,$$

and,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \widehat{L}_t \right|^\kappa \right] \leq C_{t_0, \kappa} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}.$$

- (ii) If the Lévy measure ν satisfies (H_2^{ν, α_0}) , then it satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1 (a) in [DS15]. Thus, for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, there exists $C_{t_0, \kappa}$ such that, for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \widehat{L}_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-} \right|^\kappa \right] \leq C_{t_0, \kappa} t.$$

Applying the Grönwall-type lemma again (see Lemma A.1) and Fatou's lemma, for $\beta \neq 1$, we end up with

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad \mathbb{E} [|V_{(t \wedge \tau_r)-}|] \leq C_\gamma \left[C_{t_0, b} t + \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta} K (t^{1-\beta} - t_0^{1-\beta}) \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \right].$$

The case $\beta = 1$ can be done in a similar manner.

We conclude that the explosion time of V is a.s. infinite. To refine the estimates under the hypothesis $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$, we apply again the Grönwall-type lemma to

$$\mathbb{E} [|V_t|] \leq C_{t_0} |b|t + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \widehat{L}_t \right| \right] + K \int_{t_0}^t s^{-\beta} \mathbb{E} [|V_s|]^\gamma ds.$$

This proves (45) since $\frac{\gamma-1}{\alpha} + 1 - \beta \leq 0$. \square

Proposition 6.6. *Assume that for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$, $vF(v) \geq 0$. Pick $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. For each of the following cases, the explosion time τ_∞ is a.s. infinite.*

- (i) *Assume that $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ holds with a bounded function g and $\alpha \in [1, 2)$, there exists C_{κ, t_0} such that*

$$\text{for } \kappa \in (0, \alpha), \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad \mathbb{E} [|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}, \quad \text{or equivalently } p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa) = \frac{\kappa}{\alpha}. \quad (46)$$

(ii) Assume that $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ holds with $\alpha \in [1, 2)$. Then, for $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ (resp. $\kappa \in [0, 1)$, if $\alpha = 1$), there exists C_{κ, t_0} such that

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^\kappa, \text{ or equivalently } p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa) = \kappa; \quad (47)$$

for $\kappa \in (1, \alpha)$, $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ and $b = 0$, there exists C_{κ, t_0} such that

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^{\frac{3\kappa}{2\alpha}}, \text{ or equivalently } p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa) = \frac{3\kappa}{2\alpha}; \quad (48)$$

for $\kappa \in (1, \alpha)$, there exists C_{κ, t_0} such that

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha} + \frac{\kappa}{2}}, \text{ or equivalently } p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa) = \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} + \frac{\kappa}{2}. \quad (49)$$

(iii) Assume (H_2^{ν, α_0}) . Then, for $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, there exists C_{κ, t_0} such that,

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^\kappa, \text{ or equivalently } p(\gamma, \kappa) = \kappa; \quad (50)$$

for $\kappa \in [0, \alpha_0]$, there exists C_{κ, t_0} such that

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\kappa}{2}}, \text{ or equivalently } p(\gamma, \kappa) = \frac{\kappa}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\kappa}{2}. \quad (51)$$

Proof. We highlight only the differences with respect to the proof of Proposition 4.5. In the following, we assume that $\alpha \neq 1$, the proof is similar for $\alpha = 1$.

STEP A. Assume that $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ holds with a bounded function g .

STEP A1. Pick $\kappa \in [0, 1]$. We adapt the estimates of the Itô's formula's terms.

There is an additional term in (9), given, for $t \geq t_0$, by

$$\int_{t_0}^t \mathbb{1}_{\{s \leq \tau_r\}} f'(V_s) b \, ds.$$

If g is a bounded function, the other terms of (9) can be estimated in the same way, and (4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(V_{t_0})] + t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha} + 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}} \kappa |b| + t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} \sup_{\mathbb{R}} |g| \times \\ &\quad \left(\kappa \frac{a_+ - a_-}{\alpha - 1} + \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha} + \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - \kappa} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa (3 - \kappa) \frac{a_+ + a_-}{2 - \alpha} \right) \leq C_{\kappa, t_0, b} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

This gives the proof of (46) for $\kappa \in [0, 1]$.

STEP A2. Pick $\kappa \in (1, \alpha)$. We estimate R , given by (11) in another way.

The inequality (21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(V_{t \wedge \tau_r})] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(V_{t_0})] + t \left(C_\kappa \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - \kappa} \xi^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha} - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa (3 - \kappa) \eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2} - 1} \frac{a_+ + a_-}{2 - \alpha} \xi^{\frac{2}{\alpha} - 1} \right) \\ &\quad + \kappa \left(\frac{a_+ - a_-}{\alpha - 1} \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} + |b| \right) \int_{t_0}^t \mathbb{E}[|V_s|^{\kappa - 1}] \, ds + C_\kappa \frac{a_+ + a_-}{\alpha - \frac{\kappa}{2}} \xi^{\frac{\kappa}{2\alpha} - 1} \int_{t_0}^t \mathbb{E}[|V_s|^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}] \, ds. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

The inequality (46) follows as in the proof of Proposition 4.5.

STEP B. When working under (H_2^{ν, α_0}) , we will pick $\kappa \leq \alpha_0$ during the proof. Assume that either $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ holds with an unbounded function g or (H_2^{ν, α_0}) holds, then we are not able to estimate the following terms

$$\int_{|z| > \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} z \nu(dz), \quad \int_{0 < |z| < \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} |z|^\kappa \nu(dz), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{|z| \geq \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} |z|^\kappa \nu(dz).$$

Hence, we apply the same proof scheme with small and big jumps sliced at 1.

STEP B1. This leads to a similar bound as in (4):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(V_{t \wedge \tau_r})] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(V_{t_0})] + \int_{t_0}^t |b| \mathbb{E}[|f'(V_{s \wedge \tau_r})|] \, ds + \mathbb{E}[t \wedge \tau_r] \times \\ &\quad \left(\eta^{\kappa/2} \nu(|z| \geq 1) + \int_{|z| \geq 1} |z|^\kappa \nu(dz) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa (3 - \kappa) \eta^{\frac{\kappa}{2} - 1} \int_{0 < |z| < 1} z^2 \nu(dz) \right) \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t. \end{aligned}$$

By Jensen's inequality, we can deduce (47) and (50).

STEP B2. Pick $\kappa \in (1, \alpha)$. We estimate R , given by (11) in another way.

By classical Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{|z| \geq 1} |f(V_s + z) - f(V_s)| \nu(dz) \leq \int_{|z| \geq 1} |2zV_s + z^2|^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \nu(dz) \leq C(1 + |V_s|^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}) \int_{|z| \geq 1} |z|^\kappa \nu(dz) \quad (54)$$

The last integral is finite. Gathering (16), (54) and then using (47), (50) or Proposition 6.5,

$$\mathbb{E}[|V_{t \wedge \tau_r}|^\kappa] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(V_{t \wedge \tau_r})] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t + C \int_{t_0}^t \mathbb{E}[|V_s|^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}] ds + \int_{t_0}^t |b| \mathbb{E}[|V_s|^{\kappa-1}] ds.$$

Taking $r \rightarrow +\infty$, we can conclude that $p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa) = 1 + p_\alpha(\gamma, \kappa/2)$.

STEP B3. We refine the estimates. Fix $\kappa \in [0, \alpha)$. There exists ε_1 such that, $\kappa \leq \alpha - \varepsilon$ and $\alpha - \varepsilon > 1$.

Hence, we can write

$$\mathbb{E}[|V_t|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^{1+p_\alpha(\gamma, (\alpha-\varepsilon)/2)}.$$

Using Jensen's inequality, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[|V_t|^\kappa] \leq C_{\kappa, t_0} t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha-\varepsilon}(1+p_\alpha(\gamma, (\alpha-\varepsilon)/2))},$$

and it suffices to let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to conclude. This concludes the proof of (48) and (49). The last step is identical under $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ and can be done with α_0 instead of $\alpha - \varepsilon$, under (H_2^{ν, α_0}) . This concludes the proof of (51). \square

6.2.2 Proofs of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2

We are now in position to give the proofs of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2. Assume that either $(H_1^{\nu, \alpha})$ or (H_2^{ν, α_0}) is satisfied.

As in the α -stable case (see Section 5), it suffices to prove the convergence of the rescaled velocity process $(V_t^{(\varepsilon)})_{t>0} := (v_\varepsilon V_{t/\varepsilon})_{t>0}$.

Thanks to Proposition 6.3, there exists $\theta \in \{1, \frac{1}{\alpha}\}$ such that $L^{(\varepsilon)} := (\varepsilon^\theta L_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ converges in distribution. For sake of simplicity, we omit the dependencies of p with respect to α and α_0 . Assume that $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\beta > 1 + p(\gamma) - \theta$.

We can write, for $T \geq \varepsilon t_0$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} |V_t^{(\varepsilon)} - L_t^{(\varepsilon)}| \leq v_\varepsilon (v_0 - L_{t_0}) + v_\varepsilon^{1-\gamma} \varepsilon^{\beta-1} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^T K |V_u^{(\varepsilon)}|^\gamma u^{-\beta} du.$$

Using the moment estimates on V (see Section 6.2.1), this leads, with $\beta \neq p(\gamma) + 1$, to

$$v_\varepsilon^{1-\gamma} \varepsilon^{\beta-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\varepsilon t_0}^T K |V_u^{(\varepsilon)}|^\gamma u^{-\beta} du \right] \leq C \left(v_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\beta-1-p(\gamma)} T^{p(\gamma)-\beta+1} - t_0^{p(\gamma)-\beta+1} v_\varepsilon \right).$$

Hence, setting $q := \min(\beta - 1 + \theta - p(\gamma), \theta)$, which is a positive number since $\beta > 1 + p(\gamma) - \theta$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} |V_t^{(\varepsilon)} - L_t^{(\varepsilon)}| \right] \underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{=} O(\varepsilon^q).$$

The case $\beta = 1 + p(\gamma)$ can be treated similarly. This concludes both of the proofs.

6.3 Lévy driving process with a Brownian component

We extend the results given in Theorems 2.2, 2.4, 6.1 and 6.2 to a driving process having a Brownian component. To this end, we decompose the Lévy noise as the sum of a Brownian part $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and a Lévy part without a Brownian component $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

Remark 6.7. *The reasoning is the same with a Lévy process \widehat{L} instead of the Brownian driving process. Indeed, let \widehat{v}_ε be the rate such that $(\widehat{v}_\varepsilon \widehat{L}_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ converges. The conclusion follows, provided that $\widehat{v}_\varepsilon^{-1} v_\varepsilon$ tends to 0.*

Pick $\rho \geq 0$. We consider the following one-dimensional stochastic kinetic model, for $t \geq t_0 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} dV_t^{(2)} &= dL_t + dB_t - t^{-\beta} \rho \operatorname{sgn}(V_t^{(2)}) \left| V_t^{(2)} \right|^\gamma dt, \quad V_{t_0}^{(2)} = v_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{and} \quad dX_t^{(2)} &= V_t^{(2)} dt, \quad X_{t_0}^{(2)} = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{SKE2}$$

We compare this solution with the solution of the equation driven by a Lévy process L without a Brownian component. From now on, for sake of convenience, the solutions of (SKE) with $F(v) = \rho \operatorname{sgn}(v) |v|^\gamma$, will be denoted by $(V_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(1)})_{t \geq t_0}$ instead of $(V_t, X_t)_{t \geq t_0}$.

The asymptotic behavior of the latter SDE is given by Theorems 2.2, 2.4, 6.1 and 6.2. We will show that the Brownian part has no contribution.

Let us first point out some results about existence up to explosion of (SKE2).

Proposition 6.8.

- (i) When $\gamma \geq 1$, (SKE2) admits a unique solution up to explosion.
- (ii) Pick $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. If (SKE2) is driven by the sum of a Brownian motion and an α -stable process, then, if $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, there exists a solution of (SKE2).

Proof.

- (i) Since the drift coefficient is locally Lipschitz, by a standard localization argument, using Theorem 3.1 and Remark 2 p. 338-339 in [Kun04], there is a unique solution defined up to explosion.
- (ii) Since the drift coefficient is a continuous function, using a standard localization argument, we can apply Theorem 3.1 p. 866 in [Kur07] to conclude. □

Assume in the following that (SKE2) admits a unique solution up to explosion.

Theorem 6.9. Consider $\gamma \in [0, \alpha)$ and $\beta \geq 0$. Let $(V_t^{(2)}, X_t^{(2)})_{t \geq t_0}$ be a solution to (SKE2). The Lévy noise is supposed to be the sum of a Brownian noise $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and a Lévy process $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ without Brownian component. Suppose also that (SKE) satisfies the conditions of either Theorems 2.2, 2.4, 6.1 or 6.2. Then $(V^{(2)}, X^{(2)})$ has the same asymptotic behavior as $(V^{(1)}, X^{(1)})$, which is given in Theorems 6.1 and 6.2.

Proof. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let us introduce the following rescaled processes:

$$(V_t^{(i, \varepsilon)})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0} := (v_\varepsilon V_{t/\varepsilon}^{(i)})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0}, \quad (X_t^{(i, \varepsilon)})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0} := (\varepsilon v_\varepsilon X_{t/\varepsilon}^{(i)})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0}, \quad \text{and} \quad (B_t^{(\varepsilon)})_{t \geq 0} := (\sqrt{\varepsilon} B_{t/\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}.$$

We write, for all $t \geq \varepsilon t_0$,

$$V_t^{(1, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(2, \varepsilon)} = v_\varepsilon B_{t_0} - v_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_t^{(\varepsilon)} - v_\varepsilon^{1-\gamma} \varepsilon^{\beta-1} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t v_\varepsilon^\gamma \left(F \left(\frac{V_u^{(1, \varepsilon)}}{v_\varepsilon^\gamma} \right) - F \left(\frac{V_u^{(2, \varepsilon)}}{v_\varepsilon^\gamma} \right) \right) u^{-\beta} du.$$

Hence, by Itô's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(V_t^{(1, \varepsilon)} - V_t^{(2, \varepsilon)} \right)^2 &= v_\varepsilon^2 B_{t_0}^2 - 2 \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t \left(V_s^{(1, \varepsilon)} - V_s^{(2, \varepsilon)} \right) v_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} dB_s^{(\varepsilon)} + v_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{-1} (t - \varepsilon t_0) \\ &\quad - 2 v_\varepsilon^{1-\gamma} \varepsilon^{\beta-1} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t \left(V_s^{(1, \varepsilon)} - V_s^{(2, \varepsilon)} \right) v_\varepsilon^\gamma \left(F \left(\frac{V_u^{(1, \varepsilon)}}{v_\varepsilon^\gamma} \right) - F \left(\frac{V_u^{(2, \varepsilon)}}{v_\varepsilon^\gamma} \right) \right) u^{-\beta} du. \end{aligned}$$

The last term on the right-hand side of the upper equality is positive since F is an increasing function. Moreover, we can apply BDG inequalities (see Theorem 73 p. 222 in [Pro05]) to the local martingale, defined by

$$M_t := -2 \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^t \left(V_s^{(1, \varepsilon)} - V_s^{(2, \varepsilon)} \right) v_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} dB_s^{(\varepsilon)}.$$

This yields, for each $T > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} M_t^2 \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[4 v_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^T \left(V_s^{(1, \varepsilon)} - V_s^{(2, \varepsilon)} \right)^2 ds \right].$$

For the sake of clarity, given x and y , we introduce $x \lesssim y$ to mean that there exists a positive constant C such that $x \leq Cy$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} \left(V_t^{(1,\varepsilon)} - V_t^{(2,\varepsilon)} \right)^4 \right] &\lesssim v_\varepsilon^4 \mathbb{E} [B_{t_0}^4] + v_\varepsilon^4 \varepsilon^{-2} T^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} M_t^2 \right] \\ &\lesssim v_\varepsilon^4 \mathbb{E} [B_{t_0}^4] + v_\varepsilon^4 \varepsilon^{-2} T^2 + 4v_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^T \mathbb{E} \left[\left(V_s^{(1,\varepsilon)} - V_s^{(2,\varepsilon)} \right)^2 \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using that for all real a , $a^2 \leq a^4 + 1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} \left(V_t^{(1,\varepsilon)} - V_t^{(2,\varepsilon)} \right)^4 \right] \\ \lesssim v_\varepsilon^4 \mathbb{E} [B_{t_0}^4] + v_\varepsilon^4 \varepsilon^{-2} T^2 + 4v_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{-1} T + 4v_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\varepsilon t_0}^T \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq s} \left(V_t^{(1,\varepsilon)} - V_t^{(2,\varepsilon)} \right)^4 \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Grönwall's lemma, up to stopping times, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} \left(V_t^{(1,\varepsilon)} - V_t^{(2,\varepsilon)} \right)^4 \right] \lesssim (v_\varepsilon^4 \mathbb{E} [B_{t_0}^4] + v_\varepsilon^4 \varepsilon^{-2} T^2 + 4v_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{-1} T) \exp(4v_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{-1} (T - \varepsilon t_0)).$$

We deduce also that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} \left(X_t^{(1,\varepsilon)} - X_t^{(2,\varepsilon)} \right)^4 \right] &\leq T^4 \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\varepsilon t_0 \leq t \leq T} \left(V_t^{(1,\varepsilon)} - V_t^{(2,\varepsilon)} \right)^4 \right] \\ &\lesssim T^4 (v_\varepsilon^4 \mathbb{E} [B_{t_0}^4] + v_\varepsilon^4 \varepsilon^{-2} T^2 + 4v_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{-1} T) \exp(4v_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{-1} (T - \varepsilon t_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(V_t^{(1,\varepsilon)} - V_t^{(2,\varepsilon)}, X_t^{(1,\varepsilon)} - X_t^{(2,\varepsilon)})_{t \geq \varepsilon t_0}$ converges uniformly towards 0 in probability on compacts, as ε goes to zero.

The conclusion follows from Theorems 6.1, 6.2, Theorem 3.1 p. 27 in [Bil99] and Lemma A.3. \square

A Some technical results

Let us state first a Grönwall-type lemma which has been used to get moment estimates. The proof can be found in [GL21].

Lemma A.1 (Grönwall-type lemma). *Fix $r \in [0, 1)$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that g is a non-negative real-valued function, b is a positive function and a is a differentiable real-valued function. Moreover, suppose that the function bg^r is a continuous function.*

Assume that

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad g(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t b(s)g(s)^r ds. \quad (55)$$

Then, setting $C_r := 2^{\frac{1}{1-r}}$,

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad g(t) \leq C_r \left[a(t) + \left((1-r) \int_{t_0}^t b(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{1-r}} \right].$$

Remark A.2. *Call $H(t)$ the right-hand side of (55). If g is not continuous, note that the function H is still continuous and satisfies (55) (since b is positive and $g \leq H$). So, one can apply the lemma to H and thereafter use the inequality $g \leq H$.*

We state now a technical lemma about the convergence in the spaces \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} . We recall that the space of continuous functions \mathcal{C} is endowed with the uniform topology

$$d_u : f, g \in \mathcal{C}((0, +\infty)) \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \min \left(1, \sup_{\left[\frac{1}{n}, n \right]} |f - g| \right).$$

Set $\Lambda := \{\lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, \text{continuous and increasing function such that } \lambda(0) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda(t) = +\infty\}$ and

$$k_n(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq n, \\ n+1-t & \text{if } n < t < n+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n+1 \leq t. \end{cases}$$

The space of càdlàg functions \mathcal{D} is endowed with the Skorokhod topology d_s defined for $(f, g) \in \mathcal{D}((0, +\infty))^2$ by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \min \left(1, \inf \left\{ a \text{ s.t. } \exists \lambda \in \Lambda, \sup_{s \neq t} \left| \log \frac{\lambda(t) - \lambda(s)}{t - s} \right| \leq a, \sup_{t \geq \frac{1}{n}} |k_n(t) (f \circ \lambda(t) - g(t))| \leq a \right\} \right).$$

Lemma A.3.

(i) *The uniform distance is finer than the Skorokhod one i.e. $d_s \leq d_u$.*

(ii) *Let $(f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \geq 0}, (h_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \geq 0}$ be two sequences of functions of \mathcal{D} . If for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$\sup_{t \in [\frac{1}{n}, n]} |f_\varepsilon(t) - h_\varepsilon(t)| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

then $d(f_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$, where $d \in \{d_u, d_s\}$.

Proof. Let f, g be two càdlàg functions. The first point is true using the definition of the metrics d_s and d_u and noting that

$$\inf \left\{ a \text{ s.t. } \exists \lambda \in \Lambda, \sup_{s \neq t} \left| \log \frac{\lambda(t) - \lambda(s)}{t - s} \right| \leq a, \sup_{t \geq \frac{1}{n}} |k_n(t) (f(\lambda(t)) - g(t))| \leq a \right\} \leq \sup_{[\frac{1}{n+1}, n+1]} |f - g|.$$

Let us now prove the second part. Assume that for all $n \geq 1$, $\sup_{t \in [\frac{1}{n}, n]} |f_\varepsilon - h_\varepsilon| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Fix $\eta > 0$ and choose $N > 0$ such that $\sum_{n=N+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \leq \frac{\eta}{2}$. Then,

$$d_s(f_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon) \leq d_u(f_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\eta}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2^n} \sup_{[\frac{1}{n}, n]} |f_\varepsilon - h_\varepsilon|.$$

It follows, by setting $\eta' := \frac{\eta}{2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \right)^{-1}$, that

$$\mathbb{P}(d(f_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon) > \eta) \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{[\frac{1}{n}, n]} |f_\varepsilon - h_\varepsilon| > \eta' \right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

□

For the sake of completeness, we state and improve the result of Problem 4.12 p. 64 in [KS98], on a general metric space.

Lemma A.4. *Let S be a Polish metric space endowed with a Borel σ -field \mathcal{S} . Suppose that $(P_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of probability measures on (S, \mathcal{S}) which converges weakly to a probability measure P . Suppose, in addition, that the sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of real-valued continuous functions on S is uniformly bounded and converges to a continuous function f , the convergence being uniform on compact subsets of S . Then, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_S f_n(\omega) dP_n(\omega) = \int_S f(\omega) dP(\omega).$$

Proof. Notice that, since $(P_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly thus, it is tight. So, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset K of S such that for any $n \geq 1$, $P_n(K) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$.

Let us decompose

$$\int_S f_n dP_n - \int_S f dP = A + B + C + D,$$

where

$$A := \int_{S \setminus K} f_n dP_n, \quad B := \int_K (f_n - f) dP_n, \quad C := \int_{S \setminus K} f dP_n, \quad \text{and} \quad D := \int_S f dP_n - \int_S f dP.$$

Let M be a bound for the sequence (f_n) . Thus, by the choice of K ,

$$|A| \leq MP_n(S \setminus K) \leq M\varepsilon.$$

The third integral can be treated analogously. Besides, since the sequence (f_n) converges uniformly on K to f , there exists n_ε such that for all $n \geq n_\varepsilon$, $\sup_K |f_n - f| \leq \varepsilon$. Thereby, we get

$$|B| \leq \varepsilon P_n(K).$$

The last integral is smaller than ε for n large enough, since P_n converges weakly to P , and this concludes the proof. \square

Remark A.5. *Lemma A.4 could be applied with $S = \mathcal{C}([0, +\infty))$ or $\mathcal{D}([0, +\infty))$. However, the result for $S = \mathcal{C}([0, +\infty))$ is already contained in Problem 4.12 p. 64 in [KS98].*

Lemma A.6. *Let $(Y_t^y)_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution of a time-homogeneous SDE driven by an α -stable process,*

$$\begin{cases} dY_t = dL_t + b(Y_t) dt \\ Y_0 = y \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

The measurable function b is supposed to be such that (56) has a pathwise unique strong solution. Then $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Markov process.

Namely, for any $d \geq 1$, $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_d$, $u \geq 0$ and any bounded measurable function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\phi(Y_{t_1+u}^y, \dots, Y_{t_d+u}^y) \middle| \mathcal{F}_u \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\phi(Y_{t_1}^z, \dots, Y_{t_d}^z) \right]_{z=Y_u^y}. \quad (57)$$

Proof. We give a proof for $d = 2$, the general case being similar. Call $(Y_t^{s,y})$ the solution $dY_t = dL_t + b(Y_t) dt$, satisfying $Y_s = y$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded measurable function. Pick $u \geq 0$. Consider, for $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \leq s \leq t$ the function

$$G(y, s, t, u) := (Y_s^{u,y}, Y_t^{u,y}) = \left(y + L_s - L_u + \int_u^s b(Y_h) dh, y + L_t - L_u + \int_u^t b(Y_h) dh \right).$$

Pick $0 \leq s \leq t$. Using pathwise uniqueness, $(Y_{s+u}^y, Y_{t+u}^y) = G(Y_u^y, s+u, t+u, u)$. Moreover, by time-homogeneity of the SDE, $(Y_{s+u}^{u,y})_{s \geq 0}$ and $(Y_s^y)_{s \geq 0}$ have the same distribution. As a consequence, $G(y, s+u, t+u, u) = G(y, s, t, 0)$. Besides, by Markov property of Lévy processes, the function G is independent of \mathcal{F}_u . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\phi(Y_{s+u}^y, Y_{t+u}^y) \middle| \mathcal{F}_u \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\phi \circ G(Y_u^y, s+u, t+u, u) \middle| \mathcal{F}_u \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\phi \circ G(z, s, t, 0) \middle| \mathcal{F}_u \right]_{z=Y_u^y} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\phi \circ G(z, s, t, 0) \right]_{z=Y_u^y} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\phi(Y_s^z, Y_t^z) \right]_{z=Y_u^y} \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Nicolas Fournier and Thomas Cavallazzi for helpful discussions about moment estimates. We would like to thank Vlad Bally for his suggestion which gives rise to the Section 6. We are grateful to Thomas Cavallazzi for his careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [App09] David Applebaum. *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 2009.
- [AS09] David Applebaum and Michailina Siakalli. Asymptotic Stability of Stochastic Differential Equations Driven by Lévy Noise. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 46(4):1116–1129, December 2009.

- [AW09] John Appleby and Huizhong Wu. Solutions of Stochastic Differential Equations obeying the Law of the Iterated Logarithm, with applications to financial markets. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 14:912–959, 2009.
- [Bas03] Richard F. Bass. Stochastic differential equations driven by symmetric stable processes. In *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVI*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 302–313. Springer, 2003.
- [Bil99] Patrick Billingsley. *Convergence of Probability Measures*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Probability and Statistics Section. Wiley, 2nd ed edition, 1999.
- [CDRM20] Paul-Eric Chaudru De Raynal and Stéphane Menozzi. On Multidimensional stable-driven Stochastic Differential Equations with Besov drift, December 2020.
- [CSZ18] Zhen-Qing Chen, Renming Song, and Xicheng Zhang. Stochastic flows for Lévy processes with Hölder drifts. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 34, December 2018.
- [CZZ17] Zhen-Qing Chen, Xicheng Zhang, and Guohuan Zhao. Well-posedness of supercritical SDE driven by Lévy processes with irregular drifts, September 2017.
- [Dit99] Peter D. Ditlevsen. Observation of α -stable noise induced millennial climate changes from an ice-core record. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26:1441–1444, 1999.
- [Don18] Yuchao Dong. Jump stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitz and superlinearly growing coefficients. *Stochastics*, 90(5):782–806, July 2018.
- [DS15] Chang-Song Deng and René L. Schilling. On shift Harnack inequalities for subordinate semi-groups and moment estimates for Lévy processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 125(10):3851–3878, October 2015.
- [FT21] Nicolas Fournier and Camille Tardif. One dimensional critical Kinetic Fokker-Planck equations, Bessel and stable processes. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 381, January 2021.
- [GL21] Mihai Gradinaru and Emeline Luirard. Asymptotic behavior for a time-inhomogeneous Kolmogorov type diffusion, July 2021.
- [GO13] Mihai Gradinaru and Yoann Offret. Existence and asymptotic behaviour of some time-inhomogeneous diffusions. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 49(1):182–207, February 2013.
- [IW81] Nobuyuki Ikeda and Shinzo Watanabe. *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*. North-Holland Mathematical Library 24. Elsevier, Academic Press, 1981.
- [JS03] Jean Jacod and Albert N. Shiryaev. *The General Theory of Stochastic Processes, Semimartingales and Stochastic Integrals*. Grundlehren Der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003.
- [KS98] Ioannis Karatzas and Steven Shreve. *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [Küh17] Franziska Kühn. Existence and estimates of moments for Lévy-type processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 127(3):1018–1041, March 2017.
- [Kul09] Alexey M. Kulik. Exponential ergodicity of the solutions to SDE’s with a jump noise. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 119(2):602–632, February 2009.
- [Kun04] Hiroshi Kunita. Stochastic Differential Equations Based on Lévy Processes and Stochastic Flows of Diffeomorphisms. In *Real and Stochastic Analysis: New Perspectives*, Trends in Mathematics, pages 305–373. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [Kur07] V. P. Kurenok. Stochastic Equations with Time-Dependent Drift Driven by Levy Processes. *J Theor Probab*, 20(4):859–869, December 2007.
- [LP06] Harald Luschgy and Gilles Pagès. Moment estimates for Lévy Processes. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 13, August 2006.

- [Pil13] Andrey Pilipenko. On existence and properties of strong solutions of one-dimensional stochastic equations with an additive noise. *Theory of Stochastic Processes*, 18(2), June 2013.
- [Pro05] Philip E. Protter. *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*. Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, second edition, 2005.
- [PSXZ12] Enrico Priola, Armen Shirikyan, Lihu Xu, and Jerzy Zabczyk. Exponential ergodicity and regularity for equations with Lévy noise. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 122(1):106–133, January 2012.
- [Rek20] Jana Reker. Short-time behavior of solutions to Lévy-driven SDEs, August 2020.
- [RT11] Mathieu Rosenbaum and Peter Tankov. Asymptotic results for time-changed Lévy processes sampled at hitting times. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 121(7):1607–1632, July 2011.
- [Sat99] Ken-iti Sato. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Number 68 in Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K. ; New York, 1999.
- [Sit05] Rong Situ. *Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps and Applications: Mathematical and Analytical Techniques with Applications to Engineering*. Mathematical and Analytical Techniques with Applications to Engineering. Springer US, 2005.
- [ST94] Gennady Samorodnitsky and M. S. Taqqu. *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes: Stochastic Models with Infinite Variance*. CRC Press, June 1994.
- [Zha13] Xicheng Zhang. Stochastic differential equations with sobolev drifts and driven by α -stable processes. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 49(4):1057–1079, November 2013.