

Trace metal elements vaporization and phosphorus recovery during sewage sludge thermochemical treatment – A review

Basile Galey, Mathieu Gautier, Boram Kim, Denise Blanc, Vincent Chatain, Gaëlle Ducom, N. Dumont, Rémy Gourdon

▶ To cite this version:

Basile Galey, Mathieu Gautier, Boram Kim, Denise Blanc, Vincent Chatain, et al.. Trace metal elements vaporization and phosphorus recovery during sewage sludge thermochemical treatment – A review. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2022, 424, pp.127360. 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127360. hal-03475927

HAL Id: hal-03475927 https://hal.science/hal-03475927

Submitted on 16 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Trace metal elements vaporization and phosphorus recovery during sewage
2	sludge thermochemical treatment, a review
3	B. Galey, M. Gautier*, B. Kim, D. Blanc-Biscarat, V. Chatain, G. Ducom, N. Dumont, R. Gourdon
4	Univ Lyon, INSA Lyon, DEEP, EA7429, 69621 Villeurbanne, France
5	Corresponding author email: Mathieu.Gautier@insa-lyon.fr

6 Abstract

7 Phosphorus (P) plays essential roles in crops growth. Natural mineral sources of phosphate are non-8 renewable, overexploited and unevenly distributed worldwide, making P a strategic resource for 9 agricultural systems. The search for sustainable ways to secure P supply for fertilizer production has 10 therefore become a critical issue worldwide. Sewage sludge (SS) is an organic waste material 11 considered as a key alternative source of P. Switzerland and the European Union are is about to make it mandatory to recover P from SS or its treatment residues. Among the many technical options to 12 13 achieve this objective, SS thermochemical treatments spiked with Cl-donors appear as a promising 14 approach to recover P from SS and separate it from mineral pollutants such as trace metal elements 15 (TME). The purpose of Cl-donor additives is to fix P within the mineral residues, possibly in 16 bioavailable P species forms, while promoting TME vaporization by chlorination mechanisms. This 17 review paper compares the various thermochemical treatments investigated worldwide over the 18 past two decades. The influence of process conditions and Cl-donor nature is discussed. The 19 presented results show that, except for nickel and chromium, most TME can be significantly 20 vaporized during a high temperature treatment (over 900 °C) with Cl addition. In addition, the 21 fixation rate and solubility of P is increased when a Cl-donor such as MgCl₂ is added.

22 Key words

23 Phosphorus recovery, trace metal elements, Sewage sludge, Thermochemical treatment,

24 Vaporization

25 Contents

26	1. Introdu	uction	. 3
27	2. Sewag	e sludge and trace metal elements	. 4
28	2.1.	Sewage sludge use and disposal	. 4
29	2.2.	Trace metal elements speciation in sewage sludge	. 7
30	3. Sewag	e sludge thermochemical treatment without additives	. 9
31	3.1.	High-volatile TME – Cd and Pb	11
32	3.2.	Semi-volatile TME – As, Cu and Zn	11
33	3.3.	Low-volatile TME – Cr and Ni	12
34	4. Sewag	e sludge thermochemical treatment with various Cl-donors	12
35	4.1.	Chlorination mechanisms with various Cl-donors	12
36	4.2.	Magnesium chloride – MgCl ₂	13
37	4.3.	Calcium chloride – CaCl ₂	14
38	4.4.	Sodium chloride – NaCl	14
39	4.5.	Other Cl-based compounds: KCl, PVC, HCl gas, NH ₄ Cl	15
40	5. Trace r	netal elements removal	16
41	5.1.	Cadmium and lead	17
42	5.2.	Copper and zinc	18
43	5.3.	Chromium and nickel	21
44	5.4.	Arsenic	23
45	6. Phospł	norus recovery	24
46	7. Conclu	sion and research perspectives	28
47	Conflicts	of interest	30
48 49	Referenc	es	31

51 **1. Introduction**

52 Phosphorus (P) is a vital element for all life forms that cannot be substituted [1]. It is a component of 53 key biomolecules such as deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), ribonucleic acid (RNA), adenosine 54 triphosphate (ATP), etc. At the cellular level, phosphate bounds biosynthesis and hydrolysis are 55 universal mechanisms for energy exchanges in all living beings' metabolism [2]. At a more macroscopic level, P availability is often a limiting factor for plants growth in natural ecosystems [3]. 56 57 Agriculture therefore uses P as a key fertilizing element to stimulate crops development and increase 58 production yields. In natural ecosystems, P fluxes occur in a quasi-closed loop, with negligible runoffs 59 [4]. Anthropic pressures however induce dramatic alterations of P biogeochemical cycles through 60 unbalanced inflows and outflows massive intensification. In agriculture for example, crops are 61 exported from the agricultural sites to distant food processing units, which then further distribute 62 food products to consumption places all over the world. Herbicides, fungicides and insecticides 63 massive use also strongly affects phosphorus dynamics in agricultural soils [4-6]. Consequently, P-64 rich fertilizer continual application has become necessary, to compensate for its exportation in crops 65 and food production [1-3,5-11]. P has therefore become a strategic resource that needs to be 66 available in high amounts for industrial-scale fertilizer production [1,2,7,9,12–14].

67 P is the 11th most abundant element in the earth crust [9]. It is distributed around the world 68 mostly under mineral forms (aluminum/iron and calcium phosphate) contained in sedimentary rocks 69 [15]. Phosphate rocks (PR) deposits containing enough P to be economically exploitable (13-14wt%) 70 are however scarce and non-renewable resources [1]. Few prospects exist for new discoveries [15]. 71 Even if the scientific community is split about whether or not the reserve depletion will occur within 72 50 or 300 years, there is a general consensus on (i) the uneven distribution of PR deposits around the 73 world (around 70% of the world total reserves are in Morocco and Western Sahara only), (ii) the 74 decreasing quality of remaining PR resources and (iii) the increasing extraction processing and 75 shipping costs [1,2,9,16]. Finding alternatives to secure P for fertilizer production has therefore 76 become one of the objectives for sustainable development in the next decades [2,7,10,17–20].

The major option to slowdown PR deposits depletion is to reduce P wastage [2,14,17,21]. According to Daneshgar et al. [2], only about 16% of the mined P is actually consumed by humans in the current anthropogenic P cycle. Most of it is lost by leaching from cropland, improper animal waste disposal and food waste production [2]. Several studies proposed solutions to limit P leaking from the anthropogenic cycle [6,7,14,17,18,21–24], with highly positive environmental impacts by limiting eutrophication phenomenon [2,25,26].

83 The second option is to increase P recycling from human and animal waste materials [27–32]. 84 Among the waste materials of concern, sewage sludge (SS) contains the second strongest amount of 85 P, after bone meal [27,33,34]. It is a complex heterogeneous mixture of microorganisms, undigested 86 organics such as paper, plant residues, oils, fecal materials, inorganic materials and moisture [35,36]. 87 It has been estimated that the sludge produced by developed countries in the world exceeds 30 88 million tons per year (dry sludge), and this amount is still rising [36]. SS is a potential resource for 89 nutrients and energy [35]. The most common treatments currently applied are (i) direct land 90 spreading applications, (ii) production by composting of an organic soil conditioner, and (iii) 91 production of heat or other energy vectors by thermochemical or anaerobic biological processes [37– 92 39].

SS direct (or after composting) land spreading allows to recycle P and other nutrients [33].
 However, due to the presence of potentially toxic trace metal elements (TME), organic pollutants and
 possibly microbial pathogens this practice is strongly regulated [27,29,34]. Thermochemical

96 processes such as incineration, gasification and pyrolysis allow to recover energy from SS while 97 neutralizing microbiologic and organic pollutants through high temperature treatment [37–40]. 98 However, poorly volatile TME such as chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), zinc (Zn), etc. 99 concentrate in the solid residues, which may induce environmental limits or constraints to their use 100 or disposal [33]. In addition, high temperature thermochemical treatments can induce small amounts 101 of P and other nutrients vaporization, which are then lost from the solid residues [41,42]. Finding a 102 way to recover both nutrients and energy from SS is therefore a scientific and technical challenge 103 [8,29].

104 One of the solutions under investigation is to operate thermochemical treatments with 105 controlled additions of various additives [37,39,40], in the purpose of producing energy and 106 bioavailable P-rich solid residues that may be used in the formulation of fertilizers or soil 107 conditioners. Cl-based compounds such as MgCl₂, CaCl₂, polyvinyl chloride (PVC), NaCl and KCl are 108 the most frequently used additives [43–78]. Their role is to help fix and form bioavailable P species in 109 the solid residues (interaction with Mg, Ca, Na, K, etc.) while promoting TME vaporization through 110 the gas phase (chlorination mechanisms). The thermochemical treatments with Cl-donor can be 111 performed either in one [62,63,65] or two steps [45–47]. In the two-step processes, SS is first 112 thermochemically treated without additives for energy production and waste volume reduction (classic incineration, pyrolysis or gasification processes), and the resulting ashes are further 113 114 thermochemically post-treated at high temperature with Cl-based additives [38].

115 In 2026 in Switzerland, it will be mandatory to recover 50 to 80% of the P contained in SS before 116 final disposal. Germany, France and the EU may follow before 2030. Along with other P recovery or 117 removal technologies, SS thermochemical treatments with Cl-donor may therefore develop strongly 118 in the coming years. In general, these processes allow almost all P to remain within the solid residues. The primary scientific/industrial issue is therefore to enhance TME removal through the gas 119 phase. The impact of SS thermochemical treatments on TME vaporization properties with Cl-donors 120 121 [43–78] and without additives [79–94] have been extensively studied these past decades. However, 122 only small paragraphs in review papers have a special focus on both TME vaporization and P recovery 123 [8,34,94,95].

124 The objective of this synthesis article is therefore to provide an in-depth critical review aggregating 125 reported findings about TME vaporization rates improvement and bioavailable P fixation in solid 126 residues during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatments. A focus is first made on the TME removal 127 efficiency during classic SS thermochemical treatment without additives. Then, thermochemical processes with Cl-donors are extensively explored with a focus on the effects of the additive's 128 129 nature, processes and operating conditions on TME vaporization. It is important to note that, in this 130 review paper, only three thermochemical treatments are considered: incineration, pyrolysis and gasification. The Cl-donors influence on the bioavailability of P species is finally discussed. 131

132 2. Sewage sludge and trace metal elements

133 2.1. <u>Sewage sludge use and disposal</u>

SS is a waste material produced in high amount all over the world [36]. It is generated all along the wastewater treatment process, with various composition depending on the water origin and the treatment steps [33]. According to Rulkens et al. [36], SS is a complex heterogeneous mixture characterized by six groups of components:

138 - Water (content varying from 1-2 to more than 95%),

- Nontoxic organic carbon compounds (≈60% on a dry basis), mostly from biological origin,
- 140 Nitrogen (N) and phosphorus containing components,
- 141 Toxic inorganic and organic pollutants:
- 142 o TME such as Zn, lead (Pb), Cu, Cr, Ni, cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg), and arsenic (As)
 143 (contents from < 1 to > 1000 mg/kg),
- 144 145
- Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), dioxins, pesticides, linear-alkyl-sulfonates, nonyl-phenols, polybrominated fire retardants, etc.
- 146 Pathogens and other microbiological pollutants,
- 147 Inorganic compounds, such as silicates, aluminates, calcium and magnesium containing
 148 compounds.

149 Although SS is considered as a waste material, two components can technically and 150 economically be recycled from SS: nutrients (primarily N and P) and energy (directly linked to carbon 151 content) [35]. Depending on their origin and composition different recovery processes are therefore 152 possible: agricultural spreading or composting (nutrients recovery) or thermochemical treatment 153 (energy recovery). In 2019 in France, 30% of the SS were co-composted with green/organic waste, 154 40% directly spread on fields, 28% incinerated and 2% buried. The disposal pathways differ strongly 155 from country to country in the EU [78]. For example, in Germany, more than 55% of the produced 156 sludge are incinerated, while for the Netherlands and in Switzerland 100% are thermochemically 157 treated (incineration mostly) [38].

158 SS direct use as fertilizers or soil conditioners is highly regulated and therefore limited in EU due 159 to TME, pathogens, microbiological and organic pollutants presence in the raw material [38]. Table 1 160 presents the European, French, German and Swiss regulations concerning the maximal TME contents in recycled fertilizer products. Arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel and zinc 161 are the 8 TME concerned by these regulations. Furthermore, SS is produced all year long while 162 163 fertilizers are applied to fields only once or twice a year. Storage is therefore necessary and can be complicated due to fermentation processes [33]. To limit this issue, SS can be composted or co-164 165 composted with other organic waste materials, for further use in agricultural spreading and by nurserymen and municipalities. However, neither the TME nor all the pathogens, microbiological and 166 167 organic pollutants are eliminated by composting. Only a dilution effect can occur so that co-168 composting processes comply with the regulations.

			5 0 1				
тме	French regu Fertilizers	lation, 2020 Culture carrier	EU regulation, fertilizers, 2019	German regulation, fertilizers, 2017	Swiss regulation, fertilizers, 2019		
	mg/kg dry matter	mg/kg dry matter	mg/kg dry matter	mg/kg dry matter	mg/kg P	mg/kg dry matter*	
As	40	40	40	40	100	5	
Cd	1	1	3	1.5	25	1.25	
Cr VI	/	/	2	2	/	/	
Cr tot	120	150	/	/	1000	50	
Cu	300	200	600	900	3000	150	
Ni	50	50	100	80	500	25	
Pb	120	120	120	150	500	25	
Hg	1	1	1	1	2	0.1	
Zn	800	500	1500	5000	10000	500	

Table 1 – Regulations for TME threshold values in fertilizing products in EU, France, Switzerland and Germany

* Calculation for SS ashes containing 5wt% of P

169 As explained previously, an important part of SS is energetically recovered (55% of the produced 170 SS in Germany) [40]. Three thermochemical processes are suitable: incineration, gasification and pyrolysis [39]. In addition to energy recovery, they allow to neutralize microbiologic and organic pollutants through high temperature treatment. The most used is by far SS incineration [37]. Its goal is to burn the organic part of SS to produce energy and significantly reduce the waste volume [38]. In 2020 in Germany, about half of the thermally treated sludge was mono-incinerated and the other half co-incinerated with coal, in cement kilns or waste incineration plants. Pyrolysis and gasification treatments are for now limited and mostly tested at the bench or pilot scale [38].

177 Ashes produced after classic thermochemical processing are difficult to further reuse. Indeed, 178 most TME are concentrated along with P and other nutrients in the solid residues [33]. To recover P, 179 thermochemical post-treatments at high temperature (800 to 1200 °C) gathered scientists' interest during the last two decades [43-55,57,59,64,66,67,71-76]. They are based on various Cl-donors use 180 181 such as MgCl₂, CaCl₂, PVC, NaCl and KCl. Indeed, their addition help fix and form bioavailable P 182 species in the solid residues thanks to interaction with Mg, Ca, Na and K, while promoting TME 183 vaporization through the gas phase via chlorination mechanisms [45–47]. The main limitation of such 184 thermochemical post-treatments, is that the overall SS recovery process is carried out in two steps, 185 limiting the energy efficiency (energy input is required for the thermochemical post-treatment step). 186 To limit this issue, the thermochemical ash treatment step can be integrated into a waste incinerator, 187 as in the CT-Fluapur[®]-process [52]. The hot gases produced during incineration step can thus be 188 mixed with HCl from the incinerator's acid scrubber and used as both a heat and Cl sources for the 189 ashes post-treatment. However, according to Nowak et al. [52], the system complexity and the costly equipment required currently limit the process development. 190

Single step (or direct) SS thermochemical treatments are also suitable, with the same additives than for two-step processes [42,56,58,60–63,65,68–70,77,78]. In such processes, SS is directly treated with MgCl₂, CaCl₂, PVC, NaCl and/or KCl, either by incineration, pyrolysis or gasification, with the combined aim to recover energy and P. Despite advantages of single industrial installation, fewer studies focus on the single step SS thermochemical treatments in comparison with two-step processes.

197 Fig. 1 was built thanks to literature data [43–78]. It allows to have a broad view of the works 198 investigating the impact of SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment with Cl-donors on the TME 199 vaporization properties and/or P bioavailability. The figure (alluvial diagram) shows the number of 200 publications (for a total of 37) dealing with the following parameters: (i) the initial materiel form (SS, 201 SS ashes or synthetic SS), (ii) the Cl-donor used (MgCl₂, CaCl₂, (...) and/or FeCl₃), (iii) the 202 thermochemical treatment performed (incineration, pyrolysis or gasification), (iv) the TME 203 vaporization properties reported (As, Cu, (...) and/or Hg) and (v) whether or not the P bioavailability 204 is studied. It is important to note that multiple parameters can be studied in only one work.



205

Figure 1 – Alluvial diagram built thanks to literature data [43–78] and showing the number of publications (for a total of 37)
 dealing with the following parameters: (i) the initial materiel form, (ii) the Cl-donor used, (iii) the thermochemical treatment
 performed, (iv) the TME vaporization properties reported and (v) whether or not the P bioavailability is studied

209 Fig. 1 shows that, in 24 of the 37 publications selected, SS ashes are used, almost always 210 incinerated and preferentially with $MgCl_2$ or $CaCl_2$ as Cl-donor (in 16 and 15 works respectively). 211 Direct SS treatment with other CI compounds by pyrolysis or gasification is only little studied. 212 Regarding the TME, Zn, Cu and Pb are the most often studied (34 publications) while only 2 and 11 213 works investigate Hg and As behavior respectively. Finally, only 11 of the 37 publications deal with 214 the question of P bioavailability in the solid residues obtained after treatment. In addition to the 215 works compiled in Fig. 1 dealing with thermochemical treatment with Cl-donors [43-78], the TME 216 vaporization properties also have been extensively studied during SS or SS ashes thermochemical 217 treatment without Cl-donor [79–94] and thanks to thermodynamic simulations tools [43– 218 45,66,68,69,74–77,96–99]. The results from these works are further discussed.

Either during one or two-steps SS thermochemical treatments, TME removal rates and P species formed are highly dependent on the following operating conditions that are extensively studied in the next parts of this paper:

- Initial material nature (raw SS or SS ashes) and composition (major elements contents),
- Additives nature: MgCl₂, CaCl₂, PVC, NaCl, KCl, etc. and amount added (Cl concentration),
- Type of reactor used: fixed-bed, rotary kiln, fluidized bed and material residence time,
- 225 Treatment temperature,
- Treatment gas composition (depends on the chosen thermochemical processes, i.e.
 incineration, pyrolysis or gasification): oxidative or reductive atmosphere, H₂O presence, etc.
- 228 2.2. <u>Trace metal elements speciation in sewage sludge</u>

During SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment and depending on the operating conditions, the TME can be vaporized though the gas phase. In this part, a special focus on the vaporization properties of the 8 TME whose contents are regulated for recycled fertilizers (Table 1) is thereforemade.

233 As detailed previously, SS is a complex heterogeneous mixture [36]. Indeed, its composition 234 depends on various parameters such as the sludge origin (industrial and/or municipal wastewater) 235 and pretreatments performed (biological treatment, Al or Fe based coagulants use, etc.). In addition, 236 SS can be mixed with municipal solid waste before thermochemical treatment. It results in high 237 variation in elemental composition and speciation for the treated materials [78]. In SS, the TME are 238 generally not under elemental state but under various forms (oxides, chlorides, sulphates, sulphides, 239 carbonates, organic, combined with major elements, etc.). Table 2 thus presents the most common 240 forms in SS for the 8 TME of interest according to Udayanga et al. [94], and their physical properties 241 (melting and boiling points) [88,89,94].

Table 2 – Mo	ost common TME fo	rms in SS and their ا	ohysical properties [88,89,94]			
TME	Forms	Example	Normal melting point (°C)	Normal boiling point (°C)		
	Elemental	As	817 (28 bar)	615		
A recention	Chloride	AsCl ₃	-16	130		
Arsenic	Sulfide	As ₂ S ₃	310	707		
	Oxide	As ₂ O ₃	275-313	193 (sublimation)		
	Elemental	Cd	321	767		
	Chloride	CdCl ₂	564	960		
Cadmium	Sulfate	CdSO ₄	1000 (deco	mposition)		
	Sulfide	CdS	1750	980 (sublimation)		
	Oxide	CdO	1540 (sub	limation)		
	Elemental	Cr	1907	2671		
		CrCl ₂	815	1300		
	Chloride	CrCl₃	631	945		
Chromium		CrO_2Cl_2	-96.5	117		
	Sulfate	$Cr_2(SO_4)_3$	90	700 (decomposition)		
	Sulfide	Cr_2S_3	1350	n.a.		
	Oxide	Cr ₂ O ₃	2435	4000		
	Elemental	Cu	1085	2562		
	Chlorido	CuCl	451	1212		
	Chionde	CuCl ₂	498	993		
Copper	Sulfata	Cu_2SO_4	110	n.a.		
	Suitate	CuSO ₄	560 (decon	nposition)		
	Sulfide	CuS	500 (decor	nposition		
	Oxide	Cu₂O	1236	1800 (decomposition)		
	Elemental	Hg	-39	357		
Mercury	Chlorido	HgCl ₂	276	304		
	Chionae	Hg ₂ Cl ₂	525	383 (sublimation)		
	Elemental	Pb	327	1749		
	Chlorido	PbCl ₂	501	954		
	Chionae	PbCl ₄	-15	50		
Load	Sulfide	PbS	1112	1750		
Leau	Sulfate	PbSO ₄	1087 (decor	mposition)		
	Ovido	PbO	886	1470		
	Oxide	PbO ₂	290 (decon	nposition)		
	Carbonate	PbCO₃	315 (decon	nposition)		
	Elemental	Ni	1455	2913		
	Chloride	NiCl ₂	1009	970 (sublimation)		
Nickel	Sulfate	NiSO ₄	100	840 (decomposition)		
	Sulfide	NiS	797	1388		
	Oxide	NiO	1955	n.a.		
	Elemental	Zn	420	907		
Zinc	Chloride	ZnCl ₂	317	732		
2010	Sulfate	ZnSO ₄	680 (decon	nposition)		
	Sulfide	ZnS	1700	1180 (sublimation)		

	Oxide	ZnO	1975	2000 (sublimation)
In bold , the	predominant forms of	of TME in SS accordin	g to Udayanga et al. [94]	

n.a.: not available

In elemental form, Hg has the lowest vaporization temperature under normal pressure (357 °C) followed by As and Cd (615 and 767 °C respectively). Zn vaporizes at 907 °C and Pb at 1749 °C. Cu, Cr and Ni have higher vaporization temperatures of 2562, 2671 and 2913 °C respectively (**Table 2**). The TME vaporization properties in SS can however be divided into three categories, as reported by Fraissler et al. [43]:

- Cd, Hg and Pb are "easily volatile TME". The low Cd boiling point of 767 °C and the Pb fusion temperature of 327 °C allow removal to the gas phase at low temperature (in droplet form for Pb). Hg can also be classified as an easily volatile TME due to its low vaporization temperature of 357 °C.
- Zn, Cu and As are "semi-volatile TME". Zn vaporizes at 907 °C and, for Cu, even if the element
 has a high boiling point (2562 °C), its high mobility allows the formation of more easily
 volatile species during thermochemical treatment (chloride, sulfide, etc.). For As, although it
 should vaporize "easily" at 615 °C, its strong interactions with SS major elements [77] lead to
 variable vaporization properties [62,77,90].
- Cr and Ni are "low-volatile TME". Their vaporization temperatures are high (2671 and 2913
 °C respectively), and the two species present a low mobility.

Regarding the normal boiling point changes between different TME forms presented in **Table 2**, it is clear that the TME volatilities are highly impacted by their speciation. For example, Cu in elemental form vaporizes at 2562 °C while CuCl₂ normal boiling point is at 993 °C. It is interesting to note that, except for Cd, the chlorides species most of the time have the lowest vaporization temperature. It explains why the addition of Cl-compounds combined with a high temperature treatment is particularly interesting to promote TME vaporization. It is further extensively discussed.

264 3. Sewage sludge thermochemical treatment without additives

Table S1 gathers results from [52,62,65,69,77,81,85,90–92] and presents the TME removal rates
 during classic SS thermochemical treatment without additives under various operating conditions.
 The TME removal rates were replicated from the various works explored (values extracted from
 graphs most of the time). The variations of the following parameters are reported:

- 269 Feed material nature and composition (dried SS or ashes and CaO or SiO₂-rich),
- 270 Residence time (1.5 to 1440 min) and reactor type (fixed-bed, fluidized bed and rotary kiln),
- 271 Treatment gas nature (air, H₂O and N₂ i.e. oxidative or reductive treatment conditions),
- 272 Process temperature (300 to 1200 °C).

Because of its high volatility (vaporization temperature of 357 °C, **Table 2**), Hg behavior during SS thermochemical treatment is most of the time not explored. It is always considered to be vaporized significantly irrespective of treatment conditions [99]. Temperature seems to be the most important parameter for Hg removal in the gas phase [99].

To ease the reading and subsequent discussions, the principal results from literature concerning TME removal rates during classic SS thermochemical treatment without additives are also presented in **Fig. 2**. The figure is a box plot and was built with the results compiled in **Table S1**. It represents the removal rates for each TME. The different references studied are visible via the points shape while the treatment temperature is represented by the color scale (blue at 300, green at 750 and red at 1200 °C). The mean (dotted horizontal line) and median (horizontal line) removal rates for each TME are also shown, along with the first and third quartiles (black rectangles) and the 95% confidence interval (black vertical line). **Fig. 2** is widely discussed afterwards.



²⁸⁵

Figure 2 – Boxplots compiling data from Table S1 and representing the reported Cd, Pb, Cu, Zn, As, Cr and Ni removal rates as a function of the treatment temperature (color scale) and the reference studied (shape scale). The mean (dotted horizontal line) and median (horizontal line) removal rates for each TME are also shown, along with the first and third quartiles (black rectangles) and the 95% confidence interval (black vertical line).

As visible in **Fig. 2**, reported TME removal rates confirm the classification as high-volatile for Cd and Pb, semi-volatile for As, Cu and Zn, and low-volatile for Cr and Ni [43].

292 The TME removal rates compiled in Table S1 and Fig. 2 allow to investigate the impact of the 293 operating conditions on their vaporization properties during SS classic thermochemical treatment 294 without additives. The general trends and the most relevant parameters influencing TME removal are 295 summarized in Table 3 and are further discussed for each TME. Table 3 was also built thanks to 296 results from various thermodynamic simulations experiments [43-45,66,68,69,74-77,96-99]. In these works, SS thermochemical treatments under various operating conditions (temperature, 297 298 atmosphere, initial composition) were explored via simulation tools such as FactSage, ChemSheet or 299 HSC Chemistry.

additive	es											
тме	Mean - median	7 tomporatura	⊿ residence	Reactor type	Reactor type			leme ninan	Treatment gas nature			
	Terrioval faces (76)	temperature	time		treatment type	Al	Са	Fe	Si	H ₂ O	02	N ₂
As	29 - 36	+	(+)	/	(+) Pyrolysis		-	/	++	/	/	(+)
Cd	75 - 89	++	+	(+) Fixed bed	(+) Pyrolysis	0	(+)	0	-	+	0	(+)
Cr	19 - 10	+	+	(+) Fixed bed	(+) Combustion	0	(-)	0	++		+	(-)
Cu	35 - 20	+	++	(+) Fixed bed	+ Combustion	(+)	+	(-)	(+)	(+)	+	-
Hg	/	++	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Ni	10 - 4	+	+	++ Rotary	+ Combustion	0	0	-	(-)	-	+	-
Pb	65 - 77	+	+	(+) Fixed bed	+ Combustion	0	+	0	+	-	++	
Zn	33 - 33	++	+	- Rotary	(+) Gasification	(-)	+	(-)	+	-	-	+

Table 3 – Summary table: relevant parameters influencing TME removal during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment without additives

++ strong positive influence		(-) low negative influence
+ positive influence	0 no influence	 negative influence
(+) low positive influence	/ not studied	 – strong negative influence

300 3.1. <u>High-volatile TME – Cd and Pb</u>

301 Reported Cd removal rates during SS thermochemical treatment without additives varied from 0 to 302 99%, depending on operating conditions, with a 75% mean vaporization rate (Fig. 2) [52,62,85,92]. 303 According to Fraissler et al. [43], during thermochemical treatment, Cd vaporizes under Cd(OH)₂, CdO 304 and Cd gas forms. The authors also reported that to increase removal rate, temperature is the most 305 important parameter followed by SiO₂ absence (Si-rich sludge leads to CdSiO₃ formation which is a 306 stable compound), H_2O presence (to promote Cd(OH)₂ production) and a long treatment residence 307 time. It is confirmed in Fig. 2 showing that the highest Cd removal rates are mostly observed for 308 treatment temperatures above 800 °C (orange and red points).

309 Lead vaporization varies from 10 to 99% depending on operating conditions, with a 65% mean 310 vaporization rate (Fig. 2). It is temperature dependent and promoted under oxidative conditions 311 (Tables 3 and S1) [92]. Indeed, Pb removal rates higher than 80% were most of the time reported 312 when the treatment temperature was above 800 °C (orange and red points, Fig. 2) [52,81,85,90,92]. 313 The residence time also seems to be an important parameter for Pb removal with rates ranging from 314 53 to 97% when it increases from 60 to 120 min, as reported by Saleh Bairq et al. [65] (Table S1). On 315 the contrary, pyrolysis and H₂O gasification treatments have a strong negative impact on Pb 316 vaporization, with removal rates below 20%, as reported by Xia et al. [62] and Saveyn et al. [91] and 317 visible in **Table S1**. Indeed, in reductive conditions, lead vaporization is limited as no O_2 is available to 318 interact with Pb and form volatile oxides [43].

319 3.2. <u>Semi-volatile TME – As, Cu and Zn</u>

320 Reported As removal rates are most of the time below 50% (29% mean vaporization rate, Fig. 2) 321 [62,77]. According to the simulations performed by Shen et al. [77] and Liu et al. [98], it is due to As 322 interaction with the major inorganic elements initially present in SS. Indeed, stable As-based 323 compounds such as Ca₃(AsO₄)₂, KAsO₂ and AlAsO₄ can be formed, with decomposition temperatures 324 above 1000 °C [77]. It means that As vaporization properties are mostly controlled by the major 325 elements initial contents in treated SS. According to Liu et al. [98], SiO₂ rich SS may thus significantly 326 help for As removal. Expect for temperature, the other operating parameters seem to have low 327 impact on As vaporization properties (Table 3).

328 In several works, Cu vaporization properties are below 20% (median removal rate of 20%, Fig. 2) 329 [62,69,92]. However, Kuboňová et al. [85] and Nowak et al. [52] reported Cu removal rates above 80% for treatment temperatures higher than 900 °C (orange and red points in Fig. 2). Interestingly, 330 331 the same raw material was used in the two works, i.e. municipal solid waste fly ash with a high Cl 332 content as alkali (sodium and potassium) chlorides [52,85]. Furthermore, according to the 333 thermodynamic simulations performed by Fraissler et al. [43], regardless of SS initial composition, Cu 334 removal at temperatures below 1000 °C without chlorine addition is nearly impossible. Cl presence 335 may therefore explain the high Cu removal rates observed in [52,85], as well as the long residence 336 time used [65], the high treatment temperature, CaO predominance in sludge and the oxidative 337 conditions used [52,85,92]. These parameters seem therefore to have a positive impact on Cu 338 vaporization properties during classic SS thermochemical treatment (Table 3).

339 Zinc seems to be slightly more volatile than Cu, with equal mean and median vaporization rates of 340 33% (Fig. 2). The treatment temperature seems to be the most relevant parameter influencing Zn 341 vaporization properties (Fig. 2 and Table 3). Removal rates above 50% are thus reported for 342 treatment temperatures higher than 1000 °C (orange and red points, Fig. 2) [69,85]. Below 1000 °C, 343 Zn is however hard to volatilize. Indeed, according to Fraissler et al. [43], Zn behaves similarly to Cu. 344 Its vaporization is therefore highly dependent on chlorine addition and availability. It may explain the 345 high removal rates observed by Liu et al. and Kuboňová et al. [69,85]. Two parameters seem to have 346 a negative influence on Zn vaporization properties: the use of a rotary kiln (compared to fixed-bed 347 reactors) [52,85] and oxidative combustion conditions [92] (Table 3).

348 3.3. Low-volatile TME – Cr and Ni

349 Except in the work of Liu et al. [69], reported Cr removal rates are below 20% (Fig. 2) [62,85,92]. High 350 treatment temperatures seem to enhance Cr volatility (see red points in Fig. 2), but even with a 351 treatment at 1200 °C, obtained removal rates are below 40% [85]. The highest vaporization rates (62% at 550 °C) observed by Liu et al. [69] are difficult to explain and not commented by the authors. 352 353 It may be due to a high SiO₂ content (28wt%), Cl presence and the fact that the treated SS was 354 dehydrated prior to thermochemical treatment (Table S1). Indeed, according to Fraissler and al. [43], 355 SiO₂-rich sludge can promote Cr vaporization during thermochemical treatment as well as Cl 356 presence when no H₂O is involved during treatment (to promote volatile CrO₂Cl₂ production) (Table 357 **3**). Such high Cr removal rates, however, have only been reported in this study to our knowledge.

358 Reported Ni removal rates are most of the time below 10% (Fig. 2), except in Kuboňová et al. 359 work, where the authors reported removal rates around 50% for experiments in a rotary kiln 360 (Table S1) [85]. They explained the observed results by enhanced mass transfers between solid and 361 gas phase due to rotary kiln use [85]. These results are however questionable as such high removal 362 rates were not further observed, to our knowledge. The other parameters that seem to slightly positively impact Ni vaporization are the treatment temperature, residence time and oxidative 363 364 conditions (combustion) (Table 3) [69,92]. Finally, according to Fraissler et al. [43], H₂O presence in 365 the flue gas may negatively impact Ni removal.

Regarding the results reported in **Table 3, S1** and **Fig. 2**, it seems that classic SS thermochemical treatment without additives is not efficient to vaporize all TME for direct use of the ashes as recycled fertilizers [38]. It is particularly the case for elements like Cu, Zn, As, Ni and Cr whose removal rates remain most of the time below 50% during classic SS thermochemical treatment.

4. Sewage sludge thermochemical treatment with various Cl-donors

371 4.1. <u>Chlorination mechanisms with various Cl-donors</u>

To go further and enhance stable TME (Cu, Zn, As, Ni and Cr) vaporization properties, SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatments with Cl-based compounds developed in the past two decades [43–78]. The Cl-donors lead to chlorination mechanisms. The general principle is to convert the TME initially present in various forms (metallic, oxide, alloys, associated with Si, Fe, Al, S, CO₃²⁻, etc.) in raw SS or SS ashes into chlorides, in order to form species with lower boiling points and higher vapor pressures (**Table 2**).

Several reaction pathways are possible to vaporize TME by chlorination [53]. Indeed, Cl can be applied during thermochemical treatment either as gaseous Cl₂ and HCl [48], or as solid chlorinedonor (MgCl₂, CaCl₂, KCl, NaCl, PVC, etc.) [44,45,63]. Furthermore, each Cl-donor can react differently during thermochemical treatment depending on operating conditions [44]. According to Nowak et al. [52], the best Cl-donor is Cl₂, but it requires expensive equipment for safe handling and can lead to the chlorination of non-hazardous elements like Ca or Fe. To our knowledge, no publication therefore detailed its direct use in SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment. Solid chlorine-donors are mostly used [43–65,78,79]. For industrial processes they offer the advantages of low cost, easy availability, low toxicity and trouble-free handling during the chlorination process [43].

Chlorination reaction steps between TME and Cl are suggested as follows by Jeon et al. [53], with M: TME, MO: TME oxides, X: metals, X–Cl₂: Cl-donors, MCl₂: chlorinated TME, X–O: metal oxides.

$MO + X - Cl_2 \rightarrow MCl_2 + X - O$	(1)
---	-----

391
$$X-Cl_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow X-O + Cl_2$$
 (2)

392
$$MO + Cl_2 \rightarrow MCl_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2$$
 (3)

Depending on the operating conditions and the Cl-donor used, the TME can react with chlorine either directly ("direct chlorination", eqn. (1)) or indirectly. In this case, the Cl-donors react with O_2 or H_2O to produce Cl_2 or HCl, which in turn react with TME ("indirect chlorination", eqn. (2) to (5)). According to Nowak et al. [50] and Jeon et al. [53], for indirect chlorination processes, Cl_2 or HCl formation reactions are considered as the limiting step for the TME removal (eqn. (2) and (4)) and not TME chlorination itself (eqn. (3) and (5)).

401 In the next parts of the review, the chlorination mechanisms and efficiency with MgCl₂, CaCl₂, NaCl, 402 HCl gas, PVC, KCl and NH₄Cl are detailed. Data from different works are compiled in **Tables S2 to S5**, 403 in order to investigate the impact of different operating conditions (feed material type and major 404 elements composition, residence time, treatment gas, reactor type, Cl-concentration and treatment 405 temperature) on the TME vaporization properties during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment 406 with Cl-donor. The replicated removal rates were obtained from the various works explored (values 407 extracted from graphs most of the time). In the case where no removal rates were given by the 408 authors, the TME contents in the solid residues after thermochemical treatment were instead given.

409 4.2. <u>Magnesium chloride – MgCl₂</u>

410 The most common Cl-donor used to promote TME vaporization during SS or SS ashes 411 thermochemical treatment is MgCl₂. It is an abundant, cheap, safe and non-toxic compound that has 412 been extensively studied [44,45,47,49,53,57,62,63,65,75].

MgCl₂ is considered as a low temperature Cl-donor and as both an indirect and direct chlorination agent, the indirect pathway being kinetically and thermodynamically favored [44]. Most of the time, MgCl₂ is used in powder hexahydrate form (MgCl₂.6H₂O) and milled or mixed with SS (or SS ashes) prior to thermochemical treatment [44,52,57,62]. The Cl-donor can also be solubilized in water before being added to SS [45,55]. The preparation methods for the mixtures are however only poorly detailed in most papers.

419 According to Yu et al. [57], MgCl₂·6H₂O decomposition under air can be divided into three 420 stages:

- 421 At a temperature lower than 150 °C, $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ is first dehydrated, with $MgCl_2 \cdot xH_2O$ (x = 2 422 and 4) and H_2O as main products,
- 423 Between 150 and 500 °C, an intermediate compound, Mg(OH)Cl, is formed by hydrolysis
 424 reaction, leading to HCl production as early as 150 °C,
- 425 At a temperature higher than 500 °C, Mg(OH)Cl is converted to MgO with HCl formation.

Under N₂ atmosphere (pyrolysis), chlorination mechanisms are slightly different according to Xia
et al. [62]. In their work, they detected both HCl (above 500 °C) and Cl₂ (as early as 100 °C)
production. The proposed reactions explaining these two compounds production are as follows:

429 $MgCl_2 + SiO_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow MgSiO_3 + Cl_2$ (6) 430 $MgCl_2 + H_2O \rightarrow MgO + 2HCl$ (7)

431**Table S2** compiles the results from [44,45,47-49,53,57,62,63] and presents the TME removal rates432obtained during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment with MgCl₂ addition. The results are433discussed in the next part of the paper (section 5.).

434 4.3. <u>Calcium chloride – CaCl₂</u>

The second most common Cl-donor used to promote TME vaporization during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment is CaCl₂. As MgCl₂, it is an abundant, cheap, safe and non-toxic compound that has been extensively studied as chlorinating agent [43,44,50–53,62,63,74,75].

According to Fraissler et al. [43,71], CaCl₂ is both a direct and indirect chlorinating agent. However, the thermodynamic calculation performed by the authors seem to indicate that CaCl₂ reaction with H_2O and O_2 is thermodynamically and kinetically favored compared to direct chlorination, which can occur as a second pathway. The authors also showed that a higher surface area leads to higher reaction rates with H_2O and/or O_2 thus, milled CaCl₂ may improve TME removal more than CaCl₂ in flake form [43]. CaCl₂ is therefore most of the time used in powder form (CaCl₂.2H₂O) and milled with SS (or SS ashes) prior to thermochemical treatment [44,50,52]

445 Vogel et al. [75] compared MgCl₂· $6H_2O$ and CaCl₂· $2H_2O$ thermal decomposition under O₂ thanks 446 to thermogravimetry coupled with an infrared detector. After dehydration around 150 °C, the 447 thermal decomposition of CaCl₂·2H₂O follows a different pathway than the one of MgCl₂·6H₂O. 448 Indeed, no HCl gas was detected. According to the authors, only Cl₂ gas (not visible in FTIR spectra) 449 was formed during CaCl₂·2H₂O thermal degradation in absence of gaseous H₂O, while for 450 MgCl₂·6H₂O, both Cl₂ and HCl were formed. However, in contact with water, both Cl-donors react 451 similarly and produce HCl during thermochemical treatment [52,71]. Depending on the presence or 452 absence of water in the atmosphere, the two possible chlorination reactions of CaCl₂ are therefore 453 the followings:

- 454 $CaCl_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow CaO + Cl_2$ (8)
- $455 \qquad CaCl_2 + H_2O \rightarrow CaO + 2HCl \qquad (9)$

456 During pyrolysis under N₂ atmosphere, according to Xia et al. [62], both HCl and Cl₂ gases are 457 produced, following the proposed reactions:

- 458 $CaCl_2 + SiO_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow CaSiO_3 + Cl_2$ (10)
- 459 $CaCl_2 + H_2O \rightarrow CaO + 2HCl$ (11)

460 **Table S3** compiles the results from [43,44,48,50-53,62,63] and presents the TME removal rates 461 obtained during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment with CaCl₂ addition. The results are 462 discussed in the next part of the paper (section 5.).

463 4.4. <u>Sodium chloride – NaCl</u>

NaCl is another common, abundant, cheap, safe and non-toxic salt that can be used as Cl-donor
during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment. However, it has not been studied as much as CaCl₂
or MgCl₂, due to its high vapor pressure [44,53,62,69]. Indeed, depending on the operating
conditions, NaCl can evaporate in high quantities without reacting, especially when a rotary kiln or a
fluidized bed reactor is used [44].

469 NaCl is considered as both a direct and indirect chlorination agent. However, unlike MgCl₂ and
470 CaCl₂ and according to Nowak et al. [44], the direct pathway is thermodynamically and kinetically
471 favored over the reaction with H₂O and O₂. Under N₂ atmosphere (pyrolysis), according to Xia et al.
472 [62], the chlorination mechanisms are the followings:

473 $M^{2+} + 2NaCl \rightarrow MCl_2 + 2Na^+$ (12)

$$474 \qquad 2NaCl + H_2O \rightarrow Na_2O + 2HCl \qquad (13)$$

475 $2NaCl + SiO_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow Na_2SiO_3 + Cl_2$ (14)

476 Similar reaction pathways were also proposed by Liu et al. [69] for incineration experiments.

477 **Table S4** compiles the results from [44,53,62,69] and presents the TME removal rates obtained 478 during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment with NaCl addition. The results are discussed in the 479 next part of the paper (section 5.).

480 4.5. <u>Other Cl-based compounds: KCl, PVC, HCl gas, NH₄Cl</u>

KCl, NH₄Cl and FeCl₃ have also been tested as Cl-donors [49,53,68,70]. KCl is considered as a high
temperature Cl-donor (> 800 °C) [49] but only few information on the chlorination mechanisms are
available for these compounds as they have not been studied as much as MgCl₂, CaCl₂ or NaCl.

Gaseous hydrochloric acid (HCl) can also be used for SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment
[45,48,72]. In this case, the reaction mechanisms are simple. Direct chlorination is possible as long as
HCl is available for reaction with the TME according to the following equation:

 $487 \qquad MO + 2HCl \rightarrow MCl_2 + H_2O \qquad (15)$

To allow a good interaction between HCl gas and SS, the thermochemical treatment needs to be carried out either in a rotary kiln or in a fluidized bed reactor [48].

Finally, Cl-rich waste materials like polyvinyl chloride (PVC) are suitable to enhance TME vaporization properties during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment [46,47,62,67,69,73,77]. PVC is the third most produced synthetic plastic polymer in the world. About 40 million tons of PVC are produced each year. Most of it can be recycled after use, but there are still streams of used PVC which are not and need to be valued [46]. PVC has a high Cl content of 52-53 wt% and a high heat energy of approximately 18 MJ/kg [46]. For these reasons, PVC can be used as a cheap organic chlorinating agent and as an energy carrier for SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment.

497 Vogel et al. [46] studied PVC thermal decomposition in air thanks to thermogravimetric 498 experiments coupled with infrared. The obtained results show an important mass loss (65wt%) 499 around 220 °C corresponding to HCl production from PVC. Cl₂ may also be formed at this stage but is 500 not visible in FTIR spectra [75]. PVC is therefore considered as a low temperature and indirect Cl-501 donor. During pyrolysis (under N_2 atmosphere), according to Xia et al. [62], both Cl₂ and HCl are 502 produced during thermochemical treatment, according to the following equations:

503 $PVC \rightarrow RCH + Cl_2$ (16) 504 $PVC \rightarrow R - CH + HCl$ (17)

Table S5 compiles the results from [46-49,53,62,68-70,77] and presents the TME removal rates obtained during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment with PVC, HCl, NH₄Cl, FeCl₃ or KCl addition. The results are discussed in the next part of the paper (Section 5.).

508 5. Trace metal elements removal

509 The TME removal rates compiled in **Tables S2 to S5** allow to investigate the impact of various Cl-510 donors and operating conditions on their vaporization properties during SS or SS ashes 511 thermochemical treatment. The general trends and the most relevant parameters influencing TME 512 removal are compiled in **Table 4** and are further discussed for each TME.

It is important to note that in this part, when no additives are used ("no Cl" column in **Table 4**), the vaporization rates reported in Kubuňová et al. [85], Nowak et al. [52] and Liu et al. [69] were excluded due to high Cl contents in the raw SS and SS ashes. Indeed, the surprisingly high removal rates for Cu, Zn, Cr and/or Ni observed in these studies may be due to Cl presence. The goal of this part being to study Cl addition impact (use of Cl-donors), these works may thus interfere. It explains the differences in the calculated mean and median removal rates when no additives are used between **Tables 3 and 4**.

Table	Table 4 – Summary table: relevant parameters influencing TME removal during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment with Cl-donors													
TNAF		Mean-	median re	emoval ra	tes (%)		Temp	erature (°C)	Cl con	tent (g Cl/kg)	Vaporization	Best		
IIVIE	No Cl*	MgCl₂	CaCl₂	NaCl	PVC	other	∕₹T	Optimal	٦C	Optimal	forms	conditions		
As	29-36	45-45	27-38	29-32	45-48	/	(+)	≥ 800	(+)	≥ 200	AsO – AsCl₃	Oxidative		
Cd	75-89	82-96	82-93	78-94	61-93	77-78	++	≥ 700	0	0	CdO – Cd(OH)2	Reductive		
Cr	10-9	9-6	9-6	20-17	25-26	18-16	+	≥ 1000	+	150-200	CrO_2Cl_2	Oxidative		
Cu	22-9	70-83	74-80	66-73	56-69	62-73	+	≥ 900	++	100-150	$CuCl - CuCl_2$	Oxidative		
Ni	6-3	9-2	17-11	16-15	16-16	14-14	+	≥ 1000	+	150-200	NiCl ₂	Oxidative		
Pb	65-77	87-94	90-94	85-94	54-63	76-95	++	≥ 800	++	50-100	PbO – PbCl ₂	Oxidative		
Zn	30-28	72-77	75-81	54-55	76-83	42-45	+	≥ 900	++	100-150	ZnCl ₂	Reductive		

++ strong positive influence

+ positive influence

(+) low positive influence

0 no influence / not studied

* the works from Kuboňová et al. [85], Nowak et al [52] and Liu et al [69] are excluded for the calculations due to high Cl contents in the raw material.

520 For ease of reading and further discussion, the main results from literature concerning TME removal 521 rates during SS thermochemical treatment with various Cl-donors compiled in Tables S2 to S5 are also presented in Figs. 3 to 6 and Figs. S1 to S4. Figs. 3 to 6 represent the reported removal rates (for 522 523 Cd and Pb in Fig. 3, for Cu and Zn in Fig. 4, for Cr and Ni in Fig. 5 and for As in Fig. 6) as a function of 524 the treatment temperature (color scale: blue at 300, green at 750 and red at 1200 °C), the reference 525 studied (shape scale) and the used Cl-donor (none, MgCl₂, CaCl₂, NaCl, PVC and other Cl-based). The 526 mean (dotted horizontal line) and median (horizontal line) removal rates for each TME are also 527 shown (and compiled in Table 4), along with the first and third quartiles (black rectangles) and the 528 95% confidence interval (black vertical line). Figs. S1 to S4 (Cd and Pb in Fig. S1, Cu and Zn in Fig. S2, 529 Cr and Ni in Fig. S3 and As in Fig. S4) present boxplots constructed with the same database but this time with a color scale representing the Cl concentration (green for 0, red for 150 and black for 250 g Cl/kg raw material) instead of the treatment temperature. It therefore allows to study the impact of increasing Cl content with the various Cl-donors studied. It is important to note that only the studies reporting TME removal rates were used to build these figures. Some authors only gave TME contents in solid residues and were therefore not included (see **Tables S2 to S5**). Their results are however discussed in the text.



536 5.1. <u>Cadmium and lead</u>

537

538Figure 3 – Boxplots compiling data from Tables S1 to S5 and representing the reported Cd and Pb removal rates as a539function of the treatment temperature (color scale), the reference studied (shape scale) and the used Cl-donor (none, MgCl₂,540CaCl₂, NaCl, PVC and other Cl-based). The mean (dotted horizontal line) and median (horizontal line) removal rates for each541TME are also shown, along with the first and third quartiles (black rectangles) and the 95% confidence interval (black542vertical line).

As previously discussed, Cd and Pb are easily volatile TME. Indeed, during classic SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatments (without Cl addition), these elements are most of the time vaporized at rates above 80% when the treatment temperature reaches 800 °C or higher (see section 3.1.). It is also the case during thermochemical treatment with Cl-donors as visible in **Fig. 3**. Indeed, the figure shows higher Cd and Pb removal rates for treatment temperatures above 800 °C (orange and red points in **Fig. 3**).

549 The differences in removal rates between thermochemical treatment of SS or SS ashes with and 550 without additives (Cl-donors) are small for Cd (Fig. 3). The additives seem to have neither a positive nor a negative impact on Cd vaporization properties, except for PVC (negative impact due to high C 551 552 and H contents according to Li et al. [47], Fig. 3). Therefore, Cl addition does not impact Cd removal. 553 This result is in good agreement with the thermodynamic simulations performed by Fraissler and al. 554 [43] and Liu et al. [68], showing neither positive nor negative interactions between Cd and Cl. It is 555 also confirmed in Fig. S1 with no visible correlation between Cd removal and increasing Cl content 556 during thermochemical treatment. Cd removal is therefore controlled by the treatment temperature.

Low Cd vaporization rates are however observed in Li et al. [47] and Jeon et al. [53] works for treatment temperatures above 800 °C (**Fig. 3** and **Tables S2 to S5**). According to Li et al. [47], it is due to the following reaction mechanism:

$560 \qquad Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2 + CdO \rightarrow CdO \cdot Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2 \qquad (18)$

561 CdO·Al₂O₃·2SiO₂ is a stable product with a low volatility. It can be formed during SS incineration prior 562 to Cl addition due to the high Al₂O₃ content in the raw SS [47]. The absence of water (due to SS ashes 563 use) may also explain the low removal rates observed in these works. Indeed, according to Fraissler 564 et al. [43], H₂O presence promotes Cd(OH)₂ production and therefore Cd vaporization.

565 For lead, the compiled data show mean removal rates of 87, 90, 85, 54 and 76% when MgCl₂, 566 CaCl₂, NaCl, PVC or KCl are respectively used for thermochemical treatment (Fig. 3 and Table 4). 567 Except with PVC, Cl addition therefore promotes Pb removal trough the gas phase (Fig. 3). As visible 568 in Fig. S1, low Cl contents (from 50 g Cl/kg raw material) allow to reach high Pb removal rates. 569 Interestingly, under reductive conditions (pyrolysis), Pb vaporization rate is high when Cl is involved 570 (vaporization rate of 90% with MgCl₂ against 16% without at 700 °C according to Xia et al. [62], 571 Tables S1 and S2). It is due to Pb interaction with chlorine to form PbCl₂, a compound that vaporizes 572 significantly below 800 °C [69]. In comparison, without Cl addition, under reductive conditions, lead 573 vaporization is limited as no O_2 is available to interact with Pb and form volatile oxides (Table S1) 574 [43].

575 When PVC is used as Cl-donor, the reported Pb removal rates are slightly lower than with classic 576 salts (**Fig. 3** and **Table S5**). According to Liu et al. [69], it is due to a change in the chlorination 577 mechanisms. Indeed, the authors reported that when NaCl is used as Cl-donor, PbCl₂ is 578 predominantly produced during thermochemical treatment while when PVC is involved, PbCl₂, 579 Pb(ClO₄)₂ and PbCl₂O₄ are detected. For Li et al. [47], the reduced Pb removal rates observed with 580 PVC are related to the high C and H contents in PVC. Nevertheless, even with PVC as Cl-donor, lead 581 vaporization rates are above 60% in several cases (**Fig. 3** and **Table 4**).

As a conclusion for Cd and Pb, it seems that for Cd, the vaporization properties are neither positively nor negatively changed when Cl-donors are involved during treatment (**Fig. 3**). For Pb, they are enhanced thanks to chlorination mechanisms, except when PVC is used as additive (**Fig. 3**). Cd removal is therefore temperature dependent while Pb is both temperature and Cl-donor dependent (**Table 4**).

587 5.2. Copper and zinc





Figure 4 – Boxplots compiling data from Tables S1 to S5 and representing the reported Cu and Zn removal rates as a
 function of the treatment temperature (color scale), the reference studied (shape scale) and the used Cl-donor (none, MgCl₂,
 CaCl₂, NaCl, PVC and other Cl-based). The mean (dotted horizontal line) and median (horizontal line) removal rates for each
 TME are also shown, along with the first and third quartiles (black rectangles) and the 95% confidence interval (black
 vertical line).

594 Copper and zinc are considered as semi-volatile TME [43]. Their vaporization properties are limited 595 under classic thermochemical treatment conditions (no additives) but highly enhanced during 596 thermochemical treatments with Cl addition, as presented in Fig. 4 (as a function of temperature) 597 and Fig. S2 (as a function of Cl content). Indeed, these two compounds present good mobility and 598 therefore can interact easily with the Cl-donors to form volatile species like ZnCl₂, CuCl₂ or 599 (CuCl)₃ [44,69]. Fig. 4 and S2 also allow to compare the various Cl-donors impact on Cu and Zn 600 removal rates. Thus, MgCl₂ and CaCl₂ are the most efficient Cl-donors for Cu, while for Zn, MgCl₂, 601 CaCl₂ and PVC are better suited. It is further discussed.

As it can be seen in **Fig. 4, S2** and **Tables S2 to S5**, it can be generally stated that Cu vaporization properties are highly enhanced by (i) an increase in the treatment temperature (orange and red points in **Fig. 4**), (ii) Cl addition in high amounts (red and dark-red points in **Fig. S2**) and (iii) a long material residence time:

- (i) Nowak et al. [44] reported Cu removal rates of 30 and 91% at 800 and 1000 °C respectively, with
 150 g Cl/kg SS ashes as MgCl₂ (**Table S2**). Xia et al. [62], Adam et al. [45], Yu et al. [57] and many
 other authors also reported significantly higher Cu vaporization rates with increasing treatment
 temperature (orange and red points in Fig. 4) [43,49,63]. In general, it can be stated that at least
 900 °C are required to obtain Cu removal rates higher than 80% during SS or SS ashes
 thermochemical treatment with Cl-donors (**Table 4**).
- 612 (ii) With CaCl₂ as Cl-donor, Yang et al. [63] detected 208 ppm of Cu in the solid residues obtained
 613 after thermochemical treatment at 900 °C with 1 wt% Ca while only 17 ppm were remaining
 614 after a treatment with 10% Ca (**Table S3**). The authors noticed the same behavior with MgCl₂
 615 addition in different amounts [63]. The same trend (Cu removal increase with increasing Cl
 616 content) is in general observed, except when PVC is used as Cl-donor (red and dark-red points in
 617 **Fig. S2**). Indeed, it seems that adding too much PVC (more than 200 g Cl/ kg SS ashes) inhibits Cu

618 vaporization [46,47]. According to Li et al. [47], it can be attributed to the C and H contents in 619 PVC, promoting Cu transformation into Cu₃P, which is a more stable compound. Classic salts like 620 MgCl₂ or CaCl₂ (indirect chlorination agents) are therefore considered as the most effective Cl-621 donors for Cu removal [45], as confirmed in Fig. 4. It is however difficult to tell which one allows 622 to obtain the highest vaporization properties. Indeed, Xia et al. [62] and Nowak et al. [44] 623 showed that MgCl₂ use allows slightly higher Cu removal rates than CaCl₂ while Yang et al. [63] 624 and Jeon et al. [53] observed the opposite behavior. As for the other Cl-donors, NaCl, PVC and 625 KCl seem slightly less efficient to enhance Cu vaporization properties while NH₄Cl and FeCl₃ present low impacts (Fig. 4 and Tables S4 and S5). To understand these differences, it is 626 627 interesting to focus on the experiments performed with HCl gas (Table S5 and [48,56,58,72]). 628 Vogel et al. [48] detected 1093 ppm of Cu in the solid residues obtained after thermochemical 629 treatment at 1000 °C without HCl while only 39 ppm were remaining after a treatment with HCl 630 at 178 g Cl/kg SS ashes. These results mean that copper easily interacts with HCl, as also 631 reported by Fraissler et al. [43]. It may explain why indirect chlorinating agents like MgCl₂ and 632 CaCl₂ generally present the highest impact on Cu vaporization during SS or SS ashes 633 thermochemical treatments (Fig. 4).

Nowak et al. [52] observed that increasing the residence time during the treatment of municipal solid waste fly ash with CaCl₂ at a concentration of 170 g Cl/kg raw material in a rotary kiln increases significantly Cu removal rates (**Table S3**). The authors thus reported a Cu vaporization of only 23% at 1000 °C for a 10 min residence time against 44% at the same temperature when the ashes are kept 45 min in the kiln [52]. In another work, they also reported an increase from 26 to 67% for Cu removal rate when the residence time increases from 10 to 30 min at 850 °C with a concentrations of 150 g Cl/kg raw material [50].

641 Low Cu removal rates can be observed in some works even at high temperature, with a high Cl 642 content and a long residence time (Fig. 4). For example, Jeon et al. [53] reported Cu vaporization 643 rates of 36 and 48% with MgCl₂ and CaCl₂ respectively, for fly ashes treated at 950 °C under air for 30 644 min and with 100 g Cl/kg ashes. Nowak et al. [52] also observed less than 30% removal for fly ashes 645 treatment under air at 1050 °C for 45 min with 120 and 170 g Cl/kg ashes as CaCl₂ (Table S3). The 646 authors claim that the low vaporization rates are due to the chosen operating conditions leading to 647 important HCl and Cl₂ loss in the gas phase without interaction with Cu [52]. It was subsequently 648 corrected in their works, leading to high Cu removal rates reported in [44,51]. The data from Nowak 649 et al. in [52] concerning Cu removal were therefore excluded from the box plot in Fig. 4. Finally, Yu et 650 al. [57] also reported lower Cu vaporization rates under reductive conditions than under oxidative 651 conditions (37 against 89% at 1000 °C with MgCl₂ at 150 g Cl/kg raw material, Table S2). It was 652 however not confirmed by Xia et al. [62].

653 Concerning Zn, (i) the treatment temperature (orange and red points in **Fig. 4**) (ii), the Cl-content 654 (red and dark-red points, **Fig. S2**) and donor nature (**Fig. 4**) (iii) and the residence time also seem to 655 be the most important parameters to promote its vaporization during SS or SS ashes thermochemical 656 treatment:

657 (i) For temperature, Nowak et al. [44] showed that, with MgCl₂ as Cl-donor, increasing the
658 temperature from 900 to 1200 °C allows Zn removal rates to shift from 36 to 93% with a
659 constant Cl content of 100 g Cl/kg ashes. Adam et al. [45] reported Zn contents in solid residues
660 of 922, 654, 391 and 85 ppm after thermochemical treatment with MgCl₂ as Cl-donor at 750,
661 800, 900 and 1000 °C respectively (Table S2). Increasing the treatment temperature therefore
662 enhances Zn vaporization properties (orange and red points in Fig. 4). In general, it can be stated
663 that at least 900 °C are required to obtain Zn removal rates higher than 80% during SS or SS

- ashes thermochemical treatments with Cl-donors (Table 4). Under reductive conditions with Cl
 addition (MgCl₂, CaCl₂, NaCl or PVC), 80% Zn vaporization can even be reached with a treatment
 temperature as low as 300 °C [62]. Indeed, when no O₂ is involved during thermochemical
 treatment, ZnCl₂ formation is favored in comparison to ZnO [46].
- Increasing the CI content during thermochemical treatment seems to have a highly positive 668 (ii) 669 impact on Zn removal (red and dark-red points, Fig. S2). Liu et al. [69] reported vaporization 670 rates of 49, 64, 72 and 89% when respectively 0, 1, 3 and 5% of Cl is added to dehydrated SS as 671 NaCl and treated at 850 °C under air (Table S4). Yang et al. [63] detected Zn concentrations in 672 solid residues of 1215, 359, 109, 50 and 35 ppm after thermochemical treatment at 1000 °C with 673 0, 1, 2, 5 and 10% of Ca (as CaCl₂) respectively (Table S3). Concerning the different Cl-donors, as 674 visible in Fig. 4, it seems that CaCl₂, PVC and MgCl₂ are more efficient to vaporize Zn than the other Cl-donors. For KCl, the low removal rates reported are likely to be due to its high thermal 675 676 stability limiting Zn and Cl interaction [49,70].
- 677 (iii) Concerning the residence time, Nowak et al. [52] showed important increase in Zn removal rates 678 when the fly ashes reactor residence time goes from 10 to 45 min (**Table S3**). Indeed, in the 679 chlorination process, kinetics is the limiting step and longer residence time therefore allows 680 higher removal rates.
- 681 Zn removal rates below 50% can be observed in some works from Fraissler et al. [43], Jeon et al. 682 [53], Nowak et al. [44,52] and Mattenberger et al. [49] (Fig. 4). Different mechanisms can be 683 involved. For example, Vogel et al. [76] showed that sulfur rich SS ashes can lead to the formation of 684 the solid and stable ZnS compound, limiting zinc removal as ZnCl₂. Oxidative conditions can also limit 685 chlorination mechanisms by favoring ZnO formation instead of ZnCl₂ [46]. Finally, operating 686 conditions leading to CI vaporization to the gas phase without reacting with SS (high gas velocity in a 687 fluidized bed reactor or a rotary kiln and/or use of a volatile Cl-donor such as NaCl) can also limit Zn 688 removal [44,52].
- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{689} & \text{As a general conclusion on Cu and Zn removal during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment, their} \\ \text{690} & \text{vaporization can be considered as Cl-donor dependent. Indirect chlorination agents such as MgCl_2} \\ \text{691} & \text{and CaCl_2 (about 100-150 g Cl/kg raw material) seem to be the best Cl-donors and treatment} \end{array}$
- temperatures above 900 °C are required to reach removal rates higher than 80% (Fig.4 and Table 4).

693 5.3. Chromium and nickel





Figure 5 – Boxplots compiling data from Tables S1 to S5 and representing the reported Cr and Ni removal rates as a function of the treatment temperature (color scale), the reference studied (shape scale) and the used Cl-donor (none, MgCl₂, CaCl₂, NaCl, PVC and other Cl-based). The mean (dotted horizontal line) and median (horizontal line) removal rates for each TME are also shown, along with the first and third quartiles (black rectangles) and the 95% confidence interval (black vertical line).

700 Chromium and nickel are considered as low-volatile TME [43]. Achieving high vaporization rates 701 during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment is therefore challenging (Fig. 5). Indeed, with only a 702 few exceptions, the reported Cr and Ni removal rates are most of the time below 40%, as visible in 703 Fig. 5 and Tables S2 to S5. It can however be noticed in Fig. 5 that, for Ni, high treatment 704 temperatures (above 1000 °C, red points) combined with CaCl₂ or NaCl use seem to slightly enhance 705 the vaporization rates in comparison with the other Cl-donors. PVC may also be promising for 706 treatment at lower temperatures (Fig. 5). For Cr, NaCl and PVC seem to be the most efficient Cl-707 donors (Fig. 5). However, due to a lack of data for these Cl-donors, Fig. S3 does not allow to see 708 visible correlations between Cr and Ni removal rate and increasing Cl content.

- For Cr, the works reporting removal rates above 40% during SS or SS ashes thermochemicaltreatments are scarce:
- Liu et al. [69] reported removal rates above 60% without Cl addition at a temperature as low as 550 °C (Table S1). These results are quite surprising as Cr is considered as a low volatile element (section 3.3.). The obtained removal rates of 72 and 71% during thermochemical treatment at 850 °C with NaCl and PVC respectively are also difficult to explain and were unfortunately not much discussed by the authors (Tables S4 and S5) [69]. They were therefore excluded from the database used to build Figs. 5 and S3.
- Yang et al. [63] showed that 10% Ca addition (as CaCl₂) and a thermochemical treatment at 1000 °C allows to decrease the Cr content in the obtained solid residues from 126 ppm when no CaCl₂ is involved to 70 ppm (**Table S3**). However, according to the authors, Cr cannot be removed by chlorination and the decrease in Cr content was attributed to the dilution effect by the added CaCl₂ [63].
- Nowak et al. [44] reported a 54% vaporization rate for Cr when fly ashes are treated at 1000
 °C with NaCl in high amounts (200 g Cl/kg fly ashes, **Table S4**). In comparison with MgCl₂ and

- 724 CaCl₂, NaCl and PVC seem to be the only Cl-donor slightly enhancing Cr removal (**Fig. 5 and** 725 **S3**). According to the authors, when MgCl₂ and CaCl₂ are used during thermochemical 726 treatment, CaCr₂O₄ and MgCr₂O₄ stable compounds can be formed, with a negative impact 727 on Cr vaporization properties [44]. Kurashima et al. [72,73] also observed that Mg-based 728 compounds addition during thermochemical treatment can lead to the formation of 729 (MgO)(Cr₂O₃) and limit Cr removal at high temperature.
- Thermodynamic simulations performed by Fraissler et al. [43] show that Cr removal at temperatures lower than 1000 °C is theoretically possible in only one scenario (not verified experimentally): Si predominance in the raw SS ash, H₂O absence in the flue gas and high Cl content of at least 10wt%.
- For Ni, the works reporting removal rates above 40% during SS or SS ashes thermochemicaltreatments are the followings:
- As detailed in a previous section (section 3.3.), Kuboňová et al. [85] reported Ni removal rates above 50% during thermochemical treatment in a rotary kiln without Cl addition. The authors explained the observed results by enhanced mass transfers between solid and gas phase due to rotary kiln use, and a high Cl content in the raw fly ashes [85]. The results are however questionable and were not further confirmed for other experiments with rotary kilns [43,45,48]. They were therefore excluded from the database used to build Figs. 5 and 53.
- Nowak et al. [44] reported nickel vaporization rates of 47 and 65% for thermochemical treatment with 200 and 150 g Cl/kg fly ash as CaCl₂ at 1100 and 1200 °C respectively (Table S3). CaCl₂ addition in high amounts combined with a high temperature treatment therefore seems to slightly enhance Ni vaporization properties, but it was not observed again.
- According to the thermodynamic simulations performed by Fraissler et al. [43] and Liu et al.
 [78], nickel vaporization at temperatures below 1000 °C is challenging. Like for chromium, Cl
 presence in amounts above 10wt% can enhance nickel vaporization, but only in H₂O absence
 in the flue gas (Cl₂ formation rather than HCl) [43]. Fe shows a negative influence on Ni
 volatilization because of the stable ferrite NiFe₂O₄ formation.

Cr and Ni removal during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment is difficult, even with chlorination (Table 4). From the boxplots presented in Fig. 5 and S3, it can however be stated that PVC and NaCl seem to be the most promising Cl-donors for Cr and Ni removal combined with high treatment temperatures (\geq 1000 °C) and Cl contents (> 150 g Cl/kg raw material). Unfortunately, only few works focused on these two compounds vaporization, which results in a lack of data and little information on the reaction mechanisms.

758 5.4. <u>Arsenic</u>



759

Figure 6 – Boxplots compiling data from Tables S1 to S5 and representing the reported As removal rates as a function of the treatment temperature (color scale), the reference studied (shape scale) and the used Cl-donor (none, MgCl₂, CaCl₂, NaCl, PVC and other Cl-based). The mean (dotted horizontal line) and median (horizontal line) removal rates for As are also shown, along with the first and third quartiles (black rectangles) and the 95% confidence interval (black vertical line).

764 Arsenic vaporization properties during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment has not been much 765 explored in comparison with the other TME (Figs. 6 and S4). Only few works determined its content in the obtained solid residues [45-48,62,77,81,90]. During thermochemical treatment, the As 766 767 vaporization rates remain most of the time below 60% (Fig. 6). Xia et al. [62] thus reported removal rates of 7, 39 and 38% for SS treatment at 900 °C with 80 g Cl/kg SS as NaCl, MgCl₂ and CaCl₂ 768 respectively (Tables S2 to S4). Vogel et al. [48] showed that 150 g Cl/kg SS ashes addition (as CaCl₂) 769 and a thermochemical treatment at 1000 °C allows to slightly decrease the As content in the 770 771 obtained solid residues from 34 ppm when no CaCl₂ is involved to 21 ppm (Table S3). The reported vaporization rates are in the same order of magnitude as those observed during simple 772 thermochemical treatment without additives (Fig. 6). It therefore seems that Cl addition has no 773 774 significant impact on As removal. Indeed, as reported by Shen et al. [77] and Liu et al. [98], and 775 according to thermodynamic simulations, the interaction between As and Cl is low as arsenic 776 preferentially interacts with the major elements present in the sludge. It is confirmed in Fig. S4 777 showing no visible correlations between As removal rates and Cl addition in high amounts during 778 thermochemical treatment.

779 Arsenic removal rates above 50% were reported in some works. Li et al. [47] reported 60 and 69% 780 vaporization rates for As after thermochemical treatment at 1100 °C with MgCl₂ (100 g Cl/kg SS 781 ashes) and PVC (250 g Cl/kg SS ashes) respectively (Tables S2 and S5). The high removal rates are 782 likely to be due to the combination of the high treatment temperature (1100 °C) and the Si-rich SS ashes used as raw material (section 3.2.). Xia et al. [62] reported As removal rates of 56% at 700 °C 783 784 when PVC is used as Cl-donor (Table S5). In the work of Shen et al. [77], vaporization rates as high as 785 74 and 89% were reported for a treatment with PVC (5% Cl) at 800 and 850 °C respectively (Table 786 **S5**). PVC use as Cl-donor during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment may therefore help for arsenic removal (as also visible in Fig. 6). No reaction mechanisms were however suggested and thelack of data for this element limits the extent of the conclusions.

789 6. Phosphorus recovery

So far, the focus has been on how to vaporize TME during SS or SS ashes thermochemical treatment by optimizing the operating conditions and the Cl-donor nature. However, the impact on P of these parameters has not yet been discussed. This part is therefore dedicated to the impact of the thermochemical treatments on the forms and solubility of P (note that this has been studied in relatively few papers [45–48,53,62,63,65,78]).

Table 5 compiles data from literature and presents the impact of the thermochemical treatment conditions on P fixation rates, P forms and P solubility in 2% citric acid (P_{cit}) and in neutral ammonium citrate (P_{NAC}). P solubility is a good indicator of its bioavailability for plants. The Cl-donors investigated are the followings: MgCl₂, CaCl₂, NaCl, KCl, PVC, AlCl₃ and HCl [45–48,53,62,63]. Results with other additives such as MgCO₃, MgO, Na₂SO₄, Na₂CO₃, NaOH, K₂SO₄, K₂CO₃ and KOH were also reported [78].

801 First, it is interesting to focus on the P forms initially present in the raw SS used. During 802 wastewater treatment, P must be separated from the outflow of the treatment plant to avoid 803 eutrophication phenomena [27]. Enhanced biological P removal treatment is predominantly used 804 [26]. It is a multistep process alternating aerobic and anaerobic stages. According to Cieslik et al. [27], 805 during biological treatment, most of the P is stored in the activated sludge flora cells as organic 806 adenosine triphosphates ($C_{10}H_{16}N_5O_{13}P_3$). Al and Fe based coagulants (AlCl₃, Al₂(SO₄)₃, FeCl₃, 807 $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$) can also be added during wastewater treatment, resulting in the precipitation of P in iron 808 and aluminum forms [8]. In raw SS, P can therefore be found in organic but also inorganic forms, 809 depending on the sludge origin. Unfortunately, the initial forms of P were not reported in the 810 reviewed literature. Xia et al. [62] only determined a P_{NAC} of 15% for the raw SS used (Table 5).

During classic SS thermochemical treatments such as incineration, pyrolysis or gasification 811 812 (without Cl-donors), the organic P forms initially present in the SS are converted to inorganic forms 813 (Table 5). Xia et al. [62] thus detected FePO₄ as the predominant crystalline form of P after pyrolysis 814 treatment at 700 °C. Adam et al. [45] and Vogel et al. [46,48] showed that the ashes obtained after 815 incineration were enriched with $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$ and AIPO₄. Yang et al. [63] showed that with increasing combustion temperature, Fe/Al-P species (Ca₉Fe(PO₄)₇ and AlPO₄) transform into Ca-P species. It is 816 817 confirmed by Meng et al. [8], who show that SS incineration leads to the formation of apatite 818 $(Ca_5(PO_4)_3[OH, Cl, F])$ from Al and Fe phosphates and amorphous Ca phosphate phases. Concerning P 819 fixation rates, Yang et al. [63] showed that increasing the incineration temperature from 800 to 1000 820 °C improves P fixation in solid residues from 69 to 82%, with a maximum of 89% at 950 °C (Table 5). 821 According to the authors, high temperature treatment stimulates the transformation of Fe/Al-P 822 species to Ca-P, which is beneficial for P fixation since Ca-P species are more stable than Fe/Al-P ones 823 [63]. An increase in P_{cit} with increasing treatment temperature was also reported by Yang et al. [63], 824 because Ca-P species are more soluble than Fe/Al-P ones (Table 5). Xia et al. [62] showed that 825 pyrolysis at 700 °C increases P_{NAC} from 15% (for raw SS) to 39% (Table 5). SS classic thermochemical 826 treatments therefore seem to enhance both P fixation rates and solubility.

Table 5 – P fixat	tion rates, solubil	ity and forms after	thermochemica	al treatments unde	r various operatir	ng conditi	ons																																															
	Feed	material			Thermochemical	treatmen	t				Р																																											
Reference	_	Major	Residence			- (0.0)	Additive		Fixation	Solu	ubility (%) [†]																																											
	Туре	elements-wt%	time (min)	Treatment gas	Reactor type	т (°С)	nature	Concentration	(%) [†]	Cit 2%	NAC^	Forms																																										
				N	Io thermochemica	al treatme	nt				15	/																																										
							No a	additives			39	FePO ₄																																										
Via at al [C]	WWTP ⁺ dried	/		Durahusis undar	Lab-scale		PVC		,	,	72	AIPO ₄																																										
	SS	/	240	Pyrolysis under	horizontal	700	NaCl	20 a Cl /ka	/	/	74	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ Cl																																										
				IN2	furnace		MgCl ₂	au g Ci/kg			76	Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂																																										
							CaCl ₂				74	Ca ₂ PO ₄ Cl																																										
						No	o thermochemic	al treatment		29		$Ca_3(PO_4)_2 + AIPO_4$																																										
		SiO 21 6				450				44		$Ca_3(PO_4)_2 + AIPO_4$																																										
Adam et al		$P_2O_5-21.3$		Compustion	Lab scale	600	_			74		Ca ₂ PO ₄ Cl																																										
[45]	SSAs°		60	under air	rotary furnace	750	MaCla	150 g Cl/kg	/	79	/	Ca ₂ PO ₄ Cl																																										
[45]		$C_{2}O_{3}=10.5$		under an	Totary furnace	800	IVIGC12	150 g CI/ kg		86		Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂																																										
		640 15.7				900				93		Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂																																										
						1000				97		Ca ₄ Mg ₅ (PO ₄) ₆																																										
		Ca-13 /		Combustion under air	Lab scale	No	o thermochemic	al treatment		43	10	$Ca_3(PO_4)_2 + AIPO_4$																																										
Vogel et al	SSAs°	Fe-10.0 P-9.0 Al-5.6	30				MgCl ₂			71	22	Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂																																										
[48]					rotary furnace	1000	CaCl ₂	150 g Cl/kg	/	64	3	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ Cl																																										
[40]							1000	HCI			53	6	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ Cl + AlPO ₄																																									
								MgCO ₃ /HCl	+ 50 g Mg/kg		71	15	Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂																																									
						No	o thermochemic	al treatment	_		14	$Ca_3(PO_4)_2 + AIPO_4$																																										
						800	-				25																																											
		Si-10.3				850	PVC + MgO	150 g Cl/kg			28																																											
Vogel et al.	SSAs°	Fe-9.6	20	Combustion	Lab scale	900	i ve i inge	+ 95 g Mg/kg	/	/	33	$M\sigma_2(PO_4)_2 +$																																										
[46]	00/10	Ca-9.1	20	under air	rotary furnace	950			,	'	37	$Ca_4Mg_5(PO_4)_6$																																										
		P-9.1						100 + 95	_		25	00411185(1 0 470																																										
						900	PVC + MgO	150 + 95	_		33																																											
								200 + 95			26																																											
							Na ₂ SO ₄				95																																											
		Si-11					Na ₂ CO ₃				90																																											
Herzel et al.	SSAs°	Ca-11	30	Combustion	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	Crucible	1000	NaOH	2 mol/mol P	/	/	85	/
[78]		P-9	30	under air			K ₂ SO ₄		,	,	45																																											
		AI-7					K ₂ CO ₃				70																																											
							КОН				75																																											

	Feed I	naterial			Thermochemi	cal treatm	ent				Р																								
Reference	Туре	Major elements-wt%	Residence time (min)	Treatment gas	Reactor type	т (°С)	Additive nature	Concentration	Fixation (%) [†]	Solu Cit 2%	bility (%) [†] NAC^	Forms																							
						800 850 900 950 1000	No a	dditives	69 72 78 89 82	6 7 9 13 22		Transformation from Fe/Al-P to Ca- P																							
								0% Mg	69	6		$Ca_9Fe(PO_4)_7 + AIPO_4$																							
								1% Mg	87	36																									
						800		1.6% Mg	90	50		Ca4Mg5(PO4)6 +																							
								2.5% Mg	95	69		Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂																							
								3% Mg	94	64																									
								0% Mg	78	9		$Ca_9Fe(PO_4)_7 + AIPO_4$																							
							MgCl ₂	1% Mg	92	35																									
						900	<u> </u>	1.6% Mg	95	49		Ca4Mg5(PO4)6 +																							
								2.5% Mg	98	66		Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂																							
				Combustion				3% Mg	99	64																									
	Dewatered SS							0% Mg	82	22		$Ca_9Fe(PO_4)_7 + AIPO_4$																							
Vang at al		Fe-11			Combustion	Lab-scale	Lab scalo	Lab-scale	Lab-scale	Lab-scale			1% Mg	85	37																				
		P-8 Si-5	120			under air	under air				Lab-scale muffle oven	Lab-scale	muffle oven	muffle oven	muffle oven	muffle oven	muffle oven	muffle oven	muffle oven	muffle oven	muffle oven	nuffle oven	Lab-scale	Lab-scale	Lab-scale	Lab-scale	Lab-scale	LdD-SCale muffle oven	muffle oven	muffle oven	Lab-scale muffle oven	muffle oven	muffle oven	muffle oven	1000
[00]					inume oven			2.5% Mg	94	37		Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂																							
								3% Mg	93	41																									
										0% Ca	69	6		$Ca_9Fe(PO_4)_7 + AIPO_4$																					
								1% Ca	90	13																									
						800		3% Ca	92	31		Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ F _{0.09} Cl _{0.88} +																							
								5% Ca	98	49		Ca ₂ PO ₄ Cl																							
								10% Ca	98	56																									
								0% Ca	78	9		$Ca_9Fe(PO_4)_7 + AIPO_4$																							
								1% Ca	90	13																									
						900	CaCl ₂	3% Ca	96	16		Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ F _{0.09} Cl _{0.88} +																							
								5% Ca	97	23		Ca ₂ PO ₄ Cl																							
								10% Ca	99	31																									
								0% Ca	82	22		$Ca_9Fe(PO_4)_7 + AIPO_4$																							
								1% Ca	91	22																									
								1		1000		3% Ca	92	19		Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ F _{0.09} Cl _{0.88} +																			
								5% Ca	95	21		Ca ₂ PO ₄ Cl																							
								10% Ca	97	30																									

	Feed	material	Thermochemical treatment							Р												
Reference	Туре	Major	Residence	Treatment gas	Reactor type	T (°C)	Additive	Concentration	Fixation Solu		bility (%) [†] Forms											
	туре	elements-wt%	time (min)	freatment gas	Reactor type	1(0)	nature	(g Cl/kg)	(%)†	Cit 2%	NAC^	TOTINS										
				N	lo thermochemica	al treatme	nt			$AIPO_4 + KH_2PO_4 + Ca_3(PO_4)_2$												
		Ca-9 Al-9 P-9 Fe-6					No a	additives	100	45	Ca7Mg2F	P ₆ O ₂₄ + Fe ₃ (PO ₄) ₂										
loop at al					Lab-scale muffle furnace	ion Lab-scale ir muffle S	Lab scalo	Lab scale	Lab scala	Lab scalo	Lab scalo	Lab and		Lab scalo	Lab scala		MgCl ₂		100	72	AIPO ₄ + Mg ₃	$(PO_4)_2 + Ca_5(PO_4)_3Cl$
[52]	SS fly ash		30	Combustion			950	CaCl ₂		95	63	Ca₅(PO₂	a) ₃ Cl + Ca ₃ (PO ₄) ₂									
[55]			50	under air			furnace	930	NaCl	80	95	52										
					Turnace		KCI		90	28	Clod formation											
							AICI ₃		100	45												
				No thermochemi			nt			Ca ₉ Al(PO	4)7 + Ca3(PO4)5O	H + Al(PO4)										
								0	83			Ca ₉ Al(PO ₄) ₇										
												50	91									
		Si 26														PVC	100	92			Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ F _{0.09} Cl _{0.88} +	
	P-oprichod	Ca-18							150	92			$Zn_3P_2 + Ni_2P_5 + Cu_3P$									
Li et al. [47]	SSΔs°	P-17	30	Combustion	Lab-scale tube	1100		250	92	/	/											
	33/13	ΔΙ-14	50	under air	furnace	1100		0	83		/	Ca ₉ Al(PO ₄) ₇										
		/11 14						50	93													
						MgCl ₂	100	94			Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ F _{0.09} Cl _{0.88} +											
															150	98			$Zn_3P_2 + Ni_2P_5 + Cu_3P$			
								250	97													

⁺ WWTP = wastewater treatment plant

MSW = municipal solid waste

° SSAs = sewage sludge ashes from incineration plant

⁺ Color scales: low P solubility in red (<20%), medium in yellow (40-60%) and high in green (>80%)

^ NAC = neutral ammonium citrate

827 When Cl-donors are added to SS or SS ashes, the fixation rate and the solubility of P are in most 828 cases greatly enhanced (Table 5). Yang et al. [63] thus reported an improvement in P fixation rate at 829 800 °C, from 69% without additive to 94 and 98% when MgCl₂ (3% Mg) and CaCl₂ (10% Ca) are 830 respectively added (due to Mg and Ca addition and interaction with P, Table 5). Furthermore, the 831 authors also reported a significant increase in P_{cit}, from 6% without Cl-donor to 64 and 56% with 832 MgCl₂ (3% Mg) and CaCl₂ (10% Ca) respectively. Similar trends were observed in most publications 833 (Table 5). Adam et al. [45] reported a P_{cit} of 97% when SS ashes are treated at 1000 °C with 150 g Cl/kg as MgCl₂. Vogel et al. [46] showed an increase in P_{NAC} from 14% for raw ashes to 37% when a 834 835 mixture of PVC (150 g Cl/kg) and MgO (95 g/kg) is used for thermochemical treatment at 950 °C. 836 Finally, Xia et al. [62] observed a shift in P_{NAC} from 15% for raw SS to 74% for the ashes after pyrolysis 837 at 700 °C with 80 g Cl/kg SS as CaCl₂.

838 Interestingly, the various Cl-donors used to promote TME vaporization seem to have different 839 impacts on P solubility after thermochemical treatment (Table 5). In the work of Jeon et al. [53], the 840 obtained P_{cit} are of 72, 63, 52, 28 and 45% when MgCl₂, CaCl₂, NaCl, KCl and AlCl₃ are respectively 841 used as Cl-donors in the same amounts. Vogel et al. [48] reported a P_{cit} of 71, 64, 53 and 71% with 842 MgCl₂, CaCl₂, HCl and a mixture of MgCO₃ and HCl respectively. It therefore seems that to increase P 843 solubility, MgCl₂ is the best Cl-donor, followed by CaCl₂. It is due to the presence of Mg and its 844 reaction with P to form highly soluble P forms such as $Mg_3(PO_4)_2$ (below 1000 °C) and $Ca_4Mg_5(PO_4)_6$ 845 (above 1000 °C) [45]. It also explains why, when mixtures of MgCO₃ and HCl or of MgO and PVC are 846 used as additive, high P solubility is also reported by Vogel et al. [46,48] (Table 5). When $CaCl_2$ is 847 involved during thermochemical treatment, Ca-P-Cl species such as Ca₅(PO₄)₃Cl, Ca₅(PO₄)₃F_{0.09}Cl_{0.88} 848 and Ca₂PO₄Cl are detected (Table 5) [53,63]. Finally, the other Cl-donors (NaCl, KCl, PVC, AlCl₃) do not 849 seem to have a significant impact on P solubility [46,48,53].

850 High P_{NAC} are observed in the work of Xia et al. [63], during pyrolysis treatments with Mg-free 851 additives (72, 74 and 74% with NaCl, PVC and CaCl₂ respectively). Furthermore, the highest P_{NAC} are 852 observed in the work of Herzel et al. [78], when additives without Cl are used. P_{NAC} of 95, 90 and 85% 853 were thus reported with Na₂SO₄, Na₂CO₃ and NaOH respectively, leading to a fertilizing effect 854 comparable to triple superphosphate. Unfortunately, no mechanism is proposed to explain how 855 these additives react with the phosphate species in the SS ashes to form new P-containing mineral 856 species with high solubility. Furthermore, the reported TME removal rates are not as high as when Cl-857 donor are used for thermochemical treatment, especially for Cu, Cr, Ni, Pb and Zn [78]. However, MgCl₂ and Na₂SO₄ mixtures and/or reductive treatment conditions may be interesting to test, to 858 859 combine high impacts on TME and P.

860 7. Conclusion and research perspectives

Phosphorus is a non-substitutable element necessary for plant growth whose supply is increasingly limited and currently controlled by very few countries. The EU Commission has classified P as a critical raw material in 2014 and recovery processes need to develop in the coming decades. According to Schnell et al. [38], in Germany, with upcoming regulations on P recovery restricting SS co-incineration disposal route, the most expected strategy is the development of new capacities for SS mono-incineration plants with downstream P recovery from produced ashes.

To that extend, SS ashes thermochemical treatment with Cl-donor may be a particularly relevant solution. Indeed, it allows an efficient removal of TME by the gas phase while enriching P in the solid residues in highly soluble forms. Metal chlorides such as MgCl₂, CaCl₂ and NaCl are mostly used as Cldonors, offering the advantages of low costs, easy availability, low toxicity and trouble-free handlings during the chlorination process. MgCl₂ appears to be the most interesting Cl-donor because it has a combined impact on TME vaporization and P solubility. SS ashes thermochemical treatment under air with 100-150 g Cl/kg as MgCl₂ at 950-1000 °C thus allows, in average, removal rates of 40-50, >90, 10-20, >80, >90, 10-20, >90 and >80% for As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb and Zn respectively and a P_{cit} around 80-90%. For the downstream recovery of P from SS ashes, the development of such thermochemical treatments may therefore be particularly relevant.

877 As discussed in detail in this review, the studied TME have different vaporization properties. Fig. 878 7 summarizes the present results and compares the impact on TME vaporization and P bioavailability 879 of a conventional SS thermochemical treatment without additives and a thermochemical process with MgCl₂ (for Cd, Cu, Hg, Pb and Zn) or PVC (for As, Cr and Ni) as Cl-donor. Thus, for materials with 880 high Hg, Cd and/or Pb contents (and low contents for the other TME), a conventional 881 882 thermochemical treatment without additives at a temperature above 900 °C is most of the time 883 satisfying to produce P-rich solid residues that may be directly recovered as fertilizers or soil 884 conditioners. High Zn and/or Cu contents require chlorine addition, preferentially in the form of MgCl₂ and a thermochemical treatment above 900 °C. It is interesting to mention that the use of 885 886 MgCl₂ generally enhances the bioavailability of P in the solid residues obtained. For materials 887 containing high As, Cr or Ni contents, thermochemical treatments may not be the best technical 888 solutions at this time.



889

Figure 7 – Summary graph comparing the impact on TME vaporization and P bioavailability of a classic SS thermochemical
 treatment (no additives) and a thermochemical process with MgCl₂ and/or PVC (for As, Cr and Ni removal) as Cl-donors. The
 circle size is related to the content in the different phases and was build thanks to the median removal rates compiled in
 Tables 3 and 4.

Some limitations require further research and discussions. First the reported removal rates for Cr, Ni and As are so far below 50%. Therefore, other additives and treatment conditions need to be investigated with a focus on these three elements. Another limitation is the high Cl-contents used to achieve high removal rates. They are mostly above 100 g Cl/kg SS ashes which is a large excess (50 to 898 100 times more) compared to the TME concentrations in SS ash. Not many works trying to optimize 899 the CI-TME contacts in order to minimize CI addition were reported. Finally, few studies have 900 addressed on the impact of mixtures with different Cl-donors during thermochemical treatment, 901 when this could be a solution to improve As, Cr and Ni removal while preserving high vaporization 902 properties for the other TME. Moreover, despite many advantages (single industrial installation, 903 higher energy yields, combined P and energy recovery, high TME removal rates), direct SS 904 thermochemical treatments by incineration, pyrolysis or gasification with Cl-donors are currently 905 only poorly studied.

Therefore, many questions need to be addressed such as the impact of the Cl-rich gases produced during the treatment process (corrosion issue on the reactor and pipes), the overall energy efficiency, the TME removal rates and P solubility under various unexplored operating conditions, the fate of the TME and their possible treatment after removal by the gas phase, the leachability of the remaining TME in the solid residues, the organic matter influence, the impact of scaling up (batch to continuous mode, lab to pilot and industrial scale), etc.

912 Acknowledgments

913 The authors thank Geocycle and LafargeHolcim Innovation Center, especially Christophe Guyot and

914 Elodie André-Navarro, for fruitful discussions and financial support to the project. This work was also

915 realized within the Graduate School H2O'Lyon (ANR-17-EURE-0018) and Université de Lyon (UdL), as

916 part of the program "Investissements d'Avenir" run by Agence Nationale de la Rercherche (ANR).

- 917
- 918 Conflicts of interest

919	There	are	no	conflicts	to	declare.

References

- D. Cordell, J.-O. Drangert, S. White, The story of phosphorus: Global food security and food for thought, Glob. Environ. Change. 19 (2009) 292–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2008.10.009.
- [2] S. Daneshgar, A. Callegari, A.G. Capodaglio, D. Vaccari, The Potential Phosphorus Crisis: Resource Conservation and Possible Escape Technologies: A Review, Resources. 7 (2018) 37. https://doi.org/10.3390/resources7020037.
- [3] S. Samreen, S. Kausar, Phosphorus Fertilizer: The Original and Commercial Sources, Phosphorus - Recovery Recycl. (2019). https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.82240.
- [4] K.C. Ruttenberg, 8.13 The Global Phosphorus Cycle, in: H.D. Holland, K.K. Turekian (Eds.), Treatise Geochem., Pergamon, Oxford, 2003: pp. 585–643. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043751-6/08153-6.
- [5] D.A. Vaccari, Resilience of phosphorus cycling, Nat. Food. 1 (2020) 329–329. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-0103-0.
- [6] X. Liu, H. Sheng, S. Jiang, Z. Yuan, C. Zhang, J.J. Elser, Intensification of phosphorus cycling in China since the 1600s, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113 (2016) 2609–2614. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1519554113.
- [7] L. Reijnders, Phosphorus resources, their depletion and conservation, a review, Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 93 (2014) 32–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2014.09.006.
- [8] X. Meng, Q. Huang, J. Xu, H. Gao, J. Yan, A review of phosphorus recovery from different thermal treatment products of sewage sludge, Waste Dispos. Sustain. Energy. 1 (2019) 99–115. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42768-019-00007-x.
- [9] D. Cordell, S. White, Peak Phosphorus: Clarifying the Key Issues of a Vigorous Debate about Long-Term Phosphorus Security, Sustainability. 3 (2011) 2027–2049. https://doi.org/10.3390/su3102027.
- [10] E. Desmidt, K. Ghyselbrecht, Y. Zhang, L. Pinoy, B.V. der Bruggen, W. Verstraete, K. Rabaey, B. Meesschaert, Global Phosphorus Scarcity and Full-Scale P-Recovery Techniques: A Review, Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45 (2015) 336–384. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2013.866531.
- J.J. Weeks, G.M. Hettiarachchi, A Review of the Latest in Phosphorus Fertilizer Technology: Possibilities and Pragmatism, J. Environ. Qual. 48 (2019) 1300–1313. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.02.0067.
- [12] J.W. Brinck, World resources of phosphorus, Ciba Found. Symp. (1977) 23–48. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470720387.ch3.
- [13] D. Cordell, S. White, Tracking phosphorus security: indicators of phosphorus vulnerability in the global food system, Food Secur. 7 (2015) 337–350. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-015-0442-0.
- [14] D. Cordell, S. White, Life's Bottleneck: Sustaining the World's Phosphorus for a Food Secure Future, in: A. Gadgil, D.M. Liverman (Eds.), Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. Vol 39, Annual Reviews, Palo Alto, 2014: pp. 161-+. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-010213-113300.
- [15] G.M. Filippelli, Phosphate rock formation and marine phosphorus geochemistry: the deep time
perspective,Chemosphere.84(2011)759–766.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.02.019.
- [16] D. Cordell, A. Turner, J. Chong, The hidden cost of phosphate fertilizers: mapping multistakeholder supply chain risks and impacts from mine to fork, Glob. Change Peace Secur. 27 (2015) 323–343. https://doi.org/10.1080/14781158.2015.1083540.
- [17] B. Jacobs, D. Cordell, J. Chin, H. Rowe, Towards phosphorus sustainability in North America: A model for transformational change, Environ. Sci. Policy. 77 (2017) 151–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.08.009.

- [18] T.-S. Neset, D. Cordell, S. Mohr, F. VanRiper, S. White, Visualizing Alternative Phosphorus Scenarios for Future Food Security, Front. Nutr. 3 (2016) 47. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2016.00047.
- [19] D. Cordell, T.-S.S. Neset, Phosphorus vulnerability: A qualitative framework for assessing the vulnerability of national and regional food systems to the multidimensional stressors of phosphorus scarcity, Glob. Environ. Change-Hum. Policy Dimens. 24 (2014) 108–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.11.005.
- [20] M. Nanda, D. Cordell, A. Kansal, Assessing national vulnerability to phosphorus scarcity to build food system resilience: The case of India, J. Environ. Manage. 240 (2019) 511–517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.03.115.
- [21] P.J.A. Withers, K.G. Forber, C. Lyon, S. Rothwell, D.G. Doody, H.P. Jarvie, J. Martin-Ortega, B. Jacobs, D. Cordell, M. Patton, M.A. Camargo-Valero, R. Cassidy, Towards resolving the phosphorus chaos created by food systems, Ambio. 49 (2020) 1076–1089. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01255-1.
- [22] R.B. Chowdhury, G.A. Moore, A.J. Weatherley, M. Arora, Key sustainability challenges for the global phosphorus resource, their implications for global food security, and options for mitigation, J. Clean. Prod. 140 (2017) 945–963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.07.012.
- [23] J.-O. Drangert, Phosphorus a Limited Resource that could be made Limitless, in: A. Mohamed (Ed.), Symphos 2011 - 1st Int. Symp. Innov. Technol. Phosphate Ind., Elsevier Science Bv, Amsterdam, 2012: pp. 228–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.09.469.
- [24] B.E. Rittmann, B. Mayer, P. Westerhoff, M. Edwards, Capturing the lost phosphorus, Chemosphere. 84 (2011) 846–853. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.02.001.
- [25] T.C. Daniel, A.N. Sharpley, J.L. Lemunyon, Agricultural phosphorus and eutrophication: A symposium overview, J. Environ. Qual. 27 (1998) 251–257. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1998.00472425002700020002x.
- [26] J.T. Bunce, E. Ndam, I.D. Ofiteru, A. Moore, D.W. Graham, A Review of Phosphorus Removal Technologies and Their Applicability to Small-Scale Domestic Wastewater Treatment Systems, Front. Environ. Sci. 6 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2018.00008.
- [27] B. Cieślik, P. Konieczka, A review of phosphorus recovery methods at various steps of wastewater treatment and sewage sludge management. The concept of "no solid waste generation" and analytical methods, J. Clean. Prod. 142 (2017) 1728–1740. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.11.116.
- [28] P. Cornel, C. Schaum, Phosphorus recovery from wastewater: needs, technologies and costs, Water Sci. Technol. 59 (2009) 1069–1076. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2009.045.
- [29] J. Havukainen, M.T. Nguyen, L. Hermann, M. Horttanainen, M. Mikkilä, I. Deviatkin, L. Linnanen, Potential of phosphorus recovery from sewage sludge and manure ash by thermochemical treatment, Waste Manag. 49 (2016) 221–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.01.020.
- [30] S. Kataki, H. West, M. Clarke, D.C. Baruah, Phosphorus recovery as struvite: Recent concerns for use of seed, alternative Mg source, nitrogen conservation and fertilizer potential, Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 107 (2016) 142–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.12.009.
- [31] B. Li, I. Boiarkina, W. Yu, H.M. Huang, T. Munir, G.Q. Wang, B.R. Young, Phosphorous recovery through struvite crystallization: Challenges for future design, Sci. Total Environ. 648 (2019) 1244–1256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.166.
- [32] A. Nättorp, C. Kabbe, K. Matsubae, H. Ohtake, Development of Phosphorus Recycling in Europe and Japan, in: H. Ohtake, S. Tsuneda (Eds.), Phosphorus Recovery Recycl., Springer, Singapore, 2019: pp. 3–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-8031-9_1.
- [33] D. Fytili, A. Zabaniotou, Utilization of sewage sludge in EU application of old and new methods—A review, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 12 (2008) 116–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2006.05.014.
- [34] A. Raheem, V.S. Sikarwar, J. He, W. Dastyar, D.D. Dionysiou, W. Wang, M. Zhao, Opportunities and challenges in sustainable treatment and resource reuse of sewage sludge: A review, Chem. Eng. J. 337 (2018) 616–641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.12.149.

- [35] V.K. Tyagi, S.-L. Lo, Sludge: A waste or renewable source for energy and resources recovery?, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 25 (2013) 708–728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.05.029.
- [36] W. Rulkens, Sewage Sludge as a Biomass Resource for the Production of Energy: Overview and Assessment of the Various Options, Energy Fuels. 22 (2008) 9–15. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef700267m.
- [37] N. Gao, K. Kamran, C. Quan, P.T. Williams, Thermochemical conversion of sewage sludge: A critical review, Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 79 (2020) 100843. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2020.100843.
- [38] M. Schnell, T. Horst, P. Quicker, Thermal treatment of sewage sludge in Germany: A review, J. Environ. Manage. 263 (2020) 110367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110367.
- [39] S.S.A. Syed-Hassan, Y. Wang, S. Hu, S. Su, J. Xiang, Thermochemical processing of sewage sludge to energy and fuel: Fundamentals, challenges and considerations, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 80 (2017) 888–913. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.262.
- [40] P. Manara, A. Zabaniotou, Towards sewage sludge based biofuels via thermochemical conversion – A review, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 16 (2012) 2566–2582. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.01.074.
- [41] C. Bourgel, E. Véron, J. Poirier, F. Defoort, J.-M. Seiler, C. Peregrina, Behavior of Phosphorus and Other Inorganics during the Gasification of Sewage Sludge, Energy Fuels. 25 (2011) 5707–5717. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef201308v.
- [42] A. Ronda, A. Gomez-Barea, P. Haro, V.F. de Almeida, J. Salinero, Elements partitioning during thermal conversion of sewage sludge, Fuel Process. Technol. 186 (2019) 156–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2019.01.001.
- [43] G. Fraissler, M. Jöller, H. Mattenberger, T. Brunner, I. Obernberger, Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations concerning the removal of heavy metals from sewage sludge ash by chlorination, Chem. Eng. Process. Process Intensif. 48 (2009) 152–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2008.03.009.
- [44] B. Nowak, S. Frías Rocha, P. Aschenbrenner, H. Rechberger, F. Winter, Heavy metal removal from MSW fly ash by means of chlorination and thermal treatment: Influence of the chloride type, Chem. Eng. J. 179 (2012) 178–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.10.077.
- [45] C. Adam, B. Peplinski, M. Michaelis, G. Kley, F.-G. Simon, Thermochemical treatment of sewage sludge ashes for phosphorus recovery, Waste Manag. 29 (2009) 1122–1128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.09.011.
- [46] C. Vogel, R.M. Exner, C. Adam, Heavy Metal Removal from Sewage Sludge Ash by Thermochemical Treatment with Polyvinylchloride, Environ. Sci. Technol. 47 (2013) 563–567. https://doi.org/10.1021/es300610e.
- [47] R. Li, W. Zhao, Y. Li, W. Wang, X. Zhu, Heavy metal removal and speciation transformation through the calcination treatment of phosphorus-enriched sewage sludge ash, J. Hazard. Mater. 283 (2015) 423–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.09.052.
- [48] C. Vogel, C. Adam, Heavy Metal Removal from Sewage Sludge Ash by Thermochemical Treatment with Gaseous Hydrochloric acid, Environ. Sci. Technol. 45 (2011) 7445–7450. https://doi.org/10.1021/es2007319.
- [49] H. Mattenberger, G. Fraissler, T. Brunner, P. Herk, L. Hermann, I. Obernberger, Sewage sludge ash to phosphorus fertiliser: Variables influencing heavy metal removal during thermochemical treatment, Waste Manag. 28 (2008) 2709–2722. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.01.005.
- [50] B. Nowak, L. Perutka, P. Aschenbrenner, P. Kraus, H. Rechberger, F. Winter, Limitations for heavy metal release during thermo-chemical treatment of sewage sludge ash, Waste Manag. 31 (2011) 1285–1291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.01.029.
- [51] B. Nowak, H. Wegerer, P. Aschenbrenner, H. Rechberger, F. Winter, Sewage sludge ash to phosphate fertilizer by chlorination and thermal treatment: residence time requirements for heavy metal removal, Environ. Technol. 33 (2012) 2375–2381. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2012.669413.

- [52] B. Nowak, A. Pessl, P. Aschenbrenner, P. Szentannai, H. Mattenberger, H. Rechberger, L. Hermann, F. Winter, Heavy metal removal from municipal solid waste fly ash by chlorination and thermal treatment, J. Hazard. Mater. 179 (2010) 323–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.03.008.
- [53] S. Jeon, D.-J. Kim, Enhanced phosphorus bioavailability and heavy metal removal from sewage sludge ash through thermochemical treatment with chlorine donors, J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 58 (2018) 216–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2017.09.028.
- [54] D. Steckenmesser, C. Vogel, L. Böhm, B. Heyde, C. Adam, Fate of heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in sewage sludge carbonisates and ashes – A risk assessment to a thermochemical phosphorus-recycling process, Waste Manag. 78 (2018) 576–587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.06.027.
- [55] C. Adam, G. Kley, F.-G. Simon, Thermal Treatment of Municipal Sewage Sludge Aiming at Marketable P-Fertilisers, Mater. Trans. 48 (2007) 3056–3061. https://doi.org/10.2320/matertrans.MK200707.
- [56] J. Yu, L. Sun, J. Xiang, S. Hu, S. Su, Kinetic vaporization of heavy metals during fluidized bed thermal treatment of municipal solid waste, Waste Manag. 33 (2013) 340–346. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.11.006.
- [57] J. Yu, L. Sun, C. Ma, Y. Qiao, J. Xiang, S. Hu, H. Yao, Mechanism on heavy metals vaporization from municipal solid waste fly ash by MgCl2·6H2O, Waste Manag. 49 (2016) 124–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.12.015.
- [58] J. Yu, L. Sun, J. Xiang, S. Hu, S. Su, J. Qiu, Vaporization of heavy metals during thermal treatment of model solid waste in a fluidized bed incinerator, Chemosphere. 86 (2012) 1122–1126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.12.010.
- [59] J. Yu, Y. Qiao, L. Jin, C. Ma, N. Paterson, L. Sun, Removal of toxic and alkali/alkaline earth metals during co-thermal treatment of two types of MSWI fly ashes in China, Waste Manag. 46 (2015) 287–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.08.005.
- [60] J. Luan, R. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Li, Y. Zhao, Influence of chlorine, sulfur and phosphorus on the volatilization behavior of heavy metals during sewage sludge thermal treatment:, Waste Manag. Res. (2013). https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X13493955.
- [61] J. Luan, R. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Li, Y. Zhao, Speciation evolutions of target metals (Cd, Pb) influenced by chlorine and sulfur during sewage sludge incineration, Front. Environ. Sci. Eng. 8 (2014) 871– 876. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-013-0621-1.
- [62] Y. Xia, Y. Tang, K. Shih, B. Li, Enhanced phosphorus availability and heavy metal removal by chlorination during sewage sludge pyrolysis, J. Hazard. Mater. 382 (2020) 121110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121110.
- [63] F. Yang, J. Chen, M. Yang, X. Wang, Y. Sun, Y. Xu, G. Qian, Phosphorus recovery from sewage sludge via incineration with chlorine-based additives, Waste Manag. 95 (2019) 644–651. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.06.029.
- [64] M. Schnell, P. Quicker, Sewage Sludge Incineration in a Stationary Fluidized Bed with Integrated Phosphorus Recovery, Chem. Ing. Tech. 92 (2020) 387–394. https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.201900148.
- [65] Z.A. Saleh Bairq, R. Li, Y. Li, H. Gao, T. Sema, W. Teng, S. Kumar, Z. Liang, New advancement perspectives of chloride additives on enhanced heavy metals removal and phosphorus fixation during thermal processing of sewage sludge, J. Clean. Prod. 188 (2018) 185–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.276.
- [66] H. Mattenberger, G. Fraissler, M. Jöller, T. Brunner, I. Obernberger, P. Herk, L. Hermann, Sewage sludge ash to phosphorus fertiliser (II): Influences of ash and granulate type on heavy metal removal, Waste Manag. 30 (2010) 1622–1633. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2010.03.037.
- [67] S. Rio, C. Verwilghen, J. Ramaroson, A. Nzihou, P. Sharrock, Heavy metal vaporization and abatement during thermal treatment of modified wastes, J. Hazard. Mater. 148 (2007) 521– 528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.03.009.

- [68] J. Liu, Z. Zhuo, W. Xie, J. Kuo, X. Lu, M. Buyukada, F. Evrendilek, Interaction effects of chlorine and phosphorus on thermochemical behaviors of heavy metals during incineration of sulfurrich textile dyeing sludge, Chem. Eng. J. 351 (2018) 897–911. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.06.158.
- [69] J. Liu, J. Fu, X. Ning, S. Sun, Y. Wang, W. Xie, S. Huang, S. Zhong, An experimental and thermodynamic equilibrium investigation of the Pb, Zn, Cr, Cu, Mn and Ni partitioning during sewage sludge incineration, J. Environ. Sci. 35 (2015) 43–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2015.01.027.
- [70] P. Tang, Y.C. Zhao, D.Z. Chen, F.Y. Xia, Volatility of heavy metals during incineration of tannery sludge in the presence of chlorides and phosphoric acid:, Waste Manag. Res. (2008). https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X08092024.
- [71] G. Fraissler, M. Jöller, T. Brunner, I. Obernberger, Influence of dry and humid gaseous atmosphere on the thermal decomposition of calcium chloride and its impact on the remove of heavy metals by chlorination, Chem. Eng. Process. Process Intensif. 48 (2009) 380–388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2008.05.003.
- [72] K. Kurashima, K. Matsuda, S. Kumagai, T. Kameda, Y. Saito, T. Yoshioka, A combined kinetic and thermodynamic approach for interpreting the complex interactions during chloride volatilization of heavy metals in municipal solid waste fly ash, Waste Manag. 87 (2019) 204– 217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.02.007.
- [73] K. Kurashima, S. Kumagai, T. Kameda, Y. Saito, T. Yoshioka, Heavy metal removal from municipal solid waste fly ash through chloride volatilization using poly(vinyl chloride) as chlorinating agent, J. Mater. Cycles Waste Manag. 22 (2020) 1270–1283. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10163-020-01021-6.
- [74] B. Nowak, P. Aschenbrenner, F. Winter, Heavy metal removal from sewage sludge ash and municipal solid waste fly ash — A comparison, Fuel Process. Technol. 105 (2013) 195–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2011.06.027.
- [75] C. Vogel, C. Adam, M. Unger, Heavy metal removal from sewage sludge ash analyzed by thermogravimetry, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 103 (2011) 243–248. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-010-0966-7.
- [76] C. Vogel, O. Krüger, C. Adam, Thermochemical treatment of sewage sludge ash with sodium additives under reducing conditions analyzed by thermogravimetry, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 123 (2016) 1045–1051. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-015-5016-z.
- [77] F. Shen, J. Liu, Y. Dong, C. Gu, Insights into the effect of chlorine on arsenic release during MSW incineration: An on-line analysis and kinetic study, Waste Manag. 75 (2018) 327–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.01.030.
- [78] H. Herzel, O. Krüger, L. Hermann, C. Adam, Sewage sludge ash A promising secondary phosphorus source for fertilizer production, Sci. Total Environ. 542 (2016) 1136–1143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.059.
- [79] Z. Liu, G. Qian, Y. Sun, R. Xu, J. Zhou, Y. Xu, Speciation Evolutions of Heavy Metals during the Sewage Sludge Incineration in a Laboratory Scale Incinerator, Energy Fuels. 24 (2010) 2470– 2478. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef901060u.
- [80] A.A. Zorpas, A.G. Vlyssides, G.A. Zorpas, P.K. Karlis, D. Arapoglou, Impact of thermal treatment on metal in sewage sludge from the Psittalias wastewater treatment plant, Athens, Greece, J. Hazard. Mater. 82 (2001) 291–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894(01)00172-8.
- [81] C.P. Li, Fuzzy Evaluation of Optimum Gasification Temperature of Sludge Derived Fuel, Adv. Mater. Res. 726–731 (2013) 1239–1244. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.726-731.1239.
- [82] L. Leng, X. Yuan, H. Huang, X. Peng, H. Chen, H. Wang, L. Wang, X. Chen, G. Zeng, Distribution behavior and risk assessment of metals in bio-oils produced by liquefaction/pyrolysis of sewage sludge, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22 (2015) 18945–18955. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5031-4.

- [83] T. Chen, B. Yan, Fixation and partitioning of heavy metals in slag after incineration of sewage sludge, Waste Manag. 32 (2012) 957–964. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.12.003.
- [84] P.R. Kowalski, M. Kasina, M. Michalik, Metallic Elements Fractionation in Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Residues, Energy Procedia. 97 (2016) 31–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2016.10.013.
- [85] L. Kuboňová, Š. Langová, B. Nowak, F. Winter, Thermal and hydrometallurgical recovery methods of heavy metals from municipal solid waste fly ash, Waste Manag. 33 (2013) 2322– 2327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.05.022.
- [86] R. Li, W. Teng, Y. Li, W. Wang, T. Yang, Z. Zhang, Coenrichment characteristics of phosphorus and heavy metals in incinerated sewage sludge ash, Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy. 35 (2016) 1027–1034. https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.12317.
- [87] T.P. Thomsen, H. Hauggaard-Nielsen, B. Gøbel, P. Stoholm, J. Ahrenfeldt, U.B. Henriksen, D.S. Müller-Stöver, Low temperature circulating fluidized bed gasification and co-gasification of municipal sewage sludge. Part 2: Evaluation of ash materials as phosphorus fertilizer, Waste Manag. 66 (2017) 145–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.04.043.
- [88] E.G. Eddings, J.S. Lighty, Fundamental Studies of Metal Behavior During Solids Incineration, Combust. Sci. Technol. 85 (1992) 375–390. https://doi.org/10.1080/00102209208947178.
- [89] H. Kovacs, K. Szemmelveisz, T. Koós, Theoretical and experimental metals flow calculations during biomass combustion, Fuel. 185 (2016) 524–531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2016.08.007.
- [90] T.W. Marrero, B.P. McAuley, W.R. Sutterlin, J. Steven Morris, S.E. Manahan, Fate of heavy metals and radioactive metals in gasification of sewage sludge, Waste Manag. 24 (2004) 193– 198. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(03)00127-2.
- [91] H. Saveyn, J.H. Ferrasse, A.B. Hernandez, J. Rose, P.V. der Meeren, N. Roche, The distribution of heavy metals following sewage sludge gasification, in: ECSM 10 - Eur. Conf. Sludge Manag. Dewatering Dry. Therm. Valorization, Budapest, Hungary, 2010. https://hal.archivesouvertes.fr/hal-01307844 (accessed July 30, 2020).
- [92] J. Dong, Y. Chi, Y. Tang, M. Ni, A. Nzihou, E. Weiss-Hortala, Q. Huang, Partitioning of Heavy Metals in Municipal Solid Waste Pyrolysis, Gasification, and Incineration, Energy Fuels. 29 (2015) 7516–7525. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.5b01918.
- [93] M. Sasabe, S. Yamashita, T. Okuda, S. Hara, K. Marukawa, Removal of Heavy Metals in Synthetic Bottom Ash of Burnt Urban Waste by Using of Polyvinyl Chloride, Tetsu--Hagane. 90 (2004) 286–293. https://doi.org/10.2355/tetsutohagane1955.90.5_286.
- [94] W.D. Chanaka Udayanga, A. Veksha, A. Giannis, G. Lisak, V.W.-C. Chang, T.-T. Lim, Fate and distribution of heavy metals during thermal processing of sewage sludge, Fuel. 226 (2018) 721– 744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.04.045.
- [95] Y. Zhang, E. Kasai, Effect of Chlorine on the Vaporization Behavior of Zinc and Lead during High Temperature Treatment of Dust and Fly Ash, ISIJ Int. 44 (2004) 1457–1468. https://doi.org/10.2355/isijinternational.44.1457.
- [96] J. Liu, C. Liu, G. Sun, W. Xie, X. Dang, J. Kuo, K. Chang, J. Sun, S. Sun, M. Buyukada, F. Evrendilek, Thermodynamic behaviors of Cu in interaction with chlorine, sulfur, phosphorus and minerals during sewage sludge co-incineration, Chin. J. Chem. Eng. 26 (2018) 1160–1170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjche.2017.10.019.
- [97] J. Liu, H. Cai, S. Wu, X. Dang, M. Buyukada, F. Evrendilek, Thermodynamic equilibrium predictions of zinc volatilization, migration, and transformation during sludge co-incineration, Water Environ. Res. 91 (2019) 208–221. https://doi.org/10.1002/wer.1031.
- [98] J. Liu, C. Xie, W. Xie, X. Zhang, K. Chang, J. Sun, J. Kuo, W. Xie, C. Liu, S. Sun, M. Buyukada, F. Evrendilek, Arsenic Partitioning Behavior During Sludge Co-combustion: Thermodynamic Equilibrium Simulation, Waste Biomass Valorization. 10 (2019) 2297–2307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-018-0248-3.

[99] Y. Sun, G. Chen, B. Yan, Z. Cheng, W. Ma, Behaviour of mercury during Co-incineration of sewage sludge and municipal solid waste, J. Clean. Prod. 253 (2020) 119969. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.119969.

Graphical abstract

