

Jet impingement cooling using fluidic oscillators: an experimental study

Georges Saliba, Vincent Raimbault, Stéphane Colin, Ahmad Batikh, Stéphane Orieux, Rémi Gilblas, Lucien Baldas

▶ To cite this version:

Georges Saliba, Vincent Raimbault, Stéphane Colin, Ahmad Batikh, Stéphane Orieux, et al.. Jet impingement cooling using fluidic oscillators: an experimental study. EUROTHERM 2021 - 8th European Thermal Sciences Conference, Sep 2021, Lisbon (virtual), Portugal. pp.012028, 10.1088/1742-6596/2116/1/012028. hal-03474994

HAL Id: hal-03474994 https://hal.science/hal-03474994v1

Submitted on 13 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Jet impingement cooling using fluidic oscillators: an experimental study

To cite this article: Georges Saliba et al 2021 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 2116 012028

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

 The effects of stroke length and Reynolds number on heat transfer to a ducted confined and semi-confined synthetic air iet

jet D I Rylatt and T S O'Donovan

- Experimental study of a round jet impinging on a convex cylinder Ergin Esirgemez, Jonathan W Newby, Cameron Nott et al.
- <u>Thermal Management Using Pulsating Jet Cooling Technology</u>
 S Alimohammadi, P Dinneen, T Persoons et al.



2116 (2021) 012028

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2116/1/012028

Jet impingement cooling using fluidic oscillators: an experimental study

Georges Saliba¹, Vincent Raimbault², Stéphane Colin¹, Ahmad Batikh³, Stéphane Orieux¹, Rémi Gilblas¹ and Lucien Baldas¹

- ¹ Institut Clément Ader (ICA), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INSA, ISAE-SUPAERO, Mines-Albi, UPS, Toulouse, France
- 2 CNRS, LAAS, 7 Avenue du Colonel Roche, F-31400 Toulouse, France
- 3 ICAM-Toulouse, 75 avenue de Grande-Bretagne, 31076 Toulouse Cedex 3, France

E-mail: georges.saliba1@univ-tlse3.fr

Abstract. A growing portion of the thermal load on board airplanes is due to densely packed electronic systems. This increased thermal load along with constraints on weight and volume have made simple and reliable cooling solutions an urgent need in the aerospace industry. There is a wealth of cooling solutions available in order to meet these demands, the simplest and most adaptable of which is probably jet impingement cooling. In this study, fluidic oscillators capable of producing pulsating jets were used to cool a heated surface and were then compared to equivalent steady jets. Although pulsating jets can be produced using a number of devices, fluidic oscillators offer the advantage of not having any moving parts. These oscillators are sustained by a self-induced internal flow instability and can function at different scales. Although the major part of this work is based on prototypes that produce jets with sub-millimetric widths, designs at one tenth that scale, i.e. with an exit slot width of 50 µm, are also presented. Reynolds numbers ranging from $Re_D = 3500$ to 5250 and jet-to-plate spacing from 1D to 10D were studied (where D is the initial width of the jet). The Nusselt number distribution is found for each case and a comparison is made between the performance of equivalent steady and pulsating jets based on the average Nusselt number.

1. Introduction

In the aerospace industry, electrical actuation is superseding hydraulic systems at an increasing rate. An example of this can be seen in Airbus' A380, where the thrust reversers are electrically actuated instead of relying on hydraulic devices. Furthermore, constraints on weight and volume favor highly integrated control and power electronic systems that, as a consequence, produce high density heat fluxes. If not managed properly, they can lead to a malfunction in the components and can damage the circuits (degradation of bonding wires, delamination of solders in power modules) and the supporting structures, thus threatening the safety of people on board. The literature offers a wealth of cooling solutions that can address this issue. They range from single-phase systems, involving, for instance, spray cooling, to two-phase systems employing Phase Changing Materials (PCM). Among these cooling systems, impinging jets seem to be the only solution that offers a reasonable compromise between simplicity, adaptability and relatively high heat transfer coefficients. Impinging jets can be used to either directly cool the heat sources or to remove heat from the surfaces of heat exchangers. Some of the earliest attempts to enhance jet impingement cooling by using pulsating jets can be found in the works of Burmeister [1] and

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

2116 (2021) 012028

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2116/1/012028

Nevins and Ball [2]. Cochrane and Nevins [3] even attempted to visualize a pulsating jet as it impinged on a heated surface. Since then, numerous studies were undertaken to verify under what conditions, if any, it is possible to improve heat transfer using pulsating jets.

When periodic perturbations are introduced into the flow, two main effects can occur. First, the pulsations can either amplify or suppress flow instabilities that can interact with the heated surface ([4]). And second, the unsteadiness of the flow interferes with the growth of the thermal boundary layer in the wall jet region ([5] [6]). However, by surveying the literature, it is difficult to pinpoint the exact combination of parameters that can consistently improve heat transfer. The main parameters in a pulsating impinging jet are the shape of the nozzle (circular or slot jet), the nozzle-to-plate distance (or standoff distance), the Reynolds number, the pulsation frequency, waveform, amplitude and duty cycle, among many other factors.




Figure 1. Plan (left) and perspective view of the pulsating jet oscillator.

Furthermore, there are a number of devices that produce pulsating jets, such as rotating valves ([7]), acoustic excitation ([8], [9]), and mass flow controllers ([10]). However, in a recent review on dynamic thermal management in the aerospace industry ([11]), the authors stressed the importance of simplified fluid feed systems, whereas all the above-mentioned techniques involve moving parts that require active control. As an alternative, the same authors suggest the use of fluidic oscillators that can produce unsteady jets from a self-induced instability. This phenomenon solely depends on the internal geometry of the device, so that no external control is needed to activate it. In the present study, a fluidic oscillator (Fig. 1) that produces pulsating jet is used instead of the well-known sweeping jet oscillators. The sweeping jet oscillator is usually used to delay flow separation on airplane wings ([12]). Sweeping impinging jets are capable of covering larger areas and result in an evenly distributed cooling rate. However, the spatial oscillation of the jet increases the production of turbulent kinetic energy in the space between the nozzle and the target surface, which accelerates its decay before impact. Zhou et al.[13] showed that this can decrease performance, in terms of heat transfer, compared to a steady jet having the same average mass flow rate. The inhomogeneous distribution of the thermal load also requires a solution capable of targeting specific components with dimensions of a few hundred microns or less. To the authors' knowledge, only two previous studies ([14], [15]) used pulsating jet oscillators in the same context considered here.

In what follows, the heat transfer performance of pulsating impinging jets produced by a fluidic oscillator are studied. The oscillator has a constant depth and the slot jets at its exit are 0.7 mm wide and pulsate at a frequency of around 1 kHz. A glass plate with an Indium Tin Oxide (ITO) coating is used as the heated target plate. A comparison is made between pulsating and steady jets having the same average mass flow rate and the same dimensions based on the average Nusselt number across the centerline of the heated surface.

2. Methodology

The oscillator presented in Figure 1 is based on an iteration of Warren's Negative Feedback Oscillator [16] that was characterized by Wang et al. [17]. The distinctive property of this design

2116 (2021) 012028

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2116/1/012028

that sets it apart from the pulsating jet oscillators used in [14] or [15], is its nearly constant frequency at inlet pressures higher than 3 bar. The prototype was made by stereolithography (more commonly known as 3D printing).

The method used to compute the forced convection coefficient h is well-known (e.g., see [8]) and is based on Newton's cooling law:

$$\phi_{\mathsf{c,osc}} = h \left(T_{p,\mathsf{osc}} - T_f \right) \tag{1}$$

The method consists of plotting the convective heat flux $\phi_{c,osc}$ at each point of interest as a function of the temperature $T_{p,osc}$. A linear regression of the data produces the slope h in Eq. 1, that goes into computing the Nusselt number Nu = hL/k, where L is a characteristic length of the convective flow, equal to the hydraulic diameter $D_h = 2D$, and k is the thermal conductivity of air. The temperature is measured using an infrared camera placed on the other side of the target plate. Due to the proximity of the oscillator to the impact plate, it was not possible to accurately capture the temperature field on the oscillator's side of the plate. The Biot number $\text{Bi} = h_{c,nat}e/k_{\text{glass}}$ along the thickness e of the glass plate and based on the appropriate natural convection coefficient $h_{c,nat}$, is less than 0.1 which supports the assumption that the average temperature is nearly the same from one side to the other. The appropriate corrections due to radiation heat fluxes and lateral heat losses were taken into account when computing the convective flux and the plate temperature (for the sake of brevity, the reader is referred to [8] for more details).

Finally, assuming that the Nusselt number is a function of n input parameters:

$$Nu = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \tag{2}$$

the standard uncertainty was computed as follows:


$$\Delta N u = \pm \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial N u}{\partial x_i} \Delta(x_i)^2 \right) \right]^{1/2}$$
 (3)

3. Findings

A spatial average of the mean Nusselt number was computed between x/D = -15 to 15 for both the steady and pulsating jets. The average is plotted as a function of standoff distance H/D for two Reynolds numbers $Re_D = 3800$ and 5250 (Fig. 2 and 3). For both the pulsating and steady jets, there is a distinctive maximum at $H/D \simeq 4-5$, which roughly coincides with the tip of the potential core of the jets. In the steady case, the increase in the Nusselt number from small impact distance up to $H/D \simeq 4-5$ is explained by the increase of turbulence intensity along the jet axis while the velocity in the potential core remains to a large extent constant ([18]). When the potential core is completely eroded by mixing with the surrounding fluid, the velocity begins to rapidly decay, offsetting any increase in heat transfer due to the increase of turbulence intensity beyond the potential core. What is surprising in this case is that the same behavior is observed for the pulsating jets, albeit with higher values of the average Nusselt number for H/D < 6. Gardon and Akfirat [18] showed that, by increasing the initial turbulence intensity of the jet, the Nusselt number decreases monotonically with nozzle-to-plate distance. The same would have been expected for the pulsed impinging jets since the turbulence intensity increases by 8 to 18% (depending on the Reynolds number) along the jet axis compared to a steady jet with the same average mass flow rate (data not shown in the present paper). For $Re_D = 3800$, the average Nusselt number is nearly the same for both steady and pulsed cases at small standoff distances (H/D < 5). For larger distances, there is a drop off in performance for the pulsed jet. For this case, there is a small improvement fro H/D=3 as can be seen in Figure 4, however

2116 (2021) 012028

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2116/1/012028







Figure 2. Average Nusselt number as a function of impact distance H/D at $Re_D=3800$

Figure 3. Average Nusselt number as a function of impact distance H/D at $\mathrm{Re}_D=5250$

this lies within the uncertainty bounds. For $\text{Re}_D = 5250$, pulsating the jets seems to improve heat transfer for H/D < 4 with a maximum enhancement of 15% in averaged Nusselt number for H/D = 3. This is due to an improvement in local Nusselt number around the stagnation (Figure 5).

Prototypes one tenth the scale of the model presented above were manufactured using the microfabrication facilities of the Renatech technological platform at the LAAS. The two prototypes shown in Figure 6, were made by dry-film deposition on silicon wafers, and produce micro-jets 50 µm wide. Using data from a microphone placed at the outlet of these oscillators, it was possible to observe a sharp peak in the spectral data that should correspond to the






Figure 4. Nusselt number profile for H/D = 3 at $Re_D = 3800$

Figure 5. Nusselt number profile for H/D=3 at $\text{Re}_D=5250$

2116 (2021) 012028

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2116/1/012028

pulsation frequency. For the design presented in Figure 1 (at 1:10 scale), the peak corresponds to a frequency of around 8800 Hz, which is about 10 times larger than the frequency of the macroscopic design. This was expected, since the oscillation period is roughly proportional to the length of the feedback loop [17].



Figure 6. Two designs of the pulsating jet oscillator at one-tenth the scale used in the present study, made by dry-film deposition, with a 50-cent coin to give a sense of scale.

4. Conclusions and Perspectives

The aim of this study is to compare the heat transfer performance of steady and pulsating impinging jets. It was found that pulsating jets can improve performance significantly for relatively small nozzle-to-plate distances. A more comprehensive study is required in order to properly assess the effects of nozzle-to-plate distance, pulsation frequency and Reynolds number on the jet impingement heat transfer. In addition, an experimental campaign will be conducted on the micro-oscillators. A set of temperature sensors are going to be integrated onto a silicon substrate. Then the oscillator is formed by photolithography using a negative photoresist over the same substrate. This will allow us to monitor the flow inside the channels of the oscillator. Furthermore, a silicon wafer with an array of integrated temperature sensors is used as a target plate. Instantaneous Nusselt number distributions over the plate are then computed from the sensor data. Results obtained from the integrated temperature sensors will be presented during the conference.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by LAAS-CNRS micro and nanotechnologies platform, a member of the Renatech french national network.

References

- [1] Burmeister L C 1959. Heat transfer between a plane surface and a pulsating, perpendicularly impinging air jet.
- [2] Nevins R G, & Ball H D 1961. Heat transfer between a flat plate and a pulsating impinging jet. *Proceedings* of the National Heat Transfer Conference, **60**, 510–516.
- [3] Cochrane G F, & Nevins R G 1962. Paper N-5: Photographic Investigation of a Pulsating Air Jet Impinging on a Heated Plate. *Journal of the SMPTE*, **71(1A)**, 510–512.
- [4] Kataoka K, Suguro M, Degawa H, Maruo K, & Mihata I 1987. The effect of surface renewal due to large-scale eddies on jet impingement heat transfer. 30, 559–567.
- [5] Mladin E C, & Zumbrunnen D A 1994. Nonlinear dynamics of laminar boundary layers in pulsatile stagnation flows. *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, **8(3)**, 514–523.
- [6] New D T H, Yu S C M 2015. Vortex Rings and Jets: Recent Developments in Near-Field Dynamics. Springer Singapore.
- [7] Azevedo L F A, Webb B W & Queiroz M 1994. Pulsed air jet impingement heat transfer. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 8(3), 206–213.

2116 (2021) 012028

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2116/1/012028

- [8] Roux S, Fénot M, Lalizel G, Brizzi L E, & Dorignac E 2011. Experimental investigation of the flow and heat transfer of an impinging jet under acoustic excitation. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 54(15–16), 3277–3290.
- [9] Hsu C M, Jhan W C, & Chang Y Y 2019. Flow and heat transfer characteristics of a pulsed jet impinging on a flat plate. Heat and Mass Transfer/Waerme- Und Stoffuebertragung.
- [10] Middelberg G, & Herwig H 2009. Convective heat transfer under unsteady impinging jets: The effect of the shape of the unsteadiness. *Heat and Mass Transfer/Waerme- Und Stoffuebertragung*, **45(12)**, 1519–1532.
- [11] Doty J, Yerkes K, Byrd L, Murthy J, Alleyne A, Wolff M, Heister S, & Fisher T S 2015. Dynamic Thermal Management for Aerospace Technology: Review and Outlook. *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, 31(1), 86–98.
- [12] Childs R E, Stremel P M, Garcia J A, Heineck J T, Kushner L K, & Storms B L 2016. Simulation of Sweep-Jet Flow Control, Single Jet and Full Vertical Tail. 54th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, 1–28.
- [13] Zhou W, Yuan L, Liu Y, Peng D, & Wen X 2019. Heat transfer of a sweeping jet impinging at narrow spacings. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 103, 89–98.
- [14] Ten J S, & Povey T 2019. Self-excited fluidic oscillators for gas turbines cooling enhancement: Experimental and computational study. *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, **33(2)**
- [15] Tesař V 2009. Enhancing impinging jet heat or mass transfer by fluidically generated flow pulsation. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 87(2), 181–192.
- [16] Warren R W 1964. Negative Feedback Oscillator (Patent No. 3158166). US Patent Office.
- [17] Wang S, Batikh A, Baldas L, Kourta A, Mazellier N, Colin S, & Orieux S 2019. On the modelling of the switching mechanisms of a Coanda fluidic oscillator. Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical, 299, 1–12.
- [18] Gardon R, & Akfirat J C 1965. The role of turbulence in determining the heat-transfer characteristics of impinging jets. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 8, 1261–1272.