

Sensitivity Analysis of a Spatio-Temporal Hydrological Model for Pesticide Transfers

Katarina Radišić, Emilie Rouzies, Claire Lauvernet, Arthur Vidard

To cite this version:

Katarina Radišić, Emilie Rouzies, Claire Lauvernet, Arthur Vidard. Sensitivity Analysis of a Spatio-Temporal Hydrological Model for Pesticide Transfers. Rencontres MEXICO 2021, Nov 2021, Toulouse, France. hal- 03473097

HAL Id: hal-03473097 <https://hal.science/hal-03473097v1>

Submitted on 9 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Sensitivity Analysis of a Spatio-Temporal Hydrological Model for Pesticide Transfers Supervisors : Emilie Rouzies,

Claire Lauvernet, Arthur Vidard

Katarina Radišić

30 November 2021

Katarina Radišić Sensitivity Analysis in Spatio-Temporal case 30 November 2021 1/19

INRAE Lyon currently developing PESHMELBA model [Rouzies et al. 2019].

Simulates water and pesticide transfers on a watershed scale, while considering the heterogeneity of landscape elements (plots).

Type of output studied : surface moisture outputs.

INRAE Lyon currently developing PESHMELBA model *[Rouzies et al.*] 2019].

Simulates water and pesticide transfers on a watershed scale, while considering the heterogeneity of landscape elements (plots).

Type of output studied : surface moisture outputs.

Perform a **sensitivity analysis** on PESHMELBA outputs while taking into consideration both the **temporal** and the **spatial** aspect.

Introduction Challenges on PESHMELBA

 $A \equiv 1, A \equiv 1.$

(□) (母)

E

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c} Y^{(1)}(t) \\ Y^{(2)}(t) \\ \vdots \\ Y^{(M)}(t) \end{array}\right] = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}, t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}
$$

 \equiv \rightarrow Ξ

4日下

4 点

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c} Y^{(1)}(t) \\ Y^{(2)}(t) \\ \vdots \\ Y^{(M)}(t) \end{array}\right] = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}, t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}
$$

 \leftarrow

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c} Y^{(1)}(t) \\ Y^{(2)}(t) \\ \vdots \\ Y^{(M)}(t) \end{array}\right] = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}, t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}
$$

• time dependent outputs **·** spatial interactions

 \leftarrow

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c} Y^{(1)}(t) \\ Y^{(2)}(t) \\ \vdots \\ Y^{(M)}(t) \end{array}\right] = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}, t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}
$$

- time dependent outputs
- **·** spatial interactions
- high number of input parameters (145)

 \leftarrow

 Ω

- Generalisation of Sobol' indices
- Estimation of Sobol' indices
- Results for Sobol' indices on one areal unit at a time

Spatial aspect

- Vector projections
- Comparison between Gamboa and Xu approach

Screening

Temporal aspect

- Generalisation of Sobol' indices
- Estimation of Sobol' indices
- Results for Sobol' indices on one areal unit at a time

Spatial aspect

- Vector projections
- Comparison between Gamboa and Xu approach

Morris method : computationally cheap, classifies input parameters in two groups, **influential and non-influential**.

 \leftarrow

Morris method : computationally cheap, classifies input parameters in two groups, **influential and non-influential**. Developed for **scalar outputs**.

Morris method : computationally cheap, classifies input parameters in two groups, **influential and non-influential**. Developed for **scalar outputs**.

Morris method : computationally cheap, classifies input parameters in two groups, **influential and non-influential**. Developed for **scalar outputs**.

Apply the Morris method to the scores on the **functional principal components** of one areal unit at a time.

Surface moisture series of elementary effects sample for plot HU503

Screeplot for elementary effects sample of HU503

Katarina Radišić Sensitivity Analysis in Spatio-Temporal case 30 November 2021 6/19

 290

Input parameters reduced from 145 to 52 influential parameters at the watershed scale.

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

Screening

Temporal aspect

- Generalisation of Sobol' indices
- Estimation of Sobol' indices
- Results for Sobol' indices on one areal unit at a time

Spatial aspect

- Vector projections
- Comparison between Gamboa and Xu approach

2.1. Generalisation of Sobol' indices [Lamboni et al. 2010]

$$
Y(t) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}, t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad m \in \{1..M\}
$$

2.1. Generalisation of Sobol' indices [Lamboni et al. 2010]

$$
Y(t) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}, t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad m \in \{1..M\}
$$

$$
Y(t) = \mu(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j \psi_j(t)
$$

2.1. Generalisation of Sobol' indices [Lamboni et al. 2010]

$$
Y(t) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}, t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad m \in \{1..M\}
$$

$$
Y(t) = \mu(t) + \sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j \psi_j(t)
$$

2.1. Generalisation of Sobol' indices [Lamboni et al. 2010]

$$
Y(t) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}, t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad m \in \{1..M\}
$$

$$
Y(t) = \mu(t) + \sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j \psi_j(t)
$$

2.1. Generalisation of Sobol' indices [Lamboni et al. 2010]

$$
Y(t) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}, t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad m \in \{1..M\}
$$

$$
Y(t) = \mu(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \xi_j \psi_j(t)
$$

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \xi_j = \mathcal{M}^*(\mathbf{X})
$$

2.1. Generalisation of Sobol' indices [Lamboni et al. 2010]

How to generalize Sobol' indices to functional outputs ?

$$
Y(t) = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}, t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad m \in \{1..M\}
$$

$$
Y(t) = \mu(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \xi_j \psi_j(t)
$$

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \xi_j = \mathcal{M}^*(\mathbf{X})
$$

The output is scalar now, we fall back into the classical formulation for Sobol' indices calculation.

2. Temporal aspect 2.2. Estimation of Sobol' indices [Sudret 2008]

Polynomial Chaos Expansion (PCE) metamodel :

$$
Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^K} y_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X})
$$

where K is the number of input parameters.

2. Temporal aspect 2.2. Estimation of Sobol' indices [Sudret 2008]

Polynomial Chaos Expansion (PCE) metamodel :

$$
Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^K} y_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X})
$$

where K is the number of input parameters.

Polynomial Chaos Expansion (PCE) metamodel :

$$
Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^K} y_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X})
$$

where K is the number of input parameters. Sobol' indices are obtained analytically from PCE :

$$
S_i = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_i} y_{\alpha}^2/D
$$

$$
\mathcal{I}_i = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^K : \alpha_i > 0, \alpha_{j \neq i} = 0 \right\}
$$

Polynomial Chaos Expansion (PCE) metamodel :

$$
Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_q^{K,p}} y_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X}) + \epsilon_{truncation}
$$

where K is the number of input parameters. Sobol' indices are obtained analytically :

$$
\hat{\mathsf{S}}_{\mathsf{i}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^*_\mathsf{i}} \mathsf{y}^2_\alpha/D
$$

$$
\mathcal{I}_i^* = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_q^{K,p} : \alpha_i > 0, \alpha_{j \neq i} = 0 \right\}
$$

Polynomial Chaos Expansion (PCE) metamodel :

$$
Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_q^{K,p}} y_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X}) + \epsilon_{truncation}
$$

where K is the number of input parameters. Sobol' indices are obtained analytically :

$$
\hat{S}_i = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_i^*} y_{\alpha}^2/D
$$

$$
\mathcal{I}_i^* = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_q^{K,p} : \alpha_i > 0, \alpha_{j \neq i} = 0 \right\}
$$

The precision of the Sobol' indices obtained depends on the precision of the PCE metamodel w.r.t. the real model M .

3.3. Results for Sobol' indices on one areal unit at a time

Figure – Validation set metamodel output vs PESHMELBA simulation.

3.3. Results for Sobol' indices on one areal unit at a time

Figure – Sobol' indices for areal unit UH503. Bar colours refer to soil types.

 \leftarrow

 \sim 15 $^\circ$

2.3. Results for Sobol' indices on one areal unit at a time

Metamodel vs. true response on the validation set for fpc1+..fpc4 of 481

Figure – Validation set metamodel output vs PESHMELBA simulation.

2.3. Results for Sobol' indices on one areal unit at a time

Figure – Sobol' indices for areal unit UH481. Bar colours refer to soil types.

 $(1, 1)$

 λ \equiv λ λ \equiv λ

イロト イ押 トイラト イラト

 \equiv

Screening

Temporal aspect

- Generalisation of Sobol' indices
- Estimation of Sobol' indices
- Results for Sobol' indices on one areal unit at a time

Spatial aspect

- Vector projections
- Comparison between Gamboa and Xu approach

ミメスミメ

4日下

4 母

 \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow

4日下

4 母 \sim \sim

 \sim

 \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow

4日下 4 母

4日下

4 母

÷

 \rightarrow \equiv \rightarrow

 QQ

不重 医不重 的

 \Rightarrow э

4日下

4日下

 \Rightarrow э

3. Spatial aspect

3.2. Gamboa vs. Xu, aggregation to the watershed scale

 \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow 4 点 Ξ

3. Spatial aspect

3.3. Correlations among outputs

 299

K ロ > K dj > K 글 > K 글 > H 글

 \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow

Sobol' indices obtained with high precision.

 \leftarrow

∍

- Sobol' indices obtained with high precision.
- Meaningful physical interpretations, increased comprehension of model behaviour.

- Sobol' indices obtained with high precision.
- Meaningful physical interpretations, increased comprehension of model behaviour.
- The methodology was tested on pesticide mass outputs.
	- Due to the complexity of the physical processes the PCE metamodels need a bigger basis and become too expensive.

- Sobol' indices obtained with high precision.
- Meaningful physical interpretations, increased comprehension of model behaviour.
- The methodology was tested on pesticide mass outputs.
	- Due to the complexity of the physical processes the PCE metamodels need a bigger basis and become too expensive.
	- Further adaptations : replace polynomial chaos expansion metamodel with more flexible metamodels (random forests).

Merci de votre attention !

 \sim

 \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow

 \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow \leftarrow \Box

 \equiv

- M. Lamboni, H. Monod, and D. Makowski. Multivariate sensitivity analysis to measure global contribution of input factors in dynamic models. Reliability Engineering System Safety, 96(4) :450–459, April 2011.
- E. Rouzies, C. Lauvernet, C. Barachet, T. Morel, F. Branger, I. Braud, and N. Carluer. From agricultural catchment to management scenarios : A modular tool to assess effects of landscape features on water and pesticide behavior. Science of The Total Environment, 671 :1144–1160, June 2019.
- L. Xu, Z. Lu, and S. Xiao. Generalized sensitivity indices based on vector projection for multivariate output. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 66 :592–610, February 2019.