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#### Abstract

Vibratory energy channelling between a linear and a nonlinear oscillator is studied at different time scales. The nonlinear system possesses a time-dependent periodic restoring forcing function. Detection of fast and slow system dynamics leads to revealing different dynamical characteristics namely slow invariant manifold, equilibrium and singular points. We show that the time-dependent nonlinearity produces phase-dependent slow invariant manifold, frequency responses and modifications concerning stability borders of its slow invariant manifold and singularities zones. The backbone curves of the system and also isola are detected; the later should be taken into account carefully if the aim is system control.
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## 1 Introduction

Most structural systems such as buildings, bridges and vehicles are subjected to vibrations resulting, for example, from traffic, winds, earthquakes and motors, which have different impacts on these systems. Thus, control of vibrations is an important issue as it can prevent fatigue, partial or global damages and even collapse of structural systems and can guarantee their functionalities. Several types of control strategies exist: active, passive and semi-active/passive controls [1]. The active control systems [2] possess sensors that measure movements as well as actuators that generate forces to control vibrations. This type of control technique requires a large amount of external energy. Unlike the active control, the passive control strategy does not need any external power source for its activation and the control process is carried out via direct interactions between the main system and the absorber. Hybrid control systems combine the properties of active and passive absorbers [3]. Semi-active devices are the same as active systems which require less external energy than conventional systems [4, 5]. Semi-passive ones are similar to passive systems but with exploitation of interesting properties of multi-physics systems, for example electro-mechanical devices (e.g. piezoelectric ceramics). These multi-physics systems can be used as sensors and actuators [6]. Their parameters can be changed with a low amount of energy, which give some adaptabilities to the such control systems [7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

Passive control systems are divided into two categories: linear [12] and nonlinear [13]. Linear passive systems can be tuned to a targeted frequency (the mode to be controlled) of the main system. Thus, these control systems are very efficient for narrow frequency ranges. The fact that linear vibration absorbers can act as a vibration amplifiers of the main system for some frequency ranges, was motivation of Roberson [13] to supplement a cubic part to the linear restoring forcing function of the absorber. He showed that the suppression band of a nonlinear absorber is wider compared to a corresponding linear system. Unlike linear systems, pure nonlinear ones do not have especial natural frequencies and can resonate with any frequency. Thus, the nonlinear systems allow to control the vibrations of main systems over wide frequency bands [14]. For such nonlinear systems, the response of the system can be attracted by periodic or non-periodic regimes [15].

One of the nonlinear control systems which were developed in early twenty one century, is named as Nonlinear Energy Sink (NES) [16, 17]. The control process by NES is carried out via nonlinear interactions and (possible) bifurcation(s) between the main system and the NES leading to different regimes. The nonlinearity of the NES in its early developments was pure cubic (without any linear part) [14]. Meanwhile, other types of the NES are developed during the past years, such as vibro-impact [18, 19, 20], piecewise linear [21, 22, 23] and hysteresis systems [24]. The NES in its different forms has been applied in many domains of mechanics and acoustics. In mechanics, it has been applied in controlling linear main system with constant or variable parameters (e.g. time-dependent mass) [25] or nonlinear system (Bouc-Wen model) [26]. In the domain of acoustics, the nonlinear vibro-acoustical energy exchanges between an acoustical mode and a viscoelastic membrane (as a mechanical NES) have been developed by Cochelin et al. [27] and Bellet et al. [28]. Some authors [29, 30, 31] proposed to use a pure acoustical nonlinear absorber to control an acoustical mode. In all those previous studies, the acoustical level of NES activation was very high. That is why some modifications of the system are necessary. Among all possible modifications, an interesting possible option for practical application may be using varying nonlinear stiffness.

That is why in this paper, we are interested in using a NES with a variable cubic rigidity. The considered system can correspond to an acoustical mode which is linearly coupled to an Helmholtz resonator in nonlinear domains [29, 30, 31]. The objective of the paper is to study theoretically this kind of system to be able to provide design tools for tuning parameters and obtaining interesting dynamical regimes for applications.

The paper is organized as it follows: the general methodology for detection of different dynamics of such systems with general nonlinearity is presented in Sect. 2. The explained methodology is applied to the systems with constant and time-dependent cubic nonlinearities in Sects. 3 and 4, respectively. Finally, the paper is concluded in Sect. 5

## 2 The general presentation of the system with a general nonlinearity

### 2.1 General description of the system

The system under consideration is illustrated in Fig. 1. It is composed of two coupled oscillators. The main one is linear which possesses a mass $M$, a stiffness $k_{1}$ and a damper $c_{1}$. Its generalized displacement is represented by $u_{1}$ and it is submitted to an external force $F(t)$. This oscillator is coupled (by a linear spring $\gamma$ ) to a second nonlinear oscillator with a mass $m$, a general restoring forcing function $\Lambda$ and a damper $c_{2}$. Its generalized displacement represented by $u_{2}$. Moreover, we assume that the mass ratio between two oscillators is small, i.e. $0<\varepsilon=\frac{m}{M} \ll 1$. This system corresponds to an acoustical mode linearly coupled to an absorber with nonlinear behaviour. We consider this system because, until now, most applications of NES in acoustics are mainly realized by a linear coupling term between the main system and the nonlinear oscillator [28, 32, 30], while in mechanics the coupling is generally nonlinear [14]. The governing equations of the system at the time $t$ are:


Figure 1: The system under consideration: the linear oscillator with the mass $M$ is linearly coupled to a nonlinear oscillator with the mass $m$ and a general nonlinear restoring forcing function $\Lambda$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
M \ddot{u}_{1}+k_{1} u_{1}+c_{1} \dot{u}_{1}+\gamma\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)=F(t)  \tag{1}\\
m \ddot{u}_{2}+c_{2} \dot{u}_{2}+\gamma\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+\Lambda\left(u_{2}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We set that $(\dot{\cdot})=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Let us introduce the new time $\tau$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=t \sqrt{\frac{k_{1}}{M}}=t \omega_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we set that $(\cdot)^{\prime}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$. Following equations is obtained in the time domain $\tau$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}+\varepsilon \xi_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}+\varepsilon \gamma_{0}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)=\varepsilon f_{0} \sin (\nu \tau)  \tag{3}\\
\varepsilon u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon \xi_{2} u_{2}^{\prime}+\varepsilon \gamma_{0}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+\varepsilon \Lambda_{0}\left(u_{2}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $\frac{c_{1}}{\sqrt{k_{1} M}}=\varepsilon \xi_{1} ; \frac{\gamma}{k_{1}}=\varepsilon \gamma_{0} ; \frac{\Lambda\left(u_{2}\right)}{k_{1}}=\varepsilon \Lambda_{0}\left(u_{2}\right) ; \frac{F_{0}}{k_{1}}=\varepsilon f_{0} ; \frac{c_{2}}{\sqrt{k_{1} M}}=\varepsilon \xi_{2} ; \frac{\Omega}{\omega_{1}}=\nu$. The orders of magnitude are chosen to according to practical applications.
We are interested in studying system behaviours in the vicinity of the $1: 1$ resonance; consequently we set $\nu=1+\sigma \varepsilon$. In the next subsections, the system variables will be complexified and via a time multiple scales method [33], different system dynamics [34] will be detected.

### 2.2 Complexifications

Let us introduce the complex variables of Manevitch [35, 36] $\left(i^{2}=-1\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{1} e^{i \nu \tau}=u_{1}^{\prime}+i \nu u_{1}  \tag{4}\\
\phi_{2} e^{i \nu \tau}=u_{2}^{\prime}+i \nu u_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The multiple scales method [33] is used to detect different dynamics of the system. We introduce different scales of time: $T_{0}=\tau$ (fast time scale) and $T_{j}=\varepsilon^{j} \tau(j=1,2 \ldots)$ (slow time scales). These time scales are coupled to each other via the mass ratio of two oscillators, i.e. the $\varepsilon$ parameter. With these definitions of different time scales, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}=\frac{\partial}{\partial T_{0}}+\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{1}}+\ldots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the Galerkin method to keep only first harmonics of the system and to truncate other ones. For an arbitrary function $s\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)$ this task is carried out via:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)=\frac{\nu}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{2 \pi}{\nu}} s\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right) e^{-j i \omega \tau} d \tau \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $j=1$. The (.)* represents the complex conjugate of a variable.
In applying Eq. (6), we will suppose that $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}$ are independent of the fast time $T_{0}=\tau$. This hypothesis will be verified during the multiple scales method or when we will consider an asymptotic state when $T_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$ (Sect. 2.3.1.

After using the Galerkin method via applying Eqs. (6), Eq. (3) reads:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{i}{2} \nu \phi_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \xi_{1} \phi_{1}+\frac{1}{2 i \nu} \phi_{1}+\varepsilon \gamma_{0} \frac{1}{2 i \nu}\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon f_{0}}{2 i}  \tag{7}\\
\varepsilon\left(\phi_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{i}{2} \nu \phi_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \xi_{2} \phi_{2}+\varepsilon \gamma_{0} \frac{1}{2 i \nu}\left(\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}\right)+\varepsilon G\left(u_{2}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(u_{2}\right)=\frac{\nu}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{2 \pi}{\nu}} \Lambda_{0}\left(u_{2}\right) e^{-i \nu \tau} d \tau \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter $(0<\varepsilon \ll 1)$, the different terms of Eq. (7) can be developed in terms of Taylor series in $\varepsilon$.

### 2.3 Detection of fast and slow dynamics of the system

To detect fast and slow dynamics of the system, Eq. (7] will be treated by the multiple scales method [33] via studying system equations at different orders of $\varepsilon$. The global idea is to provide design tools for tuning parameters of the nonlinear oscillator. These tools are based on the vision of the evaluation of the slow invariant manifold (SIM) of the system [37] and detection of all of its characteristic points (equilibrium and singular points) around the SIM [38]. They will lead to prediction of periodic and non-periodic regimes of the system for given intervals of deriving forcing amplitudes and frequencies.
However, there are many works which use multiple scales method for finding solutions of system variables or detection of periodic regimes (e.g. see [39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46]), which is not the aim of this paper.

### 2.3.1 Fast system dynamics: $O\left(\varepsilon^{0}\right)$ of system equations

Here, we consider the behaviour of the system at fast time scale. Equation (7) at $O\left(\varepsilon^{0}\right)$ reads:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial T_{0}}=0  \tag{9}\\
\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial T_{0}}+\mathscr{H}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The hypothesis $\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial T_{0}}=0$ is verified. Let us seek for fixed points of the system. This means that we would like to detect an asymptotic state when $T_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$, i.e. $\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial T_{0}}=0$. It leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{2} i \phi_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \xi_{2} \phi_{2}-\frac{i \gamma_{0}}{2}\left(\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}\right)+G\left(u_{2}\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (10) is called Slow Invariant Manifold (SIM) in the complex domain. Let us consider the complex variables in the polar domain as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}=N_{j} e^{i \delta_{j}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N_{j} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\delta_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2$ with $N_{j}$ the amplitude and $\delta_{j}$ the phase of $\phi_{j}$. Let us assume that after applying Eq. (11) in Eq. (10), the SIM in real domain reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(N_{1}, \delta_{1}, N_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3.2 Detection of unstable zone of the SIM

In this section, the unstable zone of the SIM will be determined. To carry out the stability analysis of the SIM, we linearly perturb system variables as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{2} \rightarrow \phi_{2}+\Delta \phi_{2}  \tag{13}\\
\phi_{2}^{*} \rightarrow \phi_{2}^{*}+\Delta \phi_{2}^{*}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\left|\Delta \phi_{2}\right| \ll\left|\phi_{2}\right|$. We do not perturb $\phi_{1}$ as $\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial T_{0}}=0$ (see Eq. (9)).
The perturbation is introduced in Eq. (912). This leads to the following system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\frac{\partial \Delta \phi_{2}}{\Delta T_{0}}}{\frac{\partial \Delta \phi_{2}^{*}}{\Delta T_{0}}}=\mathbb{M}\binom{\Delta \phi_{2}}{\Delta \phi_{2}^{*}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbb{M}$ can be calculated to determine the boundaries of the unstable zone of the SIM.

### 2.3.3 Slow system dynamics: $O(\varepsilon)$ of system equations

In this subsection, we consider the system behaviour at the slow time scale and we will detect the equilibrium and singular points. The first equation of the system (7) at $O(\varepsilon)$ reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial T_{1}}=\underbrace{-\frac{i f_{0}}{2}-\left[\frac{1}{2} i \sigma \phi_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \xi_{1} \phi_{1}+\frac{1}{2} i \sigma \phi_{1}-\frac{i \gamma_{0}}{2}\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right)\right]}_{\mathcal{E}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the evolution of the SIM (see Eq. (10)) at the time scale $T_{1}$ is developed as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial T_{1}}=\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial T_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi_{2}} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial T_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi_{1}^{*}} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}^{*}}{\partial T_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi_{2}^{*}} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}^{*}}{\partial T_{1}}=0  \tag{16}\\
\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}^{*}}{\partial T_{1}}=\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial T_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}^{*}}{\partial \phi_{2}} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial T_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}^{*}}{\partial \phi_{1}^{*}} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}^{*}}{\partial T_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}^{*}}{\partial \phi_{2}^{*}} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}^{*}}{\partial T_{1}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

After some mathematical manipulations, Eq. (16) in matrix form reads:

$$
\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi_{2}} & \frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi_{2}^{*}}  \tag{17}\\
\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}^{*}}{\partial \phi_{2}} & \frac{\partial \mathscr{H}^{*}}{\partial \phi_{2}^{*}}
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbb{A}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial T_{1}} \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{2}^{*}}{\partial T_{1}}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi_{1}} & \frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi^{*}} \\
\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}^{*}}{\partial \phi_{1}} & \frac{\partial \mathscr{H}^{*}}{\partial \phi_{1}^{*}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial T_{1}} \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}^{1}}{\partial T_{1}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Equilibrium points are defined by [38] :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{E}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)=0  \tag{18}\\
\mathscr{H}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)=0 \\
\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A}) \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Singular points are defined by [38] :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{E}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)=0  \tag{19}\\
\mathscr{H}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)=0 \\
\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A})=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

After providing a general methodology for detection of different dynamics of explained coupled oscillators, the application of the method will be shown for a nonlinear system with constant and time-dependent cubic nonlinearities.

## 3 Detection of different dynamics of the system with constant cubic nonlinearity

Here, we apply the general methodology described in the Sect. 2 to study the energy exchanges between two oscillators where one of them possesses a constant cubic nonlinearity. Such systems have been already studied and also applied in many domains such as civil engineering [47, 48, 49], aeroelastic/aerospace systems [50, 51, 52, 53, 54] and acoustic [27, 28]. In the following we give details to be able to compare the results with the case of variable rigidity which will be treated in the Sect. 4

### 3.1 General presentation of the system

Let us assume that the stiffness of the nonlinear oscillator is constant and it presents cubic behaviour, i.e. $\Lambda\left(u_{2}\right)=k_{2} u_{2}^{3}$, as presented in Fig. 1 .
We suppose that $\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}=\varepsilon k_{0}$. The $G\left(u_{2}\right)$ function of Eq. (7) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\phi_{2}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)=\frac{-3 i k_{0}}{8 \nu^{3}} \phi_{2}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following subsection, we will consider Eq. (7) at different orders of $\varepsilon$ to detect the fast and slow dynamic of the system [34].

### 3.2 Fast system dynamics

To detect the fast dynamic of the system, $O\left(\varepsilon^{0}\right)$ of Eq. 77) should be investigated. This leads to similar equations as shown in Eq. (9). Here, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}=\frac{1}{2} i \phi_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \xi_{2} \phi_{2}-\frac{i \gamma_{0}}{2}\left(\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}\right)-\frac{3}{8} i k_{0} \phi_{2}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=\frac{\phi_{2}}{\gamma_{0}}\left(-1+i \xi_{2}+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Going to the polar domain as explained in Eq. 11) and after separation of real and complex parts, the equations of the SIM in the real domain reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}=\frac{N_{2}}{\gamma_{0}} \sqrt{\xi_{2}^{2}+\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}  \tag{23}\\
& \delta_{1}=\delta_{2}+\arctan \left(\frac{\xi_{2}}{-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

To determine the local extrema of the SIM, we seek $\frac{\partial N_{1}^{2}}{\partial N_{2}^{2}}=0$. After some mathematical manipulations, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{27}{6} k_{0}^{2} X^{2}-3\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right) k_{0} X+\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $X=N_{2}^{2}$. The solutions of Eq. 25) are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1,2}=\frac{\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right) \mp \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}-3 \xi_{2}^{2}}}{\frac{9}{8} k_{0}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}-3 \xi_{2}^{2} \geq 0$ the local extrema of the SIM correspond to $N_{2,1}=\sqrt{X_{1}}$ and $N_{2,2}=\sqrt{X_{2}}$. Otherwise, if $\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}-3 \xi_{2}^{2}<0$, the SIM does not possess local extrema.

### 3.3 Unstable zones of the SIM

To determine the boundaries of the unstable zones, we investigate Eq. (9)2); it reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial T_{0}}+\frac{1}{2} \phi_{2}\left(i\left(1-\gamma_{0}-\frac{3}{4} k_{0}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2}\right)+\xi_{2}\right)+i \frac{\gamma_{0}}{2} \phi_{1}=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

After taking into account the complex conjugate of Eq. (27), and introducing the perturbed from of variables (see Eq. (13)), we obtain the following equation:

$$
\binom{\frac{\partial \Delta \phi_{2}}{\Delta T_{0}}}{\frac{\partial \Delta \phi_{2}^{*}}{\Delta T_{0}}}=\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i\left(1-\gamma_{0}-\frac{3}{4} k_{0}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2}\right)-\xi_{2} & \frac{3}{4} i k_{0} \phi_{2}^{2}  \tag{28}\\
-\frac{3}{4} i k_{0} \phi_{2}^{* 2} & i\left(1-\gamma_{0}-\frac{3}{4} k_{0}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2}\right)-\xi_{2}
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbb{M}}\binom{\partial \Delta \phi_{2}}{\partial \Delta \phi_{2}^{*}}
$$

The eigenvalues $(\lambda)$ of the matrix $\mathbb{M}$ are evaluated to analyse the stability of the SIM:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{M}_{11}-\lambda\right)\left(\mathbb{M}_{22}-\lambda\right)-\frac{9}{64} k_{0}^{2}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{4}=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which lead to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}-\alpha \lambda+\beta=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha=\left(\mathbb{M}_{11}+\mathbb{M}_{22}\right)$ and $\beta=\mathbb{M}_{11} \mathbb{M}_{22}-\frac{9}{64} k_{0}^{2}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{4}$.
If $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are solutions of Eq. (30), then we can claim:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} & =\alpha=-\xi_{2}<0  \tag{31}\\
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} & =\beta
\end{array}\right.
$$

The system is stable if the real parts of $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are negatives. We distinguish two global cases:

- if $\beta>0$ then, the eigenvalues can be complex or real:
- if they are real, they should be negative, so fixed points are stable.
- if they are complex, then they share the same real negative parts equal to $\frac{\alpha}{2}$. So, fixed points are stable.
- if $\beta<0$ then, real part of $\lambda_{1}$ or $\lambda_{2}$ is positive, so the system is unstable.

As a summary, the condition $\beta=0$, clarifies boundaries between stable and unstable zones of the SIM. Let us set $X=N_{2}^{2}$. The condition of $\beta=0$ leads to the same equation as the one of the extrema of the SIM (see Eq. 25). Hence, $N_{2,1}=\sqrt{X_{1}}$ and $N_{2,2}=\sqrt{X_{2}}$ (see Eq. (26)) correspond to the boundaries of the unstable zone. Consequently, we see that the stability borders of the SIM pass from its local extrema (see Eq. 26).

### 3.4 Slow system dynamics

### 3.4.1 Singular points

Let us build the matrix $\mathbb{A}$ from the Eq. (21) which is explained in Eq. (17):

$$
\mathbb{A}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\xi_{2}-i\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{2} k_{0}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right. & -\frac{3}{4} i k_{0} \phi_{2}^{2}  \tag{32}\\
\frac{3}{4} i k_{0} \phi_{2}^{* 2} & \xi_{2}+i\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{2} k_{0}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A})=0 \Rightarrow \xi_{2}^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{0}-\frac{3}{2} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us set $X=N_{2}^{2}$. Equation (33) yields to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{27}{16} k_{0}^{2} X^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}+3\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right) k_{0} X+\xi_{2}^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the two real solutions of Eq. (34) are the same which are presented in Eq. 26. So, positions of possible singular points of the system will be on $N_{2,1}=\sqrt{X_{1}}$ and $N_{2,2}=\sqrt{X_{2}}$. Then, in addition to Eq. (33), other two conditions of Eq. (19) should be verified as well in order to have confirmation of existence of singularities in system for given $\sigma$ and $f_{0}$ [55].
In order to have two distinct solutions described in Eq. 26, the rescaled damping of the nonlinear oscillator must not exceed a critical value namely $\xi_{2, c}$, which is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{2, c}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\xi_{2}>\xi_{2, c}$, the SIM becomes monotonic and it does not possess singular points nor local extrema. A similar condition for mechanical systems has been already detected by Starosvetsky and Gendelman [56]. Figure 2 shows the SIM for different values of $\xi_{2}$. The red dotted, black solid, blue dashed and green circled lines represent the SIM for $\xi_{2}=0$, $\xi_{2}<\xi_{2, c}, \xi_{2}=\xi_{2, c}$ and $\xi_{2}>\xi_{2, c}$, respectively.


Figure 2: The SIM for different values of $\xi_{2}: \xi_{2}=0$ (red dotted line), $\xi_{2}=0.05<\xi_{2, c}$ (black solid line), $\xi_{2}=\xi_{2, c}$ (blue dashed line) and $\xi_{2}=0.8>\xi_{2, c}$ (green circled line). System parameters are reported in Table 1

The associated values of $N_{1}$ with $N_{2,1}$ and $N_{2,2}$ can be calculated using the SIM equation (see Eq. (23)). Thus, the geometrical places of possible singularities can be clarified completely. Figure 3 shows the SIM of the system with its unstable zone (green) and the geometrical places of singular points. We consider the parameters defined in the Table 1 We observe that the singular points are located on the boundaries of the unstable zone and also on the position of local extrema of the SIM.

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $k_{0}$ | 0.1 |
| $\xi_{2}$ | 0.1 |
| $\gamma_{0}$ | 0.5 |

Table 1: Parameters of the system.


Figure 3: The SIM of the system accompanied by its unstable zone (green) and positions of singularities $(\times)$.

### 3.4.2 Detection of equilibrium points of the system

To find equilibrium points of the system, we seek for those values of $N_{2}$ when $\mathcal{E}$ in Eq. (15) becomes zero.

Via injection of Eq. (22) in Eq. (15) and after some mathematical manipulations we have (see Appendix A):

$$
\begin{equation*}
a X^{3}+b X^{2}+c X+d=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $X=N_{2}^{2}$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a=\left(\frac{3}{4} k_{0}\right)^{2}\left[\xi_{1}^{2}+\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{37}\\
b=\frac{3}{2} k_{0}\left[\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)\left[\xi_{1}^{2}+\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\right]+\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right) \gamma_{0}^{2}\right] \\
c=\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\left[\xi_{1}^{2}+\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\right]+2\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right) \gamma_{0}^{2}+\left(\xi_{1} \xi_{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2} \xi_{2}^{2} \\
d=-f_{0}^{2} \gamma_{0}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Equation (36) can be solved with the Cardano's method. So, we can find the $N_{2}$ values for which correspond to equilibrium or singular points. Then, one can obtain $N_{1}$ from detected $N_{2}$ by Eq. (23).

### 3.4.3 Detection of the backbone curve of the system

To determine the backbone curve of the system, we seek for periodic responses of the undamped system without any external excitation. That is to say in Eq. (36) we set $f_{0}=0$ and $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}=0$ (see Eq. (3)). It reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{b c} X^{2}+b_{b c} X+c_{b c}=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
a_{b c} & =\left(\frac{3}{4} k_{0}\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2}  \tag{39}\\
b_{b c} & =\frac{3}{2} k_{0}\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)\left[2 \sigma\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)+\gamma_{0}\right] \\
c_{b c} & =\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)\left[\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+2 \gamma_{0}^{2}\right]+\gamma_{0}^{4}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

As $X=N_{2}^{2}$, then we should admit only real and positive solutions of $X$ in Eq. 38). For a sweeping detuning parameter $\sigma$, Eq. (38) can be solved easily to collect real and positive solutions as $N_{2}=\sqrt{X}$. The associate values of $N_{1}$ can be obtained from the equation of the SIM (see Eq. (23)).

### 3.5 Numerical results

Let us consider system parameters which are presented in Table 2 . The equilibrium points obtained from Eq. (36) for sweeping $\sigma$ are illustrated on Fig. 4a. These curves are in fact the frequency response of the system. Different two-dimension views of Fig. 4a are depicted in Figs. 4b 4d Figure 4 b projects the possible parts of the SIM which cover the equilibrium points for the system under external excitation amplitude $f_{0}=1.1$. Figures 4 c and 4 d show the amplitudes of equilibrium points $\left(N_{1}\right.$ and $\left.N_{2}\right)$ as functions of the $\sigma$ parameter. The green parts in these figures correspond to the unstable zone of the SIM. For this example, we observe that the frequency response curve possesses a main branch and an isola. Depending on the value of $\sigma$, one, two or three equilibrium points exist which could be stable or unstable. To check the validity of obtained analytical predictions, we carry out numerical integrations of the governing equations of the system (see Eq. (3)) with the Runge-Kutta method.

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $k_{0}$ | 0.1 |
| $\xi_{1}$ | 0.1 |
| $\xi_{2}$ | 0.1 |
| $\gamma_{0}$ | 0.5 |
| $\varepsilon$ | 0.01 |

Table 2: Parameters of the system.

### 3.5.1 Analytical predictions versus resultats of direct numerical integrations

Here, we provide some examples where the system possesses only one equilibrium point, e.g. for $\sigma=1$, and three equilibrium points, e.g. for $\sigma=-0.3$ (see Fig. 4).


Figure 4: Equilibrium points of the system (Table 2, $f_{0}=1.1$ ) for sweeping detuning parameters $\sigma$. a) Threedimensional view ( $\sigma, N_{2}, N_{1}$ ) ; b) Two-dimensional view ( $N_{1}, N_{2}$ ) ; c) Two-dimensional view ( $\sigma, N_{1}$ ) and d) Twodimensional view ( $\sigma, N_{2}$ ). Located equilibrium points in unstable zone of the SIM are represented by the green line.

- $\sigma=1$

For $\sigma=1$, there is only one equilibrium point as $\left(N_{2}, N_{1}\right)=(2.41,0.57)$, which is in the unstable zone of the SIM (see Fig. 4). We suppose following initial conditions: $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=\right.$ $0))=(0,0,0,0)$. Figure 5 a collects results obtained from direct numerical integration (blue line), the SIM (red line) and the initial conditions (black point). Time histories of system responses are illustrated in Figs. 5 b and 5c Figure 5 indicates that the system presents a Strongly Modulated Response (SMR) [56] which corresponds to repeated bifurcations between the stable branches of the SIM. This is because of positioning of equilibrium point in the unstable area of the SIM.

- $\sigma=-0.3$

For $\sigma=-0.3$, there are three equilibrium points: one on the main branch namely, (i) ( $N_{2}, N_{1}$ ) $=(0.71,0.67)$ and two others on the isola namely, (ii) $\left(N_{2}, N_{1}\right)=(2.82,0.78)$ and (iii) $\left(N_{2}, N_{1}\right)=(3.30,2.19)$ (see Fig. 4). We will carry out two numerical integrations with following initial conditions:

- $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(0,0,0,0)$ (see Fig. 6). The system is attracted by the equilibrium point (i) located on the main branch (dotted lines in Fig. 6a).
- $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(2.2,3.4,0,0)$ : these initial conditions are close to the equilibrium point (iii) located at the upper part of the isola. Figure 7 shows that the system is attracted by this point (dotted lines in Fig. 7a).


### 3.5.2 The backbone curve of the system

Figure 2 shows the backbone curve of the system with $k_{0}=0.1$ and $\gamma_{0}=0.5$. This figure is obtained from Eq. (38) and collects two branches namely, (I) and (II). The two-dimensional view of the Fig. 8a is presented in Fig. 8b This curve is in fact the SIM of the undamped system which is presented in Fig. 22 The frequency response curves of the system (parameters of the Table 2 ) under different forcing amplitudes, namely $f_{0}=0.7, f_{0}=1.1$ and $f_{0}=1.5$ are added to the same figure and are illustrated in Fig. 9. It is seen that the backbone curve collects (almost) local maxima of the frequency response curves. Moreover, if the forced system presents possible isola, then they lie on the branch (II)


Figure 5: Analytical predictions versus numerical results for the system (with parameters of Table 2) under external excitation with $f_{0}=1.1$ and $\sigma=1$. Numerical results are obtained by direct integration of Eq. (3) with initial conditions as $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(0,0,0,0)$ (represented by a solid point (•)). a) The SIM and corresponding numerical results; b) Time histories of $N_{1}$ obtained by numerical integration; c) Time histories of $N_{2}$ obtained by numerical integration.
of backbone curve and all types of frequency response curves of the forced system follow its backbone curve. For the design aspects, detection of backbone and frequency response curves, provide good information about possible system amplitudes as functions of the frequency and also amplitude of external excitations.


Figure 6: Analytical predictions versus numerical results for the system (with parameters of Table 2) under external excitation with $f_{0}=1.1$ and $\sigma=-0.3$. Numerical results are obtained by direct integration of Eq. (3) with initial conditions as $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(0,0,0,0)$ (represented by a solid point ( $\left.\bullet\right)$ ). a) The SIM and corresponding numerical results; b) Time histories of $N_{1}$ obtained by numerical integration; c) Time histories of $N_{2}$ obtained by numerical integration. (i) is the first equilibrium point.

## 4 Detection of different dynamics of the system with time-dependent cubic nonlinearity

### 4.1 Description of the system

Here, the results of previous sections will be expanded to consider a time-dependent cubic nonlinearity for the second oscillator with the mass $m$. We assume that the function $\Lambda\left(u_{2}\right)$ in Eq. (1) and Fig. 1 reads: $\Lambda\left(u_{2}\right)=k_{2}(t) u_{2}^{3}$. After introducing the new time domain $\tau$ (see Eq. (2)), the $\varepsilon \Lambda_{0}\left(u_{2}\right)$ in Eq. (3) is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \Lambda_{0}\left(u_{2}\right)=\varepsilon k_{0}(\tau) u_{2}^{3} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and all other parameters which are defined in Sect. 2.1 remain unchanged. In Eq. 40), we assume that $k_{0}(\tau)$ is $\frac{2 \pi}{\nu}$ periodic around a constant value $K_{0}$. Thus, we suppose that $k_{0}(\tau)$ can be developed in term of Fourier series as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0}(\tau)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} K_{n} e^{i n \nu \tau} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7: Analytical predictions versus numerical results for the system (with parameters of Table 2) under external excitation with $f_{0}=1.1$ and $\sigma=-0.3$. Numerical results are obtained by direct integration of Eq. (3) with initial conditions as $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(2.2,3.4,0,0)$ (represented by a hollow circle (o)). a) The SIM and corresponding numerical results. b) Time histories of $N_{1}$ obtained by numerical integration; c) Time histories of $N_{2}$ obtained by numerical integration. (iii) is the third equilibrium point.


Figure 8: Backbone curve of the system with the parameters of the Table 2 The backbone curve possesses two branches, namely (I) and (II). a) Three-dimensional view ( $\sigma, N_{2}, N_{1}$ ); b) Two-dimensional view ( $N_{2}, N_{1}$ ).


Figure 9: Backbone curves and equilibrium points of the system with different external forcing amplitudes: $f_{0}=0.7$, $f_{0}=1.1$ and $f_{0}=1.5$ (parameters of the Table 2 ).

### 4.2 Complexification of the system and applying the Galerkin method

Let us introduce the complex variables of Manevitch [35], see Eq. (4), and apply the Galerkin method to keep the first harmonics. Following system is obtained:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{i}{2} \nu \phi_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \xi_{1} \phi_{1}+\frac{1}{2 i \nu} \phi_{1}+\varepsilon \gamma_{0} \frac{1}{2 i \nu}\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon f_{0}}{2 i}  \tag{42}\\
\varepsilon\left(\phi_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{i}{2} \nu \phi_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \xi_{2} \phi_{2}+\varepsilon \gamma_{0} \frac{1}{2 i \nu}\left(\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}\right)+\varepsilon G\left(u_{2}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $G\left(u_{2}\right)$ is defined in Eq. (8). Applying Eq. (41) in Eq. (8), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\phi_{2}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)=\frac{i}{8 \nu^{3}}\left[\phi_{2}^{3} K_{-2}-3 K_{0}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \phi_{2}+3 K_{2}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \phi_{2}^{*}-\phi_{2}^{* 3} K_{4}\right] \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following section, Eq. (42) will be considered at different orders of $\varepsilon$ to identify the fast and slow dynamics of the system, as explained in Sect. 2.3

### 4.3 Fast system dynamics

After obtaining Eq. (9), the $\mathscr{H}$ function for this case reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}=\frac{1}{2} i \phi_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \xi_{2} \phi_{2}-\frac{i \gamma_{0}}{2}\left(\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}\right)+\frac{i}{8}\left[\phi_{2}^{3} K_{-2}-3 K_{0}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \phi_{2}+3 K_{2}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \phi_{2}^{*}-\phi_{2}^{* 3} K_{4}\right] \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

So equation of the SIM in complex domain becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+i \xi_{2}\right) \frac{\phi_{2}}{\gamma_{0}}-\frac{1}{4 \gamma_{0}}\left(\phi_{2}^{3} K_{-2}+3\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2}\left[-\phi_{2} K_{0}+\phi_{2}^{*} K_{2}\right]-\phi_{2}^{3 *} K_{4}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $K_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $K_{j}=K_{j R}+i K_{j I}, j=\{2,4\}$. Moreover, $K_{-j}=K_{j}^{*}$. After going to polar domain (see Eq. 11), following system can be obtained:

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{1}=\frac{N_{2}}{\gamma_{0}} \sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}}  \tag{46}\\
\delta_{1}=\delta_{2}+\arctan \left(\frac{B}{A}\right) \tag{47}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $A\left(N_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)$ and $B\left(N_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)$ defined in Appendix B. Equation 46), reveals that for a system with a periodic time-dependent nonlinearity, the SIM becomes three-dimensional depending on $N_{1}, N_{2}$ and $\delta_{2}$.

To detect the local extrema of the SIM and for the sake of simplicity in Eq. 46, we analyse functions $\frac{\partial N_{1}^{2}}{\partial N_{2}}=0$ and $\frac{\partial N_{1}^{2}}{\partial \delta_{2}}=0$, whose details are presented in Appendix $\square$ Let us set $X=N_{2}^{2}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial N_{1}^{2}}{\partial N_{2}}=0 \Rightarrow \alpha_{4} X^{2}+\alpha_{2} X+\alpha_{0}=0  \tag{48}\\
\frac{\partial N_{1}^{2}}{\partial \delta_{2}}=0 \Rightarrow \beta_{2} X+\beta_{0}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=-\frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{2}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

By injecting Eq. (49) into Eq. 48, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\delta_{2}\right)=\alpha_{4} \beta_{0}^{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{0} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{0} \beta_{2}^{2}=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (50) depends only on $\delta_{2}$, so the values of $\delta_{2}$ which verified the equation $P\left(\delta_{2}\right)=0$ can be found. Consequently, the obtained values of $\delta_{2}$ correspond to local extrema of the SIM. Then, associate values of $N_{2}$ and $N_{1}$ can be calculated from Eqs. (49) and (46), respectively. The points collecting these sets of $\left(\delta_{2}, N_{2}, N_{1}\right)$ correspond to coordinates of local extrema of the SIM.

### 4.4 Unstable zones of the SIM

To determine the boundaries of the unstable zones, we are interested in Eq. $\sqrt{96}$ 2) which is written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial T_{0}}+\frac{1}{2}\left[i \phi_{2}+\xi_{2} \phi_{2}-i \gamma_{0}\left(\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}\right)+\frac{i}{4}\left(\phi_{2}^{3} K_{2}^{*}-3 \phi_{2}^{2} \phi_{2}^{*} K_{0}+3 \phi_{2} \phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{2}-\phi_{2}^{* 3} K_{4}\right)\right]=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

After taking into account the complex conjugate of Eq. (51), and introducing the perturbation from of the variables (see Eq. (13), the arrays of $\mathbb{M}$ matrix in Eq. (14), become:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{M}_{11}=-\frac{i}{2}\left(1-\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4}\left[\phi_{2}^{2} K_{2}^{*}-2 \phi_{2} \phi_{2}^{*} K_{0}+\phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{2}\right]\right)-\frac{\xi_{2}}{2}  \tag{52}\\
\mathbb{M}_{12}=-\frac{3 i}{8}\left(-\phi_{2}^{2} K_{0}+2 \phi_{2} \phi_{2}^{*} K_{2}-\phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{4}\right) \\
\mathbb{M}_{21}=\frac{3 i}{8}\left(-\phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{0}+2 \phi_{2} \phi_{2}^{*} K_{2}^{*}-\phi_{2}^{2} K_{4}^{*}\right) \\
\mathbb{M}_{22}=\frac{i}{2}\left(1-\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4}\left[\phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{2}-2 \phi_{2} \phi_{2}^{*} K_{0}+\phi_{2}^{2} K_{2}^{*}\right]\right)-\frac{\xi_{2}}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Eigenvalues $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ of $\mathbb{M}$ matrix can be obtained from Eq. (30). Then, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in Eq. (31) read:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha=-\xi_{2}  \tag{53}\\
\beta=a_{v} N_{2}^{4}+b_{v} N_{2}^{2}+c_{v}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with ( $a_{v}, b_{v}$ and $c_{v}$ defined in Appendix D). As explained in Sect. 3.3. the condition $\beta=0$, clarifies boundaries between stable and unstable zones of the SIM. Let us set $X=N_{2}^{2}$. If $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are real and positive solutions of $\beta=0$ in Eq. (53), then $N_{21}=\sqrt{X_{1}}$ and $N_{22}=\sqrt{X_{2}}$ correspond to boundaries of the unstable zones of the SIM.

### 4.5 Slow system dynamics

The $\mathbb{A}$ matrix of Eq. (17) becomes:
$\mathbb{A}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}i\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{2}+\frac{3 i}{4}\left(\phi_{2}^{2} K_{2}^{*}-2\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} K_{0}+\phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{2}\right) & \frac{i}{4}\left(-3 \phi_{2}^{2} K_{0}+6\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} K_{2}-3 \phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{4}\right) \\ -\frac{i}{4}\left(-3 \phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{0}+6\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} K_{2}^{*}-3 \phi_{2}^{2} K_{4}^{*}\right) & -i\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{2}-\frac{3 i}{4}\left(\phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{2}-2\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} K_{0}+\phi_{2}^{2} K_{2}^{*}\right)\end{array}\right]$
To determine the slow dynamic of the system, we consider Eq. 42 1) at $O(\varepsilon)$ and we use the method which is explained in Sect. 2.3.3

### 4.5.1 Singular points

The geometrical position of singular points corresponds to the $N_{2}$ values which verify $\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A})=0$ as explained in Eq. (19). Let us assume that $X=N_{2}^{2}$ and after some mathematical developments, we will have (see Appendix E):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A})=a X^{2}+b X+c \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

We notice that Eq. [55) is the same equation as the one of the boundaries of the unstable zone of the SIM ( $\beta=0$ in Eq. (53)). Thus, for each $\delta_{2}$, the singular points correspond to $N_{2,1}=\sqrt{X_{1}}$ and $N_{2,2}=\sqrt{X_{2}}$. Consequently, we see that the boundaries of the unstable zone coincide with the geometrical places of possible singularities. The associate $N_{1}$ are found via the SIM equation (see Eq. 46). Figure 10 shows the SIM of the system with its local extrema (pink point), the unstable zone (green line) which coincide with the geometrical places of singular points. The system parameters are defined in the Table 3. We observe that, unlike the system with constant nonlinear rigidity, some limited numbers of stability boundaries (or geometrical curves of singularities) correspond to local extrema.

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $K_{0}$ | 0.1 |
| $K_{2 R}$ | 0.009 |
| $K_{2 I}$ | 0.009 |
| $K_{4 R}$ | 0 |
| $K_{4 I}$ | 0 |
| $\xi_{2}$ | 0.1 |
| $\gamma_{0}$ | 0.5 |

Table 3: Parameters of the system


Figure 10: The SIM of the system accompanied by its unstable zone (green line) and the local extrema (pink point). a) Three dimensional view ( $\delta_{2}, N_{2}, N_{1}$ ); b) Two dimensional view ( $N_{2}, N_{1}$ ) (parameters of the system are provided in Table 3).

Necessary condition for having real and positive solutions of Eq. 55 leads to following conditions for damping:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{2} \leq \xi_{2, c v} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{2, c v}=-\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\left(K_{0}^{2}+4 K_{2 I}^{2}+4 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}-4\left(K_{2 I} K_{4 I}+K_{2 R}\left(K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right)\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+2 K_{0} K_{4 R} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-4\left(K_{0} K_{2 I}+K_{2 R} K_{4 I}-K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+2 K_{0} K_{4 I} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)\right) \\
& {\left[-3 K_{0}^{2}+2 K_{2 I}^{2}+2 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}-4\left(-K_{0} K_{2 R}+K_{2 I} K_{4 I}+K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right.} \\
&\left.+2\left(K_{2 I}^{2}-K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{0} K_{4 R}\right) \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+4\left(-K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+K_{2 I}\left(K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right)\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+2\left(-2 K_{2 I} K_{2 R}+K_{0} K_{4 I}\right) \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\xi_{2}>\xi_{2, c v}$, the SIM becomes monotone, without possessing any singularities and local extrema.

### 4.5.2 Detection of equilibrium points of the system

The equilibrium points correspond to $\mathcal{E}=0$, from Eq. (15):

$$
\begin{equation*}
i f_{0}+\left(i\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{1}\right) \phi_{1}+i \gamma_{0} \phi_{2}=0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

After injecting Eq. 45) (SIM) in Eq. (58) and some mathematical manipulations, following system is obtained (see Appendix F):

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{10} N_{2}^{10}+p_{8} N_{2}^{8}+p_{7} N_{2}^{7}+p_{6} N_{2}^{6}+p_{5} N_{2}^{5}+p_{4} N_{2}^{4}+p_{3} N_{2}^{3}+p_{2} N_{2}^{2}+p_{0}=0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p_{j}, j=0, \ldots 10$ defined in Appendix F . The sets of ( $\delta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, N_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ) verifying Eq. 59p correspond to the equilibrium points of the system. The roots of Eq. (59) in term of $N_{2}$ are calculated with the "roots" function of MATLAB ${ }^{\circledR}$ for a range of given $\delta_{2}$ and $\sigma$. The associate $N_{1}$ can be find via the equation of the SIM (Eq. (46)).

### 4.5.3 Detection of backbone curves of the system

To determine the backbone curves of the system with the time-dependent nonlinear rigidity, as in Sect. 3.5.2 we seek for periodic responses of the free and undamped system. That is to say in Eq. (58) we set $f_{0}=0$ and $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}=0$. After some mathematical manipulations, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{b c, 4} X^{4}+p_{b c, 3} X^{3}+p_{b c, 2} X^{2}+p_{b c, 1} X+p_{b c, 0}=0 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $X=N_{2}^{2}$ and $p_{b c, j}, j=0, \ldots 4$ defined in Appendix $G$. As in the previous part, $X=N_{2}^{2}$ and we admit only real and positive solutions of X in Eq. (60). The associates values of $N_{1}$ can be obtained from Eq. (46).

In the next section, some numerical results will be presented. They will be considered as base results concerning the evolution of system behaviours and will be compared with the analytical predictions.

### 4.6 Numerical results

We consider the system parameters which are presented in Table 3 We suppose that the amplitude of external excitation is $f_{0}=1$.1. Figure 11a collects equilibrium points in terms of $\delta_{2}, N_{2}$ and $N_{1}$ and Fig. 11b is the two-dimensional view of the Fig. 11a. Figure 11c represents the equilibrium points in terms of $\sigma, N_{2}$ and $N_{1}$. All of these figures are the frequency responses of the system. Figures 11d and 11e correspond to the two-dimensional views of Fig. 11c. The green part corresponds to equilibrium points which are housed by the unstable zone of the SIM. From these figures we observe that the equilibrium points are located on two global branches: a main branch (i) and isola (ii).

### 4.6.1 Analytical predictions versus resilts of direct numerical integrations

Some numerical integrations of the governing equations of the system (see Eq. (3)) are carried out to check the validity of obtained analytical predictions. We provide some examples for two different values of $\sigma$ and different initial conditions.


Figure 11: Different views of collected equilibrium points for the system with $f_{0}=1.1$. a) $\left(\delta_{2}, N_{2}, N_{1}\right)$; b) ( $\left.N_{2}, N_{1}\right)$; c) $\left(\sigma, N_{2}, N_{1}\right)$; d) $\left(\sigma, N_{2}\right)$; e) $\left(\sigma, N_{1}\right)$. The equilibrium points located in unstable zone of the SIM are represented in green.

- $\sigma=0.5$

For $\sigma=0.5$, the equilibrium points are in the unstable zone of the SIM (see Fig. 11). We suppose following initial conditions: $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(0,0,0,0)$. Figures 12 a and 12 b collect results obtained from direct numerical integration of Eq. (3) (blue line), the SIM and the initial conditions (white point). Time histories of system responses are illustrated in Figs. 12c, 12d and 12e, Figure 12 indicates that the system presents a SMR [56] which corresponds to repeated bifurcations between the stable branches of the SIM. This is because of positioning of the equilibrium point in the unstable area of the SIM.


Figure 12: Analytical predictions versus numerical results for the system (with parameters of Table 3) under external excitation with $f_{0}=1.1$ and $\sigma=0.5$. Numerical results are obtained by direct numerical integration of Eq. (3) with initial conditions as $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(0,0,0,0)$ (represented by a hollow circle (o)). a) Three-dimensions view of the SIM and corresponding numerical results; b) Two-dimensions view of the SIM and corresponding numerical results; c) Time histories of $N_{2}$ obtained by numerical integration; d) Time histories of $N_{1}$ obtained by numerical integration; e) Time histories of $\delta_{2}$ obtained by numerical integration. The phase $\delta_{2}$ is wrapped to $[0, \pi]$.

- $\sigma=-0.56$

For $\sigma=-0.56$, there are several equilibrium points which are located on isola (see Fig. 11. We carry out two numerical integrations with the following initial conditions:

- $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(0,0,0,0)$ (see Fig. 13). The system is attracted by the main branch of frequency responses (i) (see Figs. 11c and 11d).


Figure 13: Analytical predictions versus numerical results for the system (with parameters of Table 33 under external excitation with $f_{0}=1.1$ and $\sigma=-0.56$. Numerical results are obtained by direct numerical integration of Eq. (3) with initial conditions as $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(0,0,0,0)$ (represented by a hollow circle (o)). a) Three-dimensions view of the SIM and corresponding numerical results; b) Two-dimensions view of the SIM and corresponding numerical results; c) Time histories of $N_{2}$ obtained by numerical integration; d) Time histories of $N_{1}$ obtained by numerical integration; e) Time histories of $\delta_{2}$ obtained by numerical integration. The phase $\delta_{2}$ is wrapped to $[0, \pi]$.

- $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(3.5,4,0,0)$ (see Fig. 14.). The system is attracted by the isola of frequency responses (ii) (see Figs. 11c and 11d).


### 4.6.2 Backbone curve of the system

Figure 15 a obtained from Eq. (60) shows the backbone curve of the system with the parameters of the Table 3 but with $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}=0$ and $f_{0}=0$. This figure collects two branches namely, (I) and (II). Figure 15 b represents the two-dimensional view of the Fig. 15a. The frequency responses of the system (parameters of the Table 3) under a


Figure 14: Analytical predictions versus numerical results for the system (with parameters of Table 3) under external excitation with $f_{0}=1.1$ and $\sigma=-0.56$. Numerical results are obtained by direct numerical integration of Eq. (3) with initial conditions as $\left(u_{1}(\tau=0), u_{2}(\tau=0), u_{1}^{\prime}(\tau=0), u_{2}^{\prime}(\tau=0)\right)=(3.5,4,0,0)$ (represented by a hollow circle (o)). a) Three-dimensions view of the SIM and corresponding numerical results; b) Two-dimensions view of the SIM and corresponding numerical results; c) Time histories of $N_{2}$ obtained by numerical integration; d) Time histories of $N_{1}$ obtained by numerical integration; e) Time histories of $\delta_{2}$ obtained by numerical integration. The phase $\delta_{2}$ is wrapped to $[0, \pi]$.
forcing amplitude $f_{0}=1.1$ are added to the backbone curve and illustrated in Fig. 16. As in Sect. 3. we can see that the backbone curve collects (almost) local maxima of the frequency response curve.


Figure 15: Backbone curve of the system with the parameters of the Table 3. The backbone curve possesses two branches, namely (I) and (II). a) Three-dimensional view ( $\sigma, N_{2}, N_{1}$ ); b) Two-dimensional view ( $N_{2}, N_{1}$ ).


Figure 16: Backbone curves and equilibrium points of the system with the external forcing amplitude as $f_{0}=1.1$ (parameters of the Table 3).

## 5 Conclusions

The energy channelling between two oscillators is studied: one of oscillators supposed to be linear, is weakly coupled to a cubic nonlinear oscillator which its nonlinearity can be constant or time-dependent. Fast and slow system dynamics are detected which result in detection of slow invariant manifold of the system and its characteristic points, i.e. equilibrium and singular points. All of these, provide tools for prediction of different possible periodic or non-periodic regimes as functions of excitation amplitude and its deriving frequency. It is spotted that: i) the time-dependent nonlinearity produces a phase-dependent slow invariant manifold which its unstable zone can cover a zone of the slow invariant manifold in a continuous manner or some closed form portions of it; ii) in slow invariant manifolds of both timedependent and constant nonlinear systems, the positions of stability borders and geometrical places of singularities coincide while positions of local extrema in time-dependent systems correspond to some few points of the stability borders; iii) the phase of the system interacts in frequency responses of time-dependent nonlinear systems and in both types of nonlinear systems, the frequency responses can present isola. The latter should be examined and identified carefully if the final goal is systems control; iv) studying frequency responses of the system permits to grasp all possible
zones of the slow invariant manifold which house equilibrium and singular points as functions of characteristics of external excitation.
The perspective of this paper could be performing stability analysis at slow time scale and also optimization of system parameters. Experimental tests will be carried out as well based on developments of this paper.
Our developments provide design tools for tuning parameters of the second oscillators for mastering energy channelling between two oscillators or all possible dynamical regimes. The system under consideration can be seen as a meta-cell which can produce special characteristics to be used later chain or a network of such cells.
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## A Treatment of $\mathcal{E}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}=0 \Rightarrow i f_{0}+\left[i\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{1}\right] \phi_{1}+i \gamma_{0} \phi_{2}=0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us inject Eq. 22) in Eq. 61),

$$
\begin{gather*}
i f_{0}+\left[i\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{1}\right] \frac{\phi_{2}}{\gamma_{0}}\left[-1+i \xi_{2}+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0}\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2}\right]+i \gamma_{0} \phi_{2}=0  \tag{62}\\
i f_{0}+\left[i\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{1}\right] \frac{N_{2} e^{i \delta_{2}}}{\gamma_{0}}\left[-1+i \xi_{2}+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right]+i \gamma_{0} N_{2} e^{i \delta_{2}}=0  \tag{63}\\
i f_{0}+\frac{N_{2}\left(\cos \left(\delta_{2}\right)+i \sin \left(\delta_{2}\right)\right)}{\gamma_{0}}\left[-\xi_{2}\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+i\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
\left.+i \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+\xi_{1}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)\right]+i \gamma_{0} N_{2}\left(\cos \left(\delta_{2}\right)+i \sin \left(\delta_{2}\right)\right)=0 \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

Real part:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos \left(\delta_{2}\right) \underbrace{\left[-\xi_{2}\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{1}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)\right]}_{A_{11}} \\
&-\sin \left(\delta_{2}\right) \underbrace{\left[\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}\right]}_{A_{12}}=0 \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

Imaginary part:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos \left(\delta_{2}\right) \underbrace{\left[\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}\right]}_{A_{12}} \\
&+\sin \left(\delta_{2}\right) \underbrace{\left[-\xi_{2}\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{1}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)\right]}_{A_{11}}=\underbrace{-\frac{f_{0} \gamma_{0}}{N_{2}}}_{C_{2}} \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{11} \cos \left(\delta_{2}\right)-A_{12} \sin \left(\delta_{2}\right)=0 \\
A_{12} \cos \left(\delta_{2}\right)+A_{11} \sin \left(\delta_{2}\right)=C_{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
A_{11}^{2}+A_{12}^{2}=C_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \xi_{2}^{2}\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}-2 \xi_{2} \xi_{1}\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)+\xi_{1}^{2}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& \left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+2\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+\frac{3}{4} k_{0} N_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\xi_{1} \xi_{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}\right)+\left(\xi_{1} \xi_{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{f_{0}^{2} \gamma_{0}^{2}}{N_{2}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider $X=N_{2}^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{3}\left(\frac{3}{4} k_{0}\right)^{2}\left[\xi_{1}^{2}+\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\right]+X^{2} \frac{3}{2} k_{0}\left[\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)\left[\xi_{1}^{2}+\left(2 \sigma_{-} \gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\right]+\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right) \gamma_{0}^{2}\right] \\
& +X\left[\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\left[\xi_{1}^{2}+2\left(\sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\right]+2\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right) \gamma_{0}^{2}+\left(\xi_{1} \xi_{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)^{2} \xi_{2}^{2}\right]=f_{0}^{2} \gamma_{0}^{2} \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a X^{3}+b X^{2}+c X+d=0 \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B Development of fast dynamic for the system with time-dependent nonlinearity

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{0} N_{1} e^{i \delta_{1}}=\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+i \xi_{2}\right) N_{2} e^{i \delta_{2}}-\frac{N_{2}^{3}}{4}\left(e^{3 i \delta_{2}}\left(K_{2 R}-i K_{2 I}\right)+3\left[-K_{0} e^{i \delta_{2}}+\right.\right. & \left.\left(K_{2 R}+i K_{2 I}\right) e^{-i \delta_{2}}\right] \\
& \left.-\left(K_{4 R}+i K_{4 I}\right) e^{-i 3 \delta_{2}}\right) \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

Real part:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0} \frac{N_{1}}{N_{2}} \cos \left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right)=\underbrace{\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)-\frac{N_{2}^{2}}{4}\left(4 K_{2 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+4 K_{2 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-3 K_{0}-K_{4 R} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-K_{4 I} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)\right)}_{A} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Imaginary part:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0} \frac{N_{1}}{N_{2}} \sin \left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right)=\underbrace{\xi_{2}-\frac{N_{2}^{2}}{4}\left(-2 K_{2 R} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+2 K_{2 I} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+K_{4 R} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-K_{4 I} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)\right)}_{B} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}=\frac{N_{2}}{\gamma_{0}} \sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{2}+B^{2}=\frac{1}{16}\left[16 \xi_{2}^{2}+\left(-8 K_{4 R} N_{2}^{2} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+8 K_{4 I} N_{2}^{2} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-16 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right) \xi_{2}+\left(\left(-4 K_{2 R} K_{4 R}-8 K_{2 I} K_{4 I}\right) N_{2}^{4} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(4 K_{2 I} K_{4 R}-8 K_{2 R} K_{4 I}\right) N_{2}^{4} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \\
& \left.+6 K_{0} K_{4 I} N_{2}^{4}+\left(8 K_{4 I} \gamma_{0}-8 K_{4 I}\right) N_{2}^{2}\right) \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(\left(4 K_{2 R} K_{4 I}-8 K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right) N_{2}^{4} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(-8 K_{2 R} K_{4 R}-4 K_{2 I} K_{4 I}\right) N_{2}^{4} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+6 K_{0} K_{4 R} N_{2}^{4}+\left(8 K_{4 R} \gamma_{0}-8 K_{4 R}\right) N_{2}^{2}\right) \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+ \\
& \left(24 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{4} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-24 K_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{4}+\left(32 K_{2 I}-32 K_{2 I} \gamma_{0}\right) N_{2}^{2}\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(12 K_{2 R}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-12 K_{2 I}^{2}\right) N_{2}^{4} \cos ^{2}\left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(\left(32 K_{2 R}-32 K_{2 R} \gamma_{0}\right) N_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-24 K_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{4}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(K_{4 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+4 K_{2 R}^{2}+16 K_{2 I}^{2}+9 K_{0}^{2}\right) N_{2}^{4}  \tag{76}\\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\left(24 K_{0} \gamma_{0}-24 K_{0}\right) N_{2}^{2}+16 \gamma_{0}^{2}-32 \gamma_{0}+16\right]
\end{align*}
$$

## C Extrema of the SIM for the system with time-dependent nonlinearity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial N_{1}^{2}}{\partial N_{2}}=\frac{-1}{8 \gamma_{0}^{2}}\left[\left(\left(12 K_{2 R} K_{4 R}+24 K_{2 I} K_{4 I}\right) N_{2}^{5} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(24 K_{2 R} K_{4 I}-12 K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right) N_{2}^{5} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad-18 K_{0} K_{4 I} N_{2}^{5}+\left(16 K_{4 R} \xi_{2}+\left(16-16 \gamma_{0}\right) K_{4 I}\right) N_{2}^{3}\right) \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(\left(24 K_{2 I} K_{4 R}-12 K_{2 R} K_{4 I}\right) N_{2}^{5} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(24 K_{2 R} K_{4 R}+12 K_{2 I} K_{4 I}\right) N_{2}^{5} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-18 K_{0} K_{4 R} N_{2}^{5}+\left(\left(16-16 \gamma_{0}\right) K_{4 R}-16 K_{4 I} \xi_{2}\right) N_{2}^{3}\right) \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(-72 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{5} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+72 K_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{5}+\left(\left(64 \gamma_{0}-64\right) K_{2 I}-32 K_{2 R} \xi_{2}\right) N_{2}^{3}\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(36 K_{2 I}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-36 K_{2 R}^{2}\right) N_{2}^{5} \cos ^{2}\left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(72 K_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{5}+\left(32 K_{2 I} \xi_{2}+\left(64 \gamma_{0}-64\right) K_{2 R}\right) N_{2}^{3}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(-3 K_{4 R}^{2}-3 K_{4 I}^{2}-12 K_{2 R}^{2}-48 K_{2 I}^{2}-27 K_{0}^{2}\right) N_{2}^{5}+\left(48-48 \gamma_{0}\right) K_{0} N_{2}^{3}+\left(-16 \xi_{2}^{2}-16 \gamma_{0}^{2}+32 \gamma_{0}-16\right) N_{2}\right]=0 \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial N_{1}^{2}}{\partial \delta_{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \gamma_{0}^{2}}\left[\left(3 K_{2 I} K_{4 R} N_{2}^{6} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+3 K_{2 R} K_{4 R} N_{2}^{6} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-3 K_{0} K_{4 R} N_{2}^{6}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\left(4-4 \gamma_{0}\right) K_{4 R}-4 K_{4 I} \xi_{2}\right) N_{2}^{4}\right) \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(-3 K_{2 I} K_{4 I} N_{2}^{6} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-3 K_{2 R} K_{4 I} N_{2}^{6} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+3 K_{0} K_{4 I} N_{2}^{6}+\left(\left(4 \gamma_{0}-4\right) K_{4 I}-4 K_{4 R} \xi_{2}\right) N_{2}^{4}\right) \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(\left(6 K_{2 I}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-6 K_{2 R}^{2}\right) N_{2}^{6} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+6 K_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{6}+\left(4 K_{2 I} \xi_{2}+\left(8 \gamma_{0}-8\right) K_{2 R}\right) N_{2}^{4}\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+12 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{6} \cos ^{2}\left(2 \delta_{2}\right)  \tag{78}\\
& \left.\quad+\left(\left(4 K_{2 R} \xi_{2}+\left(8-8 \gamma_{0}\right) K_{2 I}\right) N_{2}^{4}-6 K_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{6}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-6 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{6}\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. 77) and read as a polynomial of $N_{2}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N_{2}}{8 \gamma_{0}^{2}}\left(16-32 \gamma_{0}+16 \gamma_{0}^{2}+16 \xi_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{N_{2}^{3}}{8 \gamma_{0}^{2}}\left(48 K_{0}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)+64 K_{2 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-64 K_{2 R} \gamma_{0} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \quad-32 K_{2 I} \xi_{2} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 R} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{4 R} \gamma_{0} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{4 I} \xi_{2} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+64 K_{2 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& \left.-64 K_{2 I} \gamma_{0} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+32 K_{2 R} \xi_{2} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 I} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{4 I} \gamma_{0} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 R} \xi_{2} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{N_{2}^{5}}{8 \gamma_{0}^{2}}\left(27 K_{0}^{2}+30 K_{2 I}^{2}+30 K_{2 R}^{2}+3 K_{4 I}^{2}+3 K_{4 R}^{2}-72 K_{0} K_{2 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-18 K_{2 I} K_{4 I} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
& -18 K_{2 R} K_{4 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-18 K_{2 I}^{2} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+18 K_{2 R}^{2} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+18 K_{0} K_{4 R} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+6 K_{2 I} K_{4 I} \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& \quad-6 K_{2 R} K_{4 R} \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)-72 K_{0} K_{2 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-18 K_{2 R} K_{4 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+18 K_{2 I} K_{4 R} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+36 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+18 K_{0} K_{4 I} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-6 K_{2 R} K_{4 I} \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)-6 K_{2 I} K_{4 R} \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)\right)=0 \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N_{2}^{4}}{8 \gamma_{0}^{2}}\left(-32 K_{2 I}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{2 R} \xi_{2} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 I} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{4 I} \gamma_{0} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
& -16 K_{4 R} \xi_{2} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+32 K_{2 R}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{2 I} \xi_{2} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{4 R} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 R} \gamma_{0} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& \left.\quad-16 K_{4 I} \xi_{2} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)\right)+\frac{N_{2}^{6}}{8 \gamma_{0}^{2}}\left(-6 K_{2 R} K_{4 I} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+6 K_{2 I}\left(-4 K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+24 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
& +12 K_{0} K_{4 I} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-6\left(K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right) \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)+6 K_{2 I} K_{4 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+6 K_{2 R}\left(4 K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+12\left(K_{2 I}-K_{2 R}\right)\left(K_{2 I}+K_{2 R}\right) \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-12 K_{0} K_{4 R} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-6\left(K_{2 I} K_{4 I}-K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right) \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)\right)=0 \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $X=N_{2}^{2}$, then Eq. (79) can be written as is simplified:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial N_{1}^{2}}{\partial N_{2}}=0 \Rightarrow \alpha_{4} X^{2}+\alpha_{2} X+\alpha_{0}=0 \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{0}=16\left(1-2 \gamma_{0}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{82}\\
\alpha_{2}=48 K_{0}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)+64 K_{2 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-64 K_{2 R} \gamma_{0} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-32 K_{2 I} \xi_{2} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 R} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right) \\
+16 K_{4 R} \gamma_{0} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{4 I} \xi_{2} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+64 K_{2 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-64 K_{2 I} \gamma_{0} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+32 K_{2 R} \xi_{2} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 I} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right) \\
+16 K_{4 I} \gamma_{0} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 R} \xi_{2} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)  \tag{83}\\
\\
\alpha_{4}=27 K_{0}^{2}+30 K_{2 I}^{2}+30 K_{2 R}^{2}+3 K_{4 I}^{2}+3 K_{4 R}^{2}-72 K_{0} K_{2 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-18 K_{2 I} K_{4 I} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-18 K_{2 R} K_{4 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right) \\
-18 K_{2 I}^{2} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+18 K_{2 R}^{2} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+18 K_{0} K_{4 R} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+6 K_{2 I} K_{4 I} \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)-6 K_{2 R} K_{4 R} \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right) \\
-72 K_{0} K_{2 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-18 K_{2 R} K_{4 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+18 K_{2 I} K_{4 R} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+36 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+18 K_{0} K_{4 I} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-6 K_{2 R} K_{4 I} \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)-6 K_{2 I} K_{4 R} \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (80) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial N_{1}^{2}}{\partial \delta_{2}}=0 \Rightarrow \beta_{2} X+\beta_{0}=0 \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{0}=-32 K_{2 I}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{2 R} \xi_{2} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 I} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{4 I} \gamma_{0} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 R} \xi_{2} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& +32 K_{2 R}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{2 I} \xi_{2} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+16 K_{4 R} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 R} \gamma_{0} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-16 K_{4 I} \xi_{2} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right) \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{2}= & -6 K_{2 R} K_{4 I} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+6 K_{2 I}\left(-4 K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+24 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+12 K_{0} K_{4 I} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& -6\left(K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right) \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)+6 K_{2 I} K_{4 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+6 K_{2 R}\left(4 K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& +12\left(K_{2 I}-K_{2 R}\right)\left(K_{2 I}+K_{2 R}\right) \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-12 K_{0} K_{4 R} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-6\left(K_{2 I} K_{4 I}-K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right) \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right) \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

## D Development unstable zone of the SIM of the system with time-dependent nonlinearity

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{v}=\frac{9}{64}\left(3 K_{0}^{2}-2 K_{2 I}^{2}-2 K_{2 R}^{2}-K_{4 I}^{2}-K_{4 R}^{2}+4\left(-K_{0} K_{2 R}+K_{2 I} K_{4 I}+K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
\left.-2\left(K_{2 I}^{2}-K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{0} K_{4 R}\right) \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)-4\left(-K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+K_{2 I}\left(K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right)\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+2\left(2 K_{2 I} K_{2 R}-K_{0} K_{4 I}\right) \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)\right) \tag{88}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{v}=\frac{3}{4}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)\left(K_{0}-K_{2 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-K_{2 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{89}\\
c_{v}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{90}
\end{gather*}
$$

## E Development of $\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A})$ (singular points of the system with time-dependent nonlinearity)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A})=\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi_{2}} \frac{\partial \mathscr{H}^{*}}{\partial \phi_{2}^{*}}-\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}{ }^{*}}{\partial \phi_{2}} \frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \phi_{2}^{*}}  \tag{91}\\
\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A})=\frac{1}{4}\left[\xi_{2}^{2}+\left(-1+\gamma_{0}-\frac{3}{4}\left(\phi_{2}^{2} K_{2}^{*}-2\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} K_{0}+\phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{2}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2}\left(\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{4}-2\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{0} K_{2}\right.\right. \\
+\phi_{2}^{* 4} K_{0} K_{4}-2\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \phi_{2}^{2} K_{0} K_{2}^{*}+4\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{4}\left|K_{2}\right|^{2} \\
\left.\left.-2\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \phi_{2}^{* 2} K_{2}^{*} K_{4}+\phi_{2}^{4} K_{0} K_{4}^{*}-2\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \phi_{2}^{2} K_{2} K_{4}^{*}+\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{4}\left|K_{4}\right|^{2}\right)\right]  \tag{92}\\
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A})=\frac{1}{4}\left[\xi_{2}^{2}+\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)^{2}-3\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right) N_{2}^{2}\left(K_{2 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+K_{2 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-K_{0}\right)\right. \\
+\frac{9}{4} N_{2}^{4}\left(K_{2 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+K_{2 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-K_{0}\right)^{2}-N_{2}^{4}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2}\left(K_{0}^{2}-4 K_{0}\left(K_{2 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+K_{2 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
+2 K_{0}\left(K_{4 R} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+K_{4 I} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)\right)-4\left(K_{2 R} K_{4 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-K_{2 I} K_{4 R} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.+K_{4 I} K_{2 I} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+K_{2 R} K_{4 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)\right)+4\left(K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{2 I}^{2}\right)+K_{4 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}\right)\right]
\end{array} \\
\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A})=a N_{2}^{4}+b N_{2}^{2}+c
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us consider $X=N_{2}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathbb{A})=\frac{1}{4}\left(a X^{2}+b X+c\right) \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
a=\frac{-1}{64}\left[18 K_{0} K_{4 I} \sin \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+18 K_{0} K_{4 R} \cos \left(4 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(-72 K_{2 I} K_{2 r} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+36 K_{2 I} K_{4 R}-36 K_{2 R} K_{4 I}\right.\right. \\
\left.+36 K_{0} K_{2 I}\right) \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(36 K_{2 I}^{2}-36 K_{2 R}^{2}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(-36 K_{2 R} K_{4 R}-36 K_{2 I} K_{4 I}+36 K_{0} K_{2 R}\right) \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right) \\
\left.+9 K_{4 R}^{2}+9 K_{4 I}^{2}+36 K_{2 R}^{2}-27 K_{0}^{2}\right]  \tag{96}\\
b=\frac{-3}{4}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)\left(K_{2 R} \cos \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)+K_{2 I} \sin \left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-K_{0}\right)  \tag{97}\\
c=\frac{\xi_{2}^{2}}{4}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{98}
\end{gather*}
$$

## F Development of equilibrium points of the system with time-dependent nonlinearity

$$
\begin{equation*}
i f_{0}+\left(i\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{1}\right) \phi_{1}+i \gamma_{0} \phi_{2}=0 \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Injecting Eq. 45) in Eq. (99):

$$
\begin{align*}
& i f_{0}+\left(i\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{1}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma_{0}}\left(-1+\gamma_{0}+i \xi_{2}\right) \phi_{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\phi_{2}^{3} K_{2}^{*}-3\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \phi_{2} K_{0}+3\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2} \phi_{2}^{*} K_{2}-\phi_{2}^{* 3} K_{4}\right)+i \gamma_{0} \phi_{2}=0  \tag{100}\\
& i f_{0}+\left(i\left(2 \sigma-\gamma_{0}\right)+\xi_{1}\right) \frac{N_{2} e^{i \delta_{2}}}{\gamma_{0}}\left[-1+\gamma_{0}+i \xi_{2}-\frac{N_{2}^{2}}{4}\left(K_{2}^{*} e^{2 i \delta_{2}}-3 K_{0}+3 e^{-2 i \delta_{2}} K_{2}-e^{-4 i \delta_{2}} K_{4}\right)\right] \\
& +i \gamma_{0} N_{2} e^{i \delta_{2}}=0 \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

Real part:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{2}\left(\left(3 \gamma_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2}-6 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+4 \xi_{1}-4 \gamma_{0} \xi_{1}-3 K_{0} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}+3 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}-4 \gamma_{0} \xi_{2}+8 \sigma \xi_{2}\right) \cos \left(\delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\left(-\gamma_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2}-\gamma_{0} K_{4 I} N_{2}^{2}+2 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+2 K_{4 I} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}-K_{4 R} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}\right) \cos \left(3 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(4 \gamma_{0}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-3 \gamma_{0} K_{0} N_{2}^{2}-3 \gamma_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2}-8 \sigma+8 \gamma_{0} \sigma+6 K_{0} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+6 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+3 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}+4 \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right) \sin \left(\delta_{2}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\gamma_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2}+\gamma_{0} K_{4 R} N_{2}^{2}-2 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2} \sigma-2 K_{4 R} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}-K_{4 I} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}\right) \sin \left(3 \delta_{2}\right)\right) \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

Imaginary part:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 f_{0} \gamma_{0}+\left(4 \gamma_{0} N_{2}-3 \gamma_{0} K_{0} N_{2}^{3}+3 \gamma_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3}-8 N_{2} \sigma+8 \gamma_{0} N_{2} \sigma+6 K_{0} N_{2}^{3} \sigma-6 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3} \sigma\right. \\
& \left.\quad-3 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}+4 N_{2} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right) \cos \left(\delta_{2}\right)+\left(\gamma_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3}-\gamma_{0} K_{4 R} N_{2}^{3}-2 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3} \sigma+2 K_{4 R} N_{2}^{3} \sigma+K_{2 I} N 2^{3} \xi_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+K_{4 I} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}\right) \cos \left(3 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(3 \gamma_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3}-6 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3} \sigma-4 N_{2} \xi_{1}+4 \gamma_{0} N_{2} \xi_{1}+3 K_{0} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}+3 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}+4 \gamma_{0} N_{2} \xi_{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-8 N_{2} \sigma \xi_{2}\right) \sin \left(\delta_{2}\right)+\left(\gamma_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3}-\gamma_{0} K_{4 I} N_{2}^{3}-2 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3} \sigma+2 K_{4 I} N_{2}^{3} \sigma-K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}-K_{4 R} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}\right) \sin \left(3 \delta_{2}\right) \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \cos \left(\delta_{2}\right)+b_{1} \sin \left(\delta_{2}\right)=c_{1}  \tag{104}\\
a_{2} \cos \left(\delta_{2}\right)+b_{2} \sin \left(\delta_{2}\right)=c_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
a_{1}= & \left(3 \gamma_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2}-6 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+4 \xi_{1}-4 \gamma_{0} \xi_{1}-3 K_{0} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}+3 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}-4 \gamma_{0} \xi_{2}+8 \sigma \xi_{2}\right) \\
b_{1}= & \left(4 \gamma_{0}-3 \gamma_{0} K_{0} N_{2}^{2}-3 \gamma_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2}-8 \sigma+8 \gamma_{0} \sigma+6 K_{0} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+6 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+3 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}+4 \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right) \\
c_{1}= & -\left(\left(-\gamma_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2}-\gamma_{0} K_{4 I} N_{2}^{2}+2 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+2 K_{4 I} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}-K_{4 R} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}\right) \cos \left(3 \delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\gamma_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2}+\gamma_{0} K_{4 R} N_{2}^{2}-2 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{2} \sigma-2 K_{4 R} N_{2}^{2} \sigma+K_{2 I} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}-K_{4 I} N_{2}^{2} \xi_{1}\right) \sin \left(3 \delta_{2}\right)\right) \\
a_{2}= & \left(4 \gamma_{0} N_{2}-3 \gamma_{0} K_{0} N_{2}^{3}+3 \gamma_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3}-8 N_{2} \sigma+8 \gamma_{0} N_{2} \sigma+6 K_{0} N_{2}^{3} \sigma-6 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3} \sigma\right. \\
& \left.-3 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}+4 N_{2} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right) \\
b_{2}= & \left(3 \gamma_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3}-6 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3} \sigma-4 N_{2} \xi_{1}+4 \gamma_{0} N_{2} \xi_{1}+3 K_{0} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}+3 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}+4 \gamma_{0} N_{2} \xi_{2}-8 N_{2} \sigma \xi_{2}\right) \\
c_{2} & -\left(4 f_{0} \gamma_{0}+\left(\gamma_{0} K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3}-\gamma_{0} K_{4 R} N_{2}^{3}-2 K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3} \sigma+2 K_{4 R} N_{2}^{3} \sigma+K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.K_{4 I} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}\right) \cos \left(3 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(\gamma_{0} K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3}-\gamma_{0} K_{4 I} N_{2}^{3}-2 K_{2 I} N_{2}^{3} \sigma+2 K_{4 I} N_{2}^{3} \sigma-K_{2 R} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}\right.  \tag{105}\\
& \left.\left.-K_{4 R} N_{2}^{3} \xi_{1}\right) \sin \left(3 \delta_{2}\right)\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{2} c_{1}-b_{1} c_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2} c_{1}-a_{1} c_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(-a_{2} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{2}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 106) can be reorganised as a polynomial of $N_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{10} N_{2}^{10}+p_{8} N_{2}^{8}+p_{7} N_{2}^{7}+p_{6} N_{2}^{6}+p_{5} N_{2}^{5}+p_{4} N_{2}^{4}+p_{3} N_{2}^{3}+p_{2} N_{2}^{2}+p_{0}=0 \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{10}=-9\left(\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(9 K_{0}^{4}+K_{0}\left(8 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} K_{4 I}-4 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{4 R}+4 K_{2 R}^{2} K_{4 R}\right)+\right. \\
& \quad\left(K_{2 I}^{2}+K_{2 R}^{2}\right)\left(8 K_{2 I}^{2}+8 K_{2 R}^{2}-K_{4 I}^{2}-K_{4 R}^{2}\right)-K_{0}^{2}\left(19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad-2\left(K_{0}^{2}\left(K_{2 I} K_{4 I}-K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right)+\left(K_{2 I}^{2}+K_{2 R}^{2}\right)\left(K_{2 I} K_{4 I}-K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right)+K_{0}\left(-3 K_{2 I}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.K_{2 R}+K_{2 R}^{3}-K_{2 R} K_{4 I}^{2}+2 K_{2 I} K_{4 I} K_{4 R}+K_{2 R} K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right) \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)+2\left(K_{0}^{2}\left(K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(K_{2 I}^{2}+K_{2 R}^{2}\right)\left(K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right)+K_{0}\left(K_{2 I}^{3}-2 K_{2 R} K_{4 I} K_{4 R}+K_{2 I}\left(-3 K_{2 R}^{2}-K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right)\right) \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right) \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{8}=24\left(\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\gamma _ { 0 } \left(-\left(18 K_{0}^{3}+4 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} K_{4 I}-2 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{4 R}+2 K_{2 R}^{2} K_{4 R}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.-K_{0}\left(19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right)\left(4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)-8\left(K_{2 I}^{2} K_{4 I}-K_{2 R}^{2} K_{4 I}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right) \sigma \xi_{2}\right)+\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(18 K_{0}^{3}-K_{0}\left(19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)-2 K_{2 I}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left(K_{4 R}-K_{4 I} \xi_{2}\right)+2 K_{2 R}^{2}\left(K_{4 R}-K_{4 I} \xi_{2}\right)+4 K_{2 I} K_{2 R}\left(K_{4 I}+K_{4 R} \xi_{2}\right)\right)+\gamma_{0}^{2}\left(18 K_{0}^{3}(1+2 \sigma)\right. \\
& -K_{0}\left(19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)(1+2 \sigma)+2 K_{2 R}^{2}\left(K_{4 R}+2 K_{4 R} \sigma-K_{4 I}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \left.+2 K_{2 I}^{2}\left(-K_{4 R}(1+2 \sigma)+K_{4 I}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)+4 K_{2 I} K_{2 R}\left(K_{4 I}+2 K_{4 I} \sigma+K_{4 R}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\gamma _ { 0 } \left(-\left(3 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{2 R}-2 K_{2 I} K_{4 I}\left(K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right)-K_{2 R}\left(K_{2 R}^{2}-K_{4 I}^{2}-2 K_{0} K_{4 R}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right)\left(4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-4\left(K_{2 I}^{3}+2 K_{2 R} K_{4 I} K_{4 R}+K_{2 I}\left(-3 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}-K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right) \sigma \xi_{2}\right)+\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(3 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{2 R}-2 K_{2 I} K_{4 I}\left(K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+K_{2 I}^{3} \xi_{2}+K_{2 I}\left(-3 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}-K_{4 R}^{2}\right) \xi_{2}+K_{2 R}\left(-K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+2 K_{0} K_{4 R}-K_{4 R}^{2}+2 K_{4 I} K_{4 R} \xi_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\gamma_{0}^{2}\left(3 K_{2 I}^{2}\left(K_{2 R}+2 K_{2 R} \sigma\right)+K_{2 I}^{3}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)+K_{2 R}\left(-K_{2 R}^{2}(1+2 \sigma)+K_{4 I}^{2}(1+2 \sigma)-K_{4 R}\left(-2 K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.(1+2 \sigma)+2 K_{4 I} K_{4 R}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)-K_{2 I}\left(2 K_{0}\left(K_{4 I}+2 K_{4 I} \sigma\right)+2 K_{4 I}\left(K_{4 R}+2 K_{4 R} \sigma\right)-K_{4 I}^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\left(3 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right) \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(-\gamma_{0}\left(\left(K_{2 I}^{3}+2 K_{2 R} K_{4 I}\left(K_{0}-K_{4 R}\right)+K_{2 I}\left(-3 K_{2 R}^{2}-K_{4 I}^{2}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+2 K_{0} K_{4 R}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right)\left(4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)+4\left(-3 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{2 R}-2 K_{2 I} K_{4 I} K_{4 R}+K_{2 R}\left(K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}-K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right) \sigma \xi_{2}\right) \\
& +\gamma_{0}^{2}\left(K_{2 I}^{3}(1+2 \sigma)-3 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{2 R}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)-K_{2 I}\left(K_{4 I}^{2}(1+2 \sigma)-K_{4 R}\left(2 K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right)(1+2 \sigma)+K_{2 R}^{2}(3+6 \sigma)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+2 K_{4 I} K_{4 R}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)+K_{2 R}\left(2 K_{0}\left(K_{4 I}+2 K_{4 I} \sigma\right)-2 K_{4 I}\left(K_{4 R}+2 K_{4 R} \sigma\right)+K_{4 I}^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)+\left(K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)+\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(K_{2 I}^{3}+2 K_{2 R} K_{4 I}\left(K_{0}-K_{4 R}\right)-3 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{2 R} \xi_{2}+K_{2 R}\left(K_{2 R}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+K_{4 I}^{2}-K_{4 R}^{2}\right) \xi_{2}+K_{2 I}\left(-3 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 R}\left(2 K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right)-K_{4 I}\left(K_{4 I}+2 K_{4 R} \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right) \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right) \quad \text { (109) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{7}=72 f_{0} \gamma_{0}\left(\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\left(\left(K_{0}^{2}\left(K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right)+\left(K_{2 I}^{2}+K_{2 R}^{2}\right)\left(K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad-2 K_{0}\left(K_{2 I}\left(K_{2 I}+K_{4 I}\right)+K_{2 R}\left(-K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)\right)\right)\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)+\left(K_{0}^{2}\left(K_{2 I}+K_{4 I}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(K_{2 I}^{2}+K_{2 R}^{2}\right)\left(K_{2 I}+K_{4 I}\right)-2 K_{0} K_{2 R}\left(2 K_{2 I}+K_{4 I}\right)+2 K_{0} K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right) \xi_{1}\right) \cos \left(3 \delta_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\left(K_{0}^{2}\left(K_{2 I}-K_{4 I}\right)+\left(K_{2 I}^{2}+K_{2 R}^{2}\right)\left(K_{2 I}-K_{4 I}\right)-2 K_{0} K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+2 K_{0} K_{2 I}\left(2 K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)\right)\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(2 K_{0} K_{2 I}\left(K_{2 I}-K_{4 I}\right)+K_{0}^{2}\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)-2 K_{0} K_{2 R}\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)+\left(K_{2 I}^{2}+K_{2 R}^{2}\right)\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)\right) \xi_{1}\right) \sin \left(3 \delta_{2}\right) \tag{110}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{6}=-16\left(\gamma _ { 0 } ^ { 2 } \left(-\left(-54 K_{0}^{2}+19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\left(8 \sigma^{2}(3+2 \sigma(3+\sigma))+2\left(1+6 \sigma+4 \sigma^{2}\right) \xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{1}^{4}\right)\right.\right. \\
& -2\left(-18 K_{0}^{2}+19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right) \xi_{1}\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi_{2}-2\left(-18 K_{0}^{2}+19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right) \\
& \left.\quad\left(12 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(-\left(19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+18 K_{0}^{2}\left(3+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)+ \\
& 2 \gamma_{0}^{3}\left(\left(19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\left((1+2 \sigma)\left(4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)+4 \sigma \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+4 \sigma \xi_{2}^{2}\right)-18 K_{0}^{2}(3(1+2 \sigma)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)+4 \sigma \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+4 \sigma \xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)+\gamma_{0}^{4}\left(-\left(19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\left(1+4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+18 K_{0}^{2}\left(3+12 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right)-2 \gamma_{0}\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(-\left(19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+4 \sigma\left(1+\sigma+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+18 K_{0}^{2}\left(3 \xi_{1}^{2}+4 \sigma\left(3+3 \sigma+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\right)-2\left(\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+\gamma_{0}^{2}\left(1+4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\quad-2 \gamma_{0}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+2 \sigma\left(1+2 \sigma+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\right)\left(\left(K_{2 I} K_{4 I}-K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right) \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right]\right)-\left(K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right) \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)\right)\right) \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{5}=192 f_{0} \gamma_{0}( & \left(\gamma _ { 0 } ^ { 3 } \left(K_{2 I}^{2}(1+2 \sigma)-K_{0}\left(K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right)(1+2 \sigma)-K_{2 R}\left(K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}+2 K_{2 R} \sigma\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 K_{4 R} \sigma+K_{4 I}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)+K_{2 I}\left(K_{4 I}+2 K_{4 I} \sigma-\left(2 K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right)\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& -\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(K_{0}\left(-2 K_{2 R} \sigma+2 K_{4 R} \sigma+K_{4 I} \xi_{1}\right)+K_{2 I}^{2}\left(2 \sigma-\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right)-K_{2 R}\left(2 K_{2 R} \sigma\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.-2 K_{4 R} \sigma+K_{4 I} \xi_{1}+2 K_{4 I} \sigma \xi_{2}-K_{2 R} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+K_{4 R} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right)+K_{2 I}\left(\left(K_{0}-2 K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \xi_{1}+2\left(-2 K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \sigma \xi_{2}\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left.+K_{4 I}\left(2 \sigma-\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)-\gamma_{0}^{2}\left(K_{0}\left(-2\left(K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right) \sigma(3+4 \sigma)+K_{4 I} \xi_{1}+2 K_{4 I} \sigma \xi_{1}+\left(-K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \xi_{1}^{2}\right)\right.
$$

$$
+K_{2 I}^{2}\left(6 \sigma+8 \sigma^{2}-\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right)-K_{2 R}\left(2\left(K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right) \sigma(3+4 \sigma)+K_{4 I} \xi_{1}+4 K_{4 I} \sigma \xi_{1}+6 K_{4 I} \sigma \xi_{2}\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left.+\left(-K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right)+K_{2 I}\left(K_{0}\left(\xi_{1}+2 \sigma \xi_{1}\right)-\left(2 K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right)\left(\xi_{1}+4 \sigma \xi_{1}+6 \sigma \xi_{2}\right)+K_{4 I}\left(6 \sigma+8 \sigma^{2}-\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)
$$

$$
+\gamma_{0}\left(K_{0}\left(-4\left(K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right) \sigma^{2}(3+2 \sigma)+4 K_{4 I} \sigma(1+\sigma) \xi_{1}-\left(K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right)(1+2 \sigma) \xi_{1}^{2}+K_{4 I} \xi_{1}^{3}\right)\right.
$$

$$
+K_{2 I}^{2}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+2 \sigma\left(6 \sigma+4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-2 \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)+K_{2 I}\left(\left(K_{0}-2 K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \xi_{1}\left(4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.+K_{4 I}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+2 \sigma\left(6 \sigma+4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-2 \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)-\left(2 K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right)\left(12 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi_{2}\right)
$$

$$
-K_{2 R}\left(K_{2 R}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+2 \sigma\left(6 \sigma+4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-2 \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)-K_{4 R}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+2 \sigma\left(6 \sigma+4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-2 \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left.\left.+K_{4 I}\left(4 \sigma \xi_{1}+\xi_{1}^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)+4 \sigma^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+3 \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right) \cos \left(3 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(\gamma _ { 0 } ^ { 3 } \left(-K_{0}\left(K_{2 I}-K_{4 I}\right)(1+2 \sigma)-K_{2 I}^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.-K_{2 I}\left(K_{4 R}+2\left(K_{2 R}+2 K_{2 R} \sigma+K_{4 R} \sigma\right)-K_{4 I}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)+K_{2 R}\left(K_{4 I}+2 K_{4 I} \sigma+\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)
$$

$$
+\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(2 K_{0}\left(K_{2 I}-K_{4 I}\right) \sigma+K_{0}\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \xi_{1}+K_{2 I}^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+2 \sigma \xi_{2}\right)+K_{2 I}\left(4 K_{2 R} \sigma+2 K_{4 R} \sigma-K_{4 I} \xi_{1}\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left.-\left(2 K_{4 I} \sigma+\left(2 K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \xi_{1}\right) \xi_{2}\right)-K_{2 R}\left(\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)\left(\xi_{1}+2 \sigma \xi_{2}\right)+K_{4 I}\left(2 \sigma-\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)
$$

$$
+\gamma_{0}^{2}\left(K_{0}\left(2\left(K_{2 I}-K_{4 I}\right) \sigma(3+4 \sigma)+\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)(1+2 \sigma) \xi_{1}+\left(K_{2 I}-K_{4 I}\right) \xi_{1}^{2}\right)+K_{2 I}^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+4 \sigma \xi_{1}+6 \sigma \xi_{2}\right)\right.
$$

$$
+K_{2 I}\left(2\left(2 K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \sigma(3+4 \sigma)-K_{4 I} \xi_{1}-4 K_{4 I} \sigma \xi_{1}-\left(6 K_{4 I} \sigma+\left(2 K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \xi_{1}\right) \xi_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\left.-K_{2 R}\left(\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)\left(\xi_{1}+4 \sigma \xi_{1}+6 \sigma \xi_{2}\right)+K_{4 I}\left(6 \sigma+8 \sigma^{2}-\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)+\gamma_{0}\left(K _ { 0 } \left(-4\left(K_{2 I}-K_{4 I}\right) \sigma^{2}(3+2 \sigma)\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.-4\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \sigma(1+\sigma) \xi_{1}-\left(K_{2 I}-K_{4 I}\right)(1+2 \sigma) \xi_{1}^{2}-\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \xi_{1}^{3}\right)+K_{2 I}\left(-4\left(2 K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \sigma^{2}(3+2 \sigma)\right.
$$

$$
\left.+4 K_{4 I} \sigma(1+\sigma) \xi_{1}-\left(2 K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)(1+2 \sigma) \xi_{1}^{2}+K_{4 I} \xi_{1}^{3}+4\left(2 K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \sigma \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+K_{4 I}\left(12 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi_{2}\right)
$$

$$
-K_{2 I}^{2}\left(4 \sigma \xi_{1}+\xi_{1}^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)+4 \sigma^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+3 \xi_{2}\right)\right)+K_{2 R}\left(K_{4 I}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+2 \sigma\left(6 \sigma+4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-2 \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.+\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right)\left(4 \sigma \xi_{1}+\xi_{1}^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)+4 \sigma^{2}\left(\xi_{1}+3 \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right) \sin \left(3 \delta_{2}\right) \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{4}=-128\left(2\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}-8 \gamma_{0}\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+2 \sigma\left(1+2 \sigma+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)+\gamma_{0}^{4}\left(3 f _ { 0 } ^ { 2 } \left(K_{0}+K_{2 R}\right.\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+2\left(K_{0}+K_{2 R}\right) \sigma+K_{2 I}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)+2\left(1+4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)+\gamma_{0}^{2}\left(192 \sigma^{3}\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+64 \sigma^{4}\left(3+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
+12 \sigma \xi_{1}\left(f_{0}^{2}\left(K_{2 I}-K_{2 R} \xi_{2}\right)+4 \xi_{1}\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)+4 \sigma^{2}\left(3 f_{0}^{2}\left(K_{0}+K_{2 R}+K_{2 I} \xi_{2}\right)+12\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+8 \xi_{1}^{2}\left(3+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+8 \xi_{1}\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{2}^{3}\right)\right) \\
\left.+\xi_{1}^{2}\left(4+12 \xi_{1}^{2}+3 f_{0}^{2}\left(K_{0}-K_{2 R}-K_{2 I} \xi_{2}\right)+4 \xi_{2}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\left(2+\xi_{2}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right)-\gamma_{0}^{3}\left(128 \sigma^{4}+64 \sigma^{3}\left(3+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
+4 \sigma^{2}\left(3 f_{0}^{2}\left(K_{0}+K_{2 R}\right)+8\left(3+2 \xi_{1}^{2}+2 \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+3 \xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)+\xi_{1}\left(8 \xi_{1}\left(1+\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)^{2}\right)+3 f_{0}^{2}\left(2 K_{2 I}+K_{0} \xi_{1}-K_{2 R}\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
\left.\left.\quad+4 \sigma\left(3 f_{0}^{2}\left(K_{0}+K_{2 R}+K_{2 I}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)+4\left(\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\left(3+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+2 \xi_{1}\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{2}^{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{3}=128 f_{0} \gamma_{0}\left(\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+\gamma_{0}^{2}\left(1+4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)^{2}\right)-2 \gamma_{0}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+2 \sigma\left(1+2 \sigma+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \left(\left(\left(K_{2 R}-K_{4 R}\right)\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)+\left(K_{2 I}+K_{4 I}\right) \xi_{1}\right) \cos \left(3 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(\left(K_{2 I}-K_{4 I}\right)\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)-\left(K_{2 R}+K_{4 R}\right) \xi_{1}\right) \sin \left(3 \delta_{2}\right)\right) \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad p_{2}=-128\left(2\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}-8 \gamma_{0}\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+2 \sigma\left(1+2 \sigma+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\gamma_{0}^{4}\left(3 f_{0}^{2}\left(K_{0}+K_{2 R}+2\left(K_{0}+K_{2 R}\right) \sigma+K_{2 I}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)+2\left(1+4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& + \\
& +12\left(1+\gamma_{0}^{2}\left(192 \sigma^{3}\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+64 \sigma^{4}\left(3+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+12 \sigma \xi_{1}^{2}\left(3+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+8 \xi_{1}^{2}\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{2 I}^{3}\right)\right)+K_{2 R} \xi_{2}\right)+4 \xi_{1}\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\left(4+12 \xi_{1}^{2}+3 f_{0}^{2}\left(K_{0}-K_{2 R}-K_{2 I} \xi_{2}\right)+4 \xi_{2}\left(3 \xi_{0}^{2}\left(\xi_{0}+\xi_{2}\right)\left(2+\xi_{2 R}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right. \\
& +\quad-\gamma_{0}^{3}\left(128 \sigma^{4}+64 \sigma^{3}\left(3+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+4 \sigma^{2}\left(3 f_{0}^{2}\left(K_{0}+K_{2 R}\right)+8\left(3+2 \xi_{1}^{2}+2 \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+3 \xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)+\xi_{1}\left(8 \xi_{1}\left(1+\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+3 f_{0}^{2}\left(2 K_{2 I}+K_{0} \xi_{1}-K_{2 R}\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}\right)\right)\right)+4 \sigma\left(3 f_{0}^{2}\left(K_{0}+K_{2 R}+K_{2 I}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\right)+4\left(\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\left(3+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+2 \xi_{1}\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{2}^{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right) \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=256 f_{0}^{2} \gamma_{0}^{2}\left(\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)+\gamma_{0}^{2}\left(1+4 \sigma(1+\sigma)+\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)^{2}\right)-2 \gamma_{0}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+2 \sigma\left(1+2 \sigma+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\right) \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

## G Development backbone curve with variable rigidity

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{b c, 0}=-256\left(\gamma_{0}+2\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right) \sigma\right)^{4}  \tag{117}\\
p_{b c, 1}=768 K_{0}\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)\left(\gamma_{0}+2\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right) \sigma\right)^{3}  \tag{118}\\
p_{b c, 2}=-16\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)^{2}\left(\gamma_{0}+2\left(-1+\gamma_{0}\right) \sigma\right)^{2}\left(54 K_{0}^{2}-19 K_{2 I}^{2}-19 K_{2 R}^{2}-K_{4 I}^{2}-K_{4 R}^{2}\right. \\
\left.+\left(-2 K_{2 I} K_{4 I}+2 K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right) \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)+2\left(K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right) \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)\right) \tag{119}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
p_{b c, 3}=24\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)^{3}\left(\gamma_{0}+2\left((-1)+\gamma_{0}\right) \sigma\right)\left(18 K_{0}^{3}+4 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} K_{4 I}-2 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{4 R}+2 K_{2 R}^{2} K_{4 R}-K_{0}\left(19 K_{2 I}^{2}\right.\right. \\
\left.+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)+\left(3 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{2 R}-2 K_{2 I} K_{4 I}\left(K_{0}+K_{4 R}\right)-K_{2 R}\left(K_{2 R}^{2}-K_{4 I}^{2}-2 K_{0} K_{4 R}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right) \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right) \\
\left.+\left(K_{2 I}^{3}+2 K_{2 R} K_{4 I}\left(K_{0}-K_{4 R}\right)+K_{2 I}\left(-3 K_{2 R}^{2}-K_{4 I}^{2}+2 K_{0} K_{4 R}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right) \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)\right) \tag{120}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{c b, 4}=-9\left(\gamma_{0}-2 \sigma\right)^{4}\left(9 K_{0}^{4}+K_{0}\left(8 K_{2 I} K_{2 R} K_{4 I}-4 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{4 R}+4 K_{2 R}^{2} K_{4 R}\right)+\left(K_{2 I}^{2}+K_{2 R}^{2}\right)\left(8 K_{2 I}^{2}+8 K_{2 R}^{2}-K_{4 I}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-K_{4 R}^{2}\right)-K_{0}^{2}\left(19 K_{2 I}^{2}+19 K_{2 R}^{2}+K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)-2\left(K_{0}^{2}\left(K_{2 I} K_{4 I}-K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right)+\left(K_{2 I}^{2}+K_{2 R}^{2}\right)\left(K_{2 I} K_{4 I}-K_{2 R} K_{4 R}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+K_{0}\left(-3 K_{2 I}^{2} K_{2 R}+K_{2 R}^{3}-K_{2 R} K_{4 I}^{2}+2 K_{2 I} K_{4 I} K_{4 R}+K_{2 R} K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right) \cos \left(6 \delta_{2}\right)+2\left(K_{0}^{2} K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+\left(K_{2 I}^{2}+K_{2 R}^{2}\right)\left(K_{2 R} K_{4 I}+K_{2 I} K_{4 R}\right)+K_{0}\left(K_{2 I}^{3}-2 K_{2 R} K_{4 I} K_{4 R}+K_{2 I}\left(-3 K_{2 R}^{2}-K_{4 I}^{2}+K_{4 R}^{2}\right)\right)\right) \sin \left(6 \delta_{2}\right) \tag{121}
\end{align*}
$$
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